## **APPENDIX 11**

1 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Case No. 09-50002In the Matter of: CHRYSLER LLC, et al. Debtors. United States Bankruptcy Court One Bowling Green New York, New York May 28, 2009 10:00 AM B E F O R E:

HON. ARTHUR J. GONZALEZ U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

1		1	
2	HEARING re Motion of Debtors and Debtors in Possession,	2	JONES DAY
3	Pursuant to Section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code, for Interim and	3	Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession
4	Final Orders: (A) Prohibiting Utilities from Altering, Refusing	4	1420 Peachtree Street, N.E.
5	or Discontinuing Services to, or Discriminating Against, the	5	Suite 800
6	Debtors on Account of Prepetition Invoices; (B) Determining	6	Atlanta, Georgia 30309
7	that the Utilities are Adequately Assured of Future Payment;	7	Trainin, Goorgin Bobbo
8	(C) Establishing Procedures for Determining Requests for	8	BY: JEFFREY B. ELLMAN, ESQ.
9	Additional Assurance; and (D) Permitting Utility Companies to	9	21 V211 21 21 222 11 11 1, 25 Q
10	Opt Out of the Procedures Established Herein	10	TOGUT, SEGAL & SEGAL LLP
11	•	11	Conflicts Counsel
12	HEARING re Motion of Debtors and Debtors in Possession,	12	One Penn Plaza
13	Pursuant to Sections 105, 363 and 365 of the Bankruptcy Code	13	New York, NY 10119
14	and Bankruptcy Rules 2002, 6004 and 6006, for (I) An Order (A)	14	TOW TOTK, TOTTS
15	Approving Bidding Procedures and Bidder Protections for the	15	BY: ALBERT TOGUT, ESQ.
16	Sale of Substantially All of the Debtors' Assets and (B)	16	FRANK A. OSWALD, ESQ.
17	Scheduling a Final Sale Hearing and Approving the Form and	17	SCOTT RATNER, ESQ.
18	Manner of Notice Thereof; and (II) an Order (A) Authorizing the	18	200111111111111111111111111111111111111
19	Sale of Substantially All of the Debtors' Assets, Free and	19	
20	Clear of Liens, Claims, Interests and Encumbrances, (B)	20	
21	Authorizing the Assumption and Assignment of Certain Executory		
22	Contracts and Unexpired Leases in Connection Therewith and	22	
23	Related Procedures and (C) Granting Certain Related Relief	23	
24	remed recedences and (c) standing cortain remed rener	24	
25	Transcribed by: Lisa Bar-Leib	25	
	2	23	4
1		_	
		1	
2	APPEARANCES:	2	KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP
2	APPEARANCES: JONES DAY		KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors
		2 3 4	Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors 1177 Avenue of the Americas
3	JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession 222 East 41st Street	2 3 4 5	Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors
3 4 5 6	JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession	2 3 4 5 6	Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors 1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036
3 4 5 6 7	JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession 222 East 41st Street New York, NY 10017	2 3 4 5 6 7	Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors 1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 BY: THOMAS M. MAYER, ESQ.
3 4 5 6 7 8	JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession 222 East 41st Street New York, NY 10017 BY: CORRINE BALL, ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors 1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036  BY: THOMAS M. MAYER, ESQ. ROBERT T. SCHMIDT, ESQ.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession 222 East 41st Street New York, NY 10017  BY: CORRINE BALL, ESQ. GEOFFREY S. STEWART, ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors 1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 BY: THOMAS M. MAYER, ESQ.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession 222 East 41st Street New York, NY 10017 BY: CORRINE BALL, ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors 1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036  BY: THOMAS M. MAYER, ESQ. ROBERT T. SCHMIDT, ESQ. ADAM C. ROGOFF, ESQ.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession 222 East 41st Street New York, NY 10017  BY: CORRINE BALL, ESQ. GEOFFREY S. STEWART, ESQ. LEE A. ARMSTRONG, ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors 1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036  BY: THOMAS M. MAYER, ESQ. ROBERT T. SCHMIDT, ESQ. ADAM C. ROGOFF, ESQ.  U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession 222 East 41st Street New York, NY 10017  BY: CORRINE BALL, ESQ. GEOFFREY S. STEWART, ESQ. LEE A. ARMSTRONG, ESQ.  JONES DAY	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors 1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036  BY: THOMAS M. MAYER, ESQ. ROBERT T. SCHMIDT, ESQ. ADAM C. ROGOFF, ESQ.  U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE United States Attorney's Office
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession 222 East 41st Street New York, NY 10017  BY: CORRINE BALL, ESQ. GEOFFREY S. STEWART, ESQ. LEE A. ARMSTRONG, ESQ.  JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors 1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036  BY: THOMAS M. MAYER, ESQ. ROBERT T. SCHMIDT, ESQ. ADAM C. ROGOFF, ESQ.  U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE United States Attorney's Office Southern District of New York
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession 222 East 41st Street New York, NY 10017  BY: CORRINE BALL, ESQ. GEOFFREY S. STEWART, ESQ. LEE A. ARMSTRONG, ESQ.  JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession 51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors 1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036  BY: THOMAS M. MAYER, ESQ. ROBERT T. SCHMIDT, ESQ. ADAM C. ROGOFF, ESQ.  U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE United States Attorney's Office Southern District of New York 86 Chambers Street
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession 222 East 41st Street New York, NY 10017  BY: CORRINE BALL, ESQ. GEOFFREY S. STEWART, ESQ. LEE A. ARMSTRONG, ESQ.  JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors 1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036  BY: THOMAS M. MAYER, ESQ. ROBERT T. SCHMIDT, ESQ. ADAM C. ROGOFF, ESQ.  U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE United States Attorney's Office Southern District of New York
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession 222 East 41st Street New York, NY 10017  BY: CORRINE BALL, ESQ. GEOFFREY S. STEWART, ESQ. LEE A. ARMSTRONG, ESQ.  JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession 51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20001	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors 1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036  BY: THOMAS M. MAYER, ESQ. ROBERT T. SCHMIDT, ESQ. ADAM C. ROGOFF, ESQ.  U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE United States Attorney's Office Southern District of New York 86 Chambers Street New York, NY 10007
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession 222 East 41st Street New York, NY 10017  BY: CORRINE BALL, ESQ. GEOFFREY S. STEWART, ESQ. LEE A. ARMSTRONG, ESQ.  JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession 51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20001  BY: THOMAS F. CULLEN, JR., ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors 1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036  BY: THOMAS M. MAYER, ESQ. ROBERT T. SCHMIDT, ESQ. ADAM C. ROGOFF, ESQ.  U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE United States Attorney's Office Southern District of New York 86 Chambers Street New York, NY 10007  BY: JEANNETTE A. VARGAS, AUSA
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession 222 East 41st Street New York, NY 10017  BY: CORRINE BALL, ESQ. GEOFFREY S. STEWART, ESQ. LEE A. ARMSTRONG, ESQ.  JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession 51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20001	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors 1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036  BY: THOMAS M. MAYER, ESQ. ROBERT T. SCHMIDT, ESQ. ADAM C. ROGOFF, ESQ.  U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE United States Attorney's Office Southern District of New York 86 Chambers Street New York, NY 10007
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession 222 East 41st Street New York, NY 10017  BY: CORRINE BALL, ESQ. GEOFFREY S. STEWART, ESQ. LEE A. ARMSTRONG, ESQ.  JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession 51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20001  BY: THOMAS F. CULLEN, JR., ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors 1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036  BY: THOMAS M. MAYER, ESQ. ROBERT T. SCHMIDT, ESQ. ADAM C. ROGOFF, ESQ.  U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE United States Attorney's Office Southern District of New York 86 Chambers Street New York, NY 10007  BY: JEANNETTE A. VARGAS, AUSA
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession 222 East 41st Street New York, NY 10017  BY: CORRINE BALL, ESQ. GEOFFREY S. STEWART, ESQ. LEE A. ARMSTRONG, ESQ.  JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession 51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20001  BY: THOMAS F. CULLEN, JR., ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors 1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036  BY: THOMAS M. MAYER, ESQ. ROBERT T. SCHMIDT, ESQ. ADAM C. ROGOFF, ESQ.  U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE United States Attorney's Office Southern District of New York 86 Chambers Street New York, NY 10007  BY: JEANNETTE A. VARGAS, AUSA
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession 222 East 41st Street New York, NY 10017  BY: CORRINE BALL, ESQ. GEOFFREY S. STEWART, ESQ. LEE A. ARMSTRONG, ESQ.  JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession 51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20001  BY: THOMAS F. CULLEN, JR., ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors 1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036  BY: THOMAS M. MAYER, ESQ. ROBERT T. SCHMIDT, ESQ. ADAM C. ROGOFF, ESQ.  U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE United States Attorney's Office Southern District of New York 86 Chambers Street New York, NY 10007  BY: JEANNETTE A. VARGAS, AUSA
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession 222 East 41st Street New York, NY 10017  BY: CORRINE BALL, ESQ. GEOFFREY S. STEWART, ESQ. LEE A. ARMSTRONG, ESQ.  JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession 51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20001  BY: THOMAS F. CULLEN, JR., ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors 1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036  BY: THOMAS M. MAYER, ESQ. ROBERT T. SCHMIDT, ESQ. ADAM C. ROGOFF, ESQ.  U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE United States Attorney's Office Southern District of New York 86 Chambers Street New York, NY 10007  BY: JEANNETTE A. VARGAS, AUSA
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession 222 East 41st Street New York, NY 10017  BY: CORRINE BALL, ESQ. GEOFFREY S. STEWART, ESQ. LEE A. ARMSTRONG, ESQ.  JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession 51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20001  BY: THOMAS F. CULLEN, JR., ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors 1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036  BY: THOMAS M. MAYER, ESQ. ROBERT T. SCHMIDT, ESQ. ADAM C. ROGOFF, ESQ.  U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE United States Attorney's Office Southern District of New York 86 Chambers Street New York, NY 10007  BY: JEANNETTE A. VARGAS, AUSA
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession 222 East 41st Street New York, NY 10017  BY: CORRINE BALL, ESQ. GEOFFREY S. STEWART, ESQ. LEE A. ARMSTRONG, ESQ.  JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession 51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20001  BY: THOMAS F. CULLEN, JR., ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors 1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036  BY: THOMAS M. MAYER, ESQ. ROBERT T. SCHMIDT, ESQ. ADAM C. ROGOFF, ESQ.  U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE United States Attorney's Office Southern District of New York 86 Chambers Street New York, NY 10007  BY: JEANNETTE A. VARGAS, AUSA
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession 222 East 41st Street New York, NY 10017  BY: CORRINE BALL, ESQ. GEOFFREY S. STEWART, ESQ. LEE A. ARMSTRONG, ESQ.  JONES DAY Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession 51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20001  BY: THOMAS F. CULLEN, JR., ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors 1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036  BY: THOMAS M. MAYER, ESQ. ROBERT T. SCHMIDT, ESQ. ADAM C. ROGOFF, ESQ.  U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE United States Attorney's Office Southern District of New York 86 Chambers Street New York, NY 10007  BY: JEANNETTE A. VARGAS, AUSA

1			
		1	
2	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	2	BELLAVIA GENTILE ASSOCIATES, LLP
3	Office of the United States Trustee	3	Attorneys for Certain Affected Dealers
4	33 Whitehall Street	4	200 Old Country Road
5	Suite 2100	5	Mineola, NY 11501
6	New York, NY 10004	6	
7		7	BY: LEONARD A. BELLAVIA, ESQ.
8	BY: TRACY HOPE DAVIS, AUST	8	
9	BRIAN MASUMOTO, ESQ.	9	CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP
10		10	Attorneys for United Auto Workers and David Curson
11	ALLARD & FISH, P.C.	11	One Liberty Plaza
12	Attorneys for Mayco International, LLC	12	New York, NY 10006
13	2600 Buhl Building	13	
14	535 Griswold	14	BY: JAMES L. BROMLEY, ESQ.
15	Detroit, MI 48226	15	DEBORAH M. BUELL, ESQ.
16		16	
17	BY: DEBORAH L. FISH, ESQ.	17	COHEN, WEISS & SIMON LLP
18		18	Attorneys for United Auto Workers
19	ARENT FOX LLP	19	330 West 42nd Street
20	Attorneys for The Timken Company, Superior Industries and	20	New York, NY 10036
21	Harman	21	
22	1675 Broadway	22	BY: BABETTE CECCOTTI, ESQ.
23	New York, NY 10019	23	
24		24	
25	BY: JAMES M. SULLIVAN, ESQ.	25	
	6		8
		,	
1	A DENT FOW DLLC	1 2	DLA PIPER US LLP
2	ARENT FOX PLLC	3	Attorneys for Wisconsin Electric Power Company and
3 4	Attorneys for JJF Management Services, Inc. 1050 Connecticut Avenue, NW	4	Attorneys for wisconsin Electric Lower Company and
	1050 Connecticiii Avenne, IVW		2 7
	*		Wisconsin Gas LLC d/b/a WE Energies
5	Washington, DC 20036	5	Wisconsin Gas LLC d/b/a WE Energies The Marbury Building
6	Washington, DC 20036	5 6	Wisconsin Gas LLC d/b/a WE Energies The Marbury Building 6225 Smith Avenue
6 7	Washington, DC 20036  BY: MARY J. DOWD, ESQ.	5 6 7	Wisconsin Gas LLC d/b/a WE Energies The Marbury Building
6 7 8	Washington, DC 20036	5 6 7 8	Wisconsin Gas LLC d/b/a WE Energies The Marbury Building 6225 Smith Avenue Baltimore, MD 21209
6 7 8 9	Washington, DC 20036  BY: MARY J. DOWD, ESQ. CAROLINE T. ENGLISH, ESQ.	5 6 7 8 9	Wisconsin Gas LLC d/b/a WE Energies The Marbury Building 6225 Smith Avenue
6 7 8 9 10	Washington, DC 20036  BY: MARY J. DOWD, ESQ. CAROLINE T. ENGLISH, ESQ.  ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP	5 6 7 8 9	Wisconsin Gas LLC d/b/a WE Energies The Marbury Building 6225 Smith Avenue Baltimore, MD 21209  BY: DALE K. CATHELL, ESQ.
6 7 8 9 10 11	Washington, DC 20036  BY: MARY J. DOWD, ESQ. CAROLINE T. ENGLISH, ESQ.  ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP Attorneys for County of St. Louis	5 6 7 8 9	Wisconsin Gas LLC d/b/a WE Energies The Marbury Building 6225 Smith Avenue Baltimore, MD 21209  BY: DALE K. CATHELL, ESQ.  DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP
6 7 8 9 10 11	Washington, DC 20036  BY: MARY J. DOWD, ESQ. CAROLINE T. ENGLISH, ESQ.  ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP Attorneys for County of St. Louis One Metropolitan Square	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Wisconsin Gas LLC d/b/a WE Energies The Marbury Building 6225 Smith Avenue Baltimore, MD 21209  BY: DALE K. CATHELL, ESQ.  DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP Attorneys for Chrysler Financial Services Americas LLC
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Washington, DC 20036  BY: MARY J. DOWD, ESQ. CAROLINE T. ENGLISH, ESQ.  ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP Attorneys for County of St. Louis One Metropolitan Square Suite 2600	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Wisconsin Gas LLC d/b/a WE Energies The Marbury Building 6225 Smith Avenue Baltimore, MD 21209  BY: DALE K. CATHELL, ESQ.  DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP Attorneys for Chrysler Financial Services Americas LLC 1301 Avenue of the Americas
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Washington, DC 20036  BY: MARY J. DOWD, ESQ. CAROLINE T. ENGLISH, ESQ.  ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP Attorneys for County of St. Louis One Metropolitan Square	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Wisconsin Gas LLC d/b/a WE Energies The Marbury Building 6225 Smith Avenue Baltimore, MD 21209  BY: DALE K. CATHELL, ESQ.  DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP Attorneys for Chrysler Financial Services Americas LLC
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Washington, DC 20036  BY: MARY J. DOWD, ESQ. CAROLINE T. ENGLISH, ESQ.  ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP Attorneys for County of St. Louis One Metropolitan Square Suite 2600 St. Louis, MO 63102	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Wisconsin Gas LLC d/b/a WE Energies The Marbury Building 6225 Smith Avenue Baltimore, MD 21209  BY: DALE K. CATHELL, ESQ.  DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP Attorneys for Chrysler Financial Services Americas LLC 1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Washington, DC 20036  BY: MARY J. DOWD, ESQ. CAROLINE T. ENGLISH, ESQ.  ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP Attorneys for County of St. Louis One Metropolitan Square Suite 2600	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Wisconsin Gas LLC d/b/a WE Energies The Marbury Building 6225 Smith Avenue Baltimore, MD 21209  BY: DALE K. CATHELL, ESQ.  DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP Attorneys for Chrysler Financial Services Americas LLC 1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019  BY: MARTIN J. BIENENSTOCK, ESQ.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Washington, DC 20036  BY: MARY J. DOWD, ESQ. CAROLINE T. ENGLISH, ESQ.  ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP Attorneys for County of St. Louis One Metropolitan Square Suite 2600 St. Louis, MO 63102  BY: STEVEN N. COUSINS, ESQ.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Wisconsin Gas LLC d/b/a WE Energies The Marbury Building 6225 Smith Avenue Baltimore, MD 21209  BY: DALE K. CATHELL, ESQ.  DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP Attorneys for Chrysler Financial Services Americas LLC 1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Washington, DC 20036  BY: MARY J. DOWD, ESQ. CAROLINE T. ENGLISH, ESQ.  ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP Attorneys for County of St. Louis One Metropolitan Square Suite 2600 St. Louis, MO 63102  BY: STEVEN N. COUSINS, ESQ.  ARNOLD & PORTER LLP	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Wisconsin Gas LLC d/b/a WE Energies The Marbury Building 6225 Smith Avenue Baltimore, MD 21209  BY: DALE K. CATHELL, ESQ.  DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP Attorneys for Chrysler Financial Services Americas LLC 1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019  BY: MARTIN J. BIENENSTOCK, ESQ. JUDY G.Z. LIU, ESQ.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Washington, DC 20036  BY: MARY J. DOWD, ESQ. CAROLINE T. ENGLISH, ESQ.  ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP Attorneys for County of St. Louis One Metropolitan Square Suite 2600 St. Louis, MO 63102  BY: STEVEN N. COUSINS, ESQ.  ARNOLD & PORTER LLP Attorneys for Chrysler National Dealer Council	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Wisconsin Gas LLC d/b/a WE Energies The Marbury Building 6225 Smith Avenue Baltimore, MD 21209  BY: DALE K. CATHELL, ESQ.  DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP Attorneys for Chrysler Financial Services Americas LLC 1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019  BY: MARTIN J. BIENENSTOCK, ESQ. JUDY G.Z. LIU, ESQ.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Washington, DC 20036  BY: MARY J. DOWD, ESQ. CAROLINE T. ENGLISH, ESQ.  ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP Attorneys for County of St. Louis One Metropolitan Square Suite 2600 St. Louis, MO 63102  BY: STEVEN N. COUSINS, ESQ.  ARNOLD & PORTER LLP Attorneys for Chrysler National Dealer Council 555 Twelfth Street, NW	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Wisconsin Gas LLC d/b/a WE Energies The Marbury Building 6225 Smith Avenue Baltimore, MD 21209  BY: DALE K. CATHELL, ESQ.  DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP Attorneys for Chrysler Financial Services Americas LLC 1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019  BY: MARTIN J. BIENENSTOCK, ESQ. JUDY G.Z. LIU, ESQ.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Washington, DC 20036  BY: MARY J. DOWD, ESQ. CAROLINE T. ENGLISH, ESQ.  ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP Attorneys for County of St. Louis One Metropolitan Square Suite 2600 St. Louis, MO 63102  BY: STEVEN N. COUSINS, ESQ.  ARNOLD & PORTER LLP Attorneys for Chrysler National Dealer Council	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Wisconsin Gas LLC d/b/a WE Energies The Marbury Building 6225 Smith Avenue Baltimore, MD 21209  BY: DALE K. CATHELL, ESQ.  DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP Attorneys for Chrysler Financial Services Americas LLC 1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019  BY: MARTIN J. BIENENSTOCK, ESQ. JUDY G.Z. LIU, ESQ.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Washington, DC 20036  BY: MARY J. DOWD, ESQ. CAROLINE T. ENGLISH, ESQ.  ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP Attorneys for County of St. Louis One Metropolitan Square Suite 2600 St. Louis, MO 63102  BY: STEVEN N. COUSINS, ESQ.  ARNOLD & PORTER LLP Attorneys for Chrysler National Dealer Council 555 Twelfth Street, NW Washington, DC 20004	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Wisconsin Gas LLC d/b/a WE Energies The Marbury Building 6225 Smith Avenue Baltimore, MD 21209  BY: DALE K. CATHELL, ESQ.  DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP Attorneys for Chrysler Financial Services Americas LLC 1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019  BY: MARTIN J. BIENENSTOCK, ESQ. JUDY G.Z. LIU, ESQ.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Washington, DC 20036  BY: MARY J. DOWD, ESQ. CAROLINE T. ENGLISH, ESQ.  ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP Attorneys for County of St. Louis One Metropolitan Square Suite 2600 St. Louis, MO 63102  BY: STEVEN N. COUSINS, ESQ.  ARNOLD & PORTER LLP Attorneys for Chrysler National Dealer Council 555 Twelfth Street, NW	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Wisconsin Gas LLC d/b/a WE Energies The Marbury Building 6225 Smith Avenue Baltimore, MD 21209  BY: DALE K. CATHELL, ESQ.  DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP Attorneys for Chrysler Financial Services Americas LLC 1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019  BY: MARTIN J. BIENENSTOCK, ESQ. JUDY G.Z. LIU, ESQ.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Washington, DC 20036  BY: MARY J. DOWD, ESQ. CAROLINE T. ENGLISH, ESQ.  ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP Attorneys for County of St. Louis One Metropolitan Square Suite 2600 St. Louis, MO 63102  BY: STEVEN N. COUSINS, ESQ.  ARNOLD & PORTER LLP Attorneys for Chrysler National Dealer Council 555 Twelfth Street, NW Washington, DC 20004	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Wisconsin Gas LLC d/b/a WE Energies The Marbury Building 6225 Smith Avenue Baltimore, MD 21209  BY: DALE K. CATHELL, ESQ.  DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP Attorneys for Chrysler Financial Services Americas LLC 1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019  BY: MARTIN J. BIENENSTOCK, ESQ. JUDY G.Z. LIU, ESQ.

1 2 FOLEY & LARDNER LLP 3 Attorneys for Getrag Transmission Mfg., Tower Auto and 4 Meridian Auto 5 One Detroit Center 5 One Detroit Center 6 500 Woodward Avenue 7 Suite 2700 8 Detroit, MI 48226 9 Petroit, MI 48226 9 BY: SCOTT T. SEABOLT, ESQ. 10 BY: SCOTT T. SEABOLT, ESQ. 11 SILLER WILK LLP 12 LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP 13 Attorneys for The Center for Auto Safety, Limited 14 Objectors 1 SCHNADER HARRISON SEGAL & LEWIS 3 Attorneys for Ad Hoc Committee of Chrysl 4 1000 Market Street 5 Suite 3600 6 Philadelphia, PA 19103 7 BY: BARRY E. BRESSLER, ESQ. 9 RICHARD A. BARKASY, ESQ. 10 SILLER WILK LLP 11 SILLER WILK LLP 12 Attorneys for Certain Affected Dealers 13 Attorneys for The Center for Auto Safety, Limited 14 Objectors 14 New York, NY 10017	
Attorneys for Getrag Transmission Mfg., Tower Auto and Meridian Auto  One Detroit Center  Suite 2700  Detroit, MI 48226  BY: SCOTT T. SEABOLT, ESQ.  BY: SCOTT T. SEABOLT, ESQ.  LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP  Attorneys for Ad Hoc Committee of Chrysl  Attorneys for Ad Hoc Committee of Chrysl  Build 1000 Market Street  Suite 3600  Philadelphia, PA 19103  BY: BARRY E. BRESSLER, ESQ.  RICHARD A. BARKASY, ESQ.  SILLER WILK LLP  Attorneys for Certain Affected Dealers  Attorneys for The Center for Auto Safety, Limited  Attorneys for Third Avenue	
4 Meridian Auto 5 One Detroit Center 5 Suite 3600 6 500 Woodward Avenue 6 Philadelphia, PA 19103 7 Suite 2700 8 Detroit, MI 48226 9 RICHARD A. BARKASY, ESQ. 9 RICHARD A. BARKASY, ESQ. 10 BY: SCOTT T. SEABOLT, ESQ. 11 SILLER WILK LLP 12 LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP 13 Attorneys for The Center for Auto Safety, Limited 13 675 Third Avenue	er Victims
4 Meridian Auto 5 One Detroit Center 5 Suite 3600 6 500 Woodward Avenue 6 Philadelphia, PA 19103 7 Suite 2700 8 Detroit, MI 48226 9 RICHARD A. BARKASY, ESQ. 10 BY: SCOTT T. SEABOLT, ESQ. 11 12 LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP 12 Attorneys for Certain Affected Dealers 13 Attorneys for The Center for Auto Safety, Limited 4 1000 Market Street 5 Suite 3600 6 Philadelphia, PA 19103 7 8 BY: BARRY E. BRESSLER, ESQ. 9 RICHARD A. BARKASY, ESQ. 10 11 SILLER WILK LLP 12 Attorneys for Certain Affected Dealers 13 675 Third Avenue	
6 500 Woodward Avenue 6 Philadelphia, PA 19103 7 Suite 2700 7 8 Detroit, MI 48226 8 BY: BARRY E. BRESSLER, ESQ. 9 RICHARD A. BARKASY, ESQ. 10 BY: SCOTT T. SEABOLT, ESQ. 11 SILLER WILK LLP 12 LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP 13 Attorneys for The Center for Auto Safety, Limited 13 675 Third Avenue	
7 Suite 2700 7 8 Detroit, MI 48226 8 BY: BARRY E. BRESSLER, ESQ. 9 RICHARD A. BARKASY, ESQ. 10 BY: SCOTT T. SEABOLT, ESQ. 11 11 SILLER WILK LLP 12 LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP 13 Attorneys for The Center for Auto Safety, Limited 13 675 Third Avenue	
7 Suite 2700 7 8 Detroit, MI 48226 8 BY: BARRY E. BRESSLER, ESQ. 9 RICHARD A. BARKASY, ESQ. 10 BY: SCOTT T. SEABOLT, ESQ. 11 11 SILLER WILK LLP 12 LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP 13 Attorneys for The Center for Auto Safety, Limited 13 675 Third Avenue	
9 RICHARD A. BARKASY, ESQ. 10 BY: SCOTT T. SEABOLT, ESQ. 11 11 SILLER WILK LLP 12 LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP 13 Attorneys for The Center for Auto Safety, Limited 13 675 Third Avenue	
9 RICHARD A. BARKASY, ESQ. 10 BY: SCOTT T. SEABOLT, ESQ. 11 11 SILLER WILK LLP 12 LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP 13 Attorneys for The Center for Auto Safety, Limited 13 675 Third Avenue	
10 BY: SCOTT T. SEABOLT, ESQ. 11 11 SILLER WILK LLP 12 LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP 13 Attorneys for The Center for Auto Safety, Limited 14 SILLER WILK LLP 15 Attorneys for Certain Affected Dealers 16 675 Third Avenue	
12 LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP 13 Attorneys for Certain Affected Dealers 13 Attorneys for The Center for Auto Safety, Limited 13 675 Third Avenue	
13 Attorneys for The Center for Auto Safety, Limited 13 675 Third Avenue	
14 Objectors 14 New York, NY 10017	
15 Embarcadero Center West 15	
16 275 Battery Street 16 BY: ERIC J. SNYDER, ESQ.	
17 Suite 3000 17	
18 San Francisco, CA 94111 18 SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP	
19 Attorneys for JPMorgan Chase Bank, as Ag	ent
20 BY: SCOTT P. NEALEY, ESQ. 20 425 Lexington Avenue	
21 New York, NY 10017	
22	
23 BY: DAVID MACK, ESQ.	
24 PETER V. PANTALEO, ESQ.	
25 25	
10	12
1 2 MEYER, SUOZZI, ENGLISH & KLEIN, P.C. 1 2 SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LI	D
3 Attorneys for the Class Representatives of International 3 Attorneys for PBGC	1
4 Union, UAW, et al. v. Chrysler, LLC, Case No. 07-cv-14310 4 1221 Avenue of the Americas	
5 (E.D. Mich.)(Southern Division) 5 New York, NY 10020	
6 1350 Broadway 6	
7 Suite 501 7 BY: CAROLE NEVILLE, ESQ.	
8 New York, NY 10018 8	
9 9 SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.	
10 BY: EDWARD J. LOBELLO, ESQ. 10 Attorneys for Committee of Chrysler Affect	ed Dealers
11 221 East Fourth Street	
12 PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION 12 Suite 2900	
13 1200 K Street NW 13 Cincinnati, OH 45202	
14 Washington, DC 20005 14	
15 BY: STEPHEN D. LERNER, ESQ.	
16 BY: KIMBERLY E. NEUREITER, ESQ. 16 AMY L. BROWN, ESQ.	
17 ROBERT A. WOLF, ESQ.	
18 ROBINSON BROG LEINWALD GREENE GENOVESE & GLUCK P.C. 18 P. CASEY COSTON, ESQ. (TELEPHONIC	ALLY)
19 Attorneys for Performance Dodge, et al. 19 MARK RUEHLMANN, ESQ. (TELEPHON	′
20 1345 Avenue of the Americas 20	1
21 New York, NY 10105 21	
22	
23 BY: FRED B. RINGEL, ESQ. 23	
24 RUSSELL P. MCRORY, ESQ. 24	
25 25	
	13

	Ī		
1		1	
2	STEMBER FEINSTEIN DOYLE & PAYNE, LLC	2	WHITE & CASE LLP
3	Attorneys for the Class Representatives of International	3	Attorneys for the Indiana State Teachers Retirement Fund.
4	Union, UAW, et al. v. Chrysler, LLC, Case No. 07-cv-14310	4	Indiana State Police Pension Trust, and Indiana Major
5	(E.D. Mich.)(Southern Division)	5	Moves Construction Fund
6	1007 Mt. Royal Blvd.	6	1155 Avenue of the Americas
7	Pittsburgh, PA 15223	7	New York, NY 10036
8	-	8	·
9	BY: WILLIAM T. PAYNE, ESQ.	9	BY: GLENN M. KURTZ, ESQ.
10	_	10	IAN J. SILVERBRAND, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
11	STUTZMAN, BROMBERG, ESSERMAN & PLIFKA, P.C.	11	
12	Attorneys for Unsecured Committee Member Patricia	12	WHITE & CASE LLP
13	Pasquale, Tort Creditor	13	Attorneys for the Indiana State Teachers Retirement Fund
14	2323 Bryan Street	14	Indiana State Police Pension Trust, and Indiana Major
15	Suite 2200	15	Moves Construction Fund
16	Dallas, TX 75201	16	Wachovia Financial Center
17		17	Suite 4900
18	BY: SANDER L. ESSERMAN, ESQ.	18	200 South Biscayne Boulevard
19		19	Miami, FL 33131
20	SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP	20	·
21	Attorneys for Fiat and Purchaser	21	BY: THOMAS E. LAURIA, ESQ.
22	1888 Century Park East	22	JASON N. ZAKIA, ESQ.
23	Los Angeles, CA 90067	23	STEPHEN M. CORSE, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
24	-	24	
25	BY: HYDEE R. FELDSTEIN, ESQ.	25	
	14		16
		_	
1	CHILL WAN & CROMWELL LLD	1 2	WHITE & CASE LLP
2	SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP	3	Attorneys for the Indiana State Teachers Retirement Fund.
4	Attorneys for Fiat and Purchaser 125 Broad Street	4	Indiana State Police Pension Trust, and Indiana Major
5	New York, NY 10004	5	Moves Construction Fund
6	New Tolk, NT 10004	6	633 West Fifth Street
7	BY: JOHN L. WARDEN, ESQ.	7	Suite 1900
8	D1. JOHN E. WARDEN, ESQ.	8	Los Angeles, CA 90071
9	SUNSHINE, SLOTT & SUNSHINE, P.C.	9	Los / Migeles, C/1 /00/1
10	Attorneys for CDJ LLC of Little Rock, AR Objecting		BY: RONALD K. GORSICH, ESQ.
11	Creditors	11	(TELEPHONICALLY)
12	150 West 25th Street	12	
13	Suite 503	13	ARNALL, GOLDEN & GREGORY, LLP
14	New York, NY 10001	14	Attorneys for Verizon Communications, Inc.
15		15	171 17th Street NW
16	BY: DONALD M. SUNSHINE, ESQ.	16	Suite 2100
17	, 20	17	Atlanta, GA 30363
18	VEDDER PRICE P.C.	18	
19	Attorneys for Export Development Canada (EDC)	19	BY: DARRYL S. LADDIN, ESQ.
20	1633 Broadway	20	(TELEPHONICALLY)
21	47th Floor	21	
22	New York, NY 10019	22	
23		23	
24	BY: ERIN ZAVALKOFF-BABEJ, ESQ.	24	
	BY: ERIN ZAVALKOFF-BABEJ, ESQ.	24 25	

			1
1		1	
2	BODMAN LLP	2	GORDON SILVER, ATTORNEYS AT LAW
3	Attorneys for Comerica Bank, et al.	3	Attorneys for FT Automotive II, LLC and FT Automotive
4	6th Floor at Ford Field	4	IV, LLC
5	1901 South Antoine Street	5	3960 Howard Hughes Parkway
6	Detroit, MI 48226	6	Ninth Floor
7	Double, 1411 40220	7	Las Vegas, NV 89169
8	BY: RALPH MCDOWELL, ESQ.	8	,
9	(TELEPHONICALLY)	9	BY: WILLIAM M. NOALL, ESQ.
10	(,	10	(TELEPHONICALLY)
11	BUSH SEYFERTH & PAIGE PLLC	11	
12	Attorneys for Automotive Components Holdings	12	GOULSTON & STORRS P.C.
13	3001 West Big Beaver Road	13	Attorneys for Bellembert, LLC
14	Suite 600	14	400 Atlantic Avenue
15	Troy, MI 48084	15	Boston, MA 02110
16		16	
17	BY: KYLA K. STEPP, ESQ.	17	BY: CHRISTINE D. LYNCH, ESQ.
18	(TELEPHONICALLY)	18	(TELEPHONICALLY)
19		19	
20		20	
21		21	
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	
25		25	
	18		20
1		1	WONED AND THE SCHOOL PET A COMPANY
2	DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL	2	HONIGMAN MILLER SCHWARTZ & COHEN LLP
3	Attorneys for Ford Motor Company	3 4	Attorneys for Valeo, Inc. and WeBridge
4	450 Lexington Avenue	5	2290 First National Building 660 Woodward Avenue
5	New York, NY 10017	6	Detroit, MI 48226
6	DV. DADDENIC VIEIN ECO	7	Detroit, wii 48220
8	BY: DARREN S. KLEIN, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)	8	BY: E. TODD SABLE, ESQ.
9	(TELEPHONICALLT)	9	(TELEPHONICALLY)
10	FROST BROWN TODD, LLC	10	(TEEEI HOME/EEE1)
11	Attorneys for AK Steele	11	LAW OFFICE OF ROBERT N. BASSEL
12	Lexington Financial Center	12	Attorneys for Eberspaecher
13	250 West Main Street	13	201 West Big Beaver Road
14	Suite 2800	14	Suite 60
15	Lexington, KY 40507	15	Troy, MI 48084
16	20mgcon, 111 10007	16	•
17	BY: ROBERT V. SARTIN, ESQ.	17	BY: ROBERT N. BASSEL, ESQ.
18	(TELEPHONICALLY)	18	(TELEPHONICALLY)
19	·	19	
20		20	
21		21	
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	
25		25	
	19		21

1				
MCCARTHY & WHITE, PLLC	1		1	
Attorneys for Dehmary Power & Light Company and Peper   Holdings, Inc.   1751 Pinnacle Drive   5   5   25 East Gray Street   Columbus, OH 43216   5   5   5   1751 Pinnacle Drive   5   5   1751 Pinnacle Drive   5   5   5   1751 Pinnacle Drive   5   1751 Pinnacle Pinnacle Pinnacle Pinnacle Drive   5   1751 Pinnacle Pin		MCCARTHY & WHITE. PLLC		VORYS SATER SEYMOUR & PEASELLP
Holdings, Inc.	3			
1751 Pinnacle Drive	4		-	•
Suite 1115	5	-		
McLean, VA 22102  BY: WILLIAM D. WHITE, ESQ.  CTELEPHONICALLY)  DAILY WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP  Attorneys for Robert Bosch LLC  OF 1285 Avenue of the Americas  New York, NY 10019  BY: MARTHEW R. SCHECK, ESQ.  BY: MATTHEW R. SCHECK, ESQ.  CTELEPHONICALLY)  BY: MATTHEW R. SCHECK, ESQ.  CTELEPHONICALLY)  BY: MATTHEW R. SCHECK, ESQ.  CTELEPHONICALLY)  BY: STEPHEN B. GROW  CTELEPHONICALLY)  BY: STEPHEN B. GROW  CTELEPHONICALLY)  CTELEPHONICALLY)  CTELEPHONICALLY)  CTELEPHONICALLY)  BY: STEPHEN B. GROW  CTELEPHONICALLY)  CTELEPHONICALLY		Suite 1115		Columbus, OH 43210
B BY: WILLIAM D. WHITE, ESQ.  OF THELPHONICALLY)  PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP  PAUL, WEISS, RIFKEND  PAUL, WEISS, WEISS, WEISS, ALL CLE  PAUL, WEISS, RIFKEND  PAUL, WEISS, WEISS, WEISS, ALL CLE  PAUL, WEISS, WEISS, ALL  PAUL, WEISS, WEISS, WEISS, ALL  PAUL, WEISS, WEISS, WEISS, AL	7			RV. TIFEANY S CORR ESO
9 BY: WILLIAMD, WHITE, ESQ. 10 TELEPHONICALLY) 11 PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP 12 PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP 13 1285 Avenue of the Americas 13 11Lyon Street NW 14 New York, NY 10019 15 15 16 BY: MATTHEW R. SCHECK, ESQ. 16 BY: MATTHEW R. SCHECK, ESQ. 17 (TELEPHONICALLY) 18 19 19 19 19 20 20 21 20 21 22 20 22 23 24 25 25 22 27 24 28 25 22 29 24 29 20 21 20 21 21 20 21 22 20 22 23 3 4tomory for KGF Trading 24 23 South Wasker Drive 25 Suite 6600 26 Chicago, IL 60606 27 Chicago, IL 60606 28 BY: JASON M. TORF, ESQ. 29 (TELEPHONICALLY) 20 10 PROCEEDINGS 21 THE COURT: Please be seated. All right. Excuse me. Before we begin, a couple of announcements. The rooms that were made available yeaterday, 606, 607, 622, will be available again today. But I ask that any of the parties using them please try to clean up as murch as you can before you leave because at least a few of them were left with a great deal of rubbish. Le cetera, left behind. 21 Altorneys for the State of Connecticut 22 Altorneys for the State of Connecticut 23 Office of the Attorney General 24 SETHERONICALLY) 25 Elm Street 26 Harford, CT 06106 27 Room of the Attorney General 28 BY: MARTHEW FITZSIMMONS, AUSA 29 Harford, CT 06106 30 Hardy State State State State State State State In the interior, North CTP, 17 State Court of the Attorney General 34 BY: MARTHEW FITZSIMMONS, AUSA 35 Harford, CT 06106 36 PY: MARTHEW FITZSIMMONS, AUSA 36 PY: MARTHEW FITZSIMMONS, AUSA 37 Honor, an entry of the final order. The few unresolved objections that we addressed at the interin lane and paperarance earlier, we've agreed to preserve the Verizon rights to make an adpearance earlier, we've agreed to preserve the Verizon rights to make an adpearance earlier, we've agreed to preserve the Verizon rights to make an adpearance earlier, we've agreed to preserve the Verizon rights to make an adpearance earlier, we've agreed to preserve the Verizon rights to make an adpearance earlier, we've agreed to preserve the Verizon rights to make an adpearance	8	,		=
TELEPHONICALLY)  THE PAUL WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP  THE PAUL WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON CHARTON WHARTON LLP  THE PAUL WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON CHARTON LLP  THE PAUL WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON LLP  THE PAUL WEISS, RIFKIND CHARTON LLP  THE PAUL WEISS, RIFKIND		BY: WILLIAM D WHITE ESO		(TELETHONICALLT)
PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP   12   200 Fifth Third Center   13   1285 Avenue of the Americas   13   11   Lyon Street NW   14   11   Lyon Street NW   14   15   15   15   15   15   15   15				WARNER MORCROSS & HIDD LLP
PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP 1285 Avenue of the Americas 13 111 Lyon Street NW 14 New York, NY 10019 15 15 16 BY: MATTHEW R. SCHECK, ESQ. 16 BY: STEPHEN B. GROW 17 (TELEPHONICALLY) 18 19 19 19 20 20 21 21 22 22 22 22 23 24 24 25 25 22 26 27 27 28 SCHIFF HARDIN LLP 29 SCHIFF HARDIN LLP 20 Attomeys for KGF Trading 21 Attomeys for KGF Trading 23 Attomeys for KGF Trading 23 South Wacker Drive 24 233 South Wacker Drive 25 Suite 6600 26 Chicago, IL 66066 27 BY: JASON M. TORF, ESQ. 28 BY: JASON M. TORF, ESQ. 39 (TELEPHONICALLY) 40 BY: JASON M. TORF, ESQ. 41 STATE OF CONNECTICUT ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE 42 Automeys for the State of Connecticut 43 Office of the Attomey General 44 STATE OF CONNECTICUT ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE 45 Hartford, CT 06106 46 BY: MATTHEW FITZSIMMONS, AUSA 47 MR. OSWALD: Yes, Your Honor. 48 BY: MATTHEW FITZSIMMONS, AUSA 49 MR. OSWALD: Good moming, Your Honor. I'm going to be subjected and the work of the state of Connecticut 40 MR. OSWALD: Good moming, Your Honor. I'm going to be subjected and the utilities motion first this morning? 41 MR. OSWALD: Good moming, Your Honor. I'm going to be subjected and the subjection, and the utilities motion from the Unitities motion from the Unities motion from the Unitities motion from the Unitities motion from the Unitities motion from the Unitities motion from the United of the Court, I think, cartified way with the Demontry of the		(IZZZI IIOI IIO. IZZI)		
13 1285 Avenue of the Americas 14 New York, NY 10019 15 15 16 BY: MATTHEW R. SCHECK, ESQ. 16 BY: MATTHEW R. SCHECK, ESQ. 17 (TELEPHONICALLY) 18 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19		PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP		•
New York, NY 10019  14				
15 16 17 18 18 19 19 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 25 20 21 2 SCHIFF HARDIN LLP 3 Attorneys for KGF Trading 4 233 South Wacker Drive 5 Suite 6600 6 Chicago, IL 60606 6 Chicago, IL 60606 6 Chicago, IL 60606 6 Suite 6600 7 8 BY: JASON M. TORF, ESQ. 9 TRIE COURT: Please be seated. All right. Excuse me. Please try to clean up as much as you can before you leave because at least a few of them were left with a great deal of rubbish, et cetera, left behind. 9 TRIELEPHONICALLY) 9 And It hink we begin the utilities motion first this morning? 11 MR. OSWALD: Yes, Your Honor. 12 Attorneys for the State of Connecticut 13 Office of the Attorney General 14 STATE OF CONNECTICUT ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE 15 Hartford, CT 06106 16 Togul Segal & Segal. This is on the utilities motion, Your 17 BY: MATTHEW FIZSIMMONS, AUSA 17 Honor, an entry of the final order. The few unresolved objections that we addressed at the interim hearing have all 18 objections that we addressed are interim hearing have all 20 submitted to the Court, I think, carlier today with the Cellarary With respect to the Verizon rights to make an adequate assurance of preserve the Verizon rights to make an adequate assurance preserve the Verizon rights to make an adequate assurance preserve the Verizon rights to make an adequate assurance				
16 BY: STEPHEN B. GROW (TELEPHONICALLY) 17 (TELEPHONICALLY) 18 19 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 22 24 25 22 24 25 26 22 22 27 26 25 22 22 28 29 24 25 25 22 24 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20				Grand Rapids, WI 47505
17 (TELEPHONICALLY) 18   18   18   18   19   19   19   19		BY: MATTHEW R. SCHECK, ESO.		RY: STEPHEN B GROW
18		_		
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 27 28 29 20 20 21 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 21 21 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 21 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 21 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 21 21 21 22 22 23 24 24 25 25 26 27 27 28 29 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20		,		(ILLLI HOMEALLI)
20 21 22 23 24 24 25 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 27 28 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 22 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 22 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 21 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 22 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 21 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20				
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20				
22 23 24 25 27 28 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29	21			
23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 29 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 25 26 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 26 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 25 26 26 27 28 28 29 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 25 26 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	22			
24 25 26 27 28 29 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	23			
25 26 27 28 29 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 24 25 26 26 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 24 25 26 26 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 21 21 21 22 23 24 24 25 26 26 27 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 21 22 23 24 24 25 26 26 27 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 21 22 23 24 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 29 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 21 22 23 24 24 25 26 26 27 28 28 28 29 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 24 25 26 26 27 28 28 28 29 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 21 22 22 23 24 24 25 26 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 24 25 26 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 24 25 26 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	24			
22 PROCEEDINGS  SCHIFF HARDIN LLP  SCHIFF HARDIN LLP  Attorneys for KGF Trading  Before we begin, a couple of announcements. The rooms that were made available yesterday, 606, 607, 622, will be available again today. But I ask that any of the parties using them please try to clean up as much as you can before you leave because at least a few of them were left with a great deal of rubbish, et cetera, left behind.  BY: JASON M. TORF, ESQ.  (TELEPHONICALLY)  STATE OF CONNECTICUT ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE:  Attorneys for the State of Connecticut  THE COURT: Mr. Togut.  MR. OSWALD: Yes, Your Honor.  Attorneys for the State of Connecticut  THE COURT: Mr. Togut?  MR. OSWALD: Good morning, Your Honor. I'm going to be very brief today. We have today on the — Frank Oswald,  Fogus Segal. This is on the utilities motion, Your  Honor, an entry of the final order. The few unresolved objections that we addressed at the interim hearing have all been resolved. We have a separate stipulation I know was submitted to the Court, I think, earlier today with the Delmarva/Pepco entities, was reviewed with the creditors' committee counsel. And that takes care of that item.  With respect to the Verizon objection, and I think I heard Mr. Laddin make an appearance earlier, we've agreed to preserve the Verizon rights to make an adequate assurance	25			
2 SCHIFF HARDIN LLP 3 Attorneys for KGF Trading 4 233 South Wacker Drive 5 Suite 6600 6 Chicago, IL 60606 6 Chicago, IL 60606 7 BY: JASON M. TORF, ESQ. 7 (TELEPHONICALLY) 9 And I think we begin the utilities motion first this morning? 11 STATE OF CONNECTICUT ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE 12 Attorneys for the State of Connecticut 13 Office of the Attorney General 15 Elm Street 16 Hartford, CT 06106 17 BY: MATTHEW FITZSIMMONS, AUSA 17 Honor, an entry of the final order. The few unresolved 18 (TELEPHONICALLY) 19 Indiana Separate stipulation I know was submitted to the Court, 1 think, earlier today with the Cell and the Court, 1 think, earlier today with the Cell and the Court, 1 think, earlier today with the Cell and the Cell and the Court, 1 think, earlier today we've agreed to preserve the Verizon rights to make an adequate assurance		22		24
2 SCHIFF HARDIN LLP 3 Attorneys for KGF Trading 4 233 South Wacker Drive 5 Suite 6600 6 Chicago, IL 60606 6 Chicago, IL 60606 7 BY: JASON M. TORF, ESQ. 7 (TELEPHONICALLY) 9 And I think we begin the utilities motion first this morning? 11 STATE OF CONNECTICUT ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE 12 Attorneys for the State of Connecticut 13 Office of the Attorney General 15 Elm Street 16 Hartford, CT 06106 17 BY: MATTHEW FITZSIMMONS, AUSA 17 Honor, an entry of the final order. The few unresolved 18 (TELEPHONICALLY) 19 Indiana Separate stipulation I know was submitted to the Court, 1 think, earlier today with the Cell and the Court, 1 think, earlier today with the Cell and the Court, 1 think, earlier today with the Cell and the Cell and the Court, 1 think, earlier today we've agreed to preserve the Verizon rights to make an adequate assurance				
Attorneys for KGF Trading  3 Before we begin, a couple of announcements. The rooms that 4 233 South Wacker Drive 4 were made available yesterday, 606, 607, 622, will be available 5 Suite 6600 5 again today. But I ask that any of the parties using them 6 Chicago, IL 60606 6 Chicago, IL 60606 7 because at least a few of them were left with a great deal of 8 BY: JASON M. TORF, ESQ. 8 rubbish, et cetera, left behind. 9 (TELEPHONICALLY) 9 And I think we begin the utilities motion first this 10 morning? 11 STATE OF CONNECTICUT ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE 12 Attorneys for the State of Connecticut 13 Office of the Attorney General 14 S5 Elm Street 14 MR. OSWALD: Yes, Your Honor. I'm going to 15 Hartford, CT 06106 15 be very brief today. We have today on the Frank Oswald, 16 Togut Segal & Segal. This is on the utilities motion, Your 17 BY: MATTHEW FITZSIMMONS, AUSA 17 Honor, an entry of the final order. The few unresolved 18 (TELEPHONICALLY) 19 been resolved. We have a separate stipulation I know was 20 submitted to the Court, I think, earlier today with the 21 Delmarva/Pepco entities, was reviewed with the creditors' 22 committee counsel. And that takes care of that item. 23 With respect to the Verizon objection, and I think I 24 heard Mr. Laddin make an appearance earlier, we've agreed to 25 preserve the Verizon rights to make an adequate assurance		activity in positive p		
4 233 South Wacker Drive 5 Suite 6600 6 Chicago, IL 60606 6 Chicago, IL 60606 7 Please try to clean up as much as you can before you leave 6 because at least a few of them were left with a great deal of 7 because at least a few of them were left with a great deal of 8 BY: JASON M. TORF, ESQ. 8 rubbish, et cetera, left behind. 9 (TELEPHONICALLY) 9 And I think we begin the utilities motion first this 10 morning? 11 STATE OF CONNECTICUT ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE 11 MR. OSWALD: Yes, Your Honor. 12 Attorneys for the State of Connecticut 13 Office of the Attorney General 14 55 Elm Street 14 MR. OSWALD: Good morning, Your Honor. I'm going to 15 Hartford, CT 06106 15 be very brief today. We have today on the — Frank Oswald, 16 Togut Segal & Segal. This is on the utilities motion, Your 17 BY: MATTHEW FITZSIMMONS, AUSA 17 Honor, an entry of the final order. The few unresolved 18 (TELEPHONICALLY) 18 objections that we addressed at the interim hearing have all 19 been resolved. We have a separate stipulation I know was 20 submitted to the Court, I think, earlier today with the 21 Delmarva/Pepco entities, was reviewed with the creditors' 22 committee counsel. And that takes care of that item. 23 With respect to the Verizon objection, and I think I 24 heard Mr. Laddin make an appearance earlier, we've agreed to 25 preserve the Verizon rights to make an adequate assurance				_
5 Suite 6600 6 Chicago, IL 60606 7 Phease try to clean up as much as you can before you leave because at least a few of them were left with a great deal of rubbish, et cetera, left behind. 9 (TELEPHONICALLY) 9 And I think we begin the utilities motion first this morning? 11 STATE OF CONNECTICUT ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE 11 MR. OSWALD: Yes, Your Honor. 12 Attorneys for the State of Connecticut 12 MS. BALL: Mr. Togut. 13 Office of the Attorney General 13 THE COURT: Mr. Togut? 14 55 Elm Street 14 MR. OSWALD: Good morning, Your Honor. I'm going to be very brief today. We have today on the Frank Oswald, 16 Togut Segal & Segal. This is on the utilities motion, Your Honor, an entry of the final order. The few unresolved objections that we addressed at the interim hearing have all been resolved. We have a separate stipulation I know was submitted to the Court, I think, earlier today with the 21 Delmarva/Pepco entities, was reviewed with the creditors' committee counsel. And that takes care of that item. 23 With respect to the Verizon objection, and I think I heard Mr. Laddin make an appearance earlier, we've agreed to preserve the Verizon rights to make an adequate assurance		-		•
6 Chicago, IL 60606 7				
7 because at least a few of them were left with a great deal of 8 BY: JASON M. TORF, ESQ. 9 (TELEPHONICALLY) 9 And I think we begin the utilities motion first this 10 morning? 11 STATE OF CONNECTICUT ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE 12 Attorneys for the State of Connecticut 13 Office of the Attorney General 14 55 Elm Street 15 Hartford, CT 06106 16 15 be very brief today. We have today on the Frank Oswald, 16 Togut Segal & Segal. This is on the utilities motion, Your 17 BY: MATTHEW FITZSIMMONS, AUSA 18 (TELEPHONICALLY) 19 been resolved. We have a separate stipulation I know was 20 submitted to the Court, I think, earlier today with the 21 Delmarva/Pepco entities, was reviewed with the creditors' 22 committee counsel. And that takes care of that item. 23 With respect to the Verizon objection, and I think I 24 heard Mr. Laddin make an appearance earlier, we've agreed to 25 preserve the Verizon rights to make an adequate assurance				
8 BY: JASON M. TORF, ESQ. 9 (TELEPHONICALLY) 9 And I think we begin the utilities motion first this 10 morning?  11 STATE OF CONNECTICUT ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE 11 MR. OSWALD: Yes, Your Honor. 12 Attorneys for the State of Connecticut 13 Office of the Attorney General 14 55 Elm Street 14 MR. OSWALD: Good morning, Your Honor. I'm going to 15 Hartford, CT 06106 15 be very brief today. We have today on the Frank Oswald, 16 Togut Segal & Segal. This is on the utilities motion, Your 17 BY: MATTHEW FITZSIMMONS, AUSA 17 Honor, an entry of the final order. The few unresolved 18 (TELEPHONICALLY) 19 been resolved. We have a separate stipulation I know was 20 submitted to the Court, I think, earlier today with the 21 Delmarva/Pepco entities, was reviewed with the creditors' 22 committee counsel. And that takes care of that item. 23 With respect to the Verizon objection, and I think I 24 heard Mr. Laddin make an appearance earlier, we've agreed to 25 preserve the Verizon rights to make an adequate assurance		Chicago, il 60606		
9 (TELEPHONICALLY) 9 And I think we begin the utilities motion first this 10 morning?  11 STATE OF CONNECTICUT ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE 11 MR. OSWALD: Yes, Your Honor. 12 Attorneys for the State of Connecticut 13 Office of the Attorney General 14 55 Elm Street 14 MR. OSWALD: Good morning, Your Honor. I'm going to 15 Hartford, CT 06106 15 be very brief today. We have today on the Frank Oswald, 16 Togut Segal & Segal. This is on the utilities motion, Your 17 BY: MATTHEW FITZSIMMONS, AUSA 17 Honor, an entry of the final order. The few unresolved 18 (TELEPHONICALLY) 18 objections that we addressed at the interim hearing have all 19 been resolved. We have a separate stipulation I know was 20 submitted to the Court, I think, earlier today with the 21 Delmarva/Pepco entities, was reviewed with the creditors' 22 committee counsel. And that takes care of that item. 23 With respect to the Verizon objection, and I think I 24 heard Mr. Laddin make an appearance earlier, we've agreed to 25 preserve the Verizon rights to make an adequate assurance		DV: IASONIM TODE ESO		-
10 morning?  11 STATE OF CONNECTICUT ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE 11 MR. OSWALD: Yes, Your Honor.  12 Attorneys for the State of Connecticut 12 MS. BALL: Mr. Togut.  13 Office of the Attorney General 13 THE COURT: Mr. Togut?  14 55 Elm Street 14 MR. OSWALD: Good morning, Your Honor. I'm going to 15 Hartford, CT 06106 15 be very brief today. We have today on the Frank Oswald, 16 Togut Segal & Segal. This is on the utilities motion, Your 17 BY: MATTHEW FITZSIMMONS, AUSA 17 Honor, an entry of the final order. The few unresolved 18 (TELEPHONICALLY) 18 objections that we addressed at the interim hearing have all 19 been resolved. We have a separate stipulation I know was 20 submitted to the Court, I think, earlier today with the 21 Delmarva/Pepco entities, was reviewed with the creditors' 22 committee counsel. And that takes care of that item. 23 With respect to the Verizon objection, and I think I 24 heard Mr. Laddin make an appearance earlier, we've agreed to 25 preserve the Verizon rights to make an adequate assurance				
STATE OF CONNECTICUT ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE  Attorneys for the State of Connecticut  Office of the Attorney General  THE COURT: Mr. Togut.  THE COURT: Mr. Togut?  MR. OSWALD: Good morning, Your Honor. I'm going to be very brief today. We have today on the Frank Oswald,  Togut Segal & Segal. This is on the utilities motion, Your  BY: MATTHEW FITZSIMMONS, AUSA  TOGUT Segal & Segal. This is on the utilities motion, Your  Honor, an entry of the final order. The few unresolved  Objections that we addressed at the interim hearing have all  been resolved. We have a separate stipulation I know was  submitted to the Court, I think, earlier today with the  Delmarva/Pepco entities, was reviewed with the creditors'  committee counsel. And that takes care of that item.  With respect to the Verizon objection, and I think I  heard Mr. Laddin make an appearance earlier, we've agreed to  preserve the Verizon rights to make an adequate assurance		(TELEFHONICALLI)		
Attorneys for the State of Connecticut  12 MS. BALL: Mr. Togut.  13 Office of the Attorney General  14 55 Elm Street  14 MR. OSWALD: Good morning, Your Honor. I'm going to MR. OSWALD: Good morning, Your Honor.		STATE OF CONNECTICUT ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE		-
13 Office of the Attorney General 14 55 Elm Street 15 Hartford, CT 06106 16 17 Degrate Segal & Segal. This is on the utilities motion, Your 17 BY: MATTHEW FITZSIMMONS, AUSA 18 (TELEPHONICALLY) 19 19 Deen resolved. We have a separate stipulation I know was 20 20 submitted to the Court, I think, earlier today with the 21 Delmarva/Pepco entities, was reviewed with the creditors' 22 committee counsel. And that takes care of that item. 23 With respect to the Verizon objection, and I think I 24 heard Mr. Laddin make an appearance earlier, we've agreed to 25 preserve the Verizon rights to make an adequate assurance				·
14 MR. OSWALD: Good morning, Your Honor. I'm going to 15 Hartford, CT 06106 15 be very brief today. We have today on the Frank Oswald, 16 Togut Segal & Segal. This is on the utilities motion, Your 17 BY: MATTHEW FITZSIMMONS, AUSA 17 Honor, an entry of the final order. The few unresolved 18 (TELEPHONICALLY) 18 objections that we addressed at the interim hearing have all 19 been resolved. We have a separate stipulation I know was 20 20 submitted to the Court, I think, earlier today with the 21 Delmarva/Pepco entities, was reviewed with the creditors' 22 committee counsel. And that takes care of that item. 23 With respect to the Verizon objection, and I think I 24 heard Mr. Laddin make an appearance earlier, we've agreed to 25 preserve the Verizon rights to make an adequate assurance		•		S
Hartford, CT 06106  BY: MATTHEW FITZSIMMONS, AUSA  Togut Segal & Segal. This is on the utilities motion, Your  Honor, an entry of the final order. The few unresolved  been resolved. We have a separate stipulation I know was  submitted to the Court, I think, earlier today with the  Delmarva/Pepco entities, was reviewed with the creditors'  committee counsel. And that takes care of that item.  With respect to the Verizon objection, and I think I  heard Mr. Laddin make an appearance earlier, we've agreed to  preserve the Verizon rights to make an adequate assurance		•		-
16 Togut Segal & Segal. This is on the utilities motion, Your 17 BY: MATTHEW FITZSIMMONS, AUSA 18 (TELEPHONICALLY) 19 been resolved. We have a separate stipulation I know was 20 submitted to the Court, I think, earlier today with the 21 Delmarva/Pepco entities, was reviewed with the creditors' 22 committee counsel. And that takes care of that item. 23 With respect to the Verizon objection, and I think I 24 heard Mr. Laddin make an appearance earlier, we've agreed to 25 preserve the Verizon rights to make an adequate assurance	1 ⊥4			common man, rour month going to
BY: MATTHEW FITZSIMMONS, AUSA  17 Honor, an entry of the final order. The few unresolved  18 objections that we addressed at the interim hearing have all  19 been resolved. We have a separate stipulation I know was  20 submitted to the Court, I think, earlier today with the  21 Delmarva/Pepco entities, was reviewed with the creditors'  22 committee counsel. And that takes care of that item.  23 With respect to the Verizon objection, and I think I  24 heard Mr. Laddin make an appearance earlier, we've agreed to  25 preserve the Verizon rights to make an adequate assurance			15	
18 (TELEPHONICALLY)  18 objections that we addressed at the interim hearing have all  19 been resolved. We have a separate stipulation I know was  20 submitted to the Court, I think, earlier today with the  21 Delmarva/Pepco entities, was reviewed with the creditors'  22 committee counsel. And that takes care of that item.  23 With respect to the Verizon objection, and I think I  24 heard Mr. Laddin make an appearance earlier, we've agreed to  25 preserve the Verizon rights to make an adequate assurance	15			be very brief today. We have today on the Frank Oswald,
19 been resolved. We have a separate stipulation I know was 20 submitted to the Court, I think, earlier today with the 21 Delmarva/Pepco entities, was reviewed with the creditors' 22 committee counsel. And that takes care of that item. 23 With respect to the Verizon objection, and I think I 24 beard Mr. Laddin make an appearance earlier, we've agreed to 25 preserve the Verizon rights to make an adequate assurance	15 16	Hartford, CT 06106	16	be very brief today. We have today on the Frank Oswald, Togut Segal & Segal. This is on the utilities motion, Your
20 submitted to the Court, I think, earlier today with the 21 Delmarva/Pepco entities, was reviewed with the creditors' 22 committee counsel. And that takes care of that item. 23 With respect to the Verizon objection, and I think I 24 heard Mr. Laddin make an appearance earlier, we've agreed to 25 preserve the Verizon rights to make an adequate assurance	15 16 17	Hartford, CT 06106  BY: MATTHEW FITZSIMMONS, AUSA	16 17	be very brief today. We have today on the Frank Oswald, Togut Segal & Segal. This is on the utilities motion, Your Honor, an entry of the final order. The few unresolved
21 Delmarva/Pepco entities, was reviewed with the creditors' 22 committee counsel. And that takes care of that item. 23 With respect to the Verizon objection, and I think I 24 heard Mr. Laddin make an appearance earlier, we've agreed to 25 preserve the Verizon rights to make an adequate assurance	15 16 17 18	Hartford, CT 06106  BY: MATTHEW FITZSIMMONS, AUSA	16 17 18	be very brief today. We have today on the Frank Oswald, Togut Segal & Segal. This is on the utilities motion, Your Honor, an entry of the final order. The few unresolved objections that we addressed at the interim hearing have all
22 committee counsel. And that takes care of that item. 23 With respect to the Verizon objection, and I think I 24 heard Mr. Laddin make an appearance earlier, we've agreed to 25 preserve the Verizon rights to make an adequate assurance	15 16 17 18 19	Hartford, CT 06106  BY: MATTHEW FITZSIMMONS, AUSA	16 17 18 19	be very brief today. We have today on the Frank Oswald, Togut Segal & Segal. This is on the utilities motion, Your Honor, an entry of the final order. The few unresolved objections that we addressed at the interim hearing have all been resolved. We have a separate stipulation I know was
23 With respect to the Verizon objection, and I think I 24 heard Mr. Laddin make an appearance earlier, we've agreed to 25 preserve the Verizon rights to make an adequate assurance	15 16 17 18 19 20	Hartford, CT 06106  BY: MATTHEW FITZSIMMONS, AUSA	16 17 18 19 20	be very brief today. We have today on the Frank Oswald, Togut Segal & Segal. This is on the utilities motion, Your Honor, an entry of the final order. The few unresolved objections that we addressed at the interim hearing have all been resolved. We have a separate stipulation I know was submitted to the Court, I think, earlier today with the
heard Mr. Laddin make an appearance earlier, we've agreed to preserve the Verizon rights to make an adequate assurance	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Hartford, CT 06106  BY: MATTHEW FITZSIMMONS, AUSA	16 17 18 19 20 21	be very brief today. We have today on the Frank Oswald, Togut Segal & Segal. This is on the utilities motion, Your Honor, an entry of the final order. The few unresolved objections that we addressed at the interim hearing have all been resolved. We have a separate stipulation I know was submitted to the Court, I think, earlier today with the Delmarva/Pepco entities, was reviewed with the creditors'
25 preserve the Verizon rights to make an adequate assurance	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Hartford, CT 06106  BY: MATTHEW FITZSIMMONS, AUSA	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	be very brief today. We have today on the Frank Oswald, Togut Segal & Segal. This is on the utilities motion, Your Honor, an entry of the final order. The few unresolved objections that we addressed at the interim hearing have all been resolved. We have a separate stipulation I know was submitted to the Court, I think, earlier today with the Delmarva/Pepco entities, was reviewed with the creditors' committee counsel. And that takes care of that item.
_ ^	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Hartford, CT 06106  BY: MATTHEW FITZSIMMONS, AUSA	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	be very brief today. We have today on the Frank Oswald, Togut Segal & Segal. This is on the utilities motion, Your Honor, an entry of the final order. The few unresolved objections that we addressed at the interim hearing have all been resolved. We have a separate stipulation I know was submitted to the Court, I think, earlier today with the Delmarva/Pepco entities, was reviewed with the creditors' committee counsel. And that takes care of that item. With respect to the Verizon objection, and I think I
	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Hartford, CT 06106  BY: MATTHEW FITZSIMMONS, AUSA	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	be very brief today. We have today on the Frank Oswald, Togut Segal & Segal. This is on the utilities motion, Your Honor, an entry of the final order. The few unresolved objections that we addressed at the interim hearing have all been resolved. We have a separate stipulation I know was submitted to the Court, I think, earlier today with the Delmarva/Pepco entities, was reviewed with the creditors' committee counsel. And that takes care of that item.  With respect to the Verizon objection, and I think I heard Mr. Laddin make an appearance earlier, we've agreed to

212-267-6868

1	request in the event that the Verizon contract which has been	1	(Debtors' Exhibit 35, papers relating to PBGC settlement, was
2	designated as an assumed contract is not ultimately assumed,	2	hereby marked for identification as of this date.)
3	assuming the sale is approved and closed.	3	MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, could I be furnished with a
4	With respect to the other objections, Your Honor,	4	copy of whatever exhibit was just marked and put in front of
5	agreements were reached in terms of the adequate assurance	5	the witness?
6	deposits, all with the consent and in consultation with the	6	MR. STEWART: We're trying to get that. I can give
7	creditors' committee. One item which has not been papered yet	7	you we have an extra copy but we're trying to get one as
8	is the Kokomo Gas and we agreed that we would carry this to the	8	well so that the Court can follow along. I represent, Your
9	next omnibus hearing date which, I understand, is June 18th.	9	Honor, we have marked for identification Defense Exhibit
10	But we do believe that we'll have that papered probably in the	10	Debtors' Exhibit 35, which are the papers relating to the
11	next few days so that would be for holding purposes only.	11	hearing on the motion for entry of an order authorizing what is
12	There was one additional adequate assurance request	12	known as the PBGC or the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation
13	made upon serving the interim order. That was by First Energy.	13	settlement. And we'll be referring to documents within that.
14	And that adequate assurance request was resolved earlier this	14	The exhibit itself, of course, is already in the court file.
15	week.	15	DIRECT EXAMINATION
16	Unless the Court has any questions, we would ask that	16	BY MR. STEWART:
17	the final order in the form that was previously submitted with	17	Q. Good morning, Mr. Chapman.
18	the motion be approved.	18	A. Good morning.
19	THE COURT: Does anyone else wish to be heard? All	19	Q. First of all, could you just give us your full name and
20	right. No further comment being heard, I'll grant the relief	20	your address?
21	as modified.	21	A. Full name, James Norris Chapman, and I live at 14 Alpine
22	MR. OSWALD: We'll submit that order.	22	Road, Greenwich, Connecticut, United States.
23	THE COURT: All right. I saw an order come in this	23	Q. Okay. Are you a member of the board of managers at
24	morning.	24	Chrysler, LLC?
25	MR. OSWALD: Oh, okay.	25	A. Yes.
	26		28
_	mun government and a second se	_	
1	THE COURT: Is that the most recent version?	1	
2	MR. OSWALD: Yes. That's the one.	2	of directors, is that correct?
2	MR. OSWALD: Yes. That's the one. THE COURT: All right.	2	of directors, is that correct?  A. Yes.
2 3 4	MR. OSWALD: Yes. That's the one.  THE COURT: All right.  MR. OSWALD: Thank you, Your Honor.	2 3 4	of directors, is that correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And
2 3 4 5	MR. OSWALD: Yes. That's the one.  THE COURT: All right.  MR. OSWALD: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Then let's	2 3 4 5	of directors, is that correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And  THE COURT: One second. Would you take the
2 3 4 5 6	MR. OSWALD: Yes. That's the one.  THE COURT: All right.  MR. OSWALD: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Then let's  it's a few minutes early but I think we can get started with	2 3 4 5	of directors, is that correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And  THE COURT: One second. Would you take the microphone and either move yourself closer to it or move it
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. OSWALD: Yes. That's the one.  THE COURT: All right.  MR. OSWALD: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Then let's  it's a few minutes early but I think we can get started with respect to the next witness.	2 3 4 5 6 7	of directors, is that correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And  THE COURT: One second. Would you take the microphone and either move yourself closer to it or move it closer to you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. OSWALD: Yes. That's the one.  THE COURT: All right.  MR. OSWALD: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Then let's  it's a few minutes early but I think we can get started with respect to the next witness.  MR. CULLEN: Yes, Your Honor. Our next witness will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of directors, is that correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And  THE COURT: One second. Would you take the microphone and either move yourself closer to it or move it closer to you?  THE WITNESS: Yeah. It's a little tight in here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. OSWALD: Yes. That's the one.  THE COURT: All right.  MR. OSWALD: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Then let's it's a few minutes early but I think we can get started with respect to the next witness.  MR. CULLEN: Yes, Your Honor. Our next witness will be Jim Chapman. My partner, Geoffrey Stewart, will be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of directors, is that correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And  THE COURT: One second. Would you take the microphone and either move yourself closer to it or move it closer to you?  THE WITNESS: Yeah. It's a little tight in here.  All right. Is that better?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. OSWALD: Yes. That's the one.  THE COURT: All right.  MR. OSWALD: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Then let's it's a few minutes early but I think we can get started with respect to the next witness.  MR. CULLEN: Yes, Your Honor. Our next witness will be Jim Chapman. My partner, Geoffrey Stewart, will be presenting Mr. Chapman.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of directors, is that correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And  THE COURT: One second. Would you take the microphone and either move yourself closer to it or move it closer to you?  THE WITNESS: Yeah. It's a little tight in here.  All right. Is that better?  THE COURT: Now just bend it down a bit.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. OSWALD: Yes. That's the one.  THE COURT: All right.  MR. OSWALD: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Then let's it's a few minutes early but I think we can get started with respect to the next witness.  MR. CULLEN: Yes, Your Honor. Our next witness will be Jim Chapman. My partner, Geoffrey Stewart, will be presenting Mr. Chapman.  MR. STEWART: Good morning, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of directors, is that correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And  THE COURT: One second. Would you take the microphone and either move yourself closer to it or move it closer to you?  THE WITNESS: Yeah. It's a little tight in here.  All right. Is that better?  THE COURT: Now just bend it down a bit.  THE WITNESS: How's that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. OSWALD: Yes. That's the one.  THE COURT: All right.  MR. OSWALD: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Then let's it's a few minutes early but I think we can get started with respect to the next witness.  MR. CULLEN: Yes, Your Honor. Our next witness will be Jim Chapman. My partner, Geoffrey Stewart, will be presenting Mr. Chapman.  MR. STEWART: Good morning, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Good morning. As Mr. Chapman	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of directors, is that correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And  THE COURT: One second. Would you take the microphone and either move yourself closer to it or move it closer to you?  THE WITNESS: Yeah. It's a little tight in here.  All right. Is that better?  THE COURT: Now just bend it down a bit.  THE WITNESS: How's that.  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. OSWALD: Yes. That's the one.  THE COURT: All right.  MR. OSWALD: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Then let's it's a few minutes early but I think we can get started with respect to the next witness.  MR. CULLEN: Yes, Your Honor. Our next witness will be Jim Chapman. My partner, Geoffrey Stewart, will be presenting Mr. Chapman.  MR. STEWART: Good morning, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Good morning. As Mr. Chapmar takes the witness stand, what is the debtors' schedule in terms	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of directors, is that correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And  THE COURT: One second. Would you take the microphone and either move yourself closer to it or move it closer to you?  THE WITNESS: Yeah. It's a little tight in here.  All right. Is that better?  THE COURT: Now just bend it down a bit.  THE WITNESS: How's that.  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.  MR. STEWART: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. OSWALD: Yes. That's the one.  THE COURT: All right.  MR. OSWALD: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Then let's it's a few minutes early but I think we can get started with respect to the next witness.  MR. CULLEN: Yes, Your Honor. Our next witness will be Jim Chapman. My partner, Geoffrey Stewart, will be presenting Mr. Chapman.  MR. STEWART: Good morning, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Good morning. As Mr. Chapmar takes the witness stand, what is the debtors' schedule in terms of presentation of witnesses today?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of directors, is that correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And  THE COURT: One second. Would you take the microphone and either move yourself closer to it or move it closer to you?  THE WITNESS: Yeah. It's a little tight in here.  All right. Is that better?  THE COURT: Now just bend it down a bit.  THE WITNESS: How's that.  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.  MR. STEWART: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.  Q. When did you join Chrysler's board of managers?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. OSWALD: Yes. That's the one.  THE COURT: All right.  MR. OSWALD: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Then let's it's a few minutes early but I think we can get started with respect to the next witness.  MR. CULLEN: Yes, Your Honor. Our next witness will be Jim Chapman. My partner, Geoffrey Stewart, will be presenting Mr. Chapman.  MR. STEWART: Good morning, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Good morning. As Mr. Chapmar takes the witness stand, what is the debtors' schedule in terms of presentation of witnesses today?  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, we plan to put on Mr.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And  THE COURT: One second. Would you take the microphone and either move yourself closer to it or move it closer to you?  THE WITNESS: Yeah. It's a little tight in here.  All right. Is that better?  THE COURT: Now just bend it down a bit.  THE WITNESS: How's that.  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.  MR. STEWART: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.  Q. When did you join Chrysler's board of managers?  A. Shortly after the Cerberus acquisition, so it would be the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. OSWALD: Yes. That's the one.  THE COURT: All right.  MR. OSWALD: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Then let's it's a few minutes early but I think we can get started with respect to the next witness.  MR. CULLEN: Yes, Your Honor. Our next witness will be Jim Chapman. My partner, Geoffrey Stewart, will be presenting Mr. Chapman.  MR. STEWART: Good morning, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Good morning. As Mr. Chapmar takes the witness stand, what is the debtors' schedule in terms of presentation of witnesses today?  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, we plan to put on Mr. Chapman. We've agreed to the UAW's request to put on Mr.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of directors, is that correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And  THE COURT: One second. Would you take the microphone and either move yourself closer to it or move it closer to you?  THE WITNESS: Yeah. It's a little tight in here.  All right. Is that better?  THE COURT: Now just bend it down a bit.  THE WITNESS: How's that.  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.  MR. STEWART: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.  Q. When did you join Chrysler's board of managers?  A. Shortly after the Cerberus acquisition, so it would be the fall of 2007.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. OSWALD: Yes. That's the one.  THE COURT: All right.  MR. OSWALD: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Then let's it's a few minutes early but I think we can get started with respect to the next witness.  MR. CULLEN: Yes, Your Honor. Our next witness will be Jim Chapman. My partner, Geoffrey Stewart, will be presenting Mr. Chapman.  MR. STEWART: Good morning, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Good morning. As Mr. Chapmar takes the witness stand, what is the debtors' schedule in terms of presentation of witnesses today?  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, we plan to put on Mr. Chapman. We've agreed to the UAW's request to put on Mr. Curson next. And then we will put on Mr. Nardelli followed by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. Q. Okay. And THE COURT: One second. Would you take the microphone and either move yourself closer to it or move it closer to you? THE WITNESS: Yeah. It's a little tight in here. All right. Is that better? THE COURT: Now just bend it down a bit. THE WITNESS: How's that. THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. MR. STEWART: All right. Thank you, Your Honor. Q. When did you join Chrysler's board of managers? A. Shortly after the Cerberus acquisition, so it would be the fall of 2007. Q. Okay. Are you what's known as an independent manager?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. OSWALD: Yes. That's the one.  THE COURT: All right.  MR. OSWALD: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Then let's it's a few minutes early but I think we can get started with respect to the next witness.  MR. CULLEN: Yes, Your Honor. Our next witness will be Jim Chapman. My partner, Geoffrey Stewart, will be presenting Mr. Chapman.  MR. STEWART: Good morning, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Good morning. As Mr. Chapmar takes the witness stand, what is the debtors' schedule in terms of presentation of witnesses today?  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, we plan to put on Mr. Chapman. We've agreed to the UAW's request to put on Mr. Curson next. And then we will put on Mr. Nardelli followed by Mr. Grady.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes. Q. Okay. And THE COURT: One second. Would you take the microphone and either move yourself closer to it or move it closer to you? THE WITNESS: Yeah. It's a little tight in here. All right. Is that better? THE COURT: Now just bend it down a bit. THE WITNESS: How's that. THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. MR. STEWART: All right. Thank you, Your Honor. Q. When did you join Chrysler's board of managers? A. Shortly after the Cerberus acquisition, so it would be the fall of 2007. Q. Okay. Are you what's known as an independent manager? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. OSWALD: Yes. That's the one.  THE COURT: All right.  MR. OSWALD: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Then let's it's a few minutes early but I think we can get started with respect to the next witness.  MR. CULLEN: Yes, Your Honor. Our next witness will be Jim Chapman. My partner, Geoffrey Stewart, will be presenting Mr. Chapman.  MR. STEWART: Good morning, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Good morning. As Mr. Chapmar takes the witness stand, what is the debtors' schedule in terms of presentation of witnesses today?  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, we plan to put on Mr. Chapman. We've agreed to the UAW's request to put on Mr. Curson next. And then we will put on Mr. Nardelli followed by Mr. Grady.  THE COURT: All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of directors, is that correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And  THE COURT: One second. Would you take the microphone and either move yourself closer to it or move it closer to you?  THE WITNESS: Yeah. It's a little tight in here.  All right. Is that better?  THE COURT: Now just bend it down a bit.  THE WITNESS: How's that.  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.  MR. STEWART: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.  Q. When did you join Chrysler's board of managers?  A. Shortly after the Cerberus acquisition, so it would be the fall of 2007.  Q. Okay. Are you what's known as an independent manager?  A. Yes.  Q. What is an independent manager?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. OSWALD: Yes. That's the one.  THE COURT: All right.  MR. OSWALD: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Then let's it's a few minutes early but I think we can get started with respect to the next witness.  MR. CULLEN: Yes, Your Honor. Our next witness will be Jim Chapman. My partner, Geoffrey Stewart, will be presenting Mr. Chapman.  MR. STEWART: Good morning, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Good morning. As Mr. Chapmar takes the witness stand, what is the debtors' schedule in terms of presentation of witnesses today?  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, we plan to put on Mr. Chapman. We've agreed to the UAW's request to put on Mr. Curson next. And then we will put on Mr. Nardelli followed by Mr. Grady.  THE COURT: All right. (Witness duly sworn)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. Q. Okay. And THE COURT: One second. Would you take the microphone and either move yourself closer to it or move it closer to you? THE WITNESS: Yeah. It's a little tight in here. All right. Is that better? THE COURT: Now just bend it down a bit. THE WITNESS: How's that. THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. MR. STEWART: All right. Thank you, Your Honor. Q. When did you join Chrysler's board of managers? A. Shortly after the Cerberus acquisition, so it would be the fall of 2007. Q. Okay. Are you what's known as an independent manager? A. Yes. Q. What is an independent manager? A. I have no I'm impartial. I have no relationship with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. OSWALD: Yes. That's the one.  THE COURT: All right.  MR. OSWALD: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Then let's it's a few minutes early but I think we can get started with respect to the next witness.  MR. CULLEN: Yes, Your Honor. Our next witness will be Jim Chapman. My partner, Geoffrey Stewart, will be presenting Mr. Chapman.  MR. STEWART: Good morning, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Good morning. As Mr. Chapmar takes the witness stand, what is the debtors' schedule in terms of presentation of witnesses today?  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, we plan to put on Mr. Chapman. We've agreed to the UAW's request to put on Mr. Curson next. And then we will put on Mr. Nardelli followed by Mr. Grady.  THE COURT: All right. (Witness duly sworn)  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, I need to have an exhibit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And  THE COURT: One second. Would you take the microphone and either move yourself closer to it or move it closer to you?  THE WITNESS: Yeah. It's a little tight in here.  All right. Is that better?  THE COURT: Now just bend it down a bit.  THE WITNESS: How's that.  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.  MR. STEWART: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.  Q. When did you join Chrysler's board of managers?  A. Shortly after the Cerberus acquisition, so it would be the fall of 2007.  Q. Okay. Are you what's known as an independent manager?  A. Yes.  Q. What is an independent manager?  A. I have no I'm impartial. I have no relationship with any of the interested parties, Daimler or Cerberus.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. OSWALD: Yes. That's the one.  THE COURT: All right.  MR. OSWALD: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Then let's it's a few minutes early but I think we can get started with respect to the next witness.  MR. CULLEN: Yes, Your Honor. Our next witness will be Jim Chapman. My partner, Geoffrey Stewart, will be presenting Mr. Chapman.  MR. STEWART: Good morning, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Good morning. As Mr. Chapmar takes the witness stand, what is the debtors' schedule in terms of presentation of witnesses today?  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, we plan to put on Mr. Chapman. We've agreed to the UAW's request to put on Mr. Curson next. And then we will put on Mr. Nardelli followed by Mr. Grady.  THE COURT: All right.  (Witness duly sworn)  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, I need to have an exhibit marked. I thought maybe I'd do it now so we don't have to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. Okay. And THE COURT: One second. Would you take the microphone and either move yourself closer to it or move it closer to you? THE WITNESS: Yeah. It's a little tight in here. All right. Is that better? THE COURT: Now just bend it down a bit. THE WITNESS: How's that. THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. MR. STEWART: All right. Thank you, Your Honor. Q. When did you join Chrysler's board of managers? A. Shortly after the Cerberus acquisition, so it would be the fall of 2007. Q. Okay. Are you what's known as an independent manager? A. Yes. Q. What is an independent manager? A. I have no I'm impartial. I have no relationship with any of the interested parties, Daimler or Cerberus. Q. Okay. So you're independent of Daimler and Cerberus?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. OSWALD: Yes. That's the one.  THE COURT: All right.  MR. OSWALD: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Then let's it's a few minutes early but I think we can get started with respect to the next witness.  MR. CULLEN: Yes, Your Honor. Our next witness will be Jim Chapman. My partner, Geoffrey Stewart, will be presenting Mr. Chapman.  MR. STEWART: Good morning, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Good morning. As Mr. Chapmar takes the witness stand, what is the debtors' schedule in terms of presentation of witnesses today?  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, we plan to put on Mr. Chapman. We've agreed to the UAW's request to put on Mr. Curson next. And then we will put on Mr. Nardelli followed by Mr. Grady.  THE COURT: All right.  (Witness duly sworn)  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, I need to have an exhibit marked. I thought maybe I'd do it now so we don't have to interrupt the examination	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of directors, is that correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And  THE COURT: One second. Would you take the microphone and either move yourself closer to it or move it closer to you?  THE WITNESS: Yeah. It's a little tight in here.  All right. Is that better?  THE COURT: Now just bend it down a bit.  THE WITNESS: How's that.  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.  MR. STEWART: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.  Q. When did you join Chrysler's board of managers?  A. Shortly after the Cerberus acquisition, so it would be the fall of 2007.  Q. Okay. Are you what's known as an independent manager?  A. Yes.  Q. What is an independent manager?  A. I have no I'm impartial. I have no relationship with any of the interested parties, Daimler or Cerberus.  Q. Okay. So you're independent of Daimler and Cerberus?  A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. OSWALD: Yes. That's the one.  THE COURT: All right.  MR. OSWALD: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Then let's it's a few minutes early but I think we can get started with respect to the next witness.  MR. CULLEN: Yes, Your Honor. Our next witness will be Jim Chapman. My partner, Geoffrey Stewart, will be presenting Mr. Chapman.  MR. STEWART: Good morning, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Good morning. As Mr. Chapmar takes the witness stand, what is the debtors' schedule in terms of presentation of witnesses today?  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, we plan to put on Mr. Chapman. We've agreed to the UAW's request to put on Mr. Curson next. And then we will put on Mr. Nardelli followed by Mr. Grady.  THE COURT: All right.  (Witness duly sworn)  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, I need to have an exhibit marked. I thought maybe I'd do it now so we don't have to interrupt the examination THE COURT: Fine.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes. Q. Okay. And THE COURT: One second. Would you take the microphone and either move yourself closer to it or move it closer to you? THE WITNESS: Yeah. It's a little tight in here. All right. Is that better? THE COURT: Now just bend it down a bit. THE WITNESS: How's that. THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. MR. STEWART: All right. Thank you, Your Honor. Q. When did you join Chrysler's board of managers? A. Shortly after the Cerberus acquisition, so it would be the fall of 2007. Q. Okay. Are you what's known as an independent manager? A. Yes. Q. What is an independent manager? A. I have no I'm impartial. I have no relationship with any of the interested parties, Daimler or Cerberus. Q. Okay. So you're independent manager? A. Correct. Q. Are you the only independent manager?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. OSWALD: Yes. That's the one.  THE COURT: All right.  MR. OSWALD: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Then let's it's a few minutes early but I think we can get started with respect to the next witness.  MR. CULLEN: Yes, Your Honor. Our next witness will be Jim Chapman. My partner, Geoffrey Stewart, will be presenting Mr. Chapman.  MR. STEWART: Good morning, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Good morning. As Mr. Chapmar takes the witness stand, what is the debtors' schedule in terms of presentation of witnesses today?  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, we plan to put on Mr. Chapman. We've agreed to the UAW's request to put on Mr. Curson next. And then we will put on Mr. Nardelli followed by Mr. Grady.  THE COURT: All right.  (Witness duly sworn)  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, I need to have an exhibit marked. I thought maybe I'd do it now so we don't have to interrupt the examination	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Q. Okay. And THE COURT: One second. Would you take the microphone and either move yourself closer to it or move it closer to you? THE WITNESS: Yeah. It's a little tight in here. All right. Is that better? THE COURT: Now just bend it down a bit. THE WITNESS: How's that. THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. MR. STEWART: All right. Thank you, Your Honor. Q. When did you join Chrysler's board of managers? A. Shortly after the Cerberus acquisition, so it would be the fall of 2007. Q. Okay. Are you what's known as an independent manager? A. Yes. Q. What is an independent manager? A. I have no I'm impartial. I have no relationship with any of the interested parties, Daimler or Cerberus. Q. Okay. So you're independent of Daimler and Cerberus? A. Correct.

1	Q. How many members are there of the board altogether?	1	Q. Okay. And in 2009?
2	A. Roughly fifteen, I guess.	2	A. Year to date as of Wednesday, twenty-seven.
3	Q. Are there standing committees of the board?	3	Q. So fifty, fifty-five meetings in the past year and a half?
4	A. Yes, there are.	4	How many of those meetings have you missed?
5	Q. Do you serve on any of those committees?	5	A. None.
6	A. Yes. I serve as chairperson of the audit committee.	6	Q. Let me ask you some questions about how the board goes
7	Q. Of the audit committee? What's the role of the audit	7	about its business. Did I ask you how many members the board
8	committee.	8	has?
9	A. The audit committee's mandate is to work in conjunction	9	A. Yeah. Roughly fifteen.
10	with our external auditors, in this case, KPMG, to review the	10	Q. Fifteen. And we've talked about the meetings. What
11	financial statements, both quarterly and annual, as well other	11	notice is given to the board members of the board meetings?
12	obligations as per our chart.	12	A. There's always proper notice provided by the company's
13	Q. Okay. Actually, it would help me if you would speak up	13	general counsel.
14	and also maybe if you could also slow down.	14	Q. Okay. And as a member of the board, what have you done or
15	A. Okay.	15	what is your practice in terms of informing yourself about the
16	Q. People are trying to take notes of this. Have you served	16	matters that are being brought before the board?
17	on the board of other corporations before?	17	A. We reviewed all materials that were provided as part of
18	A. Yes.	18	the notice period.
19	Q. In the past ten years, how many corporate boards have you	19	Q. Okay. And where do the materials come from?
20	served on?	20	A. From the company, generally.
21	A. Roughly twenty.	21	Q. Okay. How voluminous are these materials?
22	Q. Twenty? Any of them as large as Chrysler?	22	A. Quite voluminous.
23	A. No, sir.	23	Q. Okay. And does it happen from time to time that people
24	Q. Have any of these twenty companies been in or gone through		who are not members of the board are asked to come to the board
25	bankruptcy proceedings?	25	meetings?
	30		32
1	A. Yes.	1	A. Yes, there are.
1 2	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Have any of them been in or been involved in a Section 36.</li></ul>		A. Yes, there are. Q. And can you tell us why that is done?
			·
2	Q. Have any of them been in or been involved in a Section 36	2	Q. And can you tell us why that is done?
2	Q. Have any of them been in or been involved in a Section 36 sale process?	3	Q. And can you tell us why that is done?  A. Generally, in circumstances we'd have expert counsel
2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. Have any of them been in or been involved in a Section 363 sale process?</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>	3 4	<ul><li>Q. And can you tell us why that is done?</li><li>A. Generally, in circumstances we'd have expert counsel and/or investment banking advisors or other consultants invited</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. Have any of them been in or been involved in a Section 363 sale process?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Let me return, if I could, to the Chrysler board for a</li></ul>	3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. And can you tell us why that is done?</li><li>A. Generally, in circumstances we'd have expert counsel and/or investment banking advisors or other consultants invited to the board.</li><li>Q. Okay. Now, in terms of your own work on the board, what</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>Q. Have any of them been in or been involved in a Section 362 sale process?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Let me return, if I could, to the Chrysler board for a minute. You said you'd served on the board since the fall of</li></ul>	3 4 5 6	<ul><li>Q. And can you tell us why that is done?</li><li>A. Generally, in circumstances we'd have expert counsel and/or investment banking advisors or other consultants invited to the board.</li><li>Q. Okay. Now, in terms of your own work on the board, what</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>Q. Have any of them been in or been involved in a Section 363 sale process?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Let me return, if I could, to the Chrysler board for a minute. You said you'd served on the board since the fall of 2007?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. And can you tell us why that is done?</li> <li>A. Generally, in circumstances we'd have expert counsel and/or investment banking advisors or other consultants invited to the board.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, in terms of your own work on the board, what degree of freedom do you have, Mr. Chapman, to contact people</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Have any of them been in or been involved in a Section 363 sale process?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Let me return, if I could, to the Chrysler board for a minute. You said you'd served on the board since the fall of 2007?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. And can you tell us why that is done?</li> <li>A. Generally, in circumstances we'd have expert counsel and/or investment banking advisors or other consultants invited to the board.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, in terms of your own work on the board, what degree of freedom do you have, Mr. Chapman, to contact people within Chrysler</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Have any of them been in or been involved in a Section 363 sale process?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Let me return, if I could, to the Chrysler board for a minute. You said you'd served on the board since the fall of 2007?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Has it been the practice of the board in that time to have</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. And can you tell us why that is done?</li> <li>A. Generally, in circumstances we'd have expert counsel and/or investment banking advisors or other consultants invited to the board.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, in terms of your own work on the board, what degree of freedom do you have, Mr. Chapman, to contact people within Chrysler</li> <li>A. Full and open</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Have any of them been in or been involved in a Section 363 sale process?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Let me return, if I could, to the Chrysler board for a minute. You said you'd served on the board since the fall of 2007?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Has it been the practice of the board in that time to have regular meetings?</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. And can you tell us why that is done?</li> <li>A. Generally, in circumstances we'd have expert counsel and/or investment banking advisors or other consultants invited to the board.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, in terms of your own work on the board, what degree of freedom do you have, Mr. Chapman, to contact people within Chrysler</li> <li>A. Full and open</li> <li>Q to learn facts and to educate yourself about the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Have any of them been in or been involved in a Section 363 sale process?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Let me return, if I could, to the Chrysler board for a minute. You said you'd served on the board since the fall of 2007?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Has it been the practice of the board in that time to have regular meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. And can you tell us why that is done?</li> <li>A. Generally, in circumstances we'd have expert counsel and/or investment banking advisors or other consultants invited to the board.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, in terms of your own work on the board, what degree of freedom do you have, Mr. Chapman, to contact people within Chrysler</li> <li>A. Full and open</li> <li>Q to learn facts and to educate yourself about the company's business?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Have any of them been in or been involved in a Section 363 sale process?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Let me return, if I could, to the Chrysler board for a minute. You said you'd served on the board since the fall of 2007?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Has it been the practice of the board in that time to have regular meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And special meetings?</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. And can you tell us why that is done?</li> <li>A. Generally, in circumstances we'd have expert counsel and/or investment banking advisors or other consultants invited to the board.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, in terms of your own work on the board, what degree of freedom do you have, Mr. Chapman, to contact people within Chrysler</li> <li>A. Full and open</li> <li>Q to learn facts and to educate yourself about the company's business?</li> <li>A. I have full and open access to all parties.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Have any of them been in or been involved in a Section 363 sale process?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Let me return, if I could, to the Chrysler board for a minute. You said you'd served on the board since the fall of 2007?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Has it been the practice of the board in that time to have regular meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And special meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. And can you tell us why that is done?</li> <li>A. Generally, in circumstances we'd have expert counsel and/or investment banking advisors or other consultants invited to the board.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, in terms of your own work on the board, what degree of freedom do you have, Mr. Chapman, to contact people within Chrysler</li> <li>A. Full and open</li> <li>Q to learn facts and to educate yourself about the company's business?</li> <li>A. I have full and open access to all parties.</li> <li>Q. And have you taken advantage of that?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Have any of them been in or been involved in a Section 363 sale process?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Let me return, if I could, to the Chrysler board for a minute. You said you'd served on the board since the fall of 2007?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Has it been the practice of the board in that time to have regular meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And special meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you mentioned you're on the audit committee?</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. And can you tell us why that is done?</li> <li>A. Generally, in circumstances we'd have expert counsel and/or investment banking advisors or other consultants invited to the board.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, in terms of your own work on the board, what degree of freedom do you have, Mr. Chapman, to contact people within Chrysler</li> <li>A. Full and open</li> <li>Q to learn facts and to educate yourself about the company's business?</li> <li>A. I have full and open access to all parties.</li> <li>Q. And have you taken advantage of that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Have any of them been in or been involved in a Section 363 sale process?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Let me return, if I could, to the Chrysler board for a minute. You said you'd served on the board since the fall of 2007?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Has it been the practice of the board in that time to have regular meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And special meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you mentioned you're on the audit committee?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. And can you tell us why that is done?</li> <li>A. Generally, in circumstances we'd have expert counsel and/or investment banking advisors or other consultants invited to the board.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, in terms of your own work on the board, what degree of freedom do you have, Mr. Chapman, to contact people within Chrysler</li> <li>A. Full and open</li> <li>Q to learn facts and to educate yourself about the company's business?</li> <li>A. I have full and open access to all parties.</li> <li>Q. And have you taken advantage of that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, have you heard the term before "fiduciary</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Have any of them been in or been involved in a Section 363 sale process?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Let me return, if I could, to the Chrysler board for a minute. You said you'd served on the board since the fall of 2007?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Has it been the practice of the board in that time to have regular meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And special meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you mentioned you're on the audit committee?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are there regular meetings of the audit committee?</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. And can you tell us why that is done?</li> <li>A. Generally, in circumstances we'd have expert counsel and/or investment banking advisors or other consultants invited to the board.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, in terms of your own work on the board, what degree of freedom do you have, Mr. Chapman, to contact people within Chrysler</li> <li>A. Full and open</li> <li>Q to learn facts and to educate yourself about the company's business?</li> <li>A. I have full and open access to all parties.</li> <li>Q. And have you taken advantage of that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, have you heard the term before "fiduciary duty"?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Have any of them been in or been involved in a Section 363 sale process?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Let me return, if I could, to the Chrysler board for a minute. You said you'd served on the board since the fall of 2007?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Has it been the practice of the board in that time to have regular meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And special meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you mentioned you're on the audit committee?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are there regular meetings of the audit committee?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. And can you tell us why that is done?</li> <li>A. Generally, in circumstances we'd have expert counsel and/or investment banking advisors or other consultants invited to the board.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, in terms of your own work on the board, what degree of freedom do you have, Mr. Chapman, to contact people within Chrysler</li> <li>A. Full and open</li> <li>Q to learn facts and to educate yourself about the company's business?</li> <li>A. I have full and open access to all parties.</li> <li>Q. And have you taken advantage of that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, have you heard the term before "fiduciary duty"?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Have any of them been in or been involved in a Section 363 sale process?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Let me return, if I could, to the Chrysler board for a minute. You said you'd served on the board since the fall of 2007?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Has it been the practice of the board in that time to have regular meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And special meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you mentioned you're on the audit committee?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are there regular meetings of the audit committee?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And special meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And special meetings?</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. And can you tell us why that is done?</li> <li>A. Generally, in circumstances we'd have expert counsel and/or investment banking advisors or other consultants invited to the board.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, in terms of your own work on the board, what degree of freedom do you have, Mr. Chapman, to contact people within Chrysler</li> <li>A. Full and open</li> <li>Q to learn facts and to educate yourself about the company's business?</li> <li>A. I have full and open access to all parties.</li> <li>Q. And have you taken advantage of that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, have you heard the term before "fiduciary duty"?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now you're not a lawyer, are you?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Have any of them been in or been involved in a Section 363 sale process?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Let me return, if I could, to the Chrysler board for a minute. You said you'd served on the board since the fall of 2007?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Has it been the practice of the board in that time to have regular meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And special meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you mentioned you're on the audit committee?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are there regular meetings of the audit committee?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And special meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And special meetings?</li> <li>A. Second the audit committee?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In addition to that, have there been special meetings just</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. And can you tell us why that is done?</li> <li>A. Generally, in circumstances we'd have expert counsel and/or investment banking advisors or other consultants invited to the board.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, in terms of your own work on the board, what degree of freedom do you have, Mr. Chapman, to contact people within Chrysler</li> <li>A. Full and open</li> <li>Q to learn facts and to educate yourself about the company's business?</li> <li>A. I have full and open access to all parties.</li> <li>Q. And have you taken advantage of that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, have you heard the term before "fiduciary duty"?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now you're not a lawyer, are you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Have any of them been in or been involved in a Section 363 sale process?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Let me return, if I could, to the Chrysler board for a minute. You said you'd served on the board since the fall of 2007?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Has it been the practice of the board in that time to have regular meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And special meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you mentioned you're on the audit committee?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are there regular meetings of the audit committee?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And special meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And special meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In addition to that, have there been special meetings just of the independent directors?</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. And can you tell us why that is done?</li> <li>A. Generally, in circumstances we'd have expert counsel and/or investment banking advisors or other consultants invited to the board.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, in terms of your own work on the board, what degree of freedom do you have, Mr. Chapman, to contact people within Chrysler</li> <li>A. Full and open</li> <li>Q to learn facts and to educate yourself about the company's business?</li> <li>A. I have full and open access to all parties.</li> <li>Q. And have you taken advantage of that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, have you heard the term before "fiduciary duty"?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now you're not a lawyer, are you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a degree from college and from business</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Have any of them been in or been involved in a Section 363 sale process?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Let me return, if I could, to the Chrysler board for a minute. You said you'd served on the board since the fall of 2007?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Has it been the practice of the board in that time to have regular meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And special meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you mentioned you're on the audit committee?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are there regular meetings of the audit committee?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And special meetings?</li> <li>A. Ses.</li> <li>Q. And special meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In addition to that, have there been special meetings just of the independent directors?</li> <li>A. There have been.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. And can you tell us why that is done?</li> <li>A. Generally, in circumstances we'd have expert counsel and/or investment banking advisors or other consultants invited to the board.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, in terms of your own work on the board, what degree of freedom do you have, Mr. Chapman, to contact people within Chrysler</li> <li>A. Full and open</li> <li>Q to learn facts and to educate yourself about the company's business?</li> <li>A. I have full and open access to all parties.</li> <li>Q. And have you taken advantage of that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, have you heard the term before "fiduciary duty"?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now you're not a lawyer, are you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a degree from college and from business school?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Have any of them been in or been involved in a Section 363 sale process?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Let me return, if I could, to the Chrysler board for a minute. You said you'd served on the board since the fall of 2007?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Has it been the practice of the board in that time to have regular meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And special meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you mentioned you're on the audit committee?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are there regular meetings of the audit committee?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And special meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In addition to that, have there been special meetings just of the independent directors?</li> <li>A. There have been.</li> <li>Q. Just for the year 2008, can you tell us how many meetings</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. And can you tell us why that is done?</li> <li>A. Generally, in circumstances we'd have expert counsel and/or investment banking advisors or other consultants invited to the board.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, in terms of your own work on the board, what degree of freedom do you have, Mr. Chapman, to contact people within Chrysler</li> <li>A. Full and open</li> <li>Q to learn facts and to educate yourself about the company's business?</li> <li>A. I have full and open access to all parties.</li> <li>Q. And have you taken advantage of that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, have you heard the term before "fiduciary duty"?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now you're not a lawyer, are you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a degree from college and from business school?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And fiduciary duty's a legal term, correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Have any of them been in or been involved in a Section 363 sale process?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Let me return, if I could, to the Chrysler board for a minute. You said you'd served on the board since the fall of 2007?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Has it been the practice of the board in that time to have regular meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And special meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you mentioned you're on the audit committee?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are there regular meetings of the audit committee?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And special meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In addition to that, have there been special meetings just of the independent directors?</li> <li>A. There have been.</li> <li>Q. Just for the year 2008, can you tell us how many meetings you attended of the board or committees of the board?</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. And can you tell us why that is done?</li> <li>A. Generally, in circumstances we'd have expert counsel and/or investment banking advisors or other consultants invited to the board.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, in terms of your own work on the board, what degree of freedom do you have, Mr. Chapman, to contact people within Chrysler</li> <li>A. Full and open</li> <li>Q to learn facts and to educate yourself about the company's business?</li> <li>A. I have full and open access to all parties.</li> <li>Q. And have you taken advantage of that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, have you heard the term before "fiduciary duty"?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now you're not a lawyer, are you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a degree from college and from business school?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And fiduciary duty's a legal term, correct?</li> <li>A. My understanding is yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. Have any of them been in or been involved in a Section 363 sale process?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Let me return, if I could, to the Chrysler board for a minute. You said you'd served on the board since the fall of 2007?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Has it been the practice of the board in that time to have regular meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And special meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you mentioned you're on the audit committee?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are there regular meetings of the audit committee?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And special meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In addition to that, have there been special meetings just of the independent directors?</li> <li>A. There have been.</li> <li>Q. Just for the year 2008, can you tell us how many meetings</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. And can you tell us why that is done?</li> <li>A. Generally, in circumstances we'd have expert counsel and/or investment banking advisors or other consultants invited to the board.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, in terms of your own work on the board, what degree of freedom do you have, Mr. Chapman, to contact people within Chrysler</li> <li>A. Full and open</li> <li>Q to learn facts and to educate yourself about the company's business?</li> <li>A. I have full and open access to all parties.</li> <li>Q. And have you taken advantage of that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, have you heard the term before "fiduciary duty"?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now you're not a lawyer, are you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a degree from college and from business school?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And fiduciary duty's a legal term, correct?</li> </ul>

1	learn about the notion of and the meaning of the term	1	A. Yes.
2	"fiduciary duty"?	2	Q. When was that?
3	A. Via my extensive board experiences.	3	A. I would say November, December of 2008.
4	Q. Okay. And can you tell us what you understand the term	4	Q. And how did you know? How did you reach that
5	"fiduciary duty" to mean?	5	determination that Chrysler had entered the zone of insolvency?
6	A. That my obligation is first and foremost to the	6	A. Again, the company's cash liquidity.
7	corporation and its constituents represented by the	7	Q. How did you keep yourself apprised of the company's cash
8	shareholders, the creditors, employees and the like.	8	liquidity?
9	Q. Okay. Let's break that down a little bit. Your first and	9	A. Both the formal reports submitted by the CFO as well as I
10	foremost is to the corporation.	10	get a daily report on cash liquidity since I joined the board.
11	A. Correct.	11	Q. Okay. Since you joined the board, how did these daily
12	Q. And the corporation has constituencies. And you said	12	cash reports come about? Were they already there or was it
13	shareholders, creditors and others?	13	something that
14	A. Yes.	14	A. I think the company
15	Q. Okay. Is it also the case, Mr. Chapman, that in December	15	Q started with you?
16	of 2008, you requested that the board have a meeting where	16	A had them. But I requested specifically in terms of my
17	outside counsel would come and brief the board members on the	r 17	role as director as well as audit committee chairman. I get
18	fiduciary duties?	18	them daily by e-mail.
19	A. That's correct.	19	Q. So every day since you've joined the board, you've had the
20	O. And was that done?	20	company e-mail you a report on its cash position?
21	A. Yes, it was done.	21	A. It may have been shortly after when I requested it from
22	Q. And in addition to that, Mr. Chapman, is it the case that	22	the first board meeting, but yes.
23	the independent directors of the board at some point hired	23	Q. Okay.
24	their own lawyer?	24	(Pause)
25	A. That is also correct.	25	MR. STEWART: Sorry for the delay. Has it been
	34		36
1	O And that was to advise them of their fiducions duties	1	marked, Kevyn? Let me, if I may, approach the clerk to have
1 2	<ul><li>Q. And that was to advise them of their fiduciary duties.</li><li>A. Correct.</li></ul>	1 2	the next exhibit marked as Debtors' Exhibit 36. It's a
3	Q. And who was the lawyer or law firm hired by the	3	declaration of Mr. Chapman.
4	independent directors?	4	THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
5	A. The law firm was KL Gordon (ph.). And the particular	5	MR. STEWART: For the record
6	partner was Steve Green.	6	(Debtor's Exhibit 36, declaration of Mr. Chapman, was hereby
7	Q. Is Mr. Green to my right?	7	marked for identification as of this date.)
8	A. Yep.	8	Q. Mr. Chapman, the reporter has marked for identification
9	Q. Okay. Have you heard the term "zone of insolvency"?	9	and I've placed before you an exhibit marked Debtors' Exhibit
10	A. Yes.	10	36. And I'm going to come to that in just a moment. I believe
11	Q. What is the zone of insolvency for a corporation?	11	you've testified there were frequent meetings of the board of
12	MR. KURTZ: Objection. Legal conclusion.	12	directors in 2008 and in 2009?
13	Q. Let me rephrase it. What is your understanding of the	13	A. Yes.
14	meaning of the term "zone of insolvency"?	14	Q. How important were the matters facing the board in the
15	A. When a company entity can no longer meet its debts when	15	last months of 2008 and so far in 2009?
16	due in a timely fashion.	16	A. Extremely important.
17	Q. Do you have an understanding of what happens, if anything		Q. Have you prepared a declaration describing or summarizing
18	to the fiduciary duties of the members of the board when a	18	the work of the board for the months November 2008 through
19	corporation enters the zone of insolvency?	19	April 2009?
20	A. Well, depending on the facts and circumstances, my	20	A. Yes, I did, in conjunction with counsel.
21	obligation tends to move up the right-hand side of the balance	21	Q. Okay. And is that the document that is before you as
22	sheet from the shareholders to the different creditor classes,	22	Debtors' Exhibit 36?
23	again, subject to the facts and circumstances.	23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Did there come a time when, in your judgment, Chrysler	24	Q. If you could, can you turn to the last page of the
25	entered the zone of insolvency?	25	document?
	35		37

1	A. Yes.	1	A. Daily.
2	Q. Is that your signature?	2	Q. How often in board meetings did the subject of the
3	A. Yes, it is.	3	company's cash position arise?
4	Q. And you've sworn to this declaration under oath?	4	A. It was a priority.
5	A. Yes, I did.	5	Q. Now, during those months, how many people showed up
6	Q. Is Exhibit 36 a truthful summary of certain of the actions	6	offering cash to Chrysler?
7	facing the actions taken by the board in the months from	7	A. To my knowledge, none.
8	November 2008 through April of 2009?	8	Q. How many banks offered money to Chrysler?
9	A. Yes.	9	A. Notwithstanding frequent requests, none.
10	Q. Now, I'm going to offer this declaration into evidence,	10	Q. During those months, was there any entity anywhere that
11	Mr. Chapman. But before I do, are there any changes or	11	was prepared to provide long term liquidity to the company?
12	corrections that you would wish to make to it?	12	A. No.
13	A. No. There are none.	13	Q. Now, Mr. Chapman, I'm going to ask you a few questions
14	MR. STEWART: Your Honor, I would move into evidence	14	about some board meetings that occurred in the last about a
15	Debtors' Exhibit 36, which is the declaration of Mr. Chapman?	15	little over a month ago in April 2009. First of all, are you
16	THE COURT: Any objections?	16	familiar with a matter that goes by the general name of the
17	MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, we object on hearsay grounds,	17	PBGC settlement?
18	same grounds we discussed yesterday.	18	A. Yes.
19	THE COURT: All right. And as yesterday, I overruled	19	Q. And PBGC is an abbreviation for the Pension Benefit
20	the objection. Identify yourself, though, first.	20	Guaranty Corporation?
21	MR. KURTZ: Glenn Kurtz, White & Case, on behalf of	21	A. That's correct.
22	the Indiana Pensioners.	22	Q. And that's an instrumentality of the United States
23	THE COURT: All right. Same ruling as yesterday with	23	government?
24	respect to the understanding the parties had prior to the	24	MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, could we have less leading?
25	commencement of the hearing about these declarations. It's so	25	Objection.
	38		40
1	adaption d	1	THE COURT Notice the Theorem 1 along
1	admitted.	1	THE COURT: Not in this. These are preliminary matters. I think we all know what the PBGC stands for. So
2	(Debtors' Exhibit 36, declaration of Mr. Chapman, was hereby received into evidence as of this date.)	2	
3 4	Q. Mr. Chapman, we spoke earlier about the zone of	4	it's for the record. So let's not waste time with objections that really don't advance the process. Go ahead.
5	insolvency?	5	Q. Could you just generally describe to us what the nature
6	A. Yes.	6	was of the PBGC settlement?
7	Q. And I believe, if I remember, you testified that you	7	A. In 2007, when Cerberus acquired Chrysler from Daimler, the
8	determined that Chrysler entered that zone at the very end of		
0		Ω	* *
۵	•	8	PBGC was involved related to the existing pension liability
9	2008 or in early 2009.	9	PBGC was involved related to the existing pension liability that Chrysler had here in the States and was very focused on
10	2008 or in early 2009.  A. Yes. In my judgment, yes.	9 10	PBGC was involved related to the existing pension liability that Chrysler had here in the States and was very focused on the ability to service that obligation in the future. At that
10 11	2008 or in early 2009.  A. Yes. In my judgment, yes.  Q. And we spoke about the monitoring you did of Chrysler's	9 10 11	PBGC was involved related to the existing pension liability that Chrysler had here in the States and was very focused on the ability to service that obligation in the future. At that time, Daimler entered into a billion dollar guaranty over five
10 11 12	2008 or in early 2009.  A. Yes. In my judgment, yes.  Q. And we spoke about the monitoring you did of Chrysler's cash position during that time.	9 10 11 12	PBGC was involved related to the existing pension liability that Chrysler had here in the States and was very focused on the ability to service that obligation in the future. At that time, Daimler entered into a billion dollar guaranty over five years which was satisfactory to the PBGC to permit the
10 11 12 13	2008 or in early 2009.  A. Yes. In my judgment, yes.  Q. And we spoke about the monitoring you did of Chrysler's cash position during that time.  A. Yes.	9 10 11 12 13	PBGC was involved related to the existing pension liability that Chrysler had here in the States and was very focused on the ability to service that obligation in the future. At that time, Daimler entered into a billion dollar guaranty over five years which was satisfactory to the PBGC to permit the transaction to go forward, the transaction being serviced as
10 11 12 13 14	2008 or in early 2009.  A. Yes. In my judgment, yes.  Q. And we spoke about the monitoring you did of Chrysler's cash position during that time.  A. Yes.  Q. Why did you monitor Chrysler's position in those months?	9 10 11 12 13 14	PBGC was involved related to the existing pension liability that Chrysler had here in the States and was very focused on the ability to service that obligation in the future. At that time, Daimler entered into a billion dollar guaranty over five years which was satisfactory to the PBGC to permit the transaction to go forward, the transaction being serviced as acquisition of Chrysler from Daimler.
10 11 12 13 14 15	2008 or in early 2009.  A. Yes. In my judgment, yes.  Q. And we spoke about the monitoring you did of Chrysler's cash position during that time.  A. Yes.  Q. Why did you monitor Chrysler's position in those months?  A. Because the company did not have access to third party	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	PBGC was involved related to the existing pension liability that Chrysler had here in the States and was very focused on the ability to service that obligation in the future. At that time, Daimler entered into a billion dollar guaranty over five years which was satisfactory to the PBGC to permit the transaction to go forward, the transaction being serviced as acquisition of Chrysler from Daimler.  Q. Okay. That was in '07?
10 11 12 13 14	2008 or in early 2009.  A. Yes. In my judgment, yes.  Q. And we spoke about the monitoring you did of Chrysler's cash position during that time.  A. Yes.  Q. Why did you monitor Chrysler's position in those months?  A. Because the company did not have access to third party capital. Therefore, the only liquidity it has was its cash	9 10 11 12 13 14	PBGC was involved related to the existing pension liability that Chrysler had here in the States and was very focused on the ability to service that obligation in the future. At that time, Daimler entered into a billion dollar guaranty over five years which was satisfactory to the PBGC to permit the transaction to go forward, the transaction being serviced as acquisition of Chrysler from Daimler.  Q. Okay. That was in '07?  A. Correct.
10 11 12 13 14 15	2008 or in early 2009.  A. Yes. In my judgment, yes.  Q. And we spoke about the monitoring you did of Chrysler's cash position during that time.  A. Yes.  Q. Why did you monitor Chrysler's position in those months?  A. Because the company did not have access to third party capital. Therefore, the only liquidity it has was its cash balances.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	PBGC was involved related to the existing pension liability that Chrysler had here in the States and was very focused on the ability to service that obligation in the future. At that time, Daimler entered into a billion dollar guaranty over five years which was satisfactory to the PBGC to permit the transaction to go forward, the transaction being serviced as acquisition of Chrysler from Daimler.  Q. Okay. That was in '07?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	2008 or in early 2009.  A. Yes. In my judgment, yes. Q. And we spoke about the monitoring you did of Chrysler's cash position during that time. A. Yes. Q. Why did you monitor Chrysler's position in those months? A. Because the company did not have access to third party capital. Therefore, the only liquidity it has was its cash balances. Q. Okay. And once again, I'd ask you, if you could slow down	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	PBGC was involved related to the existing pension liability that Chrysler had here in the States and was very focused on the ability to service that obligation in the future. At that time, Daimler entered into a billion dollar guaranty over five years which was satisfactory to the PBGC to permit the transaction to go forward, the transaction being serviced as acquisition of Chrysler from Daimler.  Q. Okay. That was in '07?  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. And then did there come a time when that became an
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	2008 or in early 2009.  A. Yes. In my judgment, yes. Q. And we spoke about the monitoring you did of Chrysler's cash position during that time. A. Yes. Q. Why did you monitor Chrysler's position in those months? A. Because the company did not have access to third party capital. Therefore, the only liquidity it has was its cash balances. Q. Okay. And once again, I'd ask you, if you could slow down a little bit because everyone is taking notes here. And I	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	PBGC was involved related to the existing pension liability that Chrysler had here in the States and was very focused on the ability to service that obligation in the future. At that time, Daimler entered into a billion dollar guaranty over five years which was satisfactory to the PBGC to permit the transaction to go forward, the transaction being serviced as acquisition of Chrysler from Daimler.  Q. Okay. That was in '07?  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. And then did there come a time when that became an issue in '09?  A. Yes, there was.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	2008 or in early 2009.  A. Yes. In my judgment, yes.  Q. And we spoke about the monitoring you did of Chrysler's cash position during that time.  A. Yes.  Q. Why did you monitor Chrysler's position in those months?  A. Because the company did not have access to third party capital. Therefore, the only liquidity it has was its cash balances.  Q. Okay. And once again, I'd ask you, if you could slow down a little bit because everyone is taking notes here. And I think you're wearing them out.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	PBGC was involved related to the existing pension liability that Chrysler had here in the States and was very focused on the ability to service that obligation in the future. At that time, Daimler entered into a billion dollar guaranty over five years which was satisfactory to the PBGC to permit the transaction to go forward, the transaction being serviced as acquisition of Chrysler from Daimler.  Q. Okay. That was in '07?  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. And then did there come a time when that became an issue in '09?  A. Yes, there was.  Q. How did it become an issue?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	2008 or in early 2009.  A. Yes. In my judgment, yes. Q. And we spoke about the monitoring you did of Chrysler's cash position during that time. A. Yes. Q. Why did you monitor Chrysler's position in those months? A. Because the company did not have access to third party capital. Therefore, the only liquidity it has was its cash balances. Q. Okay. And once again, I'd ask you, if you could slow down a little bit because everyone is taking notes here. And I	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	PBGC was involved related to the existing pension liability that Chrysler had here in the States and was very focused on the ability to service that obligation in the future. At that time, Daimler entered into a billion dollar guaranty over five years which was satisfactory to the PBGC to permit the transaction to go forward, the transaction being serviced as acquisition of Chrysler from Daimler.  Q. Okay. That was in '07?  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. And then did there come a time when that became an issue in '09?  A. Yes, there was.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	2008 or in early 2009.  A. Yes. In my judgment, yes.  Q. And we spoke about the monitoring you did of Chrysler's cash position during that time.  A. Yes.  Q. Why did you monitor Chrysler's position in those months?  A. Because the company did not have access to third party capital. Therefore, the only liquidity it has was its cash balances.  Q. Okay. And once again, I'd ask you, if you could slow down a little bit because everyone is taking notes here. And I think you're wearing them out.  How interested was the board in these months in raising	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	PBGC was involved related to the existing pension liability that Chrysler had here in the States and was very focused on the ability to service that obligation in the future. At that time, Daimler entered into a billion dollar guaranty over five years which was satisfactory to the PBGC to permit the transaction to go forward, the transaction being serviced as acquisition of Chrysler from Daimler.  Q. Okay. That was in '07?  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. And then did there come a time when that became an issue in '09?  A. Yes, there was.  Q. How did it become an issue?  A. As it relates to the contemplated transaction would be
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	2008 or in early 2009.  A. Yes. In my judgment, yes.  Q. And we spoke about the monitoring you did of Chrysler's cash position during that time.  A. Yes.  Q. Why did you monitor Chrysler's position in those months?  A. Because the company did not have access to third party capital. Therefore, the only liquidity it has was its cash balances.  Q. Okay. And once again, I'd ask you, if you could slow down a little bit because everyone is taking notes here. And I think you're wearing them out.  How interested was the board in these months in raising cash for Chrysler?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	PBGC was involved related to the existing pension liability that Chrysler had here in the States and was very focused on the ability to service that obligation in the future. At that time, Daimler entered into a billion dollar guaranty over five years which was satisfactory to the PBGC to permit the transaction to go forward, the transaction being serviced as acquisition of Chrysler from Daimler.  Q. Okay. That was in '07?  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. And then did there come a time when that became an issue in '09?  A. Yes, there was.  Q. How did it become an issue?  A. As it relates to the contemplated transaction would be deemed a change in control.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	2008 or in early 2009.  A. Yes. In my judgment, yes. Q. And we spoke about the monitoring you did of Chrysler's cash position during that time. A. Yes. Q. Why did you monitor Chrysler's position in those months? A. Because the company did not have access to third party capital. Therefore, the only liquidity it has was its cash balances. Q. Okay. And once again, I'd ask you, if you could slow down a little bit because everyone is taking notes here. And I think you're wearing them out.  How interested was the board in these months in raising cash for Chrysler? A. Extremely engaged.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	PBGC was involved related to the existing pension liability that Chrysler had here in the States and was very focused on the ability to service that obligation in the future. At that time, Daimler entered into a billion dollar guaranty over five years which was satisfactory to the PBGC to permit the transaction to go forward, the transaction being serviced as acquisition of Chrysler from Daimler.  Q. Okay. That was in '07?  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. And then did there come a time when that became an issue in '09?  A. Yes, there was.  Q. How did it become an issue?  A. As it relates to the contemplated transaction would be deemed a change in control.  Q. And let me stop you there. The contemplated transaction
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	2008 or in early 2009.  A. Yes. In my judgment, yes. Q. And we spoke about the monitoring you did of Chrysler's cash position during that time. A. Yes. Q. Why did you monitor Chrysler's position in those months? A. Because the company did not have access to third party capital. Therefore, the only liquidity it has was its cash balances. Q. Okay. And once again, I'd ask you, if you could slow down a little bit because everyone is taking notes here. And I think you're wearing them out.  How interested was the board in these months in raising cash for Chrysler? A. Extremely engaged. Q. And how closely did the board follow the cash position of	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	PBGC was involved related to the existing pension liability that Chrysler had here in the States and was very focused on the ability to service that obligation in the future. At that time, Daimler entered into a billion dollar guaranty over five years which was satisfactory to the PBGC to permit the transaction to go forward, the transaction being serviced as acquisition of Chrysler from Daimler.  Q. Okay. That was in '07?  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. And then did there come a time when that became an issue in '09?  A. Yes, there was.  Q. How did it become an issue?  A. As it relates to the contemplated transaction would be deemed a change in control.  Q. And let me stop you there. The contemplated transaction is the one we've been talking about here in court for two days?

1	Q. Is it fair to just characterize that as the Fiat	1	Q. Okay. And what's the relationship in the settlement
2	transaction?	2	between Daimler forgiving its loan and this half billion dollar
3	A. Fair enough.	3	Cerberus loan?
4	Q. Okay. So you're saying the Fiat transaction is affected	4	A. My understanding, they're linked primarily because
5	by this 2007 arrangement between Cerberus and the PBGC?	5	Cerberus also had actions, potential actions against Daimler.
6	A. Yes. On a change of control, the guaranty would go away.		Q. Okay. Had Daimler and I apologize if you covered this.
7	Q. Okay. So the Fiat transaction is a change of control,	7	Did Daimler condition its forgiveness for the 1.5 billion
8	correct?	8	dollars on Cerberus doing something?
9	A. Correct.	9	A. Yes, they did.
10	Q. And that makes what go away?	10	Q. What did Cerberus have to do?
11	A. The billion dollar guaranty that Daimler provided for the	11	A. Cerberus had to waive there was a prospective or
12	benefit of the pension fund.	12	potential litigation from the purchase agreement back in 2007,
13	Q. Okay. And what's the effect why does that affect the	13	Cerberus against Daimler.
14	Fiat transaction?	14	Q. Okay. And has Cerberus asked for a release?
15	A. Because that given particularly given the market	15	A. They asked for a corporate release to my understanding.
16	dislocation, the pension funds for Chrysler are underfunded.	16	Q. Okay. And has that release has a determination been
17	Q. And so, did there come a time when there was a need to	17	made by the corporation whether or not to give that release?
18	resolved this situation in connection with the Fiat	18	A. The board determined to give the release to Cerberus and
19	transaction?	19	to Daimler as part of this agreement.
20	A. Yes.	20	Q. Okay. And can you tell me, in general terms, why the
21	Q. Okay. And tell, if you could, when discussions began	21	board made that determination?
22	about resolving it?	22	A. Because it was very, very important relative to the PBGC
23	A. I would recollection March and April.	23	that the PBGC let the transaction move forward and not declare
24	Q. Okay. And who were the parties to the discussions?	24	a termination event of the pension fund.
25	A. PBGC along with Cerberus and Daimler.	25	Q. Okay. Now, if I may, direct your attention to other parts
	42		44
1	Q. Okay. And did there come a time when general terms of a	1	of this Debtors' Exhibit 35 and we'll be very quick. If you
2	settlement were agreed upon?	2	* * * * *
3			could move I believe it's to tab the numbered tab 4. And
3	A. Yeah. There was a term sheet brought to the board.	3	behind that is something called Exhibit D. Could you just tell
4	A. Yeah. There was a term sheet brought to the board.  Q. Okay. And if you can turn to I think it's Exhibit B	3 4	behind that is something called Exhibit D. Could you just tell us what Exhibit D is?
4 5	A. Yeah. There was a term sheet brought to the board.  Q. Okay. And if you can turn to I think it's Exhibit B within the document. And I'm referring now to Debtors' Exhibit	3 4 5	behind that is something called Exhibit D. Could you just tell us what Exhibit D is?  A. It appears to be the PBGC settlement agreement.
4 5 6	A. Yeah. There was a term sheet brought to the board.  Q. Okay. And if you can turn to I think it's Exhibit B within the document. And I'm referring now to Debtors' Exhibit 35. And I think that's found at the numbered tab 2 we had put	3 4 5 6	behind that is something called Exhibit D. Could you just tell us what Exhibit D is?  A. It appears to be the PBGC settlement agreement.  Q. Okay. And then if we could go one further back, there is
4 5 6 7	A. Yeah. There was a term sheet brought to the board.  Q. Okay. And if you can turn to I think it's Exhibit B within the document. And I'm referring now to Debtors' Exhibit 35. And I think that's found at the numbered tab 2 we had put in there for your convenience. Do you see Exhibit B in there?	3 4 5 6 7	behind that is something called Exhibit D. Could you just tell us what Exhibit D is?  A. It appears to be the PBGC settlement agreement.  Q. Okay. And then if we could go one further back, there is a tab for Exhibit E. And could you tell us what is Exhibit E?
4 5 6 7 8	A. Yeah. There was a term sheet brought to the board.  Q. Okay. And if you can turn to I think it's Exhibit B within the document. And I'm referring now to Debtors' Exhibit 35. And I think that's found at the numbered tab 2 we had put in there for your convenience. Do you see Exhibit B in there?  A. Yes, I do.	3 4 5 6 7 8	behind that is something called Exhibit D. Could you just tell us what Exhibit D is?  A. It appears to be the PBGC settlement agreement.  Q. Okay. And then if we could go one further back, there is a tab for Exhibit E. And could you tell us what is Exhibit E?  A. Exhibit E is the guaranty.
4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yeah. There was a term sheet brought to the board.  Q. Okay. And if you can turn to I think it's Exhibit B within the document. And I'm referring now to Debtors' Exhibit 35. And I think that's found at the numbered tab 2 we had put in there for your convenience. Do you see Exhibit B in there?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. What is Exhibit B?	3 4 5 6 7 8	behind that is something called Exhibit D. Could you just tell us what Exhibit D is?  A. It appears to be the PBGC settlement agreement.  Q. Okay. And then if we could go one further back, there is a tab for Exhibit E. And could you tell us what is Exhibit E?  A. Exhibit E is the guaranty.  Q. Okay. And a guaranty by who?
4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yeah. There was a term sheet brought to the board.  Q. Okay. And if you can turn to I think it's Exhibit B within the document. And I'm referring now to Debtors' Exhibit 35. And I think that's found at the numbered tab 2 we had put in there for your convenience. Do you see Exhibit B in there?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. What is Exhibit B?  A. It's the execution copy of a binding term sheet.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	behind that is something called Exhibit D. Could you just tell us what Exhibit D is?  A. It appears to be the PBGC settlement agreement.  Q. Okay. And then if we could go one further back, there is a tab for Exhibit E. And could you tell us what is Exhibit E?  A. Exhibit E is the guaranty.  Q. Okay. And a guaranty by who?  A. It would be a guaranty of Daimler to a certain portion of
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Yeah. There was a term sheet brought to the board.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you can turn to I think it's Exhibit B within the document. And I'm referring now to Debtors' Exhibit 35. And I think that's found at the numbered tab 2 we had put in there for your convenience. Do you see Exhibit B in there?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. What is Exhibit B?</li> <li>A. It's the execution copy of a binding term sheet.</li> <li>Q. The one you mentioned a moment ago?</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	behind that is something called Exhibit D. Could you just tell us what Exhibit D is?  A. It appears to be the PBGC settlement agreement.  Q. Okay. And then if we could go one further back, there is a tab for Exhibit E. And could you tell us what is Exhibit E?  A. Exhibit E is the guaranty.  Q. Okay. And a guaranty by who?  A. It would be a guaranty of Daimler to a certain portion of funds for the benefit of the PBGC.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Yeah. There was a term sheet brought to the board.  Q. Okay. And if you can turn to I think it's Exhibit B within the document. And I'm referring now to Debtors' Exhibit 35. And I think that's found at the numbered tab 2 we had put in there for your convenience. Do you see Exhibit B in there?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. What is Exhibit B?  A. It's the execution copy of a binding term sheet.  Q. The one you mentioned a moment ago?  A. It appears to be the case, yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	behind that is something called Exhibit D. Could you just tell us what Exhibit D is?  A. It appears to be the PBGC settlement agreement.  Q. Okay. And then if we could go one further back, there is a tab for Exhibit E. And could you tell us what is Exhibit E?  A. Exhibit E is the guaranty.  Q. Okay. And a guaranty by who?  A. It would be a guaranty of Daimler to a certain portion of funds for the benefit of the PBGC.  A. So Daimler is guaranteeing something to PBGC. And then
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yeah. There was a term sheet brought to the board.  Q. Okay. And if you can turn to I think it's Exhibit B within the document. And I'm referring now to Debtors' Exhibit 35. And I think that's found at the numbered tab 2 we had put in there for your convenience. Do you see Exhibit B in there?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. What is Exhibit B?  A. It's the execution copy of a binding term sheet.  Q. The one you mentioned a moment ago?  A. It appears to be the case, yes.  Q. Now, as a general matter, in the settlement, what did	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	behind that is something called Exhibit D. Could you just tell us what Exhibit D is?  A. It appears to be the PBGC settlement agreement.  Q. Okay. And then if we could go one further back, there is a tab for Exhibit E. And could you tell us what is Exhibit E?  A. Exhibit E is the guaranty.  Q. Okay. And a guaranty by who?  A. It would be a guaranty of Daimler to a certain portion of funds for the benefit of the PBGC.  A. So Daimler is guaranteeing something to PBGC. And then finally, if you could look at the numbered tab I think it's
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yeah. There was a term sheet brought to the board.  Q. Okay. And if you can turn to I think it's Exhibit B within the document. And I'm referring now to Debtors' Exhibit 35. And I think that's found at the numbered tab 2 we had put in there for your convenience. Do you see Exhibit B in there?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. What is Exhibit B?  A. It's the execution copy of a binding term sheet.  Q. The one you mentioned a moment ago?  A. It appears to be the case, yes.  Q. Now, as a general matter, in the settlement, what did Daimler agree to do with respect to loans it to with the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	behind that is something called Exhibit D. Could you just tell us what Exhibit D is?  A. It appears to be the PBGC settlement agreement.  Q. Okay. And then if we could go one further back, there is a tab for Exhibit E. And could you tell us what is Exhibit E?  A. Exhibit E is the guaranty.  Q. Okay. And a guaranty by who?  A. It would be a guaranty of Daimler to a certain portion of funds for the benefit of the PBGC.  A. So Daimler is guaranteeing something to PBGC. And then finally, if you could look at the numbered tab I think it's  3. And within that is something called Exhibit C. Do you see
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yeah. There was a term sheet brought to the board.  Q. Okay. And if you can turn to I think it's Exhibit B within the document. And I'm referring now to Debtors' Exhibit 35. And I think that's found at the numbered tab 2 we had put in there for your convenience. Do you see Exhibit B in there?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. What is Exhibit B?  A. It's the execution copy of a binding term sheet.  Q. The one you mentioned a moment ago?  A. It appears to be the case, yes.  Q. Now, as a general matter, in the settlement, what did Daimler agree to do with respect to loans it to with the company?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	behind that is something called Exhibit D. Could you just tell us what Exhibit D is?  A. It appears to be the PBGC settlement agreement.  Q. Okay. And then if we could go one further back, there is a tab for Exhibit E. And could you tell us what is Exhibit E?  A. Exhibit E is the guaranty.  Q. Okay. And a guaranty by who?  A. It would be a guaranty of Daimler to a certain portion of funds for the benefit of the PBGC.  A. So Daimler is guaranteeing something to PBGC. And then finally, if you could look at the numbered tab I think it's  3. And within that is something called Exhibit C. Do you see that?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yeah. There was a term sheet brought to the board.  Q. Okay. And if you can turn to I think it's Exhibit B within the document. And I'm referring now to Debtors' Exhibit 35. And I think that's found at the numbered tab 2 we had put in there for your convenience. Do you see Exhibit B in there?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. What is Exhibit B?  A. It's the execution copy of a binding term sheet.  Q. The one you mentioned a moment ago?  A. It appears to be the case, yes.  Q. Now, as a general matter, in the settlement, what did Daimler agree to do with respect to loans it to with the company?  A. Well, Daimler had 1.5 billion dollars of second lien	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	behind that is something called Exhibit D. Could you just tell us what Exhibit D is?  A. It appears to be the PBGC settlement agreement.  Q. Okay. And then if we could go one further back, there is a tab for Exhibit E. And could you tell us what is Exhibit E?  A. Exhibit E is the guaranty.  Q. Okay. And a guaranty by who?  A. It would be a guaranty of Daimler to a certain portion of funds for the benefit of the PBGC.  A. So Daimler is guaranteeing something to PBGC. And then finally, if you could look at the numbered tab I think it's  3. And within that is something called Exhibit C. Do you see
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yeah. There was a term sheet brought to the board.  Q. Okay. And if you can turn to I think it's Exhibit B within the document. And I'm referring now to Debtors' Exhibit 35. And I think that's found at the numbered tab 2 we had put in there for your convenience. Do you see Exhibit B in there?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. What is Exhibit B?  A. It's the execution copy of a binding term sheet.  Q. The one you mentioned a moment ago?  A. It appears to be the case, yes.  Q. Now, as a general matter, in the settlement, what did Daimler agree to do with respect to loans it to with the company?  A. Well, Daimler had 1.5 billion dollars of second lien financing to the company. And as part of this settlement	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	behind that is something called Exhibit D. Could you just tell us what Exhibit D is?  A. It appears to be the PBGC settlement agreement.  Q. Okay. And then if we could go one further back, there is a tab for Exhibit E. And could you tell us what is Exhibit E?  A. Exhibit E is the guaranty.  Q. Okay. And a guaranty by who?  A. It would be a guaranty of Daimler to a certain portion of funds for the benefit of the PBGC.  A. So Daimler is guaranteeing something to PBGC. And then finally, if you could look at the numbered tab I think it's  3. And within that is something called Exhibit C. Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. Could you tell us what Exhibit C is?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yeah. There was a term sheet brought to the board.  Q. Okay. And if you can turn to I think it's Exhibit B within the document. And I'm referring now to Debtors' Exhibit 35. And I think that's found at the numbered tab 2 we had put in there for your convenience. Do you see Exhibit B in there?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. What is Exhibit B?  A. It's the execution copy of a binding term sheet.  Q. The one you mentioned a moment ago?  A. It appears to be the case, yes.  Q. Now, as a general matter, in the settlement, what did Daimler agree to do with respect to loans it to with the company?  A. Well, Daimler had 1.5 billion dollars of second lien financing to the company. And as part of this settlement agreement, they would forgive that loan?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	behind that is something called Exhibit D. Could you just tell us what Exhibit D is?  A. It appears to be the PBGC settlement agreement.  Q. Okay. And then if we could go one further back, there is a tab for Exhibit E. And could you tell us what is Exhibit E?  A. Exhibit E is the guaranty.  Q. Okay. And a guaranty by who?  A. It would be a guaranty of Daimler to a certain portion of funds for the benefit of the PBGC.  A. So Daimler is guaranteeing something to PBGC. And then finally, if you could look at the numbered tab I think it's  3. And within that is something called Exhibit C. Do you see that?  A. Yes.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yeah. There was a term sheet brought to the board.  Q. Okay. And if you can turn to I think it's Exhibit B within the document. And I'm referring now to Debtors' Exhibit 35. And I think that's found at the numbered tab 2 we had put in there for your convenience. Do you see Exhibit B in there?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. What is Exhibit B?  A. It's the execution copy of a binding term sheet.  Q. The one you mentioned a moment ago?  A. It appears to be the case, yes.  Q. Now, as a general matter, in the settlement, what did Daimler agree to do with respect to loans it to with the company?  A. Well, Daimler had 1.5 billion dollars of second lien financing to the company. And as part of this settlement agreement, they would forgive that loan?  Q. Was there a condition on that?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	behind that is something called Exhibit D. Could you just tell us what Exhibit D is?  A. It appears to be the PBGC settlement agreement.  Q. Okay. And then if we could go one further back, there is a tab for Exhibit E. And could you tell us what is Exhibit E?  A. Exhibit E is the guaranty.  Q. Okay. And a guaranty by who?  A. It would be a guaranty of Daimler to a certain portion of funds for the benefit of the PBGC.  A. So Daimler is guaranteeing something to PBGC. And then finally, if you could look at the numbered tab I think it's  3. And within that is something called Exhibit C. Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. Could you tell us what Exhibit C is?  A. It's a execution copy of a contribution agreement.  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, I would move into evidence
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yeah. There was a term sheet brought to the board.  Q. Okay. And if you can turn to I think it's Exhibit B within the document. And I'm referring now to Debtors' Exhibit 35. And I think that's found at the numbered tab 2 we had put in there for your convenience. Do you see Exhibit B in there?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. What is Exhibit B?  A. It's the execution copy of a binding term sheet.  Q. The one you mentioned a moment ago?  A. It appears to be the case, yes.  Q. Now, as a general matter, in the settlement, what did Daimler agree to do with respect to loans it to with the company?  A. Well, Daimler had 1.5 billion dollars of second lien financing to the company. And as part of this settlement agreement, they would forgive that loan?  Q. Was there a condition on that?  A. Yes, there was a condition.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	behind that is something called Exhibit D. Could you just tell us what Exhibit D is?  A. It appears to be the PBGC settlement agreement.  Q. Okay. And then if we could go one further back, there is a tab for Exhibit E. And could you tell us what is Exhibit E?  A. Exhibit E is the guaranty.  Q. Okay. And a guaranty by who?  A. It would be a guaranty of Daimler to a certain portion of funds for the benefit of the PBGC.  A. So Daimler is guaranteeing something to PBGC. And then finally, if you could look at the numbered tab I think it's  3. And within that is something called Exhibit C. Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. Could you tell us what Exhibit C is?  A. It's a execution copy of a contribution agreement.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yeah. There was a term sheet brought to the board.  Q. Okay. And if you can turn to I think it's Exhibit B within the document. And I'm referring now to Debtors' Exhibit 35. And I think that's found at the numbered tab 2 we had put in there for your convenience. Do you see Exhibit B in there?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. What is Exhibit B?  A. It's the execution copy of a binding term sheet.  Q. The one you mentioned a moment ago?  A. It appears to be the case, yes.  Q. Now, as a general matter, in the settlement, what did Daimler agree to do with respect to loans it to with the company?  A. Well, Daimler had 1.5 billion dollars of second lien financing to the company. And as part of this settlement agreement, they would forgive that loan?  Q. Was there a condition on that?  A. Yes, there was a condition.  Q. What was the condition?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	behind that is something called Exhibit D. Could you just tell us what Exhibit D is?  A. It appears to be the PBGC settlement agreement.  Q. Okay. And then if we could go one further back, there is a tab for Exhibit E. And could you tell us what is Exhibit E?  A. Exhibit E is the guaranty.  Q. Okay. And a guaranty by who?  A. It would be a guaranty of Daimler to a certain portion of funds for the benefit of the PBGC.  A. So Daimler is guaranteeing something to PBGC. And then finally, if you could look at the numbered tab I think it's  3. And within that is something called Exhibit C. Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. Could you tell us what Exhibit C is?  A. It's a execution copy of a contribution agreement.  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, I would move into evidence inside of Debtors' Exhibit 35, Exhibits B, C, D and E, I think it was.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yeah. There was a term sheet brought to the board.  Q. Okay. And if you can turn to I think it's Exhibit B within the document. And I'm referring now to Debtors' Exhibit 35. And I think that's found at the numbered tab 2 we had put in there for your convenience. Do you see Exhibit B in there?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. What is Exhibit B?  A. It's the execution copy of a binding term sheet.  Q. The one you mentioned a moment ago?  A. It appears to be the case, yes.  Q. Now, as a general matter, in the settlement, what did Daimler agree to do with respect to loans it to with the company?  A. Well, Daimler had 1.5 billion dollars of second lien financing to the company. And as part of this settlement agreement, they would forgive that loan?  Q. Was there a condition on that?  A. Yes, there was a condition.  Q. What was the condition?  A. There was a condition of their release.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	behind that is something called Exhibit D. Could you just tell us what Exhibit D is?  A. It appears to be the PBGC settlement agreement.  Q. Okay. And then if we could go one further back, there is a tab for Exhibit E. And could you tell us what is Exhibit E?  A. Exhibit E is the guaranty.  Q. Okay. And a guaranty by who?  A. It would be a guaranty of Daimler to a certain portion of funds for the benefit of the PBGC.  A. So Daimler is guaranteeing something to PBGC. And then finally, if you could look at the numbered tab I think it's  3. And within that is something called Exhibit C. Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. Could you tell us what Exhibit C is?  A. It's a execution copy of a contribution agreement.  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, I would move into evidence inside of Debtors' Exhibit 35, Exhibits B, C, D and E, I think
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yeah. There was a term sheet brought to the board.  Q. Okay. And if you can turn to I think it's Exhibit B within the document. And I'm referring now to Debtors' Exhibit 35. And I think that's found at the numbered tab 2 we had put in there for your convenience. Do you see Exhibit B in there?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. What is Exhibit B?  A. It's the execution copy of a binding term sheet.  Q. The one you mentioned a moment ago?  A. It appears to be the case, yes.  Q. Now, as a general matter, in the settlement, what did Daimler agree to do with respect to loans it to with the company?  A. Well, Daimler had 1.5 billion dollars of second lien financing to the company. And as part of this settlement agreement, they would forgive that loan?  Q. Was there a condition on that?  A. Yes, there was a condition.  Q. What was the condition?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	behind that is something called Exhibit D. Could you just tell us what Exhibit D is?  A. It appears to be the PBGC settlement agreement.  Q. Okay. And then if we could go one further back, there is a tab for Exhibit E. And could you tell us what is Exhibit E?  A. Exhibit E is the guaranty.  Q. Okay. And a guaranty by who?  A. It would be a guaranty of Daimler to a certain portion of funds for the benefit of the PBGC.  A. So Daimler is guaranteeing something to PBGC. And then finally, if you could look at the numbered tab I think it's  3. And within that is something called Exhibit C. Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. Could you tell us what Exhibit C is?  A. It's a execution copy of a contribution agreement.  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, I would move into evidence inside of Debtors' Exhibit 35, Exhibits B, C, D and E, I think it was.  THE COURT: Any objections?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yeah. There was a term sheet brought to the board. Q. Okay. And if you can turn to I think it's Exhibit B within the document. And I'm referring now to Debtors' Exhibit 35. And I think that's found at the numbered tab 2 we had put in there for your convenience. Do you see Exhibit B in there? A. Yes, I do. Q. What is Exhibit B? A. It's the execution copy of a binding term sheet. Q. The one you mentioned a moment ago? A. It appears to be the case, yes. Q. Now, as a general matter, in the settlement, what did Daimler agree to do with respect to loans it to with the company? A. Well, Daimler had 1.5 billion dollars of second lien financing to the company. And as part of this settlement agreement, they would forgive that loan? Q. Was there a condition on that? A. Yes, there was a condition. Q. What was the condition? A. There was a condition of their release. Q. Okay. Now was there also indebtedness to Cerberus of about a half a billion dollars?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	behind that is something called Exhibit D. Could you just tell us what Exhibit D is?  A. It appears to be the PBGC settlement agreement.  Q. Okay. And then if we could go one further back, there is a tab for Exhibit E. And could you tell us what is Exhibit E?  A. Exhibit E is the guaranty.  Q. Okay. And a guaranty by who?  A. It would be a guaranty of Daimler to a certain portion of funds for the benefit of the PBGC.  A. So Daimler is guaranteeing something to PBGC. And then finally, if you could look at the numbered tab I think it's  3. And within that is something called Exhibit C. Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. Could you tell us what Exhibit C is?  A. It's a execution copy of a contribution agreement.  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, I would move into evidence inside of Debtors' Exhibit 35, Exhibits B, C, D and E, I think it was.  THE COURT: Any objections?  MR. MAYER: Your Honor, a question. Tom Mayer, creditors' committee counsel. We've been we filed a
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yeah. There was a term sheet brought to the board.  Q. Okay. And if you can turn to I think it's Exhibit B within the document. And I'm referring now to Debtors' Exhibit 35. And I think that's found at the numbered tab 2 we had put in there for your convenience. Do you see Exhibit B in there?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. What is Exhibit B?  A. It's the execution copy of a binding term sheet.  Q. The one you mentioned a moment ago?  A. It appears to be the case, yes.  Q. Now, as a general matter, in the settlement, what did Daimler agree to do with respect to loans it to with the company?  A. Well, Daimler had 1.5 billion dollars of second lien financing to the company. And as part of this settlement agreement, they would forgive that loan?  Q. Was there a condition on that?  A. Yes, there was a condition.  Q. What was the condition?  A. There was a condition of their release.  Q. Okay. Now was there also indebtedness to Cerberus of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	behind that is something called Exhibit D. Could you just tell us what Exhibit D is?  A. It appears to be the PBGC settlement agreement.  Q. Okay. And then if we could go one further back, there is a tab for Exhibit E. And could you tell us what is Exhibit E?  A. Exhibit E is the guaranty.  Q. Okay. And a guaranty by who?  A. It would be a guaranty of Daimler to a certain portion of funds for the benefit of the PBGC.  A. So Daimler is guaranteeing something to PBGC. And then finally, if you could look at the numbered tab I think it's  3. And within that is something called Exhibit C. Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. Could you tell us what Exhibit C is?  A. It's a execution copy of a contribution agreement.  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, I would move into evidence inside of Debtors' Exhibit 35, Exhibits B, C, D and E, I think it was.  THE COURT: Any objections?  MR. MAYER: Your Honor, a question. Tom Mayer,

17 MR. STEWART: We might want to clear that up later calling it 35B, 35D. We thought this was the best way to approach the examination because of the way they were organized.  20 organized.  21 MR. KURTZ: Okay.  22 MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the record more straightforward.  23 record more straightforward.  24 Q. Mr. Chapman, before I finish, there's another area I'd like to cover. Obviously, we're here today because Chrysler is which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right?  4 A. That's correct.  5 Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  A. That is correct.  9 Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves Chrysler, fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others?  10 Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others?  11 A. Correct.  12 Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat transactions?  12 Q. What is Greenhill & Company?  24 A. Greenhill is an investment banking boutique formed by Be Greenhill many years ago.  48  1 Q. Okay. And had you heard of him before?  2 A. Yes.  3 Q. Do you know of the reputation Greenhill has in the financial committee?  5 A. Yes. Excellent.  6 Q. Okay. And did Greenhill and Company, in fact, deliver a fairness opinion to the board?  8 A. Yes.  9 Q. When was that?  10 A. That would have been in the late April time frame.  11 Q. And who from Greenhill came to the board to deliver the opinion?  22 A. It was foreenhill & Company?  23 Q. What is Greenhill & Company?  24 A. Greenhill is an investment banking boutique formed by Be Greenhill many years ago.  48  1 Q. Okay. And had you heard of him before?  2 A. Yes.  3 Q. Do you know of the reputation Greenhill has in the financial committee?  4 A. Yes.  4 A. Yes.  4 Q. Okay. And did Greenhill and Company, in fact, deliver a fairn				
a under the impression that might be one of the things— documents that was being offered, liwithdraw my question. 5 THE COURT: Mr. Kuttr? 6 MR. KURT2: No objection, Judge. 7 THE COURT: Mr. Kuttr? 8 Just for the record, B, C, D and E of Estabili 35? 9 MK. STEWART: Believe so, yea, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: All right. 11 (Estabilis B, C, D and E of Debtor's Estabili 35 were hereby received into evidence as of this date.) 12 mr. Chapman — 13 Q. Mr. Chapman — 14 MR. KURT2: Your Honor, just logistically, so there's and them some part of Estabili 35 were hereby realing if 35B, 35D. We thought this was the best way to approach the examination because of the way they were congained. 12 and then some part of Estabili 35 will be — 13 seeking approval from the Court to enter into transactions by which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler; is that right? 14 A. That's correct. 15 Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler; is that right? 16 A. That is correct. 17 Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler; is that right? 18 A. Externec closely. 19 Q. When was that? 20 Q. Was and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others? 21 Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Final transaction? 22 Q. When was that? 23 Q. When was that? 24 Q. When was that? 25 Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Final transaction? 26 Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Final transaction? 26 Q. When was that? 27 Q. When was that? 28 Q. When was that? 29 Q. When was that? 20 A. That would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a propose the transaction? 21 Q. Are you familiar with the term "fairness opinion"? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Could you tell us what is a "fairness opini	1	to receive a final copy of the settlement agreement. If that's	1	Q. How familiar are you with fairness opinions?
decuments that was being offered, I withdraw my question. THE COURT: Mr. Kurtz?  Mr. KURTZ: No objection, Indge. THE COURT: Thank you. All right. It's so admitted. Just for the record, B. C. D and E of Eshibit 35? Mr. STEWART: I believe so, yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. GEshibits B. C. D and E of Debro's Eshibit 35 were hereby received into evidence as of this date.)  Mr. KURTZ: Your Honor, just logistically, so there's an Eshibit 35 that so in evidence that will be in the record and then some part of Eshibit 35 will be an Eshibit 35 that so in evidence that will be in the record and then some part of Eshibit 35 will be an Eshibit 35 that so in evidence that will be in the record and then some part of Eshibit 35 will be approach the examination because of the way they were organized.  Mr. KURTZ: Okay. Mr. STEWART: We might want to clear that up later calling it 35h, 35D. We thought this was the best way to approach the examination because of the way they were organized.  Mr. KURTZ: Okay. Mr. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the camination because of the way they were organized.  Mr. KURTZ: Okay. Mr. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the camination because of the way they were organized.  Seeking approval from the Court to enter into transactions by which it would transfer certain assests and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, chryster LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  A. That so correct.  Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, chryster LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  A. That so correct.  Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, chryster LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  A. A Correct.  Q. Okay. And whis Fiat tran	2	being moved into evidence okay? Guess not. Okay. If I was	2	A. I'm quite familiar.
THE COURT: Mr. Kurtz?  MR. KURTZ: No objection, Judge.  THE COURT: Mr. Sup. All right. It's so admitted.  Just for the record, B. C. D and E of Eshibit 35?  MR. STEWART: I believe so, yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right.  Keshibit S. C. D and E of Debor's Eshibit 35 were hereby received into evidence as of this date.)  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, just logistically, so there's and then some part of Eshibit 35 will be —  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, just logistically, so there's and then some part of Eshibit 35 will be —  MR. STEWART: We might want to clear that up later calling is 358, 350. We thought this was the best way to approach the examination because of the way they were organized.  MR. KURTZ: Okay.  MR. KURTZ: Okay.  MR. KURTZ: Okay.  MR. KURTZ: We might want to make the state of the way they were organized.  MR. KURTZ: Which is the best way to approach the examination because of the way they were organized.  MR. KURTZ: Okay.  MR. KURTZ: Okay.  MR. KURTZ: Okay.  MR. Chapman, before I finish, there's another area I'd like to cover. Obviously, we're here today because Chrysler is that right?  MR. A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, city that right?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, chrysler ILLC would receive a each payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And his First transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, chrysler ILLC would receive a each payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  A. That would have been in the late April fine frame.  Q. When was that?  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. And his First transaction in the formal payment of the past few months with regard to the Iral query and payment in the farman payment of the past few months with regard to the Iral query and payment in the late April fine frame.  A. That would have been in the late April fine frame.  Q. When was that?  A. The paym	3	under the impression that might be one of the things	3	Q. Okay. In fact, you've seen them in other boards you've
6 MR. KURTZ: No objection, Judge. 7 THE COURT: Thank you. All right. It's so admitted. 8 Just for the record, Br. C. D and E of Exhibit 35? 9 MR. STEWART: I believe so, yes, Your Honor. 11 (Exhibits B. C. D and E of Exhibit 35 were hereby clearly and the control of the country of the country of the country of the control of the consideration of the board of dard then some part of Exhibit 35 will be - mile to and then some part of Exhibit 35 will be - mile to an exhibit 35 hids to mile vidence that will be in the record and then some part of Exhibit 35 will be - mile to an exhibit 35 hids to mile vidence that will be in the record and then some part of Exhibit 35 will be - mile to an exhibit 35 hids to mile vidence that will be in the record and then some part of Exhibit 35 will be - mile to an exhibit 35 hids to make the samination because of the way they were organized. 12 MR. STEWART: We might want to lear that up later cling it 35B, 35D. We thought this was the best way to approach the examination because of the way they were organized. 14 MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the cord more straightforward. 15 MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the cord more straightforward. 16 MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the cord organized. 17 MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the cord more straightforward. 18 MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the cord organized. 19 Q. When was that? 20 Q. When was that? 21 MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the cord organized. 22 MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the cord organized. 23 record more straightforward. 24 Q. Mr. Chapman, Evero I finish, there's another area I'd like to cover. Obviously, we're here today because Chrysler is developments were the country of the c	4	documents that was being offered, I withdraw my question.	4	served on?
THE COURT: Thank you. All right. It's so admitted.  By Jauf for the record, B, C, D and E of Exhibit 35?  MR. STEWART: I believe so, yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right.  Geshibits B, C, D and E of Debtor's Exhibit 35 were hereby received mine vidence as of this date.)  Q. Mr. Chapman -  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, just logistically, so there's an Exhibit 35 that's not in evidence that will be in the record and then some part of Exhibit 35 will be -  MR. STEWART: We might want to clear that up later calling it 35B, 35D. We thought this was the best way to approach the examination because of the way they were organized.  MR. KURTZ: Okay.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the sike to cover. Obviously, we're here today because Chrysler is like to cover. Obviously, we're here today because Chrysler is that right?  A. That scorrect.  Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, fis that right?  A. That scorrect.  Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat transaction?  A. That would be in mid to late April.  Q. When was that?  A. Pash. In carlier parts of my carreer, correct.  Q. A. Yes. In callier parts of my carreer, correct.  Q. A. Yes. In call reputs of my carreer, of my carreer, correct.  Q. A. Yes. In callier parts of my carreer, correct.  Q. Did there considerations of the board of directors?  L. It provides a very impartial review of the consideration of directors?  L. It provides a very impartial review of the consideration of directors?  L. It provides a very impartial review of the consideration of directors?  L. A. It provides a very impartial review of the consideration of directors?  L. A. It provides a very impartial review of the consideration of directors?  L. A. It provides a very impartial review of the consideration of the consideration of the consideration of the consideration provided and the facts and circumstances presented. In this c	5	THE COURT: Mr. Kurtz?	5	A. Yes.
8 Just for the record, B, C, D and E of Exhibit 35? 9 MR. STEWART: I believe so, yes, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: All right. 12 received into evidence as of this date.) 13 Q. Mr. Chapman - 14 MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, just logistically, so there's an Exhibit 35 that's not in evidence that will be in the record and then some part of Exhibit 35 will be - 16 an Exhibit 35 that's not in evidence that will be in the record and then some part of Exhibit 35 will be - 17 MR. STEWART: We might want to clear that up later along approach the examination because of the way they were organized. 18 MR. KURTZ: Okay. 19 A. That was in March. 20 A. That was in March. 21 MR. KURTZ: Okay. 22 MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the like to cover. Obviously, we're here today because Chrysler is like to cover. Obviously, we're here today because Chrysler is like to cover. Obviously, we're here today because Chrysler is that right? 21 Seeking approval from the Court to enter into transactions by which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, its that right? 22 A. That is correct. 23 Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transfering liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right? 24 A. That is correct. 25 Q. Okay. And this flat transaction, as we know, involves 10 Chrysler, Flat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others? 26 Chrysler, Flat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others? 27 A. That would be in mid to late April. 28 A. Yes. 29 Q. When was that? 20 Q. Nay. And this flat transaction, as we know, involves 10 Chrysler, Flat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others? 29 Q. Okay. And this flat transaction, as we know, involves 10 Chrysler, Flat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others? 20 Q. Okay. And this flat transaction, as we know, involves 10 Chrysler, Flat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others? 21 A. That would be man flat to the bo	6	MR. KURTZ: No objection, Judge.	6	Q. And do I understand correctly, you yourself even have
9 Q. And can you tell us what the importance is, if any, of a fairness opinion to the deliberations of the board of fairness opinion to the deliberations of the board of fairness opinion to the deliberations of the board of fairness opinion to the deliberations of the board of fairness opinion to the deliberations of the board of fairness opinion to the deliberations of the board of fairness opinion to the deliberations of the board of fairness opinion to the deliberations of the board of fairness opinion of the knowledge of the consideration provided by NewCo to OldCo.  A. It provides a very impartial review of the consideration provided by NewCo to OldCo.  A. It provides a very impartial review of the consideration provided by NewCo to OldCo.  A. It provides a very impartial review of the consideration provided by NewCo to OldCo.  A. It provides a very impartial review of the consideration provided by NewCo to OldCo.  A. It provides a very impartial review of the consideration provided by NewCo to OldCo.  A. It provides a very impartial review of the consideration provided by NewCo to OldCo.  A. It provides a very impartial review of the consideration provided by NewCo to OldCo.  A. It provides a very impartial review of the consideration provided by NewCo OldCo.  A. It provides a very impartial review of the consideration in this transaction?  A. Yes.  A. That was new hen the board of managers of our with respect to the First transaction?  A. That would transfer certain asserts and liabilities to a company, sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right?  A. That is correct.  D. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler that replay the provided by NewCondance of the past few months with regard to the First transaction?  A. That would be in the late April time frame.  A. Correct.  D. Okay. And the first transaction, as we know, involves the past few months with regard to the First transaction?  A. That would be company, in fact, deliver a fairness opinion	7	THE COURT: Thank you. All right. It's so admitted.	7	written fairness opinions at various times in your career?
THE COURT: All right.  (Eshibits B, C, D and B of Debtor's Exhibit 35 were hereby received into evidence as of this date.)  Q. Mr. Chapman —  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, just logistically, so there's and then some part of Exhibit 35 will be —  MR. STEWART: We might want to clear that up later addition 358, 350. We thought his was the best way to approach the examination because of the way they were organized.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the record organized.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the record organized.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the record organized.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the record organized.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the record organized.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the record organized.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the record more straighforward.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the record organized.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the record organized.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the record organized.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the record organized.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the record organized.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the record organized.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the record organized.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the record organized.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the record organized.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the record organized.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the record organized.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the record organized.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the record organized.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the record organized.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the record organized.  MR. STEWART: May I agree. We foreshill and to make the facts and	8	Just for the record, B, C, D and E of Exhibit 35?	8	A. Yeah. In earlier parts of my career, correct.
11   (Exhibits B, C, D and E of Debtor's Exhibit 35 were hereby   12   20   20   Mr. Chapman - 13   14   MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, just logistically, so there's an Exhibit 35 brills 35 will be 15   15   16   16   16   16   16   16	9	MR. STEWART: I believe so, yes, Your Honor.	9	Q. And can you tell us what the importance is, if any, of a
12 received into evidence as of this date.) 13 Q. Mr. Chapman — 14 MR. KURTIZ: Your Honor, just logistically, so there's 15 an Exhibit 35 that's not in evidence that will be in the record 16 and then some part of Exhibit 35 will be — 17 MR. STEWART: We might want to clear that up later 18 calling it 35B, 35D. We thought this was the best way to 19 approach the examination because of the way they were 19 organized. 21 MR. KURTIZ: Okay. 22 MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the 23 record more straightforward. 24 Q. Mr. Chapman. before I finish, there's another area I'd 15 like to cover. Obviously, we're here today because Chrysler is 25 like to cover. Obviously, we're here today because Chrysler is 26 Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to 27 treceive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right? 28 A. That's correct. 39 Q. Okay. And this Firat transaction, as we know, involves 10 Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others? 11 A. Correct. 12 Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat transaction? 15 A. Extreme closely. 20 A. Yes. 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. When was that? 23 Q. When was that? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Okay. How closely did the board was asked to vote to approve the transaction? 26 A. Tak would be in mid to late April. 27 Q. Are you familiar with the term "fairness opinion"? 28 A. Yes. 39 Q. When was that? 30 Q. Owlay tall like the consideration provided and the facts and circumstances presented, in this 31 developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat transaction? 31 A. Transocroment of two billion dollars, is that right? 32 A. Tall was Greenhill and Company, in fact, deliver a fairness opinion to the board? 34 A. Yes. 35 Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat transaction? 38 A. Yes. 39 Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat transacti	10	THE COURT: All right.	10	fairness opinion to the deliberations of the board of
13   Q. Mr. Chapman   MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, just logistically, so there's   MR. KURTZ: South Honor, just logistically, so there's   15   an Exhibit 35 that's not in evidence that will be in the record   16   and then some part of Exhibit 35 will be   16   Chrysler retained someone to deliver to them a fairness opinion   17   When the board of managers of   18   Chrysler retained someone to deliver to them a fairness opinion   18   A. Yes.   19   Q. Did there come a time when the board of managers of   18   Chrysler retained someone to deliver to them a fairness opinion   18   A. Yes.   19   Q. When was that?   20   And who fidd the board cretain?   21   A. Careet.   22   A. That was in March.   22   A. That was in March.   23   A. That was in March.   24   A. That's correct.   25   Ikke to cover. Obviously, we're here today because Chrysler is that right?   26   A. That's correct.   27   A. That's correct.   28   A. That's correct.   29   Q. Okay. And in this transaction, as we know, involves   29   A. That is correct.   29   Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves   20   A. That was in March.   20   A.	11	(Exhibits B, C, D and E of Debtor's Exhibit 35 were hereby	11	directors?
MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, just logistically, so there's an Eshibit 35 that's not in evidence that will be in the record an Eshibit 35 that's not in evidence that will be in the record and then some part of Eshibit 35 will be—  MR. STEWART: We might want to clear that up later calling it 35B, 35D. We thought this was the best way to approach the examination because of the way they were or organized.  MR. KURTZ: Okay.  MR. KURTZ: Okay.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the 23 record more straightforward.  Q. Mr. Chapman, before I finish, there's another area I'd like to cover. Obviously, we're here today because Chrysler is 46  seeking approval from the Court to enter into transactions by which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others?  A. Extreme closely.  Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers of Chrysler part and the use of the way they were organized.  A. Yes.  D. Okay. How closely did the board of managers of Chrysler part and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others?  A. Extreme closely.  Q. When was that?  A. Yes.  MR. STEWART: We might want to make the with the term "fairness opinion"?  A. Yes.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the same a l'd like to cover. Obviously, we're here today because Chrysler is and the some a lime when the board of managers of Chrysler is an average of the same and liabilities to a cover chrysler, is that right?  A. That so correct.  Q. Okay. And this transaction, in addition to transfering liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. How closely did the board of m	12	received into evidence as of this date.)	12	A. It provides a very impartial review of the consideration
and then some part of Exhibit 35 that's not in evidence that will be in the record and then some part of Exhibit 35 will be  and then some part of Exhibit 35 will be  make STEWART: We might want to clear that up later adling it 35B, 35D. We thought this was the best way to approach the examination because of the way they were organized.  make MR. KURTZ: Okay.  make STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the cord organized.  make MR. KURTZ: Okay.  make STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the cord organized.  make the cover. Obviously, we're here today because Chrysler is the cover. Obviously, we're here today because Chrysler is decreased in the first organization of the court to enter into transactions by which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves Chrysler, Ichan addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers of the vary of the control of the past few months with regard to the Fiat transaction?  A. Extreme closely.  Q. Okay. How was that?  A. Yes.  MR. STEWART: We might want to clear that up later organized.  A. Yes.  D. Okay. And this first transaction, as we know, involves of the reputation for enhill has in the financial committee?  A. That would have been in the late April time frame.  Q. Okay. How form Greenhill and Company, in fact, deliver a fairness opinion to the board?  A. That would have been in the late April time frame.  Q. Okay. How form Greenhill came to the board to deliver the approve the transaction?  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, before we proceed. —  THE COURT: Go ahead.  THE WITNESS: Th	13	Q. Mr. Chapman	13	provided and the facts and circumstances presented, in this
and then some part of Exhibit 35 will be —  MR. STEWART: We might want to clear that up later acting it 35B, 35D. We thought this was the best way to propose the examination because of the way they were organized.  MR. KURTZ: Okay.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the makes the standard of this transaction?  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the makes the standard of this transaction was the standard of this to cover. Obviously, we're here today because Chryster is  accompany sometimes certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right? A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat transaction?  A. Extreme closely. Q. When was that? A. Yes.  MR. STEWART: We might want to clear that up late with respect to the Fiat transaction? A. Yea All who did be and retain? A. Yea All who did be of manter tain? A. Greenhill & Company.  A. It was Greenhill & Company.  A. It was Greenhill & Company.  A. Greenhill want years ago.  48  That's correct. Q. Okay. And had you heard of him before? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And this transaction Greenhill has in the financial committee? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And this firat transaction, as we know, involves Q. Okay. And the did Greenhill and Company, in fact, deliver a fairness opinion to the board? A. Yes. Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat transaction? A. Extreme closely. Q. When was that? A. Yes. MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, before we proceed — THE COURT: Ex	14	MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, just logistically, so there's	14	case, the consideration provided by NewCo to OldCo.
MR. STEWART: We might want to clear that up later calling it 35B, 35D. We thought this was the best way to approach the examination because of the way they were organized.  MR. KURTZ: Okay.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the coordinate of the control of the state of the coordinate	15	an Exhibit 35 that's not in evidence that will be in the record	15	Q. Did there come a time when the board of managers of
calling it 35B, 35D. We thought this was the best way to approach the examination because of the way they were organized.  MR. KURTZ: Okay.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the like to cover. Obviously, we're here today because Chrysler is like to cover. Obviously, we're here today because Chrysler is seeking approval from the Court to enter into transactions by which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others?  Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others?  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat transaction?  A. Extreme closely.  Q. When was that?  A. That would have been in the late April time frame.  Chrysler Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others?  A. Extreme closely.  Q. Okay. How closely did the board was asked to vote to approve the transaction?  A. That would have been in the late April time frame.  THE COURT: Go ahead.  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  MR. KURTZ: But Was Greenhill & Company.  A. That would have been in the late April time frame.  A. That Was In March.  Q. Okay. And did Greenhill and Company, in fact, deliver a fairness opinion to the board?  A. That would have been in the late April time frame.  Q. And who from Greenhill came to the board to deliver the opinion.  MR. KURTZ: So ahead.  THE COURT: So ahead.  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, before we proceed  THE COURT: Excuse me?  MR. KURTZ: Before we proceed counsel has put before the winess opinion is a review of the consideration provided of testimony from Greenhill. We raised this with the Court	16	and then some part of Exhibit 35 will be	16	Chrysler retained someone to deliver to them a fairness opinion
approach the examination because of the way they were organized.  MR. KURTZ: Okay.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the cord more straightforward.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the cord more straightforward.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the cord more straightforward.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the cord more straightforward.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the cord more straightforward.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the cord more straightforward.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the cord more straightforward.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the cord more straightforward.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the cord managers of low did the board retain?  A. Greenhill is company.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the cord managers of low did the board of him before?  A. Yes. Excellent.  D. Okay. And had you heard of him before?  A. Yes. Excellent.  D. Oyou know of the reputation Greenhill has in the financial committee?  A. Yes. Excellent.  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. And his Fiat transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And his Fiat transaction, as we know, involves of the condition of the board?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And did Greenhill and Company, in fact, deliver a fairness opinion to the board?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And did Greenhill and Company, in fact, deliver a fairness opinion to the board?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And who from Greenhill and Company, in fact, deliver a fairness opinion to the board?  A. That would have been in the late April time frame.  Q. Okay. And who from Greenhill came to the board to deliver the opinion?  A. That would have been in the late April time frame.  Q. Okay. I have been in the late April time frame.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, before we proceed, counsel has put before the witness opini	17	MR. STEWART: We might want to clear that up later	17	with respect to the Fiat transaction?
organized.  MR. KURTZ: Okay.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the correct more straightforward.  Q. Mr. Chapman, before I finish, there's another area I'd like to cover. Obviously, we're here today because Chrysler is 46  seeking approval from the Court to enter into transactions by which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others?  Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others?  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat transaction?  A. Extreme closely.  Q. Did there come a time when the board was asked to vote to approve the transaction?  A. That would be in mid to late April.  A. Yes.  Q. When was that?  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  THE COURT: Go ahead.  THE COURT: Excuse me?  MR. KURTZ: Before we proceed, counsel has put before the witness opinion is a driness opinion is a driness opinion of the absence of testimony from Greenhill. We raised this with the Court	18	calling it 35B, 35D. We thought this was the best way to	18	A. Yes.
MR. KURTZ: Okay.  MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the record more straightforward.  NR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the record more straightforward.  NR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the part of the	19	approach the examination because of the way they were	19	Q. When was that?
MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the 23 record more straightforward. Q. Mr. Chapman, before I finish, there's another area I'd 25 like to cover. Obviously, we're here today because Chrysler is 46 and 25 like to cover. Obviously, we're here today because Chrysler is 46 and 25 like to cover. Obviously, we're here today because Chrysler is 46 and 25 like to cover. Obviously, we're here today because Chrysler is 46 and 26 greenhill as an investment banking boutique formed by Br. Greenhill many years ago.  48	20	organized.	20	A. That was in March.
23	21	MR. KURTZ: Okay.	21	Q. And who did the board retain?
24 Q. Mr. Chapman, before I finish, there's another area I'd 25 like to cover. Obviously, we're here today because Chrysler is 46 40  1 seeking approval from the Court to enter into transactions by 2 which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a 3 company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right? 4 A. That's correct. 5 Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to 6 transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would 7 receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right? 8 A. That is correct. 9 Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves 10 Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others? 11 A. Correct. 12 Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow 13 developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat 14 transaction? 15 A. Extreme closely. 16 Q. Did there come a time when the board was asked to vote to approve the transaction? 17 approve the transaction? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. When was that? 19 Q. When was that? 20 A. That would be in mid to late April. 21 Q. Are you familiar with the term "fairness opinion"? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Could you tell us what is a "fairness opinion"? 24 A. Fairness opinion is a review of the consideration provided 25 Greenhill many years ago. 26 A. Yes. 27 A. Yes. 28 A. Yes. 39 Q. Okay. And had you heard of him before? 29 A. Yes. 30 Q. Okay. And had you heard of him before? 30 Q. Okay. And had you heard of him before? 31 A. Yes. 30 Q. Okay. And had you heard of him before? 32 A. Yes. 31 Q. Okay. And had you heard of him before? 34 A. Yes. 36 Q. Okay. And had you heard of him before? 36 A. Yes. 37 Q. Do you know of the reputation Greenhill has in the financial committee? 38 A. Yes. 39 Q. Okay. And did Greenhill has in the financial committee? 30 Q. Okay. And this frequent of the winess freenhill and Company, in fact, deliver a fairness opinion to the board? 30 Q. Okay. Let me, if loould, give you documents previously marked as Debtors' Exhibits 14 and 15. 30 MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, before we proceed	22	MR. STEWART: But I agree. We might want to make the	22	A. It was Greenhill & Company.
1 seeking approval from the Court to enter into transactions by which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right?  4 A. That's correct.  5 Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  8 A. That is correct.  9 Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves  10 Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others?  11 A. Correct.  12 Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat transaction?  14 transaction?  15 A. Extreme closely.  Q. Did there come a time when the board was asked to vote to approve the transaction?  18 A. Yes.  19 Q. When was that?  Q. Okay. Let me, if I could, give you documents previously marked as Debtors' Exhibits 14 and 15.  MR. STEWART: May I approach the witness, Your Hondapprove the transaction?  18 A. Yes.  19 Q. Are you familiar with the term "fairness opinion"?  20 A. Yes.  21 MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, before we proceed — THE COURT: Excuse me?  22 MR. STEWART: May I approach the witness, Pour Borne we proceed, counsel has put before the witness opinion is a review of the consideration provided of testimony from Greenhill. We raised this with the Court	23	record more straightforward.	23	Q. What is Greenhill & Company?
seeking approval from the Court to enter into transactions by which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others?  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat transaction?  A. Extreme closely.  Q. Did there come a time when the board was asked to vote to approve the transaction?  A. Extreme closely.  Q. When was that?  Q. Did there come a time when the board was asked to vote to approve the transaction?  A. That would have been in the late April time frame.  A. That pound have been in the late April time frame.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  M. The Speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  M. The COURT: Go ahead.  THE COURT: Go ahead.  THE COURT: Go ahead.  THE COURT: Strucks me?  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, before we proceed —  THE COURT: Excuse me?  MR. KURTZ: Before we proceed, counsel has put before the witness opinion is a review of the consideration provided of testimony from Greenhill. We raised this with the Court	24	Q. Mr. Chapman, before I finish, there's another area I'd	24	A. Greenhill is an investment banking boutique formed by Bol
seeking approval from the Court to enter into transactions by which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others? A. Correct. Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat transaction? A. Extreme closely. Q. Did there come a time when the board was asked to vote to approve the transaction? A. That would be in mid to late April. Q. Are you familiar with the term "fairness opinion"? A. Yes. Q. Could you tell us what is a "fairness opinion"? A. Fairness opinion is a review of the consideration provided A. Fairness opinion is a review of the consideration provided A. Fairness opinion is a review of the consideration provided A. Fairness opinion is a review of the consideration provided A. Fairness opinion is a review of the consideration provided A. Fairness opinion is a review of the consideration provided A. Fairness opinion is a review of the consideration provided A. Fairness opinion is a review of the consideration provided A. Fairness opinion is a review of the consideration provided A. Fairness opinion is a review of the consideration provided A. Fairness opinion is a review of the consideration provided  A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes. A. That would had you heard of him before? A. Yes. A. That would inder exptill has in the financial committee? A. Yes. A. That would id did Greenhill and Company, in fact, deliver a fairness opinion to the board? A. Yes. A. That would have been in the late April time frame. A. The would have been in	25	like to cover. Obviously, we're here today because Chrysler is	25	* * *
which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others? A. Correct. Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat transaction? A. Extreme closely. Q. Did there come a time when the board was asked to vote to approve the transaction? A. Yes. Q. When was that? A. Yes. Q. When was that? A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins. A. Yes. A. Yes. A. The William A. Sizewart: May I approach the witness, Your Hone, The Court: Go ahead. THE CURT: Go ahead. A. Yes. A. That would have been in the late April time frame. A. That would have been in the late April time frame. A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins. A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins. A. The Speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins. A. The Speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins. A. The William A. Yes. A. The William A. Yes. A. The Speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins. A. The Speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins. A. The Speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins. A. The Speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins. A. The Speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.		46		48
which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others? A. Correct. Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat transaction? A. Extreme closely. Q. Did there come a time when the board was asked to vote to approve the transaction? A. Yes. Q. When was that? Q. When was that? A. Yes. A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins. A. Yes. A. Yes. A. The would have been in the late April time frame. Q. Okay. Let me, if I could, give you documents previously marked as Debtors' Exhibits 14 and 15. A. Yes. A. The WITNESS: Thank you. A. The WITNESS: Thank you. A. The COURT: Excuse me? A. Yes. A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins. A. The Speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins. A. The WITNESS: Thank you. A. The W				
company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others?  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat transaction?  A. Extreme closely.  Q. Did there come a time when the board was asked to vote to approve the transaction?  A. Yes.  A. Yes.  B. A. Yes.  Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat transaction?  A. Extreme closely.  A. Extreme closely.  A. Yes.  B. A. Yes.  B. A. Yes.  Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others?  A. That would have been in the late April time frame.  A. That would have been in the late April time frame.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  Q. Okay. Let me, if I could, give you documents previously marked as Debtors' Exhibits 14 and 15.  MR. STEWART: May I approach the witness, Your Honor, before we proceed  THE COURT: Go ahead.  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, before we proceed  THE COURT: Excuse me?  MR. KURTZ: Before we proceed, counsel has put before the witness Greenhill materials including a fairness opinion.  We do not believe that the opinion is admissible in the absence of testimony from Greenhill. We raised this with the Court		coalsing approval from the Court to enter into transactions by	1	O Okay And had you heard of him before?
4 A. That's correct. 5 Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to 6 transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would 7 receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right? 8 A. That is correct. 9 Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves 10 Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others? 11 A. Correct. 12 Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow 13 developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat 14 transaction? 15 A. Extreme closely. 16 Q. Did there come a time when the board was asked to vote to approve the transaction? 17 approve the transaction? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. When was that? 10 A. That would have been in the late April time frame. 11 Q. And who from Greenhill came to the board to deliver the opinion? 12 Okay. Let me, if I could, give you documents previously marked as Debtors' Exhibits 14 and 15. 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. When was that Previously approve the transaction? 10 A. That would be in mid to late April. 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Are you familiar with the term "fairness opinion"? 13 A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 A. THE WITNESS: Thank you. 16 MR. STEWART: May I approach the witness, Your Hone The COURT: Excuse me? 17 THE COURT: Excuse me? 18 A. Yes. 19 MR. KURTZ: Before we proceed, counsel has put before the witness Greenhill materials including a fairness opinion. 20 Could you tell us what is a "fairness opinion"? 21 We do not believe that the opinion is admissible in the absence of testimony from Greenhill. We raised this with the Court				•
5 Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to 6 transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would 7 receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right? 8 A. That is correct. 9 Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves 10 Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others? 11 A. Correct. 12 Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow 13 developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat 14 transaction? 15 A. Extreme closely. 16 Q. Did there come a time when the board was asked to vote to 17 approve the transaction? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. When was that? 10 A. That would have been in the late April time frame. 11 Q. Okay. Let me, if I could, give you documents previously 15 marked as Debtors' Exhibits 14 and 15. 16 MR. STEWART: May I approach the witness, Your Hone 17 approve the transaction? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. When was that? 10 A. The Would have been in the late April time frame. 11 Q. Okay. Let me, if I could, give you documents previously 15 marked as Debtors' Exhibits 14 and 15. 16 MR. STEWART: May I approach the witness, Your Hone 17 THE COURT: Go ahead. 18 A. Yes. 19 MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, before we proceed 20 A. That would be in mid to late April. 21 Q. Are you familiar with the term "fairness opinion"? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Could you tell us what is a "fairness opinion"? 24 A. Fairness opinion is a review of the consideration provided 24 Of testimony from Greenhill. We raised this with the Court	2	which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a	2	A. Yes.
6 transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would 7 receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right? 8 A. That is correct. 9 Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves 10 Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others? 11 A. Correct. 12 Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow 13 developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat 14 transaction? 15 A. Extreme closely. 16 Q. Did there come a time when the board was asked to vote to approve the transaction? 17 approve the transaction? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. When was that? 10 A. That would have been in the late April time frame. 11 Q. And who from Greenhill came to the board to deliver the opinion? 12 opinion? 13 A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins. 14 Q. Okay. Let me, if I could, give you documents previously marked as Debtors' Exhibits 14 and 15. 16 MR. STEWART: May I approach the witness, Your Honor THE COURT: Go ahead. 17 THE COURT: Go ahead. 18 A. Yes. 19 MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, before we proceed 20 A. That would be in mid to late April. 21 Q. Are you familiar with the term "fairness opinion"? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Could you tell us what is a "fairness opinion"? 24 A. Fairness opinion is a review of the consideration provided 25 of testimony from Greenhill. We raised this with the Court	2	which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right?	2	A. Yes. Q. Do you know of the reputation Greenhill has in the
receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves  Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others?  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow  developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat  transaction?  A. Extreme closely.  Q. Did there come a time when the board was asked to vote to approve the transaction?  A. Yes.  Did there come a time when the board was asked to vote to approve the transaction?  A. The WITNESS: Thank you.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, before we proceed  THE COURT: Excuse me?  A. Yes.  Q. Could you tell us what is a "fairness opinion"?  A. Fairness opinion to the board?  A. Yes.  G. When was that?  A. Yes.  Q. When was that?  A. That would have been in the late April time frame.  A. That would have been in the late April time frame.  A. That would have been in the late April time frame.  A. That would have been in the late April time frame.  A. That would have been in the late April time frame.  A. That would have been in the late April time frame.  A. That would have been in the late April time frame.  A. That would have been in the late April time frame.  A. That would have been in the late April time frame.  A. That would have been in the late April time frame.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The Speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The Speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The Speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The Speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The Speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The Speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The Wold And The Wo	2 3 4	which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right?  A. That's correct.	2 3 4	A. Yes. Q. Do you know of the reputation Greenhill has in the financial committee?
8 A. That is correct. 9 Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves 10 Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others? 11 A. Correct. 12 Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow 13 developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat 14 transaction? 15 A. Extreme closely. 16 Q. Did there come a time when the board was asked to vote to approve the transaction? 17 approve the transaction? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. When was that? 20 Q. When was that? 21 MR. STEWART: May I approach the witness, Your Honor approve the transaction? 22 A. That would be in mid to late April. 23 Q. Could you tell us what is a "fairness opinion"? 24 A. Fairness opinion is a review of the consideration provided 25 do not believe that the opinion is admissible in the absence of testimony from Greenhill. We raised this with the Court	2 3 4 5	which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Do you know of the reputation Greenhill has in the financial committee?</li><li>A. Yes. Excellent.</li></ul>
Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others?  A. Correct. Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat transaction?  A. Extreme closely.  Q. Did there come a time when the board was asked to vote to approve the transaction?  A. Yes.  Q. When was that?  A. Yes.  A. That would have been in the late April time frame.  Q. And who from Greenhill came to the board to deliver the opinion?  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The Speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The Speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The Speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The Speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The Speaker was the managing director, Bra	2 3 4 5 6	which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you know of the reputation Greenhill has in the financial committee?</li> <li>A. Yes. Excellent.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did Greenhill and Company, in fact, deliver a</li> </ul>
Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others?  10 A. That would have been in the late April time frame.  11 A. Correct.  12 Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow 13 developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat 14 transaction?  15 A. Extreme closely.  16 Q. Did there come a time when the board was asked to vote to approve the transaction?  17 approve the transaction?  18 A. Yes.  19 Q. When was that?  20 When was that?  21 Q. Are you familiar with the term "fairness opinion"?  22 A. Yes.  23 Q. Could you tell us what is a "fairness opinion"?  24 A. Fairness opinion is a review of the consideration provided  10 A. That would have been in the late April time frame.  11 Q. And who from Greenhill came to the board to deliver the opinion.  12 opinion?  13 A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  14 Q. Okay. Let me, if I could, give you documents previously marked as Debtors' Exhibits 14 and 15.  16 MR. STEWART: May I approach the witness, Your Hone approve the transaction?  17 THE COURT: Go ahead.  18 THE WITNESS: Thank you.  19 MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, before we proceed  20 THE COURT: Excuse me?  21 MR. KURTZ: Before we proceed, counsel has put before the witness Greenhill materials including a fairness opinion.  22 We do not believe that the opinion is admissible in the absence	2 3 4 5 6 7	which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Do you know of the reputation Greenhill has in the financial committee?</li><li>A. Yes. Excellent.</li><li>Q. Okay. And did Greenhill and Company, in fact, deliver a fairness opinion to the board?</li></ul>
A. Correct.  Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat transaction?  A. Extreme closely.  Q. Okay. Let me, if I could, give you documents previously marked as Debtors' Exhibits 14 and 15.  MR. STEWART: May I approach the witness, Your Honor approve the transaction?  MR. STEWART: May I approach the witness, Your Honor THE COURT: Go ahead.  A. Yes.  Q. When was that?  A. That would be in mid to late April.  Q. Are you familiar with the term "fairness opinion"?  A. Yes.  Q. Could you tell us what is a "fairness opinion"?  A. Fairness opinion is a review of the consideration provided  Diagram opinion?  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The Speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The Speaker was the managing director.  A. The Speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The Speaker was the managing director.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  A. That is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you know of the reputation Greenhill has in the financial committee?</li> <li>A. Yes. Excellent.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did Greenhill and Company, in fact, deliver a fairness opinion to the board?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat transaction?  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. Extreme closely.  Q. Okay. Let me, if I could, give you documents previously marked as Debtors' Exhibits 14 and 15.  MR. STEWART: May I approach the witness, Your Hond THE COURT: Go ahead.  A. Yes.  Q. When was that?  A. The will be in mid to late April.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, before we proceed  THE COURT: Excuse me?  A. Yes.  Q. Are you familiar with the term "fairness opinion"?  A. The will speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The Speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The Speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The Speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The Speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The Speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The Speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The Speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The Speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.  A. The Speaker was the managing director.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you know of the reputation Greenhill has in the financial committee?</li> <li>A. Yes. Excellent.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did Greenhill and Company, in fact, deliver a fairness opinion to the board?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. When was that?</li> </ul>
developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat transaction?  A. Extreme closely.  Q. Okay. Let me, if I could, give you documents previously marked as Debtors' Exhibits 14 and 15.  MR. STEWART: May I approach the witness, Your Honor approve the transaction?  THE COURT: Go ahead.  A. Yes.  Q. When was that?  A. The WITNESS: Thank you.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, before we proceed  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, before we proceed  MR. KURTZ: Before we proceed, counsel has put before the witness opinion.  A. Yes.  Q. Could you tell us what is a "fairness opinion"?  A. Fairness opinion is a review of the consideration provided of testimony from Greenhill. We raised this with the Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you know of the reputation Greenhill has in the financial committee?</li> <li>A. Yes. Excellent.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did Greenhill and Company, in fact, deliver a fairness opinion to the board?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. When was that?</li> <li>A. That would have been in the late April time frame.</li> </ul>
transaction?  14 Q. Okay. Let me, if I could, give you documents previously marked as Debtors' Exhibits 14 and 15.  15 A. Extreme closely.  16 Q. Did there come a time when the board was asked to vote to approve the transaction?  17 THE COURT: Go ahead.  18 A. Yes.  19 Q. When was that?  19 MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, before we proceed  20 A. That would be in mid to late April.  20 THE COURT: Excuse me?  21 Q. Are you familiar with the term "fairness opinion"?  22 A. Yes.  23 Q. Could you tell us what is a "fairness opinion"?  24 A. Fairness opinion is a review of the consideration provided  25 THE WITNESS: Thank you.  26 THE COURT: Excuse me?  27 MR. KURTZ: Before we proceed, counsel has put before the witness Greenhill materials including a fairness opinion.  28 We do not believe that the opinion is admissible in the absence of testimony from Greenhill. We raised this with the Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others?  A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes. Q. Do you know of the reputation Greenhill has in the financial committee? A. Yes. Excellent. Q. Okay. And did Greenhill and Company, in fact, deliver a fairness opinion to the board? A. Yes. Q. When was that? A. That would have been in the late April time frame. Q. And who from Greenhill came to the board to deliver the
A. Extreme closely.  Q. Did there come a time when the board was asked to vote to approve the transaction?  A. Yes.  Q. When was that?  A. That would be in mid to late April.  Q. Are you familiar with the term "fairness opinion"?  A. Yes.  Q. Could you tell us what is a "fairness opinion"?  A. Fairness opinion is a review of the consideration provided  15 marked as Debtors' Exhibits 14 and 15.  MR. STEWART: May I approach the witness, Your Honor approach the witness approach the witness approach the witness approach approach the witness approach approach the witness approach the witness approach the witness approach app	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others?  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Yes. Q. Do you know of the reputation Greenhill has in the financial committee? A. Yes. Excellent. Q. Okay. And did Greenhill and Company, in fact, deliver a fairness opinion to the board? A. Yes. Q. When was that? A. That would have been in the late April time frame. Q. And who from Greenhill came to the board to deliver the opinion?
Q. Did there come a time when the board was asked to vote to approve the transaction?  17 approve the transaction?  18 A. Yes.  19 Q. When was that?  20 A. That would be in mid to late April.  21 Q. Are you familiar with the term "fairness opinion"?  22 A. Yes.  23 Q. Could you tell us what is a "fairness opinion"?  24 A. Fairness opinion is a review of the consideration provided  16 MR. STEWART: May I approach the witness, Your Hond THE COURT: Go ahead.  17 THE COURT: Go ahead.  18 THE WITNESS: Thank you.  19 MR. KURTZ: Your Hond, before we proceed  20 THE COURT: Excuse me?  21 MR. KURTZ: Before we proceed, counsel has put before the witness Greenhill materials including a fairness opinion.  23 We do not believe that the opinion is admissible in the absence of testimony from Greenhill. We raised this with the Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others?  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes. Q. Do you know of the reputation Greenhill has in the financial committee? A. Yes. Excellent. Q. Okay. And did Greenhill and Company, in fact, deliver a fairness opinion to the board? A. Yes. Q. When was that? A. That would have been in the late April time frame. Q. And who from Greenhill came to the board to deliver the opinion? A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.
approve the transaction?  17 THE COURT: Go ahead.  18 A. Yes.  19 Q. When was that?  19 MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, before we proceed  20 A. That would be in mid to late April.  20 THE COURT: Excuse me?  21 Q. Are you familiar with the term "fairness opinion"?  22 MR. KURTZ: Before we proceed, counsel has put before  23 Q. Could you tell us what is a "fairness opinion"?  24 A. Fairness opinion is a review of the consideration provided  25 THE COURT: Excuse me?  26 We do not believe that the opinion is admissible in the absence  27 We do not believe that the opinion is admissible in the Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others?  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat transaction?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes. Q. Do you know of the reputation Greenhill has in the financial committee? A. Yes. Excellent. Q. Okay. And did Greenhill and Company, in fact, deliver a fairness opinion to the board? A. Yes. Q. When was that? A. That would have been in the late April time frame. Q. And who from Greenhill came to the board to deliver the opinion? A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins. Q. Okay. Let me, if I could, give you documents previously
A. Yes.  18 THE WITNESS: Thank you.  19 Q. When was that?  19 MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, before we proceed  20 A. That would be in mid to late April.  20 THE COURT: Excuse me?  21 Q. Are you familiar with the term "fairness opinion"?  22 MR. KURTZ: Before we proceed, counsel has put before  23 Q. Could you tell us what is a "fairness opinion"?  24 A. Fairness opinion is a review of the consideration provided  25 THE WITNESS: Thank you.  26 WR. KURTZ: Your Honor, before we proceed  27 WR. KURTZ: Before we proceed, counsel has put before  28 We do not believe that the opinion is admissible in the absence  29 Of testimony from Greenhill. We raised this with the Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others?  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat transaction?  A. Extreme closely.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes. Q. Do you know of the reputation Greenhill has in the financial committee? A. Yes. Excellent. Q. Okay. And did Greenhill and Company, in fact, deliver a fairness opinion to the board? A. Yes. Q. When was that? A. That would have been in the late April time frame. Q. And who from Greenhill came to the board to deliver the opinion? A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins. Q. Okay. Let me, if I could, give you documents previously marked as Debtors' Exhibits 14 and 15.
Q. When was that?  A. That would be in mid to late April.  Q. Are you familiar with the term "fairness opinion"?  A. Yes.  Q. Could you tell us what is a "fairness opinion"?  A. Fairness opinion is a review of the consideration provided  19 MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, before we proceed  THE COURT: Excuse me?  MR. KURTZ: Before we proceed, counsel has put before  21 MR. KURTZ: Before we proceed, counsel has put before  22 the witness Greenhill materials including a fairness opinion.  23 We do not believe that the opinion is admissible in the absence  24 of testimony from Greenhill. We raised this with the Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others?  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat transaction?  A. Extreme closely.  Q. Did there come a time when the board was asked to vote to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you know of the reputation Greenhill has in the financial committee?</li> <li>A. Yes. Excellent.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did Greenhill and Company, in fact, deliver a fairness opinion to the board?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. When was that?</li> <li>A. That would have been in the late April time frame.</li> <li>Q. And who from Greenhill came to the board to deliver the opinion?</li> <li>A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let me, if I could, give you documents previously marked as Debtors' Exhibits 14 and 15.</li> <li>MR. STEWART: May I approach the witness, Your Honor</li> </ul>
A. That would be in mid to late April.  Q. Are you familiar with the term "fairness opinion"?  A. Yes.  Q. Could you tell us what is a "fairness opinion"?  A. Fairness opinion is a review of the consideration provided  20 THE COURT: Excuse me?  MR. KURTZ: Before we proceed, counsel has put before  the witness Greenhill materials including a fairness opinion.  We do not believe that the opinion is admissible in the absence  of testimony from Greenhill. We raised this with the Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others?  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat transaction?  A. Extreme closely.  Q. Did there come a time when the board was asked to vote to approve the transaction?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you know of the reputation Greenhill has in the financial committee?</li> <li>A. Yes. Excellent.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did Greenhill and Company, in fact, deliver a fairness opinion to the board?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. When was that?</li> <li>A. That would have been in the late April time frame.</li> <li>Q. And who from Greenhill came to the board to deliver the opinion?</li> <li>A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let me, if I could, give you documents previously marked as Debtors' Exhibits 14 and 15.</li> <li>MR. STEWART: May I approach the witness, Your Honor THE COURT: Go ahead.</li> </ul>
Q. Are you familiar with the term "fairness opinion"?  A. Yes.  Q. Could you tell us what is a "fairness opinion"?  A. Fairness opinion is a review of the consideration provided  21 MR. KURTZ: Before we proceed, counsel has put before the witness Greenhill materials including a fairness opinion.  22 We do not believe that the opinion is admissible in the absence of testimony from Greenhill. We raised this with the Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others?  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat transaction?  A. Extreme closely.  Q. Did there come a time when the board was asked to vote to approve the transaction?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you know of the reputation Greenhill has in the financial committee?</li> <li>A. Yes. Excellent.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did Greenhill and Company, in fact, deliver a fairness opinion to the board?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. When was that?</li> <li>A. That would have been in the late April time frame.</li> <li>Q. And who from Greenhill came to the board to deliver the opinion?</li> <li>A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let me, if I could, give you documents previously marked as Debtors' Exhibits 14 and 15.</li> <li>MR. STEWART: May I approach the witness, Your Honor THE COURT: Go ahead.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you.</li> </ul>
A. Yes.  22 the witness Greenhill materials including a fairness opinion.  23 Q. Could you tell us what is a "fairness opinion"?  24 A. Fairness opinion is a review of the consideration provided  25 the witness Greenhill materials including a fairness opinion.  26 We do not believe that the opinion is admissible in the absence of testimony from Greenhill. We raised this with the Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others?  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat transaction?  A. Extreme closely.  Q. Did there come a time when the board was asked to vote to approve the transaction?  A. Yes.  Q. When was that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you know of the reputation Greenhill has in the financial committee?</li> <li>A. Yes. Excellent.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did Greenhill and Company, in fact, deliver a fairness opinion to the board?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. When was that?</li> <li>A. That would have been in the late April time frame.</li> <li>Q. And who from Greenhill came to the board to deliver the opinion?</li> <li>A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let me, if I could, give you documents previously marked as Debtors' Exhibits 14 and 15.</li> <li>MR. STEWART: May I approach the witness, Your Honor THE COURT: Go ahead.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, before we proceed</li> </ul>
Q. Could you tell us what is a "fairness opinion"?  23 We do not believe that the opinion is admissible in the absence 24 A. Fairness opinion is a review of the consideration provided 25 We do not believe that the opinion is admissible in the absence 26 of testimony from Greenhill. We raised this with the Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others?  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat transaction?  A. Extreme closely.  Q. Did there come a time when the board was asked to vote to approve the transaction?  A. Yes.  Q. When was that?  A. That would be in mid to late April.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you know of the reputation Greenhill has in the financial committee?</li> <li>A. Yes. Excellent.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did Greenhill and Company, in fact, deliver a fairness opinion to the board?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. When was that?</li> <li>A. That would have been in the late April time frame.</li> <li>Q. And who from Greenhill came to the board to deliver the opinion?</li> <li>A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let me, if I could, give you documents previously marked as Debtors' Exhibits 14 and 15.</li> <li>MR. STEWART: May I approach the witness, Your Honor THE COURT: Go ahead.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, before we proceed THE COURT: Excuse me?</li> </ul>
A. Fairness opinion is a review of the consideration provided 24 of testimony from Greenhill. We raised this with the Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others?  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat transaction?  A. Extreme closely.  Q. Did there come a time when the board was asked to vote to approve the transaction?  A. Yes.  Q. When was that?  A. That would be in mid to late April.  Q. Are you familiar with the term "fairness opinion"?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you know of the reputation Greenhill has in the financial committee?</li> <li>A. Yes. Excellent.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did Greenhill and Company, in fact, deliver a fairness opinion to the board?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. When was that?</li> <li>A. That would have been in the late April time frame.</li> <li>Q. And who from Greenhill came to the board to deliver the opinion?</li> <li>A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let me, if I could, give you documents previously marked as Debtors' Exhibits 14 and 15.</li> <li>MR. STEWART: May I approach the witness, Your Honor THE COURT: Go ahead.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, before we proceed THE COURT: Excuse me?</li> <li>MR. KURTZ: Before we proceed, counsel has put before</li> </ul>
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others?  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat transaction?  A. Extreme closely.  Q. Did there come a time when the board was asked to vote to approve the transaction?  A. Yes.  Q. When was that?  A. That would be in mid to late April.  Q. Are you familiar with the term "fairness opinion"?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you know of the reputation Greenhill has in the financial committee?</li> <li>A. Yes. Excellent.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did Greenhill and Company, in fact, deliver a fairness opinion to the board?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. When was that?</li> <li>A. That would have been in the late April time frame.</li> <li>Q. And who from Greenhill came to the board to deliver the opinion?</li> <li>A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let me, if I could, give you documents previously marked as Debtors' Exhibits 14 and 15.</li> <li>MR. STEWART: May I approach the witness, Your Honor THE COURT: Go ahead.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, before we proceed THE COURT: Excuse me?</li> <li>MR. KURTZ: Before we proceed, counsel has put before the witness Greenhill materials including a fairness opinion.</li> </ul>
josetasj. Tild we talse the objection again today. Tills is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others?  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat transaction?  A. Extreme closely.  Q. Did there come a time when the board was asked to vote to approve the transaction?  A. Yes.  Q. When was that?  A. That would be in mid to late April.  Q. Are you familiar with the term "fairness opinion"?  A. Yes.  Q. Could you tell us what is a "fairness opinion"?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you know of the reputation Greenhill has in the financial committee?</li> <li>A. Yes. Excellent.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did Greenhill and Company, in fact, deliver a fairness opinion to the board?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. When was that?</li> <li>A. That would have been in the late April time frame.</li> <li>Q. And who from Greenhill came to the board to deliver the opinion?</li> <li>A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let me, if I could, give you documents previously marked as Debtors' Exhibits 14 and 15.</li> <li>MR. STEWART: May I approach the witness, Your Honor THE COURT: Go ahead.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, before we proceed THE COURT: Excuse me?</li> <li>MR. KURTZ: Before we proceed, counsel has put before the witness Greenhill materials including a fairness opinion.</li> <li>We do not believe that the opinion is admissible in the absence</li> </ul>
47   49	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	which it would transfer certain assets and liabilities to a company sometimes known as New Chrysler, is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And in this transaction, in addition to transferring liabilities to New Chrysler, Chrysler LLC would receive a cash payment of two billion dollars, is that right?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And this Fiat transaction, as we know, involves Chrysler, Fiat and the U.S. Treasury and perhaps others?  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. How closely did the board of managers follow developments over the past few months with regard to the Fiat transaction?  A. Extreme closely.  Q. Did there come a time when the board was asked to vote to approve the transaction?  A. Yes.  Q. When was that?  A. That would be in mid to late April.  Q. Are you familiar with the term "fairness opinion"?  A. Yes.  Q. Could you tell us what is a "fairness opinion"?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you know of the reputation Greenhill has in the financial committee?</li> <li>A. Yes. Excellent.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did Greenhill and Company, in fact, deliver a fairness opinion to the board?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. When was that?</li> <li>A. That would have been in the late April time frame.</li> <li>Q. And who from Greenhill came to the board to deliver the opinion?</li> <li>A. The speaker was the managing director, Bradley Robins.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let me, if I could, give you documents previously marked as Debtors' Exhibits 14 and 15.</li> <li>MR. STEWART: May I approach the witness, Your Honor THE COURT: Go ahead.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, before we proceed THE COURT: Excuse me?</li> <li>MR. KURTZ: Before we proceed, counsel has put before the witness Greenhill materials including a fairness opinion.</li> <li>We do not believe that the opinion is admissible in the absence of testimony from Greenhill. We raised this with the Court</li> </ul>

the first time that anybody has made some effort to introduce party in issuing a fairness report. That's what they did. 2 the evidence. And it is inadmissible hearsay. 2 That's, in part, what you have raised as a challenge to their 3 3 conduct. So it is admitted for that purpose. MR. STEWART: Your Honor, well, first of all, I 4 intend to lay a foundation for the admissibility of it. But 4 MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, just as a clarification. So 5 5 more fundamentally, if for no other reason, there has been a it's admitted to show what the board considered but it is not 6 challenge here in the objector's papers to the -- how well the 6 admitted for the content, the truth of the content, the truth 7 board abided by its fiduciary duties which goes to the subject 7 of the fairness and the analysis contained therein? of good faith and their state of information. Leaving to one 8 THE COURT: To my knowledge, it's not being sought to 8 9 9 side any hearsay objection, and I think we can surmount that, be admitted for those purposes. MR. STEWART: Yeah. It's being -- I point out I have 10 10 there's no question that the document is certainly admissible 11 to rebut arguments made by the objectors as to the board's --11 yet to move its admission but we might as well deal with it 12 now. It will be moved as evidence in support of the 12 allegations they made about the board's failure to abide by its 13 13 proposition the board was fully informed or informed, retained 14 THE COURT: All right. Just clarify for me. What 14 experts and that the analysis, leaving to one side the 15 15 substance of it, was a detailed, thoughtful and careful are you seeking to get it admitted for. 16 16 analysis as opposed to something that would not meet those MR. STEWART: Admitted for the purpose of showing 17 Your Honor that the board of directors, board of managers of 17 standards. And so, we may well, although I don't intend to in 18 this examination, go into some of the detail Greenhill & Co. 18 Chrysler, made sure they were fully informed as to the 19 transaction, hired outside experts to look at it, had an 19 did. But it's not for the point --20 analysis done and, before they made their vote, relied upon the 20 THE COURT: So it's this witness' belief that it's a 21 expertise of Greenhill & Co. to assure that the transaction was 21 detailed --22 fair to the corporation from a financial point of view. 22 MR. STEWART: Exactly. 23 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kurtz? 23 THE COURT: -- full and comprehensive analysis? 24 24 MR. KURTZ: Well, in the first place, Your Honor, MR. STEWART: Yes, Your Honor. And has good faith 25 there's no exception to the hearsay rule for -- because there's 25 has basis in good faith to rely upon it, which is required of 52 1 some question as to whether or not the Greenhill evaluation and 1 him, of course, as a fiduciary. THE COURT: You can lay the foundation for that. Go 2 fairness opinion was complete. The last part of what counsel 2 3 said was it would be offered to show that they relied on the 3 ahead 4 fairness of the transaction. And that is precisely the 4 BY MR. STEWART: 5 problem. If you want to show fairness through an analysis that 5 Q. Now, I believe you testified that Bradley Robins of 6 was performed by experts, you need to bring those experts into 6 Greenhill & Co. was the representative who dealt with the board 7 court and have them testify to their analysis. You can't back 7 on the subject of the fairness opinion. Is that correct? 8 door that analysis by bringing in a board member that said I'm 8 9 aware of it. It's certainly not admissible. 9 Q. And did there come a time when you met Mr. Robins? 10 THE COURT: Well, he didn't quite say he's aware of 10 A. Yes. 11 it. He said he considered it. 11 O. And when was that? 12 MR. KURTZ: Okay. Well, he can say -- same answer, 12 A. That was -- again, there were two sessions toward the end 13 Judge. He certainly can't -- you can't back door an expert 13 14 opinion through a witness saying they considered the expert 14 Q. Let's talk about the first session. Now what happened in 15 opinion. If you want an expert opinion in evidence, you got to 15 the first session? 16 bring the expert in and the expert has to testify as to the 16 A. Greenhill was invited to present to the board the process 17 17 that they undertook to work towards delivering an opinion. 18 THE COURT: Well, if the challenge is to whether or 18 Q. And what did they tell you? 19 not the witness took steps consistent with his fiduciary duties 19 A. That -- provided a very fulsome review of the diligence 20 then it seems to me that it could be admitted for what the 20 they undertook as it relates to the transaction that's 21 witness did and the board members to fulfill those duties. It 21 contemplated and the various assessments they had made as par 22 doesn't go to whether this report -- the basis of the report, 22 of that undertaking. 23 the soundness of the report, the credibility of the report. 23 Q. Okay. At that time or at another time, did Mr. Robins or 24 24 None of that, I think, is at issue. It's what did the board anyone else from Greenhill give you or show to you a do. The board considered this report made by an independent 25 presentation --53

VERITEXT REPORTING COMPANY

	T		
1	A. Yes.	1	Debtors' Exhibit 13, you read it when you got it?
2	Q in writing what they did?	2	A. Correct.
3	A. Yes. There was a presentation, yes.	3	Q. And you looked at its analysis?
4	Q. May I direct your attention to Debtors' Exhibit 14. Do	4	A. Correct.
5	you have it before you?	5	Q. And what did the fairness opinion say? What was the
6	A. Yes.	6	conclusion?
7	Q. Can you tell me what Debtors' Exhibit 14 is?	7	A. It said from a fairness perspective, the transaction and
8	A. It's the presentation materials entitled "Project	8	consideration offered was fair.
9	Capital". That's the code board they used, discussion	9	Q. Fair to
10	materials, dated April 30th.	10	A. To the company.
11	Q. Okay. And this is I don't know how many pages long bu	: 11	Q. And was that something that you thought was appropriate?
12	it's a detailed describing Greenhill's work, correct?	12	A. Yes.
13	A. Roughly twenty-nine pages, yes.	13	Q. How consistent was it with the backup that Greenhill had
14	Q. Twenty-nine	14	showed you?
15	MR. STEWART: I would move into evidence for the	15	A. Consistent.
16	purposes of limited purposes, Your Honor, that counsel	16	Q. Okay.
17	raised objected to earlier and that we discussed, Exhibit 14	17	MR. STEWART: To the extent it has not yet been move
18	which is the presentation made by Greenhill & Co.	18	into evidence, Your Honor, I will move Debtors' Exhibit 13 into
	* '	19	
19	THE COURT: Any objections?		evidence.
20	MR. KURTZ: I just reiterate the objections that I	20	THE COURT: Any objections?
21	already raised, Judge.	21	MR. KURTZ: Same objection, Judge.
22	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. It's overruled	22	THE COURT: All right. To the extent it's now
23	and so admitted for the purposes as stated.	23	13
24	(Debtor's Exhibit 14, presentation made by Greenhill & Co. to	24	MR. STEWART: That's the fairness opinion itself,
25	the board of managers of Chrysler, was hereby received into	25	Your Honor.
	54		56
1	evidence as of this date.)	1	THE COURT: All right. To the extent it represents
2	Q. And then did there come a time when Mr. Robins of	2	what the witness relied upon and the board relied upon, it is
3	Greenhill did, in fact, deliver to the board the fairness	3	so admitted.
4	opinion of Greenhill & Co.?	4	MR. STEWART: Okay.
5	A. Yes.	5	(Debtor's Exhibit 13, fairness opinion of Greenhill & Co., was
6	Q. When was that?	6	hereby received into evidence as of this date.)
7	A. It's dated April 30th. It was at a board meeting.	7	Q. And if I may, before leaving this area, Mr. Chapman, what
8	Q. And has this happened at a meeting of the board?	8	reliance, if any, did the board of managers of Chrysler place
9	A. Yes, it did.	9	upon the fairness opinion from Greenhill & Co.?
	·		
10	Q. And were you given a copy at that time of the fairness opinion?	10	A. Given its impartial and third party, tremendous reliance.     Q. Okay. Before I wrap up, we've obviously talked about the
12	· ·	12	Fiat transaction. Is the Fiat transaction the transaction that
	A. Yes. Via e-mail but yes.	13	the Chrysler board of managers preferred over all other
13	Q. Okay. And did you review it?		
14	A. Yes.	14	options?
15	Q. What work did you, by the way, in terms of reviewing the	15	A. Up until the government determination that our stand alone
16	presentation materials that we already looked at which is	16	plan that we filed in February was not viable, the primary
17	Debtors' Exhibit 14, to satisfy yourself that Greenhill's work	17	approach was to remain a stand alone, a company out of
18	had been thorough?	18	bankruptcy.
19	A. One, based on my own experience having undertaken fairness		Q. Stand alone meaning Chrysler would remain its own company
20	opinions. And, two, the review of the materials that I would	20	and not go through bankruptcy?
21	do for any board meeting	21	A. Correct.
22	Q. Okay.	22	Q. That turned out not to be possible?
23	A made me very prepared for the discussion with Bradley	23	A. That's correct.
24	Robins.	24	Q. Why not?
	Robins.  Q. Okay. And as to the fairness opinion itself, which is	24 25	<ul><li>Q. Why not?</li><li>A. Because, unfortunately, the company could not attract the</li></ul>

1			
I	capital and liquidity it needed to get the government support	1	Q. You testified that the board had concluded that it was a
2	for a viable stand alone plan.	2	viable way to proceed to have a stand alone reorganization,
3	Q. Now, before entering into the Fiat transaction, did the	3	correct?
4	board also consider other alternatives to the Fiat transaction?	4	A. Subject to getting financing.
5	A. The company consistently, over an extensive period of	5	Q. That was the board's decision, though, right? The board
6	time, was considering a number of other strategic options.	6	preferred a stand alone solution, right?
7	Q. Was one of those options simply liquidating the company?	7	A. Subject to getting adequate financing.
8	A. Yes.	8	Q. From the government, right?
9	Q. And did the board analyze which option would maximize the	9	A. From any party that would provide financing.
10	return to the company's constituencies?	10	Q. Well, were you negotiating with the government for
11	A. Absolutely.	11	financing?
12	Q. And what did they determine with respect to a liquidation?	12	A. We were negotiating with the government as well as
13	A. Liquidation would not result in a recovery that was	13	reaching out to the first lienholders for financing.
14	comparable to the transaction put forth the Fiat	14	Q. Okay. And the government determined that they would not
15	transaction.	15	provide the financing for Chrysler to proceed as a stand alone
16	Q. So do I understand correctly that the board of managers,	16	entity, right?
17	in reviewing the company's options, determined with the Fiat	17	A. That's what we were told by the government.
18	transaction, although not the board's first choice	18	Q. Right. And so, that was reported to you as a board member
19	MR. KURTZ: Objection, Your Honor. Leading. And	19	on March 29th of 2009, right?
20	argumentative.	20	A. That was the day of the board meeting that Nardelli told
21	THE COURT: Well, it is leading.	21	us, yes.
22	MR. STEWART: Let me rephrase.	22	Q. And what would the value have been of the stand alone had
23	Q. And so at the end, what caused the board to decide that	23	the government agreed to provide the financing?
24	the Fiat transaction is the transaction they would pursue?	24	A. I don't recall the specifics.
25	A. Throughout my time on the board, particularly in the time	25	Q. What would happen to the senior secured loans if Chrysler
	58		60
1	frame discussed here, November through April, the company's	1	continued as a stand alone company?
2	journey for liquidity was tantamount and ultimately the	2	A. Subject to the negotiation of the stand alone business.
			71. Subject to the negotiation of the stand arone susmess.
3		3	O They would have stayed in place?
3	government made a determination that they would finance the	3	Q. They would have stayed in place?
4	Fiat transaction and reject our ability to be a stand alone	4	A. Depending on the circumstances in the negotiation.
4 5	Fiat transaction and reject our ability to be a stand alone viable entity. Therefore, it was the only option.	4 5	A. Depending on the circumstances in the negotiation.     Q. The full 6.9 billion would have stayed in place as a
4 5 6	Fiat transaction and reject our ability to be a stand alone viable entity. Therefore, it was the only option.  Q. And just to go back to one thing, and why did the board	4 5 6	A. Depending on the circumstances in the negotiation.  Q. The full 6.9 billion would have stayed in place as a senior secured obligation of the New Chrysler, right?
4 5 6 7	Fiat transaction and reject our ability to be a stand alone viable entity. Therefore, it was the only option.  Q. And just to go back to one thing, and why did the board agree to the Fiat transaction instead of opting for a	4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Depending on the circumstances in the negotiation.</li> <li>Q. The full 6.9 billion would have stayed in place as a senior secured obligation of the New Chrysler, right?</li> <li>A. Again, it would depend on the ability to get financing.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8	Fiat transaction and reject our ability to be a stand alone viable entity. Therefore, it was the only option.  Q. And just to go back to one thing, and why did the board agree to the Fiat transaction instead of opting for a liquidation?	4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Depending on the circumstances in the negotiation.</li> <li>Q. The full 6.9 billion would have stayed in place as a senior secured obligation of the New Chrysler, right?</li> <li>A. Again, it would depend on the ability to get financing.</li> <li>Q. Let's just assume the government financed. Under those</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9	Fiat transaction and reject our ability to be a stand alone viable entity. Therefore, it was the only option.  Q. And just to go back to one thing, and why did the board agree to the Fiat transaction instead of opting for a liquidation?  A. Because based on all the analytics presented to the board	4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Depending on the circumstances in the negotiation.</li> <li>Q. The full 6.9 billion would have stayed in place as a senior secured obligation of the New Chrysler, right?</li> <li>A. Again, it would depend on the ability to get financing.</li> <li>Q. Let's just assume the government financed. Under those circumstances then the senior secured loans would have remained</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9	Fiat transaction and reject our ability to be a stand alone viable entity. Therefore, it was the only option.  Q. And just to go back to one thing, and why did the board agree to the Fiat transaction instead of opting for a liquidation?  A. Because based on all the analytics presented to the board by its experts as well as our review of the materials, it	4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Depending on the circumstances in the negotiation.</li> <li>Q. The full 6.9 billion would have stayed in place as a senior secured obligation of the New Chrysler, right?</li> <li>A. Again, it would depend on the ability to get financing.</li> <li>Q. Let's just assume the government financed. Under those circumstances then the senior secured loans would have remained outstanding as senior secured loans with New Chrysler, right?</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Fiat transaction and reject our ability to be a stand alone viable entity. Therefore, it was the only option.  Q. And just to go back to one thing, and why did the board agree to the Fiat transaction instead of opting for a liquidation?  A. Because based on all the analytics presented to the board by its experts as well as our review of the materials, it provided the greater recovery for all the constituents.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Depending on the circumstances in the negotiation.  Q. The full 6.9 billion would have stayed in place as a senior secured obligation of the New Chrysler, right?  A. Again, it would depend on the ability to get financing.  Q. Let's just assume the government financed. Under those circumstances then the senior secured loans would have remained outstanding as senior secured loans with New Chrysler, right?  A. Assuming it could get adequate financing relative to the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Fiat transaction and reject our ability to be a stand alone viable entity. Therefore, it was the only option.  Q. And just to go back to one thing, and why did the board agree to the Fiat transaction instead of opting for a liquidation?  A. Because based on all the analytics presented to the board by its experts as well as our review of the materials, it provided the greater recovery for all the constituents.  MR. STEWART: Thank you very much. That's all I	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Depending on the circumstances in the negotiation.  Q. The full 6.9 billion would have stayed in place as a senior secured obligation of the New Chrysler, right?  A. Again, it would depend on the ability to get financing.  Q. Let's just assume the government financed. Under those circumstances then the senior secured loans would have remained outstanding as senior secured loans with New Chrysler, right?  A. Assuming it could get adequate financing relative to the first lienholders' perfected lien.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Fiat transaction and reject our ability to be a stand alone viable entity. Therefore, it was the only option.  Q. And just to go back to one thing, and why did the board agree to the Fiat transaction instead of opting for a liquidation?  A. Because based on all the analytics presented to the board by its experts as well as our review of the materials, it provided the greater recovery for all the constituents.  MR. STEWART: Thank you very much. That's all I have, Your Honor.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Depending on the circumstances in the negotiation.  Q. The full 6.9 billion would have stayed in place as a senior secured obligation of the New Chrysler, right?  A. Again, it would depend on the ability to get financing.  Q. Let's just assume the government financed. Under those circumstances then the senior secured loans would have remained outstanding as senior secured loans with New Chrysler, right?  A. Assuming it could get adequate financing relative to the first lienholders' perfected lien.  Q. All right. And the government refused to provide that
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Fiat transaction and reject our ability to be a stand alone viable entity. Therefore, it was the only option.  Q. And just to go back to one thing, and why did the board agree to the Fiat transaction instead of opting for a liquidation?  A. Because based on all the analytics presented to the board by its experts as well as our review of the materials, it provided the greater recovery for all the constituents.  MR. STEWART: Thank you very much. That's all I have, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Depending on the circumstances in the negotiation.  Q. The full 6.9 billion would have stayed in place as a senior secured obligation of the New Chrysler, right?  A. Again, it would depend on the ability to get financing.  Q. Let's just assume the government financed. Under those circumstances then the senior secured loans would have remained outstanding as senior secured loans with New Chrysler, right?  A. Assuming it could get adequate financing relative to the first lienholders' perfected lien.  Q. All right. And the government refused to provide that adequate financing, right?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Fiat transaction and reject our ability to be a stand alone viable entity. Therefore, it was the only option.  Q. And just to go back to one thing, and why did the board agree to the Fiat transaction instead of opting for a liquidation?  A. Because based on all the analytics presented to the board by its experts as well as our review of the materials, it provided the greater recovery for all the constituents.  MR. STEWART: Thank you very much. That's all I have, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination?  MR. KURTZ: Yes, Your Honor. Your Honor, I've	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Depending on the circumstances in the negotiation.  Q. The full 6.9 billion would have stayed in place as a senior secured obligation of the New Chrysler, right?  A. Again, it would depend on the ability to get financing.  Q. Let's just assume the government financed. Under those circumstances then the senior secured loans would have remained outstanding as senior secured loans with New Chrysler, right?  A. Assuming it could get adequate financing relative to the first lienholders' perfected lien.  Q. All right. And the government refused to provide that adequate financing, right?  A. To my they did not provide financing.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Fiat transaction and reject our ability to be a stand alone viable entity. Therefore, it was the only option.  Q. And just to go back to one thing, and why did the board agree to the Fiat transaction instead of opting for a liquidation?  A. Because based on all the analytics presented to the board by its experts as well as our review of the materials, it provided the greater recovery for all the constituents.  MR. STEWART: Thank you very much. That's all I have, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination?  MR. KURTZ: Yes, Your Honor. Your Honor, I've assembled some exhibits that will be used in the cross-	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Depending on the circumstances in the negotiation.  Q. The full 6.9 billion would have stayed in place as a senior secured obligation of the New Chrysler, right?  A. Again, it would depend on the ability to get financing.  Q. Let's just assume the government financed. Under those circumstances then the senior secured loans would have remained outstanding as senior secured loans with New Chrysler, right?  A. Assuming it could get adequate financing relative to the first lienholders' perfected lien.  Q. All right. And the government refused to provide that adequate financing, right?  A. To my they did not provide financing.  Q. And were you made aware of the potential for an alliance
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Fiat transaction and reject our ability to be a stand alone viable entity. Therefore, it was the only option.  Q. And just to go back to one thing, and why did the board agree to the Fiat transaction instead of opting for a liquidation?  A. Because based on all the analytics presented to the board by its experts as well as our review of the materials, it provided the greater recovery for all the constituents.  MR. STEWART: Thank you very much. That's all I have, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination?  MR. KURTZ: Yes, Your Honor. Your Honor, I've assembled some exhibits that will be used in the cross-examination. May I approach the witness and the Court?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Depending on the circumstances in the negotiation.  Q. The full 6.9 billion would have stayed in place as a senior secured obligation of the New Chrysler, right?  A. Again, it would depend on the ability to get financing.  Q. Let's just assume the government financed. Under those circumstances then the senior secured loans would have remained outstanding as senior secured loans with New Chrysler, right?  A. Assuming it could get adequate financing relative to the first lienholders' perfected lien.  Q. All right. And the government refused to provide that adequate financing, right?  A. To my they did not provide financing.  Q. And were you made aware of the potential for an alliance with GM?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Fiat transaction and reject our ability to be a stand alone viable entity. Therefore, it was the only option.  Q. And just to go back to one thing, and why did the board agree to the Fiat transaction instead of opting for a liquidation?  A. Because based on all the analytics presented to the board by its experts as well as our review of the materials, it provided the greater recovery for all the constituents.  MR. STEWART: Thank you very much. That's all I have, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination?  MR. KURTZ: Yes, Your Honor. Your Honor, I've assembled some exhibits that will be used in the cross-examination. May I approach the witness and the Court?  THE COURT: Yes, please. Thank you.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Depending on the circumstances in the negotiation.  Q. The full 6.9 billion would have stayed in place as a senior secured obligation of the New Chrysler, right?  A. Again, it would depend on the ability to get financing.  Q. Let's just assume the government financed. Under those circumstances then the senior secured loans would have remained outstanding as senior secured loans with New Chrysler, right?  A. Assuming it could get adequate financing relative to the first lienholders' perfected lien.  Q. All right. And the government refused to provide that adequate financing, right?  A. To my they did not provide financing.  Q. And were you made aware of the potential for an alliance with GM?  A. Yes.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Fiat transaction and reject our ability to be a stand alone viable entity. Therefore, it was the only option.  Q. And just to go back to one thing, and why did the board agree to the Fiat transaction instead of opting for a liquidation?  A. Because based on all the analytics presented to the board by its experts as well as our review of the materials, it provided the greater recovery for all the constituents.  MR. STEWART: Thank you very much. That's all I have, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination?  MR. KURTZ: Yes, Your Honor. Your Honor, I've assembled some exhibits that will be used in the cross-examination. May I approach the witness and the Court?  THE COURT: Yes, please. Thank you.  MR. KURTZ: May I proceed?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Depending on the circumstances in the negotiation.  Q. The full 6.9 billion would have stayed in place as a senior secured obligation of the New Chrysler, right?  A. Again, it would depend on the ability to get financing.  Q. Let's just assume the government financed. Under those circumstances then the senior secured loans would have remained outstanding as senior secured loans with New Chrysler, right?  A. Assuming it could get adequate financing relative to the first lienholders' perfected lien.  Q. All right. And the government refused to provide that adequate financing, right?  A. To my they did not provide financing.  Q. And were you made aware of the potential for an alliance with GM?  A. Yes.  Q. And were you made aware that Chrysler's lead financial
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Fiat transaction and reject our ability to be a stand alone viable entity. Therefore, it was the only option.  Q. And just to go back to one thing, and why did the board agree to the Fiat transaction instead of opting for a liquidation?  A. Because based on all the analytics presented to the board by its experts as well as our review of the materials, it provided the greater recovery for all the constituents.  MR. STEWART: Thank you very much. That's all I have, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination?  MR. KURTZ: Yes, Your Honor. Your Honor, I've assembled some exhibits that will be used in the cross-examination. May I approach the witness and the Court?  THE COURT: Yes, please. Thank you.  MR. KURTZ: May I proceed?  THE COURT: Yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Depending on the circumstances in the negotiation.  Q. The full 6.9 billion would have stayed in place as a senior secured obligation of the New Chrysler, right?  A. Again, it would depend on the ability to get financing.  Q. Let's just assume the government financed. Under those circumstances then the senior secured loans would have remained outstanding as senior secured loans with New Chrysler, right?  A. Assuming it could get adequate financing relative to the first lienholders' perfected lien.  Q. All right. And the government refused to provide that adequate financing, right?  A. To my they did not provide financing.  Q. And were you made aware of the potential for an alliance with GM?  A. Yes.  Q. And were you made aware that Chrysler's lead financial advisor, Mr. Manzo, was of the opinion that the GM alliance
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Fiat transaction and reject our ability to be a stand alone viable entity. Therefore, it was the only option.  Q. And just to go back to one thing, and why did the board agree to the Fiat transaction instead of opting for a liquidation?  A. Because based on all the analytics presented to the board by its experts as well as our review of the materials, it provided the greater recovery for all the constituents.  MR. STEWART: Thank you very much. That's all I have, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination?  MR. KURTZ: Yes, Your Honor. Your Honor, I've assembled some exhibits that will be used in the cross-examination. May I approach the witness and the Court?  THE COURT: Yes, please. Thank you.  MR. KURTZ: May I proceed?  THE COURT: Yes.  CROSS-EXAMINATION	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Depending on the circumstances in the negotiation.  Q. The full 6.9 billion would have stayed in place as a senior secured obligation of the New Chrysler, right?  A. Again, it would depend on the ability to get financing.  Q. Let's just assume the government financed. Under those circumstances then the senior secured loans would have remained outstanding as senior secured loans with New Chrysler, right?  A. Assuming it could get adequate financing relative to the first lienholders' perfected lien.  Q. All right. And the government refused to provide that adequate financing, right?  A. To my they did not provide financing.  Q. And were you made aware of the potential for an alliance with GM?  A. Yes.  Q. And were you made aware that Chrysler's lead financial advisor, Mr. Manzo, was of the opinion that the GM alliance would be the best way to maximize the value of the Chrysler
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Fiat transaction and reject our ability to be a stand alone viable entity. Therefore, it was the only option.  Q. And just to go back to one thing, and why did the board agree to the Fiat transaction instead of opting for a liquidation?  A. Because based on all the analytics presented to the board by its experts as well as our review of the materials, it provided the greater recovery for all the constituents.  MR. STEWART: Thank you very much. That's all I have, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination?  MR. KURTZ: Yes, Your Honor. Your Honor, I've assembled some exhibits that will be used in the cross-examination. May I approach the witness and the Court?  THE COURT: Yes, please. Thank you.  MR. KURTZ: May I proceed?  THE COURT: Yes.  CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. KURTZ:	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Depending on the circumstances in the negotiation.  Q. The full 6.9 billion would have stayed in place as a senior secured obligation of the New Chrysler, right?  A. Again, it would depend on the ability to get financing.  Q. Let's just assume the government financed. Under those circumstances then the senior secured loans would have remained outstanding as senior secured loans with New Chrysler, right?  A. Assuming it could get adequate financing relative to the first lienholders' perfected lien.  Q. All right. And the government refused to provide that adequate financing, right?  A. To my they did not provide financing.  Q. And were you made aware of the potential for an alliance with GM?  A. Yes.  Q. And were you made aware that Chrysler's lead financial advisor, Mr. Manzo, was of the opinion that the GM alliance would be the best way to maximize the value of the Chrysler assets?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Fiat transaction and reject our ability to be a stand alone viable entity. Therefore, it was the only option.  Q. And just to go back to one thing, and why did the board agree to the Fiat transaction instead of opting for a liquidation?  A. Because based on all the analytics presented to the board by its experts as well as our review of the materials, it provided the greater recovery for all the constituents.  MR. STEWART: Thank you very much. That's all I have, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination?  MR. KURTZ: Yes, Your Honor. Your Honor, I've assembled some exhibits that will be used in the cross-examination. May I approach the witness and the Court?  THE COURT: Yes, please. Thank you.  MR. KURTZ: May I proceed?  THE COURT: Yes.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. KURTZ:  Q. Good morning, Mr. Chapman. Glenn Kurtz of White & Case or	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Depending on the circumstances in the negotiation.</li> <li>Q. The full 6.9 billion would have stayed in place as a senior secured obligation of the New Chrysler, right?</li> <li>A. Again, it would depend on the ability to get financing.</li> <li>Q. Let's just assume the government financed. Under those circumstances then the senior secured loans would have remained outstanding as senior secured loans with New Chrysler, right?</li> <li>A. Assuming it could get adequate financing relative to the first lienholders' perfected lien.</li> <li>Q. All right. And the government refused to provide that adequate financing, right?</li> <li>A. To my they did not provide financing.</li> <li>Q. And were you made aware of the potential for an alliance with GM?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And were you made aware that Chrysler's lead financial advisor, Mr. Manzo, was of the opinion that the GM alliance would be the best way to maximize the value of the Chrysler assets?</li> <li>A. I was not aware of that specific.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Fiat transaction and reject our ability to be a stand alone viable entity. Therefore, it was the only option.  Q. And just to go back to one thing, and why did the board agree to the Fiat transaction instead of opting for a liquidation?  A. Because based on all the analytics presented to the board by its experts as well as our review of the materials, it provided the greater recovery for all the constituents.  MR. STEWART: Thank you very much. That's all I have, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination?  MR. KURTZ: Yes, Your Honor. Your Honor, I've assembled some exhibits that will be used in the cross-examination. May I approach the witness and the Court?  THE COURT: Yes, please. Thank you.  MR. KURTZ: May I proceed?  THE COURT: Yes.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. KURTZ:  Q. Good morning, Mr. Chapman. Glenn Kurtz of White & Case or behalf of the Indiana Pensioners.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Depending on the circumstances in the negotiation.  Q. The full 6.9 billion would have stayed in place as a senior secured obligation of the New Chrysler, right?  A. Again, it would depend on the ability to get financing.  Q. Let's just assume the government financed. Under those circumstances then the senior secured loans would have remained outstanding as senior secured loans with New Chrysler, right?  A. Assuming it could get adequate financing relative to the first lienholders' perfected lien.  Q. All right. And the government refused to provide that adequate financing, right?  A. To my they did not provide financing.  Q. And were you made aware of the potential for an alliance with GM?  A. Yes.  Q. And were you made aware that Chrysler's lead financial advisor, Mr. Manzo, was of the opinion that the GM alliance would be the best way to maximize the value of the Chrysler assets?  A. I was not aware of that specific.  Q. That would be important for you to know?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Fiat transaction and reject our ability to be a stand alone viable entity. Therefore, it was the only option.  Q. And just to go back to one thing, and why did the board agree to the Fiat transaction instead of opting for a liquidation?  A. Because based on all the analytics presented to the board by its experts as well as our review of the materials, it provided the greater recovery for all the constituents.  MR. STEWART: Thank you very much. That's all I have, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination?  MR. KURTZ: Yes, Your Honor. Your Honor, I've assembled some exhibits that will be used in the cross-examination. May I approach the witness and the Court?  THE COURT: Yes, please. Thank you.  MR. KURTZ: May I proceed?  THE COURT: Yes.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. KURTZ:  Q. Good morning, Mr. Chapman. Glenn Kurtz of White & Case or	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Depending on the circumstances in the negotiation.</li> <li>Q. The full 6.9 billion would have stayed in place as a senior secured obligation of the New Chrysler, right?</li> <li>A. Again, it would depend on the ability to get financing.</li> <li>Q. Let's just assume the government financed. Under those circumstances then the senior secured loans would have remained outstanding as senior secured loans with New Chrysler, right?</li> <li>A. Assuming it could get adequate financing relative to the first lienholders' perfected lien.</li> <li>Q. All right. And the government refused to provide that adequate financing, right?</li> <li>A. To my they did not provide financing.</li> <li>Q. And were you made aware of the potential for an alliance with GM?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And were you made aware that Chrysler's lead financial advisor, Mr. Manzo, was of the opinion that the GM alliance would be the best way to maximize the value of the Chrysler assets?</li> <li>A. I was not aware of that specific.</li> </ul>

1	more dire than Chrysler's, yes	1	A. Certain of the assets.
2	Q. Well, as a board member, as an independent board member,	2	Q. I'm sorry. I asked substantially all of the assets?
3	would you have wanted to know that Chrysler's lead financial	3	A. What assets were part of the agreement. I'm not aware of
4	advisor thought that the best way to achieve the maximum value	4	specific facilities but assets were transferred.
5	on Chrysler's assets was through an alliance with GM?	5	Q. Okay. So you as an independent board member are not aware
6	A. Again, I was a party to a meeting ultimately demonstrating	6	that, in fact, the 363 sale contemplates the transfer of
7	that GM was not viable to Chrysler without financing.	7	substantially all of Chrysler's assets
8	Q. Sir, did you hear my question?	8	A. Yes
9	A. Rephrase your question.	9	Q to New Chrysler?
10	Q. Yeah. Please try to answer this question.	10	A. Yes, I am.
11	MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor.	11	Q. Okay. So substantially all the assets, right?
12	Q. As an independent	12	A. Correct.
13	THE COURT: Just a minute.	13	Q. And the purpose of doing this through bankruptcy was
14	MR. STEWART: His response	14	because otherwise the first lien lenders had a security
15	THE COURT: Just a minute, please. The objection is	15	interest in that collateral that was being transferred to New
16	overruled. Just restate the question.	16	Chrysler, right?
17	Q. As an independent board member, would you have wanted to	17	A. From my perspective, the purpose to do it was to get
18	be made aware that Chrysler's lead financial advisor thought	18	financing to preserve the estate.
19	that the best way to maximize the value of the Chrysler assets	19	Q. Well,
20	was through an alliance with GM?	20	A. And the first lienholders were not in a position and were
21	A. Yes.	21	unwilling to provide that financing.
22	Q. Now, the government decided that Chrysler would need to	22	Q. Okay. So the purpose was that in order to get the money
23	form an alliance with Fiat, correct?	23	from Treasury, you wanted to have a bankruptcy so that you
24	A. I don't know what the government decided. I just know	24	could transfer all the collateral secured by the first lien
25	what I was told.	25	facility, right?
23	what I was told.	23	64
			<u> </u>
1	Q. Okay. You were told that the government had decided that	1	A TD of the deal of the state of
			A. To get financing, that was the road to getting the cash.
		2	<ul><li>A. To get financing, that was the road to getting the cash.</li><li>O. As dictated by the United States Department of Treasury,</li></ul>
2	Chrysler had to form an alliance with Fiat, right?		A. To get financing, that was the road to getting the cash.  Q. As dictated by the United States Department of Treasury, correct?
2	Chrysler had to form an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Yes.	2	Q. As dictated by the United States Department of Treasury, correct?
2 3 4	Chrysler had to form an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Yes.  Q. And you were told that the government would loan funds	2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. As dictated by the United States Department of Treasury, correct?</li><li>A. It was a proposal to get us financing.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	Chrysler had to form an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Yes.  Q. And you were told that the government would loan funds only if Chrysler entered into an alliance with Fiat, right?	2	<ul><li>Q. As dictated by the United States Department of Treasury, correct?</li><li>A. It was a proposal to get us financing.</li><li>Q. By the United States Department of Treasury, right?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	Chrysler had to form an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Yes.  Q. And you were told that the government would loan funds only if Chrysler entered into an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. As dictated by the United States Department of Treasury, correct?</li> <li>A. It was a proposal to get us financing.</li> <li>Q. By the United States Department of Treasury, right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	Chrysler had to form an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Yes.  Q. And you were told that the government would loan funds only if Chrysler entered into an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Correct.  Q. And the government also told Chrysler to file a bankruptcy	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. As dictated by the United States Department of Treasury, correct?</li> <li>A. It was a proposal to get us financing.</li> <li>Q. By the United States Department of Treasury, right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And that was the only way they'd give you the financing,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Chrysler had to form an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Yes.  Q. And you were told that the government would loan funds only if Chrysler entered into an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Correct.  Q. And the government also told Chrysler to file a bankruptcy protection file for bankruptcy protection as a means to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. As dictated by the United States Department of Treasury, correct?</li> <li>A. It was a proposal to get us financing.</li> <li>Q. By the United States Department of Treasury, right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And that was the only way they'd give you the financing, right?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Chrysler had to form an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Yes.  Q. And you were told that the government would loan funds only if Chrysler entered into an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Correct.  Q. And the government also told Chrysler to file a bankruptcy protection file for bankruptcy protection as a means to consummate the deal with Fiat, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. As dictated by the United States Department of Treasury, correct?</li> <li>A. It was a proposal to get us financing.</li> <li>Q. By the United States Department of Treasury, right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And that was the only way they'd give you the financing, right?</li> <li>A. It appears to be the case.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Chrysler had to form an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Yes.  Q. And you were told that the government would loan funds only if Chrysler entered into an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Correct.  Q. And the government also told Chrysler to file a bankruptcy protection file for bankruptcy protection as a means to consummate the deal with Fiat, right?  A. It appears to be the case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. As dictated by the United States Department of Treasury, correct?</li> <li>A. It was a proposal to get us financing.</li> <li>Q. By the United States Department of Treasury, right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And that was the only way they'd give you the financing, right?</li> <li>A. It appears to be the case.</li> <li>Q. So that, in fact, means that they dictated the form of the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Chrysler had to form an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Yes.  Q. And you were told that the government would loan funds only if Chrysler entered into an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Correct.  Q. And the government also told Chrysler to file a bankruptcy protection file for bankruptcy protection as a means to consummate the deal with Fiat, right?  A. It appears to be the case.  Q. And why would a Chapter 11 filing allow Chrysler to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. As dictated by the United States Department of Treasury, correct?</li> <li>A. It was a proposal to get us financing.</li> <li>Q. By the United States Department of Treasury, right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And that was the only way they'd give you the financing, right?</li> <li>A. It appears to be the case.</li> <li>Q. So that, in fact, means that they dictated the form of the transaction, right?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Chrysler had to form an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Yes. Q. And you were told that the government would loan funds only if Chrysler entered into an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Correct. Q. And the government also told Chrysler to file a bankruptcy protection file for bankruptcy protection as a means to consummate the deal with Fiat, right?  A. It appears to be the case. Q. And why would a Chapter 11 filing allow Chrysler to complete a deal with Fiat? What was your understanding?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. As dictated by the United States Department of Treasury, correct?</li> <li>A. It was a proposal to get us financing.</li> <li>Q. By the United States Department of Treasury, right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And that was the only way they'd give you the financing, right?</li> <li>A. It appears to be the case.</li> <li>Q. So that, in fact, means that they dictated the form of the transaction, right?</li> <li>A. That's your term, yeah.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Chrysler had to form an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Yes. Q. And you were told that the government would loan funds only if Chrysler entered into an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Correct. Q. And the government also told Chrysler to file a bankruptcy protection file for bankruptcy protection as a means to consummate the deal with Fiat, right?  A. It appears to be the case. Q. And why would a Chapter 11 filing allow Chrysler to complete a deal with Fiat? What was your understanding?  A. If it was the sole basis upon which the company would get	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. As dictated by the United States Department of Treasury, correct?</li> <li>A. It was a proposal to get us financing.</li> <li>Q. By the United States Department of Treasury, right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And that was the only way they'd give you the financing, right?</li> <li>A. It appears to be the case.</li> <li>Q. So that, in fact, means that they dictated the form of the transaction, right?</li> <li>A. That's your term, yeah.</li> <li>Q. Well, do you agree that the United States Treasury</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Chrysler had to form an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Yes.  Q. And you were told that the government would loan funds only if Chrysler entered into an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Correct.  Q. And the government also told Chrysler to file a bankruptcy protection file for bankruptcy protection as a means to consummate the deal with Fiat, right?  A. It appears to be the case.  Q. And why would a Chapter 11 filing allow Chrysler to complete a deal with Fiat? What was your understanding?  A. If it was the sole basis upon which the company would get adequate financing to preserve value.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. As dictated by the United States Department of Treasury, correct?</li> <li>A. It was a proposal to get us financing.</li> <li>Q. By the United States Department of Treasury, right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And that was the only way they'd give you the financing, right?</li> <li>A. It appears to be the case.</li> <li>Q. So that, in fact, means that they dictated the form of the transaction, right?</li> <li>A. That's your term, yeah.</li> <li>Q. Well, do you agree that the United States Treasury</li> <li>Department would only permit the reorganization of Chrysler to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Chrysler had to form an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Yes.  Q. And you were told that the government would loan funds only if Chrysler entered into an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Correct.  Q. And the government also told Chrysler to file a bankruptcy protection file for bankruptcy protection as a means to consummate the deal with Fiat, right?  A. It appears to be the case.  Q. And why would a Chapter 11 filing allow Chrysler to complete a deal with Fiat? What was your understanding?  A. If it was the sole basis upon which the company would get adequate financing to preserve value.  Q. The consequence of which would be to provide the senior	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. As dictated by the United States Department of Treasury, correct?</li> <li>A. It was a proposal to get us financing.</li> <li>Q. By the United States Department of Treasury, right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And that was the only way they'd give you the financing, right?</li> <li>A. It appears to be the case.</li> <li>Q. So that, in fact, means that they dictated the form of the transaction, right?</li> <li>A. That's your term, yeah.</li> <li>Q. Well, do you agree that the United States Treasury</li> <li>Department would only permit the reorganization of Chrysler to the extent that all the assets were transferred to New Chrysler</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Chrysler had to form an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Yes.  Q. And you were told that the government would loan funds only if Chrysler entered into an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Correct.  Q. And the government also told Chrysler to file a bankruptcy protection file for bankruptcy protection as a means to consummate the deal with Fiat, right?  A. It appears to be the case.  Q. And why would a Chapter 11 filing allow Chrysler to complete a deal with Fiat? What was your understanding?  A. If it was the sole basis upon which the company would get adequate financing to preserve value.  Q. The consequence of which would be to provide the senior secured lenders with only two billion dollars, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. As dictated by the United States Department of Treasury, correct?</li> <li>A. It was a proposal to get us financing.</li> <li>Q. By the United States Department of Treasury, right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And that was the only way they'd give you the financing, right?</li> <li>A. It appears to be the case.</li> <li>Q. So that, in fact, means that they dictated the form of the transaction, right?</li> <li>A. That's your term, yeah.</li> <li>Q. Well, do you agree that the United States Treasury Department would only permit the reorganization of Chrysler to the extent that all the assets were transferred to New Chrysler and the first lien lenders were left with only two billion</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Chrysler had to form an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Yes. Q. And you were told that the government would loan funds only if Chrysler entered into an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Correct. Q. And the government also told Chrysler to file a bankruptcy protection file for bankruptcy protection as a means to consummate the deal with Fiat, right?  A. It appears to be the case. Q. And why would a Chapter 11 filing allow Chrysler to complete a deal with Fiat? What was your understanding?  A. If it was the sole basis upon which the company would get adequate financing to preserve value. Q. The consequence of which would be to provide the senior secured lenders with only two billion dollars, right?  A. That was the ultimate result of a negotiation is my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. As dictated by the United States Department of Treasury, correct?</li> <li>A. It was a proposal to get us financing.</li> <li>Q. By the United States Department of Treasury, right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And that was the only way they'd give you the financing, right?</li> <li>A. It appears to be the case.</li> <li>Q. So that, in fact, means that they dictated the form of the transaction, right?</li> <li>A. That's your term, yeah.</li> <li>Q. Well, do you agree that the United States Treasury</li> <li>Department would only permit the reorganization of Chrysler to the extent that all the assets were transferred to New Chrysler and the first lien lenders were left with only two billion dollars?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Chrysler had to form an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Yes. Q. And you were told that the government would loan funds only if Chrysler entered into an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Correct. Q. And the government also told Chrysler to file a bankruptcy protection file for bankruptcy protection as a means to consummate the deal with Fiat, right?  A. It appears to be the case. Q. And why would a Chapter 11 filing allow Chrysler to complete a deal with Fiat? What was your understanding?  A. If it was the sole basis upon which the company would get adequate financing to preserve value. Q. The consequence of which would be to provide the senior secured lenders with only two billion dollars, right?  A. That was the ultimate result of a negotiation is my understanding.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. As dictated by the United States Department of Treasury, correct?</li> <li>A. It was a proposal to get us financing.</li> <li>Q. By the United States Department of Treasury, right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And that was the only way they'd give you the financing, right?</li> <li>A. It appears to be the case.</li> <li>Q. So that, in fact, means that they dictated the form of the transaction, right?</li> <li>A. That's your term, yeah.</li> <li>Q. Well, do you agree that the United States Treasury</li> <li>Department would only permit the reorganization of Chrysler to the extent that all the assets were transferred to New Chrysler and the first lien lenders were left with only two billion dollars?</li> <li>A. We were always open for any consideration for cash</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Chrysler had to form an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Yes.  Q. And you were told that the government would loan funds only if Chrysler entered into an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Correct.  Q. And the government also told Chrysler to file a bankruptcy protection file for bankruptcy protection as a means to consummate the deal with Fiat, right?  A. It appears to be the case.  Q. And why would a Chapter 11 filing allow Chrysler to complete a deal with Fiat? What was your understanding?  A. If it was the sole basis upon which the company would get adequate financing to preserve value.  Q. The consequence of which would be to provide the senior secured lenders with only two billion dollars, right?  A. That was the ultimate result of a negotiation is my understanding.  Q. Right. And the ultimate result of the negotiation, as you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. As dictated by the United States Department of Treasury, correct?  A. It was a proposal to get us financing. Q. By the United States Department of Treasury, right? A. Correct. Q. And that was the only way they'd give you the financing, right? A. It appears to be the case. Q. So that, in fact, means that they dictated the form of the transaction, right? A. That's your term, yeah. Q. Well, do you agree that the United States Treasury Department would only permit the reorganization of Chrysler to the extent that all the assets were transferred to New Chrysler and the first lien lenders were left with only two billion dollars? A. We were always open for any consideration for cash alternatives including from the first lenders first
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Chrysler had to form an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Yes. Q. And you were told that the government would loan funds only if Chrysler entered into an alliance with Fiat, right? A. Correct. Q. And the government also told Chrysler to file a bankruptcy protection file for bankruptcy protection as a means to consummate the deal with Fiat, right? A. It appears to be the case. Q. And why would a Chapter 11 filing allow Chrysler to complete a deal with Fiat? What was your understanding? A. If it was the sole basis upon which the company would get adequate financing to preserve value. Q. The consequence of which would be to provide the senior secured lenders with only two billion dollars, right? A. That was the ultimate result of a negotiation is my understanding. Q. Right. And the ultimate result of the negotiation, as you understand it, was to file a bankruptcy petition in order to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. As dictated by the United States Department of Treasury, correct?  A. It was a proposal to get us financing. Q. By the United States Department of Treasury, right? A. Correct. Q. And that was the only way they'd give you the financing, right? A. It appears to be the case. Q. So that, in fact, means that they dictated the form of the transaction, right? A. That's your term, yeah. Q. Well, do you agree that the United States Treasury Department would only permit the reorganization of Chrysler to the extent that all the assets were transferred to New Chrysler and the first lien lenders were left with only two billion dollars? A. We were always open for any consideration for cash alternatives including from the first lenders first lienholders.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Chrysler had to form an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Yes. Q. And you were told that the government would loan funds only if Chrysler entered into an alliance with Fiat, right? A. Correct. Q. And the government also told Chrysler to file a bankruptcy protection file for bankruptcy protection as a means to consummate the deal with Fiat, right? A. It appears to be the case. Q. And why would a Chapter 11 filing allow Chrysler to complete a deal with Fiat? What was your understanding? A. If it was the sole basis upon which the company would get adequate financing to preserve value. Q. The consequence of which would be to provide the senior secured lenders with only two billion dollars, right? A. That was the ultimate result of a negotiation is my understanding. Q. Right. And the ultimate result of the negotiation, as you understand it, was to file a bankruptcy petition in order to be able to accomplish a transaction which would take the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. As dictated by the United States Department of Treasury, correct?  A. It was a proposal to get us financing. Q. By the United States Department of Treasury, right? A. Correct. Q. And that was the only way they'd give you the financing, right? A. It appears to be the case. Q. So that, in fact, means that they dictated the form of the transaction, right? A. That's your term, yeah. Q. Well, do you agree that the United States Treasury Department would only permit the reorganization of Chrysler to the extent that all the assets were transferred to New Chrysler and the first lien lenders were left with only two billion dollars? A. We were always open for any consideration for cash alternatives including from the first lenders first lienholders. Q. Put aside well, did you get any other alternatives?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Chrysler had to form an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Yes.  Q. And you were told that the government would loan funds only if Chrysler entered into an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Correct.  Q. And the government also told Chrysler to file a bankruptcy protection file for bankruptcy protection as a means to consummate the deal with Fiat, right?  A. It appears to be the case.  Q. And why would a Chapter 11 filing allow Chrysler to complete a deal with Fiat? What was your understanding?  A. If it was the sole basis upon which the company would get adequate financing to preserve value.  Q. The consequence of which would be to provide the senior secured lenders with only two billion dollars, right?  A. That was the ultimate result of a negotiation is my understanding.  Q. Right. And the ultimate result of the negotiation, as you understand it, was to file a bankruptcy petition in order to be able to accomplish a transaction which would take the security I'm sorry take the assets secured by the first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. As dictated by the United States Department of Treasury, correct?</li> <li>A. It was a proposal to get us financing.</li> <li>Q. By the United States Department of Treasury, right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And that was the only way they'd give you the financing, right?</li> <li>A. It appears to be the case.</li> <li>Q. So that, in fact, means that they dictated the form of the transaction, right?</li> <li>A. That's your term, yeah.</li> <li>Q. Well, do you agree that the United States Treasury</li> <li>Department would only permit the reorganization of Chrysler to the extent that all the assets were transferred to New Chrysler and the first lien lenders were left with only two billion dollars?</li> <li>A. We were always open for any consideration for cash alternatives including from the first lenders first lienholders.</li> <li>Q. Put aside well, did you get any other alternatives?</li> <li>A. The answer is absolutely not including first lienholders.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Chrysler had to form an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Yes.  Q. And you were told that the government would loan funds only if Chrysler entered into an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Correct.  Q. And the government also told Chrysler to file a bankruptcy protection file for bankruptcy protection as a means to consummate the deal with Fiat, right?  A. It appears to be the case.  Q. And why would a Chapter 11 filing allow Chrysler to complete a deal with Fiat? What was your understanding?  A. If it was the sole basis upon which the company would get adequate financing to preserve value.  Q. The consequence of which would be to provide the senior secured lenders with only two billion dollars, right?  A. That was the ultimate result of a negotiation is my understanding.  Q. Right. And the ultimate result of the negotiation, as you understand it, was to file a bankruptcy petition in order to be able to accomplish a transaction which would take the security I'm sorry take the assets secured by the first lien facility and transfer it to a New Chrysler, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. As dictated by the United States Department of Treasury, correct?</li> <li>A. It was a proposal to get us financing.</li> <li>Q. By the United States Department of Treasury, right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And that was the only way they'd give you the financing, right?</li> <li>A. It appears to be the case.</li> <li>Q. So that, in fact, means that they dictated the form of the transaction, right?</li> <li>A. That's your term, yeah.</li> <li>Q. Well, do you agree that the United States Treasury</li> <li>Department would only permit the reorganization of Chrysler to the extent that all the assets were transferred to New Chrysler and the first lien lenders were left with only two billion dollars?</li> <li>A. We were always open for any consideration for cash alternatives including from the first lenders first lienholders.</li> <li>Q. Put aside well, did you get any other alternatives?</li> <li>A. The answer is absolutely not including first lienholders.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you went with the government, right?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Chrysler had to form an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Yes. Q. And you were told that the government would loan funds only if Chrysler entered into an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Correct. Q. And the government also told Chrysler to file a bankruptcy protection file for bankruptcy protection as a means to consummate the deal with Fiat, right?  A. It appears to be the case. Q. And why would a Chapter 11 filing allow Chrysler to complete a deal with Fiat? What was your understanding?  A. If it was the sole basis upon which the company would get adequate financing to preserve value. Q. The consequence of which would be to provide the senior secured lenders with only two billion dollars, right?  A. That was the ultimate result of a negotiation is my understanding. Q. Right. And the ultimate result of the negotiation, as you understand it, was to file a bankruptcy petition in order to be able to accomplish a transaction which would take the security I'm sorry take the assets secured by the first lien facility and transfer it to a New Chrysler, right?  A. Selected the assets, yes, certain of the assets.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. As dictated by the United States Department of Treasury, correct?</li> <li>A. It was a proposal to get us financing.</li> <li>Q. By the United States Department of Treasury, right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And that was the only way they'd give you the financing, right?</li> <li>A. It appears to be the case.</li> <li>Q. So that, in fact, means that they dictated the form of the transaction, right?</li> <li>A. That's your term, yeah.</li> <li>Q. Well, do you agree that the United States Treasury</li> <li>Department would only permit the reorganization of Chrysler to the extent that all the assets were transferred to New Chrysler and the first lien lenders were left with only two billion dollars?</li> <li>A. We were always open for any consideration for cash alternatives including from the first lenders first lienholders.</li> <li>Q. Put aside well, did you get any other alternatives?</li> <li>A. The answer is absolutely not including first lienholders.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you went with the government, right?</li> <li>A. I voted to get cash for the estate.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Chrysler had to form an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Yes.  Q. And you were told that the government would loan funds only if Chrysler entered into an alliance with Fiat, right?  A. Correct.  Q. And the government also told Chrysler to file a bankruptcy protection file for bankruptcy protection as a means to consummate the deal with Fiat, right?  A. It appears to be the case.  Q. And why would a Chapter 11 filing allow Chrysler to complete a deal with Fiat? What was your understanding?  A. If it was the sole basis upon which the company would get adequate financing to preserve value.  Q. The consequence of which would be to provide the senior secured lenders with only two billion dollars, right?  A. That was the ultimate result of a negotiation is my understanding.  Q. Right. And the ultimate result of the negotiation, as you understand it, was to file a bankruptcy petition in order to be able to accomplish a transaction which would take the security I'm sorry take the assets secured by the first lien facility and transfer it to a New Chrysler, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. As dictated by the United States Department of Treasury, correct?</li> <li>A. It was a proposal to get us financing.</li> <li>Q. By the United States Department of Treasury, right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And that was the only way they'd give you the financing, right?</li> <li>A. It appears to be the case.</li> <li>Q. So that, in fact, means that they dictated the form of the transaction, right?</li> <li>A. That's your term, yeah.</li> <li>Q. Well, do you agree that the United States Treasury</li> <li>Department would only permit the reorganization of Chrysler to the extent that all the assets were transferred to New Chrysler and the first lien lenders were left with only two billion dollars?</li> <li>A. We were always open for any consideration for cash alternatives including from the first lenders first lienholders.</li> <li>Q. Put aside well, did you get any other alternatives?</li> <li>A. The answer is absolutely not including first lienholders.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you went with the government, right?</li> </ul>

1	A. That's correct.	1	Chrysler is going to sell cars?
2	Q. Okay. And the government dictated that all of those	2	A. They will sell cars. I don't know what that board is
3	assets be transferred in connection with the bankruptcy so that	3	going to determine which cars they will sell.
4	they could take the collateral that was secured in the first	4	Q. And you have no understanding that they will continue to
5	lien facility and move it over to the New Chrysler, right?	5	sell, for instance, Jeep cars?
6	A. I made a judgment	6	A. I would expect they would but I don't know exactly what
7	Q. No.	7	Fiat and that board will have
8	A. I made a judgment at the point in time that maximize value	8	Q. And do you expect them to sell
9	for the estate was to get the cash that was provided by the	9	MR. STEWART: Can he be allowed
10	government and no other party, including the first lien	10	THE COURT: Wait a second. Let him answer the
11	lenders, which we inquired diligently, would provide that	11	question.
12	capital.	12	MR. KURTZ: Okay.
13	Q. Sir, try to focus on my question. I'm not asking about	13	A. Sir, I have no expectation of what Fiat and that new board
14	your judgment. I'm not asking about your options. I'm asking	14	will undertake as it relates to their model and their fleets.
15	about what's happening. Did the United States Treasury	15	I would expect them to sell Jeep vehicles, yes.
16	Department direct Chrysler to file a bankruptcy and a 363 sale	16	Q. Would you expect them to sell the truck line as well, the
17	motion in order to place the collateral secured by the first	17	Chrysler truck line?
18	lien lenders' loans into a New Chrysler.	18	A. I don't know.
19	A. The company elected to file bankruptcy to get adequate	19	
			Q. Okay. Now, in addition to selling Chrysler cars, do you
20	financing.	20	have an understanding that the new Chrysler will have a
21	Q. Not to move and to provide adequate financing in order	21	workforce from the UAW just as the old Chrysler did?
22	to move all the collateral over to New Chrysler, right?	22	A. Yes.
23	A. To preserve value for the estate.	23	Q. By the way, would there be a reason to have a UAW
24	Q. No, no. I've asked you a is it to move the collateral	24	workforce if they weren't going to continue to produce cars?
25	over to New Chrysler?	25	A. I would expect so.
	66		68
1	MD CTEWART. V H h.d id. d.	,	O. T. da
1	MR. STEWART: Your Honor, he's arguing with the	1	Q. I ask you to turn to what is tab 5 in your binder
2	witness.	2	A. Yes.
2	witness.  THE COURT: The witness is directed to be responsive	2	A. Yes. Q which I will have marked as Pensioner Exhibit 13. Now
2 3 4	witness.  THE COURT: The witness is directed to be responsive to the question. Restate the question.	2 3 4	A. Yes.  Q which I will have marked as Pensioner Exhibit 13. Now you see the bottom of that first page of the document is an e-
2 3 4 5	witness.  THE COURT: The witness is directed to be responsive to the question. Restate the question.  Q. Did the United States Department of Treasury direct	2 3 4 5	A. Yes.  Q which I will have marked as Pensioner Exhibit 13. Now you see the bottom of that first page of the document is an e-mail
2 3 4 5	witness.  THE COURT: The witness is directed to be responsive to the question. Restate the question.  Q. Did the United States Department of Treasury direct Chrysler to enter into bankruptcy and a 363 sale in order to	2 3 4 5	A. Yes.  Q which I will have marked as Pensioner Exhibit 13. Now you see the bottom of that first page of the document is an e-mail  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	witness.  THE COURT: The witness is directed to be responsive to the question. Restate the question.  Q. Did the United States Department of Treasury direct Chrysler to enter into bankruptcy and a 363 sale in order to take the collateral secured by the first lien loans and move	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes.  Q which I will have marked as Pensioner Exhibit 13. Now you see the bottom of that first page of the document is an e-mail  A. Yes.  Q from you to Mr. Nardelli?
2 3 4 5	witness.  THE COURT: The witness is directed to be responsive to the question. Restate the question.  Q. Did the United States Department of Treasury direct Chrysler to enter into bankruptcy and a 363 sale in order to take the collateral secured by the first lien loans and move them over to New Chrysler?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes.  Q which I will have marked as Pensioner Exhibit 13. Now you see the bottom of that first page of the document is an e-mail  A. Yes.  Q from you to Mr. Nardelli?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	witness.  THE COURT: The witness is directed to be responsive to the question. Restate the question.  Q. Did the United States Department of Treasury direct Chrysler to enter into bankruptcy and a 363 sale in order to take the collateral secured by the first lien loans and move	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes.  Q which I will have marked as Pensioner Exhibit 13. Now you see the bottom of that first page of the document is an e-mail  A. Yes.  Q from you to Mr. Nardelli?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	witness.  THE COURT: The witness is directed to be responsive to the question. Restate the question.  Q. Did the United States Department of Treasury direct Chrysler to enter into bankruptcy and a 363 sale in order to take the collateral secured by the first lien loans and move them over to New Chrysler?  A. As a condition to getting financing, that's what happened.  Q. And New Chrysler is just a reorganization of Old Chrysler	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes.  Q which I will have marked as Pensioner Exhibit 13. Now you see the bottom of that first page of the document is an e-mail  A. Yes.  Q from you to Mr. Nardelli?  A. Yes.  Q. And you say in the first paragraph, "Also, I sincerely appreciate all your efforts along with your leadership team.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	witness.  THE COURT: The witness is directed to be responsive to the question. Restate the question.  Q. Did the United States Department of Treasury direct Chrysler to enter into bankruptcy and a 363 sale in order to take the collateral secured by the first lien loans and move them over to New Chrysler?  A. As a condition to getting financing, that's what happened.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes.  Q which I will have marked as Pensioner Exhibit 13. Now you see the bottom of that first page of the document is an e-mail  A. Yes.  Q from you to Mr. Nardelli?  A. Yes.  Q. And you say in the first paragraph, "Also, I sincerely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	witness.  THE COURT: The witness is directed to be responsive to the question. Restate the question.  Q. Did the United States Department of Treasury direct Chrysler to enter into bankruptcy and a 363 sale in order to take the collateral secured by the first lien loans and move them over to New Chrysler?  A. As a condition to getting financing, that's what happened.  Q. And New Chrysler is just a reorganization of Old Chrysler	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes.  Q which I will have marked as Pensioner Exhibit 13. Now you see the bottom of that first page of the document is an e-mail  A. Yes.  Q from you to Mr. Nardelli?  A. Yes.  Q. And you say in the first paragraph, "Also, I sincerely appreciate all your efforts along with your leadership team.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	witness.  THE COURT: The witness is directed to be responsive to the question. Restate the question.  Q. Did the United States Department of Treasury direct Chrysler to enter into bankruptcy and a 363 sale in order to take the collateral secured by the first lien loans and move them over to New Chrysler?  A. As a condition to getting financing, that's what happened.  Q. And New Chrysler is just a reorganization of Old Chrysler right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes.  Q which I will have marked as Pensioner Exhibit 13. Now you see the bottom of that first page of the document is an e-mail  A. Yes.  Q from you to Mr. Nardelli?  A. Yes.  Q. And you say in the first paragraph, "Also, I sincerely appreciate all your efforts along with your leadership team.  The circumstances are beyond unique in trying whereby words
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	witness.  THE COURT: The witness is directed to be responsive to the question. Restate the question.  Q. Did the United States Department of Treasury direct Chrysler to enter into bankruptcy and a 363 sale in order to take the collateral secured by the first lien loans and move them over to New Chrysler?  A. As a condition to getting financing, that's what happened.  Q. And New Chrysler is just a reorganization of Old Chrysler right?  A. New Chrysler is the new entity financed by the U.S.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes. Q which I will have marked as Pensioner Exhibit 13. Now you see the bottom of that first page of the document is an e-mail A. Yes. Q from you to Mr. Nardelli? A. Yes. Q. And you say in the first paragraph, "Also, I sincerely appreciate all your efforts along with your leadership team. The circumstances are beyond unique in trying whereby words cannot aptly describe the situation although you do a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	witness.  THE COURT: The witness is directed to be responsive to the question. Restate the question.  Q. Did the United States Department of Treasury direct Chrysler to enter into bankruptcy and a 363 sale in order to take the collateral secured by the first lien loans and move them over to New Chrysler?  A. As a condition to getting financing, that's what happened.  Q. And New Chrysler is just a reorganization of Old Chrysler right?  A. New Chrysler is the new entity financed by the U.S. government.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes.  Q which I will have marked as Pensioner Exhibit 13. Now you see the bottom of that first page of the document is an e-mail  A. Yes.  Q from you to Mr. Nardelli?  A. Yes.  Q. And you say in the first paragraph, "Also, I sincerely appreciate all your efforts along with your leadership team.  The circumstances are beyond unique in trying whereby words cannot aptly describe the situation although you do a commendable job in that regard as per the updated call. I am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	witness.  THE COURT: The witness is directed to be responsive to the question. Restate the question.  Q. Did the United States Department of Treasury direct Chrysler to enter into bankruptcy and a 363 sale in order to take the collateral secured by the first lien loans and move them over to New Chrysler?  A. As a condition to getting financing, that's what happened.  Q. And New Chrysler is just a reorganization of Old Chrysler right?  A. New Chrysler is the new entity financed by the U.S. government.  Q. And it's a reorganization of Old Chrysler, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes.  Q which I will have marked as Pensioner Exhibit 13. Now you see the bottom of that first page of the document is an e-mail  A. Yes.  Q from you to Mr. Nardelli?  A. Yes.  Q. And you say in the first paragraph, "Also, I sincerely appreciate all your efforts along with your leadership team.  The circumstances are beyond unique in trying whereby words cannot aptly describe the situation although you do a commendable job in that regard as per the updated call. I am severely empathetic of your sitting in a conference room with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	witness.  THE COURT: The witness is directed to be responsive to the question. Restate the question.  Q. Did the United States Department of Treasury direct Chrysler to enter into bankruptcy and a 363 sale in order to take the collateral secured by the first lien loans and move them over to New Chrysler?  A. As a condition to getting financing, that's what happened.  Q. And New Chrysler is just a reorganization of Old Chrysler right?  A. New Chrysler is the new entity financed by the U.S. government.  Q. And it's a reorganization of Old Chrysler, right?  A. It's a company acquiring assets out of Old Chrysler.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes.  Q which I will have marked as Pensioner Exhibit 13. Now you see the bottom of that first page of the document is an e-mail  A. Yes.  Q from you to Mr. Nardelli?  A. Yes.  Q. And you say in the first paragraph, "Also, I sincerely appreciate all your efforts along with your leadership team.  The circumstances are beyond unique in trying whereby words cannot aptly describe the situation although you do a commendable job in that regard as per the updated call. I am severely empathetic of your sitting in a conference room with elected officials and your CEO colleagues from Ford and GM
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	witness.  THE COURT: The witness is directed to be responsive to the question. Restate the question.  Q. Did the United States Department of Treasury direct Chrysler to enter into bankruptcy and a 363 sale in order to take the collateral secured by the first lien loans and move them over to New Chrysler?  A. As a condition to getting financing, that's what happened.  Q. And New Chrysler is just a reorganization of Old Chrysler right?  A. New Chrysler is the new entity financed by the U.S. government.  Q. And it's a reorganization of Old Chrysler, right?  A. It's a company acquiring assets out of Old Chrysler.  Q. To operate as Chrysler, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes.  Q which I will have marked as Pensioner Exhibit 13. Now you see the bottom of that first page of the document is an e-mail  A. Yes.  Q from you to Mr. Nardelli?  A. Yes.  Q. And you say in the first paragraph, "Also, I sincerely appreciate all your efforts along with your leadership team.  The circumstances are beyond unique in trying whereby words cannot aptly describe the situation although you do a commendable job in that regard as per the updated call. I am severely empathetic of your sitting in a conference room with elected officials and your CEO colleagues from Ford and GM listening to what borders on surreal dialogue." That's what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	witness.  THE COURT: The witness is directed to be responsive to the question. Restate the question.  Q. Did the United States Department of Treasury direct Chrysler to enter into bankruptcy and a 363 sale in order to take the collateral secured by the first lien loans and move them over to New Chrysler?  A. As a condition to getting financing, that's what happened.  Q. And New Chrysler is just a reorganization of Old Chrysler right?  A. New Chrysler is the new entity financed by the U.S. government.  Q. And it's a reorganization of Old Chrysler, right?  A. It's a company acquiring assets out of Old Chrysler.  Q. To operate as Chrysler, right?  A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes.  Q which I will have marked as Pensioner Exhibit 13. Now you see the bottom of that first page of the document is an e-mail  A. Yes.  Q from you to Mr. Nardelli?  A. Yes.  Q. And you say in the first paragraph, "Also, I sincerely appreciate all your efforts along with your leadership team.  The circumstances are beyond unique in trying whereby words cannot aptly describe the situation although you do a commendable job in that regard as per the updated call. I am severely empathetic of your sitting in a conference room with elected officials and your CEO colleagues from Ford and GM listening to what borders on surreal dialogue." That's what you wrote, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	witness.  THE COURT: The witness is directed to be responsive to the question. Restate the question.  Q. Did the United States Department of Treasury direct Chrysler to enter into bankruptcy and a 363 sale in order to take the collateral secured by the first lien loans and move them over to New Chrysler?  A. As a condition to getting financing, that's what happened. Q. And New Chrysler is just a reorganization of Old Chrysler right?  A. New Chrysler is the new entity financed by the U.S. government. Q. And it's a reorganization of Old Chrysler, right?  A. It's a company acquiring assets out of Old Chrysler. Q. To operate as Chrysler, right?  A. Correct. Q. With the same product lines, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes.  Q which I will have marked as Pensioner Exhibit 13. Now you see the bottom of that first page of the document is an e-mail  A. Yes.  Q from you to Mr. Nardelli?  A. Yes.  Q. And you say in the first paragraph, "Also, I sincerely appreciate all your efforts along with your leadership team.  The circumstances are beyond unique in trying whereby words cannot aptly describe the situation although you do a commendable job in that regard as per the updated call. I am severely empathetic of your sitting in a conference room with elected officials and your CEO colleagues from Ford and GM listening to what borders on surreal dialogue." That's what you wrote, right?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	witness.  THE COURT: The witness is directed to be responsive to the question. Restate the question.  Q. Did the United States Department of Treasury direct Chrysler to enter into bankruptcy and a 363 sale in order to take the collateral secured by the first lien loans and move them over to New Chrysler?  A. As a condition to getting financing, that's what happened.  Q. And New Chrysler is just a reorganization of Old Chrysler right?  A. New Chrysler is the new entity financed by the U.S. government.  Q. And it's a reorganization of Old Chrysler, right?  A. It's a company acquiring assets out of Old Chrysler.  Q. To operate as Chrysler, right?  A. Correct.  Q. With the same product lines, right?  A. Depends on what that board determines to run as the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes.  Q which I will have marked as Pensioner Exhibit 13. Now you see the bottom of that first page of the document is an e-mail  A. Yes.  Q from you to Mr. Nardelli?  A. Yes.  Q. And you say in the first paragraph, "Also, I sincerely appreciate all your efforts along with your leadership team.  The circumstances are beyond unique in trying whereby words cannot aptly describe the situation although you do a commendable job in that regard as per the updated call. I am severely empathetic of your sitting in a conference room with elected officials and your CEO colleagues from Ford and GM listening to what borders on surreal dialogue." That's what you wrote, right?  A. Yes.  Q. And the elected officials refer to the Treasury
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	witness.  THE COURT: The witness is directed to be responsive to the question. Restate the question.  Q. Did the United States Department of Treasury direct Chrysler to enter into bankruptcy and a 363 sale in order to take the collateral secured by the first lien loans and move them over to New Chrysler?  A. As a condition to getting financing, that's what happened.  Q. And New Chrysler is just a reorganization of Old Chrysler right?  A. New Chrysler is the new entity financed by the U.S. government.  Q. And it's a reorganization of Old Chrysler, right?  A. It's a company acquiring assets out of Old Chrysler.  Q. To operate as Chrysler, right?  A. Correct.  Q. With the same product lines, right?  A. Depends on what that board determines to run as the product lines.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes.  Q which I will have marked as Pensioner Exhibit 13. Now you see the bottom of that first page of the document is an e-mail  A. Yes.  Q from you to Mr. Nardelli?  A. Yes.  Q. And you say in the first paragraph, "Also, I sincerely appreciate all your efforts along with your leadership team. The circumstances are beyond unique in trying whereby words cannot aptly describe the situation although you do a commendable job in that regard as per the updated call. I am severely empathetic of your sitting in a conference room with elected officials and your CEO colleagues from Ford and GM listening to what borders on surreal dialogue." That's what you wrote, right?  A. Yes.  Q. And the elected officials refer to the Treasury Department, is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	witness.  THE COURT: The witness is directed to be responsive to the question. Restate the question.  Q. Did the United States Department of Treasury direct Chrysler to enter into bankruptcy and a 363 sale in order to take the collateral secured by the first lien loans and move them over to New Chrysler?  A. As a condition to getting financing, that's what happened.  Q. And New Chrysler is just a reorganization of Old Chrysler right?  A. New Chrysler is the new entity financed by the U.S. government.  Q. And it's a reorganization of Old Chrysler, right?  A. It's a company acquiring assets out of Old Chrysler.  Q. To operate as Chrysler, right?  A. Correct.  Q. With the same product lines, right?  A. Depends on what that board determines to run as the product lines.  Q. Is it your understanding New Chrysler will continue to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes.  Q which I will have marked as Pensioner Exhibit 13. Now you see the bottom of that first page of the document is an e-mail  A. Yes.  Q from you to Mr. Nardelli?  A. Yes.  Q. And you say in the first paragraph, "Also, I sincerely appreciate all your efforts along with your leadership team.  The circumstances are beyond unique in trying whereby words cannot aptly describe the situation although you do a commendable job in that regard as per the updated call. I am severely empathetic of your sitting in a conference room with elected officials and your CEO colleagues from Ford and GM listening to what borders on surreal dialogue." That's what you wrote, right?  A. Yes.  Q. And the elected officials refer to the Treasury Department, is that right?  A. Whoever was there during the testimony.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	witness.  THE COURT: The witness is directed to be responsive to the question. Restate the question.  Q. Did the United States Department of Treasury direct Chrysler to enter into bankruptcy and a 363 sale in order to take the collateral secured by the first lien loans and move them over to New Chrysler?  A. As a condition to getting financing, that's what happened.  Q. And New Chrysler is just a reorganization of Old Chrysler right?  A. New Chrysler is the new entity financed by the U.S. government.  Q. And it's a reorganization of Old Chrysler, right?  A. It's a company acquiring assets out of Old Chrysler.  Q. To operate as Chrysler, right?  A. Correct.  Q. With the same product lines, right?  A. Depends on what that board determines to run as the product lines.  Q. Is it your understanding New Chrysler will continue to produce Chrysler cars?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes.  Q which I will have marked as Pensioner Exhibit 13. Now you see the bottom of that first page of the document is an e-mail  A. Yes.  Q from you to Mr. Nardelli?  A. Yes.  Q. And you say in the first paragraph, "Also, I sincerely appreciate all your efforts along with your leadership team.  The circumstances are beyond unique in trying whereby words cannot aptly describe the situation although you do a commendable job in that regard as per the updated call. I am severely empathetic of your sitting in a conference room with elected officials and your CEO colleagues from Ford and GM listening to what borders on surreal dialogue." That's what you wrote, right?  A. Yes.  Q. And the elected officials refer to the Treasury Department, is that right?  A. Whoever was there during the testimony.  Q. I'm sorry?  A. Whoever was attending at the testimony.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	witness.  THE COURT: The witness is directed to be responsive to the question. Restate the question.  Q. Did the United States Department of Treasury direct Chrysler to enter into bankruptcy and a 363 sale in order to take the collateral secured by the first lien loans and move them over to New Chrysler?  A. As a condition to getting financing, that's what happened.  Q. And New Chrysler is just a reorganization of Old Chrysler right?  A. New Chrysler is the new entity financed by the U.S. government.  Q. And it's a reorganization of Old Chrysler, right?  A. It's a company acquiring assets out of Old Chrysler.  Q. To operate as Chrysler, right?  A. Correct.  Q. With the same product lines, right?  A. Depends on what that board determines to run as the product lines.  Q. Is it your understanding New Chrysler will continue to produce Chrysler cars?  A. I don't know what their focus is going to be on their cars?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes.  Q which I will have marked as Pensioner Exhibit 13. Now you see the bottom of that first page of the document is an e-mail  A. Yes.  Q from you to Mr. Nardelli?  A. Yes.  Q. And you say in the first paragraph, "Also, I sincerely appreciate all your efforts along with your leadership team.  The circumstances are beyond unique in trying whereby words cannot aptly describe the situation although you do a commendable job in that regard as per the updated call. I am severely empathetic of your sitting in a conference room with elected officials and your CEO colleagues from Ford and GM listening to what borders on surreal dialogue." That's what you wrote, right?  A. Yes.  Q. And the elected officials refer to the Treasury Department, is that right?  A. Whoever was there during the testimony.  Q. I'm sorry?  A. Whoever was attending at the testimony.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	witness.  THE COURT: The witness is directed to be responsive to the question. Restate the question.  Q. Did the United States Department of Treasury direct Chrysler to enter into bankruptcy and a 363 sale in order to take the collateral secured by the first lien loans and move them over to New Chrysler?  A. As a condition to getting financing, that's what happened.  Q. And New Chrysler is just a reorganization of Old Chrysler right?  A. New Chrysler is the new entity financed by the U.S. government.  Q. And it's a reorganization of Old Chrysler, right?  A. It's a company acquiring assets out of Old Chrysler.  Q. To operate as Chrysler, right?  A. Correct.  Q. With the same product lines, right?  A. Depends on what that board determines to run as the product lines.  Q. Is it your understanding New Chrysler will continue to produce Chrysler cars?  A. I don't know what their focus is going to be on their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes.  Q which I will have marked as Pensioner Exhibit 13. Now you see the bottom of that first page of the document is an e-mail  A. Yes.  Q from you to Mr. Nardelli?  A. Yes.  Q. And you say in the first paragraph, "Also, I sincerely appreciate all your efforts along with your leadership team.  The circumstances are beyond unique in trying whereby words cannot aptly describe the situation although you do a commendable job in that regard as per the updated call. I am severely empathetic of your sitting in a conference room with elected officials and your CEO colleagues from Ford and GM listening to what borders on surreal dialogue." That's what you wrote, right?  A. Yes.  Q. And the elected officials refer to the Treasury Department, is that right?  A. Whoever was there during the testimony.  Q. I'm sorry?  A. Whoever was attending at the testimony.  Q. Which was okay. Were there Treasury Department people

1			
_	A. I don't know.	1	A. Yes, it does.
2	Q. And you say towards the bottom, paragraph from the bottom	, 2	Q. Does the Dodge Sebring have value?
3	that "Longwinded, I sincerely struggle with where I am best	3	A. No, it does not.
4	positioned to discharge my fiduciary obligations." Were you	4	Q. Does the Dodge Avenger have value?
5	struggling with how you could best discharge your fiduciary	5	A. Probably not.
6	obligations?	6	Q. Do you know what the value is for the Challenger?
7	A. It was unclear what the company would get liquidity to	7	A. No, I wouldn't. It's a new product launch, well received.
8	maintain the integrity of its franchise.	8	Q. What about a minivan? Does the Caravan/Town and Country
9	Q. All right. Have you turn to tab 2 which was marked	9	have value?
10	yesterday as Pensioners' Exhibit number 5. That's a breakup	10	A. Yes.
11	valuation performed by Chrysler and Cerberus. Have you ever	11	Q. Substantial value?
12	seen it before?	12	A. Unclear.
13	A. I don't recall.	13	Q. What about the Routan? Does that have value?
14	Q. Was it ever presented to the board?	14	A. That's the Volkswagen that we produced.
15	A. I don't recall. It's dated October. I don't recall.	15	Q. Does it have value?
16	Q. I'll ask you to turn to page 3 where it describes the	16	A. Unclear.
17	value of Chrysler on a base case of 17.2 billion and on a high	17	Q. And what about the Journey? Does that have value?
18	end at 25.7 billion. Did anyone ever make you aware of those	18	A. Unclear.
19	valuations for Chrysler?	19	Q. What about Mopar? Does Mopar have value?
20	A. Don't recall.	20	A. Probably.
21	Q. Incidentally, do you believe that the Jeep brand has	21	Q. All right. Can I have you turn to tab 3 which is a draft
22	value?	22	of the fairness opinion that you testified about. And I would
23	A. Yes, I do.	23	like to ask you if you have ever seen this draft.
24	Q. Do you believe that the Liberty vehicle, the Compass	24	A. I don't recall.
25	Patriot vehicle, the Grand Cherokee Commander vehicle have	25	Q. And I ask you to turn to page 40 of the draft.
	70		72
1	value?	1	A. Page pardon?
2	A. The third probably has more value than the first two.	2	Q. 40. 4-0. Do you see Greenhill's conclusion that there is
3	Q. The Grand Cherokee has the most of the values?	3	a base case value of 12.6 to 14.6 I'm sorry 19.6 to 21.5
4	A. It's my opinion.	4	for Chrysler?
5	Q. Okay. But do the Liberty and the Compass Patriot also	5	A. If it's in the bottom left it's the Gross Enterprise
6	have value?	6	Value? Or the Implied Enterprise Value?
7	A. Unclear.	7	Q. Yeah, the enterprise value. Is it the base case is
8	Q. Does the Dodge Ram truck have value?	8	
	· ·		19.6 billion to 21.5 billion, right?
9	A. Likely.	9	19.6 billion to 21.5 billion, right?  A. It says pre-debt but yes.
9 10	A. Likely.     O. Is that a truck that's won awards?		<ul><li>19.6 billion to 21.5 billion, right?</li><li>A. It says pre-debt but yes.</li><li>Q. Yeah. And then there is on the right side some synergies,</li></ul>
	<ul><li>A. Likely.</li><li>Q. Is that a truck that's won awards?</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>	9	A. It says pre-debt but yes.
10	Q. Is that a truck that's won awards?	9 10	<ul><li>A. It says pre-debt but yes.</li><li>Q. Yeah. And then there is on the right side some synergies,</li></ul>
10 11	Q. Is that a truck that's won awards? A. Yes.	9 10 11	A. It says pre-debt but yes.     Q. Yeah. And then there is on the right side some synergies, correct?
10 11 12	<ul><li>Q. Is that a truck that's won awards?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And does the Dodge Titan is the Titan and the Dakota</li></ul>	9 10 11 12	<ul><li>A. It says pre-debt but yes.</li><li>Q. Yeah. And then there is on the right side some synergies, correct?</li><li>A. It appears to be so.</li></ul>
10 11 12 13	<ul><li>Q. Is that a truck that's won awards?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And does the Dodge Titan is the Titan and the Dakota two separate vehicles?</li></ul>	9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. It says pre-debt but yes.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. And then there is on the right side some synergies, correct?</li> <li>A. It appears to be so.</li> <li>Q. And that could go as high as another 6.2 to 6.8 billion in</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14	<ul><li>Q. Is that a truck that's won awards?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And does the Dodge Titan is the Titan and the Dakota two separate vehicles?</li><li>A. I didn't know about the Titan but the Dakota certainly.</li></ul>	9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. It says pre-debt but yes.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. And then there is on the right side some synergies, correct?</li> <li>A. It appears to be so.</li> <li>Q. And that could go as high as another 6.2 to 6.8 billion in value, right?</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Is that a truck that's won awards?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And does the Dodge Titan is the Titan and the Dakota two separate vehicles?</li> <li>A. I didn't know about the Titan but the Dakota certainly.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Does the Dakota have value?</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. It says pre-debt but yes.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. And then there is on the right side some synergies, correct?</li> <li>A. It appears to be so.</li> <li>Q. And that could go as high as another 6.2 to 6.8 billion in value, right?</li> <li>A. It suggests that, yes.</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Is that a truck that's won awards?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And does the Dodge Titan is the Titan and the Dakota two separate vehicles?</li> <li>A. I didn't know about the Titan but the Dakota certainly.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Does the Dakota have value?</li> <li>A. Probably not, in my opinion.</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. It says pre-debt but yes.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. And then there is on the right side some synergies, correct?</li> <li>A. It appears to be so.</li> <li>Q. And that could go as high as another 6.2 to 6.8 billion in value, right?</li> <li>A. It suggests that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Which would bring those values up to a low of 18.8 and a</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Is that a truck that's won awards?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And does the Dodge Titan is the Titan and the Dakota two separate vehicles?</li> <li>A. I didn't know about the Titan but the Dakota certainly.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Does the Dakota have value?</li> <li>A. Probably not, in my opinion.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What about the Durango/Aspen? Is that a vehicle</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. It says pre-debt but yes.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. And then there is on the right side some synergies, correct?</li> <li>A. It appears to be so.</li> <li>Q. And that could go as high as another 6.2 to 6.8 billion in value, right?</li> <li>A. It suggests that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Which would bring those values up to a low of 18.8 and a high of 25.4 billion, correct?</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Is that a truck that's won awards?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And does the Dodge Titan is the Titan and the Dakota two separate vehicles?</li> <li>A. I didn't know about the Titan but the Dakota certainly.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Does the Dakota have value?</li> <li>A. Probably not, in my opinion.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What about the Durango/Aspen? Is that a vehicle that has value?</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. It says pre-debt but yes.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. And then there is on the right side some synergies, correct?</li> <li>A. It appears to be so.</li> <li>Q. And that could go as high as another 6.2 to 6.8 billion in value, right?</li> <li>A. It suggests that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Which would bring those values up to a low of 18.8 and a high of 25.4 billion, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Is that a truck that's won awards?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And does the Dodge Titan is the Titan and the Dakota two separate vehicles?</li> <li>A. I didn't know about the Titan but the Dakota certainly.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Does the Dakota have value?</li> <li>A. Probably not, in my opinion.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What about the Durango/Aspen? Is that a vehicle that has value?</li> <li>A. Again, in my opinion, probably not.</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. It says pre-debt but yes.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. And then there is on the right side some synergies, correct?</li> <li>A. It appears to be so.</li> <li>Q. And that could go as high as another 6.2 to 6.8 billion in value, right?</li> <li>A. It suggests that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Which would bring those values up to a low of 18.8 and a high of 25.4 billion, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And a low end valuation of 18.8 billion is very close to</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Is that a truck that's won awards?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And does the Dodge Titan is the Titan and the Dakota two separate vehicles?</li> <li>A. I didn't know about the Titan but the Dakota certainly.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Does the Dakota have value?</li> <li>A. Probably not, in my opinion.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What about the Durango/Aspen? Is that a vehicle that has value?</li> <li>A. Again, in my opinion, probably not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What about on the car side? Does the Dodge</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. It says pre-debt but yes.  Q. Yeah. And then there is on the right side some synergies, correct?  A. It appears to be so.  Q. And that could go as high as another 6.2 to 6.8 billion in value, right?  A. It suggests that, yes.  Q. Which would bring those values up to a low of 18.8 and a high of 25.4 billion, correct?  A. Yes.  Q. And a low end valuation of 18.8 billion is very close to Chrysler's internal breakup value of 17.2 billion, right?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Is that a truck that's won awards?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And does the Dodge Titan is the Titan and the Dakota two separate vehicles?</li> <li>A. I didn't know about the Titan but the Dakota certainly.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Does the Dakota have value?</li> <li>A. Probably not, in my opinion.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What about the Durango/Aspen? Is that a vehicle that has value?</li> <li>A. Again, in my opinion, probably not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What about on the car side? Does the Dodge Challenger have value?</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. It says pre-debt but yes.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. And then there is on the right side some synergies, correct?</li> <li>A. It appears to be so.</li> <li>Q. And that could go as high as another 6.2 to 6.8 billion in value, right?</li> <li>A. It suggests that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Which would bring those values up to a low of 18.8 and a high of 25.4 billion, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And a low end valuation of 18.8 billion is very close to Chrysler's internal breakup value of 17.2 billion, right? MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. This hasn't</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Is that a truck that's won awards?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And does the Dodge Titan is the Titan and the Dakota two separate vehicles?</li> <li>A. I didn't know about the Titan but the Dakota certainly.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Does the Dakota have value?</li> <li>A. Probably not, in my opinion.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What about the Durango/Aspen? Is that a vehicle that has value?</li> <li>A. Again, in my opinion, probably not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What about on the car side? Does the Dodge Challenger have value?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. It says pre-debt but yes.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. And then there is on the right side some synergies, correct?</li> <li>A. It appears to be so.</li> <li>Q. And that could go as high as another 6.2 to 6.8 billion in value, right?</li> <li>A. It suggests that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Which would bring those values up to a low of 18.8 and a high of 25.4 billion, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And a low end valuation of 18.8 billion is very close to Chrysler's internal breakup value of 17.2 billion, right? MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. This hasn't been authenticated. There's no evidence this witness has ever</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Is that a truck that's won awards?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And does the Dodge Titan is the Titan and the Dakota two separate vehicles?</li> <li>A. I didn't know about the Titan but the Dakota certainly.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Does the Dakota have value?</li> <li>A. Probably not, in my opinion.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What about the Durango/Aspen? Is that a vehicle that has value?</li> <li>A. Again, in my opinion, probably not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What about on the car side? Does the Dodge Challenger have value?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Does the Dodge Charger have value?</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. It says pre-debt but yes.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. And then there is on the right side some synergies, correct?</li> <li>A. It appears to be so.</li> <li>Q. And that could go as high as another 6.2 to 6.8 billion in value, right?</li> <li>A. It suggests that, yes.</li> <li>Q. Which would bring those values up to a low of 18.8 and a high of 25.4 billion, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And a low end valuation of 18.8 billion is very close to Chrysler's internal breakup value of 17.2 billion, right?  MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. This hasn't been authenticated. There's no evidence this witness has ever seen the document before. And actually, isn't this the very</li> </ul>

1	seen whereas this one, he has never seen. How can be examined	1 1	Q. Are you unprepared to admit that 25.7 billion is close to
2	over a document he's never seen before?	2	25.4 billion?
3	MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, I'm simply asking the	3	MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. I think this is
4	question	4	the very thing we raised a minute ago. He's being questioned
5	MR. STEWART: He doesn't need the document	5	about numbers in a report he never saw and it was never
6	THE COURT: Just a minute.	6	described to him, the question comes down to is it the fact
7	MR. KURTZ: Excuse me, counsel. Could I finish? I'm	7	that 26 is close to 25. I thought we were going to have one
8	simply asking	8	question for foundation and if it there's no foundation, we
9	THE COURT: Direct your comment to me and not to	9	could move on.
10	counsel.	10	MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, the witness testified that he
11	MR. KURTZ: I'm simply asking the question whether	11	is an expert. He has done fairness opinions himself. And I
12	the witness is aware of these numbers, what the numbers are and		think I'm entitled to ask him whether he thinks 25.7 is close
13	I'm asking him if he ever learned about this.	13	to 25.4.
14	MR. STEWART: Your Honor	14	THE COURT: You may be but what's the value imparted
15	MR. KURTZ: So I'm going to go through what's on here	15	by that answer?
16	and then find out if the board was ever made aware of this	16	MR. KURTZ: Well, I'm assuming that this might be
17	information. Now, it certainly can't be the case that fairness	17	important to the board and I'm going to ask him about that.
18	opinion is admissible but the draft is not.	18	THE COURT: But if he doesn't know about it. This is
19	THE COURT: The fairness opinion was admissible for	19	a draft. Greenhill produced one they actually looked at and
20	what he reviewed and relied upon as were other board members	20	relied upon according to the testimony. What is the relevance
21	MR. KURTZ: True.	21	of the draft?
22	THE COURT: You're asking if he's seen this or heard	22	MR. KURTZ: The relevance of the draft is that it has
23	about these numbers.	23	much higher valuations that were never shared with the board
24	MR. KURTZ: Correct.	24	and I want to inquire about that. This
25	THE COURT: He said he has never seen it.  74	25	THE COURT: Well, that's why they call it a draft.
	, 1		7.0
1	MR. KURTZ: That's right. Now I'm going to find out	1	MR. KURTZ: No, Your Honor. This entire analysis was
2	if he's heard about the numbers.	2	removed. None of it's in there.
3	THE COURT: You can ask that.	3	THE COURT: Well, that's an inference you're drawing
4	MR. KURTZ: Okay.	4	that it was removed.
5	BY MR. KURTZ:	5	MR. KURTZ: I'm trying to question the witness about
6	Q. So, sir, and the	6	this?
7	A. Answer's no. I haven't seen the numbers.	7	THE COURT: Well, if he doesn't know about it
8	Q. No, no, no. But my question is in the low end value	8	MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, I'm trying to question the
9	THE COURT: What, counsel?	9	witness about whether he does know about it and whether he'd
10	MR. STEWART: I would just say the question should be	10	want to know about it.
11	I understand you haven't seen it. Have these numbers been	11	THE COURT: He said he doesn't know about it.
12	imparted to you in any way? And if the answer is yes then we	12	MR. KURTZ: He doesn't know about this draft.
13	have questions. And if it's no, we get to move on.	13	THE COURT: Correct.
14	MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, I appreciate the assistance	14	MR. KURTZ: Can Judge, I think I understand what
15	but if I can continue with my cross-examination?	15	you're saying. Can I continue and see if there's further
16	THE COURT: You can start.	16	objections?
17	Q. So the low end as reflected in the Greenhill analysis of	17	THE COURT: Go ahead.
18	18.8 is close to the low end in the Chrysler breakup valuation	18	MR. STEWART: Your Honor, I can remove any doubt
19	of 17.2 billion, right?	19	about that.
1 .	A. If you say so.	20	THE COURT: You can sit down, though. Thank you.
20	11. 11 you say so.		
21	Q. And the high end of 25.4 billion in the Greenhill draft is	21	MR. STEWART: I will.
21 22	Q. And the high end of 25.4 billion in the Greenhill draft is very close to the Chrysler of 25.7 billion, right?	21 22	
21 22 23	Q. And the high end of 25.4 billion in the Greenhill draft is		Q. So, Mr. Chapman, were you ever made aware that Greenhill your financial advisors, had reached any conclusions about
21 22 23 24	<ul><li>Q. And the high end of 25.4 billion in the Greenhill draft is very close to the Chrysler of 25.7 billion, right?</li><li>A. If you say so.</li><li>Q. Does it have to be that I say so?</li></ul>	22 23 24	Q. So, Mr. Chapman, were you ever made aware that Greenhill your financial advisors, had reached any conclusions about value as high as 25.4 billion dollars?
21 22 23	<ul><li>Q. And the high end of 25.4 billion in the Greenhill draft is very close to the Chrysler of 25.7 billion, right?</li><li>A. If you say so.</li></ul>	22 23	Q. So, Mr. Chapman, were you ever made aware that Greenhill your financial advisors, had reached any conclusions about

1			
	Q. And did Greenhill ever present or otherwise make you aware	1	Q. My question is whether you wrote any of the words.
2	that they had conducted a valuation that had produced like 25.4	2	A. I edited and redrafted the words.
3	billion dollars?	3	Q. Okay. And you read it carefully?
4	A. No, 'cause if they did I would have questioned it	4	A. Yes, I did.
5	diligently.	5	Q. And if I ask you to turn to paragraph 22, now can you
6	Q. Okay. And would you have wanted to know that your	6	confirm that your sworn testimony was that the liquidation
7	financial advisors whom Chrysler had paid three million dollars	7	value was as high as 3.2 billion dollars?
8	had performed a valuation that produced a number like 25.4	8	A. It says 2.6.
9	billion dollars?	9	Q. It says "In particular, the plan projected a recovery to
10	A. Yes.	10	the"
11	Q. And can you confirm that that valuation that's within	11	A. "On a net present value basis of 2.6".
12	Exhibit number 4 was removed from the fairness opinion that wa	s 12	THE COURT: One second. Slow down.
13	ultimately supplied to the board of directors?	13	Q. The
14	A. I have no idea. I've never seen this document before.	14	THE COURT: Finish the question first
15	Q. Okay. So therefore it wasn't before the board of	15	MR. KURTZ: Yes.
16	directors, right?	16	THE COURT: before we have the answer.
17	A. Not to my knowledge.	17	Q. The declaration has the following statement by you, right
18	Q. And instead, what was supplied to the board of directors	18	"In particular, the plan projected a recovery to the first lien
19	was a liquidation value that was performed by Mr. Manzo, right?	19	lenders between 929 million and 3.2 billion dollars", right?
20	A. There were liquidation analyses done by Mr. Manzo.	20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And those numbers were much lower than the numbers that	21	Q. Now
22	are reflected in the going concern valuations by Greenhill and	22	A. And on a net present value basis, lower.
23	by Chrysler itself, correct?	23	Q. There's no question, sir. Are you comfortable saying that
24	A. Again, the going concern value pre-assumes that there is	24	3.2 billion or, as you say, 2.6 billion is substantially less
25	liquidity to finance the business.	25	than 27.4 billion
	78		80
1	Q. Am I correct that the liquidation values that were	1	A. Yes.
2	presented to the board were substantially lower than the	2	Q or 25.4 billion?
3	valuations reflected in the Greenhill fairness opinion draft	3	A. Yes.
4	and in the internal Chrysler breakup valuation?	4	Q. Were you ever made aware that Greenhill had concluded that
5	A. Well, they're certainly lower. This report I've never	5	
6			the liquidation analysis presented to the board was very
U	seen.	6	the liquidation analysis presented to the board was very conservative?
	seen.  O Okay You're comfortable saying they were substantially	6 7	conservative?
7	Q. Okay. You're comfortable saying they were substantially	6 7 8	conservative? A. No.
7 8	Q. Okay. You're comfortable saying they were substantially lower?	7	conservative?  A. No.  Q. Were you ever made aware that Greenhill had concluded that
7	<ul><li>Q. Okay. You're comfortable saying they were substantially lower?</li><li>A. They're lower.</li></ul>	7 8	conservative? A. No.
7 8 9	Q. Okay. You're comfortable saying they were substantially lower?	7 8 9	conservative?  A. No.  Q. Were you ever made aware that Greenhill had concluded that the liquidation analysis provided to the board was thin?
7 8 9 10	<ul><li>Q. Okay. You're comfortable saying they were substantially lower?</li><li>A. They're lower.</li><li>Q. Not substantially lower?</li><li>A. They're lower.</li></ul>	7 8 9 10	conservative?  A. No.  Q. Were you ever made aware that Greenhill had concluded that the liquidation analysis provided to the board was thin?  A. No.  Q. Did you, as an expert, who has done fairness opinions in
7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. You're comfortable saying they were substantially lower?</li> <li>A. They're lower.</li> <li>Q. Not substantially lower?</li> <li>A. They're lower.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So the high end of liquidation valuation was 3.2</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11	conservative?  A. No.  Q. Were you ever made aware that Greenhill had concluded that the liquidation analysis provided to the board was thin?  A. No.
7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. You're comfortable saying they were substantially lower?</li> <li>A. They're lower.</li> <li>Q. Not substantially lower?</li> <li>A. They're lower.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So the high end of liquidation valuation was 3.2 billion dollars, right?</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11	conservative?  A. No.  Q. Were you ever made aware that Greenhill had concluded that the liquidation analysis provided to the board was thin?  A. No.  Q. Did you, as an expert, who has done fairness opinions in the past, review liquidation analysis yourself and evaluate it?  THE COURT: Mr. Kurtz, go back to a couple of
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. You're comfortable saying they were substantially lower?</li> <li>A. They're lower.</li> <li>Q. Not substantially lower?</li> <li>A. They're lower.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So the high end of liquidation valuation was 3.2 billion dollars, right?</li> <li>A. Pardon?</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	conservative?  A. No.  Q. Were you ever made aware that Greenhill had concluded that the liquidation analysis provided to the board was thin?  A. No.  Q. Did you, as an expert, who has done fairness opinions in the past, review liquidation analysis yourself and evaluate it?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. You're comfortable saying they were substantially lower?</li> <li>A. They're lower.</li> <li>Q. Not substantially lower?</li> <li>A. They're lower.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So the high end of liquidation valuation was 3.2 billion dollars, right?</li> <li>A. Pardon?</li> <li>Q. The high end of the liquidation valuation presented to the</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	conservative?  A. No.  Q. Were you ever made aware that Greenhill had concluded that the liquidation analysis provided to the board was thin?  A. No.  Q. Did you, as an expert, who has done fairness opinions in the past, review liquidation analysis yourself and evaluate it?  THE COURT: Mr. Kurtz, go back to a couple of questions. You said, you're characterizing the liquidation analysis done by whom?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. You're comfortable saying they were substantially lower?</li> <li>A. They're lower.</li> <li>Q. Not substantially lower?</li> <li>A. They're lower.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So the high end of liquidation valuation was 3.2 billion dollars, right?</li> <li>A. Pardon?</li> <li>Q. The high end of the liquidation valuation presented to the board was 3.2 billion dollars, right?</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	conservative?  A. No.  Q. Were you ever made aware that Greenhill had concluded that the liquidation analysis provided to the board was thin?  A. No.  Q. Did you, as an expert, who has done fairness opinions in the past, review liquidation analysis yourself and evaluate it?  THE COURT: Mr. Kurtz, go back to a couple of questions. You said, you're characterizing the liquidation
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. You're comfortable saying they were substantially lower?</li> <li>A. They're lower.</li> <li>Q. Not substantially lower?</li> <li>A. They're lower.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So the high end of liquidation valuation was 3.2 billion dollars, right?</li> <li>A. Pardon?</li> <li>Q. The high end of the liquidation valuation presented to the</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	conservative?  A. No.  Q. Were you ever made aware that Greenhill had concluded that the liquidation analysis provided to the board was thin?  A. No.  Q. Did you, as an expert, who has done fairness opinions in the past, review liquidation analysis yourself and evaluate it?  THE COURT: Mr. Kurtz, go back to a couple of questions. You said, you're characterizing the liquidation analysis done by whom?  MR. KURTZ: Done by Mr. Manzo.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. You're comfortable saying they were substantially lower?</li> <li>A. They're lower.</li> <li>Q. Not substantially lower?</li> <li>A. They're lower.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So the high end of liquidation valuation was 3.2 billion dollars, right?</li> <li>A. Pardon?</li> <li>Q. The high end of the liquidation valuation presented to the board was 3.2 billion dollars, right?</li> <li>A. If that's whichever set analysis you're looking at.</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	conservative?  A. No.  Q. Were you ever made aware that Greenhill had concluded that the liquidation analysis provided to the board was thin?  A. No.  Q. Did you, as an expert, who has done fairness opinions in the past, review liquidation analysis yourself and evaluate it?  THE COURT: Mr. Kurtz, go back to a couple of questions. You said, you're characterizing the liquidation analysis done by whom?  MR. KURTZ: Done by Mr. Manzo.  THE COURT: 'Cause I think you said Greenhill.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. You're comfortable saying they were substantially lower?</li> <li>A. They're lower.</li> <li>Q. Not substantially lower?</li> <li>A. They're lower.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So the high end of liquidation valuation was 3.2 billion dollars, right?</li> <li>A. Pardon?</li> <li>Q. The high end of the liquidation valuation presented to the board was 3.2 billion dollars, right?</li> <li>A. If that's whichever set analysis you're looking at.</li> <li>Q. Where there were there were various liquidation</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	conservative?  A. No.  Q. Were you ever made aware that Greenhill had concluded that the liquidation analysis provided to the board was thin?  A. No.  Q. Did you, as an expert, who has done fairness opinions in the past, review liquidation analysis yourself and evaluate it?  THE COURT: Mr. Kurtz, go back to a couple of questions. You said, you're characterizing the liquidation analysis done by whom?  MR. KURTZ: Done by Mr. Manzo.  THE COURT: 'Cause I think you said Greenhill.  MR. KURTZ: I'm sorry. I thought I said Greenhill
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. You're comfortable saying they were substantially lower?</li> <li>A. They're lower.</li> <li>Q. Not substantially lower?</li> <li>A. They're lower.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So the high end of liquidation valuation was 3.2 billion dollars, right?</li> <li>A. Pardon?</li> <li>Q. The high end of the liquidation valuation presented to the board was 3.2 billion dollars, right?</li> <li>A. If that's whichever set analysis you're looking at.</li> <li>Q. Where there were there were various liquidation analyses presented to the board that were updated because the market continued to erode.</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	conservative?  A. No.  Q. Were you ever made aware that Greenhill had concluded that the liquidation analysis provided to the board was thin?  A. No.  Q. Did you, as an expert, who has done fairness opinions in the past, review liquidation analysis yourself and evaluate it?  THE COURT: Mr. Kurtz, go back to a couple of questions. You said, you're characterizing the liquidation analysis done by whom?  MR. KURTZ: Done by Mr. Manzo.  THE COURT: 'Cause I think you said Greenhill.  MR. KURTZ: I'm sorry. I thought I said Greenhill criticized but let me go back
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. You're comfortable saying they were substantially lower?</li> <li>A. They're lower.</li> <li>Q. Not substantially lower?</li> <li>A. They're lower.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So the high end of liquidation valuation was 3.2 billion dollars, right?</li> <li>A. Pardon?</li> <li>Q. The high end of the liquidation valuation presented to the board was 3.2 billion dollars, right?</li> <li>A. If that's whichever set analysis you're looking at.</li> <li>Q. Where there were there were various liquidation analyses presented to the board that were updated because the market continued to erode.</li> <li>A. Okay. So let's turn tab 1 is your declaration. Before</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	conservative?  A. No.  Q. Were you ever made aware that Greenhill had concluded that the liquidation analysis provided to the board was thin?  A. No.  Q. Did you, as an expert, who has done fairness opinions in the past, review liquidation analysis yourself and evaluate it?  THE COURT: Mr. Kurtz, go back to a couple of questions. You said, you're characterizing the liquidation analysis done by whom?  MR. KURTZ: Done by Mr. Manzo.  THE COURT: 'Cause I think you said Greenhill.  MR. KURTZ: I'm sorry. I thought I said Greenhill criticized but let me go back  THE COURT: All right.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. You're comfortable saying they were substantially lower?</li> <li>A. They're lower.</li> <li>Q. Not substantially lower?</li> <li>A. They're lower.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So the high end of liquidation valuation was 3.2 billion dollars, right?</li> <li>A. Pardon?</li> <li>Q. The high end of the liquidation valuation presented to the board was 3.2 billion dollars, right?</li> <li>A. If that's whichever set analysis you're looking at.</li> <li>Q. Where there were there were various liquidation analyses presented to the board that were updated because the market continued to erode.</li> <li>A. Okay. So let's turn tab 1 is your declaration. Before you look at it, did you draft that declaration?</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	conservative?  A. No.  Q. Were you ever made aware that Greenhill had concluded the the liquidation analysis provided to the board was thin?  A. No.  Q. Did you, as an expert, who has done fairness opinions in the past, review liquidation analysis yourself and evaluate it?  THE COURT: Mr. Kurtz, go back to a couple of questions. You said, you're characterizing the liquidation analysis done by whom?  MR. KURTZ: Done by Mr. Manzo.  THE COURT: 'Cause I think you said Greenhill.  MR. KURTZ: I'm sorry. I thought I said Greenhill criticized but let me go back  THE COURT: All right.  MR. KURTZ: and ask the questions to make sure
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. You're comfortable saying they were substantially lower?</li> <li>A. They're lower.</li> <li>Q. Not substantially lower?</li> <li>A. They're lower.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So the high end of liquidation valuation was 3.2 billion dollars, right?</li> <li>A. Pardon?</li> <li>Q. The high end of the liquidation valuation presented to the board was 3.2 billion dollars, right?</li> <li>A. If that's whichever set analysis you're looking at.</li> <li>Q. Where there were there were various liquidation analyses presented to the board that were updated because the market continued to erode.</li> <li>A. Okay. So let's turn tab 1 is your declaration. Before you look at it, did you draft that declaration?</li> <li>A. I worked with counsel on drafting the declaration.</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	conservative?  A. No.  Q. Were you ever made aware that Greenhill had concluded that the liquidation analysis provided to the board was thin?  A. No.  Q. Did you, as an expert, who has done fairness opinions in the past, review liquidation analysis yourself and evaluate it?  THE COURT: Mr. Kurtz, go back to a couple of questions. You said, you're characterizing the liquidation analysis done by whom?  MR. KURTZ: Done by Mr. Manzo.  THE COURT: 'Cause I think you said Greenhill.  MR. KURTZ: I'm sorry. I thought I said Greenhill criticized but let me go back  THE COURT: All right.  MR. KURTZ: and ask the questions to make sure that it's clear on the record.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. You're comfortable saying they were substantially lower?</li> <li>A. They're lower.</li> <li>Q. Not substantially lower?</li> <li>A. They're lower.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So the high end of liquidation valuation was 3.2 billion dollars, right?</li> <li>A. Pardon?</li> <li>Q. The high end of the liquidation valuation presented to the board was 3.2 billion dollars, right?</li> <li>A. If that's whichever set analysis you're looking at.</li> <li>Q. Where there were there were various liquidation analyses presented to the board that were updated because the market continued to erode.</li> <li>A. Okay. So let's turn tab 1 is your declaration. Before you look at it, did you draft that declaration?</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	conservative?  A. No.  Q. Were you ever made aware that Greenhill had concluded that the liquidation analysis provided to the board was thin?  A. No.  Q. Did you, as an expert, who has done fairness opinions in the past, review liquidation analysis yourself and evaluate it?  THE COURT: Mr. Kurtz, go back to a couple of questions. You said, you're characterizing the liquidation analysis done by whom?  MR. KURTZ: Done by Mr. Manzo.  THE COURT: 'Cause I think you said Greenhill.  MR. KURTZ: I'm sorry. I thought I said Greenhill criticized but let me go back  THE COURT: All right.  MR. KURTZ: and ask the questions to make sure that it's clear on the record.  Q. Are you aware that Greenhill had criticized Mr. Manzo's

1	Q. Are you aware that Greenhill had concluded that Mr.	1	that went lower than the high end of the liquidation value
2	Manzo's liquidation analysis was thin?	2	being 2.6 billion?
3	A. I was not aware.	3	A. I don't recall specifics.
4	Q. As an independent board member, is that something you	4	Q. Was the board ever presented with any new liquidation
5	would have wanted to have been made aware of?	5	analysis perhaps created in litigation which reduced the value?
6	A. Perhaps.	6	A. Again, I don't recall that.
7	Q. And did you analyze the liquidation analysis yourself to	7	Q. Has the board ever been advised that the liquidation value
8	ensure that appropriate value is being ascribed to all the	8	might be more in the range of one and a half to a billion or
9	relevant assets?	9	less?
10	A. I did my best on all the analyses that were provided.	10	A. Again, I don't recall that.
11	Q. So does that mean that you did or you didn't independently	11	Q. By the way, were you aware that Capstone stood to receive
12	analyze the liquidation analyses?	12	a seventeen million dollar success fee in the event that this
13	A. There were a number of independent analyses provided	13	363 sale motion is approved?
14	because the market continued to erode.	14	A. I was not aware of the magnitude but I knew they had a
15	Q. Yeah. Did you analyze the final liquidation analysis that	15	success fee.
16	you testified about through your declaration in paragraph 22?	16	Q. That's a lot of money, isn't it?
17	A. Yes. I reviewed and analyzed the analysis.	17	A. It's a fair amount of money.
18	Q. And did you perform any study of it?	18	Q. Were you aware that Mr. Manzo himself will receive ten
19	A. I reviewed the analysis.	19	million dollars to the extent that the 363 sales motion is
20	Q. Did you perform any study of it?	20	approved and the or might receive that and the transaction
21	A. I looked and reviewed the analysis.	21	closed?
22	Q. Okay. But did you do any work? Did you try to figure out	22	A. No. No knowledge.
23	what an asset did you just accept the numbers? Or did you	23	Q. Do you think that's relevant, something you might have
24	look to see whether there was other value that had been missed	24	wanted somebody to tell you about as an independent board
25	by Mr. Manzo?	25	member?
	82		84
1	A. I did not see any value that might have been missed.	1	A. Perhaps.
1 2	A. I did not see any value that might have been missed.  Q. Well, did you look for value that might have been missed?	1 2	A. Perhaps.     Q. Now, just to talk about the company's performance, you
			•
2	Q. Well, did you look for value that might have been missed?	2	Q. Now, just to talk about the company's performance, you
2	<ul><li>Q. Well, did you look for value that might have been missed?</li><li>A. I looked at the analysis that was presented to me and</li></ul>	2 3	Q. Now, just to talk about the company's performance, you started to talk about the difficulties the company was
2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. Well, did you look for value that might have been missed?</li><li>A. I looked at the analysis that was presented to me and asked questions related to it.</li></ul>	2 3 4	Q. Now, just to talk about the company's performance, you started to talk about the difficulties the company was realizing in 2008, right?
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. Well, did you look for value that might have been missed?</li> <li>A. I looked at the analysis that was presented to me and asked questions related to it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So did you look for any value that might be missed?</li> <li>A. I didn't see any.</li> <li>Q. Well, did you notice that no value was ascribed to several</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	Q. Now, just to talk about the company's performance, you started to talk about the difficulties the company was realizing in 2008, right?  A. Towards the end of 2008, yes.
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. Well, did you look for value that might have been missed?</li><li>A. I looked at the analysis that was presented to me and asked questions related to it.</li><li>Q. Okay. So did you look for any value that might be missed?</li><li>A. I didn't see any.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. Now, just to talk about the company's performance, you started to talk about the difficulties the company was realizing in 2008, right?</li> <li>A. Towards the end of 2008, yes.</li> <li>Q. Is it fair to say that 2008 was the worst year in the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. Well, did you look for value that might have been missed?</li> <li>A. I looked at the analysis that was presented to me and asked questions related to it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So did you look for any value that might be missed?</li> <li>A. I didn't see any.</li> <li>Q. Well, did you notice that no value was ascribed to several</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. Now, just to talk about the company's performance, you started to talk about the difficulties the company was realizing in 2008, right?</li> <li>A. Towards the end of 2008, yes.</li> <li>Q. Is it fair to say that 2008 was the worst year in the automotive industry?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Well, did you look for value that might have been missed?</li> <li>A. I looked at the analysis that was presented to me and asked questions related to it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So did you look for any value that might be missed?</li> <li>A. I didn't see any.</li> <li>Q. Well, did you notice that no value was ascribed to several of the lines that you described as having value?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Now, just to talk about the company's performance, you started to talk about the difficulties the company was realizing in 2008, right?</li> <li>A. Towards the end of 2008, yes.</li> <li>Q. Is it fair to say that 2008 was the worst year in the automotive industry?</li> <li>A. Year to date, yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Well, did you look for value that might have been missed?</li> <li>A. I looked at the analysis that was presented to me and asked questions related to it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So did you look for any value that might be missed?</li> <li>A. I didn't see any.</li> <li>Q. Well, did you notice that no value was ascribed to several of the lines that you described as having value?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Now, just to talk about the company's performance, you started to talk about the difficulties the company was realizing in 2008, right?</li> <li>A. Towards the end of 2008, yes.</li> <li>Q. Is it fair to say that 2008 was the worst year in the automotive industry?</li> <li>A. Year to date, yes.</li> <li>Q. And is it fair to say that 2008 was the worst year for</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Well, did you look for value that might have been missed?</li> <li>A. I looked at the analysis that was presented to me and asked questions related to it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So did you look for any value that might be missed?</li> <li>A. I didn't see any.</li> <li>Q. Well, did you notice that no value was ascribed to several of the lines that you described as having value?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you notice that the accounts receivable were basically</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Now, just to talk about the company's performance, you started to talk about the difficulties the company was realizing in 2008, right?  A. Towards the end of 2008, yes.  Q. Is it fair to say that 2008 was the worst year in the automotive industry?  A. Year to date, yes.  Q. And is it fair to say that 2008 was the worst year for Chrysler?  A. Fair.  Q. Is it also fair to say that the financial performance for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Well, did you look for value that might have been missed?</li> <li>A. I looked at the analysis that was presented to me and asked questions related to it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So did you look for any value that might be missed?</li> <li>A. I didn't see any.</li> <li>Q. Well, did you notice that no value was ascribed to several of the lines that you described as having value?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you notice that the accounts receivable were basically washed out?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Now, just to talk about the company's performance, you started to talk about the difficulties the company was realizing in 2008, right?  A. Towards the end of 2008, yes.  Q. Is it fair to say that 2008 was the worst year in the automotive industry?  A. Year to date, yes.  Q. And is it fair to say that 2008 was the worst year for Chrysler?  A. Fair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Well, did you look for value that might have been missed?</li> <li>A. I looked at the analysis that was presented to me and asked questions related to it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So did you look for any value that might be missed?</li> <li>A. I didn't see any.</li> <li>Q. Well, did you notice that no value was ascribed to several of the lines that you described as having value?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you notice that the accounts receivable were basically washed out?</li> <li>A. Again, collectibility is an issue, but no.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Now, just to talk about the company's performance, you started to talk about the difficulties the company was realizing in 2008, right?  A. Towards the end of 2008, yes.  Q. Is it fair to say that 2008 was the worst year in the automotive industry?  A. Year to date, yes.  Q. And is it fair to say that 2008 was the worst year for Chrysler?  A. Fair.  Q. Is it also fair to say that the financial performance for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Well, did you look for value that might have been missed?</li> <li>A. I looked at the analysis that was presented to me and asked questions related to it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So did you look for any value that might be missed?</li> <li>A. I didn't see any.</li> <li>Q. Well, did you notice that no value was ascribed to several of the lines that you described as having value?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you notice that the accounts receivable were basically washed out?</li> <li>A. Again, collectibility is an issue, but no.</li> <li>Q. Let me read you something that debtors' counsel said in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Now, just to talk about the company's performance, you started to talk about the difficulties the company was realizing in 2008, right?  A. Towards the end of 2008, yes.  Q. Is it fair to say that 2008 was the worst year in the automotive industry?  A. Year to date, yes.  Q. And is it fair to say that 2008 was the worst year for Chrysler?  A. Fair.  Q. Is it also fair to say that the financial performance for Chrysler for 2008 does not provide an accurate basis for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Well, did you look for value that might have been missed?</li> <li>A. I looked at the analysis that was presented to me and asked questions related to it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So did you look for any value that might be missed?</li> <li>A. I didn't see any.</li> <li>Q. Well, did you notice that no value was ascribed to several of the lines that you described as having value?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you notice that the accounts receivable were basically washed out?</li> <li>A. Again, collectibility is an issue, but no.</li> <li>Q. Let me read you something that debtors' counsel said in district court two days ago and ask you whether you agree with</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Now, just to talk about the company's performance, you started to talk about the difficulties the company was realizing in 2008, right?  A. Towards the end of 2008, yes.  Q. Is it fair to say that 2008 was the worst year in the automotive industry?  A. Year to date, yes.  Q. And is it fair to say that 2008 was the worst year for Chrysler?  A. Fair.  Q. Is it also fair to say that the financial performance for Chrysler for 2008 does not provide an accurate basis for determining the value of Chrysler's assets?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Well, did you look for value that might have been missed?</li> <li>A. I looked at the analysis that was presented to me and asked questions related to it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So did you look for any value that might be missed?</li> <li>A. I didn't see any.</li> <li>Q. Well, did you notice that no value was ascribed to several of the lines that you described as having value?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you notice that the accounts receivable were basically washed out?</li> <li>A. Again, collectibility is an issue, but no.</li> <li>Q. Let me read you something that debtors' counsel said in district court two days ago and ask you whether you agree with it. "They looked at the durable core of assets of this</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Now, just to talk about the company's performance, you started to talk about the difficulties the company was realizing in 2008, right?  A. Towards the end of 2008, yes.  Q. Is it fair to say that 2008 was the worst year in the automotive industry?  A. Year to date, yes.  Q. And is it fair to say that 2008 was the worst year for Chrysler?  A. Fair.  Q. Is it also fair to say that the financial performance for Chrysler for 2008 does not provide an accurate basis for determining the value of Chrysler's assets?  A. Perhaps.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Well, did you look for value that might have been missed?</li> <li>A. I looked at the analysis that was presented to me and asked questions related to it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So did you look for any value that might be missed?</li> <li>A. I didn't see any.</li> <li>Q. Well, did you notice that no value was ascribed to several of the lines that you described as having value?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you notice that the accounts receivable were basically washed out?</li> <li>A. Again, collectibility is an issue, but no.</li> <li>Q. Let me read you something that debtors' counsel said in district court two days ago and ask you whether you agree with it. "They looked at the durable core of assets of this company" I'm sorry. "They looked at the durable core of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Now, just to talk about the company's performance, you started to talk about the difficulties the company was realizing in 2008, right?</li> <li>A. Towards the end of 2008, yes.</li> <li>Q. Is it fair to say that 2008 was the worst year in the automotive industry?</li> <li>A. Year to date, yes.</li> <li>Q. And is it fair to say that 2008 was the worst year for Chrysler?</li> <li>A. Fair.</li> <li>Q. Is it also fair to say that the financial performance for Chrysler for 2008 does not provide an accurate basis for determining the value of Chrysler's assets?</li> <li>A. Perhaps.</li> <li>Q. In other words, Chrysler's assets are worth more than the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Well, did you look for value that might have been missed?</li> <li>A. I looked at the analysis that was presented to me and asked questions related to it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So did you look for any value that might be missed?</li> <li>A. I didn't see any.</li> <li>Q. Well, did you notice that no value was ascribed to several of the lines that you described as having value?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you notice that the accounts receivable were basically washed out?</li> <li>A. Again, collectibility is an issue, but no.</li> <li>Q. Let me read you something that debtors' counsel said in district court two days ago and ask you whether you agree with it. "They looked at the durable core of assets of this company" I'm sorry. "They looked at the durable core of assets that this company had, the trucks and the jeeps and the minivans and the people had always bought. And they thought in what configuration can these durable valuable things go</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Now, just to talk about the company's performance, you started to talk about the difficulties the company was realizing in 2008, right?</li> <li>A. Towards the end of 2008, yes.</li> <li>Q. Is it fair to say that 2008 was the worst year in the automotive industry?</li> <li>A. Year to date, yes.</li> <li>Q. And is it fair to say that 2008 was the worst year for Chrysler?</li> <li>A. Fair.</li> <li>Q. Is it also fair to say that the financial performance for Chrysler for 2008 does not provide an accurate basis for determining the value of Chrysler's assets?</li> <li>A. Perhaps.</li> <li>Q. In other words, Chrysler's assets are worth more than the 2008 performance would indicate, right?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Well, did you look for value that might have been missed?</li> <li>A. I looked at the analysis that was presented to me and asked questions related to it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So did you look for any value that might be missed?</li> <li>A. I didn't see any.</li> <li>Q. Well, did you notice that no value was ascribed to several of the lines that you described as having value?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you notice that the accounts receivable were basically washed out?</li> <li>A. Again, collectibility is an issue, but no.</li> <li>Q. Let me read you something that debtors' counsel said in district court two days ago and ask you whether you agree with it. "They looked at the durable core of assets of this company" I'm sorry. "They looked at the durable core of assets that this company had, the trucks and the jeeps and the minivans and the people had always bought. And they thought in what configuration can these durable valuable things go forward." Do you agree with that statement that the durable</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Now, just to talk about the company's performance, you started to talk about the difficulties the company was realizing in 2008, right?  A. Towards the end of 2008, yes.  Q. Is it fair to say that 2008 was the worst year in the automotive industry?  A. Year to date, yes.  Q. And is it fair to say that 2008 was the worst year for Chrysler?  A. Fair.  Q. Is it also fair to say that the financial performance for Chrysler for 2008 does not provide an accurate basis for determining the value of Chrysler's assets?  A. Perhaps.  Q. In other words, Chrysler's assets are worth more than the 2008 performance would indicate, right?  A. Assuming there was financing for them, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Well, did you look for value that might have been missed?</li> <li>A. I looked at the analysis that was presented to me and asked questions related to it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So did you look for any value that might be missed?</li> <li>A. I didn't see any.</li> <li>Q. Well, did you notice that no value was ascribed to several of the lines that you described as having value?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you notice that the accounts receivable were basically washed out?</li> <li>A. Again, collectibility is an issue, but no.</li> <li>Q. Let me read you something that debtors' counsel said in district court two days ago and ask you whether you agree with it. "They looked at the durable core of assets of this company" I'm sorry. "They looked at the durable core of assets that this company had, the trucks and the jeeps and the minivans and the people had always bought. And they thought in what configuration can these durable valuable things go</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Now, just to talk about the company's performance, you started to talk about the difficulties the company was realizing in 2008, right?  A. Towards the end of 2008, yes.  Q. Is it fair to say that 2008 was the worst year in the automotive industry?  A. Year to date, yes.  Q. And is it fair to say that 2008 was the worst year for Chrysler?  A. Fair.  Q. Is it also fair to say that the financial performance for Chrysler for 2008 does not provide an accurate basis for determining the value of Chrysler's assets?  A. Perhaps.  Q. In other words, Chrysler's assets are worth more than the 2008 performance would indicate, right?  A. Assuming there was financing for them, yes.  Q. Let me ask you to turn back to your affidavit, this time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Well, did you look for value that might have been missed?</li> <li>A. I looked at the analysis that was presented to me and asked questions related to it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So did you look for any value that might be missed?</li> <li>A. I didn't see any.</li> <li>Q. Well, did you notice that no value was ascribed to several of the lines that you described as having value?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you notice that the accounts receivable were basically washed out?</li> <li>A. Again, collectibility is an issue, but no.</li> <li>Q. Let me read you something that debtors' counsel said in district court two days ago and ask you whether you agree with it. "They looked at the durable core of assets of this company" I'm sorry. "They looked at the durable core of assets that this company had, the trucks and the jeeps and the minivans and the people had always bought. And they thought in what configuration can these durable valuable things go forward." Do you agree with that statement that the durable</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Now, just to talk about the company's performance, you started to talk about the difficulties the company was realizing in 2008, right?  A. Towards the end of 2008, yes.  Q. Is it fair to say that 2008 was the worst year in the automotive industry?  A. Year to date, yes.  Q. And is it fair to say that 2008 was the worst year for Chrysler?  A. Fair.  Q. Is it also fair to say that the financial performance for Chrysler for 2008 does not provide an accurate basis for determining the value of Chrysler's assets?  A. Perhaps.  Q. In other words, Chrysler's assets are worth more than the 2008 performance would indicate, right?  A. Assuming there was financing for them, yes.  Q. Let me ask you to turn back to your affidavit, this time paragraph 24. Now the last sentence says "Finally, Mr.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Well, did you look for value that might have been missed?</li> <li>A. I looked at the analysis that was presented to me and asked questions related to it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So did you look for any value that might be missed?</li> <li>A. I didn't see any.</li> <li>Q. Well, did you notice that no value was ascribed to several of the lines that you described as having value?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you notice that the accounts receivable were basically washed out?</li> <li>A. Again, collectibility is an issue, but no.</li> <li>Q. Let me read you something that debtors' counsel said in district court two days ago and ask you whether you agree with it. "They looked at the durable core of assets of this company" I'm sorry. "They looked at the durable core of assets that this company had, the trucks and the jeeps and the minivans and the people had always bought. And they thought in what configuration can these durable valuable things go forward." Do you agree with that statement that the durable core assets of the company include the trucks and the jeeps and the minivans and that there's value to those items?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Now, just to talk about the company's performance, you started to talk about the difficulties the company was realizing in 2008, right?  A. Towards the end of 2008, yes.  Q. Is it fair to say that 2008 was the worst year in the automotive industry?  A. Year to date, yes.  Q. And is it fair to say that 2008 was the worst year for Chrysler?  A. Fair.  Q. Is it also fair to say that the financial performance for Chrysler for 2008 does not provide an accurate basis for determining the value of Chrysler's assets?  A. Perhaps.  Q. In other words, Chrysler's assets are worth more than the 2008 performance would indicate, right?  A. Assuming there was financing for them, yes.  Q. Let me ask you to turn back to your affidavit, this time paragraph 24. Now the last sentence says "Finally, Mr. Nardelli informed the board that the company was monitoring its cash level to ensure the possibility of an orderly liquidation if that became necessary." You see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Well, did you look for value that might have been missed?</li> <li>A. I looked at the analysis that was presented to me and asked questions related to it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So did you look for any value that might be missed?</li> <li>A. I didn't see any.</li> <li>Q. Well, did you notice that no value was ascribed to several of the lines that you described as having value?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you notice that the accounts receivable were basically washed out?</li> <li>A. Again, collectibility is an issue, but no.</li> <li>Q. Let me read you something that debtors' counsel said in district court two days ago and ask you whether you agree with it. "They looked at the durable core of assets of this company" I'm sorry. "They looked at the durable core of assets that this company had, the trucks and the jeeps and the minivans and the people had always bought. And they thought in what configuration can these durable valuable things go forward." Do you agree with that statement that the durable core assets of the company include the trucks and the jeeps and the minivans and that there's value to those items?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Now, just to talk about the company's performance, you started to talk about the difficulties the company was realizing in 2008, right?  A. Towards the end of 2008, yes.  Q. Is it fair to say that 2008 was the worst year in the automotive industry?  A. Year to date, yes.  Q. And is it fair to say that 2008 was the worst year for Chrysler?  A. Fair.  Q. Is it also fair to say that the financial performance for Chrysler for 2008 does not provide an accurate basis for determining the value of Chrysler's assets?  A. Perhaps.  Q. In other words, Chrysler's assets are worth more than the 2008 performance would indicate, right?  A. Assuming there was financing for them, yes.  Q. Let me ask you to turn back to your affidavit, this time paragraph 24. Now the last sentence says "Finally, Mr. Nardelli informed the board that the company was monitoring its cash level to ensure the possibility of an orderly liquidation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Well, did you look for value that might have been missed?</li> <li>A. I looked at the analysis that was presented to me and asked questions related to it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So did you look for any value that might be missed?</li> <li>A. I didn't see any.</li> <li>Q. Well, did you notice that no value was ascribed to several of the lines that you described as having value?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you notice that the accounts receivable were basically washed out?</li> <li>A. Again, collectibility is an issue, but no.</li> <li>Q. Let me read you something that debtors' counsel said in district court two days ago and ask you whether you agree with it. "They looked at the durable core of assets of this company" I'm sorry. "They looked at the durable core of assets that this company had, the trucks and the jeeps and the minivans and the people had always bought. And they thought in what configuration can these durable valuable things go forward." Do you agree with that statement that the durable core assets of the company include the trucks and the jeeps and the minivans and that there's value to those items?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Now, just to talk about the company's performance, you started to talk about the difficulties the company was realizing in 2008, right?  A. Towards the end of 2008, yes.  Q. Is it fair to say that 2008 was the worst year in the automotive industry?  A. Year to date, yes.  Q. And is it fair to say that 2008 was the worst year for Chrysler?  A. Fair.  Q. Is it also fair to say that the financial performance for Chrysler for 2008 does not provide an accurate basis for determining the value of Chrysler's assets?  A. Perhaps.  Q. In other words, Chrysler's assets are worth more than the 2008 performance would indicate, right?  A. Assuming there was financing for them, yes.  Q. Let me ask you to turn back to your affidavit, this time paragraph 24. Now the last sentence says "Finally, Mr. Nardelli informed the board that the company was monitoring its cash level to ensure the possibility of an orderly liquidation if that became necessary." You see that?

8				
within the zone of innolvency, right?  A. Correct.  Q. And you had a firm understanding that you had a fiduciary diates to the creditors, right?  A. Correct.  Q. And you certainly had a firm understanding that you had a fiduciary duty to the senior secured lenders, right?  A. Correct.  Q. And you understand that there do he a 363 sale irrespective of whether the senior secured lenders on the foundation.  A. Correct.	1	A. Yes.	1	premature because I object to reading the e-mail at all until a
4 A. Correct. 5 Q. And you had a firm understanding that you had fiduciary duties to the creditors, right? 7 A. Correct. 7 Q. And you understand that firm understanding that you had a great properties of the content of the serior secured lenders, right? 8 Q. And you understand that in order to discharge that 12 discharge duty, there would need to be sufficient cash to fund 13 the possible orderly liquidation, right? 8 Q. Because you'd need, as a flotciary, to ensure that there was sufficient cash to realize the value on the assets in a 16 liquidation, right? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And your understanding was senior management would do so of pright? 9 Q. And your understanding was senior management would do so of pright? 9 Q. And your understanding was senior management would do so of pright? 10 A. Yes. 11 direct your attention to the last line – the last sentence anyway. Bloom and Feldman – now you understand that those are anyway. Bloom and Feldman have been concerned abour a direction from the fourth tab which has been previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? Tan going to 5 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? Tan going to 5 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? Tan going to 5 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? Tan going to 5 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? Tan going to 5 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? Tan going to 5 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? Tan going to 5 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? Tan going to 5 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? Tan going to 5 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? Tan going to 5 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? Tan going to 5 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? Tan going to 5 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? Tan going to 5 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? Tan going to 5 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? Tan going to 5 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit	2	Q. And you had talked about the company by that time being	2	foundation is laid.
Definition of the creditors, right?  A. Correct.  A. Correct.  A. Correct.  A. Correct.  A. Correct.  Consider fiduciary duty, there would need to be sufficient cash to fund the possible orderly liquidation, right?  A. Correct.  A. Correct	3	within the zone of insolvency, right?	3	MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, reading the e-mail is part of
duties to the creditions, right?  A. Correct.  G. Because you'd need, as a fiduciary, to ensure that there was unferient each to realize the value on the assets in a liquidation, right?  A. Correct.  G. And you understanding was senior management would doso ifght?  A. Correct.  Journal of the same senior secured lenders of management would doso ifght?  A. Correct.  Journal of the management would doso ifght?  A. Correct.  Journal of the same senior secured lenders consented to the same and liquidation, right?  A. Correct.  Journal of the same senior secured lenders consented to the same and liquidation, right?  A. Correct.  Journal of the same senior secured lenders consented to the same and liquidation, right?  A. Correct.  Journal of the same senior secured lenders consented to the leave as much behind as possible and little cash. Bloom and Feldman said we only have to leave as much behind as possible and little cash. Bloom and Feldman said we only have to leave as much behind as possible and little cash. Bloom and Feldman said we only have to leave as much behind as possible and little cash. Bloom and Feldman said we only have to leave as much behind as possible and little cash. Bloom and feldman said we only such statement had been made to senior management or the financial advisor of Chrysler?  A. Vest.  Journal of the said in the fourth tab which has been previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? This going to the liquidation, that, in fact, Bloom and Feldman and Two only and the liquidation.  Journal of the said in the said in the fourth said which has been previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? This going to the liquidation of the senior section from the Treasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman said "We only have been concerned about a direction from the Treasury Department as the liquidation of the senior section from the Treasury Department as presentatives, right?  A. Well, Bloom, certainly.  Journal of the first of the said in the first of the first of the first of the firs	4	A. Correct.	4	the foundation.
7 A. Correct. 8 Q. And you certainly had a firm understanding that you had a fiduciary duty to the senior secured lenders, right? 10 A. Correct. 11 Q. And you understood that in order to discharge that 12 fiduciary duty, there would need to be sufficient cash to fund 13 the possible orderly liquidation, right? 11 A. Correct. 12 Q. Because you'd need, as a fiduciary, to ensure that there 15 was sufficient cash to realize the value on the assets in a 18 liquidation, right? 13 A. Correct. 14 A. Correct. 15 Q. Because you'd need, as a fiduciary, to ensure that there 16 was sufficient cash to realize the value on the assets in a 18 liquidation, right? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. And you understanding was senior management would do so, right? 21 A. No correct. 22 Q. And you relied on that, right? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Can I ask you to turn to the fourth tab which has been previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to proving the part of t	5		5	THE COURT: All right. Read the e-mail.
8 do a 363 even if the banks agree so is likely pat." By the 9 day, ddy you understand that there'd be a 365 sale irrespective 11 Q. And you understood that in order to discharge that 12 fiduciary duty, there would need to be sufficient cash to fund 13 the possible orderly liquidation, right? 14 A. Correct. 15 Q. Because you'd need, as a fiduciary, to ensure that there 16 was sufficient cash to realize the value on the assets in a 17 liquidation, right? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. And your understanding was senior management would do so 19 fight? 20 And your understanding was senior management would do so 20 right? 21 A. Correct. 22 Q. And your understanding was senior management would do so 21 fight? 22 A. Yes. 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Can I sak you to turn to the fourth tab which has been 25 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 26 a myway. Bloom and Feldman – now you understand that those are 27 the United States. Treasury Department representatives, right? 28 A. Well, Bloom, certainly. 29 MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. 20 mR. STEWART: Dijection, Your Honor. 21 MR. STEWART: Bull, I sin sking the witness about a 22 conversation the witness was not a party to. There's no 23 mR. STEWART: Bull si asking the witness about a 24 prove a demandation before examining him about them. 29 MR. STEWART: Well, And this witness has seen it 20 conversation the witness was not a party to. There's no 21 at to this witness, in any event. And I think there's a need 22 prove the witness is awas these statements were made. And 23 he's asking the witness about things that are hearsay to one 24 side, Mr. KURTZ: Tour Honor. 25 MR. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a 26 conversation the witness awas not a party to. There's no 27 conversation the witness was not a party to. There's no 28 MR. STEWART: He is asking the witness about things that are hearsay to one 29 as to this witness, in any event. And I think there's a need 20 provide the witness is awas these statements were made. And 21 provide the email. Then my		-		
9 fiduciary dury to the senior secured lenders, right? 10 A. Correct. 11 Q. And you understood that in order to discharge that fiduciary dury, there would need to be sufficient eash to fund 13 the possible orderly liquidation, right? 14 A. Correct. 15 Q. Because you'd need, as a fiduciary, to ensure that there was sufficient cash to realize the value on the assets in a liquidation, right? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. And you understanding was senior management would do so right? 20 Q. And you understanding was senior management would do so right? 21 A. Correct. 22 Q. And you understanding was senior management would do so right? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Can I ask you to limb to the fourth tab which has been proviously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to anyway. Bloom and Feldman now you understand that those are to United States Treasury Department representatives, right? 24 A. Well, Bloom, certainly. 25 Q. Okay, And I represent to you Feldman is counsel in the Terasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman is counsel in the Terasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman is counsel in the Terasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman is counsel in the Terasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman is counsel in the Terasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman is counsel in the Terasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman is counsel in the Terasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman is counsel in the Terasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman is counsel in the Terasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman is counsel in the Terasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman is counsel in the Terasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman is counsel in the Terasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman is counsel in the Terasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman is counsel in the Terasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman is counsel in the Terasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman is counsel in the Terasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman is counsel in the Terasury Department is well	7	A. Correct.	7	Q. The e-mail says "Bloom and Feldman found another reason to
10 A. Correct. 11 Q. And you understood that in order to discharge that 12 fiduciary duty, there would need to be sufficient cash to fund 13 the possible orderly liquidation, right? 14 A. Correct. 15 Q. Because you'd need, as a fiduciary, to ensure that there 16 was sufficient cash to realize the value on the assets in a 17 liquidation, right? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. And you understanding was senior management would do so 18 cripth? 20 a. And your understanding was senior management would do so 21 a. Correct. 22 Q. And you relied on that, right? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Can I ask you to turn to the fourth tab which has been 25 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 26 anyway. Bloom and Feldman — now you understand that those are 27 anyway. Bloom and Feldman — now you understand that those are 28 anyway. Bloom and Feldman is counsel in the 29 foundation for this exhibit? 30 MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. 31 MR. STEWART: Melt, Han this wimess has seen it 32 or — hes asking thm to comment — 34 MR. STEWART: Melt, Han this wimess has seen it 35 or — hes asking thm to comment — 36 side, Mr. KURTZ: This is in evidence, Your Honor. 37 MR. STEWART: Melt, Han this wimess has seen it 38 or — hes asking the wimess about things that are hearsy to one— 39 and the course it is a sking the wimess about things that are hearsy to one— 30 and Feldman were saying don't leave enough tellow in facility in family a liquidation, that, in fact, Bloom 30 and Feldman were saying don't leave enough money back for that 31 that's the bank's problem? Would that concern you? 32 A. It's troubling. 33 A. Q. Right. That would be completely inconsistent with your 34 derivatives of the company, right? 35 A. I would agree. 36 C. Add that would be completely inconsistent with your 37 understanding of how this was going to proceed, right? 38 A. A. Again, it would be completely inconsistent with your 39 understanding of how this was going to proceed, right? 40 A. A. A. A. Again, it would be completely inconsistent with your 41 unders	8		8	do a 363 even if the banks agree so is likely pat." By the
11 transaction? 2 A. No. I was not aware of that. 3 the possible orderly liquidation, right? 4 A. Correct. 5 Q. Because you'd need, as a fiduciary, to ensure that there was sufficient eash to realize the value on the assets in a 17 liquidation, right? 6 Was sufficient eash to realize the value on the assets in a 18 liquidation, right? 7 liquidation, right? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And you understanding was senior management would do so 19 Q. And you or understanding was senior management would do so 19 Q. And you redied on that, right? 2 A. No crect. 2 Q. And you relied on that, right? 3 A. Yes. 2 Q. And you relied on that, right? 4 A. Well, Bloom and Feldman show the has been previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 25 mpreviously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 26 mpreviously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 27 mpreviously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 28 mpreviously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 29 mpreviously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 29 mpreviously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 mpreviously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 mpreviously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 mpreviously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 mpreviously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 mpreviously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 mpreviously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 mpreviously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 mpreviously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 mpreviously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 mpreviously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 mpreviously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 mpreviously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 mpreviously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 mpreviously marked as Pensi	9	fiduciary duty to the senior secured lenders, right?	9	way, did you understand that there'd be a 363 sale irrespective
fiduciary duty, there would need to be sufficient cash to fund the possible orderly liquidation, right?  A. Correct.  G. Because you'd need, as a fiduciary, to ensure that there was sufficient cash to realize the value on the assets in a was sufficient cash to realize the value on the assets in a liquidation, right?  G. And your understanding was senior management would do so right?  A. Correct.  G. And your understanding was senior management would do so right?  A. Correct.  G. And you relied on that, right?  A. Correct.  G. And you relied on that, right?  A. Correct.  G. And you relied on that, right?  A. Ves.  G. And you relied on that, right?  A. Ves.  G. And you relied on that, right?  A. Ves.  G. And you relied on that, right?  A. Ves.  G. And sky you to turn to the fourth tab which has been previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to the function of the state of the company of the last line — the last sentence and the company of the last line — the last sentence and the company of the last line — the last sentence and the last line — the last sentence and the company of the last line — the last sentence and the last line — the l	10	A. Correct.	10	of whether the senior secured lenders consented to the
the possible orderly liquidation, right?  A. Correct.  14 and fittle cash. Bloom and Feldman said we only have to leave a much behind as possible and little cash. Bloom and Feldman said we only have to leave enough behind to pay severance not to fund the liquidation. Fight?  17 liquidation, right?  18 A. Correct.  19 Q. And your understanding was senior management would do so pright?  20 And your understanding was senior management would do so pright?  21 A. Correct.  22 Q. And your leied on that, right?  23 A. Yes.  24 Q. Can I ask you to turn to the fourth lab which has been previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to provide the state streamy behained to pay severance.  25 priving your attention to the last line—the last sentence anyway. Bloom and Feldman - now you understand that those are to the state streamy bepartment representatives, right?  4 A. Well, Bloom, certainly.  5 Q. Okay. And I represent to you Feldman is counsel in the Treasury Department are well. Bloom and Feldman said "We only for foundation for this exhibit?  5 Q. Okay. And I represent to you Feldman is counsel in the Treasury Department are well. Bloom and Feldman said "We only for foundation for this exhibit?  5 Q. Okay. And I represent to you Feldman is counsel in the Treasury Department are well. Bloom and Feldman said "We only for foundation for this exhibit?  5 Q. Okay. And I represent to you Feldman is counsel in the Treasury Department are well. Bloom and Feldman said "We only for foundation for this exhibit?  5 Q. Okay. And I represent to you Feldman is counsel in the Treasury Department are well. Bloom and Feldman said "We only for foundation for this exhibit?  5 Q. Okay. And I represent to you Feldman is counsel in the Treasury Department are well. Bloom and Feldman said "We only for foundation for this exhibit?  6 Q. Okay. And I represent to you Feldman is counsel in the Treasury Department are since the prevention of this exhibit?  7 Q. Okay. And I represent to you Feldman is counsel in the Treasury Departm	11	Q. And you understood that in order to discharge that	11	transaction?
A. Correct.  15 Q. Because you'd need, as a fiduciary, to ensure that there 16 was sufficient cash to realize the value on the assets in a 17 liquidation, right? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. And your understanding was senior management would do so 19 right? 20 right? 21 A. Correct. 22 Q. And you relied on that, right? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Can I ask you to turn to the fourth tab which has been 25 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 26 rayway. Bloom and Feldman - now you understand that those are 27 anyway. Bloom and Feldman - now you understand that those are 28 anyway. Bloom and Feldman - now you understand that those are 29 anyway. Bloom and Feldman - now you understand that those are 30 the United States Treasury Department representatives, right? 41 A. Well, Bloom, certainly. 42 A. Well, Bloom, certainly. 43 B. M. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. 44 A. Well, Bloom, certainly. 45 Goundation for this exhibit? 46 A. Well, Bloom, certainly. 47 In mot avere daily ou have been concerned about a direction from the board's view in its fiduciary duties that there would be enough money back for that more yield bear and Feldman were saying don't leave enough money back for that fiduciary duties of the company, right? 4 A. Well, Bloom, certainly. 5 Q. Okay. And I represent to you Feldman is counsel in the formation for this exhibit? 4 A. Well, Bloom, certainly. 5 Q. Right. That would be completely inconsistent with the fiduciary duties of the company, right? 6 Q. And that would be completely inconsistent with your understanding of how this was going to proceed, right? 7 A. I would agree. 8 MR. KURTZ: This is in evidence, Your Honor. 9 Own What I mean is the idea of not leaving enough liquidition, right? 10 MR. KURTZ: Your Honor - 11 MR. STEWART: Well, All right. Step to one 12 as to this witness, in any event. And I think there's a need 13 MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm 14 MR. KURTZ: How Honor, counsel is premature. I'm 15 A. Likely. 16 MR. KURTZ: Have no further questions, Your Honor, Th	12	fiduciary duty, there would need to be sufficient cash to fund	12	A. No. I was not aware of that.
15 Q. Because you'd need, as a fiduciary, to ensure that there was sufficient cash to realize the value on the assets in a life injudiation, right? 18 A. Correct. 18 financial advisor of Chrysler? 19 Q. And your understanding was senior management would do so right? 19 A. Correct. 19 Q. And you relied on that, right? 19 A. Yes. 19 Q. And you relied on that, right? 19 Q. And you relied on that, right? 19 Q. Can I ask you to turn to the fourth tab which has been previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to group of the United States Department of Treasury that notwithstanding the money left behind to fund a liquidation, that, in fact, Bloom and Feldman were saying don't leave enough behind to pay severance on to fund the liquidation. 19 such statement had been made to senior management or the financial advisor of Chrysler? 19 A. Fin not aware of any of this. I've never seen this e-mail. 10 would you have been concerned about a direction from the 10 mile. 11 part of the states of the correct of mail. 11 part of the last sentence 12 payway. Bloom and Feldman has been 12 payway. Bloom and Feldman is counsel in the 12 payway. Bloom and Feldman is counsel in the 13 payway. Bloom and Feldman is counsel in the 14 pay severance. 19 Payway. Bloom and Feldman said "We only 14 had to leave enough behind to pay severance and the three would be completely inconsistent with the fiduciary duties that three would be enough 18 payway. Bloom and Feldman said "We only 14 had to leave enough behind to pay severance and 19 payway. Bloom and Feldman were saying of the saying don't leave enough behind to pay severance and 19 payway. Bloom and Feldman were saying of the saying don't leave enough behind to pay severance and 19 payway. Bloom and Feldman were saying of the saying don't leave enough behind to pay severance and 19 payway. Bloom and Feldman were saying don't leave enough behind to fund a liquidation, that, in fact, Bloom and Feldman were saying don't leave enough behind to pay severance and 19 payway. Bloom	13	the possible orderly liquidation, right?	13	Q. Then he says, "Need to leave as much behind as possible
16 was sufficient cash to realize the value on the assets in a 17 liquidation, right? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. And your understanding was senior management would do so 19 right? 21 A. Correct. 22 Q. And you relied on that, right? 22 Q. And you relied on that, right? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Can I ask you to turn to the fourth tab which has been 25 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 26 anyway. Bloom and Feldman — now you understand that those are 27 anyway. Bloom and Feldman is counsel in the 28 direct your attention to the last line — the last sentence 29 anyway. Bloom and Feldman is counsel in the 30 the United States Treasury Department representatives, right? 40 A. Well, Bloom, certainly. 51 Q. Okay. And I represent to you Feldman is counsel in the 41 Treasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman said "We only 42 had to leave enough behind to pay severance." 43 MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. 45 Goudation for this exhibit? 46 Treasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman said "We only 47 had to leave enough behind to pay severance." 48 MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. 49 Foundation for this exhibit? 50 MR. STEWART: Well, that this witness has seen it 51 MR. STEWART: Well, that this witness has seen it 52 THE COURT: Just a minute. All right. Step to one 53 eich, Mr. Kurtz. Lean't hear counsel. 54 MR. STEWART: Well, that this witness about a 55 conversation the witness was not a party to. There's no 56 council that would be completely inconsistent with your 57 understanding of how this was going to proceed, right? 58 A. I don't know how it was being espoitated. 59 Q. No. What I mean is the idea of not leaving enough 50 liquidity to finance a liquidation would be completely 51 inconsistent with what the board was to large it is not the bank's problem. 59 Previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 50 Q. Ray, that would be completely inconsistent with the 61 That was the bank's problem. Now were of any of this. The never seen this e- 62 mail. 62 Q. Roin that would be c	14	A. Correct.	14	and little cash. Bloom and Feldman said we only have to leave
17 liquidation, right? 18 A. Correct. 29 Q. And your understanding was senior management would do so right? 20 right? 21 A. Correct. 22 Q. And you relied on that, right? 22 Q. And you relied on that, right? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Can I ask you to turn to the fourth tab which has been previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to a three of the county of	15	Q. Because you'd need, as a fiduciary, to ensure that there	15	enough behind to pay severance not to fund the liquidation.
18 financial advisor of Chrysler? 20 A. Mayour understanding was senior management would do so 20 right? 21 A. Correct. 22 Q. And your relied on that, right? 22 Q. And you relied on that, right? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Can I ask you to turn to the fourth tab which has been 25 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 26 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 27 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 28 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 29 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 21 direct your attention to the last line — the last sentence 22 anyway. Bloom and Feldman — now you understand that those are 23 the United States Treasury Department representatives, right? 24 A. Well, Bloom, certainly. 25 Q. Okay. And I represent to you Feldman is counsel in the 26 Treasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman said "We only 27 had to leave enough behind to pay severance." 28 MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. Could we have a foundation for this exhibit? 29 Gondation for this exhibit? 30 MR. KURTZ: This is in evidence, Your Honor. 31 MR. KURTZ: This is in evidence, Your Honor. 31 MR. KURTZ: Your Honor — 32 MR. STEWART: May I finish, please? 33 MR. KURTZ: Your Honor — 34 MR. STEWART: May I finish, please? 35 THE COURT: Just a minute. All right. Step to one 36 dividence the witness was not a party to. There's no 37 evidence the witness is aware these statements were made. And 38 he's asking the witness shout things that are hearsay to one 39 evidence the witness is aware these statements were made. And 30 he's asking the witness about things that are hearnasy to one 30 death and the dividence of the company, right? 31 MR. KURTZ: I tan't hear counsel. 32 MR. KURTZ: That a minute. All right. Step to one 31 death and the dividence of the company of this is the way it was being done. 31 death and the dividence of the company of the company of the	16	was sufficient cash to realize the value on the assets in a	16	That was the bank's problem." Now, were you aware that any
19 Q. And your understanding was senior management would do so right? 21 A. Correct. 22 Q. And you relied on that, right? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Can I ask you to turn to the fourth tab which has been 25 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 26 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 27 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 28 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 29 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 20 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 10 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 10 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 10 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 10 p	17	liquidation, right?		-
20 right? 21 A. Correct. 22 Q. And you relied on that, right? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Can I ask you to turn to the fourth tab which has been 25 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 26 86  1 direct your attention to the last line the last sentence 2 anyway. Bloom and Feldman now you understand that those are 3 the United States Treasury Department representatives, right? 4 A. Well, Bloom, certainly. 5 Q. Okay. And I represent to you Feldman is counsel in the 6 Treasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman said "We only 7 had to leave enough behind to pay severance." 8 MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. Could we have 9 foundation for this exhibit? 10 MR. KURTZ: This is in evidence, Your Honor. 11 MR. STEWART: Well, that this witness has seen it 12 or he's asking him to comment 13 MR. KURTZ: Your Honor 14 MR. STEWART: May I finish, please? 15 THE COURT: Just a minute. All right. Step to one 16 side, Mr. Kurtz. I can't hear counsel. 17 MR. STEWART: May I finish, please? 18 MR. STEWART: Well, that mis witness has bout a 19 evidence the witness was not a party to. There's no 20 conversation the witness was not a party to. There's no 21 evidence the witness about things that are hearsay to one 22 a for a foundation before examining him about them. 23 MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm 24 for a foundation before examining him about them. 25 MR. STEWART: Well, that my questions come. 26 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm 27 I was to this witness, in any event. And I think there's a need 28 he's asking him the witness about things that are hearsay to one 29 for a foundation before examining him about them. 20 I was the witness? All right. Thank you. Anyone else wish to consese camine the witness? All right. Thank you. Anyone else wish to consese camine the witness? All right. Thank you. Anyone else wish to consese camine the witness? All right. Thank you. Anyone else wish to cross-examine the witness? All right. Thank you. Anyone else wish				-
A. Correct.  Q. And you relied on that, right?  A. Yes.  Q. Can I ask you to turn to the fourth tab which has been previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 86  1 direct your attention to the last line the last sentence anyway. Bloom and Feldman now you understand that those are the United States Treasury Department representatives, right?  A. Well, Bloom, certainly.  Q. Okay, And I represent to you Feldman is counsel in the Treasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman said "We only had to leave enough behind to pay severance."  MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. Could we have foundation for this exhibit?  MR. KURTZ: This is in evidence, Your Honor.  MR. STEWART: Well, that this witness has seen it MR. STEWART: May I finish, please?  THE COURT: Just a minute. All right. Step to one evidence the witness was not a party to. There's no evidence the witness is aware these statements were made. And he's asking the witness about things that are hearsay to one conversation the witness was not a party to. There's no evidence the witness is aware these statements were made. And he's asking the witness about things that are hearsay to one conversation the witness was not a party to. There's no evidence the witness is aware these statements were made. And he's asking the witness about things that are hearsay to one conversation the witness was not a party to. There's no evidence the witness is aware these statements were made. And he's asking the witness about things that are hearsay to one conversation the witness was not a party to. There's no evidence the witness si avare these statements were made. And he's asking the witness about things that are hearsay to one conversation the witness was not party to. There's no evidence the witness si avare these statements were made. And he's asking the witness about things that are hearsay to one conversation the witness was not a party to. There's no evidence the witness about things that are hearsay to one conversation the witness was not a party				·
22 Q. And you relied on that, right? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Can I ask you to turn to the fourth tab which has been previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 86  25 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 86  26 anyway. Bloom and Feldman now you understand that those are 4 anyway. Bloom and Feldman is counsel in the 6 Itreasury Department representatives, right? 4 A. Well, Bloom, certainly. 5 Q. Okay. And I represent to you Feldman is counsel in the 6 Treasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman said "We only had to leave enough behind to pay severance." 8 MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. Could we have seen with the sex sking him to comment		-		
23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Can I ask you to turn to the fourth tab which has been previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 86  25 previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 86  26 anyway. Bloom and Feldman now you understand that those are anyway. Bloom and Feldman now you understand that those are 3 the United States Treasury Department representatives, right?  4 A. Well, Bloom, certainly.  5 Q. Okay. And I represent to you Feldman is counsel in the 6 Treasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman said "We only had to leave enough behind to pay severance."  8 MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. Could we have 5 foundation for this exhibit?  10 MR. KURTZ: This is in evidence, Your Honor.  11 MR. STEWART: Well, that this witness has seen it 9 mR. KURTZ: Your Honor 13 MR. KURTZ: Your Honor 14 MR. STEWART: May I finish, please?  12 A. Again, it would be troubling to me if this is the way it was being done.  13 MR. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a 20 evidence the witness was not a party to. There's no 21 evidence the witness was not a party to. There's no 22 evidence the witness, in any event. And I think there's a need 22 for a foundation before examining him about them.  14 MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm 24 just reading the e-mail. Then my questions come.  25 MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm 24 just reading the e-mail. Then my questions come. 25 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not 25 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not 25 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not 25 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not 26 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not 27 MR. MAYER: Thank you, Your Honor. Thomas Mores 24 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not 26 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not 27 MR. MAYER: Thank you, Your Honor, Thomas Mores 24 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not 27 MR. Warre I have no further questions, Your Honor. Thomas Mores 24 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Hon				-
24 Q. Can I ask you to turn to the fourth tab which has been previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 86  1 direct your attention to the last line the last sentence anyway. Bloom and Feldman now you understand that those are the United States Treasury Department representatives, right? 4 A. Well, Bloom, certainly. 5 Q. Okay. And I represent to you Feldman is counsel in the 16 Treasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman said "We only had to leave enough behind to pay severance." 8 MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. Could we have a foundation for this exhibit? 9 Goundation for this exhibit? 10 MR. KURTZ: This is in evidence, Your Honor. 11 MR. STEWART: Well, that this witness has seen it or he's asking him to comment 12 MR. STEWART: May I finish, please? 14 MR. STEWART: May I finish, please? 15 THE COURT: Just a minute. All right. Step to one side, Mr. Kurtz. I can't hear counsel. 16 side, Mr. Kurtz. I can't hear counsel. 17 MR. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a conversation the witness was not a party to. There's no evidence the witness is aware these statements were made. And he's asking the witness about things that are hearsay to one 21 as to this witness, in any event. And I think there's a need for a foundation before examining him about them. 24 just reading the e-mail. Then my questions come. 25 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, Lithink I'm not 26 MR. KURTZ: Thank you, Your Honor. Thomas Mores was prevented to the witness of the company, right? 27 A. It's troubling. 28 A. It's troubling. 29 Q. Right. That would be completely inconsistent with the fiduciary duties of the company, right? 30 A. I would agree. 31 A. I would agree. 31 A. I don't know how it was being engotiated. 40 A. J don't know how it was being engotiated. 51 A. J don't know how it was being engotiated. 52 A. A. A. I don't know how it was being engotiated. 53 A.				, ,
previously marked as Pensioner Exhibit number 10? I'm going to 86  direct your attention to the last line the last sentence anyway. Bloom and Feldman now you understand that those are anyway. Bloom and Feldman now you understand that those are the United States Treasury Department representatives, right?  A. Well, Bloom, certainly.  Q. Okay. And I represent to you Feldman is counsel in the Treasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman said "We only had to leave enough behind to pay severance."  MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. Could we have foundation for this exhibit?  MR. STEWART: Well, that this witness has seen it or he's asking him to comment  MR. STEWART: Well, that this witness has seen it or he's asking him to comment  MR. STEWART: May I finish, please?  MR. STEWART: May I finish, please?  THE COURT: Just a minute. All right. Step to one side, Mr. Kurtz. I can't hear counsel.  MR. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a conversation the witness was not a party to. There's no evidence the witness is aware these statements were made. And he's asking the witness about things that are hearsay to one vidence the witness, in any event. And I think there's a need for a foundation before examining him about them.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm yustending the e-mail. Then my questions come.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm yustending the e-mail. Then my questions come.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm yustending the e-mail. Then my questions come.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm yustending the e-mail. Then my questions come.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm yustending the e-mail. Then my questions come.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm yustending the e-mail. Then my questions come.  MR. KURTZ: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  And Feldman were saying don't leave enough mone's pack for that that those are fiduciary duties of the company, right?  A. Ithat's the bank's problem? Would				•
direct your attention to the last line the last sentence anyway. Bloom and Feldman now you understand that those are the United States Treasury Department representatives, right? A. Well, Bloom, certainly. Q. Okay. And I represent to you Feldman is counsel in the Treasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman said "We only had to leave enough behind to pay severance." MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. Could we have a foundation for this exhibit? MR. KURTZ: This is in evidence, Your Honor. MR. STEWART: Well, that this witness has seen it MR. KURTZ: Your Honor MR. STEWART: May I finish, please? THE COURT: Just a minute. All right. Step to one side, Mr. Kurtz. I can't hear counsel. MR. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a conversation the witness was not a party to. There's no evidence the witness is aware these statements were made. And he's asking the witness about things that are hearsay to one for a foundation before examining him about them. MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm Just reading the e-mail. Then my questions come.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm Just reading the e-mail. Then my questions come.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm Just reading the e-mail. Then my questions come.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, Counsel is premature. I'm Just reading the e-mail. Then my questions come.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, Counsel is premature. I'm Just reading the e-mail. Then my questions come.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, Counsel is premature. I'm Just reading the e-mail. Then my questions come.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, Counsel is premature. I'm Just reading the e-mail. Then my questions come.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, Counsel is premature. I'm Just reading the e-mail. Then my questions come.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, Counsel is premature. I'm Just reading the e-mail. Then my questions come.  MR. STEWART:		-		•
direct your attention to the last line the last sentence anyway. Bloom and Feldman now you understand that those are the United States Treasury Department representatives, right?  A. Well, Bloom, certainly. Q. Okay. And I represent to you Feldman is counsel in the Treasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman said "We only had to leave enough behind to pay severance."  MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. Could we have foundation for this exhibit?  MR. KURTZ: This is in evidence, Your Honor.  MR. STEWART: Well, that this witness has seen it  MR. STEWART: Well, that this witness has seen it  MR. STEWART: May I finish, please? THE COURT: Just a minute. All right. Step to one side, Mr. Kurtz. I can't hear counsel.  MR. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a conversation the witness was not a party to. There's no evidence the witness is aware these statements were made. And he's asking the witness about things that are hearsay to one a to this witness, in any event. And I think there's a need MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm MR. STEWART: He is naking the witness about them.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm	25		25	
anyway. Bloom and Feldman – now you understand that those are the United States Treasury Department representatives, right?  A. Well, Bloom, certainly.  Q. Okay. And I represent to you Feldman is counsel in the Treasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman said "We only had to leave enough behind to pay severance."  MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. Could we have foundation for this exhibit?  MR. KURTZ: This is in evidence, Your Honor.  MR. STEWART: Well, that this witness has seen it or he's asking him to comment —  MR. STEWART: May I finish, please?  MR. STEWART: May I finish, please?  THE COURT: Just a minute. All right. Step to one side, Mr. Kurtz. I can't hear counsel.  MR. STEWART: He is asking the witness was not a party to. There's no evidence the witness is aware these statements were made. And he's asking the witness about things that are hearsay to one evidence the witness, in any event. And I think there's a need for a foundation before examining him about them.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm just reading the e-mail. Then my questions come.  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  A. It's troubling.  Q. Right. That would be completely inconsistent with the fiduciary duties of the company, right?  A. I would agree.  Q. And that would be completely inconsistent with your understanding of how this was going to proceed, right?  A. I don't know how it was being negotiated.  Q. No. What I mean is the idea of not leaving enough liquidity to finance a liquidation would be completely inconsistent with what the board was told, right?  A. Again, it would be troubling to me if this is the way it was being done.  Q. Right. That would be completely inconsistent with your understanding of how this was going to proceed, right?  A. I don't know how it was being negotiated.  Q. No. What I mean is the idea of not leaving enough liquidity to finance a liquidation would be completely inconsistent with what the board was being negotiated.  Q. Right. That would be completely inconsistent w		86		88
anyway. Bloom and Feldman – now you understand that those are the United States Treasury Department representatives, right?  A. Well, Bloom, certainly.  Q. Okay. And I represent to you Feldman is counsel in the Treasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman said "We only had to leave enough behind to pay severance."  MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. Could we have foundation for this exhibit?  MR. KURTZ: This is in evidence, Your Honor.  MR. STEWART: Well, that this witness has seen it or he's asking him to comment —  MR. STEWART: May I finish, please?  MR. STEWART: May I finish, please?  THE COURT: Just a minute. All right. Step to one side, Mr. Kurtz. I can't hear counsel.  MR. STEWART: He is asking the witness was not a party to. There's no evidence the witness is aware these statements were made. And he's asking the witness about things that are hearsay to one evidence the witness, in any event. And I think there's a need for a foundation before examining him about them.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm just reading the e-mail. Then my questions come.  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  A. It's troubling.  Q. Right. That would be completely inconsistent with the fiduciary duties of the company, right?  A. I would agree.  Q. And that would be completely inconsistent with your understanding of how this was going to proceed, right?  A. I don't know how it was being negotiated.  Q. No. What I mean is the idea of not leaving enough liquidity to finance a liquidation would be completely inconsistent with what the board was told, right?  A. Again, it would be troubling to me if this is the way it was being done.  Q. Right. That would be completely inconsistent with your understanding of how this was going to proceed, right?  A. I don't know how it was being negotiated.  Q. No. What I mean is the idea of not leaving enough liquidity to finance a liquidation would be completely inconsistent with what the board was being negotiated.  Q. Right. That would be completely inconsistent w	1	direct your attention to the last line the last sentence	1	that's the bank's problem? Would that concern you?
the United States Treasury Department representatives, right?  A. Well, Bloom, certainly.  Q. Okay. And I represent to you Feldman is counsel in the Treasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman said "We only had to leave enough behind to pay severance."  MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. Could we have foundation for this exhibit?  MR. STEWART: Well, that this witness has seen it or he's asking him to comment  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor  MR. STEWART: May I finish, please?  MR. STEWART: May I finish, please?  MR. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a conversation the witness was not a party to. There's no evidence the witness is aware these statements were made. And he's asking the witness about things that are hearsay to one conversation the witness was not a party to. There's a need as to this witness, in any event. And I think there's a need and may be the state's problem.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm just reading the e-mail. Then my questions come.  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  A. Well, Bloom, certainly.  A. I would agree.  Q. And that would be completely inconsistent with the fiduciary duties of the company, right?  A. I would agree.  Q. And that would be completely inconsistent with the fiduciary duties of the company, right?  A. I don't know how it was being negotiated.  Q. No. What I mean is the idea of not leaving enough liquidity to finance a liquidation would be completely inconsistent with your understanding of how this was going to proceed, right?  A. I don't know how it was being negotiated.  Q. No. What I mean is the idea of not leaving enough liquidity to finance a liquidation would be completely inconsistent with would be completely inconsistent with your understanding of how this was going to proceed, right?  A. I don't know how it was being negotiated.  Q. No. What I mean is the idea of not leaving enough liquidity to finance a liquidation would be completely inconsistent with vour understanding of how this was going to proceed, right?  A. I d		·		•
4 A. Well, Bloom, certainly. 5 Q. Okay. And I represent to you Feldman is counsel in the 6 Treasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman said "We only 7 had to leave enough behind to pay severance." 8 MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. Could we have a 9 foundation for this exhibit? 9 MR. KURTZ: This is in evidence, Your Honor. 10 MR. KURTZ: This is in evidence, Your Honor. 11 MR. STEWART: Well, that this witness has seen it 12 or he's asking him to comment 13 MR. KURTZ: Your Honor 14 MR. STEWART: May I finish, please? 15 THE COURT: Just a minute. All right. Step to one 16 side, Mr. Kurtz. I can't hear counsel. 17 MR. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a 18 conversation the witness was not a party to. There's no 19 evidence the witness is aware these statements were made. And 20 he's asking the witness about things that are hearsay to one 21 for a foundation before examining him about them. 22 MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm 23 MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm 24 just reading the e-mail. Then my questions come. 25 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not 26 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not 27 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not 28 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not 29 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not 30 MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, I think I'm not 31 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not 32 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not 33 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not 34 Ifiduciary duties of the company, right? 35 A. I would agree. 36 Q. And that would be completely inconsistent with what the board was going to proceed, right? 38 A. I don't know how it was being negotiated. 39 Q. No. What I mean is the idea of not leaving enough liquidity to finance a liquidation would be completely indensistent with what the board was told, right? 4 A. Again, it would be troubling to me if this is the way it was being done. 4 Q. Right. And you agree it's not the bank's problem, right? 4 A. To maximiz				
5 Q. Okay. And I represent to you Feldman is counsel in the 6 Treasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman said "We only 7 had to leave enough behind to pay severance." 8 MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. Could we have a foundation for this exhibit? 9 foundation for this exhibit? 10 MR. KURTZ: This is in evidence, Your Honor. 11 MR. STEWART: Well, that this witness has seen it or he's asking him to comment 12 Or he's asking him to comment 13 MR. KURTZ: Your Honor 14 MR. STEWART: May I finish, please? 15 THE COURT: Just a minute. All right. Step to one side, Mr. Kurtz. I can't hear counsel. 16 Side, Mr. Kurtz. I can't hear counsel. 17 MR. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a conversation the witness was not a party to. There's no evidence the witness is aware these statements were made. And he's asking the witness about things that are hearsay to one evidence the witness, in any event. And I think there's a need for a foundation before examining him about them. 20 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not 21 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not 22 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not 23 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not 24 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not 25 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not 26 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not 27 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not 38 A. I would agree. 40 Q. And that would be completely inconsistent with your understanding of how this was going to proceed, right? 4 A. I don't know how it was being negotiated.  9 Q. No. What I mean is the idea of not leaving enough liquidation would be completely inconsistent with won these soing to proceed, right?  A. I don't know how it was being negotiated.  9 Q. No. What I mean is the idea of not leaving enough liquidation would be completely inconsistent with what the board was told, right?  A. Again, it would be troubling to me if this is the way it was being negotiated.  9 Q. Right. And you agree it's not the bank's problem, ri				· · ·
Treasury Department as well. Bloom and Feldman said "We only had to leave enough behind to pay severance."  MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. Could we have a foundation for this exhibit?  MR. KURTZ: This is in evidence, Your Honor.  MR. KURTZ: This is in evidence, Your Honor.  MR. STEWART: Well, that this witness has seen it or he's asking him to comment law was being done.  MR. STEWART: May I finish, please?  THE COURT: Just a minute. All right. Step to one side, Mr. Kurtz. I can't hear counsel.  MR. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a conversation the witness was not a party to. There's no evidence the witness is aware these statements were made. And he's asking the witness about things that are hearsay to one coversation the for a foundation before examining him about them.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm just reading the e-mail. Then my questions come.  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I		•		
had to leave enough behind to pay severance."  MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. Could we have a foundation for this exhibit?  MR. KURTZ: This is in evidence, Your Honor.  MR. KURTZ: This is in evidence, Your Honor.  MR. STEWART: Well, that this witness has seen it  MR. STEWART: Well, that this witness has seen it  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor  MR. STEWART: May I finish, please?  THE COURT: Just a minute. All right. Step to one  side, Mr. Kurtz. I can't hear counsel.  MR. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a conversation the witness was not a party to. There's no evidence the witness is aware these statements were made. And he's asking the witness about things that are hearsay to one evidence the witness, in any event. And I think there's a need for a foundation before examining him about them.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm just reading the e-mail. Then my questions come.  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. KURTZ: Wour Honor, I think I'm not  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. KURTZ: Wour Honor, Counsel to the  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR				
MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. Could we have a foundation for this exhibit?  MR. KURTZ: This is in evidence, Your Honor.  MR. STEWART: Well, that this witness has seen it  MR. STEWART: Well, that this witness has seen it  MR. STEWART: Well, that this witness has seen it  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor  MR. STEWART: May I finish, please?  THE COURT: Just a minute. All right. Step to one  side, Mr. Kurtz. I can't hear counsel.  MR. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a conversation the witness is aware these statements were made. And he's asking the witness about things that are hearsay to one evidence the witness is aware these statements were made. And he's asking the witness about things that are hearsay to one for a foundation before examining him about them.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm just reading the e-mail. Then my questions come.  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. KURTZ: Toke this is in evidence, Your Honor. Tokendon.  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  A. I don't know how it was being negotiated.  9 Q. No. What I mean is the idea of not leaving enough liquidity to finance a liquidation would be completely inconsistent with what the board was told, right?  A. Again, it would be troubling to me if this is the way it was being negotiated.  9 Q. No. What I mean is the idea of not leaving enough liquidity to finance a liquidation would be completely inconsistent with what the board was told, right?  A. Again, it would be troubling to me if this is the way it was being neough.  12 A. Again, it would be troubling to me if this is the way it was being neough.  13 Was being done.  14 Q. Right. And you agree it's not the bank's problem, right?  A. Again, it would be Chrysler's obligation as fiduciaries to leave sufficient cash around to fund a liquidation, right?  A. To maximize the residual value of OldCo, yes.  20 A. Likely.  MR. KURTZ: I have no further questions, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Anyone else wish to cross-examine the witness?		· · ·		* *
foundation for this exhibit?  MR. KURTZ: This is in evidence, Your Honor.  MR. STEWART: Well, that this witness has seen it  MR. STEWART: Well, that this witness has seen it  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor  MR. STEWART: May I finish, please?  THE COURT: Just a minute. All right. Step to one  side, Mr. Kurtz. I can't hear counsel.  MR. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a  conversation the witness was not a party to. There's no  evidence the witness is aware these statements were made. And he's asking the witness, in any event. And I think there's a need for a foundation before examining him about them.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm just reading the e-mail. Then my questions come.  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  9 Q. No. What I mean is the idea of not leaving enough liquidity to finance a liquidation would be completely inconsistent with what the board was told, right?  A. Again, it would be troubling to me if this is the way it was being done.  14 Q. Right. And you agree it's not the bank's problem, right?  A. Again, it's the estate's problem.  9 Q. It would be Chrysler's obligation as fiduciaries to leave sufficient cash around to fund a liquidation, right?  A. To maximize the residual value of OldCo, yes.  18 A. To maximize the residual value of OldCo, yes.  19 Q. Through a liquidation, right?  A. Likely.  A. Likely.  MR. KURTZ: I have no further questions, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Anyone else wish to cross-examine the witness? All right. Mr. Mayer?  MR. MAYER: Thank you, Your Honor. Thomas Mores  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. Mayer of Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel, counsel to the	8		. 8	
MR. KURTZ: This is in evidence, Your Honor.  MR. STEWART: Well, that this witness has seen it  MR. STEWART: Well, that this witness has seen it  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor  MR. STEWART: May I finish, please?  THE COURT: Just a minute. All right. Step to one  side, Mr. Kurtz. I can't hear counsel.  MR. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a  mr. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a  mr. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a  mr. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a  mr. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a  mr. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a  mr. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a  mr. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a  mr. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a  mr. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a  mr. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a  mr. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a  mr. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a  mr. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a  mr. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a  mr. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a  mr. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a  mr. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a  mr. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a  mr. STEWART: He is asking the witness about things that are hearsay to one  mr. STEWART: He is asking the witness about things that are hearsay to one  mr. STEWART: He is asking the witness and a party to. There's a need  mr. STEWART: He is asking the witness about things that are hearsay to one  mr. STEWART: He is asking the witness and a party to. There's a need  mr. KURTZ: I have no further questions, Your Honor.  mr. KURTZ: I have no further questions, Your Honor.  mr. KURTZ: All right. Mr. Mayer?  mr. MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm  mr. MR. KURTZ: Thank you, Your Honor. Thomas Mores  mr. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  mr. Mr. Karty.  mr. Mr. Kurty.  mr. Mr. Mayer: Thank you, Your Honor. Thomas Mores  mr. Mr. Kurty.  mr. Mr. Kurty.  mr. Mr. Mayer: Thank you, Your Honor. Thomas Mores  mr. Mr. Kurty.  m		-		
MR. STEWART: Well, that this witness has seen it  or he's asking him to comment  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor  MR. STEWART: May I finish, please?  THE COURT: Just a minute. All right. Step to one  side, Mr. Kurtz. I can't hear counsel.  MR. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a  conversation the witness was not a party to. There's no  evidence the witness is aware these statements were made. And  he's asking the witness about things that are hearsay to one  for a foundation before examining him about them.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  11 inconsistent with what the board was told, right?  A. Again, it would be troubling to me if this is the way it  was being done.  12 A. Again, it's the estate's problem.  13 A. Again, it's the estate's problem.  14 Q. It would be Chrysler's obligation as fiduciaries to leave sufficient cash around to fund a liquidation, right?  A. To maximize the residual value of OldCo, yes.  19 Q. Through a liquidation, right?  A. Likely.  20 A. Likely.  21 A. Again, it would be troubling to me if this is the way it  was being done.  14 Q. Right. And you agree it's not the bank's problem, right?  A. Again, it's the estate's problem.  15 A. Again, it's the estate's problem.  16 Q. It would be Chrysler's obligation as fiduciaries to leave sufficient cash around to fund a liquidation, right?  A. To maximize the residual value of OldCo, yes.  19 Q. Through a liquidation, right?  A. Likely.  20 A. Likely.  21 A. Likely.  22 MR. KURTZ: I have no further questions, Your Honor.  23 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Anyone else wish to cross-examine the witness? All right. Mr. Mayer?  MR. MAYER: Thank you, Your Honor. Thomas Mores  MR. MAYER: Thank you, Your Honor. Thomas Mores				
or he's asking him to comment  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor  MR. STEWART: May I finish, please?  THE COURT: Just a minute. All right. Step to one  side, Mr. Kurtz. I can't hear counsel.  MR. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a  conversation the witness was not a party to. There's no  evidence the witness is aware these statements were made. And he's asking the witness about things that are hearsay to one  for a foundation before examining him about them.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm  just reading the e-mail. Then my questions come.  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  A. Again, it would be troubling to me if this is the way it  was being done.  12  A. Again, it would be troubling to me if this is the way it  was being done.  13  Was being done.  14  Q. Right. And you agree it's not the bank's problem, right?  A. Again, it would be troubling to me if this is the way it  was being done.  15  A. Again, it would be troubling to me if this is the way it  was being done.  16  Q. It would be Chrysler's obligation as fiduciaries to leave  17  sufficient cash around to fund a liquidation, right?  A. To maximize the residual value of OldCo, yes.  19  Q. Through a liquidation, right?  A. Likely.  A. Likely.  A. Likely.  A. Likely.  A. Likely.  MR. KURTZ: I have no further questions, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Anyone else wish  to cross-examine the witness? All right. Mr. Mayer?  MR. MAYER: Thank you, Your Honor. Thomas Mores  MR. MAYER: Thank you, Your Honor. Thomas Mores	11	,		1 1 1 1
MR. KURTZ: Your Honor  14 MR. STEWART: May I finish, please?  15 THE COURT: Just a minute. All right. Step to one  16 side, Mr. Kurtz. I can't hear counsel.  17 MR. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a  18 conversation the witness was not a party to. There's no  19 evidence the witness is aware these statements were made. And  20 he's asking the witness about things that are hearsay to one  21 as to this witness, in any event. And I think there's a need  22 for a foundation before examining him about them.  23 MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm  24 just reading the e-mail. Then my questions come.  25 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  13 was being done.  14 Q. Right. And you agree it's not the bank's problem, right?  A. Again, it's the estate's problem.  16 Q. It would be Chrysler's obligation as fiduciaries to leave sufficient cash around to fund a liquidation, right?  A. To maximize the residual value of OldCo, yes.  19 Q. Through a liquidation, right?  A. Likely.  20 A. Likely.  21 MR. KURTZ: I have no further questions, Your Honor.  22 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Anyone else wish to cross-examine the witness? All right. Mr. Mayer?  23 MR. MAYER: Thank you, Your Honor. Thomas Mores  24 MR. MAYER: Thank you, Your Honor. Thomas Mores  25 Mayer of Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel, counsel to the				-
14 MR. STEWART: May I finish, please? 15 THE COURT: Just a minute. All right. Step to one 16 side, Mr. Kurtz. I can't hear counsel. 17 MR. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a 18 conversation the witness was not a party to. There's no 19 evidence the witness is aware these statements were made. And 20 he's asking the witness about things that are hearsay to one 21 as to this witness, in any event. And I think there's a need 22 for a foundation before examining him about them. 23 MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm 24 just reading the e-mail. Then my questions come. 25 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not 26 A. Again, it's the estate's problem. 27 A. Again, it's the estate's problem. 28 A. To maximize the residual value of OldCo, yes. 29 Q. Through a liquidation, right? 20 A. Likely. 21 MR. KURTZ: I have no further questions, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Anyone else wish to cross-examine the witness? All right. Mr. Mayer? 29 MR. MAYER: Thank you, Your Honor. Thomas Mores Mayer of Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel, counsel to the	13	-	13	
THE COURT: Just a minute. All right. Step to one side, Mr. Kurtz. I can't hear counsel.  MR. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a conversation the witness was not a party to. There's no evidence the witness is aware these statements were made. And he's asking the witness about things that are hearsay to one- the saking the witness, in any event. And I think there's a need for a foundation before examining him about them.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. Again, it's the estate's problem.  A. To maximize the residual value of OldCo, yes.  Q. Through a liquidation, right?  A. Likely.  MR. KURTZ: I have no further questions, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Anyone else wish to cross-examine the witness? All right. Mr. Mayer?  MR. MAYER: Thank you, Your Honor. Thomas Mores  MR. MAYER: Thank you, Your Honor. Thomas Mores  Mayer of Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel, counsel to the				_
side, Mr. Kurtz. I can't hear counsel.  MR. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a  conversation the witness was not a party to. There's no  evidence the witness is aware these statements were made. And  he's asking the witness, in any event. And I think there's a need  for a foundation before examining him about them.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I thin	15	• • •		
17 MR. STEWART: He is asking the witness about a 18 conversation the witness was not a party to. There's no 19 evidence the witness is aware these statements were made. And 20 he's asking the witness about things that are hearsay to one 21 as to this witness, in any event. And I think there's a need 22 for a foundation before examining him about them. 23 MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm 24 just reading the e-mail. Then my questions come. 25 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not 26 saking the witness about things that are hearsay to one 27 28 29 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not 28 Sufficient cash around to fund a liquidation, right? 29 A. Likely. 20 A. Likely. 21 MR. KURTZ: I have no further questions, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Anyone else wish 23 to cross-examine the witness? All right. Mr. Mayer? 24 MR. MAYER: Thank you, Your Honor. Thomas Mores 25 Mayer of Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel, counsel to the	16		16	•
conversation the witness was not a party to. There's no evidence the witness is aware these statements were made. And he's asking the witness about things that are hearsay to one as to this witness, in any event. And I think there's a need for a foundation before examining him about them.  MR. KURTZ: I have no further questions, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Anyone else wish to cross-examine the witness? All right. Mr. Mayer?  MR. MAYER: Thank you, Your Honor. Thomas Mores MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  Mayer of Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel, counsel to the	17		17	-
he's asking the witness about things that are hearsay to one  as to this witness, in any event. And I think there's a need  for a foundation before examining him about them.  MR. KURTZ: I have no further questions, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Anyone else wish  to cross-examine the witness? All right. Mr. Mayer?  MR. MAYER: Thank you, Your Honor. Thomas Mores  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  Mayer of Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel, counsel to the	18	conversation the witness was not a party to. There's no	18	
21 as to this witness, in any event. And I think there's a need 22 MR. KURTZ: I have no further questions, Your Honor. 23 MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm 24 just reading the e-mail. Then my questions come. 25 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not 26 MR. WRTZ: I have no further questions, Your Honor. 27 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Anyone else wish 28 to cross-examine the witness? All right. Mr. Mayer? 29 MR. MAYER: Thank you, Your Honor. Thomas Mores 20 Mayer of Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel, counsel to the	19	evidence the witness is aware these statements were made. And	19	Q. Through a liquidation, right?
for a foundation before examining him about them.  22 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Anyone else wish 23 MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm 24 just reading the e-mail. Then my questions come. 25 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not 26 Mayer of Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel, counsel to the	20	he's asking the witness about things that are hearsay to one	20	
MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm just reading the e-mail. Then my questions come.  MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  MR. MAYER: Thank you, Your Honor. Thomas Mores Mayer of Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel, counsel to the	21	as to this witness, in any event. And I think there's a need	21	MR. KURTZ: I have no further questions, Your Honor.
just reading the e-mail. Then my questions come.  24 MR. MAYER: Thank you, Your Honor. Thomas Mores  25 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not  26 Mayer of Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel, counsel to the	22	for a foundation before examining him about them.	22	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Anyone else wish
MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I think I'm not 25 Mayer of Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel, counsel to the	23	MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, counsel is premature. I'm	23	to cross-examine the witness? All right. Mr. Mayer?
	24	just reading the e-mail. Then my questions come.	24	MR. MAYER: Thank you, Your Honor. Thomas Mores
87	21			
			25	Mayer of Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel, counsel to the

			ĺ
1	official committee of unsecured creditors.	1	agreed to obtain or not to obtain any release of direct
2	CROSS-EXAMINATION	2	creditor claims?
3	BY MR. MAYER:	3	A. Again, I'm not familiar.
4	Q. Good morning, Mr. Chapman. I don't believe we ever met.	4	MR. MAYER: In that case, Your Honor, I will leave
5	A. No. Good morning.	5	this to clarification by counsel.
6	Q. I represent the creditors, generally, of Chrysler. You	6	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Anyone else?
7	testified about certain releases that Chrysler has been asked	7	MR. BARKASY: Richard Barkasy from the law firm of
8	to give Daimler and Cerberus, that's correct?	8	Schnader Harrison Segal & Lewis representing the ad hoc of
9	A. The corporate entities, yes.	9	consumer victims of Chrysler.
10	Q. Correct. Okay. And Chrysler is prepared to give certain	10	CROSS-EXAMINATION
11	releases to Daimler and to Cerberus, correct?	11	BY MR. BARKASY:
12	A. Yes.	12	Q. Mr. Chapman, do you have an understanding as to how tor
13	Q. And just to be for completeness sake, when I say	13	claimants are treated under the proposed sale order?
14	Daimler, I mean and I'm not going to quiz you on the exact	14	A. No, I don't.
15	content of the term but Daimler includes Daimler and various	15	Q. Have you seen any analysis of the amount of pending tort
16	affiliates. There are some affiliate entities. There are some	16	claims against Chrysler?
17	people that we would generally call the Daimler released	17	A. I have not seen that analysis.
18	parties.	18	Q. Did you participate in any discussions at board meetings
19	A. If you say so.	19	as to how tort claimants would be treated under the proposed
20	Q. Okay. When I say Daimler, that's what I'm going to refer	20	sale order?
21	to. And when I say Cerberus, I'm going to refer to a similar	21	A. No, I did not.
22	concept. That is, Cerberus and some affiliate entities other	22	MR. BARKASY: I don't have any further questions,
23	than Chrysler and its subsidiaries.	23	Your Honor.
24	Has the final form of the release been negotiated, the	24	THE COURT: All right. Anyone else? Go ahead.
25	actual final document?	25	MR. SEABOLT: Your Honor, Scott Seabolt on behalf o
	90		92
,	A Marine design discretification	,	Color Transition Washington
1	<ul><li>A. My understanding it's still in negotiation.</li><li>Q. Okay. But the board is given some direction in terms of</li></ul>	1 2	Getrag Transmission Manufacturing and its parent company,
2	the way that release is to go.	3	Getrag KG, a German entity. CROSS-EXAMINATION
4	A. Our understand was the corporate releases.	4	BY MR. SEABOLT:
5	Q. Right. And it's possible the creditors of Chrysler and	5	Q. Mr. Chapman, just a couple of questions. With respect to
6	by creditors, I mean, unaffiliated creditors not subsidiaries	6	the release, you understand the corporation is releasing claims
7	that haven't had claims against other Chrysler entities but	7	that it may have against either the Cerberus entities or the
8	third party unaffiliated creditors, the sort that I represent.	8	Daimler entities, correct?
9	Now it's possible that those creditors have their own claims	9	A. Correct.
10	against Daimler or against Cerberus. And I'm going to call	10	Q. Sir, to your knowledge, has the board undertaken an
11	those creditor claims. And I'm going to make a further	11	investigation of the potential claims it may have against the
12	distinction. You're familiar with the concept of a "derivative	12	Cerberus parties?
13	suit", correct? You've been a director and you know about	13	A. No.
14	people getting sued derivatively	14	Q. To your understanding, sir, has the board undertaken an
15	A. Vaguely.	15	investigation of the claims it may have against the Daimler
16	Q. Well, I'm going to refer to two different types of	16	entities?
17	creditor claims. I'm going to refer to direct creditor claims.	17	A. No.
18	And those are claims creditors have in their own name against,	18	MR. SEABOLT: No further questions, Your Honor.
19	in this case, Daimler or Cerberus, and derivative claims, which	19	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Anyone else? Any
20	are claims that creditors could assert only through Chrysler.	20	redirect?
21	Okay. Distinguishing between those two, the release that	21	MR. STEWART: Yes, Your Honor, a little bit.
22	you've authorized Chrysler to enter into, does it purport to	22	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
23	release any direct creditor claims?	23	BY MR. STEWART:
24	A. I don't know.	24	Q. First of all, you were shown as e-mail during cross-
25	Q. You don't know whether there's any whether Chrysler has	25	examination I think it was at tab I think it was tab 5.
	91		93

1	That was the one about it was your e-mail, I believe, that	1	A. Correct.
2	was dated, Mr. Chapman, November 8, 2008. Do you have it in	1 2	Q. The low is 929 and the high is 3.2 billion.
3	front of you?	3	A. Correct.
4	A. Which tab was it?	4	Q. Is it fair to say that you can't assume that the highest
5	Q. I believe it was tab number that was number 5.	5	number is the number that will result in a liquidation?
6	A. Yep, okay. I got it.	6	A. Well, I certainly wouldn't.
7	Q. Do you have it there?	7	Q. Okay. You would put it somewhere in the middle of the
8	A. Yes.	8	range?
9	Q. Now the elected officials there are Treasury officials	9	A. That would be my approach.
10	elected?	10	Q. Okay. Now and then these numbers there's a second
11	A. No.	11	range below that and there the low is 654 million and the high
12	Q. Okay. Is Congress elected?	12	is 2.6 billion. Do you see that?
13	A. Yes.	13	A. Yes, I do.
14	Q. What elected officials were you referring to here?	14	Q. Why are there two ranges there?
15	A. I was referring to the testimony where the three CEOs of	15	A. Because the liquidation required time and that's
16	the Big Three auto companies were being interviewed	16	discounted back to present value basis.
17	Q. Right.	17	Q. Okay. So it's the second range that represents the
18	A by the elected officials.	18	immediate value, is that right?
19	Q. This was the well publicized appearance	19	A. It should be, yes.
20	A. Right.	20	Q. Now, if the Fiat transaction is approved, is it your
21	Q before Congress. It wasn't dealings with the U.S.	21	understanding that a payment will end up being made to the
22	Treasury	22	first lien lenders?
23	A. That's correct.	23	A. Yes.
24	Q is that right? Okay. You were asked about various car	24	Q. Of how much?
25	lines	25	A. Two billion dollars.
	94		96
1			
	A Correct	1	O And how long do they have to wait for that money?
1	A. Correct.	1	Q. And how long do they have to wait for that money?  A. That should be contemporaneous with closing
2	Q that Chrysler had, I believe, at some length. Is it	2	A. That should be contemporaneous with closing.
2	Q that Chrysler had, I believe, at some length. Is it fair to say that Chrysler has some lines that have been	2	A. That should be contemporaneous with closing.  Q. Fair to say it's a present value number?
2 3 4	Q that Chrysler had, I believe, at some length. Is it fair to say that Chrysler has some lines that have been successful?	2 3 4	<ul><li>A. That should be contemporaneous with closing.</li><li>Q. Fair to say it's a present value number?</li><li>A. Well, cash is cash.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q that Chrysler had, I believe, at some length. Is it fair to say that Chrysler has some lines that have been successful?</li><li>A. Yes, absolutely.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. That should be contemporaneous with closing.</li><li>Q. Fair to say it's a present value number?</li><li>A. Well, cash is cash.</li><li>Q. Okay. And so, in looking at these ranges, what is the</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q that Chrysler had, I believe, at some length. Is it fair to say that Chrysler has some lines that have been successful?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And some that have not been successful?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. That should be contemporaneous with closing.</li> <li>Q. Fair to say it's a present value number?</li> <li>A. Well, cash is cash.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so, in looking at these ranges, what is the most comparable range to the two billion dollars the first lien</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q that Chrysler had, I believe, at some length. Is it fair to say that Chrysler has some lines that have been successful?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And some that have not been successful?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. That should be contemporaneous with closing.</li> <li>Q. Fair to say it's a present value number?</li> <li>A. Well, cash is cash.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so, in looking at these ranges, what is the most comparable range to the two billion dollars the first lien lenders would get if the Fiat transaction is approved?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q that Chrysler had, I believe, at some length. Is it fair to say that Chrysler has some lines that have been successful?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And some that have not been successful?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is it the case that Chrysler would have been planning</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. That should be contemporaneous with closing.</li> <li>Q. Fair to say it's a present value number?</li> <li>A. Well, cash is cash.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so, in looking at these ranges, what is the most comparable range to the two billion dollars the first lien lenders would get if the Fiat transaction is approved?</li> <li>A. It would be the present value basis.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q that Chrysler had, I believe, at some length. Is it fair to say that Chrysler has some lines that have been successful?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And some that have not been successful?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is it the case that Chrysler would have been planning anyway to discontinue certain lines?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. That should be contemporaneous with closing.</li> <li>Q. Fair to say it's a present value number?</li> <li>A. Well, cash is cash.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so, in looking at these ranges, what is the most comparable range to the two billion dollars the first lien lenders would get if the Fiat transaction is approved?</li> <li>A. It would be the present value basis.</li> <li>Q. So it would be the second range and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. — that Chrysler had, I believe, at some length. Is it fair to say that Chrysler has some lines that have been successful?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And some that have not been successful?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is it the case that Chrysler would have been planning anyway to discontinue certain lines?</li> <li>A. We had already done so and continued to plan to do so.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. That should be contemporaneous with closing.</li> <li>Q. Fair to say it's a present value number?</li> <li>A. Well, cash is cash.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so, in looking at these ranges, what is the most comparable range to the two billion dollars the first lien lenders would get if the Fiat transaction is approved?</li> <li>A. It would be the present value basis.</li> <li>Q. So it would be the second range and</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q that Chrysler had, I believe, at some length. Is it fair to say that Chrysler has some lines that have been successful?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And some that have not been successful?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is it the case that Chrysler would have been planning anyway to discontinue certain lines?</li> <li>A. We had already done so and continued to plan to do so.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And why?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. That should be contemporaneous with closing.</li> <li>Q. Fair to say it's a present value number?</li> <li>A. Well, cash is cash.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so, in looking at these ranges, what is the most comparable range to the two billion dollars the first lien lenders would get if the Fiat transaction is approved?</li> <li>A. It would be the present value basis.</li> <li>Q. So it would be the second range and</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q and not the first? Now, that second range, the high</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q that Chrysler had, I believe, at some length. Is it fair to say that Chrysler has some lines that have been successful?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And some that have not been successful?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is it the case that Chrysler would have been planning anyway to discontinue certain lines?</li> <li>A. We had already done so and continued to plan to do so.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And why?</li> <li>A. Because the company's production capacity was far greater</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. That should be contemporaneous with closing.  Q. Fair to say it's a present value number?  A. Well, cash is cash.  Q. Okay. And so, in looking at these ranges, what is the most comparable range to the two billion dollars the first lien lenders would get if the Fiat transaction is approved?  A. It would be the present value basis.  Q. So it would be the second range and  A. Correct.  Q and not the first? Now, that second range, the high number there is 2.6 and the low number is 6.54.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q that Chrysler had, I believe, at some length. Is it fair to say that Chrysler has some lines that have been successful?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And some that have not been successful?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is it the case that Chrysler would have been planning anyway to discontinue certain lines?</li> <li>A. We had already done so and continued to plan to do so.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And why?</li> <li>A. Because the company's production capacity was far greater than its market share.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. That should be contemporaneous with closing.  Q. Fair to say it's a present value number?  A. Well, cash is cash.  Q. Okay. And so, in looking at these ranges, what is the most comparable range to the two billion dollars the first lien lenders would get if the Fiat transaction is approved?  A. It would be the present value basis.  Q. So it would be the second range and  A. Correct.  Q and not the first? Now, that second range, the high number there is 2.6 and the low number is 6.54.  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q that Chrysler had, I believe, at some length. Is it fair to say that Chrysler has some lines that have been successful?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And some that have not been successful?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is it the case that Chrysler would have been planning anyway to discontinue certain lines?</li> <li>A. We had already done so and continued to plan to do so.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And why?</li> <li>A. Because the company's production capacity was far greater than its market share.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And meaning, it had to reduce what it produced.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. That should be contemporaneous with closing.  Q. Fair to say it's a present value number?  A. Well, cash is cash.  Q. Okay. And so, in looking at these ranges, what is the most comparable range to the two billion dollars the first lien lenders would get if the Fiat transaction is approved?  A. It would be the present value basis.  Q. So it would be the second range and  A. Correct.  Q and not the first? Now, that second range, the high number there is 2.6 and the low number is 6.54.  A. Yes.  Q. Once again, is there any reason to believe that the higher
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q that Chrysler had, I believe, at some length. Is it fair to say that Chrysler has some lines that have been successful?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And some that have not been successful?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is it the case that Chrysler would have been planning anyway to discontinue certain lines?</li> <li>A. We had already done so and continued to plan to do so.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And why?</li> <li>A. Because the company's production capacity was far greater than its market share.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. That should be contemporaneous with closing.  Q. Fair to say it's a present value number?  A. Well, cash is cash.  Q. Okay. And so, in looking at these ranges, what is the most comparable range to the two billion dollars the first lien lenders would get if the Fiat transaction is approved?  A. It would be the present value basis.  Q. So it would be the second range and  A. Correct.  Q and not the first? Now, that second range, the high number there is 2.6 and the low number is 6.54.  A. Yes.  Q. Once again, is there any reason to believe that the higher number is the more likely number?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. — that Chrysler had, I believe, at some length. Is it fair to say that Chrysler has some lines that have been successful?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And some that have not been successful?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is it the case that Chrysler would have been planning anyway to discontinue certain lines?</li> <li>A. We had already done so and continued to plan to do so.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And why?</li> <li>A. Because the company's production capacity was far greater than its market share.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And meaning, it had to reduce what it produced.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. All right. Let's go look at your declaration if we could.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. That should be contemporaneous with closing.  Q. Fair to say it's a present value number?  A. Well, cash is cash.  Q. Okay. And so, in looking at these ranges, what is the most comparable range to the two billion dollars the first lien lenders would get if the Fiat transaction is approved?  A. It would be the present value basis.  Q. So it would be the second range and  A. Correct.  Q and not the first? Now, that second range, the high number there is 2.6 and the low number is 6.54.  A. Yes.  Q. Once again, is there any reason to believe that the higher number is the more likely number?  A. Again, in my experience, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. — that Chrysler had, I believe, at some length. Is it fair to say that Chrysler has some lines that have been successful?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And some that have not been successful?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is it the case that Chrysler would have been planning anyway to discontinue certain lines?</li> <li>A. We had already done so and continued to plan to do so.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And why?</li> <li>A. Because the company's production capacity was far greater than its market share.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And meaning, it had to reduce what it produced.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. That should be contemporaneous with closing.  Q. Fair to say it's a present value number?  A. Well, cash is cash.  Q. Okay. And so, in looking at these ranges, what is the most comparable range to the two billion dollars the first lien lenders would get if the Fiat transaction is approved?  A. It would be the present value basis.  Q. So it would be the second range and  A. Correct.  Q and not the first? Now, that second range, the high number there is 2.6 and the low number is 6.54.  A. Yes.  Q. Once again, is there any reason to believe that the higher number is the more likely number?  A. Again, in my experience, no.  Q. Now, if you go down in that paragraph, I don't believe you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q that Chrysler had, I believe, at some length. Is it fair to say that Chrysler has some lines that have been successful?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And some that have not been successful?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is it the case that Chrysler would have been planning anyway to discontinue certain lines?</li> <li>A. We had already done so and continued to plan to do so.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And why?</li> <li>A. Because the company's production capacity was far greater than its market share.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And meaning, it had to reduce what it produced.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. All right. Let's go look at your declaration if we could.</li> <li>You were asked, I think, about paragraphs 22 of that and then later, I believe, paragraph 24. Let's start with 22. And I</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. That should be contemporaneous with closing.  Q. Fair to say it's a present value number?  A. Well, cash is cash.  Q. Okay. And so, in looking at these ranges, what is the most comparable range to the two billion dollars the first lien lenders would get if the Fiat transaction is approved?  A. It would be the present value basis.  Q. So it would be the second range and  A. Correct.  Q and not the first? Now, that second range, the high number there is 2.6 and the low number is 6.54.  A. Yes.  Q. Once again, is there any reason to believe that the higher number is the more likely number?  A. Again, in my experience, no.  Q. Now, if you go down in that paragraph, I don't believe you were asked about this. Is it not the case that that study, in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q that Chrysler had, I believe, at some length. Is it fair to say that Chrysler has some lines that have been successful?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And some that have not been successful?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is it the case that Chrysler would have been planning anyway to discontinue certain lines?</li> <li>A. We had already done so and continued to plan to do so.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And why?</li> <li>A. Because the company's production capacity was far greater than its market share.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And meaning, it had to reduce what it produced.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. All right. Let's go look at your declaration if we could.</li> <li>You were asked, I think, about paragraphs 22 of that and then later, I believe, paragraph 24. Let's start with 22. And I believe on cross, it was pointed out that there was the number</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. That should be contemporaneous with closing.  Q. Fair to say it's a present value number?  A. Well, cash is cash.  Q. Okay. And so, in looking at these ranges, what is the most comparable range to the two billion dollars the first lien lenders would get if the Fiat transaction is approved?  A. It would be the present value basis.  Q. So it would be the second range and  A. Correct.  Q and not the first? Now, that second range, the high number there is 2.6 and the low number is 6.54.  A. Yes.  Q. Once again, is there any reason to believe that the higher number is the more likely number?  A. Again, in my experience, no.  Q. Now, if you go down in that paragraph, I don't believe you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. — that Chrysler had, I believe, at some length. Is it fair to say that Chrysler has some lines that have been successful?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And some that have not been successful?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is it the case that Chrysler would have been planning anyway to discontinue certain lines?</li> <li>A. We had already done so and continued to plan to do so.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And why?</li> <li>A. Because the company's production capacity was far greater than its market share.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And meaning, it had to reduce what it produced.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. All right. Let's go look at your declaration if we could. You were asked, I think, about paragraphs 22 of that and then later, I believe, paragraph 24. Let's start with 22. And I believe on cross, it was pointed out that there was the number in there of 3.2 billion dollars for a liquidation analysis, is</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. That should be contemporaneous with closing.  Q. Fair to say it's a present value number?  A. Well, cash is cash.  Q. Okay. And so, in looking at these ranges, what is the most comparable range to the two billion dollars the first lien lenders would get if the Fiat transaction is approved?  A. It would be the present value basis.  Q. So it would be the second range and  A. Correct.  Q and not the first? Now, that second range, the high number there is 2.6 and the low number is 6.54.  A. Yes.  Q. Once again, is there any reason to believe that the higher number is the more likely number?  A. Again, in my experience, no.  Q. Now, if you go down in that paragraph, I don't believe you were asked about this. Is it not the case that that study, in fact, did have a most likely number in it?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q that Chrysler had, I believe, at some length. Is it fair to say that Chrysler has some lines that have been successful?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And some that have not been successful?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is it the case that Chrysler would have been planning anyway to discontinue certain lines?</li> <li>A. We had already done so and continued to plan to do so.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And why?</li> <li>A. Because the company's production capacity was far greater than its market share.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And meaning, it had to reduce what it produced.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. All right. Let's go look at your declaration if we could.</li> <li>You were asked, I think, about paragraphs 22 of that and then later, I believe, paragraph 24. Let's start with 22. And I believe on cross, it was pointed out that there was the number</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. That should be contemporaneous with closing.  Q. Fair to say it's a present value number?  A. Well, cash is cash.  Q. Okay. And so, in looking at these ranges, what is the most comparable range to the two billion dollars the first lien lenders would get if the Fiat transaction is approved?  A. It would be the present value basis.  Q. So it would be the second range and  A. Correct.  Q and not the first? Now, that second range, the high number there is 2.6 and the low number is 6.54.  A. Yes.  Q. Once again, is there any reason to believe that the higher number is the more likely number?  A. Again, in my experience, no.  Q. Now, if you go down in that paragraph, I don't believe you were asked about this. Is it not the case that that study, in fact, did have a most likely number in it?  A. Yes.  Q. And could you tell us in your declaration what that study
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. — that Chrysler had, I believe, at some length. Is it fair to say that Chrysler has some lines that have been successful?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And some that have not been successful?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is it the case that Chrysler would have been planning anyway to discontinue certain lines?</li> <li>A. We had already done so and continued to plan to do so.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And why?</li> <li>A. Because the company's production capacity was far greater than its market share.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And meaning, it had to reduce what it produced.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. All right. Let's go look at your declaration if we could.</li> <li>You were asked, I think, about paragraphs 22 of that and then later, I believe, paragraph 24. Let's start with 22. And I believe on cross, it was pointed out that there was the number in there of 3.2 billion dollars for a liquidation analysis, is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. That should be contemporaneous with closing.  Q. Fair to say it's a present value number?  A. Well, cash is cash.  Q. Okay. And so, in looking at these ranges, what is the most comparable range to the two billion dollars the first lien lenders would get if the Fiat transaction is approved?  A. It would be the present value basis.  Q. So it would be the second range and  A. Correct.  Q and not the first? Now, that second range, the high number there is 2.6 and the low number is 6.54.  A. Yes.  Q. Once again, is there any reason to believe that the higher number is the more likely number?  A. Again, in my experience, no.  Q. Now, if you go down in that paragraph, I don't believe you were asked about this. Is it not the case that that study, in fact, did have a most likely number in it?  A. Yes.  Q. And could you tell us in your declaration what that study found was the most likely net present value number?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. — that Chrysler had, I believe, at some length. Is it fair to say that Chrysler has some lines that have been successful?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And some that have not been successful?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is it the case that Chrysler would have been planning anyway to discontinue certain lines?</li> <li>A. We had already done so and continued to plan to do so.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And why?</li> <li>A. Because the company's production capacity was far greater than its market share.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And meaning, it had to reduce what it produced.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. All right. Let's go look at your declaration if we could.</li> <li>You were asked, I think, about paragraphs 22 of that and then later, I believe, paragraph 24. Let's start with 22. And I believe on cross, it was pointed out that there was the number in there of 3.2 billion dollars for a liquidation analysis, is that right?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. That should be contemporaneous with closing.  Q. Fair to say it's a present value number?  A. Well, cash is cash.  Q. Okay. And so, in looking at these ranges, what is the most comparable range to the two billion dollars the first lien lenders would get if the Fiat transaction is approved?  A. It would be the present value basis.  Q. So it would be the second range and  A. Correct.  Q and not the first? Now, that second range, the high number there is 2.6 and the low number is 6.54.  A. Yes.  Q. Once again, is there any reason to believe that the higher number is the more likely number?  A. Again, in my experience, no.  Q. Now, if you go down in that paragraph, I don't believe you were asked about this. Is it not the case that that study, in fact, did have a most likely number in it?  A. Yes.  Q. And could you tell us in your declaration what that study
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. — that Chrysler had, I believe, at some length. Is it fair to say that Chrysler has some lines that have been successful?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And some that have not been successful?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is it the case that Chrysler would have been planning anyway to discontinue certain lines?</li> <li>A. We had already done so and continued to plan to do so.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And why?</li> <li>A. Because the company's production capacity was far greater than its market share.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And meaning, it had to reduce what it produced.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. All right. Let's go look at your declaration if we could.</li> <li>You were asked, I think, about paragraphs 22 of that and then later, I believe, paragraph 24. Let's start with 22. And I believe on cross, it was pointed out that there was the number in there of 3.2 billion dollars for a liquidation analysis, is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is that the only number in there for liquidation?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. That should be contemporaneous with closing.  Q. Fair to say it's a present value number?  A. Well, cash is cash.  Q. Okay. And so, in looking at these ranges, what is the most comparable range to the two billion dollars the first lien lenders would get if the Fiat transaction is approved?  A. It would be the present value basis.  Q. So it would be the second range and  A. Correct.  Q and not the first? Now, that second range, the high number there is 2.6 and the low number is 6.54.  A. Yes.  Q. Once again, is there any reason to believe that the higher number is the more likely number?  A. Again, in my experience, no.  Q. Now, if you go down in that paragraph, I don't believe you were asked about this. Is it not the case that that study, in fact, did have a most likely number in it?  A. Yes.  Q. And could you tell us in your declaration what that study found was the most likely net present value number?  A. It was certainly lower than the two billion dollars.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. — that Chrysler had, I believe, at some length. Is it fair to say that Chrysler has some lines that have been successful?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely.</li> <li>Q. And some that have not been successful?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is it the case that Chrysler would have been planning anyway to discontinue certain lines?</li> <li>A. We had already done so and continued to plan to do so.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And why?</li> <li>A. Because the company's production capacity was far greater than its market share.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And meaning, it had to reduce what it produced.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. All right. Let's go look at your declaration if we could. You were asked, I think, about paragraphs 22 of that and then later, I believe, paragraph 24. Let's start with 22. And I believe on cross, it was pointed out that there was the number in there of 3.2 billion dollars for a liquidation analysis, is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is that the only number in there for liquidation?</li> <li>A. No. There's a significantly lower number, 929, in here.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. That should be contemporaneous with closing.  Q. Fair to say it's a present value number?  A. Well, cash is cash.  Q. Okay. And so, in looking at these ranges, what is the most comparable range to the two billion dollars the first lien lenders would get if the Fiat transaction is approved?  A. It would be the present value basis.  Q. So it would be the second range and  A. Correct.  Q and not the first? Now, that second range, the high number there is 2.6 and the low number is 6.54.  A. Yes.  Q. Once again, is there any reason to believe that the higher number is the more likely number?  A. Again, in my experience, no.  Q. Now, if you go down in that paragraph, I don't believe you were asked about this. Is it not the case that that study, in fact, did have a most likely number in it?  A. Yes.  Q. And could you tell us in your declaration what that study found was the most likely net present value number?  A. It was certainly lower than the two billion dollars.  Q. In fact, it's 1.72 billion dollars

1	Q correct?	1	A. To preserve the most value for the estate.
2	A. Correct.	2	Q. And so you could buy time and sell things at the optimal
3	Q. And this was the analysis done as of last February, right?	3	time?
4	A. Correct. In February	4	A. Correct.
5	Q. A couple months ago.	5	Q. Other things, too?
6	A. Yes.	6	A. Correct.
7	Q. Has the situation gotten better or has it gotten worse for	7	Q. Okay. Did you have a number in mind of how much cash
8	Chrysler since February 17 of this year?	8	Chrysler would have to have on hand in order to have an orderly
9	A. It's gotten worse.	9	liquidation?
10	Q. So do you think these numbers would be viewed as high to	10	A. The figure that was developed by management in response to
11	day if we were looking at a liquidation?	11	the board's request was 750 million.
12	MR. KURTZ: Objection. No foundation.	12	Q. Okay. And was it the board's hope that that kind of cash
13	MR. STEWART: I believe he asked these questions,	13	could be maintained?
14	Judge.	14	A. Yes.
15	THE COURT: No foundation as to what?	15	Q. Was it within the board's or the company's power to have
16	MR. KURTZ: As to performing some form of adjustment	16	cash at that level?
17	to a liquidation analysis right now on the stand.	17	A. Yes.
18	MR. STEWART: Don't think that was the question.	18	Q. Okay. Now, let me ask a couple of other things. Oh, you
19	THE COURT: No. The question was whether they would	19	were asked on cross-examination about fairness and other points
20	be higher or lower based on what has happened since this was	20	to the first lien lenders. Now the fact is there are a number
21	done. And in his view, I think in his position as a board	21	of those lenders, is that not true?
22	member, he could answer that question. You may answer the	22	A. Yes.
23	question.	23	Q. And how many of those lenders are objecting, to your
24	THE WITNESS: Thank you. I would expect them to be	24	knowledge, to the sale?
25	lower.	25	A. It seems like there's three or four.
	98		100
1	O Lower than the 1.722 That was the number healt in	1	O Okay And the total amount of debt outstanding to the
2	Q. Lower than the 1.72? That was the number back in February.	2	Q. Okay. And the total amount of debt outstanding to the first lien lenders is how much?
3	A. Yes.	3	A. Approximately 6.9 billion.
4	Q. You were asked on cross about paragraph 24 of your	4	Q. And the objector's have how much?
5	declaration and, in particular, towards the end, the last	5	A. Forty-six million.
6	sentence of that paragraph and that's found on page 10 of the	6	Q. Now is there an agent bank that represents the first lien
7	document, I believe. Okay? In a quote, in a sentence I think	7	
	1,		lenders in these matters?
8	your attention had been directed to, was "Finally. Mr. Nardelli	8	lenders in these matters?  A. JPMorgan.
8 9	your attention had been directed to, was "Finally, Mr. Nardelli informed the board that the company was monitoring its cash		A. JPMorgan.
	your attention had been directed to, was "Finally, Mr. Nardelli informed the board that the company was monitoring its cash level to ensure the possibility of an orderly liquidation if it	8	
9	informed the board that the company was monitoring its cash	8 9	<ul><li>A. JPMorgan.</li><li>Q. Okay. To your knowledge</li></ul>
9 10	informed the board that the company was monitoring its cash level to ensure the possibility of an orderly liquidation if it	8 9 10	A. JPMorgan.     Q. Okay. To your knowledge     MR. KURTZ: Objection. Relevance.
9 10 11	informed the board that the company was monitoring its cash level to ensure the possibility of an orderly liquidation if it became necessary." Do you see that?	8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. JPMorgan.</li> <li>Q. Okay. To your knowledge         MR. KURTZ: Objection. Relevance.         THE COURT: Well, it's relevant to why you asked</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12	informed the board that the company was monitoring its cash level to ensure the possibility of an orderly liquidation if it became necessary." Do you see that?  A. Yes, I do.	8 9 10 11 12	A. JPMorgan. Q. Okay. To your knowledge MR. KURTZ: Objection. Relevance. THE COURT: Well, it's relevant to why you asked some question about fairness. This goes to establishing the
9 10 11 12 13	informed the board that the company was monitoring its cash level to ensure the possibility of an orderly liquidation if it became necessary." Do you see that?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. First of all, what is an orderly liquidation?	8 9 10 11 12 13	A. JPMorgan. Q. Okay. To your knowledge MR. KURTZ: Objection. Relevance. THE COURT: Well, it's relevant to why you asked some question about fairness. This goes to establishing the record in terms of his view of affairs.
9 10 11 12 13 14	informed the board that the company was monitoring its cash level to ensure the possibility of an orderly liquidation if it became necessary." Do you see that?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. First of all, what is an orderly liquidation?  A. It's a liquidation that would have the benefit of time to	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. JPMorgan. Q. Okay. To your knowledge MR. KURTZ: Objection. Relevance. THE COURT: Well, it's relevant to why you asked some question about fairness. This goes to establishing the record in terms of his view of affairs.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, these the fairness has to
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	informed the board that the company was monitoring its cash level to ensure the possibility of an orderly liquidation if it became necessary." Do you see that?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. First of all, what is an orderly liquidation?  A. It's a liquidation that would have the benefit of time to maximize the value recovery of the assets.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. JPMorgan. Q. Okay. To your knowledge MR. KURTZ: Objection. Relevance. THE COURT: Well, it's relevant to why you asked some question about fairness. This goes to establishing the record in terms of his view of affairs.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, these the fairness has to do with the value of the company not the willingness of
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	informed the board that the company was monitoring its cash level to ensure the possibility of an orderly liquidation if it became necessary." Do you see that?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. First of all, what is an orderly liquidation?  A. It's a liquidation that would have the benefit of time to maximize the value recovery of the assets.  Q. What's the opposite or what's the alternative to an	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. JPMorgan. Q. Okay. To your knowledge MR. KURTZ: Objection. Relevance. THE COURT: Well, it's relevant to why you asked some question about fairness. This goes to establishing the record in terms of his view of affairs. MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, these the fairness has to do with the value of the company not the willingness of constituents, in particular, recipients of TARP funds to take a
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	informed the board that the company was monitoring its cash level to ensure the possibility of an orderly liquidation if it became necessary." Do you see that?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. First of all, what is an orderly liquidation?  A. It's a liquidation that would have the benefit of time to maximize the value recovery of the assets.  Q. What's the opposite or what's the alternative to an orderly liquidation?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. JPMorgan. Q. Okay. To your knowledge MR. KURTZ: Objection. Relevance. THE COURT: Well, it's relevant to why you asked some question about fairness. This goes to establishing the record in terms of his view of affairs. MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, these the fairness has to do with the value of the company not the willingness of constituents, in particular, recipients of TARP funds to take a deal.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	informed the board that the company was monitoring its cash level to ensure the possibility of an orderly liquidation if it became necessary." Do you see that?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. First of all, what is an orderly liquidation?  A. It's a liquidation that would have the benefit of time to maximize the value recovery of the assets.  Q. What's the opposite or what's the alternative to an orderly liquidation?  A. Well, one would call it a free fall, a disorderly	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. JPMorgan. Q. Okay. To your knowledge MR. KURTZ: Objection. Relevance. THE COURT: Well, it's relevant to why you asked some question about fairness. This goes to establishing the record in terms of his view of affairs. MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, these the fairness has to do with the value of the company not the willingness of constituents, in particular, recipients of TARP funds to take a deal. THE COURT: Well, that's an argument you can make.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	informed the board that the company was monitoring its cash level to ensure the possibility of an orderly liquidation if it became necessary." Do you see that?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. First of all, what is an orderly liquidation?  A. It's a liquidation that would have the benefit of time to maximize the value recovery of the assets.  Q. What's the opposite or what's the alternative to an orderly liquidation?  A. Well, one would call it a free fall, a disorderly liquidation.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. JPMorgan. Q. Okay. To your knowledge MR. KURTZ: Objection. Relevance. THE COURT: Well, it's relevant to why you asked some question about fairness. This goes to establishing the record in terms of his view of affairs.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, these the fairness has to do with the value of the company not the willingness of constituents, in particular, recipients of TARP funds to take a deal.  THE COURT: Well, that's an argument you can make. And I assume you will make. But whether in this witness' view
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	informed the board that the company was monitoring its cash level to ensure the possibility of an orderly liquidation if it became necessary." Do you see that?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. First of all, what is an orderly liquidation?  A. It's a liquidation that would have the benefit of time to maximize the value recovery of the assets.  Q. What's the opposite or what's the alternative to an orderly liquidation?  A. Well, one would call it a free fall, a disorderly liquidation.  Q. Okay. And how do the values compare between an orderly	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. JPMorgan. Q. Okay. To your knowledge MR. KURTZ: Objection. Relevance. THE COURT: Well, it's relevant to why you asked some question about fairness. This goes to establishing the record in terms of his view of affairs.  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, these the fairness has to do with the value of the company not the willingness of constituents, in particular, recipients of TARP funds to take a deal.  THE COURT: Well, that's an argument you can make. And I assume you will make. But whether in this witness' view it's fair, it is relevant as to whether or not the party on the
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	informed the board that the company was monitoring its cash level to ensure the possibility of an orderly liquidation if it became necessary." Do you see that?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. First of all, what is an orderly liquidation?  A. It's a liquidation that would have the benefit of time to maximize the value recovery of the assets.  Q. What's the opposite or what's the alternative to an orderly liquidation?  A. Well, one would call it a free fall, a disorderly liquidation.  Q. Okay. And how do the values compare between an orderly and a free fall liquidation?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. JPMorgan. Q. Okay. To your knowledge MR. KURTZ: Objection. Relevance. THE COURT: Well, it's relevant to why you asked some question about fairness. This goes to establishing the record in terms of his view of affairs. MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, these the fairness has to do with the value of the company not the willingness of constituents, in particular, recipients of TARP funds to take a deal. THE COURT: Well, that's an argument you can make. And I assume you will make. But whether in this witness' view it's fair, it is relevant as to whether or not the party on the other side of the transaction is willing to accept it.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	informed the board that the company was monitoring its cash level to ensure the possibility of an orderly liquidation if it became necessary." Do you see that?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. First of all, what is an orderly liquidation?  A. It's a liquidation that would have the benefit of time to maximize the value recovery of the assets.  Q. What's the opposite or what's the alternative to an orderly liquidation?  A. Well, one would call it a free fall, a disorderly liquidation.  Q. Okay. And how do the values compare between an orderly and a free fall liquidation?  A. Well, again, orderly would be certainly much higher than a	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. JPMorgan. Q. Okay. To your knowledge MR. KURTZ: Objection. Relevance. THE COURT: Well, it's relevant to why you asked some question about fairness. This goes to establishing the record in terms of his view of affairs. MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, these the fairness has to do with the value of the company not the willingness of constituents, in particular, recipients of TARP funds to take a deal. THE COURT: Well, that's an argument you can make. And I assume you will make. But whether in this witness' view it's fair, it is relevant as to whether or not the party on the other side of the transaction is willing to accept it. MR. KURTZ: And I also object on foundation to less
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	informed the board that the company was monitoring its cash level to ensure the possibility of an orderly liquidation if it became necessary." Do you see that?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. First of all, what is an orderly liquidation?  A. It's a liquidation that would have the benefit of time to maximize the value recovery of the assets.  Q. What's the opposite or what's the alternative to an orderly liquidation?  A. Well, one would call it a free fall, a disorderly liquidation.  Q. Okay. And how do the values compare between an orderly and a free fall liquidation?  A. Well, again, orderly would be certainly much higher than a free fall liquidation.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. JPMorgan. Q. Okay. To your knowledge MR. KURTZ: Objection. Relevance. THE COURT: Well, it's relevant to why you asked some question about fairness. This goes to establishing the record in terms of his view of affairs. MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, these the fairness has to do with the value of the company not the willingness of constituents, in particular, recipients of TARP funds to take a deal. THE COURT: Well, that's an argument you can make. And I assume you will make. But whether in this witness' view it's fair, it is relevant as to whether or not the party on the other side of the transaction is willing to accept it. MR. KURTZ: And I also object on foundation to less determining, that that was considered and that had something to

1	THE COURT: But you didn't ask him, I don't think,	1	their payments.
2	whether you asked the witness previously on cross whether he	2	THE COURT: But the first lienholders, you believe,
3	thought it was fair.	3	received their payments?
4	MR. KURTZ: Well, I believe what I asked the witness	4	THE WITNESS: My understanding is that yes.
5	on cross is whether he was made aware that there were certain	5	THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
6	valuations that weren't presented to the board and whether he	6	BY MR. STEWART:
7	thought that was appropriate that he hadn't been made aware of	7	Q. A couple of other things. Did there come a time when
8	that information.	8	the company's outside auditors were KPMG?
9	THE COURT: Well, we're not on that line of	9	A. Correct.
10	questioning. We're on the line of questioning you pursued	10	Q. Did there come a time when there was an issue with your
11	which I believe you asked whether or not it was fair an amount	11	auditors about whether Chrysler was a going concern?
12	for what the senior secured creditors were receiving. And	12	A. As we worked through developing the annual financial
13	counsel can pursue that line of questioning.	13	accounts, yes.
14	MR. KURTZ: Okay.	14	Q. Okay. And they told you they had that concern?
15	BY MR. STEWART:	15	A. Yes, they did.
16	Q. And I believe we left off, you're aware there was an agent	16	Q. Okay. And you were chairman of the audit committee I
17	representing the group of first lien lenders, correct?	17	think you told us?
18	A. Yes.	18	A. Correct.
19	Q. Do you know what determination that agent made as to the	19	Q. And did that mean, at least on the board level, you were
20	fairness of this to those lenders?	20	the principal point of contact with the auditors?
21	A. They accepted the offer.	21	A. Yes, that's correct.
22	Q. And that represents the overwhelming amount of debt, is	22	Q. What did KPMG tell you about its going concern issues?
23	that right?	23	A. They're concerned the company didn't have adequate
24	A. Correct.	24	liquidity to continue as a going concern.
25	Q. By the way, did there come a time when Chrysler went into		Q. Okay. Now am I correct in understanding the 2008
	102		104
1	default under its credit agreements with the first lien	1	financials have never been issued?
1 2	default under its credit agreements with the first lien lenders?	1 2	financials have never been issued?  A. They were never issued.
	-		
2	lenders?	2	A. They were never issued.
2	lenders? A. No.	2	A. They were never issued. Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not KPMG's issuance or
2 3 4	lenders?  A. No.  MR. STEWART: Let me just check my notes, Judge, to	2 3 4	A. They were never issued.     Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not KPMG's issuance or statement of a qualification would have constituted an event of
2 3 4 5	lenders?  A. No.  MR. STEWART: Let me just check my notes, Judge, to see if I have anything else.	2 3 4 5	A. They were never issued.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not KPMG's issuance or statement of a qualification would have constituted an event of default with the agreements with the first lien lenders?
2 3 4 5	lenders?  A. No.  MR. STEWART: Let me just check my notes, Judge, to see if I have anything else.  Q. Oh, you were asked let's go to tab 2 3, I'm sorry	2 3 4 5	A. They were never issued.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not KPMG's issuance or statement of a qualification would have constituted an event of default with the agreements with the first lien lenders?  A. I don't recall.
2 3 4 5 6 7	lenders?  A. No.  MR. STEWART: Let me just check my notes, Judge, to see if I have anything else.  Q. Oh, you were asked let's go to tab 2 3, I'm sorry of the book put before you.	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. They were never issued.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not KPMG's issuance or statement of a qualification would have constituted an event of default with the agreements with the first lien lenders?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. All right. Two other things. Tab 3. You were</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	lenders?  A. No.  MR. STEWART: Let me just check my notes, Judge, to see if I have anything else.  Q. Oh, you were asked let's go to tab 2 3, I'm sorry of the book put before you.  THE COURT: Can I ask a question. You mentioned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. They were never issued.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not KPMG's issuance or statement of a qualification would have constituted an event of default with the agreements with the first lien lenders?  A. I don't recall.  Q. Okay. All right. Two other things. Tab 3. You were shown on cross-examination this draft fairness opinion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	lenders?  A. No.  MR. STEWART: Let me just check my notes, Judge, to see if I have anything else.  Q. Oh, you were asked let's go to tab 2 3, I'm sorry of the book put before you.  THE COURT: Can I ask a question. You mentioned default which calls a minor question that I have. When were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. They were never issued.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not KPMG's issuance or statement of a qualification would have constituted an event of default with the agreements with the first lien lenders?  A. I don't recall.  Q. Okay. All right. Two other things. Tab 3. You were shown on cross-examination this draft fairness opinion  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	lenders?  A. No.  MR. STEWART: Let me just check my notes, Judge, to see if I have anything else.  Q. Oh, you were asked let's go to tab 2 3, I'm sorry of the book put before you.  THE COURT: Can I ask a question. You mentioned default which calls a minor question that I have. When were interest payments made or what are the periodic payment dates,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. They were never issued.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not KPMG's issuance or statement of a qualification would have constituted an event of default with the agreements with the first lien lenders?  A. I don't recall.  Q. Okay. All right. Two other things. Tab 3. You were shown on cross-examination this draft fairness opinion  A. Yes.  Q from Greenhill & Co. Okay? In your experience with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	lenders?  A. No.  MR. STEWART: Let me just check my notes, Judge, to see if I have anything else.  Q. Oh, you were asked let's go to tab 2 3, I'm sorry of the book put before you.  THE COURT: Can I ask a question. You mentioned default which calls a minor question that I have. When were interest payments made or what are the periodic payment dates, do you know?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. They were never issued.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not KPMG's issuance or statement of a qualification would have constituted an event of default with the agreements with the first lien lenders?  A. I don't recall.  Q. Okay. All right. Two other things. Tab 3. You were shown on cross-examination this draft fairness opinion  A. Yes.  Q from Greenhill & Co. Okay? In your experience with fairness opinions, is it customary to go through drafts and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	lenders?  A. No.  MR. STEWART: Let me just check my notes, Judge, to see if I have anything else.  Q. Oh, you were asked let's go to tab 2 3, I'm sorry of the book put before you.  THE COURT: Can I ask a question. You mentioned default which calls a minor question that I have. When were interest payments made or what are the periodic payment dates, do you know?  THE WITNESS: They're monthly.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. They were never issued.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not KPMG's issuance or statement of a qualification would have constituted an event of default with the agreements with the first lien lenders?  A. I don't recall.  Q. Okay. All right. Two other things. Tab 3. You were shown on cross-examination this draft fairness opinion  A. Yes.  Q from Greenhill & Co. Okay? In your experience with fairness opinions, is it customary to go through drafts and test different scenarios?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	lenders?  A. No.  MR. STEWART: Let me just check my notes, Judge, to see if I have anything else.  Q. Oh, you were asked let's go to tab 2 3, I'm sorry of the book put before you.  THE COURT: Can I ask a question. You mentioned default which calls a minor question that I have. When were interest payments made or what are the periodic payment dates, do you know?  THE WITNESS: They're monthly.  THE COURT: Monthly payments are made. And so, if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. They were never issued. Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not KPMG's issuance or statement of a qualification would have constituted an event of default with the agreements with the first lien lenders? A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. All right. Two other things. Tab 3. You were shown on cross-examination this draft fairness opinion A. Yes. Q from Greenhill & Co. Okay? In your experience with fairness opinions, is it customary to go through drafts and test different scenarios? A. Oh, absolutely.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	lenders?  A. No.  MR. STEWART: Let me just check my notes, Judge, to see if I have anything else.  Q. Oh, you were asked let's go to tab 2 3, I'm sorry of the book put before you.  THE COURT: Can I ask a question. You mentioned default which calls a minor question that I have. When were interest payments made or what are the periodic payment dates, do you know?  THE WITNESS: They're monthly.  THE COURT: Monthly payments are made. And so, if they're not in default, I assume then that the payments were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. They were never issued.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not KPMG's issuance or statement of a qualification would have constituted an event of default with the agreements with the first lien lenders?  A. I don't recall.  Q. Okay. All right. Two other things. Tab 3. You were shown on cross-examination this draft fairness opinion  A. Yes.  Q from Greenhill & Co. Okay? In your experience with fairness opinions, is it customary to go through drafts and test different scenarios?  A. Oh, absolutely.  Q. Why is that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	lenders?  A. No.  MR. STEWART: Let me just check my notes, Judge, to see if I have anything else.  Q. Oh, you were asked let's go to tab 2 3, I'm sorry of the book put before you.  THE COURT: Can I ask a question. You mentioned default which calls a minor question that I have. When were interest payments made or what are the periodic payment dates, do you know?  THE WITNESS: They're monthly.  THE COURT: Monthly payments are made. And so, if they're not in default, I assume then that the payments were made?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. They were never issued.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not KPMG's issuance or statement of a qualification would have constituted an event of default with the agreements with the first lien lenders?  A. I don't recall.  Q. Okay. All right. Two other things. Tab 3. You were shown on cross-examination this draft fairness opinion  A. Yes.  Q from Greenhill & Co. Okay? In your experience with fairness opinions, is it customary to go through drafts and test different scenarios?  A. Oh, absolutely.  Q. Why is that?  A. Just because it's the nature of the process for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	lenders?  A. No.  MR. STEWART: Let me just check my notes, Judge, to see if I have anything else.  Q. Oh, you were asked let's go to tab 2 3, I'm sorry of the book put before you.  THE COURT: Can I ask a question. You mentioned default which calls a minor question that I have. When were interest payments made or what are the periodic payment dates, do you know?  THE WITNESS: They're monthly.  THE COURT: Monthly payments are made. And so, if they're not in default, I assume then that the payments were made?  THE WITNESS: To my knowledge, they were made in a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. They were never issued.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not KPMG's issuance or statement of a qualification would have constituted an event of default with the agreements with the first lien lenders?  A. I don't recall.  Q. Okay. All right. Two other things. Tab 3. You were shown on cross-examination this draft fairness opinion  A. Yes.  Q from Greenhill & Co. Okay? In your experience with fairness opinions, is it customary to go through drafts and test different scenarios?  A. Oh, absolutely.  Q. Why is that?  A. Just because it's the nature of the process for the provider to get comfortable and, ultimately, the company and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	lenders?  A. No.  MR. STEWART: Let me just check my notes, Judge, to see if I have anything else.  Q. Oh, you were asked let's go to tab 2 3, I'm sorry of the book put before you.  THE COURT: Can I ask a question. You mentioned default which calls a minor question that I have. When were interest payments made or what are the periodic payment dates, do you know?  THE WITNESS: They're monthly.  THE COURT: Monthly payments are made. And so, if they're not in default, I assume then that the payments were made?  THE WITNESS: To my knowledge, they were made in a timely basis.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. They were never issued.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not KPMG's issuance or statement of a qualification would have constituted an event of default with the agreements with the first lien lenders?  A. I don't recall.  Q. Okay. All right. Two other things. Tab 3. You were shown on cross-examination this draft fairness opinion  A. Yes.  Q from Greenhill & Co. Okay? In your experience with fairness opinions, is it customary to go through drafts and test different scenarios?  A. Oh, absolutely.  Q. Why is that?  A. Just because it's the nature of the process for the provider to get comfortable and, ultimately, the company and the analytics.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	lenders?  A. No.  MR. STEWART: Let me just check my notes, Judge, to see if I have anything else.  Q. Oh, you were asked let's go to tab 2 3, I'm sorry of the book put before you.  THE COURT: Can I ask a question. You mentioned default which calls a minor question that I have. When were interest payments made or what are the periodic payment dates, do you know?  THE WITNESS: They're monthly.  THE COURT: Monthly payments are made. And so, if they're not in default, I assume then that the payments were made?  THE WITNESS: To my knowledge, they were made in a timely basis.  THE COURT: All right. So those payments were made	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. They were never issued.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not KPMG's issuance or statement of a qualification would have constituted an event of default with the agreements with the first lien lenders?  A. I don't recall.  Q. Okay. All right. Two other things. Tab 3. You were shown on cross-examination this draft fairness opinion  A. Yes.  Q from Greenhill & Co. Okay? In your experience with fairness opinions, is it customary to go through drafts and test different scenarios?  A. Oh, absolutely.  Q. Why is that?  A. Just because it's the nature of the process for the provider to get comfortable and, ultimately, the company and the analytics.  Q. And when the board retained Greenhill & Co., did the board.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	lenders?  A. No.  MR. STEWART: Let me just check my notes, Judge, to see if I have anything else.  Q. Oh, you were asked let's go to tab 2 3, I'm sorry of the book put before you.  THE COURT: Can I ask a question. You mentioned default which calls a minor question that I have. When were interest payments made or what are the periodic payment dates, do you know?  THE WITNESS: They're monthly.  THE COURT: Monthly payments are made. And so, if they're not in default, I assume then that the payments were made?  THE WITNESS: To my knowledge, they were made in a timely basis.  THE COURT: All right. So those payments were made then January, February, March. I don't know about April.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. They were never issued.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not KPMG's issuance or statement of a qualification would have constituted an event of default with the agreements with the first lien lenders?  A. I don't recall.  Q. Okay. All right. Two other things. Tab 3. You were shown on cross-examination this draft fairness opinion  A. Yes.  Q from Greenhill & Co. Okay? In your experience with fairness opinions, is it customary to go through drafts and test different scenarios?  A. Oh, absolutely.  Q. Why is that?  A. Just because it's the nature of the process for the provider to get comfortable and, ultimately, the company and the analytics.  Q. And when the board retained Greenhill & Co., did the board say, and, by the way, make sure you give us an opinion saying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	lenders?  A. No.  MR. STEWART: Let me just check my notes, Judge, to see if I have anything else.  Q. Oh, you were asked let's go to tab 2 3, I'm sorry of the book put before you.  THE COURT: Can I ask a question. You mentioned default which calls a minor question that I have. When were interest payments made or what are the periodic payment dates, do you know?  THE WITNESS: They're monthly.  THE COURT: Monthly payments are made. And so, if they're not in default, I assume then that the payments were made?  THE WITNESS: To my knowledge, they were made in a timely basis.  THE COURT: All right. So those payments were made then January, February, March. I don't know about April.  THE WITNESS: I don't know, either.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. They were never issued.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not KPMG's issuance or statement of a qualification would have constituted an event of default with the agreements with the first lien lenders?  A. I don't recall.  Q. Okay. All right. Two other things. Tab 3. You were shown on cross-examination this draft fairness opinion  A. Yes.  Q from Greenhill & Co. Okay? In your experience with fairness opinions, is it customary to go through drafts and test different scenarios?  A. Oh, absolutely.  Q. Why is that?  A. Just because it's the nature of the process for the provider to get comfortable and, ultimately, the company and the analytics.  Q. And when the board retained Greenhill & Co., did the board say, and, by the way, make sure you give us an opinion saying this is fair?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	lenders?  A. No.  MR. STEWART: Let me just check my notes, Judge, to see if I have anything else.  Q. Oh, you were asked let's go to tab 2 3, I'm sorry of the book put before you.  THE COURT: Can I ask a question. You mentioned default which calls a minor question that I have. When were interest payments made or what are the periodic payment dates, do you know?  THE WITNESS: They're monthly.  THE COURT: Monthly payments are made. And so, if they're not in default, I assume then that the payments were made?  THE WITNESS: To my knowledge, they were made in a timely basis.  THE COURT: All right. So those payments were made then January, February, March. I don't know about April.  THE WITNESS: I don't know, either.  THE COURT: You assume that they were made those in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. They were never issued. Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not KPMG's issuance or statement of a qualification would have constituted an event of default with the agreements with the first lien lenders? A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. All right. Two other things. Tab 3. You were shown on cross-examination this draft fairness opinion A. Yes. Q from Greenhill & Co. Okay? In your experience with fairness opinions, is it customary to go through drafts and test different scenarios? A. Oh, absolutely. Q. Why is that? A. Just because it's the nature of the process for the provider to get comfortable and, ultimately, the company and the analytics. Q. And when the board retained Greenhill & Co., did the board say, and, by the way, make sure you give us an opinion saying this is fair? A. Absolutely not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	lenders?  A. No.  MR. STEWART: Let me just check my notes, Judge, to see if I have anything else.  Q. Oh, you were asked let's go to tab 2 3, I'm sorry of the book put before you.  THE COURT: Can I ask a question. You mentioned default which calls a minor question that I have. When were interest payments made or what are the periodic payment dates, do you know?  THE WITNESS: They're monthly.  THE COURT: Monthly payments are made. And so, if they're not in default, I assume then that the payments were made?  THE WITNESS: To my knowledge, they were made in a timely basis.  THE COURT: All right. So those payments were made then January, February, March. I don't know about April.  THE WITNESS: I don't know, either.  THE COURT: You assume that they were made those in January, February and March  THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. They were never issued.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not KPMG's issuance or statement of a qualification would have constituted an event of default with the agreements with the first lien lenders?  A. I don't recall.  Q. Okay. All right. Two other things. Tab 3. You were shown on cross-examination this draft fairness opinion  A. Yes.  Q from Greenhill & Co. Okay? In your experience with fairness opinions, is it customary to go through drafts and test different scenarios?  A. Oh, absolutely.  Q. Why is that?  A. Just because it's the nature of the process for the provider to get comfortable and, ultimately, the company and the analytics.  Q. And when the board retained Greenhill & Co., did the board say, and, by the way, make sure you give us an opinion saying this is fair?  A. Absolutely not.  Q. Okay. What did you want?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	lenders?  A. No.  MR. STEWART: Let me just check my notes, Judge, to see if I have anything else.  Q. Oh, you were asked let's go to tab 2 3, I'm sorry of the book put before you.  THE COURT: Can I ask a question. You mentioned default which calls a minor question that I have. When were interest payments made or what are the periodic payment dates, do you know?  THE WITNESS: They're monthly.  THE COURT: Monthly payments are made. And so, if they're not in default, I assume then that the payments were made?  THE WITNESS: To my knowledge, they were made in a timely basis.  THE COURT: All right. So those payments were made then January, February, March. I don't know about April.  THE WITNESS: I don't know, either.  THE COURT: You assume that they were made those in January, February and March  THE WITNESS: Yes.  THE COURT: at least?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. They were never issued.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not KPMG's issuance or statement of a qualification would have constituted an event of default with the agreements with the first lien lenders?  A. I don't recall.  Q. Okay. All right. Two other things. Tab 3. You were shown on cross-examination this draft fairness opinion  A. Yes.  Q from Greenhill & Co. Okay? In your experience with fairness opinions, is it customary to go through drafts and test different scenarios?  A. Oh, absolutely.  Q. Why is that?  A. Just because it's the nature of the process for the provider to get comfortable and, ultimately, the company and the analytics.  Q. And when the board retained Greenhill & Co., did the board say, and, by the way, make sure you give us an opinion saying this is fair?  A. Absolutely not.  Q. Okay. What did you want?  A. I wanted an impartial input from a third party.  Q. Why did you want it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	lenders?  A. No.  MR. STEWART: Let me just check my notes, Judge, to see if I have anything else.  Q. Oh, you were asked let's go to tab 2 3, I'm sorry of the book put before you.  THE COURT: Can I ask a question. You mentioned default which calls a minor question that I have. When were interest payments made or what are the periodic payment dates, do you know?  THE WITNESS: They're monthly.  THE COURT: Monthly payments are made. And so, if they're not in default, I assume then that the payments were made?  THE WITNESS: To my knowledge, they were made in a timely basis.  THE COURT: All right. So those payments were made then January, February, March. I don't know about April.  THE WITNESS: I don't know, either.  THE COURT: You assume that they were made those in January, February and March  THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. They were never issued.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not KPMG's issuance or statement of a qualification would have constituted an event of default with the agreements with the first lien lenders?  A. I don't recall.  Q. Okay. All right. Two other things. Tab 3. You were shown on cross-examination this draft fairness opinion  A. Yes.  Q from Greenhill & Co. Okay? In your experience with fairness opinions, is it customary to go through drafts and test different scenarios?  A. Oh, absolutely.  Q. Why is that?  A. Just because it's the nature of the process for the provider to get comfortable and, ultimately, the company and the analytics.  Q. And when the board retained Greenhill & Co., did the board say, and, by the way, make sure you give us an opinion saying this is fair?  A. Absolutely not.  Q. Okay. What did you want?  A. I wanted an impartial input from a third party.

1	an impartial process.	1	have. Thank you.
2	Q. And what did you do to make sure you got something that	2	THE COURT: Any recross?
3	was impartial?	3	MR. KURTZ: Yes, Judge.
4	A. Again, Greenhill, as well as and retaining KL to make	4	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
5	sure there was impartiality throughout the process.	5	BY MR. KURTZ:
6	Q. Okay. So you had Greenhill but you also had your own law	6	Q. Mr. Chapman, you were asked some questions about liquidity
7	firm	7	and maintain and the efforts made to maintain liquidity.
8	A. Correct.	8	What was the company's liquidity on April 30th, the day of the
9	Q is that right? Okay. Lastly, you were shown tab 4	9	bankruptcy filing?
10	which is this e-mail from it looks like Mr. Kolka to Mr.	10	A. I don't re might have been well, depends on what the
11	Manzo?	11	jurisdictionally, it may have been a billion and a half
12	A. Looks like it's Manzo to Kolka. It looks like	12	dollars. A billion six, I think.
13	Q. Manzo to Kolka or whoever it was?	13	Q. All right.
14	A. Yes.	14	A. I don't recall specifically.
15	Q. All right. And here, there's this reference to statements	15	Q. Counsel asked you about some intention by Chrysler to
16	made by officials of the treasury. And you were asked about	16	discontinue lines. Do you recall those questions?
17	that. Was the board prepared to allow the company's liquidity	17	A. Yes.
18	to go down to zero?	18	Q. And so what car lines did Chrysler intend to discontinue?
19	A. No. Absolutely not.	19	A. It was never reached a definitive determination.
20	Q. Why not?	20	Q. What car lines did Chrysler consider terminating?
21	A. Because it would not have allowed for an orderly	21	A. There was consideration of some of the Jeep products as
22	liquidation to preserve value for the estate.	22	well as I'm trying to remember Sebring was certainly
23	Q. And what did you do to make sure the liquidity was kept as	23	discussed.
24	high as you could?	24	Q. So the Sebring and what Jeep product?
25	A. Diligently discussed with the company at every board	25	A. Again, I think there were multiple platforms, one of a
	106		108
1	meeting and my daily reports on each to maintain adaquacy	1	different names It could have Compass versus Liberty
1 2	meeting and my daily reports on cash to maintain adequacy.  O Okay And who did you approach over time to try to obtain	1	different names. It could have Compass versus Liberty.
2	Q. Okay. And who did you approach over time to try to obtain	2	Q. Can you identify any Jeep product that was being
2	Q. Okay. And who did you approach over time to try to obtain additional liquidity for the company?	2	Q. Can you identify any Jeep product that was being considered for elimination?
2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. Okay. And who did you approach over time to try to obtain additional liquidity for the company?</li><li>A. Among the parties, JPMorgan, in particular.</li></ul>	2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. Can you identify any Jeep product that was being considered for elimination?</li><li>A. Again, it was just discussions as it relates to the number</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. Okay. And who did you approach over time to try to obtain additional liquidity for the company?</li><li>A. Among the parties, JPMorgan, in particular.</li><li>Q. Okay. And in the whole universe of potential funders, who</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. Can you identify any Jeep product that was being considered for elimination?</li><li>A. Again, it was just discussions as it relates to the number of platforms. So it would have been Compass versus Liberty.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>Q. Okay. And who did you approach over time to try to obtain additional liquidity for the company?</li><li>A. Among the parties, JPMorgan, in particular.</li><li>Q. Okay. And in the whole universe of potential funders, who was it who in the end was the only source of funding?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. Can you identify any Jeep product that was being considered for elimination?</li><li>A. Again, it was just discussions as it relates to the number of platforms. So it would have been Compass versus Liberty.</li><li>But no definitive determination was reached to my knowledge.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And who did you approach over time to try to obtain additional liquidity for the company?</li> <li>A. Among the parties, JPMorgan, in particular.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in the whole universe of potential funders, who was it who in the end was the only source of funding?</li> <li>A. United States government.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. Can you identify any Jeep product that was being considered for elimination?</li> <li>A. Again, it was just discussions as it relates to the number of platforms. So it would have been Compass versus Liberty.</li> <li>But no definitive determination was reached to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry. Did you say Compass versus Liberty or</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And who did you approach over time to try to obtain additional liquidity for the company?</li> <li>A. Among the parties, JPMorgan, in particular.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in the whole universe of potential funders, who was it who in the end was the only source of funding?</li> <li>A. United States government.</li> <li>Q. Now there were questions about terms and conditions</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Can you identify any Jeep product that was being considered for elimination?</li> <li>A. Again, it was just discussions as it relates to the number of platforms. So it would have been Compass versus Liberty.</li> <li>But no definitive determination was reached to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry. Did you say Compass versus Liberty or</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And who did you approach over time to try to obtain additional liquidity for the company?</li> <li>A. Among the parties, JPMorgan, in particular.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in the whole universe of potential funders, who was it who in the end was the only source of funding?</li> <li>A. United States government.</li> <li>Q. Now there were questions about terms and conditions imposed by the government. Do you remember being asked that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Can you identify any Jeep product that was being considered for elimination?</li> <li>A. Again, it was just discussions as it relates to the number of platforms. So it would have been Compass versus Liberty.</li> <li>But no definitive determination was reached to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry. Did you say Compass versus Liberty or</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In other words, going forward with one and not the other?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And who did you approach over time to try to obtain additional liquidity for the company?</li> <li>A. Among the parties, JPMorgan, in particular.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in the whole universe of potential funders, who was it who in the end was the only source of funding?</li> <li>A. United States government.</li> <li>Q. Now there were questions about terms and conditions imposed by the government. Do you remember being asked that A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 ? 9	<ul> <li>Q. Can you identify any Jeep product that was being considered for elimination?</li> <li>A. Again, it was just discussions as it relates to the number of platforms. So it would have been Compass versus Liberty.</li> <li>But no definitive determination was reached to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry. Did you say Compass versus Liberty or</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In other words, going forward with one and not the other?</li> <li>A. Again, that was I recall discussions about that.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And who did you approach over time to try to obtain additional liquidity for the company?</li> <li>A. Among the parties, JPMorgan, in particular.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in the whole universe of potential funders, who was it who in the end was the only source of funding?</li> <li>A. United States government.</li> <li>Q. Now there were questions about terms and conditions imposed by the government. Do you remember being asked that A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you happy with those terms and conditions?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 ? 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Can you identify any Jeep product that was being considered for elimination?</li> <li>A. Again, it was just discussions as it relates to the number of platforms. So it would have been Compass versus Liberty.</li> <li>But no definitive determination was reached to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry. Did you say Compass versus Liberty or</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In other words, going forward with one and not the other?</li> <li>A. Again, that was I recall discussions about that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that there never was a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And who did you approach over time to try to obtain additional liquidity for the company?</li> <li>A. Among the parties, JPMorgan, in particular.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in the whole universe of potential funders, who was it who in the end was the only source of funding?</li> <li>A. United States government.</li> <li>Q. Now there were questions about terms and conditions imposed by the government. Do you remember being asked that A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you happy with those terms and conditions?</li> <li>A. If I got the cash, it was the right thing for the estate.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Can you identify any Jeep product that was being considered for elimination?  A. Again, it was just discussions as it relates to the number of platforms. So it would have been Compass versus Liberty. But no definitive determination was reached to my knowledge. Q. I'm sorry. Did you say Compass versus Liberty or A. Yes.  Q. In other words, going forward with one and not the other?  A. Again, that was I recall discussions about that.  Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that there never was a conclusion reached that any of the car lines would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And who did you approach over time to try to obtain additional liquidity for the company?</li> <li>A. Among the parties, JPMorgan, in particular.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in the whole universe of potential funders, who was it who in the end was the only source of funding?</li> <li>A. United States government.</li> <li>Q. Now there were questions about terms and conditions imposed by the government. Do you remember being asked that A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you happy with those terms and conditions?</li> <li>A. If I got the cash, it was the right thing for the estate.</li> <li>Q. What was your alternative to accepting the terms and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 ? 9 10 11 12	Q. Can you identify any Jeep product that was being considered for elimination?  A. Again, it was just discussions as it relates to the number of platforms. So it would have been Compass versus Liberty. But no definitive determination was reached to my knowledge. Q. I'm sorry. Did you say Compass versus Liberty or  A. Yes.  Q. In other words, going forward with one and not the other?  A. Again, that was I recall discussions about that.  Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that there never was a conclusion reached that any of the car lines would be discontinued?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And who did you approach over time to try to obtain additional liquidity for the company?</li> <li>A. Among the parties, JPMorgan, in particular.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in the whole universe of potential funders, who was it who in the end was the only source of funding?</li> <li>A. United States government.</li> <li>Q. Now there were questions about terms and conditions imposed by the government. Do you remember being asked that A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you happy with those terms and conditions?</li> <li>A. If I got the cash, it was the right thing for the estate.</li> <li>Q. What was your alternative to accepting the terms and conditions?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Can you identify any Jeep product that was being considered for elimination?</li> <li>A. Again, it was just discussions as it relates to the number of platforms. So it would have been Compass versus Liberty. But no definitive determination was reached to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry. Did you say Compass versus Liberty or A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In other words, going forward with one and not the other?</li> <li>A. Again, that was I recall discussions about that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that there never was a conclusion reached that any of the car lines would be discontinued?</li> <li>A. Not to my knowledge.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And who did you approach over time to try to obtain additional liquidity for the company?</li> <li>A. Among the parties, JPMorgan, in particular.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in the whole universe of potential funders, who was it who in the end was the only source of funding?</li> <li>A. United States government.</li> <li>Q. Now there were questions about terms and conditions imposed by the government. Do you remember being asked that A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you happy with those terms and conditions?</li> <li>A. If I got the cash, it was the right thing for the estate.</li> <li>Q. What was your alternative to accepting the terms and conditions?</li> <li>A. None. Liquidation.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Can you identify any Jeep product that was being considered for elimination?</li> <li>A. Again, it was just discussions as it relates to the number of platforms. So it would have been Compass versus Liberty. But no definitive determination was reached to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry. Did you say Compass versus Liberty or A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In other words, going forward with one and not the other?</li> <li>A. Again, that was I recall discussions about that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that there never was a conclusion reached that any of the car lines would be discontinued?</li> <li>A. Not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. All right. And there had never been a determination that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And who did you approach over time to try to obtain additional liquidity for the company?</li> <li>A. Among the parties, JPMorgan, in particular.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in the whole universe of potential funders, who was it who in the end was the only source of funding?</li> <li>A. United States government.</li> <li>Q. Now there were questions about terms and conditions imposed by the government. Do you remember being asked that A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you happy with those terms and conditions?</li> <li>A. If I got the cash, it was the right thing for the estate.</li> <li>Q. What was your alternative to accepting the terms and conditions?</li> <li>A. None. Liquidation.</li> <li>Q. And in prior experience, have you found that companies in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Can you identify any Jeep product that was being considered for elimination?  A. Again, it was just discussions as it relates to the number of platforms. So it would have been Compass versus Liberty. But no definitive determination was reached to my knowledge. Q. I'm sorry. Did you say Compass versus Liberty or A. Yes.  Q. In other words, going forward with one and not the other?  A. Again, that was I recall discussions about that.  Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that there never was a conclusion reached that any of the car lines would be discontinued?  A. Not to my knowledge.  Q. All right. And there had never been a determination that those car lines had no value and, therefore, should be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And who did you approach over time to try to obtain additional liquidity for the company?</li> <li>A. Among the parties, JPMorgan, in particular.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in the whole universe of potential funders, who was it who in the end was the only source of funding?</li> <li>A. United States government.</li> <li>Q. Now there were questions about terms and conditions imposed by the government. Do you remember being asked that A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you happy with those terms and conditions?</li> <li>A. If I got the cash, it was the right thing for the estate.</li> <li>Q. What was your alternative to accepting the terms and conditions?</li> <li>A. None. Liquidation.</li> <li>Q. And in prior experience, have you found that companies in distress sometimes are required to take terms and conditions</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Can you identify any Jeep product that was being considered for elimination?  A. Again, it was just discussions as it relates to the number of platforms. So it would have been Compass versus Liberty. But no definitive determination was reached to my knowledge. Q. I'm sorry. Did you say Compass versus Liberty or A. Yes.  Q. In other words, going forward with one and not the other?  A. Again, that was I recall discussions about that.  Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that there never was a conclusion reached that any of the car lines would be discontinued?  A. Not to my knowledge.  Q. All right. And there had never been a determination that those car lines had no value and, therefore, should be discontinued, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And who did you approach over time to try to obtain additional liquidity for the company?</li> <li>A. Among the parties, JPMorgan, in particular.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in the whole universe of potential funders, who was it who in the end was the only source of funding?</li> <li>A. United States government.</li> <li>Q. Now there were questions about terms and conditions imposed by the government. Do you remember being asked that A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you happy with those terms and conditions?</li> <li>A. If I got the cash, it was the right thing for the estate.</li> <li>Q. What was your alternative to accepting the terms and conditions?</li> <li>A. None. Liquidation.</li> <li>Q. And in prior experience, have you found that companies in distress sometimes are required to take terms and conditions they don't like?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Can you identify any Jeep product that was being considered for elimination?  A. Again, it was just discussions as it relates to the number of platforms. So it would have been Compass versus Liberty. But no definitive determination was reached to my knowledge. Q. I'm sorry. Did you say Compass versus Liberty or A. Yes.  Q. In other words, going forward with one and not the other?  A. Again, that was I recall discussions about that.  Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that there never was a conclusion reached that any of the car lines would be discontinued?  A. Not to my knowledge.  Q. All right. And there had never been a determination that those car lines had no value and, therefore, should be discontinued, right?  A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And who did you approach over time to try to obtain additional liquidity for the company?</li> <li>A. Among the parties, JPMorgan, in particular.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in the whole universe of potential funders, who was it who in the end was the only source of funding?</li> <li>A. United States government.</li> <li>Q. Now there were questions about terms and conditions imposed by the government. Do you remember being asked that A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you happy with those terms and conditions?</li> <li>A. If I got the cash, it was the right thing for the estate.</li> <li>Q. What was your alternative to accepting the terms and conditions?</li> <li>A. None. Liquidation.</li> <li>Q. And in prior experience, have you found that companies in distress sometimes are required to take terms and conditions they don't like?</li> <li>A. Unfortunately, yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 ? 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Can you identify any Jeep product that was being considered for elimination?  A. Again, it was just discussions as it relates to the number of platforms. So it would have been Compass versus Liberty. But no definitive determination was reached to my knowledge. Q. I'm sorry. Did you say Compass versus Liberty or A. Yes.  Q. In other words, going forward with one and not the other?  A. Again, that was I recall discussions about that.  Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that there never was a conclusion reached that any of the car lines would be discontinued?  A. Not to my knowledge.  Q. All right. And there had never been a determination that those car lines had no value and, therefore, should be discontinued, right?  A. No.  Q. I'm correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And who did you approach over time to try to obtain additional liquidity for the company?</li> <li>A. Among the parties, JPMorgan, in particular.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in the whole universe of potential funders, who was it who in the end was the only source of funding?</li> <li>A. United States government.</li> <li>Q. Now there were questions about terms and conditions imposed by the government. Do you remember being asked that A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you happy with those terms and conditions?</li> <li>A. If I got the cash, it was the right thing for the estate.</li> <li>Q. What was your alternative to accepting the terms and conditions?</li> <li>A. None. Liquidation.</li> <li>Q. And in prior experience, have you found that companies in distress sometimes are required to take terms and conditions they don't like?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Can you identify any Jeep product that was being considered for elimination?  A. Again, it was just discussions as it relates to the number of platforms. So it would have been Compass versus Liberty. But no definitive determination was reached to my knowledge. Q. I'm sorry. Did you say Compass versus Liberty or A. Yes.  Q. In other words, going forward with one and not the other?  A. Again, that was I recall discussions about that.  Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that there never was a conclusion reached that any of the car lines would be discontinued?  A. Not to my knowledge.  Q. All right. And there had never been a determination that those car lines had no value and, therefore, should be discontinued, right?  A. No.  Q. I'm correct?  A. No. There had not been reached a determination.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And who did you approach over time to try to obtain additional liquidity for the company?</li> <li>A. Among the parties, JPMorgan, in particular.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in the whole universe of potential funders, who was it who in the end was the only source of funding?</li> <li>A. United States government.</li> <li>Q. Now there were questions about terms and conditions imposed by the government. Do you remember being asked that A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you happy with those terms and conditions?</li> <li>A. If I got the cash, it was the right thing for the estate.</li> <li>Q. What was your alternative to accepting the terms and conditions?</li> <li>A. None. Liquidation.</li> <li>Q. And in prior experience, have you found that companies in distress sometimes are required to take terms and conditions they don't like?</li> <li>A. Unfortunately, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in the end, from the standpoint of the benefit of the estate, what was the wisest thing to do?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Can you identify any Jeep product that was being considered for elimination?  A. Again, it was just discussions as it relates to the number of platforms. So it would have been Compass versus Liberty. But no definitive determination was reached to my knowledge. Q. I'm sorry. Did you say Compass versus Liberty or A. Yes.  Q. In other words, going forward with one and not the other?  A. Again, that was I recall discussions about that.  Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that there never was a conclusion reached that any of the car lines would be discontinued?  A. Not to my knowledge.  Q. All right. And there had never been a determination that those car lines had no value and, therefore, should be discontinued, right?  A. No.  Q. I'm correct?  A. No. There had not been reached a determination.  Q. Okay. And you were asked some questions about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And who did you approach over time to try to obtain additional liquidity for the company?</li> <li>A. Among the parties, JPMorgan, in particular.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in the whole universe of potential funders, who was it who in the end was the only source of funding?</li> <li>A. United States government.</li> <li>Q. Now there were questions about terms and conditions imposed by the government. Do you remember being asked that A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you happy with those terms and conditions?</li> <li>A. If I got the cash, it was the right thing for the estate.</li> <li>Q. What was your alternative to accepting the terms and conditions?</li> <li>A. None. Liquidation.</li> <li>Q. And in prior experience, have you found that companies in distress sometimes are required to take terms and conditions they don't like?</li> <li>A. Unfortunately, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in the end, from the standpoint of the benefit of the estate, what was the wisest thing to do?</li> <li>A. Take the liquidity provided by the government.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Can you identify any Jeep product that was being considered for elimination?  A. Again, it was just discussions as it relates to the number of platforms. So it would have been Compass versus Liberty. But no definitive determination was reached to my knowledge. Q. I'm sorry. Did you say Compass versus Liberty or A. Yes.  Q. In other words, going forward with one and not the other?  A. Again, that was I recall discussions about that.  Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that there never was a conclusion reached that any of the car lines would be discontinued?  A. Not to my knowledge.  Q. All right. And there had never been a determination that those car lines had no value and, therefore, should be discontinued, right?  A. No.  Q. I'm correct?  A. No. There had not been reached a determination.  Q. Okay. And you were asked some questions about the liquidity analysis. Now where you fall in the range of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And who did you approach over time to try to obtain additional liquidity for the company?</li> <li>A. Among the parties, JPMorgan, in particular.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in the whole universe of potential funders, who was it who in the end was the only source of funding?</li> <li>A. United States government.</li> <li>Q. Now there were questions about terms and conditions imposed by the government. Do you remember being asked that A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you happy with those terms and conditions?</li> <li>A. If I got the cash, it was the right thing for the estate.</li> <li>Q. What was your alternative to accepting the terms and conditions?</li> <li>A. None. Liquidation.</li> <li>Q. And in prior experience, have you found that companies in distress sometimes are required to take terms and conditions they don't like?</li> <li>A. Unfortunately, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in the end, from the standpoint of the benefit of the estate, what was the wisest thing to do?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Can you identify any Jeep product that was being considered for elimination?  A. Again, it was just discussions as it relates to the number of platforms. So it would have been Compass versus Liberty. But no definitive determination was reached to my knowledge. Q. I'm sorry. Did you say Compass versus Liberty or A. Yes.  Q. In other words, going forward with one and not the other?  A. Again, that was I recall discussions about that.  Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that there never was a conclusion reached that any of the car lines would be discontinued?  A. Not to my knowledge.  Q. All right. And there had never been a determination that those car lines had no value and, therefore, should be discontinued, right?  A. No.  Q. I'm correct?  A. No. There had not been reached a determination.  Q. Okay. And you were asked some questions about the liquidity analysis. Now where you fall in the range of the liquidity value, of course, depends on the accuracy of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And who did you approach over time to try to obtain additional liquidity for the company?</li> <li>A. Among the parties, JPMorgan, in particular.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in the whole universe of potential funders, who was it who in the end was the only source of funding?</li> <li>A. United States government.</li> <li>Q. Now there were questions about terms and conditions imposed by the government. Do you remember being asked that A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you happy with those terms and conditions?</li> <li>A. If I got the cash, it was the right thing for the estate.</li> <li>Q. What was your alternative to accepting the terms and conditions?</li> <li>A. None. Liquidation.</li> <li>Q. And in prior experience, have you found that companies in distress sometimes are required to take terms and conditions they don't like?</li> <li>A. Unfortunately, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in the end, from the standpoint of the benefit of the estate, what was the wisest thing to do?</li> <li>A. Take the liquidity provided by the government.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And that's what you chose to do?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Can you identify any Jeep product that was being considered for elimination?  A. Again, it was just discussions as it relates to the number of platforms. So it would have been Compass versus Liberty. But no definitive determination was reached to my knowledge. Q. I'm sorry. Did you say Compass versus Liberty or A. Yes.  Q. In other words, going forward with one and not the other?  A. Again, that was I recall discussions about that.  Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that there never was a conclusion reached that any of the car lines would be discontinued?  A. Not to my knowledge.  Q. All right. And there had never been a determination that those car lines had no value and, therefore, should be discontinued, right?  A. No.  Q. I'm correct?  A. No. There had not been reached a determination.  Q. Okay. And you were asked some questions about the liquidity analysis. Now where you fall in the range of the

1	A. Yes.	1	MS. VARGAS: Lack of foundation as to what's going on
2	Q. You were asked about where you fell in the range of	2	in the minds of the bank. Total speculation.
3	liquidation analysis, right?	3	THE COURT: All right.
4	A. Yes.	4	MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, the witness can tell us he
5	Q. And you took guidance on that you were asked what the	5	relied on his understanding of consents. And he can tell us
6	most likely scenario was. That came from Mr. Mango, right?	6	what his understanding would be as how those consents were
7	A. The analysis was provided by Mr. Manzo.	7	obtained.
8	Q. Including the most likely scenario, right?	8	THE COURT: I think he can answer what his view would
9	A. Correct.	9	be.
10	Q. And you were unaware, of course, that he was going to	10	MR. KURTZ: Yeah.
11	receive personally ten million dollars if this deal happened,	11	Q. In your opinion, wouldn't it be very difficult for
12	right?	12	somebody that had received TARP funds to face down the Treasur
13	A. I was not aware of it.	13	Department?
14	Q. And the accuracy of that potential liquidation value, of	14	A. I wouldn't speculate on what Jamie Diamond would do at
15	course, depends therefore on the accuracy of all of the	15	JPMorgan.
16	assumptions and the work that was performed by Mr. Manzo,	16	Q. Okay. And you're not prepared to well, how about do
17	right?	17	you think that when somebody receives billions of dollars in
18	A. Correct.	18	TARP funds that they would have some concerns about irritating
19	Q. And	19	the lender?
20	A. And his team, yes.	20	A. It's a slippery slope I'd rather not have to go down.
21	Q. And wouldn't you agree that Mr. Manzo should have ascribed	21	Q. Well, why don't we go down it anyway. I mean, don't you
22	value for some of the other car lines in addition to just	22	think that if you well, how about you? You've testified
23	Wrangler and, I think,	23	about how desperate Chrysler was for cash, right?
24	A. Again, I'm not sure I understand your question.	24	A. Yes.
25	Q. You agree well, I'll you agreed with me previously,	25	Q. And you testified that Treasury was the only source for
	110		112
1	and I assume you still agree, that there's value in all of the	1	funding, right?
2	car lines that we discussed before, right?	2	A. Correct.
3	A. Yes. Certain of the ones you discussed, yes.	3	Q. And so, Treasury was able to dictate terms, right?
4	Q. Now you were asked some questions about the consents by	4	A. They provided the terms, yes.
5	the first lien lenders. Now you were aware that the majority	5	Q. And Chrysler was very limited in how it could resist the
6	of the first lien lenders are recipients of substantial TARP	6	terms dictated by Treasury, right?
7	funds?	7	A. Correct.
8	A. If you say so.	8	Q. And so wouldn't JPM Morgan [sic] and the other TARP
9	Q. Oh, I'm asking whether you're aware of it.	9	recipients be in the same position?
10	A. I could be.	10	A. And we asked them to provide financing.
11	Q. Do you know whether JPM Morgan [sic] has ever received	11	Q. Yeah, but no, no. Wouldn't others that were depending
12	TARP funds.	12	on financing from the government be in the same position as you
13	A. JPMorgan has, certainly.	13	in terms of having to take terms?
14	Q. All right. Tens of billions of dollars in TARP funds,	14	MR. PANTALEO: Objection, Your Honor. This
	right?	15	witness Peter Pantaleo, Simpson & Thacher. Your Honor, this
15		16	witness is not competent to testify as to the financial
15 16	A If you say so		
16	A. If you say so.  O. Well, do you know that or not?	1.7	condition of financial institutions who have received TARP
16 17	Q. Well, do you know that or not?	17 18	condition of financial institutions who have received TARP money, whether they needed it, whether they asked for it.
16 17 18	<ul><li>Q. Well, do you know that or not?</li><li>A. I don't know specifics of what JPMorgan got from the TARP.</li></ul>	18	money, whether they needed it, whether they asked for it,
16 17 18 19	<ul><li>Q. Well, do you know that or not?</li><li>A. I don't know specifics of what JPMorgan got from the TARP.</li><li>Q. You know they received substantial money from TARP, right'</li></ul>	18 19	money, whether they needed it, whether they asked for it, whether they want to give it back or what effect it had on it.
16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Well, do you know that or not?</li> <li>A. I don't know specifics of what JPMorgan got from the TARP.</li> <li>Q. You know they received substantial money from TARP, right</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	18 19 20	money, whether they needed it, whether they asked for it, whether they want to give it back or what effect it had on it.  THE COURT: You're assuming a fact not in evidence.
16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Well, do you know that or not?</li> <li>A. I don't know specifics of what JPMorgan got from the TARP.</li> <li>Q. You know they received substantial money from TARP, right'</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that would put them in a much more difficult position</li> </ul>	18 19 20 21	money, whether they needed it, whether they asked for it, whether they want to give it back or what effect it had on it.  THE COURT: You're assuming a fact not in evidence.  MR. KURTZ: Let me rephrase.
16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Well, do you know that or not?</li> <li>A. I don't know specifics of what JPMorgan got from the TARP.</li> <li>Q. You know they received substantial money from TARP, right A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that would put them in a much more difficult position in terms of resisting the demands of the Treasury Department,</li> </ul>	18 19 20 21 22	money, whether they needed it, whether they asked for it, whether they want to give it back or what effect it had on it.  THE COURT: You're assuming a fact not in evidence.  MR. KURTZ: Let me rephrase.  Q. Is it your understanding that another borrower from
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Well, do you know that or not?</li> <li>A. I don't know specifics of what JPMorgan got from the TARP.</li> <li>Q. You know they received substantial money from TARP, right'</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that would put them in a much more difficult position in terms of resisting the demands of the Treasury Department, correct?</li> </ul>	18 19 20 21 22 23	money, whether they needed it, whether they asked for it, whether they want to give it back or what effect it had on it.  THE COURT: You're assuming a fact not in evidence.  MR. KURTZ: Let me rephrase.  Q. Is it your understanding that another borrower from  Treasury would face the similar kinds of pressures that
16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Well, do you know that or not?</li> <li>A. I don't know specifics of what JPMorgan got from the TARP.</li> <li>Q. You know they received substantial money from TARP, right A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that would put them in a much more difficult position in terms of resisting the demands of the Treasury Department,</li> </ul>	18 19 20 21 22	money, whether they needed it, whether they asked for it, whether they want to give it back or what effect it had on it.  THE COURT: You're assuming a fact not in evidence.  MR. KURTZ: Let me rephrase.  Q. Is it your understanding that another borrower from

1	not competent to testify what	1	thought we ought to then hear about KPMG. I wasn't intending
2	THE COURT: You have to hypothetically establish the	2	to cover this material.
3	other borrower's financial condition. It would have to be in	3	THE COURT: All right. Ask the witness the question.
4	as a dire strait as Chrysler was.	4	BY MR. KURTZ:
5	MR. KURTZ: Well, Your Honor, the fact that the TARP	5	Q. I'm sorry. I think you said you never saw this e-mail, is
6	recipients needed the money to	6	that right?
7	THE COURT: Well, that's an argument you can make.	7	A. That's correct.
8	This witness you can make that at argument. That's been	8	Q. Were you aware of KPMG's conclusion that Fiat had between
9	your argument since the first day of the case.	9	nine and ten billion euros of off balance sheet obligations
10	MR. KURTZ: That's true, Judge.	10	which were now transparent?
11	Q. Mr. Chapman, you sit on the audit committee?	11	MR. STEWART: Your Honor, may I have a continued
12	A. Yes.	12	objection on scope?
13	Q. And you were asked some questions about KPMG, right?	13	A. I was not aware.
14	A. Yes.	14	THE COURT: One second. I mean, the witness can
15	Q. KPMG is the auditors those are the auditors for	15	respond to the statement in the e-mail as to whether he was
16	Chrysler?	16	aware. Whether that statement is factual or not
17	A. The external auditors, correct.	17	MR. KURTZ: Yeah, okay.
18	Q. All right. And can I ask you to turn in your binder to, I	18	THE COURT: is not really in the record.
19	think, tab 6 and confirm for me that this is an e-mail from	19	MR. KURTZ: Okay.
20	David Quackenbush to Brian Aronson.	20	THE COURT: It's a comment made by KPMG in an e-mail.
21	(Pause)	21	MR. KURTZ: Okay.
22	Q. Have you ever seen that e-mail before?	22	Q. Mr. Chapman, were you aware of any view by KPMG that of
23	A. Nope.	23	balance sheet investments of JVs without full disclosure is an
24	MR. STEWART: Your Honor, I think this is beyond the	24	economic risk and a political risk, how could the Treasury
25	scope of redirect.	25	Chrysler get in bed with a shady partner? Were you aware of
	114		116
	THE COURT WILL 1 1 1 1 1	_	1 .0
1	THE COURT: What is this relevant to on redirect?	1	that?
2	MR. KURTZ: There were questions about KPMG and the	2	A. Nope.
2	MR. KURTZ: There were questions about KPMG and the auditing functions. And I'd like to make sure that this	2	A. Nope.     Q. Did anybody ever suggest it to you
2 3 4	MR. KURTZ: There were questions about KPMG and the auditing functions. And I'd like to make sure that this witness whether he was aware or not aware of some of KPMG's	2 3 4	A. Nope.     Q. Did anybody ever suggest it to you     MR. KURTZ: Well, strike that.
2 3 4 5	MR. KURTZ: There were questions about KPMG and the auditing functions. And I'd like to make sure that this witness whether he was aware or not aware of some of KPMG's observations about Fiat and their auditing.	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. Nope.</li> <li>Q. Did anybody ever suggest it to you MR. KURTZ: Well, strike that.</li> <li>Q. Has anyone ever told that Fiat was a shady partner?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	MR. KURTZ: There were questions about KPMG and the auditing functions. And I'd like to make sure that this witness whether he was aware or not aware of some of KPMG's observations about Fiat and their auditing.  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, the question had	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Nope.</li> <li>Q. Did anybody ever suggest it to you MR. KURTZ: Well, strike that.</li> <li>Q. Has anyone ever told that Fiat was a shady partner?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. KURTZ: There were questions about KPMG and the auditing functions. And I'd like to make sure that this witness whether he was aware or not aware of some of KPMG's observations about Fiat and their auditing.  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, the question had THE COURT: But the questions had to do with KPMG's	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Nope.</li> <li>Q. Did anybody ever suggest it to you MR. KURTZ: Well, strike that.</li> <li>Q. Has anyone ever told that Fiat was a shady partner?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now you were asked some questions about the fairness</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. KURTZ: There were questions about KPMG and the auditing functions. And I'd like to make sure that this witness whether he was aware or not aware of some of KPMG's observations about Fiat and their auditing.  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, the question had THE COURT: But the questions had to do with KPMG's work in auditing Chrysler.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Nope.</li> <li>Q. Did anybody ever suggest it to you MR. KURTZ: Well, strike that.</li> <li>Q. Has anyone ever told that Fiat was a shady partner?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now you were asked some questions about the fairness opinion and what's customary. Is it customary to charge a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. KURTZ: There were questions about KPMG and the auditing functions. And I'd like to make sure that this witness whether he was aware or not aware of some of KPMG's observations about Fiat and their auditing.  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, the question had THE COURT: But the questions had to do with KPMG's work in auditing Chrysler.  MR. KURTZ: Okay. We'll Your Honor, we'll ask Mr.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Nope.</li> <li>Q. Did anybody ever suggest it to you MR. KURTZ: Well, strike that.</li> <li>Q. Has anyone ever told that Fiat was a shady partner?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now you were asked some questions about the fairness opinion and what's customary. Is it customary to charge a company to perform work on a valuation and then to drop that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. KURTZ: There were questions about KPMG and the auditing functions. And I'd like to make sure that this witness whether he was aware or not aware of some of KPMG's observations about Fiat and their auditing.  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, the question had THE COURT: But the questions had to do with KPMG's work in auditing Chrysler.  MR. KURTZ: Okay. We'll Your Honor, we'll ask Mr. Chapman to stick around and we'll call him in our case-in-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Nope.</li> <li>Q. Did anybody ever suggest it to you MR. KURTZ: Well, strike that.</li> <li>Q. Has anyone ever told that Fiat was a shady partner?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now you were asked some questions about the fairness opinion and what's customary. Is it customary to charge a company to perform work on a valuation and then to drop that section from the final fairness opinion days before it's</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. KURTZ: There were questions about KPMG and the auditing functions. And I'd like to make sure that this witness whether he was aware or not aware of some of KPMG's observations about Fiat and their auditing.  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, the question had THE COURT: But the questions had to do with KPMG's work in auditing Chrysler.  MR. KURTZ: Okay. We'll Your Honor, we'll ask Mr. Chapman to stick around and we'll call him in our case-inchief.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Nope.</li> <li>Q. Did anybody ever suggest it to you MR. KURTZ: Well, strike that.</li> <li>Q. Has anyone ever told that Fiat was a shady partner?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now you were asked some questions about the fairness opinion and what's customary. Is it customary to charge a company to perform work on a valuation and then to drop that section from the final fairness opinion days before it's provided to the board of directors?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. KURTZ: There were questions about KPMG and the auditing functions. And I'd like to make sure that this witness whether he was aware or not aware of some of KPMG's observations about Fiat and their auditing.  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, the question had THE COURT: But the questions had to do with KPMG's work in auditing Chrysler.  MR. KURTZ: Okay. We'll Your Honor, we'll ask Mr. Chapman to stick around and we'll call him in our case-inchief.  THE COURT: You know, you can abuse that privilege.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Nope.</li> <li>Q. Did anybody ever suggest it to you MR. KURTZ: Well, strike that.</li> <li>Q. Has anyone ever told that Fiat was a shady partner?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now you were asked some questions about the fairness opinion and what's customary. Is it customary to charge a company to perform work on a valuation and then to drop that section from the final fairness opinion days before it's provided to the board of directors? MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not sure</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. KURTZ: There were questions about KPMG and the auditing functions. And I'd like to make sure that this witness whether he was aware or not aware of some of KPMG's observations about Fiat and their auditing.  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, the question had THE COURT: But the questions had to do with KPMG's work in auditing Chrysler.  MR. KURTZ: Okay. We'll Your Honor, we'll ask Mr. Chapman to stick around and we'll call him in our case-inchief.  THE COURT: You know, you can abuse that privilege. MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, it's pretty rare when you get	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Nope.</li> <li>Q. Did anybody ever suggest it to you MR. KURTZ: Well, strike that.</li> <li>Q. Has anyone ever told that Fiat was a shady partner?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now you were asked some questions about the fairness opinion and what's customary. Is it customary to charge a company to perform work on a valuation and then to drop that section from the final fairness opinion days before it's provided to the board of directors?</li> <li>MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not sure he's established it was dropped. He's made that statement</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. KURTZ: There were questions about KPMG and the auditing functions. And I'd like to make sure that this witness whether he was aware or not aware of some of KPMG's observations about Fiat and their auditing.  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, the question had THE COURT: But the questions had to do with KPMG's work in auditing Chrysler.  MR. KURTZ: Okay. We'll Your Honor, we'll ask Mr. Chapman to stick around and we'll call him in our case-inchief.  THE COURT: You know, you can abuse that privilege. MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, it's pretty rare when you get so much push back for matters in evidence what's within, what's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Nope.</li> <li>Q. Did anybody ever suggest it to you MR. KURTZ: Well, strike that.</li> <li>Q. Has anyone ever told that Fiat was a shady partner?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now you were asked some questions about the fairness opinion and what's customary. Is it customary to charge a company to perform work on a valuation and then to drop that section from the final fairness opinion days before it's provided to the board of directors? MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not sure he's established it was dropped. He's made that statement twice now. And if he's going to pursue it, I think he has to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. KURTZ: There were questions about KPMG and the auditing functions. And I'd like to make sure that this witness whether he was aware or not aware of some of KPMG's observations about Fiat and their auditing.  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, the question had THE COURT: But the questions had to do with KPMG's work in auditing Chrysler.  MR. KURTZ: Okay. We'll Your Honor, we'll ask Mr. Chapman to stick around and we'll call him in our case-inchief.  THE COURT: You know, you can abuse that privilege. MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, it's pretty rare when you get so much push back for matters in evidence what's within, what's without. I mean, we're all trying to get through one witness	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Nope.</li> <li>Q. Did anybody ever suggest it to you MR. KURTZ: Well, strike that.</li> <li>Q. Has anyone ever told that Fiat was a shady partner?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now you were asked some questions about the fairness opinion and what's customary. Is it customary to charge a company to perform work on a valuation and then to drop tha section from the final fairness opinion days before it's provided to the board of directors? MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not sure he's established it was dropped. He's made that statement twice now. And if he's going to pursue it, I think he has to prove it. I don't think we get counsel's say so on something</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. KURTZ: There were questions about KPMG and the auditing functions. And I'd like to make sure that this witness whether he was aware or not aware of some of KPMG's observations about Fiat and their auditing.  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, the question had THE COURT: But the questions had to do with KPMG's work in auditing Chrysler.  MR. KURTZ: Okay. We'll Your Honor, we'll ask Mr. Chapman to stick around and we'll call him in our case-inchief.  THE COURT: You know, you can abuse that privilege. MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, it's pretty rare when you get so much push back for matters in evidence what's within, what's without. I mean, we're all trying to get through one witness at one time. We're trying not to have to bring witnesses back.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Nope.</li> <li>Q. Did anybody ever suggest it to you MR. KURTZ: Well, strike that.</li> <li>Q. Has anyone ever told that Fiat was a shady partner?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now you were asked some questions about the fairness opinion and what's customary. Is it customary to charge a company to perform work on a valuation and then to drop that section from the final fairness opinion days before it's provided to the board of directors? MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not sure he's established it was dropped. He's made that statement twice now. And if he's going to pursue it, I think he has to prove it. I don't think we get counsel's say so on something like that, do we?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. KURTZ: There were questions about KPMG and the auditing functions. And I'd like to make sure that this witness whether he was aware or not aware of some of KPMG's observations about Fiat and their auditing.  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, the question had THE COURT: But the questions had to do with KPMG's work in auditing Chrysler.  MR. KURTZ: Okay. We'll Your Honor, we'll ask Mr. Chapman to stick around and we'll call him in our case-inchief.  THE COURT: You know, you can abuse that privilege. MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, it's pretty rare when you get so much push back for matters in evidence what's within, what's without. I mean, we're all trying to get through one witness at one time. We're trying not to have to bring witnesses back. But I keep getting objections that I'm beyond something or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Nope.</li> <li>Q. Did anybody ever suggest it to you MR. KURTZ: Well, strike that.</li> <li>Q. Has anyone ever told that Fiat was a shady partner?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now you were asked some questions about the fairness opinion and what's customary. Is it customary to charge a company to perform work on a valuation and then to drop that section from the final fairness opinion days before it's provided to the board of directors?  MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not sure he's established it was dropped. He's made that statement twice now. And if he's going to pursue it, I think he has to prove it. I don't think we get counsel's say so on something like that, do we?  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, they admitted the fairness</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. KURTZ: There were questions about KPMG and the auditing functions. And I'd like to make sure that this witness whether he was aware or not aware of some of KPMG's observations about Fiat and their auditing.  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, the question had THE COURT: But the questions had to do with KPMG's work in auditing Chrysler.  MR. KURTZ: Okay. We'll Your Honor, we'll ask Mr. Chapman to stick around and we'll call him in our case-inchief.  THE COURT: You know, you can abuse that privilege. MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, it's pretty rare when you get so much push back for matters in evidence what's within, what's without. I mean, we're all trying to get through one witness at one time. We're trying not to have to bring witnesses back. But I keep getting objections that I'm beyond something or someone else and which just precipitates having to bring the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Nope.</li> <li>Q. Did anybody ever suggest it to you MR. KURTZ: Well, strike that.</li> <li>Q. Has anyone ever told that Fiat was a shady partner?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now you were asked some questions about the fairness opinion and what's customary. Is it customary to charge a company to perform work on a valuation and then to drop that section from the final fairness opinion days before it's provided to the board of directors?  MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not sure he's established it was dropped. He's made that statement twice now. And if he's going to pursue it, I think he has to prove it. I don't think we get counsel's say so on something like that, do we?  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, they admitted the fairness opinion and it is not in there. So</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. KURTZ: There were questions about KPMG and the auditing functions. And I'd like to make sure that this witness whether he was aware or not aware of some of KPMG's observations about Fiat and their auditing.  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, the question had THE COURT: But the questions had to do with KPMG's work in auditing Chrysler.  MR. KURTZ: Okay. We'll Your Honor, we'll ask Mr. Chapman to stick around and we'll call him in our case-inchief.  THE COURT: You know, you can abuse that privilege. MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, it's pretty rare when you get so much push back for matters in evidence what's within, what's without. I mean, we're all trying to get through one witness at one time. We're trying not to have to bring witnesses back. But I keep getting objections that I'm beyond something or someone else and which just precipitates having to bring the witness back. It seems to me the most expeditious way to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Nope.</li> <li>Q. Did anybody ever suggest it to you MR. KURTZ: Well, strike that.</li> <li>Q. Has anyone ever told that Fiat was a shady partner?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now you were asked some questions about the fairness opinion and what's customary. Is it customary to charge a company to perform work on a valuation and then to drop that section from the final fairness opinion days before it's provided to the board of directors? MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not sure he's established it was dropped. He's made that statement twice now. And if he's going to pursue it, I think he has to prove it. I don't think we get counsel's say so on something like that, do we? MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, they admitted the fairness opinion and it is not in there. So MR. STEWART: Wait, wait whoa.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. KURTZ: There were questions about KPMG and the auditing functions. And I'd like to make sure that this witness whether he was aware or not aware of some of KPMG's observations about Fiat and their auditing.  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, the question had THE COURT: But the questions had to do with KPMG's work in auditing Chrysler.  MR. KURTZ: Okay. We'll Your Honor, we'll ask Mr. Chapman to stick around and we'll call him in our case-inchief.  THE COURT: You know, you can abuse that privilege. MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, it's pretty rare when you get so much push back for matters in evidence what's within, what's without. I mean, we're all trying to get through one witness at one time. We're trying not to have to bring witnesses back. But I keep getting objections that I'm beyond something or someone else and which just precipitates having to bring the witness back. It seems to me the most expeditious way to proceed is just to get through the material.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Nope.</li> <li>Q. Did anybody ever suggest it to you MR. KURTZ: Well, strike that.</li> <li>Q. Has anyone ever told that Fiat was a shady partner?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now you were asked some questions about the fairness opinion and what's customary. Is it customary to charge a company to perform work on a valuation and then to drop that section from the final fairness opinion days before it's provided to the board of directors? MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not sure he's established it was dropped. He's made that statement twice now. And if he's going to pursue it, I think he has to prove it. I don't think we get counsel's say so on something like that, do we? MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, they admitted the fairness opinion and it is not in there. So MR. STEWART: Wait, wait whoa. THE COURT: So without characterizing it as dropped.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. KURTZ: There were questions about KPMG and the auditing functions. And I'd like to make sure that this witness whether he was aware or not aware of some of KPMG's observations about Fiat and their auditing.  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, the question had THE COURT: But the questions had to do with KPMG's work in auditing Chrysler.  MR. KURTZ: Okay. We'll Your Honor, we'll ask Mr. Chapman to stick around and we'll call him in our case-inchief.  THE COURT: You know, you can abuse that privilege. MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, it's pretty rare when you get so much push back for matters in evidence what's within, what's without. I mean, we're all trying to get through one witness at one time. We're trying not to have to bring witnesses back. But I keep getting objections that I'm beyond something or someone else and which just precipitates having to bring the witness back. It seems to me the most expeditious way to proceed is just to get through the material.  THE COURT: Well, it would have been expeditious if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Nope.</li> <li>Q. Did anybody ever suggest it to you MR. KURTZ: Well, strike that.</li> <li>Q. Has anyone ever told that Fiat was a shady partner?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now you were asked some questions about the fairness opinion and what's customary. Is it customary to charge a company to perform work on a valuation and then to drop that section from the final fairness opinion days before it's provided to the board of directors? MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not sure he's established it was dropped. He's made that statement twice now. And if he's going to pursue it, I think he has to prove it. I don't think we get counsel's say so on something like that, do we? MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, they admitted the fairness opinion and it is not in there. So MR. STEWART: Wait, wait whoa. THE COURT: So without characterizing it as dropped, you can just ask the question as it's in one and it's not in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. KURTZ: There were questions about KPMG and the auditing functions. And I'd like to make sure that this witness whether he was aware or not aware of some of KPMG's observations about Fiat and their auditing.  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, the question had THE COURT: But the questions had to do with KPMG's work in auditing Chrysler.  MR. KURTZ: Okay. We'll Your Honor, we'll ask Mr. Chapman to stick around and we'll call him in our case-inchief.  THE COURT: You know, you can abuse that privilege. MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, it's pretty rare when you get so much push back for matters in evidence what's within, what's without. I mean, we're all trying to get through one witness at one time. We're trying not to have to bring witnesses back. But I keep getting objections that I'm beyond something or someone else and which just precipitates having to bring the witness back. It seems to me the most expeditious way to proceed is just to get through the material.  THE COURT: Well, it would have been expeditious if then you had asked it during cross	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Nope.</li> <li>Q. Did anybody ever suggest it to you MR. KURTZ: Well, strike that.</li> <li>Q. Has anyone ever told that Fiat was a shady partner?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now you were asked some questions about the fairness opinion and what's customary. Is it customary to charge a company to perform work on a valuation and then to drop that section from the final fairness opinion days before it's provided to the board of directors?  MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not sure he's established it was dropped. He's made that statement twice now. And if he's going to pursue it, I think he has to prove it. I don't think we get counsel's say so on something like that, do we?  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, they admitted the fairness opinion and it is not in there. So MR. STEWART: Wait, wait whoa. THE COURT: So without characterizing it as dropped, you can just ask the question as it's in one and it's not in the other</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. KURTZ: There were questions about KPMG and the auditing functions. And I'd like to make sure that this witness whether he was aware or not aware of some of KPMG's observations about Fiat and their auditing.  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, the question had THE COURT: But the questions had to do with KPMG's work in auditing Chrysler.  MR. KURTZ: Okay. We'll Your Honor, we'll ask Mr. Chapman to stick around and we'll call him in our case-inchief.  THE COURT: You know, you can abuse that privilege. MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, it's pretty rare when you get so much push back for matters in evidence what's within, what's without. I mean, we're all trying to get through one witness at one time. We're trying not to have to bring witnesses back. But I keep getting objections that I'm beyond something or someone else and which just precipitates having to bring the witness back. It seems to me the most expeditious way to proceed is just to get through the material.  THE COURT: Well, it would have been expeditious if then you had asked it during cross MR. KURTZ: But it wasn't till I heard him	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Nope.</li> <li>Q. Did anybody ever suggest it to you MR. KURTZ: Well, strike that.</li> <li>Q. Has anyone ever told that Fiat was a shady partner?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now you were asked some questions about the fairness opinion and what's customary. Is it customary to charge a company to perform work on a valuation and then to drop that section from the final fairness opinion days before it's provided to the board of directors?  MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not sure he's established it was dropped. He's made that statement twice now. And if he's going to pursue it, I think he has to prove it. I don't think we get counsel's say so on something like that, do we?  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, they admitted the fairness opinion and it is not in there. So MR. STEWART: Wait, wait whoa. THE COURT: So without characterizing it as dropped, you can just ask the question as it's in one and it's not in the other MR. KURTZ: Right.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. KURTZ: There were questions about KPMG and the auditing functions. And I'd like to make sure that this witness whether he was aware or not aware of some of KPMG's observations about Fiat and their auditing.  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, the question had THE COURT: But the questions had to do with KPMG's work in auditing Chrysler.  MR. KURTZ: Okay. We'll Your Honor, we'll ask Mr. Chapman to stick around and we'll call him in our case-inchief.  THE COURT: You know, you can abuse that privilege. MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, it's pretty rare when you get so much push back for matters in evidence what's within, what's without. I mean, we're all trying to get through one witness at one time. We're trying not to have to bring witnesses back. But I keep getting objections that I'm beyond something or someone else and which just precipitates having to bring the witness back. It seems to me the most expeditious way to proceed is just to get through the material.  THE COURT: Well, it would have been expeditious if then you had asked it during cross MR. KURTZ: But it wasn't till I heard him THE COURT: originally.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Nope.</li> <li>Q. Did anybody ever suggest it to you MR. KURTZ: Well, strike that.</li> <li>Q. Has anyone ever told that Fiat was a shady partner?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now you were asked some questions about the fairness opinion and what's customary. Is it customary to charge a company to perform work on a valuation and then to drop that section from the final fairness opinion days before it's provided to the board of directors?  MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not sure he's established it was dropped. He's made that statement twice now. And if he's going to pursue it, I think he has to prove it. I don't think we get counsel's say so on something like that, do we?  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, they admitted the fairness opinion and it is not in there. So MR. STEWART: Wait, wait whoa. THE COURT: So without characterizing it as dropped, you can just ask the question as it's in one and it's not in the other MR. KURTZ: Right. THE COURT: and is that unusual</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. KURTZ: There were questions about KPMG and the auditing functions. And I'd like to make sure that this witness whether he was aware or not aware of some of KPMG's observations about Fiat and their auditing.  MR. STEWART: Your Honor, the question had THE COURT: But the questions had to do with KPMG's work in auditing Chrysler.  MR. KURTZ: Okay. We'll Your Honor, we'll ask Mr. Chapman to stick around and we'll call him in our case-inchief.  THE COURT: You know, you can abuse that privilege. MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, it's pretty rare when you get so much push back for matters in evidence what's within, what's without. I mean, we're all trying to get through one witness at one time. We're trying not to have to bring witnesses back. But I keep getting objections that I'm beyond something or someone else and which just precipitates having to bring the witness back. It seems to me the most expeditious way to proceed is just to get through the material.  THE COURT: Well, it would have been expeditious if then you had asked it during cross MR. KURTZ: But it wasn't till I heard him	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Nope.</li> <li>Q. Did anybody ever suggest it to you MR. KURTZ: Well, strike that.</li> <li>Q. Has anyone ever told that Fiat was a shady partner?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now you were asked some questions about the fairness opinion and what's customary. Is it customary to charge a company to perform work on a valuation and then to drop that section from the final fairness opinion days before it's provided to the board of directors?  MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not sure he's established it was dropped. He's made that statement twice now. And if he's going to pursue it, I think he has to prove it. I don't think we get counsel's say so on something like that, do we?  MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, they admitted the fairness opinion and it is not in there. So MR. STEWART: Wait, wait whoa. THE COURT: So without characterizing it as dropped, you can just ask the question as it's in one and it's not in the other MR. KURTZ: Right.</li> </ul>

1	THE COURT: without the characterization.	1	MR. BROMLEY: May I approach, Your Honor?
2	Q. Is that unusual?	2	THE COURT: Yes, please. Thank you.
3	A. To my experience, no.	3	Q. Mr. Curson, is that document in front of you a copy of the
4	Q. So you think it was perfectly appropriate to spend	4	declaration you've submitted?
5	Chrysler's money up to three million dollars performing a	5	A. Yes, it is.
6	valuation in detail and then not advise the board that the	6	Q. And did you testify at a deposition on Monday of this
7	findings were that the company had a value as high as 25.4	7	week?
8	billion dollars?	8	A. I did.
9	MR. STEWART: Objection, Your Honor.	9	Q. And did you answer questions with respect to this
10	Q. Is that something you think is right or wrong?	10	declaration at that deposition?
11	A. I think Greenhill's mandate changed as the government's	11	A. I did.
12	determination to provide financing changed.	12	MR. BROMLEY: Your Honor, the UAW would move in to
13	Q. Okay. You would have had no interest in understanding	13	evidence the declaration of David Curson.
14	from Greenhill that they believed Chrysler was worth as much as	14	MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor?
15	25.4 billion dollars?	15	THE COURT: Yes?
16	A. It would have been nice to know. But, again, at the end	16	MR. ZAKIA: Jason Zakia of White & Case for the
17	of the day, their mandate changed.	17	Indiana Pensioners. Same objection you discussed with Mr.
18	Q. Okay. But it would have been nice to know, right?	18	Curson earlier. We'd just like to note it for the record.
19	A. Yes.	19	THE COURT: All right. It's overruled. Thank you.
20	Q. You have to speak because	20	Q. Mr. Curson, at the UAW, who do you report to?
21	A. I said yes.	21	A. I report directly to President Ron Gettelfinger.
22	Q head nods okay.	22	Q. And how many people report directly to President
23	MR. KURTZ: No further questions.	23	Gettelfinger?
24	THE COURT: All right. Any further recross? All	24	A. The four vice presidents, his executive assistant, Gary
25	right. You may step down. Thank you. We're going to take a	25	Mason, General Counsel, Dan Sherrick, and myself.
	118		120
1	few minute break and then proceed with the next witness.	1	Q. That's a total of seven direct reports to President
2	(Recess from 11:42 a.m. until 11:59 a.m.)	2	Gettelfinger?
3	THE COURT: Be seated. All right. Go ahead, Mr.	3	A. Yes.
4	Bromley.	4	Q. And you're one of them?
5	MR. BROMLEY: Good afternoon, Your Honor. James		A. Yes.
6	Bromley of Cleary Gottlieb on behalf of the United Auto	6	Q. Now, I'll come back in a minute to your duties. But could
7	Workers. The United Auto Workers call Dave Curson to the	7	you tell us a little bit about the UAW? What is the UAW?
8	stand.	8	A. The UAW is a labor organization that represents workers
9	THE CLERK: Raise your right hand.	9	across the country in all different fields. Primarily, we
10	(Witness duly sworn)	10	represent auto workers, manufacturing workers.
11	THE CLERK: Thank you.	11	Q. And how many members does the UAW have?
12	THE COURT: You may proceed.	12	A. Between 4 and 500,000 active workers and about half a
13	MR. BROMLEY: Thank you, Your Honor.	13	million retired workers.
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION	14	Q. So just to be clear, between 4 and 500,000 actives and
15	BY MR. BROMLEY:	15	about 500,000 retired?
16	Q. Could you state your full name for the Court, please?	16	A. That is correct.
17	A. David Alan Curson.	17	Q. So the UAW represents approximately a million Americans?
18	Q. Mr. Curson, who do you work for?	18	A. That is true.
19	A. I work for United Auto Workers.	19	Q. At Chrysler, how many of Chrysler's workers are
20	Q. And what is your position with the United Auto Workers?	20	represented by the UAW?
21	A. I am the director of special projects and economic	21	A. Approximately 26,000 active workers.
22	analysis for the UAW.	22	Q. And how many Chrysler retirees are represented by the UAW
23	Q. Mr. Curson, in connection with this proceeding, did you	23	A. Approximately 80,000.
24	sign a declaration?	24	Q. Do you know how many plants Chrysler has in the state of
25	A. I did.	25	Indiana?
	119		121
•			

1	A. Yes. They have four, four separate units.	1	they would be advantaged. And the others would then target
2	Q. And how many UAW represented employees are employed at		labor to take cost out. So we try to develop agreements that
3	those plants, if you know?	3	are very similar amongst the Big Three and, at the end result,
4	A. Approximately five thousand hourly employees.	4	have a very similar all-in labor cost when the contracts are
5	Q. Now you mentioned that the UAW has retired members. Why		finalized.
6	are retired members members of the UAW?	6	Q. Mr. Curson, when did you first become involved in the auto
7	A. We make a commitment to our workers through their life	7	industry?
8	that they can remain members of the UAW. Retired members hav		A. Well, I have two brothers that worked for Ford. They
9	all rights of active members except that they cannot vote for a	9	arranged an apprenticeship test for me in 1968. I took that
10	strike, they cannot vote to end a strike and they cannot vote	10	test. In the meantime, I went to the Marine Corp. As soon as
11	for a collective bargaining agreement for or against a	11	I returned from Vietnam, I got an early out. I was number one
12	collective bargaining agreement in the ratification process.	12	on the list. I was hired in the Ford Motor Company in 1970.
13	And they can't vote for elected representatives that do	13	When I graduated from my apprentice program, I was appointed t
14	grievance handling or contract administration. Other than	14	be an apprentice coordinator at my plant. That was my first
15	that, they have full membership rights in the UAW.	15	involvement with the UAW in 1973. Subsequent to that, I was
16	Q. In connection with the UAW's representation of actives and	16	elected to a number of local union offices. I was elected to
17	retirees, does the UAW negotiate with respect to retiree	17	the national bargaining committee which negotiates contracts
18	benefits?	18	with the international union, with Ford Motor Company. And in
19	A. We do negotiate with employers for retiree benefits, yes.	19	1984, after serving on that committee for two different
20	Q. I'd like to go back to your position as the director of	20	negotiation sessions, I was asked to come on international
21	special projects. Could you just briefly describe your duties	21	union staff, an appointed job, which I took. I came on in
22	in that role?	22	January of 1985. I've held numerous positions primarily in the
23	A. Primarily, my duties are to do anything that the president	23	Ford department. In 1999, I was asked by then President
24	requires. Any special thing that comes up, I often get	24	Stephen P. Yokich to become his executive assistant. I served
25	assigned to assist in researching or developing programs around 122	25	in that role until his retirement in 2002. In 2002, when our 124
	122		121
1	it. I'm responsible for the constitutional the UAW	1	new administration under President Ron Gettelfinger came in, I
2	constitutional applications including our internal appeal	2	was assigned to the special projects director position.
3	procedure, I oversee. The internal workings of the UAW is a	3	Q. So since 1999, you've been working in the office of the
4	business. I keep track and report to the president on	4	president at the UAW?
5	expenditures and those types of things of the organization.	5	A. Yes, I have.
6	And in collective bargaining, I prepare and assist in strategy	6	Q. And over the course of your career, have you been involved
7	for collective bargaining, particularly, in Big Three where we	7	in negotiating Big Three collective bargaining agreements?
8	pattern bargain. I oversee the pattern to make sure that	8	A. Yes. I have had a prominent position in every Big Three
9	contract proposals are consistent across all three of the Big	9	negotiating session since 1982.
10	Three companies. As negotiation progresses, I keep track on	10	Q. And how many of those CBAs or collective bargaining
11	those particular issues that were patterned. I develop	11	agreements have you been involved in?
12	strategies during the course of those negotiations to present	12	A. That's at least a dozen national agreement sessions. In
13	to the president for his consideration. And normally, in the	13	the latter years, in the last four or five contracts, I've
14	final days of negotiations, I actively engage in the	14	participated in all of Chrysler's, General Motors' and Ford's.
15	negotiation process with the companies to accomplish these	15	So it's a multitude of contracts.
16	goals.	16	Q. So it's fair to say that you're familiar with the
17		17	collective bargaining process for Big Three automakers?
18	Q. Now, you mentioned the Big Three. That's Ford, GM and	_ ·	concentre surguining process for Big Times unionianers.
тβ	Q. Now, you mentioned the Big Three. That's Ford, GM and Chrysler?	18	A. Yes, I am.
19			
	Chrysler?	18 19	A. Yes, I am.
19	Chrysler? A. That is correct.	18 19	A. Yes, I am. Q. And how many with respect to Chrysler of that group?
19 20	Chrysler? A. That is correct. Q. You also mentioned pattern bargaining. Could you please	18 19 20	<ul><li>A. Yes, I am.</li><li>Q. And how many with respect to Chrysler of that group?</li><li>A. At least four national agreements.</li></ul>
19 20 21	Chrysler? A. That is correct. Q. You also mentioned pattern bargaining. Could you please just describe that a little bit?	18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. And how many with respect to Chrysler of that group?</li> <li>A. At least four national agreements.</li> <li>Q. With respect to negotiating a Big Three collective</li> </ul>
19 20 21 22	Chrysler? A. That is correct. Q. You also mentioned pattern bargaining. Could you please just describe that a little bit? A. Yes. We have a philosophy in the UAW that if similar	18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. And how many with respect to Chrysler of that group?</li> <li>A. At least four national agreements.</li> <li>Q. With respect to negotiating a Big Three collective bargaining agreement, what kind of process does the UAW go</li> </ul>
19 20 21 22 23	Chrysler? A. That is correct. Q. You also mentioned pattern bargaining. Could you please just describe that a little bit? A. Yes. We have a philosophy in the UAW that if similar employers have the same labor costs, they won't be competing	18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. And how many with respect to Chrysler of that group?</li> <li>A. At least four national agreements.</li> <li>Q. With respect to negotiating a Big Three collective bargaining agreement, what kind of process does the UAW go through?</li> </ul>

1	agreements. And all UAW members participate in that to the	1	health care from the company and put it into a VEBA.
2	delegate system.	2	Q. And was that taking the retiree obligations off of
3	When we're preparing for a Big Three bargaining session,	3	Chrysler's balance sheet? Is that the intention of it?
4	when contracts are about to expire, we call in the local union	4	A. That is correct, to take it off their books.
5	counsels which create a national counsel. And General Motors,	5	Q. Now was it a challenge to get the 2007 collective
6	Ford and Chrysler each have their own separate counsel. They	6	bargaining agreement ratified?
7	develop their own specific proposals for contract negotiations	7	A. It was one of the most difficult that I've ever
8	that are pretty much parochial to their own companies. And	8	participated in.
9	then we, in the international union, we develop proposals that	9	Q. And why was that?
10	we want to pattern, maybe a health care proposal or a wage	10	A. Because retiree health care in our union is a major, major
11	proposal or a cost of living proposal. And we develop those,	11	issue. People were very unknowledgeable of the VEBA. It was
12	the local the national counsel from the local unions adopt	12	something brand new. In order for the VEBA to be successful,
13	that strategy and then we engage in negotiations with all three	13	there was a payment schedule and things that our membership
14	companies. We sort out who is advancing our causes. And ther	14	just simply didn't understand. And there wasn't trust that it
15	we normally go to that company to lead in negotiations. When	15	could actually carry out the huge task of providing health care
16	we settle with that company, we pattern that agreement to the	16	benefits for our retirees. It got great debate in the
17	other two. That's the standard process that we use.	17	ratification process. And that agreement only passed by one or
18	Q. So once you reach a tentative agreement, how does that	18	two percentage points in ratification, one of the closest ones
19	agreement go from the negotiation table to approval?	19	I've ever been involved in.
20	A. When the negotiating committee reaches a tentative	20	Q. Was it the union's idea to create the VEBA?
21	agreement, we, by constitution, have to bring the counsels back	21	A. The VEBAs were created in around 2004. Ford Motor Company
22	in that represent the local unions and present it to them.	22	and General Motors came to us and wanted to eliminate retiree
23	They adopt it or they don't adopt it. It has to be presented	23	health care. They said that carrying that huge obligation on
24	to them. After that process is completed, we take it to the	24	their books would ultimately force them to bankruptcy, that
25	membership and the individual members have a vote to either	25	they couldn't loans, they didn't have cash to run their
	126		128
1	Control of the contro	1	
1	ratify the agreement or to not ratify the agreement. During	1 2	operations because of this huge obligation. We told them that
2	that process, we set up individual explanatory meetings at	3	we wouldn't eliminate it. They came with a suggestion to
	every single local union. We send somebody knowledgeable,	4	transfer to a VEBA. They had, at the time, an internal VEBA.
4 5	either from the national bargaining committee or from the	5	They wanted to transfer all of those obligations out off their
6	international union, into those locations to explain the changes in the agreement. Any new items in the agreement, we		books into an external VEBA. We didn't know what a VEBA was
7		7	We hired experts from New York to come in first to go through
	try to have total transparency in what is changed and what has		the books to ensure that General Motors and Ford were telling
8	new. And then the locals cast their votes and either give us a	8	us the truth about their liquidity, about their ability to
9	ratification or a rejection.		survive. Our experts told us that either company would make it
10	Q. Now were you involved in the negotiation of the collective	10	if they had to continue carrying this liability on their books
11	bargaining agreement with Chrysler that was put in place prior	11	and suggested that the VEBA, under the right payment schedule,
12	to the one that is in existence right now?	12	could survive and could provide a level of benefits that would
13	A. Yes. That would have been in 2007.	13	be acceptable to us and our retirees.
14		14	So in 2005, we initiated that process with Ford and
	Q. And were you involved in the ratification of that	1 -	C IM A ALL C CL I CILD I
15	agreement?	15	General Motors. At the time, Chrysler was still Daimler
15 16	agreement? A. I was.	16	Chrysler. They came to us and wanted the same deal under the
15 16 17	agreement?  A. I was.  Q. And were you involved in the ratification of the current	16 17	Chrysler. They came to us and wanted the same deal under the guise of pattern bargaining. But Daimler refused to let our
15 16 17 18	agreement?  A. I was.  Q. And were you involved in the ratification of the current collective bargaining agreement?	16 17 18	Chrysler. They came to us and wanted the same deal under the guise of pattern bargaining. But Daimler refused to let our hired consultants review their books. And absent our ability
15 16 17 18 19	agreement?  A. I was.  Q. And were you involved in the ratification of the current collective bargaining agreement?  A. I was.	16 17 18 19	Chrysler. They came to us and wanted the same deal under the guise of pattern bargaining. But Daimler refused to let our hired consultants review their books. And absent our ability to know that they were seriously in financial trouble, we
15 16 17 18 19 20	agreement? A. I was. Q. And were you involved in the ratification of the current collective bargaining agreement? A. I was. Q. Are you familiar with the terms of the 2007 collective	16 17 18 19 20	Chrysler. They came to us and wanted the same deal under the guise of pattern bargaining. But Daimler refused to let our hired consultants review their books. And absent our ability to know that they were seriously in financial trouble, we refused to negotiate. And then, finally, in 2007, Chrysler
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	agreement?  A. I was.  Q. And were you involved in the ratification of the current collective bargaining agreement?  A. I was.  Q. Are you familiar with the terms of the 2007 collective bargaining agreement?	16 17 18 19 20 21	Chrysler. They came to us and wanted the same deal under the guise of pattern bargaining. But Daimler refused to let our hired consultants review their books. And absent our ability to know that they were seriously in financial trouble, we refused to negotiate. And then, finally, in 2007, Chrysler opened their books to us. We did a similar review with them,
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	agreement?  A. I was.  Q. And were you involved in the ratification of the current collective bargaining agreement?  A. I was.  Q. Are you familiar with the terms of the 2007 collective bargaining agreement?  A. I am.	16 17 18 19 20 21	Chrysler. They came to us and wanted the same deal under the guise of pattern bargaining. But Daimler refused to let our hired consultants review their books. And absent our ability to know that they were seriously in financial trouble, we refused to negotiate. And then, finally, in 2007, Chrysler opened their books to us. We did a similar review with them, determined they, too, were in just as dire straits as the other
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	agreement?  A. I was.  Q. And were you involved in the ratification of the current collective bargaining agreement?  A. I was.  Q. Are you familiar with the terms of the 2007 collective bargaining agreement?  A. I am.  Q. Now did that collective bargaining agreement change	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Chrysler. They came to us and wanted the same deal under the guise of pattern bargaining. But Daimler refused to let our hired consultants review their books. And absent our ability to know that they were seriously in financial trouble, we refused to negotiate. And then, finally, in 2007, Chrysler opened their books to us. We did a similar review with them, determined they, too, were in just as dire straits as the other companies. And we agreed to negotiate the VEBA in the 2007
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	agreement?  A. I was.  Q. And were you involved in the ratification of the current collective bargaining agreement?  A. I was.  Q. Are you familiar with the terms of the 2007 collective bargaining agreement?  A. I am.  Q. Now did that collective bargaining agreement change anything with respect to retiree medical benefits?	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Chrysler. They came to us and wanted the same deal under the guise of pattern bargaining. But Daimler refused to let our hired consultants review their books. And absent our ability to know that they were seriously in financial trouble, we refused to negotiate. And then, finally, in 2007, Chrysler opened their books to us. We did a similar review with them, determined they, too, were in just as dire straits as the other companies. And we agreed to negotiate the VEBA in the 2007 negotiations.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	agreement?  A. I was.  Q. And were you involved in the ratification of the current collective bargaining agreement?  A. I was.  Q. Are you familiar with the terms of the 2007 collective bargaining agreement?  A. I am.  Q. Now did that collective bargaining agreement change	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Chrysler. They came to us and wanted the same deal under the guise of pattern bargaining. But Daimler refused to let our hired consultants review their books. And absent our ability to know that they were seriously in financial trouble, we refused to negotiate. And then, finally, in 2007, Chrysler opened their books to us. We did a similar review with them, determined they, too, were in just as dire straits as the other companies. And we agreed to negotiate the VEBA in the 2007

1	care obligations to be transferred was a concession on the part	1	accomplish the necessary loans to keep their companies viable.
2	of the union?	2	Q. But is it fair to say that those companies were also
3	A. It was a huge concession. It was the first time ever that	3	looking for those concessions over the prior negotiations with
4	we had asked our retirees to pay any substantial co-pays on	4	respect to collective bargaining agreements?
5	their health care benefits.	5	A. Those companies were looking for those concessions since
6	Q. And prior to the VEBA discussions, all of the health care	6	I've been involved in the business.
7	obligations were obligations of the companies, is that right?	7	Q. So with respect to the February 17th submission to the
8	A. That is correct.	8	government, did the UAW reach a tentative agreement with
9	Q. Were you involved shifting gears a little bit, bringing	9	Chrysler?
10	us into 2009. Mr. Curson, have you been involved in	10	A. We did. We reached a tentative agreement just prior to
11	negotiations with respect to the collective bargaining	11	the February 17th date after a long and difficult bargaining.
12	agreements with Chrysler since January of 2009?	12	As I said earlier, I believe we believed that it met the
13	A. I have.	13	standards called for by the terms of the loan agreement. And
14	Q. Could you describe that involvement?	14	we initialed off that particular agreement. Chrysler also
15	A. I've played a prominent role in the negotiations of	15	agreed that we met those terms.
16	Chrysler and Ford and General Motors collective bargaining	16	Q. And so there were concessions given in connection with the
17	agreements since the government came out with terms for the	17	collective bargaining agreement then?
18	loan agreement, a bridge loan agreement, that demanded that the	18	A. There was.
19	companies' labor agreements be competitive with the transplants		Q. And were there concessions given with respect to the VEBA
20	in the United States.	20	as well?
21	Q. So let's focusing first on the January and February	21	A. There was.
22	time frame, could you describe your involvements in any	22	Q. And were both the concessions with respect to the VEBA and
23	negotiations relating to collective bargaining agreements?	23	the collective bargaining agreement tied together?
24	A. Yes. As I just said, the term requirements for the bridge	24	A. Oh, unquestionably. They were both required components of
25	loans to General Motors and to Chrysler stated targets,	25	a collective bargaining agreement that we had to have before we
	130		132
1	established targets, that they had to meet in order to present	1	would present it to the membership.
1 2	established targets, that they had to meet in order to present a viability plan to the government by February 17th that	1 2	would present it to the membership.  Q. So in February of 2009, when you reached a tentative
2	a viability plan to the government by February 17th that	2	Q. So in February of 2009, when you reached a tentative
2	a viability plan to the government by February 17th that established that their labor agreements were competitive with	2	Q. So in February of 2009, when you reached a tentative agreement with Chrysler on the collective bargaining agreement,
2 3 4	a viability plan to the government by February 17th that established that their labor agreements were competitive with the active workforce with the foreign transplants that in	2 3 4	Q. So in February of 2009, when you reached a tentative agreement with Chrysler on the collective bargaining agreement, is it fair to say that you required also there to be a
2 3 4 5	a viability plan to the government by February 17th that established that their labor agreements were competitive with the active workforce with the foreign transplants that in the U.S. operations. So that was a blend of Toyota, Nissan and	2 3 4 5	Q. So in February of 2009, when you reached a tentative agreement with Chrysler on the collective bargaining agreement, is it fair to say that you required also there to be a satisfactory agreement with respect to the VEBA?
2 3 4 5 6	a viability plan to the government by February 17th that established that their labor agreements were competitive with the active workforce with the foreign transplants that in the U.S. operations. So that was a blend of Toyota, Nissan and Honda, foreign operations that are operating in the United	2 3 4 5	Q. So in February of 2009, when you reached a tentative agreement with Chrysler on the collective bargaining agreement, is it fair to say that you required also there to be a satisfactory agreement with respect to the VEBA?  A. Without a question.
2 3 4 5 6 7	a viability plan to the government by February 17th that established that their labor agreements were competitive with the active workforce with the foreign transplants that in the U.S. operations. So that was a blend of Toyota, Nissan and Honda, foreign operations that are operating in the United States.	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. So in February of 2009, when you reached a tentative agreement with Chrysler on the collective bargaining agreement, is it fair to say that you required also there to be a satisfactory agreement with respect to the VEBA?</li> <li>A. Without a question.</li> <li>Q. Now did that tentative agreement get taken to the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a viability plan to the government by February 17th that established that their labor agreements were competitive with the active workforce with the foreign transplants that in the U.S. operations. So that was a blend of Toyota, Nissan and Honda, foreign operations that are operating in the United States.  So internally, we developed our strategies in what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. So in February of 2009, when you reached a tentative agreement with Chrysler on the collective bargaining agreement, is it fair to say that you required also there to be a satisfactory agreement with respect to the VEBA?</li> <li>A. Without a question.</li> <li>Q. Now did that tentative agreement get taken to the membership for ratification?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a viability plan to the government by February 17th that established that their labor agreements were competitive with the active workforce with the foreign transplants that in the U.S. operations. So that was a blend of Toyota, Nissan and Honda, foreign operations that are operating in the United States.  So internally, we developed our strategies in what particular areas of the collective bargaining agreement that where we could reduce cost, provide concessions and still	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. So in February of 2009, when you reached a tentative agreement with Chrysler on the collective bargaining agreement, is it fair to say that you required also there to be a satisfactory agreement with respect to the VEBA?</li> <li>A. Without a question.</li> <li>Q. Now did that tentative agreement get taken to the membership for ratification?</li> <li>A. It did not.</li> <li>Q. And why was that?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a viability plan to the government by February 17th that established that their labor agreements were competitive with the active workforce with the foreign transplants that in the U.S. operations. So that was a blend of Toyota, Nissan and Honda, foreign operations that are operating in the United States.  So internally, we developed our strategies in what particular areas of the collective bargaining agreement that where we could reduce cost, provide concessions and still maintain our central principles of the agreement.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. So in February of 2009, when you reached a tentative agreement with Chrysler on the collective bargaining agreement, is it fair to say that you required also there to be a satisfactory agreement with respect to the VEBA?</li> <li>A. Without a question.</li> <li>Q. Now did that tentative agreement get taken to the membership for ratification?</li> <li>A. It did not.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	a viability plan to the government by February 17th that established that their labor agreements were competitive with the active workforce with the foreign transplants that in the U.S. operations. So that was a blend of Toyota, Nissan and Honda, foreign operations that are operating in the United States.  So internally, we developed our strategies in what particular areas of the collective bargaining agreement that where we could reduce cost, provide concessions and still maintain our central principles of the agreement.  We did that. We engaged in negotiations first at Ford.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. So in February of 2009, when you reached a tentative agreement with Chrysler on the collective bargaining agreement, is it fair to say that you required also there to be a satisfactory agreement with respect to the VEBA?</li> <li>A. Without a question.</li> <li>Q. Now did that tentative agreement get taken to the membership for ratification?</li> <li>A. It did not.</li> <li>Q. And why was that?</li> <li>A. It wasn't taken because we were not sure that the government would accept that labor agreement as we did as being</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	a viability plan to the government by February 17th that established that their labor agreements were competitive with the active workforce with the foreign transplants that in the U.S. operations. So that was a blend of Toyota, Nissan and Honda, foreign operations that are operating in the United States.  So internally, we developed our strategies in what particular areas of the collective bargaining agreement that where we could reduce cost, provide concessions and still maintain our central principles of the agreement.  We did that. We engaged in negotiations first at Ford.  And we made concessions at Ford that brought our agreements	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. So in February of 2009, when you reached a tentative agreement with Chrysler on the collective bargaining agreement, is it fair to say that you required also there to be a satisfactory agreement with respect to the VEBA?</li> <li>A. Without a question.</li> <li>Q. Now did that tentative agreement get taken to the membership for ratification?</li> <li>A. It did not.</li> <li>Q. And why was that?</li> <li>A. It wasn't taken because we were not sure that the government would accept that labor agreement as we did as being competitive and meeting the terms. We were waiting until that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a viability plan to the government by February 17th that established that their labor agreements were competitive with the active workforce with the foreign transplants that in the U.S. operations. So that was a blend of Toyota, Nissan and Honda, foreign operations that are operating in the United States.  So internally, we developed our strategies in what particular areas of the collective bargaining agreement that where we could reduce cost, provide concessions and still maintain our central principles of the agreement.  We did that. We engaged in negotiations first at Ford. And we made concessions at Ford that brought our agreements competitive in our mind with the transplants and complied with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. So in February of 2009, when you reached a tentative agreement with Chrysler on the collective bargaining agreement, is it fair to say that you required also there to be a satisfactory agreement with respect to the VEBA?</li> <li>A. Without a question.</li> <li>Q. Now did that tentative agreement get taken to the membership for ratification?</li> <li>A. It did not.</li> <li>Q. And why was that?</li> <li>A. It wasn't taken because we were not sure that the government would accept that labor agreement as we did as being competitive and meeting the terms. We were waiting until that tentative agreement was folded into Chrysler's viability plan</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	a viability plan to the government by February 17th that established that their labor agreements were competitive with the active workforce with the foreign transplants that in the U.S. operations. So that was a blend of Toyota, Nissan and Honda, foreign operations that are operating in the United States.  So internally, we developed our strategies in what particular areas of the collective bargaining agreement that where we could reduce cost, provide concessions and still maintain our central principles of the agreement.  We did that. We engaged in negotiations first at Ford. And we made concessions at Ford that brought our agreements competitive in our mind with the transplants and complied with the targets set forth in the loan agreement terms. When we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. So in February of 2009, when you reached a tentative agreement with Chrysler on the collective bargaining agreement, is it fair to say that you required also there to be a satisfactory agreement with respect to the VEBA?</li> <li>A. Without a question.</li> <li>Q. Now did that tentative agreement get taken to the membership for ratification?</li> <li>A. It did not.</li> <li>Q. And why was that?</li> <li>A. It wasn't taken because we were not sure that the government would accept that labor agreement as we did as being competitive and meeting the terms. We were waiting until that tentative agreement was folded into Chrysler's viability plan that they submitted to the federal government. And rather than</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a viability plan to the government by February 17th that established that their labor agreements were competitive with the active workforce with the foreign transplants that in the U.S. operations. So that was a blend of Toyota, Nissan and Honda, foreign operations that are operating in the United States.  So internally, we developed our strategies in what particular areas of the collective bargaining agreement that where we could reduce cost, provide concessions and still maintain our central principles of the agreement.  We did that. We engaged in negotiations first at Ford. And we made concessions at Ford that brought our agreements competitive in our mind with the transplants and complied with the targets set forth in the loan agreement terms. When we completed with Ford and set the pattern, then we took the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. So in February of 2009, when you reached a tentative agreement with Chrysler on the collective bargaining agreement, is it fair to say that you required also there to be a satisfactory agreement with respect to the VEBA?</li> <li>A. Without a question.</li> <li>Q. Now did that tentative agreement get taken to the membership for ratification?</li> <li>A. It did not.</li> <li>Q. And why was that?</li> <li>A. It wasn't taken because we were not sure that the government would accept that labor agreement as we did as being competitive and meeting the terms. We were waiting until that tentative agreement was folded into Chrysler's viability plan that they submitted to the federal government. And rather than take it to ratification, either get a ratification or a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a viability plan to the government by February 17th that established that their labor agreements were competitive with the active workforce with the foreign transplants that in the U.S. operations. So that was a blend of Toyota, Nissan and Honda, foreign operations that are operating in the United States.  So internally, we developed our strategies in what particular areas of the collective bargaining agreement that where we could reduce cost, provide concessions and still maintain our central principles of the agreement.  We did that. We engaged in negotiations first at Ford. And we made concessions at Ford that brought our agreements competitive in our mind with the transplants and complied with the targets set forth in the loan agreement terms. When we completed with Ford and set the pattern, then we took the pattern to General Motors and Chrysler and negotiated around	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. So in February of 2009, when you reached a tentative agreement with Chrysler on the collective bargaining agreement, is it fair to say that you required also there to be a satisfactory agreement with respect to the VEBA?</li> <li>A. Without a question.</li> <li>Q. Now did that tentative agreement get taken to the membership for ratification?</li> <li>A. It did not.</li> <li>Q. And why was that?</li> <li>A. It wasn't taken because we were not sure that the government would accept that labor agreement as we did as being competitive and meeting the terms. We were waiting until that tentative agreement was folded into Chrysler's viability plan that they submitted to the federal government. And rather than take it to ratification, either get a ratification or a rejection and then have the government say well, you still have</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a viability plan to the government by February 17th that established that their labor agreements were competitive with the active workforce with the foreign transplants that in the U.S. operations. So that was a blend of Toyota, Nissan and Honda, foreign operations that are operating in the United States.  So internally, we developed our strategies in what particular areas of the collective bargaining agreement that where we could reduce cost, provide concessions and still maintain our central principles of the agreement.  We did that. We engaged in negotiations first at Ford. And we made concessions at Ford that brought our agreements competitive in our mind with the transplants and complied with the targets set forth in the loan agreement terms. When we completed with Ford and set the pattern, then we took the pattern to General Motors and Chrysler and negotiated around that pattern to complete a deal that we thought the labor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. So in February of 2009, when you reached a tentative agreement with Chrysler on the collective bargaining agreement, is it fair to say that you required also there to be a satisfactory agreement with respect to the VEBA?  A. Without a question.  Q. Now did that tentative agreement get taken to the membership for ratification?  A. It did not.  Q. And why was that?  A. It wasn't taken because we were not sure that the government would accept that labor agreement as we did as being competitive and meeting the terms. We were waiting until that tentative agreement was folded into Chrysler's viability plan that they submitted to the federal government. And rather than take it to ratification, either get a ratification or a rejection and then have the government say well, you still have to do more, we waited until the government made their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a viability plan to the government by February 17th that established that their labor agreements were competitive with the active workforce with the foreign transplants that in the U.S. operations. So that was a blend of Toyota, Nissan and Honda, foreign operations that are operating in the United States.  So internally, we developed our strategies in what particular areas of the collective bargaining agreement that where we could reduce cost, provide concessions and still maintain our central principles of the agreement.  We did that. We engaged in negotiations first at Ford. And we made concessions at Ford that brought our agreements competitive in our mind with the transplants and complied with the targets set forth in the loan agreement terms. When we completed with Ford and set the pattern, then we took the pattern to General Motors and Chrysler and negotiated around that pattern to complete a deal that we thought the labor agreement complied with the terms of the loan agreement.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. So in February of 2009, when you reached a tentative agreement with Chrysler on the collective bargaining agreement, is it fair to say that you required also there to be a satisfactory agreement with respect to the VEBA?  A. Without a question.  Q. Now did that tentative agreement get taken to the membership for ratification?  A. It did not.  Q. And why was that?  A. It wasn't taken because we were not sure that the government would accept that labor agreement as we did as being competitive and meeting the terms. We were waiting until that tentative agreement was folded into Chrysler's viability plan that they submitted to the federal government. And rather than take it to ratification, either get a ratification or a rejection and then have the government say well, you still have to do more, we waited until the government made their declaration before we took it to the membership.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a viability plan to the government by February 17th that established that their labor agreements were competitive with the active workforce with the foreign transplants that in the U.S. operations. So that was a blend of Toyota, Nissan and Honda, foreign operations that are operating in the United States.  So internally, we developed our strategies in what particular areas of the collective bargaining agreement that where we could reduce cost, provide concessions and still maintain our central principles of the agreement.  We did that. We engaged in negotiations first at Ford. And we made concessions at Ford that brought our agreements competitive in our mind with the transplants and complied with the targets set forth in the loan agreement terms. When we completed with Ford and set the pattern, then we took the pattern to General Motors and Chrysler and negotiated around that pattern to complete a deal that we thought the labor agreement complied with the terms of the loan agreement.  Q. Now, those targets in the loan agreement that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. So in February of 2009, when you reached a tentative agreement with Chrysler on the collective bargaining agreement, is it fair to say that you required also there to be a satisfactory agreement with respect to the VEBA?  A. Without a question.  Q. Now did that tentative agreement get taken to the membership for ratification?  A. It did not.  Q. And why was that?  A. It wasn't taken because we were not sure that the government would accept that labor agreement as we did as being competitive and meeting the terms. We were waiting until that tentative agreement was folded into Chrysler's viability plan that they submitted to the federal government. And rather than take it to ratification, either get a ratification or a rejection and then have the government say well, you still have to do more, we waited until the government made their declaration before we took it to the membership.  Q. And the government's declaration, that was with respect to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a viability plan to the government by February 17th that established that their labor agreements were competitive with the active workforce with the foreign transplants that in the U.S. operations. So that was a blend of Toyota, Nissan and Honda, foreign operations that are operating in the United States.  So internally, we developed our strategies in what particular areas of the collective bargaining agreement that where we could reduce cost, provide concessions and still maintain our central principles of the agreement.  We did that. We engaged in negotiations first at Ford. And we made concessions at Ford that brought our agreements competitive in our mind with the transplants and complied with the targets set forth in the loan agreement terms. When we completed with Ford and set the pattern, then we took the pattern to General Motors and Chrysler and negotiated around that pattern to complete a deal that we thought the labor agreement complied with the terms of the loan agreement.  Q. Now, those targets in the loan agreement that you referenced, was it the first time that the UAW had heard about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. So in February of 2009, when you reached a tentative agreement with Chrysler on the collective bargaining agreement, is it fair to say that you required also there to be a satisfactory agreement with respect to the VEBA?  A. Without a question.  Q. Now did that tentative agreement get taken to the membership for ratification?  A. It did not.  Q. And why was that?  A. It wasn't taken because we were not sure that the government would accept that labor agreement as we did as being competitive and meeting the terms. We were waiting until that tentative agreement was folded into Chrysler's viability plan that they submitted to the federal government. And rather than take it to ratification, either get a ratification or a rejection and then have the government say well, you still have to do more, we waited until the government made their declaration before we took it to the membership.  Q. And the government's declaration, that was with respect to the overall viability plan, is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a viability plan to the government by February 17th that established that their labor agreements were competitive with the active workforce with the foreign transplants that in the U.S. operations. So that was a blend of Toyota, Nissan and Honda, foreign operations that are operating in the United States.  So internally, we developed our strategies in what particular areas of the collective bargaining agreement that where we could reduce cost, provide concessions and still maintain our central principles of the agreement.  We did that. We engaged in negotiations first at Ford. And we made concessions at Ford that brought our agreements competitive in our mind with the transplants and complied with the targets set forth in the loan agreement terms. When we completed with Ford and set the pattern, then we took the pattern to General Motors and Chrysler and negotiated around that pattern to complete a deal that we thought the labor agreement complied with the terms of the loan agreement.  Q. Now, those targets in the loan agreement that you referenced, was it the first time that the UAW had heard about those desires? Was it from the government?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. So in February of 2009, when you reached a tentative agreement with Chrysler on the collective bargaining agreement, is it fair to say that you required also there to be a satisfactory agreement with respect to the VEBA?  A. Without a question.  Q. Now did that tentative agreement get taken to the membership for ratification?  A. It did not.  Q. And why was that?  A. It wasn't taken because we were not sure that the government would accept that labor agreement as we did as being competitive and meeting the terms. We were waiting until that tentative agreement was folded into Chrysler's viability plan that they submitted to the federal government. And rather than take it to ratification, either get a ratification or a rejection and then have the government say well, you still have to do more, we waited until the government made their declaration before we took it to the membership.  Q. And the government's declaration, that was with respect to the overall viability plan, is that right?  A. That is correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a viability plan to the government by February 17th that established that their labor agreements were competitive with the active workforce with the foreign transplants that in the U.S. operations. So that was a blend of Toyota, Nissan and Honda, foreign operations that are operating in the United States.  So internally, we developed our strategies in what particular areas of the collective bargaining agreement that where we could reduce cost, provide concessions and still maintain our central principles of the agreement.  We did that. We engaged in negotiations first at Ford. And we made concessions at Ford that brought our agreements competitive in our mind with the transplants and complied with the targets set forth in the loan agreement terms. When we completed with Ford and set the pattern, then we took the pattern to General Motors and Chrysler and negotiated around that pattern to complete a deal that we thought the labor agreement complied with the terms of the loan agreement.  Q. Now, those targets in the loan agreement that you referenced, was it the first time that the UAW had heard about those desires? Was it from the government?  A. The first time was when Chrysler and General Motors	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. So in February of 2009, when you reached a tentative agreement with Chrysler on the collective bargaining agreement, is it fair to say that you required also there to be a satisfactory agreement with respect to the VEBA?  A. Without a question.  Q. Now did that tentative agreement get taken to the membership for ratification?  A. It did not.  Q. And why was that?  A. It wasn't taken because we were not sure that the government would accept that labor agreement as we did as being competitive and meeting the terms. We were waiting until that tentative agreement was folded into Chrysler's viability plan that they submitted to the federal government. And rather than take it to ratification, either get a ratification or a rejection and then have the government say well, you still have to do more, we waited until the government made their declaration before we took it to the membership.  Q. And the government's declaration, that was with respect to the overall viability plan, is that right?  A. That is correct.  Q. Now, in connection with the January and February
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a viability plan to the government by February 17th that established that their labor agreements were competitive with the active workforce with the foreign transplants that in the U.S. operations. So that was a blend of Toyota, Nissan and Honda, foreign operations that are operating in the United States.  So internally, we developed our strategies in what particular areas of the collective bargaining agreement that where we could reduce cost, provide concessions and still maintain our central principles of the agreement.  We did that. We engaged in negotiations first at Ford. And we made concessions at Ford that brought our agreements competitive in our mind with the transplants and complied with the targets set forth in the loan agreement terms. When we completed with Ford and set the pattern, then we took the pattern to General Motors and Chrysler and negotiated around that pattern to complete a deal that we thought the labor agreement complied with the terms of the loan agreement.  Q. Now, those targets in the loan agreement that you referenced, was it the first time that the UAW had heard about those desires? Was it from the government?  A. The first time was when Chrysler and General Motors received those documents. They forwarded them to us and told	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. So in February of 2009, when you reached a tentative agreement with Chrysler on the collective bargaining agreement, is it fair to say that you required also there to be a satisfactory agreement with respect to the VEBA?  A. Without a question.  Q. Now did that tentative agreement get taken to the membership for ratification?  A. It did not.  Q. And why was that?  A. It wasn't taken because we were not sure that the government would accept that labor agreement as we did as being competitive and meeting the terms. We were waiting until that tentative agreement was folded into Chrysler's viability plan that they submitted to the federal government. And rather than take it to ratification, either get a ratification or a rejection and then have the government say well, you still have to do more, we waited until the government made their declaration before we took it to the membership.  Q. And the government's declaration, that was with respect to the overall viability plan, is that right?  A. That is correct.  Q. Now, in connection with the January and February negotiations, who was the UAW negotiating with?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a viability plan to the government by February 17th that established that their labor agreements were competitive with the active workforce with the foreign transplants that in the U.S. operations. So that was a blend of Toyota, Nissan and Honda, foreign operations that are operating in the United States.  So internally, we developed our strategies in what particular areas of the collective bargaining agreement that where we could reduce cost, provide concessions and still maintain our central principles of the agreement.  We did that. We engaged in negotiations first at Ford. And we made concessions at Ford that brought our agreements competitive in our mind with the transplants and complied with the targets set forth in the loan agreement terms. When we completed with Ford and set the pattern, then we took the pattern to General Motors and Chrysler and negotiated around that pattern to complete a deal that we thought the labor agreement complied with the terms of the loan agreement.  Q. Now, those targets in the loan agreement that you referenced, was it the first time that the UAW had heard about those desires? Was it from the government?  A. The first time was when Chrysler and General Motors	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. So in February of 2009, when you reached a tentative agreement with Chrysler on the collective bargaining agreement, is it fair to say that you required also there to be a satisfactory agreement with respect to the VEBA?  A. Without a question.  Q. Now did that tentative agreement get taken to the membership for ratification?  A. It did not.  Q. And why was that?  A. It wasn't taken because we were not sure that the government would accept that labor agreement as we did as being competitive and meeting the terms. We were waiting until that tentative agreement was folded into Chrysler's viability plan that they submitted to the federal government. And rather than take it to ratification, either get a ratification or a rejection and then have the government say well, you still have to do more, we waited until the government made their declaration before we took it to the membership.  Q. And the government's declaration, that was with respect to the overall viability plan, is that right?  A. That is correct.  Q. Now, in connection with the January and February

1	Q. And who at Chrysler in particular?	1	Q. So you mentioned the name Case New Holland. What is Case
2	A. I'm sorry?	2	New Holland?
3	Q. Who at Chrysler in particular? Who was the person at	3	A. Case New Holland makes tractors and other agricultural
4	A. Who at Chrysler? Who did we face off with?	4	implements. I believe they're located in Wisconsin. We do
5	Q. Yes.	5	represent their workforce. And it's a wholly owned subsidiary
6	A. Al Icabelli (ph.) led their negotiations, his staff, his	6	of Fiat.
7	research people. The same people that we normally deal with	7	Q. In those small group sessions, was there anyone from the
8	Tom LaSorda made some appearances on some issues. It was		United States government participating?
9	pretty much the standard negotiations that we've engaged in	9	A. Never.
10	many times.	10	Q. And who for the UAW was in that small group session?
11	Q. An were there representatives of the U.S. government in	11	A. General Holiefield, who is the vice president of the UAW
12	those negotiations?	12	assigned over the Chrysler department; Gary Mason, who is
13	A. None.	13	President Gettelfinger's executive assistant, and myself.
14	Q. Now, after you heard that the auto task force had rejected	14	Q. Now you also mentioned that there was a team working on
15	Chrysler's sustainability plan, did the UAW reopen labor	15	the VEBA. Who was involved in that team?
16	negotiations with Chrysler?	16	A. Our president, President Gettelfinger, our general
17	A. We did.	17	counsel, Dan Sherrick, and a team that was brought in from an
18	Q. And when did that occur?	18	outside consultant named Lazard. And there was some lawyers
19	A. Soon after March the 30th.	19	from Clearly that was involved. I'm not quite sure what their
20	Q. And were you involved in those negotiations?	20	role was.
21	A. I was.	21	Q. Very important, I'm sure.
22	Q. Could you describe that involvement, please?	22	A. Yeah. It must have been.
23	A. We were summoned to Washington, D.C. We, at the time		THE COURT: Was that a question?
24	still believed that our involvement, that the agreement that we		MR. BROMLEY: It's an aspirational statement, Your
25	had settled in February, met the target set forth by the  134	25	Honor. 136
	131		130
1	government. When we got to Washington, we were told that there	1	A. And that was our VEBA team. And we negotiated
2	was a serious suitor it was Fiat to be a partner with	2	simultaneously.
3	Chrysler, that Fiat had some requirements in the collective	3	Q. Mr. Curson, was the collective bargaining team and the
4	bargaining agreement that weren't acceptable, that if they were	4	VEBA team talking to each other during this period?
5	going to be a partner, we would have to address those issues.	5	A. Certainly. We talked about progress and where we were at
6	There was some question about if we were competitive with the	6	and where we needed to go.
7	foreign transplants. We had basically targeted what's called	7	Q. So in connection with negotiation the collective
8	the northern band of transplants. It's the most northern	8	bargaining agreement, were you also concerned about the
9	transplant location that pay higher rates than their more	9	negotiations with respect to the VEBA?
10	southern transplant locations. There was we were told to be	10	A. Without a question. Both components had to be there for
11	competitive. We had to even take more costs out of our rates.	11	us to have an agreement.
12	So we engaged in intense bargaining in a very small group of	12	Q. Now, the VEBA relates to retiree medical benefits. Why
13	trying to comply with those requests. And at the same time, we	13	are they important to the UAW?
14	had a VEBA team that was engaged in trying to renegotiate the	14	A. Well, again, it's our long term tradition that we have
15	VEBA.	15	always tried to take care of our retirees' health care. Our
16	Q. So in the small team relating to the collective bargaining	16	actives, we believe, would not endorse or ratify an agreement
17	agreements, you mentioned that there were certain requirements	17	that didn't provide a certain level of retiree health care
18	announced by Fiat. Who was representing Fiat in connection	18	because each of our actives will one day be a retiree. Those
19 20	with this?  A. There was two ladies that worked for Case New Holland in	19 20	benefits directly impact their own lives, their own futures.
21	A. There was two ladies that worked for Case New Holland in the United States. It's a wholly owned subsidiary of Fiat. I	20	Q. So when the but the collective bargaining agreements when they're brought out to the membership, I think you said
22	can recall their names other than Linda and Anna. I'd have to	22	they're not voted on by the retirees, is that true?
23	look my records up to find their last names. They represented	23	A. The retirees cannot vote on a collective bargaining
24	Fiat at the negotiating table. And Al Icabelli, again from	24	agreement.
25	Chrysler, represented Chrysler.	25	Q. But nevertheless, it's important for the 26,000 actives
1 .	- J	ı -	The second secon
	135		137

benefit that retirees are taken care of? 1 2 2 A. Without a question. Q. So did there come a time in April of 2009 that an 3 Q. Now you mentioned that you wouldn't have recommended 3 agreement was reached, a tentative agreement, between the UAW approval of a collective bargaining agreement if there wasn't 4 and Chrysler with respect to both the level of retiree benefits 5 retiree medical benefits also taken care of. Now what do you 5 and with respect to the collective bargaining agreements? 6 mean by that? 6 There was. 7 7 A. In the ratification process, the international executive Q. And did the UAW leadership take that to the membership for 8 8 board also has to adopt the agreement before it's presented to ratification? 9 the membership. And in my own personal opinion, I don't 9 A. We did. 10 10 believe any member of our international union would have Q. And were you involved in that? 11 recommended to the board or to the membership a ratification 11 12 vote of an agreement that did not provide a certain level of 12 Q. What particularly did you do in connection with that 13 13 benefits for our retired workers. ratification process? 14 MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, can I just ask for a point of 14 A. Initially, I spoke -- as I said earlier, we bring in 15 15 leadership from -- elected leadership from any local in the clarification from the Court? 16 THE COURT: Go ahead 16 system and we present the agreement there first. I spoke at 17 MR. ZAKIA: We'd make an objection to this testimony 17 that ratification -- at that leadership meeting. It's called a 18 to the extent it's being offered for the truth of any of the 18 National Chrysler Council. And I explained portions of the 19 matters asserted with regard to what his opinion is. To the 19 agreement and took questions on the agreement. And then some 20 extent it's simply he's explaining his basis for his 20 of the larger important locals -- during the ratification 21 21 recommendation, we don't object. But from the scope of the process, I went to those locals and did the same for the 22 testimony, it wasn't clear if he was offering -- 'cause in the 22 membership. I explained the agreement and took questions 23 declaration, there's testimony about what would or would not 23 before they vote to ratify or reject the tentative agreement. 24 have happened and how others would or would not have reacted. 24 Q. And which, in particular, locals did you go visit, do you And we would object to his ability to testify as to what others 25 recall? 140 1 would have done. 1 A. I did the Detroit axle location. And I did the Kokomo 2 THE COURT: All right. 2 complex locations. Q. That's Kokomo, Indiana? 3 MR. BROMLEY: Well, Your Honor, we think it's 3 4 appropriate that, in light of his forty years with the UAW, 4 A. That is. 5 that his view of what would happen is appropriate. And that's 5 Q. And why did you pick those two? 6 all we're offering. 6 A. Actually, I was asked to go to those two because they're a 7 THE COURT: Well, to the extent he's in a position to 7 volatile membership. In our business, we have a lot of outside 8 8 make a judgment as to what gets presented to the membership, he influences that use the internet anti-union influences. Kokomo 9 certainly is competent to testify about how he thinks they 9 has websites and those types of things that were attacking the 10 would react to any presentation because that's part of his 10 agreement. So, again, in an effort for transparency, I went to 11 role. Now whether they would, in fact, react that way, I don't 11 present those agreements as someone that was first-hand there 12 think is really relevant. But it certainly is relevant as to 12 that knew the intent and the meanings of all the different 13 what he would propose. 13 things that we negotiated and to answer any questions that 14 14 Q. And, Mr. Curson, it is within the scope of your those members may have. And that's one of the reasons I went 15 responsibilities to recommend whether or not to take a 15 to Kokomo. 16 collective bargaining agreement to ratification? 16 Q. In your experience, with respect to all of the Big Three 17 A. Yes. And on that point on the recommendation, that's also 17 collective bargaining agreements that you've been involved in, 18 one of my responsibilities is to try to establish how our 18 has the union leadership ever taken to a membership vote collective bargaining agreement that did not provide for 19 membership will react to certain issues. There are some things 19 20 in collective bargaining we can't do that maybe we would like 20 retiree medical benefits? 21 to do because we know it would not get a ratification. Those 21 A. Never in the Big Three. 22 issues are discussed amongst the board and amongst President 22 Q. And if the collective bargaining agreement here for Chrysler was not ratified, what would have happened next? 23 Gettelfinger and his confidante. And this, clearly, it was one 23 24 of those issues. And none of us believed we could obtain a 24 A. If it was not ratified, there's a likelihood we would have ratification with agreement that did not provide that level of 25 struck. 139 141

VERITEXT REPORTING COMPANY

1	Q. Now, how with respect to the possibility of a strike,	1	been involved in renegotiating collective bargaining agreements
2	is that the union's first choice or the last choice?	2	for Ford, Chrysler and GM?
3	A. It's the last choice. It's if all else fails. Nobody	3	A. Many, many hours. Almost exclusively seven days a week
4	actually wins in a strike but it's the only piece of leverage	4	since sometime in December.
5	that we have left if we can't accomplish what we needed to	5	MR. BROMLEY: Your Honor, we pass the witness.
6	accomplish in negotiations. They are thought through	6	THE COURT: All right. Any cross-examination?
7	tremendously before we engage in a strike.	7	MR. ZAKIA: Yes, Your Honor. Your Honor, Jason Zakia
8	Q. In connection with the new collective bargaining	8	of White & Case for the Indiana Pensioners. May I proceed?
9	agreement, is there a provision that deals with strikes?	9	THE COURT: Go ahead.
10	A. There is a provision that we cannot strike. That is true.	10	MR. ZAKIA: Thank you, Your Honor.
11	Q. And for how long does that no-strike provision last?	11	THE COURT: You're welcome.
12	A. Until 2015.	12	CROSS-EXAMINATION
13	Q. So that's six years?	13	BY MR. ZAKIA:
14	A. That's six years, yes.	14	Q. Mr. Curson, good afternoon. First, I just want to clarify
15	Q. And how often, in your experience at the UAW, has the UAW	15	a couple of points from your direct examination. You testified
16	agreed in a Big Three collective bargaining agreement to a six	16	that there was a collective bargaining team and a VEBA team,
17	year no-strike clause?	17	two separate teams for the UAW in their negotiations, right?
18	A. Never.	18	A. We were all one team with different responsibilities.
19	Q. So you'd say that that's an extraordinary concession on	19	Q. And in order to accomplish those responsibilities, you
20	the part of the UAW?	20	broke up into different groups for purposes of negotiating the
21	A. Very.	21	specific portions of the various agreements, right?
22	Q. Mr. Curson, in connection with the VEBA and the	22	A. That is true.
23	obligations with respect to the VEBA of New Chrysler, did you	23	Q. And your personal involvement centered on the collective
24	draft any of the documentation relating to that?	24	bargaining side rather than the VEBA side of the negotiations,
25	A. Did I	25	true?
23	142		144
1	Q. Did you draft any of the documentation relating to that?	1	A. My personal involvement yes, although we all
2	A. I did not.	2	collectively kept track of where each other was and made
3	Q. And are you a lawyer?	3	suggestions on certain issues. And so we interfaced
4	A. I am not.	4	constantly. But the majority of our time was directed in two
5	Q. Okay. What was your major concern in connection with	5	different efforts, that is correct.
6	recommending the collective bargaining agreement as it related	6	Q. But with respect to that interface, you interfaced
7	to the VEBA?	7	internally among the UAW
8	A. The major concern was the level of benefits it provided	8	A. Well, correct.
9	and the hardships that resulted in giving to our retirees.	9	Q. Right.
10	Q. And, ultimately, you were told that the UAW was	10	A. Absolutely. That's correct.
11	comfortable with that?	11	Q. My question is focused with regard with meetings and
12	A. Comfort's not a good word. We believed that it was a	12	discussions that you personally had with third parties such as
13	necessary component both for the company to survive and for us	13	Chrysler. You testified on direct examination about
14	to get a ratification.	14	negotiations that you entered into with Mr. Icabelli, I
15	Q. And, ultimately, I think you mentioned there was a	15	believe, of Chrysler?
16	ratification. Do you recall the percentages of voting in	16	A. Yes. That is correct.
17	favor?	17	Q. And I just want to clarify that those discussions and
18	A. It was somewhere around eighty percent.	18	negotiations that you testified about in which you personally
19	Q. And this approval or ratification of the amended	19	participated, those were not related to the VEBA portion of the
20	collective bargaining agreement, was it happening on the	20	agreements, correct?
21	regularly scheduled renegotiation or was this a mid-term	21	A. That is correct.
22	modification, so to speak?	22	Q. Okay. You personally did not participate in any
23	A. No. This was the mid-term modification. The actual 2007	23	negotiations with Chrysler, the U.S. government, with anybody
24	agreement expires in September of 2011.	24	with respect to the VEBA portion of the various agreements,
25			
	Q. And over the past four months, how much of your time has	25	right?
23	Q. And over the past four months, how much of your time has 143	25	right?

```
A. I sat in actually two VEBA meetings that was just between
                                                                              question.
 2
      Chrysler and the UAW. And I sat in one meeting that -- where
                                                                        2
                                                                                 You testified on direct -- let me just ask it this way.
 3
       VEBA was mentioned and it was a very huge meeting with all of
                                                                        3
                                                                              If there would not have been an acceptable agreement with
      the key Fiat players, with the Treasury, with all the key
                                                                        4
                                                                              respect to retiree health care, your recommendation would have
 5
      Chrysler players and with all the key UAW players. It was more
                                                                        5
                                                                              been that the members not ratify the collective bargaining
 6
      of a labor costing development. But VEBA was mentioned so I
                                                                        6
                                                                              agreement, right?
                                                                        7
 7
      don't want to mislead anybody. I was in that meeting. The
                                                                              A. That is correct.
      terms of the VEBA was not negotiated in that meeting at all.
                                                                              Q. Okay. And then I think --
 8
                                                                        8
 9
      But it was brought up a time or two.
                                                                        9
                                                                              A. I would have recommended that we not present it to the
       Q. Okay. And I appreciate that, sir. Thank you. I just --
10
                                                                       10
                                                                              membership.
11
       to be clear, if I understand your testimony, there were only
                                                                       11
                                                                              Q. And if it weren't presented, it wouldn't have been
12
      two meetings in which you personally participated with third
                                                                       12
                                                                              ratified.
13
       parties in which the issue of the VEBA was discussed.
                                                                       13
                                                                              A. That's correct.
14
       A. That is correct.
                                                                       14
                                                                              Q. Okay. And I think you testified on direct that if there
15
       Q. Okay. And at fifty percent of those meetings, the United
                                                                       15
                                                                              had not been a new collective bargaining agreement that,
16
      States government was, in fact, represented, right?
                                                                       16
                                                                              likely, the next step would have been a strike. Did I get that
17
       A. In the one meeting, they were there, yes. In the big
                                                                       17
                                                                       18
18
      meeting, they were there.
                                                                              A. At the end of that long process, yes.
       Q. Right, which is one out of the two that you personally
19
                                                                       19
                                                                              Q. Okay. And it's your understanding that if there had been
20
                                                                       20
                                                                              a strike at Chrysler, that could have forced the liquidation of
       participated.
21
       A. That's correct.
                                                                       21
                                                                              the company at that time, right?
22
       Q. Thank you. Now, I'd like to also talk about some of your
                                                                       22
                                                                              A. That is correct.
23
       testimony concerning a strike. You testified that absent an
                                                                       23
                                                                              Q. Okay. And I just want to be clear with respect to your
                                                                       24
24
       agreement with respect to retiree health care, your personal
                                                                              position at least, here as a senior member of the UAW, that you
25
       recommendation would have been that the union strike?
                                                                       25
                                                                              would have been prepared to see a strike occur even if it
                                                              146
 1
             MR. BROMLEY: Objection, Your Honor.
                                                                        1
                                                                              forced and ran the risk of forcing a liquidation of Chrysler,
                                                                        2
 2
      Mischaracterizes his testimony.
                                                                              right?
 3
             THE COURT: That wasn't the testimony.
                                                                        3
                                                                              A. That is true because in the wings, we had 350,000 retirees
 4
             MR. ZAKIA: Okay.
                                                                        4
                                                                              at General Motors that we knew that we would be negotiating
 5
             THE COURT: It wasn't that it was his personal
                                                                        5
                                                                              with. And behind them, another hundred thousand retirees at
 6
       recommendation.
                                                                        6
                                                                              Ford that we knew that we'd have to be dealing with. So we're
 7
       Q. Well, let me ask you this. If there had not been an
                                                                        7
                                                                              talking about a half million retirees, stripping their health
                                                                        8
 8
       agreement that you considered with respect to retiree health
                                                                              care from them. You're exactly right. We would have
 9
      care, would you have recommended a strike to the members?
                                                                        9
                                                                              recommended a strike at Chrysler to try to stop the bleeding.
10
       A. I may have. There's more to it than a simple decision.
                                                                       10
                                                                              Q. Right. And so, with respect to that -- I mean, we've
11
      First, I would have definitely not recommended to our board
                                                                       11
                                                                              heard a lot -- and you're aware of a number of very negative
12
       that we adopt that agreement to present to the membership. We
                                                                      12
                                                                              consequences that could follow from a liquidation of Chrysler
13
       would have asked at that time for strike authorization which,
                                                                       13
                                                                              right?
14
       in order for us to call a strike, we have to go to our members
                                                                       14
                                                                              A. Without a doubt.
15
       and take a strike vote. The majority of our membership under
                                                                       15
                                                                              Q. Okay. And layoffs of, I think -- the 26,000 workers that
16
      that agreement has to give us permission. At that point, if we
                                                                       16
                                                                              you talked about, were those Chrysler workers or is that --
17
       get strike authorization, it gives us tremendous leverage and
                                                                       17
                                                                              that's just Chrysler UAW workers, right?
18
       we go back to whomever we're negotiating with and try to
                                                                       18
                                                                              A. Those are Chrysler UAW-represented workers.
19
       negotiate again. All those steps would have had to have been
                                                                       19
                                                                              Q. Okay. And so they would have lost their jobs in a
20
      in place. If, at the end of that rainbow, if we couldn't -- if
                                                                       20
                                                                              strike -- or in a liquidation?
                                                                              A. I can only assume that they would have, yes.
21
       we did not have an agreement, that the only offers that came
                                                                       21
22
       from Chrysler were an agreement without health care for our
                                                                       22
                                                                              Q. Okay. And you're aware that suppliers, the economy --
23
      retirees, yes, sir, I would have recommended that we strike.
                                                                       23
                                                                              there would have been negative consequences for all sorts of
24
       Q. Okay. And let me break that up because I appreciate the
                                                                       24
                                                                              different interest groups as a result of a liquidation, right?
       clarification and now I see where I went wrong on my last
                                                                       25
                                                                                    MR. BROMLEY: Objection, Your Honor.
```

149

212-267-6868 516-608-2400

147

1	THE COURT: What's your objection?	1	MR. ZAKIA: Thank you. Counsel? Your Honor, may
2	MR. BROMLEY: He says he's asking for speculation.	2	ask that Pensioners' Exhibit 11 be marked for identification?
3	MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor I'm sorry, Your Honor.	3	THE COURT: All right.
4	THE COURT: Go ahead.	4	MR. ZAKIA: Thank you.
5	MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, the witness did testify on	5	(Pensioners' Exhibit 11, document prepared by UAW for
6	direct with regard to recommendations that he or union	6	distribution to its membership relating to the CBA entered into
7	leadership would or would not have made. I'm just trying to	7	with Chrysler dated April 2009, was hereby marked for
8	find out what the bases of those various recommendations would	i 8	identification as of this date.)
9	have been and what information would or would not have been	9	Q. Mr. Curson, I've handed you what's been marked for
10	considered. I'm trying to find out what's in his head when he	10	identification as Pensioners' Exhibit 11. This is a document
11	makes these decisions, not necessarily for the truth of what	11	that was prepared by the UAW for distribution to its members
12	these facts are.	12	connection with the ratification process, right?
13	THE WITNESS: Well, I'm comfortable answering that	13	A. That is correct.
14	question, Your Honor.	14	Q. Okay. And this was prepared by well, you were
15	THE COURT: Your level of comfortability is not	15	responsible for overseeing the preparation of this document,
16	really relevant. All right. He can answer the question.	16	correct?
17	A. We would only hope at that stage that a strike would bring	17	A. My responsibility is the final sign-off. As it's put
18	reality to the situation. And that whomever we were	18	together, I when everybody else says it's completed a
19	negotiating with would realize that they would have to deliver	19	number of experts are involved in preparing the actual pieces.
20	an agreement that provides health care for our retirees before	20	
			I go through it and make sure it's constitutionally and
21	we would accept an agreement. Again, a strike is the very last	21	contractually correct and then I sign off on it. And I'm the
22	alternative but it's important to us, to our tradition and to a	22	final sign-off on this summary.
23	million people that we represent that we prevail in providing	23	Q. And as the person with the final sign-off, it's important
24	health care for our retired workers. We would have clearly	24	to you that everything in this document be true and accurate,
25	considered calling a strike if any agreement, any final 150	25	right?
1	agreement came that didn't offer those benefits.	1	A. That is correct.
2	Q. It's a point of leverage, negotiating leverage for the	2	Q. And this document is meant to be distributed by the UAW
3	UAW.	3	tens of thousands of members, right?
4	A. It's a reality of leverage.	4	A. That is correct.
5	Q. A reality of leverage. And just to be clear, so if there	5	Q. And when you prepare the document, it's your intent that
6	was not an agreement reached with respect to retiree health	6	the document accurately and clearly reflect the truth, right?
7	care, in your view, with respect to your role, you would have	7	A. That is true.
8	been prepared to recommend that the UAW use that leverage ever	. 8	Q. Because the UAW wants to make sure that its workers have
9	if it ran the risk of a liquidation of Chrysler regardless of	9	an accurate understanding of the information that it's trying
10	what other consequences may have followed from that to other	10	to convey.
11	stakeholders, right?	11	A. Yes, sir.
12	A. I would have.	12	Q. And when you personally, as the person with the final
13	Q. Okay. Now, Mr. Curson, with respect to the	13	sign-off on this document, before you signed off on this
14	ratification you testified that the collective bargaining	14	document that was distributed, you did what you could to make
15	agreement was ratified by the union membership, correct?	15	sure that that was the case, right?
16	A. That is correct.	16	A. That is correct.
17	Q. And in connection with that ratification, the UAW	17	Q. Okay. And this document was prepared by people that wor
18	distributed information to its members concerning the terms of	18	for the UAW?
19	that agreement, right?	19	A. Yes. Our PR department and our international reps that
20	A. We did.	20	specialized in certain aspects of the agreement.
21	Q. And you personally participated in the preparation of	21	Q. Okay. And the document is dated April of 2009. It was
22	those materials, right?	22	prepared in April of 2009, right?
23	A. I did.	23	A. That is correct.
24	MR. ZAKIA: Okay. May I approach?	24	Q. And the people that prepared that document for the UAW,
25	THE COURT: Go ahead.	25	they knew what they were talking about when they wrote the
	151		153
	191		

1	sections that they wrote, right?	1	A. Yes, sir.
2	A. Yes, sir.	2	Q. Okay. And if you look in the second section entitled
3	Q. Okay. And they did that in the course of their business	3	"Tentative Agreement Restructures Future VEBA Funding
4	for the UAW?	4	Obligations", do you see that?
5	A. Yes, sir.	5	A. I do.
6	MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, we'd offer at this	6	Q. Is the purpose of that section to give the UAW members wh
7	Pensioners' Exhibit 11 into evidence.	7	would be receiving this document an accurate and clear, and I
8	THE COURT: Any objection? None heard; so admitted	8	think your word was transparent, understanding of what the
9	MR. ZAKIA: Thank you.	9	terms of that agreement were?
10	(Pensioners' Exhibit 11, document prepared by UAW for	10	A. That is correct?
11	distribution to its membership relating to the CBA entered into	11	Q. Okay. Now, sir, I understand that you are not a lawyer.
12	with Chrysler dated April 2009, was hereby received into	12	I understand that you did not come up with these numbers. What
13	evidence as of this date.)	13	I'm going to I'm just going to ask you a few questions about
14	Q. Mr. Curson, I'd like to direct your attention just to two	14	what this document for which you were the final sign-off says
15	quick sections of the document. On the first page, if you look	15	and what it communicated to the members. Okay? And in that
16	down, the last sentence of the fourth paragraph: "Fiat's	16	connection, I want to direct your attention to the first
17	investment in Chrysler's operations and new U.S. jobs is	17	paragraph of that section. It says that there would be a new
18	expected to exceed eight billion dollars." See that?	18	5.87 [sic] billion dollar note. Do you see that?
19	A. Yes, sir.	19	A. A new 4.587
20	Q. Okay. I just want to clarify, that eight billion dollar	20	Q. Sorry. 4.587
21	number is a number that you were given by Chrysler, right?	21	A billion dollar note.
22	A. Chrysler and Fiat offered that up, yes.	22	Q billion note.
23	Q. Yeah. That's not the UAW's number?	23	A. Yes. I do see that.
24	A. No. We did no calculations on that number.	24	Q. Okay. And then it says, the second paragraph, "VEBA to
25	Q. And as you sit here today, you're not in any position to	25	own significant stock".
	154		156
,	cell and a contract of the cell and a cell a	1	A . I sh-s
1 2	tell me one way or the other whether that number is accurate or inaccurate?	1 2	A. I see that.
3	A. I cannot.	3	Q. Okay. And in that section, it identifies it says that "VEBA will receive fifty-five percent of the stock in
4	Q. If you'll turn, please, to page 8 of the document	4	restructured Chrysler." Do you see that?
5	there's the Bates number, UAW-50	5	A. I do.
6	A. Okay.	6	Q. Okay. And these are the two, at least as identified in
7	Q it's entitled "Addendum Voluntary Employee Benefit	7	this document, these are two things of value that VEBA would be
8	Association Agreement"?	8	receiving in consideration for the concessions that it was
9	A. Yes, sir.	9	making with respect to the pre-existing VEBA payment
10	Q. Okay. And the purpose of this page of the document was to		obligations, right?
11	inform union members about the terms on which changes were	11	A. These are the terms of the new VEBA. I don't know how you
12	being made to the VEBA agreement?	12	characterize them as this is different a different
13	A. That is correct.	13	payment structure than the original VEBA, I do know that. But
14	Q. Okay. And I think you testified on direct examination	14	I don't know what the resulting terminology to use. This
15	that those changes were an extraordinary concession on the part		wasn't more concessions or less concessions. This was the
16	of the UAW.	16	payment schedule to get to this level of benefits that was
17	A. The I think that was on the first VEBA in 2007. But,	17	accepted by our bargaining team.
18	yes, it is both there and here an extraordinary concession.	18	Q. I just want to make sure I understand. I mean, it was
19	Q. Okay. So in your view, the changes made in connection	19	your understanding that VEBA was making concessions sorry -
20		20	that concessions were being made with respect to the VEBA
	with these negotiations to the versa was a concession on the		
	with these negotiations to the VEBA was a concession on the part of the UAW?	2.1	obligation, right?
21	part of the UAW?	21	obligation, right?  A. I can say that the concessions was the delivery of health
21 22	part of the UAW?  A. That is correct.	22	A. I can say that the concessions was the delivery of health
21	part of the UAW? A. That is correct. Q. Okay.		A. I can say that the concessions was the delivery of health care to our retired members. We no longer the new VEBA
21 22 23 24	part of the UAW?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay.  Q. And part of that involved a restructuring of Chrysler's	22 23 24	A. I can say that the concessions was the delivery of health care to our retired members. We no longer the new VEBA being negotiated no longer could fund the health care we
21 22 23	part of the UAW? A. That is correct. Q. Okay.	22 23	A. I can say that the concessions was the delivery of health care to our retired members. We no longer the new VEBA

continue those same health care obligations. The concessions, as I refer to our concessions, are the health care that no longer is being provided under this agreement that was provided under the previous agreement.  MR. BROMLEY: Objection, Your Honor.  MR. BROMLEY: Well, I guess my objection is to reading this document out loud and having the witness confi R. D. No. I appreciate that, sir. I just want to clarify. I mean, it is your understanding that the reason that the levels of health care that were going to be provided under the renegotiated agreement were because Chrysler didn't have sufficient funding to fund at the old levels, right?  A. That Chrysler could not right could not fund the VEBA to the levels to provide those that level of benefits  the value to be received by the VEBA was in the form of sto and one-half was in the form of the note that was mentioned the first paragraph, right?  MR. BROMLEY: Objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: What's your objection? Excuse me.  MR. BROMLEY: Well, I guess my objection is to reading this document out loud and having the witness confi or deny every single statement in it. He's already stated it's admitted into evidence. We haven't contested that. He's already said that he read it. He was responsible for it. He believes it's true and correct. I mean, what we're trying to do here is to actually reorganize to get this company out of bankruptcy quickly and to move this fast. And we're sitting here today going through and reading documents out loud. I benefits
longer is being provided under this agreement that was provided under the previous agreement.  MR. BROMLEY: Objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: What's your objection? Excuse me.  MR. BROMLEY: Well, I guess my objection is to  RR. BROMLEY: Well, I guess my objection is to  MR. BROMLEY: Well, I guess my objection is to  RR. BROMLEY: Well, I guess my objection is to  RR. BROMLEY: Well, I guess my objection is to  reading this document out loud and having the witness configured or deny every single statement in it. He's already stated  mean, it is your understanding that the reason that the levels of health care that were going to be provided under the  renegotiated agreement were because Chrysler didn't have  sufficient funding to fund at the old levels, right?  A. That Chrysler could not right could not fund the VEBA to the levels to provide those that level of  where is to actually reorganize to get this company out of bankruptcy quickly and to move this fast. And we're sitting here today going through and reading documents out loud. I
4 under the previous agreement.  5 Q. Okay.  6 A. The funding isn't an issue to me how we get there or how  7 it got there. It's the final result of what it can deliver.  9 MR. BROMLEY: Well, I guess my objection is to  7 reading this document out loud and having the witness confi  8 Q. No. I appreciate that, sir. I just want to clarify. I  9 mean, it is your understanding that the reason that the levels  10 of health care that were going to be provided under the  11 renegotiated agreement were because Chrysler didn't have  12 sufficient funding to fund at the old levels, right?  13 A. That Chrysler could not right could not fund the  14 VEBA to the levels to provide those that level of  4 MR. BROMLEY: Objection, Your Honor.  5 THE COURT: What's your objection? Excuse me.  6 MR. BROMLEY: Objection, Your Honor.  7 reading this document out loud and having the witness confi or deny every single statement in it. He's already stated git's admitted into evidence. We haven't contested that. He's already said that he read it. He was responsible for it. He believes it's true and correct. I mean, what we're trying to do here is to actually reorganize to get this company out of bankruptcy quickly and to move this fast. And we're sitting here today going through and reading documents out loud. I
5 Q. Okay. 6 A. The funding isn't an issue to me how we get there or how 7 it got there. It's the final result of what it can deliver. 8 Q. No. I appreciate that, sir. I just want to clarify. I 9 mean, it is your understanding that the reason that the levels 10 of health care that were going to be provided under the 11 renegotiated agreement were because Chrysler didn't have 12 sufficient funding to fund at the old levels, right? 13 A. That Chrysler could not right could not fund the 14 VEBA to the levels to provide those that level of  5 THE COURT: What's your objection? Excuse me.  6 MR. BROMLEY: Well, I guess my objection is to  7 reading this document out loud and having the witness confi or deny every single statement in it. He's already stated 9 it's admitted into evidence. We haven't contested that. He's already said that he read it. He was responsible for it. He believes it's true and correct. I mean, what we're trying to do here is to actually reorganize to get this company out of bankruptcy quickly and to move this fast. And we're sitting here today going through and reading documents out loud. I
6 A. The funding isn't an issue to me how we get there or how 7 it got there. It's the final result of what it can deliver. 8 Q. No. I appreciate that, sir. I just want to clarify. I 9 mean, it is your understanding that the reason that the levels 10 of health care that were going to be provided under the 11 renegotiated agreement were because Chrysler didn't have 12 sufficient funding to fund at the old levels, right? 13 A. That Chrysler could not right could not fund the 14 VEBA to the levels to provide those that level of  6 MR. BROMLEY: Well, I guess my objection is to 7 reading this document out loud and having the witness confi 7 reading this document out loud and having the witness confi 18 or deny every single statement in it. He's already stated 9 it's admitted into evidence. We haven't contested that. He's 10 already said that he read it. He was responsible for it. He 11 believes it's true and correct. I mean, what we're trying to 12 do here is to actually reorganize to get this company out of 13 bankruptcy quickly and to move this fast. And we're sitting 14 here today going through and reading documents out loud. I
7 reading this document out loud and having the witness confi 8 Q. No. I appreciate that, sir. I just want to clarify. I 9 mean, it is your understanding that the reason that the levels 10 of health care that were going to be provided under the 11 renegotiated agreement were because Chrysler didn't have 12 sufficient funding to fund at the old levels, right? 13 A. That Chrysler could not right could not fund the 14 VEBA to the levels to provide those that level of 17 reading this document out loud and having the witness confi 8 or deny every single statement in it. He's already stated 9 it's admitted into evidence. We haven't contested that. He's 10 already said that he read it. He was responsible for it. He 11 believes it's true and correct. I mean, what we're trying to 12 do here is to actually reorganize to get this company out of 13 bankruptcy quickly and to move this fast. And we're sitting 14 here today going through and reading documents out loud. I
8 Q. No. I appreciate that, sir. I just want to clarify. I 9 mean, it is your understanding that the reason that the levels 10 of health care that were going to be provided under the 11 renegotiated agreement were because Chrysler didn't have 12 sufficient funding to fund at the old levels, right? 13 A. That Chrysler could not right could not fund the 14 VEBA to the levels to provide those that level of  8 or deny every single statement in it. He's already stated 9 it's admitted into evidence. We haven't contested that. He's 10 already said that he read it. He was responsible for it. He 11 believes it's true and correct. I mean, what we're trying to 12 do here is to actually reorganize to get this company out of 13 bankruptcy quickly and to move this fast. And we're sitting 14 here today going through and reading documents out loud. I
9 mean, it is your understanding that the reason that the levels 10 of health care that were going to be provided under the 11 renegotiated agreement were because Chrysler didn't have 12 sufficient funding to fund at the old levels, right? 13 A. That Chrysler could not right could not fund the 14 VEBA to the levels to provide those that level of 19 it's admitted into evidence. We haven't contested that. He's 10 already said that he read it. He was responsible for it. He 11 believes it's true and correct. I mean, what we're trying to 12 do here is to actually reorganize to get this company out of 13 bankruptcy quickly and to move this fast. And we're sitting 14 here today going through and reading documents out loud. I
of health care that were going to be provided under the renegotiated agreement were because Chrysler didn't have sufficient funding to fund at the old levels, right?  A. That Chrysler could not right could not fund the VEBA to the levels to provide those that level of  already said that he read it. He was responsible for it. He believes it's true and correct. I mean, what we're trying to do here is to actually reorganize to get this company out of bankruptcy quickly and to move this fast. And we're sitting here today going through and reading documents out loud. I
11 renegotiated agreement were because Chrysler didn't have 12 sufficient funding to fund at the old levels, right? 13 A. That Chrysler could not right could not fund the 14 VEBA to the levels to provide those that level of 15 believes it's true and correct. I mean, what we're trying to 16 do here is to actually reorganize to get this company out of 17 bankruptcy quickly and to move this fast. And we're sitting 18 here today going through and reading documents out loud. I
12 sufficient funding to fund at the old levels, right?  12 do here is to actually reorganize to get this company out of  13 A. That Chrysler could not right could not fund the  14 VEBA to the levels to provide those that level of  15 do here is to actually reorganize to get this company out of  16 bankruptcy quickly and to move this fast. And we're sitting  17 here today going through and reading documents out loud. In
13 A. That Chrysler could not right could not fund the 14 VEBA to the levels to provide those that level of 15 bankruptcy quickly and to move this fast. And we're sitting 16 here today going through and reading documents out loud. I
14 VEBA to the levels to provide those that level of 14 here today going through and reading documents out loud. I
15 benefits 15 think the document speaks for itself.
16 Q. Okay. And 16 MR. ZAKIA: All right. If I could briefly?
17 A that is correct. 17 THE COURT: Go ahead. Respond.
18 Q. And so, Chrysler was asking the UAW to restructure the way 18 MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, just to respond on the time
in which that obligation was going to be met by Chrysler, 19 point, I do not believe that I've taken unduly long with the
20 right? 20 witness. I'm almost done with the witness. So I don't know
21 A. Chrysler asked to restructure to what they could afford. 21 that
22 Q. Okay. And that's the concession that you were referring 22 THE COURT: All right. But what's the point of the
23 to on your direct examination? That's one of the concessions? 23 line of questioning?
24 A. The concession, again, that I referred to is a delivery of 24 MR. ZAKIA: Well, Your Honor, the witness was offer
25 benefits to my members. 25 by the UAW to testify.
158 16
1 Q. And you do appreciate the fact that there is a connection 1 THE COURT: No. What's the point of your line of
1 Q. And you do appreciate the fact that there is a connection 1 THE COURT: No. What's the point of your line of 2 between the amount of funding from Chrysler and the amount of 2 questioning in this regard?
3 benefits that can be provided to the members, right?  3 MR. ZAKIA: Oh. With respect to this, I'm trying to
4 A. The amount of funding and the performance, yes, of the 4 determine, as shown in this document, what concession. The
5 funding. 5 witness testified about concessions. I'm trying to determine
6 Q. Okay. And I'm not asking you to calculate what those 6 what two of the elements that the UAW or the VEBA received
7 levels were. Livest want to make clear that there is a 7 exchange for those concessions so that we can determine if the
7 levels were. I just want to make clear that there is a 7 exchange for those concessions so that we can determine if the 8 connection 8 witness is talking about the effects of changes to the
8 connection 8 witness is talking about the effects of changes to the
8 connection 8 witness is talking about the effects of changes to the 9 A. Because I wouldn't know. 9 agreement and whether or not if this had been removed there
8 connection 9 A. Because I wouldn't know. 10 Q. And that's fine. Either would I. But I just want to make  8 witness is talking about the effects of changes to the 9 agreement and whether or not if this had been removed there 10 would have been a strike that could have caused the liquidatio
8 connection 9 A. Because I wouldn't know. 10 Q. And that's fine. Either would I. But I just want to make  8 witness is talking about the effects of changes to the 9 agreement and whether or not if this had been removed there 10 would have been a strike that could have caused the liquidatio
8 connection 9 A. Because I wouldn't know. 10 Q. And that's fine. Either would I. But I just want to make 11 clear that you're aware that there is a connection between the 12 witness is talking about the effects of changes to the 9 agreement and whether or not if this had been removed there 10 would have been a strike that could have caused the liquidatio 11 of the company. I'm just trying to explore the different
8 connection 9 A. Because I wouldn't know. 10 Q. And that's fine. Either would I. But I just want to make 11 clear that you're aware that there is a connection between the 12 money coming in from Chrysler on the one end and the benefits 13 witness is talking about the effects of changes to the 9 agreement and whether or not if this had been removed there 10 would have been a strike that could have caused the liquidation 11 of the company. I'm just trying to explore the different 12 elements of that testimony.
8 connection 9 A. Because I wouldn't know. 9 Q. And that's fine. Either would I. But I just want to make 11 clear that you're aware that there is a connection between the 12 money coming in from Chrysler on the one end and the benefits 13 that you testified about coming out on the other.  8 witness is talking about the effects of changes to the 9 agreement and whether or not if this had been removed there 10 would have been a strike that could have caused the liquidation 11 of the company. I'm just trying to explore the different 12 elements of that testimony. 13 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead for a little while.
8 connection 9 A. Because I wouldn't know. 10 Q. And that's fine. Either would I. But I just want to make 11 clear that you're aware that there is a connection between the 12 money coming in from Chrysler on the one end and the benefits 13 that you testified about coming out on the other. 14 A. Absolutely. I understand that.  8 witness is talking about the effects of changes to the 9 agreement and whether or not if this had been removed there would have been a strike that could have caused the liquidation of the company. I'm just trying to explore the different elements of that testimony. 13 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead for a little while. 14 I think it's pretty evident from what he said already.
8 connection 9 A. Because I wouldn't know. 10 Q. And that's fine. Either would I. But I just want to make 11 clear that you're aware that there is a connection between the 12 money coming in from Chrysler on the one end and the benefits 13 that you testified about coming out on the other. 14 A. Absolutely. I understand that. 15 Q. Okay. And with respect, if we look at the words written  8 witness is talking about the effects of changes to the 9 agreement and whether or not if this had been removed there would have been a strike that could have caused the liquidatio of the company. I'm just trying to explore the different elements of that testimony.  13 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead for a little while. 14 I think it's pretty evident from what he said already.  15 MR. ZAKIA: And I agree with that, Your Honor. I
8 connection 9 A. Because I wouldn't know. 10 Q. And that's fine. Either would I. But I just want to make 11 clear that you're aware that there is a connection between the 12 money coming in from Chrysler on the one end and the benefits 13 that you testified about coming out on the other. 14 A. Absolutely. I understand that. 15 Q. Okay. And with respect, if we look at the words written 16 on this page, if you look at the second paragraph, "VEBA to Own 16 witness is talking about the effects of changes to the 9 agreement and whether or not if this had been removed there would have been a strike that could have caused the liquidatio of the company. I'm just trying to explore the different elements of that testimony. 11 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead for a little while. 12 I think it's pretty evident from what he said already. 13 MR. ZAKIA: And I agree with that, Your Honor. I just want to be clear because there's been some dispute, I
8 connection 9 A. Because I wouldn't know. 9 Q. And that's fine. Either would I. But I just want to make 10 Q. And that's fine. Either would I. But I just want to make 11 clear that you're aware that there is a connection between the 12 money coming in from Chrysler on the one end and the benefits 13 that you testified about coming out on the other. 14 A. Absolutely. I understand that. 15 Q. Okay. And with respect, if we look at the words written 16 on this page, if you look at the second paragraph, "VEBA to Own 17 Significant Stock", I'll just read the first two sentences: 18 witness is talking about the effects of changes to the 9 agreement and whether or not if this had been removed there would have been a strike that could have caused the liquidatio of the company. I'm just trying to explore the different elements of that testimony. 15 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead for a little while. 16 MR. ZAKIA: And I agree with that, Your Honor. I 17 just want to be clear because there's been some dispute, I 18 believe, on this point. And I have this could be my last
8 connection 9 A. Because I wouldn't know. 9 A. Because I wouldn't know. 10 Q. And that's fine. Either would I. But I just want to make 11 clear that you're aware that there is a connection between the 12 money coming in from Chrysler on the one end and the benefits 13 that you testified about coming out on the other. 14 A. Absolutely. I understand that. 15 Q. Okay. And with respect, if we look at the words written 16 on this page, if you look at the second paragraph, "VEBA to Own 17 Significant Stock", I'll just read the first two sentences: 18 "Another requirement of the Treasury Department loans was that  8 witness is talking about the effects of changes to the 9 agreement and whether or not if this had been removed there would have been a strike that could have caused the liquidatio of the company. I'm just trying to explore the different elements of that testimony. 12 think it's pretty evident from what he said already. 14 I think it's pretty evident from what he said already. 15 MR. ZAKIA: And I agree with that, Your Honor. I just want to be clear because there's been some dispute, I 16 believe, on this point. And I have this could be my last question if I have this right.
8 connection 9 A. Because I wouldn't know. 10 Q. And that's fine. Either would I. But I just want to make 11 clear that you're aware that there is a connection between the 12 money coming in from Chrysler on the one end and the benefits 13 that you testified about coming out on the other. 14 A. Absolutely. I understand that. 15 Q. Okay. And with respect, if we look at the words written 16 on this page, if you look at the second paragraph, "VEBA to Own 17 Significant Stock", I'll just read the first two sentences: 18 "Another requirement of the Treasury Department loans was that 19 half of the value received by the VEBA be in the form of stock.  8 witness is talking about the effects of changes to the 9 agreement and whether or not if this had been removed there 9 would have been a strike that could have caused the liquidation of the company. I'm just trying to explore the different elements of that testimony. 11 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead for a little while. 12 I think it's pretty evident from what he said already. 13 MR. ZAKIA: And I agree with that, Your Honor. I just want to be clear because there's been some dispute, I believe, on this point. And I have this could be my last question if I have this right. 19 Q. And sir, we went through this with your deposition and I
8 connection 9 A. Because I wouldn't know. 10 Q. And that's fine. Either would I. But I just want to make 11 clear that you're aware that there is a connection between the 12 money coming in from Chrysler on the one end and the benefits 13 that you testified about coming out on the other. 14 A. Absolutely. I understand that. 15 Q. Okay. And with respect, if we look at the words written 16 on this page, if you look at the second paragraph, "VEBA to Own 17 Significant Stock", I'll just read the first two sentences: 18 "Another requirement of the Treasury Department loans was that 19 half of the value received by the VEBA be in the form of stock. 20 To meet that requirement, the VEBA will receive fifty-five 20 witness is talking about the effects of changes to the agreement and whether or not if this had been removed there would have been a strike that could have caused the liquidatio of the company. I'm just trying to explore the different elements of that testimony.  11 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead for a little while. 12 I think it's pretty evident from what he said already.  MR. ZAKIA: And I agree with that, Your Honor. I just want to be clear because there's been some dispute, I believe, on this point. And I have this could be my last question if I have this right.  Q. And sir, we went through this with your deposition and I just want to make sure the way you read this document, and I
8 connection 9 A. Because I wouldn't know. 10 Q. And that's fine. Either would I. But I just want to make 11 clear that you're aware that there is a connection between the 12 money coming in from Chrysler on the one end and the benefits 13 that you testified about coming out on the other. 14 A. Absolutely. I understand that. 15 Q. Okay. And with respect, if we look at the words written 16 on this page, if you look at the second paragraph, "VEBA to Own 17 Significant Stock", I'll just read the first two sentences: 18 "Another requirement of the Treasury Department loans was that 19 half of the value received by the VEBA be in the form of stock. 20 To meet that requirement, the VEBA will receive fifty-five 21 percent of the stock restructured in Chrysler." Do you see  8 witness is talking about the effects of changes to the 20 was discipled agreement and whether or not if this had been removed there 21 would have been a strike that could have caused the liquidatio of the company. I'm just trying to explore the different elements of that testimony.  12 think it's pretty evident from what he said already.  13 MR. ZAKIA: And I agree with that, Your Honor. I just want to be clear because there's been some dispute, I believe, on this point. And I have this could be my last question if I have this right.  14 Q. And sir, we went through this with your deposition and I just want to make sure the way you read this document, and I understand you didn't write the underlying agreement so I'm
8 connection 9 A. Because I wouldn't know. 9 A. Because I wouldn't know. 10 Q. And that's fine. Either would I. But I just want to make 11 clear that you're aware that there is a connection between the 12 money coming in from Chrysler on the one end and the benefits 13 that you testified about coming out on the other. 14 A. Absolutely. I understand that. 15 Q. Okay. And with respect, if we look at the words written 16 on this page, if you look at the second paragraph, "VEBA to Own 17 Significant Stock", I'll just read the first two sentences: 18 "Another requirement of the Treasury Department loans was that 19 half of the value received by the VEBA be in the form of stock. 20 To meet that requirement, the VEBA will receive fifty-five 21 percent of the stock restructured in Chrysler." Do you see 22 that?  8 witness is talking about the effects of changes to the agreement and whether or not if this had been removed there would have been a strike that could have caused the liquidatio of the company. I'm just trying to explore the different elements of that testimony.  12 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead for a little while. 14 I think it's pretty evident from what he said already. 15 MR. ZAKIA: And I agree with that, Your Honor. I just want to be clear because there's been some dispute, I believe, on this point. And I have this could be my last question if I have this right.  19 Q. And sir, we went through this with your deposition and I just want to make sure the way you read this document, and I understand you didn't write the underlying agreement so I'm just talking about what was communicated to the members as
witness is talking about the effects of changes to the  A. Because I wouldn't know.  Q. And that's fine. Either would I. But I just want to make  1 clear that you're aware that there is a connection between the  money coming in from Chrysler on the one end and the benefits  that you testified about coming out on the other.  A. Absolutely. I understand that.  Q. Okay. And with respect, if we look at the words written  on this page, if you look at the second paragraph, "VEBA to Own  Significant Stock", I'll just read the first two sentences:  "Another requirement of the Treasury Department loans was that  half of the value received by the VEBA be in the form of stock.  To meet that requirement, the VEBA will receive fifty-five  percent of the stock restructured in Chrysler." Do you see  24 A. I do see that.  8 witness is talking about the effects of changes to the agreement and whether or not if this had been removed there would have been a strike that could have caused the liquidatio of the company. I'm just trying to explore the different elements of that testimony.  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead for a little while.  I think it's pretty evident from what he said already.  MR. ZAKIA: And I agree with that, Your Honor. I just want to be clear because there's been some dispute, I believe, on this point. And I have this could be my last question if I have this right.  Q. And sir, we went through this with your deposition and I just want to make sure the way you read this document, and I understand you didn't write the underlying agreement so I'm just talking about what was communicated to the members as:  23 A. I do see that.

i			
1	document said to the UAW members, right?	1	committee, like an official committee although we're not,
2	A. That is correct.	2	although we did request the same from the Office of the United
3	Q. And that would be just over nine billion dollars?	3	States Trustee which was denied, is that it's
4	A. If you do the math that would be just over nine million	4	administratively it's unworkable to have a client base of 340.
5	nine billion dollars.	5	We are happy, if it's deemed relevant, to consider the others
6	Q. Thank you very much, Mr. Curson.	6	as members. We have identified, by the way. I mean, we've
7	MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, no further questions.	7	listed them in every pleading that we filed there's an exhibit
8	(Pause)	8	which identifies all 340 or so
9	MR. LERNER: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Stephen	9	THE COURT: You should amend the 2019.
10	Lerner of Squire Sanders & Dempsey on behalf of the committee	10	MR. LERNER: Okay.
11	of Chrysler affected dealers.	11	THE COURT: Before you can stand and represent that
12	CROSS-EXAMINATION	12	they're part of your group or if not part of your group they
13	BY MR. LERNER:	13	support it.
14	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Curson.	14	MR. LERNER: That's fair. We have not tried to hide
15	A. Good afternoon.	15	any. As I've said, we've identified every single person who is
16	Q. I represent a committee which is supported by over 340	16	supporting the committee's effort.
17	dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject. You testified on	17	MR. ORR: Just for the record, Your Honor, they're
18	direct examination that you participated, I think, in every Big	18	not on the 2019.
19	Three CBA negotiation since the early 1980s, is that right?	19	THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
20	A. That is correct.	20	BY MR. LERNER:
21	Q. Okay. And about how many negotiations would that	21	Q. Mr. Curson, I was asking you about some of the unique
22	encompass, would you say?	22	features of this particular negotiation. Has it ever been the
23	A. With every three years and then it went to every four	23	case in any of your negotiations with the UAW that the
24	years; it's at least a dozen.	24	negotiation was with the backdrop of a bankruptcy filing and a
25	Q. Okay. And I believe you testified that there were certain	25	sale to another party in your experience?
	162		164
1	aspects of this particular negotiation to modify the 2007 CBA	1	A. In the Big Three?
2	and to deal with the VEBA issues that were unique, is that	2	A. Yes.
3	right?	3	Q. No.
4	A. That is correct.	4	Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that, as part of any prior
5	Q. Okay. And one of the things that was unique was the	5	negotiation in which you were involved that, as part of the
6	contracting away the right to strike for a lengthy period of	6	negotiation the outcome would involve an equity stake to be
7	time?	7	held by the UAW in the company?
8	A. That is correct.	8	* *
9			A. That's not my expertise and so I don't know that part of
	O. Okay. And one of the other things that was unique was the	9	A. That's not my expertise and so I don't know that part of the involvement in any other negotiations. To my knowledge,
10	Q. Okay. And one of the other things that was unique was the modification of the VEBA, is that correct?		A. That's not my expertise and so I don't know that part of the involvement in any other negotiations. To my knowledge, no.
10 11		9	the involvement in any other negotiations. To my knowledge,
	modification of the VEBA, is that correct?	9 10	the involvement in any other negotiations. To my knowledge, no.
11	modification of the VEBA, is that correct?  A. The VEBA itself, yes.	9 10 11	the involvement in any other negotiations. To my knowledge, no.  Q. Okay. So in all of the negotiations in which you've
11 12	modification of the VEBA, is that correct?  A. The VEBA itself, yes.  MR. ZAKIA: Excuse me. I'd just like to interpose an	9 10 11 12 13	the involvement in any other negotiations. To my knowledge, no.  Q. Okay. So in all of the negotiations in which you've personally participated the outcome did not include the UAW of
11 12 13	modification of the VEBA, is that correct?  A. The VEBA itself, yes.  MR. ZAKIA: Excuse me. I'd just like to interpose an objection. Mr. Lerner said that he represents or is supported	9 10 11 12 13	the involvement in any other negotiations. To my knowledge, no.  Q. Okay. So in all of the negotiations in which you've personally participated the outcome did not include the UAW or retirees or anyone on whose behalf the UAW is negotiating
11 12 13 14	modification of the VEBA, is that correct?  A. The VEBA itself, yes.  MR. ZAKIA: Excuse me. I'd just like to interpose an objection. Mr. Lerner said that he represents or is supported by over 300 members. I believe the amended 2019 had been file	9 10 11 12 13 114	the involvement in any other negotiations. To my knowledge, no.  Q. Okay. So in all of the negotiations in which you've personally participated the outcome did not include the UAW or retirees or anyone on whose behalf the UAW is negotiating receiving equity in the entity with which you're bargaining?
11 12 13 14 15	modification of the VEBA, is that correct?  A. The VEBA itself, yes.  MR. ZAKIA: Excuse me. I'd just like to interpose an objection. Mr. Lerner said that he represents or is supported by over 300 members. I believe the amended 2019 had been file as only seven members for the record, Your Honor.	9 10 11 12 13 114 15	the involvement in any other negotiations. To my knowledge, no.  Q. Okay. So in all of the negotiations in which you've personally participated the outcome did not include the UAW or retirees or anyone on whose behalf the UAW is negotiating receiving equity in the entity with which you're bargaining?  A. I don't believe so.
11 12 13 14 15	modification of the VEBA, is that correct?  A. The VEBA itself, yes.  MR. ZAKIA: Excuse me. I'd just like to interpose an objection. Mr. Lerner said that he represents or is supported by over 300 members. I believe the amended 2019 had been file as only seven members for the record, Your Honor.  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, I chose my words carefully.	9 10 11 12 13 114 15	the involvement in any other negotiations. To my knowledge, no.  Q. Okay. So in all of the negotiations in which you've personally participated the outcome did not include the UAW or retirees or anyone on whose behalf the UAW is negotiating receiving equity in the entity with which you're bargaining?  A. I don't believe so.  Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that in the absence of the Fiat
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	modification of the VEBA, is that correct?  A. The VEBA itself, yes.  MR. ZAKIA: Excuse me. I'd just like to interpose an objection. Mr. Lerner said that he represents or is supported by over 300 members. I believe the amended 2019 had been file as only seven members for the record, Your Honor.  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, I chose my words carefully.  Our amended 2019 statement is correct; there are seven members.	9 10 11 12 13 114 15 16 17	the involvement in any other negotiations. To my knowledge, no.  Q. Okay. So in all of the negotiations in which you've personally participated the outcome did not include the UAW or retirees or anyone on whose behalf the UAW is negotiating receiving equity in the entity with which you're bargaining?  A. I don't believe so.  Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that in the absence of the Fiat transaction and the proposed sale, that the modifications to
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	modification of the VEBA, is that correct?  A. The VEBA itself, yes.  MR. ZAKIA: Excuse me. I'd just like to interpose an objection. Mr. Lerner said that he represents or is supported by over 300 members. I believe the amended 2019 had been file as only seven members for the record, Your Honor.  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, I chose my words carefully. Our amended 2019 statement is correct; there are seven members of our committee. The committee is supported; they're not	9 10 11 12 13 114 15 16 17	the involvement in any other negotiations. To my knowledge, no.  Q. Okay. So in all of the negotiations in which you've personally participated the outcome did not include the UAW or retirees or anyone on whose behalf the UAW is negotiating receiving equity in the entity with which you're bargaining?  A. I don't believe so.  Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that in the absence of the Fiat transaction and the proposed sale, that the modifications to the CBA and to the VEBA would not have been conducted?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	modification of the VEBA, is that correct?  A. The VEBA itself, yes.  MR. ZAKIA: Excuse me. I'd just like to interpose an objection. Mr. Lerner said that he represents or is supported by over 300 members. I believe the amended 2019 had been file as only seven members for the record, Your Honor.  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, I chose my words carefully. Our amended 2019 statement is correct; there are seven members of our committee. The committee is supported; they're not members of the committee, by over 340 dealers who have	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the involvement in any other negotiations. To my knowledge, no.  Q. Okay. So in all of the negotiations in which you've personally participated the outcome did not include the UAW or retirees or anyone on whose behalf the UAW is negotiating receiving equity in the entity with which you're bargaining?  A. I don't believe so.  Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that in the absence of the Fiat transaction and the proposed sale, that the modifications to the CBA and to the VEBA would not have been conducted?  A. I don't know that. Absent Fiat participation?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	modification of the VEBA, is that correct?  A. The VEBA itself, yes.  MR. ZAKIA: Excuse me. I'd just like to interpose an objection. Mr. Lerner said that he represents or is supported by over 300 members. I believe the amended 2019 had been file as only seven members for the record, Your Honor.  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, I chose my words carefully. Our amended 2019 statement is correct; there are seven members of our committee. The committee is supported; they're not members of the committee, by over 340 dealers who have contributed to the financial support of the committee. We are	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the involvement in any other negotiations. To my knowledge, no.  Q. Okay. So in all of the negotiations in which you've personally participated the outcome did not include the UAW or retirees or anyone on whose behalf the UAW is negotiating receiving equity in the entity with which you're bargaining?  A. I don't believe so.  Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that in the absence of the Fiat transaction and the proposed sale, that the modifications to the CBA and to the VEBA would not have been conducted?  A. I don't know that. Absent Fiat participation?  Q. Absent the proposed sale. Meaning that this proposed
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	modification of the VEBA, is that correct?  A. The VEBA itself, yes.  MR. ZAKIA: Excuse me. I'd just like to interpose an objection. Mr. Lerner said that he represents or is supported by over 300 members. I believe the amended 2019 had been file as only seven members for the record, Your Honor.  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, I chose my words carefully. Our amended 2019 statement is correct; there are seven members of our committee. The committee is supported; they're not members of the committee, by over 340 dealers who have contributed to the financial support of the committee. We are representing the collective interests of	9 10 11 12 13 114 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the involvement in any other negotiations. To my knowledge, no.  Q. Okay. So in all of the negotiations in which you've personally participated the outcome did not include the UAW or retirees or anyone on whose behalf the UAW is negotiating receiving equity in the entity with which you're bargaining?  A. I don't believe so.  Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that in the absence of the Fiat transaction and the proposed sale, that the modifications to the CBA and to the VEBA would not have been conducted?  A. I don't know that. Absent Fiat participation?  Q. Absent the proposed sale. Meaning that this proposed the modifications which you negotiated to both the CBA and the
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	modification of the VEBA, is that correct?  A. The VEBA itself, yes.  MR. ZAKIA: Excuse me. I'd just like to interpose an objection. Mr. Lerner said that he represents or is supported by over 300 members. I believe the amended 2019 had been file as only seven members for the record, Your Honor.  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, I chose my words carefully. Our amended 2019 statement is correct; there are seven members of our committee. The committee is supported; they're not members of the committee, by over 340 dealers who have contributed to the financial support of the committee. We are representing the collective interests of  THE COURT: If they contributed to the financial	9 10 11 12 13 114 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the involvement in any other negotiations. To my knowledge, no.  Q. Okay. So in all of the negotiations in which you've personally participated the outcome did not include the UAW or retirees or anyone on whose behalf the UAW is negotiating receiving equity in the entity with which you're bargaining?  A. I don't believe so.  Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that in the absence of the Fiat transaction and the proposed sale, that the modifications to the CBA and to the VEBA would not have been conducted?  A. I don't know that. Absent Fiat participation?  Q. Absent the proposed sale. Meaning that this proposed—the modifications which you negotiated to both the CBA and the VEBA were negotiated specifically in the context of the
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	modification of the VEBA, is that correct?  A. The VEBA itself, yes.  MR. ZAKIA: Excuse me. I'd just like to interpose an objection. Mr. Lerner said that he represents or is supported by over 300 members. I believe the amended 2019 had been file as only seven members for the record, Your Honor.  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, I chose my words carefully. Our amended 2019 statement is correct; there are seven members of our committee. The committee is supported; they're not members of the committee, by over 340 dealers who have contributed to the financial support of the committee. We are representing the collective interests of  THE COURT: If they contributed to the financial support of the committee they're supposed to be named in a	9 10 11 12 13 d 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the involvement in any other negotiations. To my knowledge, no.  Q. Okay. So in all of the negotiations in which you've personally participated the outcome did not include the UAW or retirees or anyone on whose behalf the UAW is negotiating receiving equity in the entity with which you're bargaining?  A. I don't believe so.  Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that in the absence of the Fiat transaction and the proposed sale, that the modifications to the CBA and to the VEBA would not have been conducted?  A. I don't know that. Absent Fiat participation?  Q. Absent the proposed sale. Meaning that this proposed—the modifications which you negotiated to both the CBA and the VEBA were negotiated specifically in the context of the proposed Fiat transaction.

1	showed you, the Pensioners' Exhibit 11, that's the document	1	Q. No, what I mean is that you understand that the VEBA
2	that's entitled UAW Chrysler and it's a message to UAW Chrysle	r 2	portion of the transaction is the conversion of obligations by
3	workers.	3	Old Chrysler to the VEBA into fifty-five percent of the stock
4	A. Yeah.	4	of New Chrysler and a 4.6 plus billion dollar note, right?
5	Q. Okay. Doesn't it say in the third paragraph	5	A. I do know that's the reality of what happened but I also
6	A. Which pages, first?	6	can say had the health care been funded by some other vehicle,
7	Q. Of the first page; sorry. That "In April 2009 Chrysler	7	I don't know that that fifty-five percent was important, is
8	and Fiat reached a partnership agreement in exchange for an	8	important. If that's how it gets there isn't isn't our
9	initial twenty percent equity stake in Chrysler. Fiat has	9	interest. Our interest is at the end of the line when we sign
10	agreed to share all its product platforms," and it goes on to	10	on the dotted line and put in a collective bargaining agreement
11	describe the particular Fiat transaction that the Court is	11	that that agreement provides a level of benefits to our retired
12	being asked to approve, is that correct?	12	workers.
13	A. Yes, it does.	13	Q. I see.
14	Q. Okay. And that if you turn to page 4 of the document	14	A. I don't know about all those vehicles, how it's paid for
15	there's a box in the upper right-hand corner that's entitled	15	if you cashed back or whatever you did.
16	Fiat Investment and Product Commitment, do you see that?	16	Q. Okay. So you don't know how we got to where we are today
17	A. Yes, I do.	17	with the transaction but you do understand the transaction the
18	Q. Okay. And the first sentence there says, "Your UAW	18	way I described it with the note and the stock?
19	leadership has been in intense negotiations with	19	A. I do understand there is a portion of stock in the
20	representatives of Fiat and Chrysler over the past several	20	transaction, yes.
21	months to arrive at a partnership agreement that will secure	21	Q. Okay. If you would turn, please, to page 8 and that's the
22	Chrysler's long-term viability." Do you see that?	22	addendum to the voluntary employee beneficiary association
23	A. I do.	23	agreement.
24	Q. Okay. Are you one of the people that is encompassed by	24	A. Okay.
25	your UAW leadership?	25	Q. Do you see that?
	166		168
1	A. Iam.	1	A. Yeah.
2	Q. Okay. And so doesn't this reflect the fact that the	2	Q. Okay. And the second large heading is Tentative Agreement
3	particular transaction and modifications from which you are	3	Restructures Future of VEBA Funding Obligations.
4	seeking ratification of your members was specifically in	4	A. Okay.
5	connection with the Fiat transaction in which you intensely	5	Q. Okay. And then the third paragraph down, it's just two
6	negotiated for several months?	6	sentences in the paragraph, "The VEBA will have the right to
7	A. It is a result of having a collective bargaining	7	designate a member of Chrysler's board of directors with UAW
8	agreement. I couldn't say that if Fiat wasn't a player that	8	consent." Do you see that?
9	name wouldn't be replaced with Nissan or General Motors or some	9	A. I do.
10	other suitor. This is just reflecting the reality of this	10	Q. Okay. Do you know of any other situation in which the UAW
11	agreement.	11	was permitted to designate a member of a board of directors of
12	Q. Okay. So it was the context, however, of a sale. You're	12	a company with which it has a collective bargaining agreement?
13	saying that	13	A. We sat on the board with DaimlerChrysler. Yes, there are
14	A. It's in the context of explaining to our members exactly	14	some companies that we have either seats on the boards or
15	what happened.	15	designates to the board. None of the Big Three, though.
16	Q. Okay. And clearly the modifications to the VEBA that	16	Q. Okay.
17	resulted were purely unique to this transaction because of the	17	A. Other than the former Chrysler board.
18	stock, for example, that the VEBA will hold, is that correct?	18	Q. Okay.
19	A. I can't say that. I don't know.	19	MR. LERNER: I have nothing further, Your Honor.
20	Q. Okay. And you say that because you didn't negotiate	20	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Anyone else?
21	you weren't involved in the VEBA negotiation?	21	MS. BALL: Yes, Your Honor.
22	A. I can say that yeah, I can say I don't know I know	22	CROSS-EXAMINATION
23	that absent the level of benefits being provided there would	23	BY MS. BALL:
24	have been no collective bargaining agreement, if that's what	24	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Curson.
25	you mean.	25	A. Good afternoon.
	167		169

1	Q. I'm Corrine Ball representing Chrysler in these matters.	1	THE COURT: Anyone else? All right. I have one
2	Mr. Curson, did there come a time when President Gettelfinger	2	question then before will there be redirect? I just want to
3	testified before the Senate Finance Committee?	3	ask this question before.
4	A. There was in December of 2008.	4	MR. BROMLEY: Sure. Absolutely.
5	Q. Did President Gettelfinger also testify before the House	5	THE COURT: Okay. Going back to page 8 and the
6	Banking Committee?	6	reference to the new 4.587 billion dollar note.
7	A. He did, in the same month.	7	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
8	Q. Do you know if during that testimony President	8	THE COURT: Do you know whether there's interest on
9	Gettelfinger expressed the commitment of the UAW to work with	ı 9	that note? If you don't
10	the Detroit Big Three?	10	THE WITNESS: I know that I don't know. To answe
11	A. He did.	11	the question, I don't know.
12	Q. Are you aware that Chrysler first obtained a loan from the	12	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
13	U.S. Treasury in January of 2009?	13	MR. BROMLEY: I just have one or two questions.
14	A. I am.	14	THE COURT: Go ahead.
15	Q. Are you aware that that loan required Chrysler to submit a	15	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
16	plan to the U.S. Treasury on February 17, 2009?	16	BY MR. BROMLEY:
17	A. I do.	17	
			Q. Mr. Curson, at the time that the collective bargaining
18	Q. Are you also aware that that loan agreement required	18	agreement was taken to the membership for ratification did you
19	Chrysler and the UAW to agree on labor modifications as part of		know that Chrysler was going to file for Chapter 11?
20	that February 17th plan?	20	A. I did not.
21	A. It actually required Chrysler to provide a labor agreement	21	MR. BROMLEY: Thank you, Your Honor. That's it.
22	that was competitive. There was no requirement on the UAW to	22	THE COURT: Any recross?
23	participate. The requirement was on Chrysler to provide a	23	MR. ZAKIA: No, Your Honor.
24	labor agreement that was competitive with the transplants.	24	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. The witness may
25	Q. So are you aware that Chrysler initiated discussions with	25	step down. Thank you.
	170		172
1	the UAW shortly after obtaining that loan?	1	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
2	A. Very aware.	2	THE COURT: You're welcome. Before we take a break
3	Q. And was that before you ever heard of Fiat?	3	for lunch just give me an idea of how we're proceeding this
4	A. That was.	4	
	Q. Mr. Curson, do the UAW members also enjoy a defined	5	afternoon from the debtor's standpoint in presenting the case.
5			MR. CULLEN: Yes, Your Honor. We're going to be
6	benefit pension plan with Chrysler?	6	putting on Mr. Nardelli directly after lunch and then Mr.
7	A. They do.	7	Grady.
8	Q. What's going to happen to that plan under the proposed	8	THE COURT: All right. And then what?
9	Fiat transaction?	9	MR. CULLEN: And then I think we're going to move in
10	A. It will remain intact.	10	some there are cross deposition designations that we're
11	Q. Do you have any understanding that if that plan were to be	11	going to attempt to move in. I think that we're going to
12	terminated in a Chrysler liquidation what might happen to your	12	discuss at that point whether and at what time Mr. Lauria want
	workers or the claim that might arise?	13	Mr. Kolka but I think they've designated his entire deposition
13	C		
14	A. We do.	14	now, along with some others.
14 15	A. We do. Q. And what is that understanding?	14 15	now, along with some others.  THE COURT: Now, I'm under the impression that Mr.
14	A. We do.		now, along with some others.
14 15	A. We do. Q. And what is that understanding?	15	now, along with some others.  THE COURT: Now, I'm under the impression that Mr.
14 15 16	<ul><li>A. We do.</li><li>Q. And what is that understanding?</li><li>A. That that the pension would be transferred to the</li></ul>	15 16	now, along with some others.  THE COURT: Now, I'm under the impression that Mr. Lauria still wants an opportunity to cross examine Mr. Kolka,
14 15 16 17	A. We do. Q. And what is that understanding? A. That that the pension would be transferred to the government held pension plan.	15 16 17	now, along with some others.  THE COURT: Now, I'm under the impression that Mr. Lauria still wants an opportunity to cross examine Mr. Kolka, is that correct?
14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. We do.</li> <li>Q. And what is that understanding?</li> <li>A. That that the pension would be transferred to the government held pension plan.</li> <li>Q. The PBGC do you mean?</li> </ul>	15 16 17 18 19	now, along with some others.  THE COURT: Now, I'm under the impression that Mr. Lauria still wants an opportunity to cross examine Mr. Kolka, is that correct?  MR. LAURIA: Yes.
14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. We do.</li> <li>Q. And what is that understanding?</li> <li>A. That that the pension would be transferred to the government held pension plan.</li> <li>Q. The PBGC do you mean?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> </ul>	15 16 17 18 19	now, along with some others.  THE COURT: Now, I'm under the impression that Mr. Lauria still wants an opportunity to cross examine Mr. Kolka, is that correct?  MR. LAURIA: Yes.  THE COURT: All right. He should be available
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. We do.</li> <li>Q. And what is that understanding?</li> <li>A. That that the pension would be transferred to the government held pension plan.</li> <li>Q. The PBGC do you mean?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any idea of how big a claim they might have a</li> </ul>	15 16 17 18 19 3 20	now, along with some others.  THE COURT: Now, I'm under the impression that Mr. Lauria still wants an opportunity to cross examine Mr. Kolka, is that correct?  MR. LAURIA: Yes.  THE COURT: All right. He should be available tonight if we get there.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. We do.</li> <li>Q. And what is that understanding?</li> <li>A. That that the pension would be transferred to the government held pension plan.</li> <li>Q. The PBGC do you mean?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any idea of how big a claim they might have a a result of a Chrysler liquidation?</li> </ul>	15 16 17 18 19 3 20 21	now, along with some others.  THE COURT: Now, I'm under the impression that Mr. Lauria still wants an opportunity to cross examine Mr. Kolka, is that correct?  MR. LAURIA: Yes.  THE COURT: All right. He should be available tonight if we get there.  MR. LAURIA: All right.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. We do.</li> <li>Q. And what is that understanding?</li> <li>A. That that the pension would be transferred to the government held pension plan.</li> <li>Q. The PBGC do you mean?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any idea of how big a claim they might have a a result of a Chrysler liquidation?</li> <li>A. I can't quote that claim. I know it would be large.</li> </ul>	15 16 17 18 19 3 20 21 22	now, along with some others.  THE COURT: Now, I'm under the impression that Mr. Lauria still wants an opportunity to cross examine Mr. Kolka, is that correct?  MR. LAURIA: Yes.  THE COURT: All right. He should be available tonight if we get there.  MR. LAURIA: All right.  THE COURT: All right. And I anticipate going to at
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. We do.</li> <li>Q. And what is that understanding?</li> <li>A. That that the pension would be transferred to the government held pension plan.</li> <li>Q. The PBGC do you mean?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any idea of how big a claim they might have a a result of a Chrysler liquidation?</li> <li>A. I can't quote that claim. I know it would be large.</li> <li>Q. Would you think it would be close to ten billion?</li> </ul>	15 16 17 18 19 3 20 21 22 23	now, along with some others.  THE COURT: Now, I'm under the impression that Mr. Lauria still wants an opportunity to cross examine Mr. Kolka, is that correct?  MR. LAURIA: Yes.  THE COURT: All right. He should be available tonight if we get there.  MR. LAURIA: All right.  THE COURT: All right. And I anticipate going to at least 10:00, or maybe longer, to get as much of this done as

1	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Come back and	1	A. And then we hired, recruited and hired, a gentleman by the
2	2:15 and we'll reconvene at that time.	2	name of Jim Press (ph.). Jim has previous to this was, I
3	(Recess from 1:15 p.m. until 2:18 p.m.)	3	think over thirty years with Toyota and was really attributed
4	THE COURT: Please be seated. All right.	4	with the success Toyota has had here in the United States. So
5	MR. ARMSTRONG: Mr. Nardelli, good afternoon.	5	we reached out to Jim because of his tremendous relationship
6	MR. NARDELLI: Good afternoon.	6	with the dealers, highly regarded, respected marketing and
7	MR. ARMSTRONG: Could you state your full name for		sales experience.
8	the record and if you could just pull the get up closer to	8	And then the fourth person in that organization was Ron
9	the mic for us.	9	Kolka who, again, was there at the time and served as our chief
10	THE COURT: All right. I'm also going to have to	10	financial officer.
11	swear him in first.	11	Q. And what is the function of the office of the chairman?
12	(Witness duly sworn)	12	A. Well, the office of the chairman provides, again,
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION	13	oversight and direction both at a strategic and operational
14	BY MR. ARMSTRONG:	14	basis. We would put together, working obviously with a lot of
15	Q. Okay. Good afternoon.	15	members of the staff, our annual operating plan. We'd put
16	A. Good afternoon.	16	together our three year strategic plan. We would have a formal
17	Q. Can you state your full name for the record?	17	review on product development, capital expenditures, human
18	A. It's Robert L. Nardelli.	18	resource issues, basically many of the strategic directions and
19	Q. And who do you work for?	19	decisions that had to be made we'd come together in a
20	A. Chrysler LLC.	20	formalized manner to review those proposals from members of the
21	Q. And what's your title?	21	staff
22	A. Chairman and CEO.	22	Q. Okay.
23	Q. When did you join Chrysler?	23	A and then render an opinion.
24	A. In August of 2007.	24	Q. And you say render an opinion, render an opinion to whom?
25	Q. And have you worked at Chrysler steadily since that date?	25	A. Well, two ways really. We would provide feedback to, you
	174		176
1	A. Yes, I have.	1	know, the individuals at the functional level presenting
2	Q. And have your responsibilities for the most part, just in	2	whatever whatever the topic might be. And then we would
3	a general way, remained pretty much the same?	3	present to the board of directors.
4	A. Yes, they have.	4	Q. And what is the function of the board of directors?
5		5	A. Well, the board of directors has an overall fiduciary
6	Q. Can you give us just a little flavor, a little idea of what you do for Chrysler?	6	responsibility to review matters that relate to Chrysler LLC,
7	A. Well as the chairman and CEO my role is to provide	7	certainly to ask questions to make sure that they have good
8	strategic direction, chair the board and provide operational	8	transparency to the issues. And then, I think as the records
9	direction for the company working with the office of the	9	would show, proposals would be put forth, resolutions and they
10	chairman.	10	would snow, proposals would be put forth, resolutions and they would vote upon them.
11	Q. Okay. And the last you mentioned an office of the	11	Q. And over the course of time that you've been CEO and
12	chairman, what is that?	12	you've dealt with your board, can you describe for us your
13	A. The office of the chairman is a structure that we put in	13	confidence level in them?
14	place back in August of '07 because we thought it would be a	14	A. I have a tremendous amount of confidence in our board. It
15	very effective way to try and run the company. It's made up of	15	has a number of individuals with really some tremendous
16	four of us, primarily Tom LaSorda, who is a vice-chairman and	16	experience, both in the auto industry and finance industry,
17	president. Tom was the prior CEO of Chrysler when it was part	17	etcetera. So over the course of from August '07 certainly
18	of the Daimler Corporation. And Tom brought a tremendous	18	to date I've gotten to know them better and we really function,
19	amount of continuity and experience and knowledge of Chrysler		I think, extremely well as a board. They've been very
20	had tremendous loyal following within the organization and so	20	supportive, very accommodating. Certainly the five to six
21	he had all of the operational responsibilities, mergers,	21	months with the number and the frequency of meetings we've had
22	acquisitions, so forth.	22	to call.
23	Q. And he was on right from the beginning?	23	Q. And very engaged at all times and solicitous of different
24	A. He was there at the beginning.	24	information, is that fair?
25	Q. And who else?	25	A. Yeah, I think that would be a fair statement.
	2. And who cise:	==	177
	175	1	1,,

1	Q. Okay. And so you get to Chrysler in August of 2007; can	1	you should do in the future with respect to the product line?
2	you give us some sort of idea of what's going on at the company	2	A. Well, as we looked at it, again, we knew that there were
3	at that time in terms of financial health, products on the	3	some holes in the product line relative to the total lineup.
4	horizon. Did you do any sort of assessment?	4	Holes meaning we didn't have some critical product platforms.
5	A. Yeah. I think, you know, having having done this	5	Certainly if you looked at the smaller platforms, what we would
6	probably thirteen times before in my career that you develop a	6	call A, Bs and Cs, it's the smaller, compact, mini-type
7	little bit of a pattern. I think it was really an exciting	7	vehicles that you might be familiar with. Part of that was the
8	time for Chrysler. It was the first independent car company,	8	result of the de-merger. There were vehicles, you know,
9	privately held car company in fifty years. I think there was a	9	basically that were part of a combined that as a result of the
10	lot of excitement about the independence as a result of the	10	de-merger were no longer there.
11	separation from Daimler to really regain that independence,	11	So we looked at that. We looked at where we were on
12	that identity, reestablishing the pentastar as one of the	12	quality. We quickly one of the first things I and the staff
13	logos, one of the iconic brands within the auto industry.	13	did is we made reviewed the product quality. I think we
14	And then set about, for me, going through I have this	14	approved, I don't know, something like 4- or 500 line items at
15	process I call new manager assimilation where I spend an	15	the proving grounds one day because we wanted to quickly
16	extensive amount of time with each member of my staff. We go	16	reestablish a quality image, a reliability, a durability image
17	through a process of what do you know about me, what do I know	17	with our customers because it was woefully absent if you looked
18		18	at JD Powers or Consumer Report. We knew that we had to really
19	Q. Uh-huh.	19	focus on that. We knew we had to reestablish our brand. We
20	A. Then we went through a financial review, where are we as a	20	had to reestablish our product quality, our customer loyalty as
21	result of the de-merger; where do we stand relative to our	21	we moved forward.
22	product line; where do we stand relative to our operational	22	Q. And did you try to address those issues in the second half
23	issues, our challenges. Really going down the entire list of	23	of 2007?
24		24	A. We did.
25	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	25	Q. And how did that your actual performance against the
	178		180
1	Q. And as a result of that assessment did you formulate or	1	projections, how'd you guys do?
1	· ·	1 2	projections, how'd you guys do?  A. Well, I think the team did very well considering the
	2 implement any sort of business plans?		A. Well, I think the team did very well considering the
2	implement any sort of business plans?  A. We did.	2	A. Well, I think the team did very well considering the trauma that they had been through of earlier in that year
3	implement any sort of business plans?  A. We did.  Q. What'd you do?	2	A. Well, I think the team did very well considering the
3	implement any sort of business plans?  A. We did.  Q. What'd you do?  A. Well first of all there was in in 2007 there was a pro	2 3 4	A. Well, I think the team did very well considering the trauma that they had been through of earlier in that year all options were put on the table. There was a tremendous
2 3 4	implement any sort of business plans?  A. We did.  Q. What'd you do?  A. Well first of all there was in in 2007 there was a pro forma developed as part of the separation and acquisition.	2 3 4 5	A. Well, I think the team did very well considering the trauma that they had been through of earlier in that year all options were put on the table. There was a tremendous amount of due diligence that went on at the headquarters and around, a lot of people going through a lot of questions. So
2 3 4 5	implement any sort of business plans?  A. We did.  Q. What'd you do?  A. Well first of all there was in in 2007 there was a pro forma developed as part of the separation and acquisition.  That was done by Cerberus and Daimler, the eighty and twenty	2 3 4 5 6	A. Well, I think the team did very well considering the trauma that they had been through of earlier in that year all options were put on the table. There was a tremendous amount of due diligence that went on at the headquarters and around, a lot of people going through a lot of questions. So all those things could have caused a lot of distraction but
2 3 4 5	implement any sort of business plans?  A. We did.  Q. What'd you do?  A. Well first of all there was in in 2007 there was a pro forma developed as part of the separation and acquisition.  That was done by Cerberus and Daimler, the eighty and twenty percent owners. So there was a pro forma that was in place.	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Well, I think the team did very well considering the trauma that they had been through of earlier in that year all options were put on the table. There was a tremendous amount of due diligence that went on at the headquarters and around, a lot of people going through a lot of questions. So
2 3 4 5 6	implement any sort of business plans?  A. We did.  Q. What'd you do?  A. Well first of all there was in in 2007 there was a pro forma developed as part of the separation and acquisition.  That was done by Cerberus and Daimler, the eighty and twenty percent owners. So there was a pro forma that was in place.  We looked at the day-to-day operations. We looked at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Well, I think the team did very well considering the trauma that they had been through of earlier in that year all options were put on the table. There was a tremendous amount of due diligence that went on at the headquarters and around, a lot of people going through a lot of questions. So all those things could have caused a lot of distraction but quite honestly we met or exceeded all of the targets that were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	implement any sort of business plans?  A. We did. Q. What'd you do? A. Well first of all there was in in 2007 there was a pro forma developed as part of the separation and acquisition. That was done by Cerberus and Daimler, the eighty and twenty percent owners. So there was a pro forma that was in place. We looked at the day-to-day operations. We looked at where we were strategically against that pro forma and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Well, I think the team did very well considering the trauma that they had been through of earlier in that year all options were put on the table. There was a tremendous amount of due diligence that went on at the headquarters and around, a lot of people going through a lot of questions. So all those things could have caused a lot of distraction but quite honestly we met or exceeded all of the targets that were put in place, including we repaid the first lien debt holders three billion dollars in that first year.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	implement any sort of business plans?  A. We did.  Q. What'd you do?  A. Well first of all there was in in 2007 there was a pro forma developed as part of the separation and acquisition.  That was done by Cerberus and Daimler, the eighty and twenty percent owners. So there was a pro forma that was in place.  We looked at the day-to-day operations. We looked at where we were strategically against that pro forma and determined our ability to basically implement and deliver on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Well, I think the team did very well considering the trauma that they had been through of earlier in that year all options were put on the table. There was a tremendous amount of due diligence that went on at the headquarters and around, a lot of people going through a lot of questions. So all those things could have caused a lot of distraction but quite honestly we met or exceeded all of the targets that were put in place, including we repaid the first lien debt holders
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	implement any sort of business plans?  A. We did.  Q. What'd you do?  A. Well first of all there was in in 2007 there was a pro forma developed as part of the separation and acquisition.  That was done by Cerberus and Daimler, the eighty and twenty percent owners. So there was a pro forma that was in place.  We looked at the day-to-day operations. We looked at where we were strategically against that pro forma and determined our ability to basically implement and deliver on those commitments.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Well, I think the team did very well considering the trauma that they had been through of earlier in that year all options were put on the table. There was a tremendous amount of due diligence that went on at the headquarters and around, a lot of people going through a lot of questions. So all those things could have caused a lot of distraction but quite honestly we met or exceeded all of the targets that were put in place, including we repaid the first lien debt holders three billion dollars in that first year.  Q. Okay. And as 2007 came to a close did you and your team
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	implement any sort of business plans?  A. We did.  Q. What'd you do?  A. Well first of all there was in in 2007 there was a pro forma developed as part of the separation and acquisition.  That was done by Cerberus and Daimler, the eighty and twenty percent owners. So there was a pro forma that was in place.  We looked at the day-to-day operations. We looked at where we were strategically against that pro forma and determined our ability to basically implement and deliver on those commitments.  Q. So if I could stop you there for a second. So by pro	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Well, I think the team did very well considering the trauma that they had been through of earlier in that year all options were put on the table. There was a tremendous amount of due diligence that went on at the headquarters and around, a lot of people going through a lot of questions. So all those things could have caused a lot of distraction but quite honestly we met or exceeded all of the targets that were put in place, including we repaid the first lien debt holders three billion dollars in that first year.  Q. Okay. And as 2007 came to a close did you and your team start to assess what 2007 might look like?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	implement any sort of business plans?  A. We did. Q. What'd you do? A. Well first of all there was in in 2007 there was a pro forma developed as part of the separation and acquisition. That was done by Cerberus and Daimler, the eighty and twenty percent owners. So there was a pro forma that was in place. We looked at the day-to-day operations. We looked at where we were strategically against that pro forma and determined our ability to basically implement and deliver on those commitments. Q. So if I could stop you there for a second. So by pro forma you mean projections in a way?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Well, I think the team did very well considering the trauma that they had been through of earlier in that year all options were put on the table. There was a tremendous amount of due diligence that went on at the headquarters and around, a lot of people going through a lot of questions. So all those things could have caused a lot of distraction but quite honestly we met or exceeded all of the targets that were put in place, including we repaid the first lien debt holders three billion dollars in that first year.  Q. Okay. And as 2007 came to a close did you and your team start to assess what 2007 might look like?  A. We did. And again, I was relatively new to the business,
22 33 44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144	implement any sort of business plans?  A. We did.  Q. What'd you do?  A. Well first of all there was in in 2007 there was a pro forma developed as part of the separation and acquisition.  That was done by Cerberus and Daimler, the eighty and twenty percent owners. So there was a pro forma that was in place.  We looked at the day-to-day operations. We looked at where we were strategically against that pro forma and determined our ability to basically implement and deliver on those commitments.  Q. So if I could stop you there for a second. So by pro forma you mean projections in a way?  A. Yeah, it would be a standard financial pro forma. It had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Well, I think the team did very well considering the trauma that they had been through of earlier in that year all options were put on the table. There was a tremendous amount of due diligence that went on at the headquarters and around, a lot of people going through a lot of questions. So all those things could have caused a lot of distraction but quite honestly we met or exceeded all of the targets that were put in place, including we repaid the first lien debt holders three billion dollars in that first year.  Q. Okay. And as 2007 came to a close did you and your team start to assess what 2007 might look like?  A. We did. And again, I was relatively new to the business, to the auto industry, but I've been blessed to be in a variety
22 33 44 55 66 77 88 90 10 11 12 13 14 15	implement any sort of business plans?  A. We did.  Q. What'd you do?  A. Well first of all there was in in 2007 there was a pro forma developed as part of the separation and acquisition.  That was done by Cerberus and Daimler, the eighty and twenty percent owners. So there was a pro forma that was in place.  We looked at the day-to-day operations. We looked at where we were strategically against that pro forma and determined our ability to basically implement and deliver on those commitments.  Q. So if I could stop you there for a second. So by pro forma you mean projections in a way?  A. Yeah, it would be a standard financial pro forma. It had both quantitative and qualitative objectives in it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Well, I think the team did very well considering the trauma that they had been through of earlier in that year all options were put on the table. There was a tremendous amount of due diligence that went on at the headquarters and around, a lot of people going through a lot of questions. So all those things could have caused a lot of distraction but quite honestly we met or exceeded all of the targets that were put in place, including we repaid the first lien debt holders three billion dollars in that first year.  Q. Okay. And as 2007 came to a close did you and your team start to assess what 2007 might look like?  A. We did. And again, I was relatively new to the business, to the auto industry, but I've been blessed to be in a variety of different industries so you tend, again, to develop a level
22 33 44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166	implement any sort of business plans?  A. We did.  Q. What'd you do?  A. Well first of all there was in in 2007 there was a pro forma developed as part of the separation and acquisition.  That was done by Cerberus and Daimler, the eighty and twenty percent owners. So there was a pro forma that was in place.  We looked at the day-to-day operations. We looked at where we were strategically against that pro forma and determined our ability to basically implement and deliver on those commitments.  Q. So if I could stop you there for a second. So by pro forma you mean projections in a way?  A. Yeah, it would be a standard financial pro forma. It had both quantitative and qualitative objectives in it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Well, I think the team did very well considering the trauma that they had been through of earlier in that year all options were put on the table. There was a tremendous amount of due diligence that went on at the headquarters and around, a lot of people going through a lot of questions. So all those things could have caused a lot of distraction but quite honestly we met or exceeded all of the targets that were put in place, including we repaid the first lien debt holders three billion dollars in that first year.  Q. Okay. And as 2007 came to a close did you and your team start to assess what 2007 might look like?  A. We did. And again, I was relatively new to the business, to the auto industry, but I've been blessed to be in a variety of different industries so you tend, again, to develop a level of questions and indices that you use as indicators for the
22 33 44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177	implement any sort of business plans?  A. We did.  Q. What'd you do?  A. Well first of all there was in in 2007 there was a pro forma developed as part of the separation and acquisition.  That was done by Cerberus and Daimler, the eighty and twenty percent owners. So there was a pro forma that was in place.  We looked at the day-to-day operations. We looked at where we were strategically against that pro forma and determined our ability to basically implement and deliver on those commitments.  Q. So if I could stop you there for a second. So by pro forma you mean projections in a way?  A. Yeah, it would be a standard financial pro forma. It had both quantitative and qualitative objectives in it.  Q. Okay. And it covered what period of time? Is it the stub period, the August 2007 to December 2007 period?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Well, I think the team did very well considering the trauma that they had been through of earlier in that year all options were put on the table. There was a tremendous amount of due diligence that went on at the headquarters and around, a lot of people going through a lot of questions. So all those things could have caused a lot of distraction but quite honestly we met or exceeded all of the targets that were put in place, including we repaid the first lien debt holders three billion dollars in that first year.  Q. Okay. And as 2007 came to a close did you and your team start to assess what 2007 might look like?  A. We did. And again, I was relatively new to the business, to the auto industry, but I've been blessed to be in a variety of different industries so you tend, again, to develop a level of questions and indices that you use as indicators for the future. So for me, I saw some real storm clouds on the
22 33 44 56 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188	implement any sort of business plans?  A. We did.  Q. What'd you do?  A. Well first of all there was in in 2007 there was a pro forma developed as part of the separation and acquisition.  That was done by Cerberus and Daimler, the eighty and twenty percent owners. So there was a pro forma that was in place.  We looked at the day-to-day operations. We looked at where we were strategically against that pro forma and determined our ability to basically implement and deliver on those commitments.  Q. So if I could stop you there for a second. So by pro forma you mean projections in a way?  A. Yeah, it would be a standard financial pro forma. It had both quantitative and qualitative objectives in it.  Q. Okay. And it covered what period of time? Is it the stub period, the August 2007 to December 2007 period?  A. Yeah, that's primarily what we were focused on when we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Well, I think the team did very well considering the trauma that they had been through of earlier in that year all options were put on the table. There was a tremendous amount of due diligence that went on at the headquarters and around, a lot of people going through a lot of questions. So all those things could have caused a lot of distraction but quite honestly we met or exceeded all of the targets that were put in place, including we repaid the first lien debt holders three billion dollars in that first year.  Q. Okay. And as 2007 came to a close did you and your team start to assess what 2007 might look like?  A. We did. And again, I was relatively new to the business, to the auto industry, but I've been blessed to be in a variety of different industries so you tend, again, to develop a level of questions and indices that you use as indicators for the future. So for me, I saw some real storm clouds on the horizon.
22 33 44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199	implement any sort of business plans?  A. We did.  Q. What'd you do?  A. Well first of all there was in in 2007 there was a pro forma developed as part of the separation and acquisition.  That was done by Cerberus and Daimler, the eighty and twenty percent owners. So there was a pro forma that was in place.  We looked at the day-to-day operations. We looked at where we were strategically against that pro forma and determined our ability to basically implement and deliver on those commitments.  Q. So if I could stop you there for a second. So by pro forma you mean projections in a way?  A. Yeah, it would be a standard financial pro forma. It had both quantitative and qualitative objectives in it.  Q. Okay. And it covered what period of time? Is it the stub period, the August 2007 to December 2007 period?  A. Yeah, that's primarily what we were focused on when we took over as to, you know, what were the commitment that were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Well, I think the team did very well considering the trauma that they had been through of earlier in that year all options were put on the table. There was a tremendous amount of due diligence that went on at the headquarters and around, a lot of people going through a lot of questions. So all those things could have caused a lot of distraction but quite honestly we met or exceeded all of the targets that were put in place, including we repaid the first lien debt holders three billion dollars in that first year.  Q. Okay. And as 2007 came to a close did you and your team start to assess what 2007 might look like?  A. We did. And again, I was relatively new to the business, to the auto industry, but I've been blessed to be in a variety of different industries so you tend, again, to develop a level of questions and indices that you use as indicators for the future. So for me, I saw some real storm clouds on the horizon.  Q. Like what?
22 33 44 55 66 77 88 99 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	implement any sort of business plans?  A. We did.  Q. What'd you do?  A. Well first of all there was in in 2007 there was a pro forma developed as part of the separation and acquisition.  That was done by Cerberus and Daimler, the eighty and twenty percent owners. So there was a pro forma that was in place.  We looked at the day-to-day operations. We looked at where we were strategically against that pro forma and determined our ability to basically implement and deliver on those commitments.  Q. So if I could stop you there for a second. So by pro forma you mean projections in a way?  A. Yeah, it would be a standard financial pro forma. It had both quantitative and qualitative objectives in it.  Q. Okay. And it covered what period of time? Is it the stub period, the August 2007 to December 2007 period?  A. Yeah, that's primarily what we were focused on when we took over as to, you know, what were the commitment that were made and our ability to deliver on those commitments, certainly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Well, I think the team did very well considering the trauma that they had been through of earlier in that year all options were put on the table. There was a tremendous amount of due diligence that went on at the headquarters and around, a lot of people going through a lot of questions. So all those things could have caused a lot of distraction but quite honestly we met or exceeded all of the targets that were put in place, including we repaid the first lien debt holders three billion dollars in that first year.  Q. Okay. And as 2007 came to a close did you and your team start to assess what 2007 might look like?  A. We did. And again, I was relatively new to the business, to the auto industry, but I've been blessed to be in a variety of different industries so you tend, again, to develop a level of questions and indices that you use as indicators for the future. So for me, I saw some real storm clouds on the horizon.  Q. Like what?  A. Well, we started to see housing starting to pull back. We started to see a dip in consumer confidence. We started to see
22 33 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 1 1 1 1 2 1 3 1 4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	implement any sort of business plans?  A. We did.  Q. What'd you do?  A. Well first of all there was in in 2007 there was a pro forma developed as part of the separation and acquisition.  That was done by Cerberus and Daimler, the eighty and twenty percent owners. So there was a pro forma that was in place.  We looked at the day-to-day operations. We looked at where we were strategically against that pro forma and determined our ability to basically implement and deliver on those commitments.  Q. So if I could stop you there for a second. So by pro forma you mean projections in a way?  A. Yeah, it would be a standard financial pro forma. It had both quantitative and qualitative objectives in it.  Q. Okay. And it covered what period of time? Is it the stub period, the August 2007 to December 2007 period?  A. Yeah, that's primarily what we were focused on when we took over as to, you know, what were the commitment that were made and our ability to deliver on those commitments, certainly through August August through December of '07 and then there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Well, I think the team did very well considering the trauma that they had been through of earlier in that year all options were put on the table. There was a tremendous amount of due diligence that went on at the headquarters and around, a lot of people going through a lot of questions. So all those things could have caused a lot of distraction but quite honestly we met or exceeded all of the targets that were put in place, including we repaid the first lien debt holders three billion dollars in that first year.  Q. Okay. And as 2007 came to a close did you and your team start to assess what 2007 might look like?  A. We did. And again, I was relatively new to the business, to the auto industry, but I've been blessed to be in a variety of different industries so you tend, again, to develop a level of questions and indices that you use as indicators for the future. So for me, I saw some real storm clouds on the horizon.  Q. Like what?  A. Well, we started to see housing starting to pull back. We started to see a dip in consumer confidence. We started to see
22 33 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 14 15 16 17 18 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	implement any sort of business plans?  A. We did.  Q. What'd you do?  A. Well first of all there was in in 2007 there was a pro forma developed as part of the separation and acquisition.  That was done by Cerberus and Daimler, the eighty and twenty percent owners. So there was a pro forma that was in place.  We looked at the day-to-day operations. We looked at where we were strategically against that pro forma and determined our ability to basically implement and deliver on those commitments.  Q. So if I could stop you there for a second. So by pro forma you mean projections in a way?  A. Yeah, it would be a standard financial pro forma. It had both quantitative and qualitative objectives in it.  Q. Okay. And it covered what period of time? Is it the stub period, the August 2007 to December 2007 period?  A. Yeah, that's primarily what we were focused on when we took over as to, you know, what were the commitment that were made and our ability to deliver on those commitments, certainly through August August through December of '07 and then there were projections going forward.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Well, I think the team did very well considering the trauma that they had been through of earlier in that year all options were put on the table. There was a tremendous amount of due diligence that went on at the headquarters and around, a lot of people going through a lot of questions. So all those things could have caused a lot of distraction but quite honestly we met or exceeded all of the targets that were put in place, including we repaid the first lien debt holders three billion dollars in that first year.  Q. Okay. And as 2007 came to a close did you and your team start to assess what 2007 might look like?  A. We did. And again, I was relatively new to the business, to the auto industry, but I've been blessed to be in a variety of different industries so you tend, again, to develop a level of questions and indices that you use as indicators for the future. So for me, I saw some real storm clouds on the horizon.  Q. Like what?  A. Well, we started to see housing starting to pull back. We started to see a dip in consumer confidence. We started to see some unemployment numbers. So if you look at some of the major.
22 33 44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 200 211 222 233	implement any sort of business plans?  A. We did.  Q. What'd you do?  A. Well first of all there was in in 2007 there was a pro forma developed as part of the separation and acquisition.  That was done by Cerberus and Daimler, the eighty and twenty percent owners. So there was a pro forma that was in place.  We looked at the day-to-day operations. We looked at where we were strategically against that pro forma and determined our ability to basically implement and deliver on those commitments.  Q. So if I could stop you there for a second. So by pro forma you mean projections in a way?  A. Yeah, it would be a standard financial pro forma. It had both quantitative and qualitative objectives in it.  Q. Okay. And it covered what period of time? Is it the stub period, the August 2007 to December 2007 period?  A. Yeah, that's primarily what we were focused on when we took over as to, you know, what were the commitment that were made and our ability to deliver on those commitments, certainly through August August through December of '07 and then there were projections going forward.  Q. Did you implement any you said before that you assessed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Well, I think the team did very well considering the trauma that they had been through of earlier in that year all options were put on the table. There was a tremendous amount of due diligence that went on at the headquarters and around, a lot of people going through a lot of questions. So all those things could have caused a lot of distraction but quite honestly we met or exceeded all of the targets that were put in place, including we repaid the first lien debt holders three billion dollars in that first year.  Q. Okay. And as 2007 came to a close did you and your team start to assess what 2007 might look like?  A. We did. And again, I was relatively new to the business, to the auto industry, but I've been blessed to be in a variety of different industries so you tend, again, to develop a level of questions and indices that you use as indicators for the future. So for me, I saw some real storm clouds on the horizon.  Q. Like what?  A. Well, we started to see housing starting to pull back. We started to see a dip in consumer confidence. We started to see some unemployment numbers. So if you look at some of the major indicators out there, for me in looking at the correlation of

181

179

1	that we should break from the traditional every year is going	1	setting a very conservative plan and having a very aggressive
2	to be bigger than the last year.	2	implementation of that plan served us well. We, again, through
3	Q. Right.	3	the first half I should say that we were meeting or beating all
4	A. And we were coming off a SAR level of sixteen, seventeen	4	of those all of the metrics. And that plan was presented to
5	million units in the U.S. So you can imagine, as a new guy, I	5	the board in late 2007, obviously we do every year is present
6	got a lot of pushback. I said I really think it's going to be	6	an operating plan to the board. They approve the operating
7	more like 15.5 million units. And we were the most aggressive,	7	plan. By charter they have to approve the overall capital
8	meaning on the conservative side.	8	expenditure levels.
9	Q. Conservative side with respect to projections about SAR?	9	Q. Right.
10	A. Yes.	10	A. And then if there's any deviation from that we have to go
11	Q. Okay.	11	back to the board and approve it, get approval. So through the
12	A. Yeah. So a lot of the a lot of the other auto	12	first half, quite honestly, in spite of the downward pressure
13	manufacturers in Detroit were still using 16, 16.5, 16.7 but in	13	we saw in the economy, the downward pressure we saw in the aut
14	November we put together a plan based on a 15.5, kind of a hold	14	industry we were still performing fairly well against that plan
15	share strategy because we knew we had some product gaps. And	15	and we were somewhere around nine plus billion dollars in cash
16	so we didn't have we felt we wouldn't have any pricing	16	after we had paid three billion dollars back to the first lien
17	authority, again looking into 2008. And I think, quite	17	lenders.
18	honestly, it served us well because we immediately set about	18	Q. So then what wound up happening in 2008
19	some restructuring. We eliminated some nameplates early on in	19	A. Well
20	the process.	20	Q turning that around?
21	Q. And by that you mean?	21	A. Well, I fast forward and the roof fell in or the bottom
22	A. We eliminated some brands, some nameplates like Pacifica,	22	fell in. Either way it was almost like somebody flipped a
23	for example, was one of the vehicles that we eliminated. And	23	switch. And I think if you look back on it we saw we saw
24	as we went through the balance of November, December put	24	tremendous downward pressure in the economy. We saw housing
25	together and started to implement some pretty aggressive plans.	25	starts, we saw mortgage defaults, we saw a financial meltdown
	182		184
1	Now one of the things I like to do is to make sure that	1	relative to the ability to get liquidity. We saw an industry
1 2	Now one of the things I like to do is to make sure that	1 2	relative to the ability to get liquidity. We saw an industry that was going from seventeen million units a year on its way
2	our exit rate of the year matches the requirements of the	2	that was going from seventeen million units a year on its way
2	-	2	that was going from seventeen million units a year on its way down to thirteen, twelve. And of course now we're looking at
2 3 4	our exit rate of the year matches the requirements of the entrance rate for 2008. So if you had to take fixed cost out -	2 3 4	that was going from seventeen million units a year on its way down to thirteen, twelve. And of course now we're looking at ten something with a build rate of nine. So we
2 3 4 5	our exit rate of the year matches the requirements of the entrance rate for 2008. So if you had to take fixed cost out - Q. Right.	2 3 4 5	that was going from seventeen million units a year on its way down to thirteen, twelve. And of course now we're looking at ten something with a build rate of nine. So we Q. This is all excuse me, this is all during what period
2 3 4 5	our exit rate of the year matches the requirements of the entrance rate for 2008. So if you had to take fixed cost out Q. Right.  A I wanted to really go through the reductions in '07 and	2 3 4 5	that was going from seventeen million units a year on its way down to thirteen, twelve. And of course now we're looking at ten something with a build rate of nine. So we Q. This is all excuse me, this is all during what period of time?
2 3 4 5 6 7	our exit rate of the year matches the requirements of the entrance rate for 2008. So if you had to take fixed cost out Q. Right. A I wanted to really go through the reductions in '07 and get a jump on this for '08 rather than lag or linger going into	2 3 4 5 6 7	that was going from seventeen million units a year on its way down to thirteen, twelve. And of course now we're looking at ten something with a build rate of nine. So we Q. This is all excuse me, this is all during what period of time?  A. It really it really started to happen at an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	our exit rate of the year matches the requirements of the entrance rate for 2008. So if you had to take fixed cost out - Q. Right.  A I wanted to really go through the reductions in '07 and get a jump on this for '08 rather than lag or linger going into '08 because it's always gut wrenching decisions. These are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that was going from seventeen million units a year on its way down to thirteen, twelve. And of course now we're looking at ten something with a build rate of nine. So we Q. This is all excuse me, this is all during what period of time?  A. It really it really started to happen at an unprecedented rate, I mean there was just a death spiral
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	our exit rate of the year matches the requirements of the entrance rate for 2008. So if you had to take fixed cost out - Q. Right.  A I wanted to really go through the reductions in '07 and get a jump on this for '08 rather than lag or linger going into '08 because it's always gut wrenching decisions. These are always tough decisions to make.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that was going from seventeen million units a year on its way down to thirteen, twelve. And of course now we're looking at ten something with a build rate of nine. So we Q. This is all excuse me, this is all during what period of time?  A. It really it really started to happen at an unprecedented rate, I mean there was just a death spiral starting somewhere past June, July timeframe of 2008.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	our exit rate of the year matches the requirements of the entrance rate for 2008. So if you had to take fixed cost out - Q. Right. A I wanted to really go through the reductions in '07 and get a jump on this for '08 rather than lag or linger going into '08 because it's always gut wrenching decisions. These are always tough decisions to make.  So we started a rigor. We had this RTP, return to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that was going from seventeen million units a year on its way down to thirteen, twelve. And of course now we're looking at ten something with a build rate of nine. So we Q. This is all excuse me, this is all during what period of time?  A. It really it really started to happen at an unprecedented rate, I mean there was just a death spiral starting somewhere past June, July timeframe of 2008.  Q. And during this period of time, with all of this going on,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	our exit rate of the year matches the requirements of the entrance rate for 2008. So if you had to take fixed cost out - Q. Right.  A I wanted to really go through the reductions in '07 and get a jump on this for '08 rather than lag or linger going into '08 because it's always gut wrenching decisions. These are always tough decisions to make.  So we started a rigor. We had this RTP, return to profitability, ever Monday. We'd meet for four to six hours,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that was going from seventeen million units a year on its way down to thirteen, twelve. And of course now we're looking at ten something with a build rate of nine. So we Q. This is all excuse me, this is all during what period of time?  A. It really it really started to happen at an unprecedented rate, I mean there was just a death spiral starting somewhere past June, July timeframe of 2008.  Q. And during this period of time, with all of this going on, does Chrysler start were you having cash flow difficulties
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	our exit rate of the year matches the requirements of the entrance rate for 2008. So if you had to take fixed cost out Q. Right. A I wanted to really go through the reductions in '07 and get a jump on this for '08 rather than lag or linger going into '08 because it's always gut wrenching decisions. These are always tough decisions to make.  So we started a rigor. We had this RTP, return to profitability, ever Monday. We'd meet for four to six hours, each of the functional heads. We'd go through a room like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that was going from seventeen million units a year on its way down to thirteen, twelve. And of course now we're looking at ten something with a build rate of nine. So we Q. This is all excuse me, this is all during what period of time?  A. It really it really started to happen at an unprecedented rate, I mean there was just a death spiral starting somewhere past June, July timeframe of 2008.  Q. And during this period of time, with all of this going on, does Chrysler start were you having cash flow difficulties at that point?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	our exit rate of the year matches the requirements of the entrance rate for 2008. So if you had to take fixed cost out Q. Right. A I wanted to really go through the reductions in '07 and get a jump on this for '08 rather than lag or linger going into '08 because it's always gut wrenching decisions. These are always tough decisions to make.  So we started a rigor. We had this RTP, return to profitability, ever Monday. We'd meet for four to six hours, each of the functional heads. We'd go through a room like this, standup meeting. We'd go around the wall and look at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that was going from seventeen million units a year on its way down to thirteen, twelve. And of course now we're looking at ten something with a build rate of nine. So we Q. This is all excuse me, this is all during what period of time?  A. It really it really started to happen at an unprecedented rate, I mean there was just a death spiral starting somewhere past June, July timeframe of 2008.  Q. And during this period of time, with all of this going on, does Chrysler start were you having cash flow difficulties at that point?  A. Well, through the first half we were okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	our exit rate of the year matches the requirements of the entrance rate for 2008. So if you had to take fixed cost out - Q. Right.  A I wanted to really go through the reductions in '07 and get a jump on this for '08 rather than lag or linger going into '08 because it's always gut wrenching decisions. These are always tough decisions to make.  So we started a rigor. We had this RTP, return to profitability, ever Monday. We'd meet for four to six hours, each of the functional heads. We'd go through a room like this, standup meeting. We'd go around the wall and look at each of the metrics to make sure that we were adhering to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that was going from seventeen million units a year on its way down to thirteen, twelve. And of course now we're looking at ten something with a build rate of nine. So we Q. This is all excuse me, this is all during what period of time?  A. It really it really started to happen at an unprecedented rate, I mean there was just a death spiral starting somewhere past June, July timeframe of 2008.  Q. And during this period of time, with all of this going on, does Chrysler start were you having cash flow difficulties at that point?  A. Well, through the first half we were okay.  Q. The first half of 2008?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	our exit rate of the year matches the requirements of the entrance rate for 2008. So if you had to take fixed cost out Q. Right. A I wanted to really go through the reductions in '07 and get a jump on this for '08 rather than lag or linger going into '08 because it's always gut wrenching decisions. These are always tough decisions to make.  So we started a rigor. We had this RTP, return to profitability, ever Monday. We'd meet for four to six hours, each of the functional heads. We'd go through a room like this, standup meeting. We'd go around the wall and look at each of the metrics to make sure that we were adhering to the plan or if not what did we have to do to get there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that was going from seventeen million units a year on its way down to thirteen, twelve. And of course now we're looking at ten something with a build rate of nine. So we Q. This is all excuse me, this is all during what period of time? A. It really it really started to happen at an unprecedented rate, I mean there was just a death spiral starting somewhere past June, July timeframe of 2008. Q. And during this period of time, with all of this going on, does Chrysler start were you having cash flow difficulties at that point? A. Well, through the first half we were okay. Q. The first half of 2008? A. Of 2008 we were okay. In the back half we started to burn
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	our exit rate of the year matches the requirements of the entrance rate for 2008. So if you had to take fixed cost out Q. Right. A I wanted to really go through the reductions in '07 and get a jump on this for '08 rather than lag or linger going into '08 because it's always gut wrenching decisions. These are always tough decisions to make.  So we started a rigor. We had this RTP, return to profitability, ever Monday. We'd meet for four to six hours, each of the functional heads. We'd go through a room like this, standup meeting. We'd go around the wall and look at each of the metrics to make sure that we were adhering to the plan or if not what did we have to do to get there.  Q. Right. And so you were forecasting that it wasn't going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that was going from seventeen million units a year on its way down to thirteen, twelve. And of course now we're looking at ten something with a build rate of nine. So we Q. This is all excuse me, this is all during what period of time? A. It really it really started to happen at an unprecedented rate, I mean there was just a death spiral starting somewhere past June, July timeframe of 2008. Q. And during this period of time, with all of this going on, does Chrysler start were you having cash flow difficulties at that point? A. Well, through the first half we were okay. Q. The first half of 2008? A. Of 2008 we were okay. In the back half we started to burn cash. I think we burned somewhere around six to seven billion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	our exit rate of the year matches the requirements of the entrance rate for 2008. So if you had to take fixed cost out - Q. Right.  A I wanted to really go through the reductions in '07 and get a jump on this for '08 rather than lag or linger going into '08 because it's always gut wrenching decisions. These are always tough decisions to make.  So we started a rigor. We had this RTP, return to profitability, ever Monday. We'd meet for four to six hours, each of the functional heads. We'd go through a room like this, standup meeting. We'd go around the wall and look at each of the metrics to make sure that we were adhering to the plan or if not what did we have to do to get there.  Q. Right. And so you were forecasting that it wasn't going to be such a rosy year in 2008, fair?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that was going from seventeen million units a year on its way down to thirteen, twelve. And of course now we're looking at ten something with a build rate of nine. So we Q. This is all excuse me, this is all during what period of time?  A. It really it really started to happen at an unprecedented rate, I mean there was just a death spiral starting somewhere past June, July timeframe of 2008.  Q. And during this period of time, with all of this going on, does Chrysler start were you having cash flow difficulties at that point?  A. Well, through the first half we were okay.  Q. The first half of 2008?  A. Of 2008 we were okay. In the back half we started to burn cash. I think we burned somewhere around six to seven billion dollars just trying to resize our business. I mean, this is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	our exit rate of the year matches the requirements of the entrance rate for 2008. So if you had to take fixed cost out Q. Right. A I wanted to really go through the reductions in '07 and get a jump on this for '08 rather than lag or linger going into '08 because it's always gut wrenching decisions. These are always tough decisions to make.  So we started a rigor. We had this RTP, return to profitability, ever Monday. We'd meet for four to six hours, each of the functional heads. We'd go through a room like this, standup meeting. We'd go around the wall and look at each of the metrics to make sure that we were adhering to the plan or if not what did we have to do to get there.  Q. Right. And so you were forecasting that it wasn't going to be such a rosy year in 2008, fair?  A. Yeah. We knew, again I think the term I like is we saw	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that was going from seventeen million units a year on its way down to thirteen, twelve. And of course now we're looking at ten something with a build rate of nine. So we Q. This is all excuse me, this is all during what period of time?  A. It really it really started to happen at an unprecedented rate, I mean there was just a death spiral starting somewhere past June, July timeframe of 2008.  Q. And during this period of time, with all of this going on, does Chrysler start were you having cash flow difficulties at that point?  A. Well, through the first half we were okay.  Q. The first half of 2008?  A. Of 2008 we were okay. In the back half we started to burn cash. I think we burned somewhere around six to seven billion dollars just trying to resize our business. I mean, this is a very complex business but it's a pretty simple business when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	our exit rate of the year matches the requirements of the entrance rate for 2008. So if you had to take fixed cost out Q. Right.  A I wanted to really go through the reductions in '07 and get a jump on this for '08 rather than lag or linger going into '08 because it's always gut wrenching decisions. These are always tough decisions to make.  So we started a rigor. We had this RTP, return to profitability, ever Monday. We'd meet for four to six hours, each of the functional heads. We'd go through a room like this, standup meeting. We'd go around the wall and look at each of the metrics to make sure that we were adhering to the plan or if not what did we have to do to get there.  Q. Right. And so you were forecasting that it wasn't going to be such a rosy year in 2008, fair?  A. Yeah. We knew, again I think the term I like is we saw some storm clouds on the horizon.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that was going from seventeen million units a year on its way down to thirteen, twelve. And of course now we're looking at ten something with a build rate of nine. So we Q. This is all excuse me, this is all during what period of time?  A. It really it really started to happen at an unprecedented rate, I mean there was just a death spiral starting somewhere past June, July timeframe of 2008.  Q. And during this period of time, with all of this going on, does Chrysler start were you having cash flow difficulties at that point?  A. Well, through the first half we were okay.  Q. The first half of 2008?  A. Of 2008 we were okay. In the back half we started to burn cash. I think we burned somewhere around six to seven billion dollars just trying to resize our business. I mean, this is a very complex business but it's a pretty simple business when you think about what generates cash.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	our exit rate of the year matches the requirements of the entrance rate for 2008. So if you had to take fixed cost out Q. Right. A I wanted to really go through the reductions in '07 and get a jump on this for '08 rather than lag or linger going into '08 because it's always gut wrenching decisions. These are always tough decisions to make.  So we started a rigor. We had this RTP, return to profitability, ever Monday. We'd meet for four to six hours, each of the functional heads. We'd go through a room like this, standup meeting. We'd go around the wall and look at each of the metrics to make sure that we were adhering to the plan or if not what did we have to do to get there. Q. Right. And so you were forecasting that it wasn't going to be such a rosy year in 2008, fair? A. Yeah. We knew, again I think the term I like is we saw some storm clouds on the horizon. Q. And then you were you proactive about implementing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that was going from seventeen million units a year on its way down to thirteen, twelve. And of course now we're looking at ten something with a build rate of nine. So we Q. This is all excuse me, this is all during what period of time? A. It really it really started to happen at an unprecedented rate, I mean there was just a death spiral starting somewhere past June, July timeframe of 2008. Q. And during this period of time, with all of this going on, does Chrysler start were you having cash flow difficulties at that point? A. Well, through the first half we were okay. Q. The first half of 2008? A. Of 2008 we were okay. In the back half we started to burn cash. I think we burned somewhere around six to seven billion dollars just trying to resize our business. I mean, this is a very complex business but it's a pretty simple business when you think about what generates cash.  For example, a consumer could no longer get a loan. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	our exit rate of the year matches the requirements of the entrance rate for 2008. So if you had to take fixed cost out Q. Right. A I wanted to really go through the reductions in '07 and get a jump on this for '08 rather than lag or linger going into '08 because it's always gut wrenching decisions. These are always tough decisions to make.  So we started a rigor. We had this RTP, return to profitability, ever Monday. We'd meet for four to six hours, each of the functional heads. We'd go through a room like this, standup meeting. We'd go around the wall and look at each of the metrics to make sure that we were adhering to the plan or if not what did we have to do to get there. Q. Right. And so you were forecasting that it wasn't going to be such a rosy year in 2008, fair? A. Yeah. We knew, again I think the term I like is we saw some storm clouds on the horizon. Q. And then you were you proactive about implementing specifically cost-cutting mechanisms?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that was going from seventeen million units a year on its way down to thirteen, twelve. And of course now we're looking at ten something with a build rate of nine. So we Q. This is all excuse me, this is all during what period of time? A. It really it really started to happen at an unprecedented rate, I mean there was just a death spiral starting somewhere past June, July timeframe of 2008. Q. And during this period of time, with all of this going on, does Chrysler start were you having cash flow difficulties at that point? A. Well, through the first half we were okay. Q. The first half of 2008? A. Of 2008 we were okay. In the back half we started to burn cash. I think we burned somewhere around six to seven billion dollars just trying to resize our business. I mean, this is a very complex business but it's a pretty simple business when you think about what generates cash.  For example, a consumer could no longer get a loan. So where they were able to qualify at 6.20 or 6.30 FICA, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	our exit rate of the year matches the requirements of the entrance rate for 2008. So if you had to take fixed cost out Q. Right. A I wanted to really go through the reductions in '07 and get a jump on this for '08 rather than lag or linger going into '08 because it's always gut wrenching decisions. These are always tough decisions to make.  So we started a rigor. We had this RTP, return to profitability, ever Monday. We'd meet for four to six hours, each of the functional heads. We'd go through a room like this, standup meeting. We'd go around the wall and look at each of the metrics to make sure that we were adhering to the plan or if not what did we have to do to get there. Q. Right. And so you were forecasting that it wasn't going to be such a rosy year in 2008, fair? A. Yeah. We knew, again I think the term I like is we saw some storm clouds on the horizon. Q. And then you were you proactive about implementing specifically cost-cutting mechanisms? A. Yes. We were very aggressive on that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that was going from seventeen million units a year on its way down to thirteen, twelve. And of course now we're looking at ten something with a build rate of nine. So we Q. This is all excuse me, this is all during what period of time? A. It really it really started to happen at an unprecedented rate, I mean there was just a death spiral starting somewhere past June, July timeframe of 2008. Q. And during this period of time, with all of this going on, does Chrysler start were you having cash flow difficulties at that point? A. Well, through the first half we were okay. Q. The first half of 2008? A. Of 2008 we were okay. In the back half we started to burn cash. I think we burned somewhere around six to seven billion dollars just trying to resize our business. I mean, this is a very complex business but it's a pretty simple business when you think about what generates cash.  For example, a consumer could no longer get a loan. So where they were able to qualify at 6.20 or 6.30 FICA, that number went up to 7.60, 7.80. So consumers, immediately, were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	our exit rate of the year matches the requirements of the entrance rate for 2008. So if you had to take fixed cost out - Q. Right.  A I wanted to really go through the reductions in '07 and get a jump on this for '08 rather than lag or linger going into '08 because it's always gut wrenching decisions. These are always tough decisions to make.  So we started a rigor. We had this RTP, return to profitability, ever Monday. We'd meet for four to six hours, each of the functional heads. We'd go through a room like this, standup meeting. We'd go around the wall and look at each of the metrics to make sure that we were adhering to the plan or if not what did we have to do to get there.  Q. Right. And so you were forecasting that it wasn't going to be such a rosy year in 2008, fair?  A. Yeah. We knew, again I think the term I like is we saw some storm clouds on the horizon.  Q. And then you were you proactive about implementing specifically cost-cutting mechanisms?  A. Yes. We were very aggressive on that.  Q. And how did that now, just take us through 2008, how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that was going from seventeen million units a year on its way down to thirteen, twelve. And of course now we're looking at ten something with a build rate of nine. So we Q. This is all excuse me, this is all during what period of time? A. It really it really started to happen at an unprecedented rate, I mean there was just a death spiral starting somewhere past June, July timeframe of 2008. Q. And during this period of time, with all of this going on, does Chrysler start were you having cash flow difficulties at that point? A. Well, through the first half we were okay. Q. The first half of 2008? A. Of 2008 we were okay. In the back half we started to burn cash. I think we burned somewhere around six to seven billion dollars just trying to resize our business. I mean, this is a very complex business but it's a pretty simple business when you think about what generates cash.  For example, a consumer could no longer get a loan. So where they were able to qualify at 6.20 or 6.30 FICA, that number went up to 7.60, 7.80. So consumers, immediately, were having trouble getting access to liquidity to buy a car.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	our exit rate of the year matches the requirements of the entrance rate for 2008. So if you had to take fixed cost out Q. Right. A I wanted to really go through the reductions in '07 and get a jump on this for '08 rather than lag or linger going into '08 because it's always gut wrenching decisions. These are always tough decisions to make.  So we started a rigor. We had this RTP, return to profitability, ever Monday. We'd meet for four to six hours, each of the functional heads. We'd go through a room like this, standup meeting. We'd go around the wall and look at each of the metrics to make sure that we were adhering to the plan or if not what did we have to do to get there. Q. Right. And so you were forecasting that it wasn't going to be such a rosy year in 2008, fair? A. Yeah. We knew, again I think the term I like is we saw some storm clouds on the horizon. Q. And then you were you proactive about implementing specifically cost-cutting mechanisms? A. Yes. We were very aggressive on that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that was going from seventeen million units a year on its way down to thirteen, twelve. And of course now we're looking at ten something with a build rate of nine. So we Q. This is all excuse me, this is all during what period of time? A. It really it really started to happen at an unprecedented rate, I mean there was just a death spiral starting somewhere past June, July timeframe of 2008. Q. And during this period of time, with all of this going on, does Chrysler start were you having cash flow difficulties at that point? A. Well, through the first half we were okay. Q. The first half of 2008? A. Of 2008 we were okay. In the back half we started to burn cash. I think we burned somewhere around six to seven billion dollars just trying to resize our business. I mean, this is a very complex business but it's a pretty simple business when you think about what generates cash.  For example, a consumer could no longer get a loan. So where they were able to qualify at 6.20 or 6.30 FICA, that number went up to 7.60, 7.80. So consumers, immediately, were

185

183

1	comes to the FICA scores of your typical customers?	1	Q. And did you search for a financing source?
2	A. Yeah. I mean, our customers our customers are more of	2	A. Yeah. Well, fortunately our owner, Cerberus, we had a two
3	your grass roots, hard working, you know, traditional car	3	billion dollar draw that we were able to pull down on which
4	buyer. We certainly are not we don't have the benefit, if	4	provided, you know, support and gave us some lifeline.
5	you will, of a Rolls Royce. You know, we aren't the Mercedes	5	Q. When was that?
6	Benz. We aren't the BMW type of customer.	6	A. That was in the back half of 2008. So we pulled down and
7	Q. Right.	7	even with the two billion draw we still continued to burn at
8	A. You know, we're more of the heartland customers of the	8	unprecedented rates. And so we went back and we talked to
9	country. So these are the individuals that, I think, probably	9	current lenders. First lien were not in a position to give us
10	were impacted most severely when they were trying to go in and	10	any additional funds.
11	qualify for a loan.	11	Q. Did you ask?
12	So again, the simplicity of the business is if we're not	12	A. Yes. We, of course, the second lien we were able to draw
13	moving retail the dealers don't order wholesale. If they don't	13	down two billion dollars from the second lien which was really
14	order wholesale we don't run our factories. If you don't run	14	Cerberus and Daimler. And obviously not being a publicly
15	factories you don't generate cash. So we were having to idle	15	traded company we could not go issue more shares of stock so we
16	factories, furlough employees and basically started to see a	16	really found ourselves in a very tough situation of liquidity,
17	real burn on our cash in the second half of 2008.	17	the ability to maintain enough liquidity to keep the doors
18	Q. And what did you do to try to, if anything, to try to	18	open.
19	address the cash burn?	19	Q. And so can you tell us then when you first, and other car
20	A. Well, you know, we went we went flat out. In 2008 in	20	companies, approached the government for possible assistance?
21	the back half we took fixed costs down better than three	21	A. Yeah. So towards the end of 2008 we agreed that we were
22	billion dollars. Unfortunately, the worst part of this job is	22	going to have to approach the government and we worked with the
23	the gut wrenching decisions. We had to furlough somewhere over	23	Secretary of Treasury at that time. We worked with the
24	30,000 employees, which means we furloughed 30,000 families.	24	Secretary of Commerce at that time. And that's when the
25	We took 1.2, 1.3 billion units of capacity out. We ended	25	Secretary of Treasury started came up with the TARP (ph.)
	186		188
1	up having to close we idled some and had to permanently	1	funds where it was introducing the concept of TARP funding. So
1 2	up having to close we idled some and had to permanently close some of our factories as a result of, again, continuing	1 2	funds where it was introducing the concept of TARP funding. So the three manufacturers in Detroit, Ford, GM and Chrysler, got
			· · ·
2	close some of our factories as a result of, again, continuing	2	the three manufacturers in Detroit, Ford, GM and Chrysler, got
2	close some of our factories as a result of, again, continuing to take out some of our nameplates like the Aspen and the	2	the three manufacturers in Detroit, Ford, GM and Chrysler, got together and decided we would go collectively and ask for
2 3 4	close some of our factories as a result of, again, continuing to take out some of our nameplates like the Aspen and the Durango which ended up idling the Newark facility. We had to	2 3 4	the three manufacturers in Detroit, Ford, GM and Chrysler, got together and decided we would go collectively and ask for support.
2 3 4 5	close some of our factories as a result of, again, continuing to take out some of our nameplates like the Aspen and the Durango which ended up idling the Newark facility. We had to close our St. Louis south facility because the demand for	2 3 4 5	the three manufacturers in Detroit, Ford, GM and Chrysler, got together and decided we would go collectively and ask for support.  Q. And how much did Chrysler specifically apply for?
2 3 4 5	close some of our factories as a result of, again, continuing to take out some of our nameplates like the Aspen and the Durango which ended up idling the Newark facility. We had to close our St. Louis south facility because the demand for minivans just went away and so forth.	2 3 4 5	the three manufacturers in Detroit, Ford, GM and Chrysler, got together and decided we would go collectively and ask for support.  Q. And how much did Chrysler specifically apply for?  A. We we originally applied for seven billion dollars in
2 3 4 5 6 7	close some of our factories as a result of, again, continuing to take out some of our nameplates like the Aspen and the Durango which ended up idling the Newark facility. We had to close our St. Louis south facility because the demand for minivans just went away and so forth.  Q. And that quickly, just in a couple months?	2 3 4 5 6 7	the three manufacturers in Detroit, Ford, GM and Chrysler, got together and decided we would go collectively and ask for support.  Q. And how much did Chrysler specifically apply for?  A. We we originally applied for seven billion dollars in our first request.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	close some of our factories as a result of, again, continuing to take out some of our nameplates like the Aspen and the Durango which ended up idling the Newark facility. We had to close our St. Louis south facility because the demand for minivans just went away and so forth.  Q. And that quickly, just in a couple months?  A. Just in a couple of months. I mean, the volume just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the three manufacturers in Detroit, Ford, GM and Chrysler, got together and decided we would go collectively and ask for support.  Q. And how much did Chrysler specifically apply for?  A. We we originally applied for seven billion dollars in our first request.  Q. And what ultimately, at that point in time, did the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	close some of our factories as a result of, again, continuing to take out some of our nameplates like the Aspen and the Durango which ended up idling the Newark facility. We had to close our St. Louis south facility because the demand for minivans just went away and so forth.  Q. And that quickly, just in a couple months?  A. Just in a couple of months. I mean, the volume just evaporated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the three manufacturers in Detroit, Ford, GM and Chrysler, got together and decided we would go collectively and ask for support.  Q. And how much did Chrysler specifically apply for?  A. We we originally applied for seven billion dollars in our first request.  Q. And what ultimately, at that point in time, did the government agree to lend?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	close some of our factories as a result of, again, continuing to take out some of our nameplates like the Aspen and the Durango which ended up idling the Newark facility. We had to close our St. Louis south facility because the demand for minivans just went away and so forth.  Q. And that quickly, just in a couple months?  A. Just in a couple of months. I mean, the volume just evaporated.  Q. And did you so although you had implemented these cost-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the three manufacturers in Detroit, Ford, GM and Chrysler, got together and decided we would go collectively and ask for support.  Q. And how much did Chrysler specifically apply for?  A. We we originally applied for seven billion dollars in our first request.  Q. And what ultimately, at that point in time, did the government agree to lend?  A. Well, after extensive discussions and analysis and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	close some of our factories as a result of, again, continuing to take out some of our nameplates like the Aspen and the Durango which ended up idling the Newark facility. We had to close our St. Louis south facility because the demand for minivans just went away and so forth.  Q. And that quickly, just in a couple months?  A. Just in a couple of months. I mean, the volume just evaporated.  Q. And did you so although you had implemented these cost-cutting mechanisms, I take it, it wasn't overcoming your need	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the three manufacturers in Detroit, Ford, GM and Chrysler, got together and decided we would go collectively and ask for support.  Q. And how much did Chrysler specifically apply for?  A. We we originally applied for seven billion dollars in our first request.  Q. And what ultimately, at that point in time, did the government agree to lend?  A. Well, after extensive discussions and analysis and document exchange and a lot of sessions, I believe it was the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	close some of our factories as a result of, again, continuing to take out some of our nameplates like the Aspen and the Durango which ended up idling the Newark facility. We had to close our St. Louis south facility because the demand for minivans just went away and so forth.  Q. And that quickly, just in a couple months?  A. Just in a couple of months. I mean, the volume just evaporated.  Q. And did you so although you had implemented these costcutting mechanisms, I take it, it wasn't overcoming your need for cash?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the three manufacturers in Detroit, Ford, GM and Chrysler, got together and decided we would go collectively and ask for support.  Q. And how much did Chrysler specifically apply for?  A. We we originally applied for seven billion dollars in our first request.  Q. And what ultimately, at that point in time, did the government agree to lend?  A. Well, after extensive discussions and analysis and document exchange and a lot of sessions, I believe it was the early part of January; everybody worked through the entire
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	close some of our factories as a result of, again, continuing to take out some of our nameplates like the Aspen and the Durango which ended up idling the Newark facility. We had to close our St. Louis south facility because the demand for minivans just went away and so forth.  Q. And that quickly, just in a couple months?  A. Just in a couple of months. I mean, the volume just evaporated.  Q. And did you so although you had implemented these cost-cutting mechanisms, I take it, it wasn't overcoming your need for cash?  A. I think one of the ways you look at it is what's your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the three manufacturers in Detroit, Ford, GM and Chrysler, got together and decided we would go collectively and ask for support.  Q. And how much did Chrysler specifically apply for?  A. We we originally applied for seven billion dollars in our first request.  Q. And what ultimately, at that point in time, did the government agree to lend?  A. Well, after extensive discussions and analysis and document exchange and a lot of sessions, I believe it was the early part of January; everybody worked through the entire holidays non-stop answering questions, providing information.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	close some of our factories as a result of, again, continuing to take out some of our nameplates like the Aspen and the Durango which ended up idling the Newark facility. We had to close our St. Louis south facility because the demand for minivans just went away and so forth.  Q. And that quickly, just in a couple months?  A. Just in a couple of months. I mean, the volume just evaporated.  Q. And did you so although you had implemented these cost-cutting mechanisms, I take it, it wasn't overcoming your need for cash?  A. I think one of the ways you look at it is what's your overall fixed cost in dollars per unit. And with all of these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the three manufacturers in Detroit, Ford, GM and Chrysler, got together and decided we would go collectively and ask for support.  Q. And how much did Chrysler specifically apply for?  A. We we originally applied for seven billion dollars in our first request.  Q. And what ultimately, at that point in time, did the government agree to lend?  A. Well, after extensive discussions and analysis and document exchange and a lot of sessions, I believe it was the early part of January; everybody worked through the entire holidays non-stop answering questions, providing information.  The first tranche that we received was four billion dollars,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	close some of our factories as a result of, again, continuing to take out some of our nameplates like the Aspen and the Durango which ended up idling the Newark facility. We had to close our St. Louis south facility because the demand for minivans just went away and so forth.  Q. And that quickly, just in a couple months?  A. Just in a couple of months. I mean, the volume just evaporated.  Q. And did you so although you had implemented these costcutting mechanisms, I take it, it wasn't overcoming your need for cash?  A. I think one of the ways you look at it is what's your overall fixed cost in dollars per unit. And with all of these unprecedented aggressive actions and I think, again not to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the three manufacturers in Detroit, Ford, GM and Chrysler, got together and decided we would go collectively and ask for support.  Q. And how much did Chrysler specifically apply for?  A. We we originally applied for seven billion dollars in our first request.  Q. And what ultimately, at that point in time, did the government agree to lend?  A. Well, after extensive discussions and analysis and document exchange and a lot of sessions, I believe it was the early part of January; everybody worked through the entire holidays non-stop answering questions, providing information. The first tranche that we received was four billion dollars, and that was basically to carry us through the first quarter of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	close some of our factories as a result of, again, continuing to take out some of our nameplates like the Aspen and the Durango which ended up idling the Newark facility. We had to close our St. Louis south facility because the demand for minivans just went away and so forth.  Q. And that quickly, just in a couple months?  A. Just in a couple of months. I mean, the volume just evaporated.  Q. And did you so although you had implemented these costcutting mechanisms, I take it, it wasn't overcoming your need for cash?  A. I think one of the ways you look at it is what's your overall fixed cost in dollars per unit. And with all of these unprecedented aggressive actions and I think, again not to be self-serving, a credit to the team I mean we were leading the industry in resizing and trying to get ourselves right-sized	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the three manufacturers in Detroit, Ford, GM and Chrysler, got together and decided we would go collectively and ask for support.  Q. And how much did Chrysler specifically apply for?  A. We we originally applied for seven billion dollars in our first request.  Q. And what ultimately, at that point in time, did the government agree to lend?  A. Well, after extensive discussions and analysis and document exchange and a lot of sessions, I believe it was the early part of January; everybody worked through the entire holidays non-stop answering questions, providing information. The first tranche that we received was four billion dollars, and that was basically to carry us through the first quarter of 109.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	close some of our factories as a result of, again, continuing to take out some of our nameplates like the Aspen and the Durango which ended up idling the Newark facility. We had to close our St. Louis south facility because the demand for minivans just went away and so forth.  Q. And that quickly, just in a couple months?  A. Just in a couple of months. I mean, the volume just evaporated.  Q. And did you so although you had implemented these costcutting mechanisms, I take it, it wasn't overcoming your need for cash?  A. I think one of the ways you look at it is what's your overall fixed cost in dollars per unit. And with all of these unprecedented aggressive actions and I think, again not to be self-serving, a credit to the team I mean we were leading the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the three manufacturers in Detroit, Ford, GM and Chrysler, got together and decided we would go collectively and ask for support.  Q. And how much did Chrysler specifically apply for?  A. We we originally applied for seven billion dollars in our first request.  Q. And what ultimately, at that point in time, did the government agree to lend?  A. Well, after extensive discussions and analysis and document exchange and a lot of sessions, I believe it was the early part of January; everybody worked through the entire holidays non-stop answering questions, providing information. The first tranche that we received was four billion dollars, and that was basically to carry us through the first quarter of '09.  Q. That was my question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	close some of our factories as a result of, again, continuing to take out some of our nameplates like the Aspen and the Durango which ended up idling the Newark facility. We had to close our St. Louis south facility because the demand for minivans just went away and so forth.  Q. And that quickly, just in a couple months?  A. Just in a couple of months. I mean, the volume just evaporated.  Q. And did you so although you had implemented these costcutting mechanisms, I take it, it wasn't overcoming your need for cash?  A. I think one of the ways you look at it is what's your overall fixed cost in dollars per unit. And with all of these unprecedented aggressive actions and I think, again not to be self-serving, a credit to the team I mean we were leading the industry in resizing and trying to get ourselves right-sized for an industry that was just unprecedently falling. I mean,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the three manufacturers in Detroit, Ford, GM and Chrysler, got together and decided we would go collectively and ask for support.  Q. And how much did Chrysler specifically apply for?  A. We we originally applied for seven billion dollars in our first request.  Q. And what ultimately, at that point in time, did the government agree to lend?  A. Well, after extensive discussions and analysis and document exchange and a lot of sessions, I believe it was the early part of January; everybody worked through the entire holidays non-stop answering questions, providing information. The first tranche that we received was four billion dollars, and that was basically to carry us through the first quarter of '09.  Q. That was my question.  A. I'm sorry.  Q. And did the government as of anything from you in the way
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	close some of our factories as a result of, again, continuing to take out some of our nameplates like the Aspen and the Durango which ended up idling the Newark facility. We had to close our St. Louis south facility because the demand for minivans just went away and so forth.  Q. And that quickly, just in a couple months?  A. Just in a couple of months. I mean, the volume just evaporated.  Q. And did you so although you had implemented these cost-cutting mechanisms, I take it, it wasn't overcoming your need for cash?  A. I think one of the ways you look at it is what's your overall fixed cost in dollars per unit. And with all of these unprecedented aggressive actions and I think, again not to be self-serving, a credit to the team I mean we were leading the industry in resizing and trying to get ourselves right-sized for an industry that was just unprecedently falling. I mean, we're talking back to, like, World War II levels of volume.  Q. Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the three manufacturers in Detroit, Ford, GM and Chrysler, got together and decided we would go collectively and ask for support.  Q. And how much did Chrysler specifically apply for?  A. We we originally applied for seven billion dollars in our first request.  Q. And what ultimately, at that point in time, did the government agree to lend?  A. Well, after extensive discussions and analysis and document exchange and a lot of sessions, I believe it was the early part of January; everybody worked through the entire holidays non-stop answering questions, providing information. The first tranche that we received was four billion dollars, and that was basically to carry us through the first quarter of '09.  Q. That was my question.  A. I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	close some of our factories as a result of, again, continuing to take out some of our nameplates like the Aspen and the Durango which ended up idling the Newark facility. We had to close our St. Louis south facility because the demand for minivans just went away and so forth.  Q. And that quickly, just in a couple months?  A. Just in a couple of months. I mean, the volume just evaporated.  Q. And did you so although you had implemented these cost-cutting mechanisms, I take it, it wasn't overcoming your need for cash?  A. I think one of the ways you look at it is what's your overall fixed cost in dollars per unit. And with all of these unprecedented aggressive actions and I think, again not to be self-serving, a credit to the team I mean we were leading the industry in resizing and trying to get ourselves right-sized for an industry that was just unprecedently falling. I mean, we're talking back to, like, World War II levels of volume.  Q. Right.  A. And if you did a population adjustment it was even worse.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the three manufacturers in Detroit, Ford, GM and Chrysler, got together and decided we would go collectively and ask for support.  Q. And how much did Chrysler specifically apply for?  A. We we originally applied for seven billion dollars in our first request.  Q. And what ultimately, at that point in time, did the government agree to lend?  A. Well, after extensive discussions and analysis and document exchange and a lot of sessions, I believe it was the early part of January; everybody worked through the entire holidays non-stop answering questions, providing information. The first tranche that we received was four billion dollars, and that was basically to carry us through the first quarter of '09.  Q. That was my question.  A. I'm sorry.  Q. And did the government as of anything from you in the way of submitting some sort of plan or something of that nature?  A. Yes, they did. And we submitted a plan. We submitted an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	close some of our factories as a result of, again, continuing to take out some of our nameplates like the Aspen and the Durango which ended up idling the Newark facility. We had to close our St. Louis south facility because the demand for minivans just went away and so forth.  Q. And that quickly, just in a couple months?  A. Just in a couple of months. I mean, the volume just evaporated.  Q. And did you so although you had implemented these costcutting mechanisms, I take it, it wasn't overcoming your need for cash?  A. I think one of the ways you look at it is what's your overall fixed cost in dollars per unit. And with all of these unprecedented aggressive actions and I think, again not to be self-serving, a credit to the team I mean we were leading the industry in resizing and trying to get ourselves right-sized for an industry that was just unprecedently falling. I mean, we're talking back to, like, World War II levels of volume.  Q. Right.  A. And if you did a population adjustment it was even worse. That's assume that takes into consideration the population	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the three manufacturers in Detroit, Ford, GM and Chrysler, got together and decided we would go collectively and ask for support.  Q. And how much did Chrysler specifically apply for?  A. We we originally applied for seven billion dollars in our first request.  Q. And what ultimately, at that point in time, did the government agree to lend?  A. Well, after extensive discussions and analysis and document exchange and a lot of sessions, I believe it was the early part of January; everybody worked through the entire holidays non-stop answering questions, providing information. The first tranche that we received was four billion dollars, and that was basically to carry us through the first quarter of '09.  Q. That was my question.  A. I'm sorry.  Q. And did the government as of anything from you in the way of submitting some sort of plan or something of that nature?  A. Yes, they did. And we submitted a plan. We submitted an operating plan. And again, after what I felt was pretty
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	close some of our factories as a result of, again, continuing to take out some of our nameplates like the Aspen and the Durango which ended up idling the Newark facility. We had to close our St. Louis south facility because the demand for minivans just went away and so forth.  Q. And that quickly, just in a couple months?  A. Just in a couple of months. I mean, the volume just evaporated.  Q. And did you so although you had implemented these costcutting mechanisms, I take it, it wasn't overcoming your need for cash?  A. I think one of the ways you look at it is what's your overall fixed cost in dollars per unit. And with all of these unprecedented aggressive actions and I think, again not to be self-serving, a credit to the team I mean we were leading the industry in resizing and trying to get ourselves right-sized for an industry that was just unprecedently falling. I mean, we're talking back to, like, World War II levels of volume.  Q. Right.  A. And if you did a population adjustment it was even worse. That's assume that takes into consideration the population growth. So these were just unbelievable times. People that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the three manufacturers in Detroit, Ford, GM and Chrysler, got together and decided we would go collectively and ask for support.  Q. And how much did Chrysler specifically apply for?  A. We we originally applied for seven billion dollars in our first request.  Q. And what ultimately, at that point in time, did the government agree to lend?  A. Well, after extensive discussions and analysis and document exchange and a lot of sessions, I believe it was the early part of January; everybody worked through the entire holidays non-stop answering questions, providing information. The first tranche that we received was four billion dollars, and that was basically to carry us through the first quarter of '09.  Q. That was my question.  A. I'm sorry.  Q. And did the government as of anything from you in the way of submitting some sort of plan or something of that nature?  A. Yes, they did. And we submitted a plan. We submitted an operating plan. And again, after what I felt was pretty thorough review by the prior administration, they awarded us
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	close some of our factories as a result of, again, continuing to take out some of our nameplates like the Aspen and the Durango which ended up idling the Newark facility. We had to close our St. Louis south facility because the demand for minivans just went away and so forth.  Q. And that quickly, just in a couple months?  A. Just in a couple of months. I mean, the volume just evaporated.  Q. And did you so although you had implemented these costcutting mechanisms, I take it, it wasn't overcoming your need for cash?  A. I think one of the ways you look at it is what's your overall fixed cost in dollars per unit. And with all of these unprecedented aggressive actions and I think, again not to be self-serving, a credit to the team I mean we were leading the industry in resizing and trying to get ourselves right-sized for an industry that was just unprecedently falling. I mean, we're talking back to, like, World War II levels of volume.  Q. Right.  A. And if you did a population adjustment it was even worse. That's assume that takes into consideration the population growth. So these were just unbelievable times. People that have been in the industry who I counseled, you know Lee Iacocc	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the three manufacturers in Detroit, Ford, GM and Chrysler, got together and decided we would go collectively and ask for support.  Q. And how much did Chrysler specifically apply for?  A. We we originally applied for seven billion dollars in our first request.  Q. And what ultimately, at that point in time, did the government agree to lend?  A. Well, after extensive discussions and analysis and document exchange and a lot of sessions, I believe it was the early part of January; everybody worked through the entire holidays non-stop answering questions, providing information. The first tranche that we received was four billion dollars, and that was basically to carry us through the first quarter of '09.  Q. That was my question.  A. I'm sorry.  Q. And did the government as of anything from you in the way of submitting some sort of plan or something of that nature?  A. Yes, they did. And we submitted a plan. We submitted an operating plan. And again, after what I felt was pretty thorough review by the prior administration, they awarded us the four billion dollars.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	close some of our factories as a result of, again, continuing to take out some of our nameplates like the Aspen and the Durango which ended up idling the Newark facility. We had to close our St. Louis south facility because the demand for minivans just went away and so forth.  Q. And that quickly, just in a couple months?  A. Just in a couple of months. I mean, the volume just evaporated.  Q. And did you so although you had implemented these costcutting mechanisms, I take it, it wasn't overcoming your need for cash?  A. I think one of the ways you look at it is what's your overall fixed cost in dollars per unit. And with all of these unprecedented aggressive actions and I think, again not to be self-serving, a credit to the team I mean we were leading the industry in resizing and trying to get ourselves right-sized for an industry that was just unprecedently falling. I mean, we're talking back to, like, World War II levels of volume.  Q. Right.  A. And if you did a population adjustment it was even worse. That's assume that takes into consideration the population growth. So these were just unbelievable times. People that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 3 24	the three manufacturers in Detroit, Ford, GM and Chrysler, got together and decided we would go collectively and ask for support.  Q. And how much did Chrysler specifically apply for?  A. We we originally applied for seven billion dollars in our first request.  Q. And what ultimately, at that point in time, did the government agree to lend?  A. Well, after extensive discussions and analysis and document exchange and a lot of sessions, I believe it was the early part of January; everybody worked through the entire holidays non-stop answering questions, providing information. The first tranche that we received was four billion dollars, and that was basically to carry us through the first quarter of '09.  Q. That was my question.  A. I'm sorry.  Q. And did the government as of anything from you in the way of submitting some sort of plan or something of that nature?  A. Yes, they did. And we submitted a plan. We submitted an operating plan. And again, after what I felt was pretty thorough review by the prior administration, they awarded us

1			
	February 17, 2009 viability plan, correct?	1	A. No, the history on that, as I recall, is Tom flew over to
2	A. Yes, I am.	2	Italy and had the first engagement in Italy with Fiat and set
3	Q. Okay. So in connection with obtaining the four billion	3	the stage for me. I then flew over probably in the late May,
4	that you just spoke of, the government requested that you put	4	June timeframe, if I recall, to Turin, and met with Sergio and
5	in an additional plan, correct?	5	met with Alfredo at their headquarters. We spent a couple of
6	A. Yeah, they they were very clear. The new	6	days there. We went through their product lineup. We went
7	administration was very clear and said we need a very robust, a	7	through what they had, what they had on the drawing board.
8	very detailed operating plan and we need it by February 17th.	8	Talk to them about some of their technology, some of their
9	And that's, of course, after we've had we had two	9	powertrain technology, some of the benefits that they have that
10	congressional hearings in Washington where the three	10	would be critically important to us to meet the cafe standards.
11	manufacturers and the UAW were called on two occasions and had	1 11	Those, as a matter of fact, that were just reintroduced a
12	to present to both the House and the Senate committees.	12	couple of weeks ago in DC when President Obama talked about
13	Q. And the viability plan that you ultimately submitted	13	consistent one standard across the country now.
14	called for three different or spelled out three different	14	Q. Right. So you submit the plan and then you wait to hear
15	scenarios?	15	back, right?
16	A. It did.	16	A. Well, we submitted the plan and no, we didn't wait. I
17	Q. Could you just tell us, in broad strokes, what those were?	17	mean, there was a lot of discussion back and forth. At that
18	A. Well, the first one was a plan that said here's what it	18	time the new administration was putting in place the Auto Czar
19	would look like on a standalone basis. Second was here's what	19	and the auto task force. And as those individuals were coming
20	it would look like if we were to develop an alliance. And then	20	on board we entered into dialogue with each of those at various
21	a third was, unfortunately, we had to present a plan of here's	21	points; Steve Rattner, the Ron Bloom, et cetera. So there was
22	what bankruptcy liquidation would look like.	22	quite a bit of dialogue back and forth.
23	Q. Now, in assembling those scenarios and doing the work	23	Q. And then at the end of March you heard back from the
24	let's do it this way, who did the work on the viability plan?	24	government you received the government's reaction to the
25	A. Hundreds of people.	25	plan?
	190		192
1	Q. Could you tell us just a little bit more about that	1	A. Well, in between there I wouldn't want to I wouldn't
2			
	effort, the different disciplines, the different people?	2	want to leave out the fact that there was quite a bit, again,
3	effort, the different disciplines, the different people?  A. Well, the plan was broken up as into business you know.		want to leave out the fact that there was quite a bit, again, of discussions.
3 4	A. Well, the plan was broken up as into business you know into business modules. First of all we would look at what's		
	A. Well, the plan was broken up as into business you know	3	of discussions.
4	A. Well, the plan was broken up as into business you know into business modules. First of all we would look at what's	3 4	of discussions. Q. Right.
4 5	A. Well, the plan was broken up as into business you know into business modules. First of all we would look at what's the industry; what was the industry forecast; what was our	3 4 5	of discussions.  Q. Right.  A. You know, they came to Detroit and visited with us. We
4 5 6	A. Well, the plan was broken up as into business you know into business modules. First of all we would look at what's the industry; what was the industry forecast; what was our share, what were the products; what was the pricing. Then we	3 4 5 6	of discussions.  Q. Right.  A. You know, they came to Detroit and visited with us. We had further explanation about the plan and made a couple of
4 5 6 7	A. Well, the plan was broken up as into business you know into business modules. First of all we would look at what's the industry; what was the industry forecast; what was our share, what were the products; what was the pricing. Then we looked at suppliers. We looked at the dealers. We looked at	3 4 5 6 7	of discussions.  Q. Right.  A. You know, they came to Detroit and visited with us. We had further explanation about the plan and made a couple of more presentations trying to clear up if there was any
4 5 6 7 8	A. Well, the plan was broken up as into business you know into business modules. First of all we would look at what's the industry; what was the industry forecast; what was our share, what were the products; what was the pricing. Then we looked at suppliers. We looked at the dealers. We looked at our labor. We looked at all of our debt, our ability to	3 4 5 6 7 8	of discussions.  Q. Right.  A. You know, they came to Detroit and visited with us. We had further explanation about the plan and made a couple of more presentations trying to clear up if there was any misunderstanding or misconceptions about our plan, the
4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Well, the plan was broken up as into business you know into business modules. First of all we would look at what's the industry; what was the industry forecast; what was our share, what were the products; what was the pricing. Then we looked at suppliers. We looked at the dealers. We looked at our labor. We looked at all of our debt, our ability to service the debt. So it was almost a line by line analysis of	3 4 5 6 7 8	of discussions.  Q. Right.  A. You know, they came to Detroit and visited with us. We had further explanation about the plan and made a couple of more presentations trying to clear up if there was any misunderstanding or misconceptions about our plan, the viability of a standalone plan.
4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Well, the plan was broken up as into business you know into business modules. First of all we would look at what's the industry; what was the industry forecast; what was our share, what were the products; what was the pricing. Then we looked at suppliers. We looked at the dealers. We looked at our labor. We looked at all of our debt, our ability to service the debt. So it was almost a line by line analysis of income statement balance sheet that we went through. And, I	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of discussions.  Q. Right.  A. You know, they came to Detroit and visited with us. We had further explanation about the plan and made a couple of more presentations trying to clear up if there was any misunderstanding or misconceptions about our plan, the viability of a standalone plan.  Q. Which was your you personally, which was your preferred
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Well, the plan was broken up as into business you know, into business modules. First of all we would look at what's the industry; what was the industry forecast; what was our share, what were the products; what was the pricing. Then we looked at suppliers. We looked at the dealers. We looked at our labor. We looked at all of our debt, our ability to service the debt. So it was almost a line by line analysis of income statement balance sheet that we went through. And, I mean, I couldn't begin to estimate the number of hours but	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of discussions.  Q. Right.  A. You know, they came to Detroit and visited with us. We had further explanation about the plan and made a couple of more presentations trying to clear up if there was any misunderstanding or misconceptions about our plan, the viability of a standalone plan.  Q. Which was your you personally, which was your preferred scenario at that time?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Well, the plan was broken up as into business you know into business modules. First of all we would look at what's the industry; what was the industry forecast; what was our share, what were the products; what was the pricing. Then we looked at suppliers. We looked at the dealers. We looked at our labor. We looked at all of our debt, our ability to service the debt. So it was almost a line by line analysis of income statement balance sheet that we went through. And, I mean, I couldn't begin to estimate the number of hours but hundreds of people involved from all of the disciplines to help	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of discussions.  Q. Right.  A. You know, they came to Detroit and visited with us. We had further explanation about the plan and made a couple of more presentations trying to clear up if there was any misunderstanding or misconceptions about our plan, the viability of a standalone plan.  Q. Which was your you personally, which was your preferred scenario at that time?  A. Well, I believe I certainly was strongly supporting the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Well, the plan was broken up as into business you know into business modules. First of all we would look at what's the industry; what was the industry forecast; what was our share, what were the products; what was the pricing. Then we looked at suppliers. We looked at the dealers. We looked at our labor. We looked at all of our debt, our ability to service the debt. So it was almost a line by line analysis of income statement balance sheet that we went through. And, I mean, I couldn't begin to estimate the number of hours but hundreds of people involved from all of the disciplines to help us put that together.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of discussions.  Q. Right.  A. You know, they came to Detroit and visited with us. We had further explanation about the plan and made a couple of more presentations trying to clear up if there was any misunderstanding or misconceptions about our plan, the viability of a standalone plan.  Q. Which was your you personally, which was your preferred scenario at that time?  A. Well, I believe I certainly was strongly supporting the standalone viability plan.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Well, the plan was broken up as into business you know into business modules. First of all we would look at what's the industry; what was the industry forecast; what was our share, what were the products; what was the pricing. Then we looked at suppliers. We looked at the dealers. We looked at our labor. We looked at all of our debt, our ability to service the debt. So it was almost a line by line analysis of income statement balance sheet that we went through. And, I mean, I couldn't begin to estimate the number of hours but hundreds of people involved from all of the disciplines to help us put that together.  Q. Did the alliance scenario that you spoke of actually mention Fiat?  A. It mentioned Fiat and it also mentioned numerous other	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of discussions.  Q. Right.  A. You know, they came to Detroit and visited with us. We had further explanation about the plan and made a couple of more presentations trying to clear up if there was any misunderstanding or misconceptions about our plan, the viability of a standalone plan.  Q. Which was your you personally, which was your preferred scenario at that time?  A. Well, I believe I certainly was strongly supporting the standalone viability plan.  Q. And why is that?  A. Well, I think for a lot of reasons. One is, we believed in it. Two, it was consistent with the original charter of
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Well, the plan was broken up as into business you know into business modules. First of all we would look at what's the industry; what was the industry forecast; what was our share, what were the products; what was the pricing. Then we looked at suppliers. We looked at the dealers. We looked at our labor. We looked at all of our debt, our ability to service the debt. So it was almost a line by line analysis of income statement balance sheet that we went through. And, I mean, I couldn't begin to estimate the number of hours but hundreds of people involved from all of the disciplines to help us put that together.  Q. Did the alliance scenario that you spoke of actually mention Fiat?  A. It mentioned Fiat and it also mentioned numerous other potential alliance partners that Tom had been working on since	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of discussions.  Q. Right.  A. You know, they came to Detroit and visited with us. We had further explanation about the plan and made a couple of more presentations trying to clear up if there was any misunderstanding or misconceptions about our plan, the viability of a standalone plan.  Q. Which was your you personally, which was your preferred scenario at that time?  A. Well, I believe I certainly was strongly supporting the standalone viability plan.  Q. And why is that?  A. Well, I think for a lot of reasons. One is, we believed in it. Two, it was consistent with the original charter of maintaining our independence while at the same time reaching
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Well, the plan was broken up as into business you know into business modules. First of all we would look at what's the industry; what was the industry forecast; what was our share, what were the products; what was the pricing. Then we looked at suppliers. We looked at the dealers. We looked at our labor. We looked at all of our debt, our ability to service the debt. So it was almost a line by line analysis of income statement balance sheet that we went through. And, I mean, I couldn't begin to estimate the number of hours but hundreds of people involved from all of the disciplines to help us put that together.  Q. Did the alliance scenario that you spoke of actually mention Fiat?  A. It mentioned Fiat and it also mentioned numerous other potential alliance partners that Tom had been working on since I got there. I mean, in August of '07, just as I joined, there	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of discussions.  Q. Right.  A. You know, they came to Detroit and visited with us. We had further explanation about the plan and made a couple of more presentations trying to clear up if there was any misunderstanding or misconceptions about our plan, the viability of a standalone plan.  Q. Which was your you personally, which was your preferred scenario at that time?  A. Well, I believe I certainly was strongly supporting the standalone viability plan.  Q. And why is that?  A. Well, I think for a lot of reasons. One is, we believed in it. Two, it was consistent with the original charter of
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Well, the plan was broken up as into business you know into business modules. First of all we would look at what's the industry; what was the industry forecast; what was our share, what were the products; what was the pricing. Then we looked at suppliers. We looked at the dealers. We looked at our labor. We looked at all of our debt, our ability to service the debt. So it was almost a line by line analysis of income statement balance sheet that we went through. And, I mean, I couldn't begin to estimate the number of hours but hundreds of people involved from all of the disciplines to help us put that together.  Q. Did the alliance scenario that you spoke of actually mention Fiat?  A. It mentioned Fiat and it also mentioned numerous other potential alliance partners that Tom had been working on since I got there. I mean, in August of '07, just as I joined, there was a memorandum of understanding an LOI, letter of intent,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of discussions.  Q. Right.  A. You know, they came to Detroit and visited with us. We had further explanation about the plan and made a couple of more presentations trying to clear up if there was any misunderstanding or misconceptions about our plan, the viability of a standalone plan.  Q. Which was your you personally, which was your preferred scenario at that time?  A. Well, I believe I certainly was strongly supporting the standalone viability plan.  Q. And why is that?  A. Well, I think for a lot of reasons. One is, we believed in it. Two, it was consistent with the original charter of maintaining our independence while at the same time reaching out to develop some product alliances. You know, we had talked to Cherry, we talked to Nissan. We talked to Fiat. We talked
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Well, the plan was broken up as into business you know into business modules. First of all we would look at what's the industry; what was the industry forecast; what was our share, what were the products; what was the pricing. Then we looked at suppliers. We looked at the dealers. We looked at our labor. We looked at all of our debt, our ability to service the debt. So it was almost a line by line analysis of income statement balance sheet that we went through. And, I mean, I couldn't begin to estimate the number of hours but hundreds of people involved from all of the disciplines to help us put that together.  Q. Did the alliance scenario that you spoke of actually mention Fiat?  A. It mentioned Fiat and it also mentioned numerous other potential alliance partners that Tom had been working on since I got there. I mean, in August of '07, just as I joined, there was a memorandum of understanding an LOI, letter of intent, that Tom had signed with Cherry, which is a manufacturer in	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of discussions.  Q. Right.  A. You know, they came to Detroit and visited with us. We had further explanation about the plan and made a couple of more presentations trying to clear up if there was any misunderstanding or misconceptions about our plan, the viability of a standalone plan.  Q. Which was your you personally, which was your preferred scenario at that time?  A. Well, I believe I certainly was strongly supporting the standalone viability plan.  Q. And why is that?  A. Well, I think for a lot of reasons. One is, we believed in it. Two, it was consistent with the original charter of maintaining our independence while at the same time reaching out to develop some product alliances. You know, we had talked to Cherry, we talked to Nissan. We talked to Fiat. We talked to a whole hose of potential manufacturers, Mitsubishi, et
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Well, the plan was broken up as into business you know into business modules. First of all we would look at what's the industry; what was the industry forecast; what was our share, what were the products; what was the pricing. Then we looked at suppliers. We looked at the dealers. We looked at our labor. We looked at all of our debt, our ability to service the debt. So it was almost a line by line analysis of income statement balance sheet that we went through. And, I mean, I couldn't begin to estimate the number of hours but hundreds of people involved from all of the disciplines to help us put that together.  Q. Did the alliance scenario that you spoke of actually mention Fiat?  A. It mentioned Fiat and it also mentioned numerous other potential alliance partners that Tom had been working on since I got there. I mean, in August of '07, just as I joined, there was a memorandum of understanding an LOI, letter of intent, that Tom had signed with Cherry, which is a manufacturer in China with the sole purpose of trying to secure from them,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of discussions.  Q. Right.  A. You know, they came to Detroit and visited with us. We had further explanation about the plan and made a couple of more presentations trying to clear up if there was any misunderstanding or misconceptions about our plan, the viability of a standalone plan.  Q. Which was your you personally, which was your preferred scenario at that time?  A. Well, I believe I certainly was strongly supporting the standalone viability plan.  Q. And why is that?  A. Well, I think for a lot of reasons. One is, we believed in it. Two, it was consistent with the original charter of maintaining our independence while at the same time reaching out to develop some product alliances. You know, we had talked to Cherry, we talked to Nissan. We talked to Fiat. We talked to a whole hose of potential manufacturers, Mitsubishi, et cetera. So we thought that if we could continue to run our
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Well, the plan was broken up as into business you know, into business modules. First of all we would look at what's the industry; what was the industry forecast; what was our share, what were the products; what was the pricing. Then we looked at suppliers. We looked at the dealers. We looked at our labor. We looked at all of our debt, our ability to service the debt. So it was almost a line by line analysis of income statement balance sheet that we went through. And, I mean, I couldn't begin to estimate the number of hours but hundreds of people involved from all of the disciplines to help us put that together.  Q. Did the alliance scenario that you spoke of actually mention Fiat?  A. It mentioned Fiat and it also mentioned numerous other potential alliance partners that Tom had been working on since I got there. I mean, in August of '07, just as I joined, there was a memorandum of understanding an LOI, letter of intent, that Tom had signed with Cherry, which is a manufacturer in China with the sole purpose of trying to secure from them, again, some of these product line gaps I talked about, some	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of discussions.  Q. Right.  A. You know, they came to Detroit and visited with us. We had further explanation about the plan and made a couple of more presentations trying to clear up if there was any misunderstanding or misconceptions about our plan, the viability of a standalone plan.  Q. Which was your you personally, which was your preferred scenario at that time?  A. Well, I believe I certainly was strongly supporting the standalone viability plan.  Q. And why is that?  A. Well, I think for a lot of reasons. One is, we believed in it. Two, it was consistent with the original charter of maintaining our independence while at the same time reaching out to develop some product alliances. You know, we had talked to Cherry, we talked to Nissan. We talked to Fiat. We talked to a whole hose of potential manufacturers, Mitsubishi, et cetera. So we thought that if we could continue to run our business and we could supplement on the product side to
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Well, the plan was broken up as into business you know into business modules. First of all we would look at what's the industry; what was the industry forecast; what was our share, what were the products; what was the pricing. Then we looked at suppliers. We looked at the dealers. We looked at our labor. We looked at all of our debt, our ability to service the debt. So it was almost a line by line analysis of income statement balance sheet that we went through. And, I mean, I couldn't begin to estimate the number of hours but hundreds of people involved from all of the disciplines to help us put that together.  Q. Did the alliance scenario that you spoke of actually mention Fiat?  A. It mentioned Fiat and it also mentioned numerous other potential alliance partners that Tom had been working on since I got there. I mean, in August of '07, just as I joined, there was a memorandum of understanding an LOI, letter of intent, that Tom had signed with Cherry, which is a manufacturer in China with the sole purpose of trying to secure from them, again, some of these product line gaps I talked about, some small compact vehicles.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of discussions.  Q. Right.  A. You know, they came to Detroit and visited with us. We had further explanation about the plan and made a couple of more presentations trying to clear up if there was any misunderstanding or misconceptions about our plan, the viability of a standalone plan.  Q. Which was your you personally, which was your preferred scenario at that time?  A. Well, I believe I certainly was strongly supporting the standalone viability plan.  Q. And why is that?  A. Well, I think for a lot of reasons. One is, we believed in it. Two, it was consistent with the original charter of maintaining our independence while at the same time reaching out to develop some product alliances. You know, we had talked to Cherry, we talked to Nissan. We talked to Fiat. We talked to a whole hose of potential manufacturers, Mitsubishi, et cetera. So we thought that if we could continue to run our business and we could supplement on the product side to increase the viability of our dealers, in other words broaden
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Well, the plan was broken up as into business you know, into business modules. First of all we would look at what's the industry; what was the industry forecast; what was our share, what were the products; what was the pricing. Then we looked at suppliers. We looked at the dealers. We looked at our labor. We looked at all of our debt, our ability to service the debt. So it was almost a line by line analysis of income statement balance sheet that we went through. And, I mean, I couldn't begin to estimate the number of hours but hundreds of people involved from all of the disciplines to help us put that together.  Q. Did the alliance scenario that you spoke of actually mention Fiat?  A. It mentioned Fiat and it also mentioned numerous other potential alliance partners that Tom had been working on since I got there. I mean, in August of '07, just as I joined, there was a memorandum of understanding an LOI, letter of intent, that Tom had signed with Cherry, which is a manufacturer in China with the sole purpose of trying to secure from them, again, some of these product line gaps I talked about, some	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of discussions.  Q. Right.  A. You know, they came to Detroit and visited with us. We had further explanation about the plan and made a couple of more presentations trying to clear up if there was any misunderstanding or misconceptions about our plan, the viability of a standalone plan.  Q. Which was your you personally, which was your preferred scenario at that time?  A. Well, I believe I certainly was strongly supporting the standalone viability plan.  Q. And why is that?  A. Well, I think for a lot of reasons. One is, we believed in it. Two, it was consistent with the original charter of maintaining our independence while at the same time reaching out to develop some product alliances. You know, we had talked to Cherry, we talked to Nissan. We talked to Fiat. We talked to a whole hose of potential manufacturers, Mitsubishi, et cetera. So we thought that if we could continue to run our business and we could supplement on the product side to

193

212-267-6868 516-608-2400

191

1	proposed in there, relative to concessions, certainly met the	1	request, if you will, or the direction that we got from the
2	objectives that were given to us in our February 17th	2	United States Treasury and the auto task force.
3	submission. We were required to have concessions from the UAW		Q. So you had your team working toward getting these
4	etcetera; a lot of those mandates came out of the congressional	4	concessions, correct?
5	hearings. They were summarized and part of the overall	5	A. Correct.
6	guidelines that we got from the Speaker and certainly the	6	Q. And at the end, towards the end of April, April 29th,
7	Senate.	7	April 30th, were you able to get it all done?
8	Q. What was the government's ultimate conclusion with respect	8	A. Man, we were almost there. We were almost there. We
9	to that plan?	9 10	you know, the dealers certainly responded in kind. We met the
10	A. Well, the conclusion was disappointing to me and certainly		mandate of we were told that fifty percent of the VEBA from
11	members of the staff in that they deemed, I think President  Ohama appropried that they deemed our standalone viability plan	11 12	the UAW had to be a commitment to convert that to equity. The
12 13	Obama announced that they deemed our standalone viability plan	13	UAW had to meet the hourly rate of the transplant, that was a
14	as not viable. And that for we had thirty days to forge an	14	request by Senator Corker wanted that in place.
15	alliance with Fiat or any other potential partner and to come	15	So if you went down the entire list, we were very close
16	back in thirty days. That we while we presented an	16	except for a few of the first lien lenders.  On And as a result of that was your lender, your proposed.
17	aggressive plan it wasn't aggressive enough.  Q. Uh-huh.	17	Q. And as a result of that was your lender, your proposed
18	A. I don't recall his exact words but he said it's going to	18	lender at the time the government, willing to fund the Fiat alliance?
19	require significantly more sacrifice on the part of the	19	A. Well, it was very clear that if we couldn't come up with
20	constituents, that we were going to have to go back and in	20	all of the concessions, including a term sheet with Fiat, that
21	thirty days put together another submission because the first	21	they were not going to put money they weren't going to
22	one just didn't make it.	22	provide DIP money, the debtor-in-possession funding. They
23	Q. And during the thirty days now the time period this	23	weren't going to provide exit funding. They weren't going to
24	is April, right?	24	do anything.
25	A. Yes.	25	Q. Okay. And did you with respect to the decision to
L	194		196
1	O So the henkruptou filing is the end of April April 20th	1	actually file bankruptov in this court did you convers the
2	Q. So the bankruptcy filing is the end of April, April 30th, correct?	2	actually file bankruptcy in this court, did you convene the board of managers?
3	A. Yes.	3	A. Almost continuously throughout the process.
4	Q. So between March 30th and April 30th can you give us some		Q. But the ultimate determination to actually file you guys
5	idea of what you guys are doing, some of the efforts and who's	5	met, correct?
6	talking to whom and things of that nature.	6	A. We did. Yeah.
7	A. So again, we organized into a logical work flow and work	7	Q. All right.
8	streams. For example on both sides, on the revenue side and	8	A. It was a decision that had to be taken by the board.
9	the cost side, for example we said Jim Press we need you to go	9	Q. Okay. Are you aware if there are minutes of that meeting?
10	back and work with the dealer network and talk about what are	10	A. Yes, I am.
11	the concessions, what can we agree to, what can we submit as	11	MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, may I approach?
12	evidence of our response. Tom LaSorda had long, you know,	12	THE COURT: Go ahead.
13	third or four generation in operations, we asked him to go back	13	(Pause)
14	and work with the UAW, CAW. We asked him to work with the	14	Q. Okay. What I've handed you, Mr. Nardelli, is a document
15	supplier network. We asked, you know, Ron Kolka to take the	15	that's entitled Meeting of the Board of Managers, April 30,
16	lead on the financial parts.	16	2009, marked Exhibit 38. Are you familiar with this document
17	So each of us went back, both at an operating level and a	17	A. Yes.
18	concessionary level and we were tracking that almost	18	Q. What is it?
19	continuously throughout those thirty days in trying to put a	19	A. This is the minutes of our board meeting that took place
20	plan together. At the same time, again, when you looked out	20	on April 30, 2009.
21	there as to who was a viable alliance partner the only one, at	21	Q. And does it reflect, as you look at the minutes, where you
22	the time, that we had ongoing dialogues or any potential	22	guys were in the process? Had you already received the
23	success was with Fiat. So we moved very aggressively with our	23	government's decision on funding on agreeing to fund the
24	owners, Cerberus, to come up with a term sheet, a non-binding	24	loan?
25	term sheet, as evidence of our ability to be responsive to the	25	A. Or the fact that we may not receive the loan.
	195		197

1	Q. Exactly.	1	at this point in time, was higher or lower. Do you see that?
2	A. Yes.	2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Okay. Do you see do you remember attending this	3	Q. Okay. What was he telling you?
4	meeting?	4	A. Well the fact is, if I could just step back, you know we
5	A. It was a telephonic meeting, yes.	5	had one of the things we were trying to do to generate cash
6	Q. Okay. And do you see down at the bottom of the first page	6	was selling idle or nonearning assets. And in '07 we had some
7	it says, "Opinion of Greenhill"?	7	success. As we continued to try and sell some of those assets,
8	A. Yes.	8	we saw a vanishing market out there for those assets.
9	Q. And who is Greenhill?	9	Q. Right.
10	A. Greenhill was a company that was retained to provide a	10	A. And basically we're not able to hit what we thought we
11	fairness opinion. We started off with another company and they		would be able to achieve in cash generation. So the analysis
12	withdrew in the process and then we went to Greenhill.	12	that was done here was you know, from his standpoint and
13	Q. And could you read for us into the record the statement	13	presented to us, what he thought was a fair and equitable
14	under Opinion of Greenhill?	14	presentation on what the recovery value might be in a
15	A. Yes. "Mr. Robbins reviewed the presentation material	15	liquidation.
16	distributed to the board in advance of the meeting. He noted	16	Q. Now, the Indiana Pensioners, these are their lawyers here.
17	that Greenhill utilized Capstone's liquidation analysis for	17	A. Yes.
18	purposes of its opinion. He concluded his presentation by	18	Q. They contend that the liquidation of the company, the
19	stating that subject to certain assumptions and limitations, as	19	value thereof, would be higher. And what I want to ask you is
20	of April 30, 2009, the consideration to be received by the	20	did you have discussions with them or do you know of
21	company in the proposed transactions, pursuant to the master	21	discussions with anybody representing the first lien lenders
22	transaction agreement with Fiat, was fair from a financial	22	around this time about liquidation value analysis?
23	point of view to the company."	23	A. Well, here's, if I can, some of the background. Early on
24	Q. Okay. And you as the CEO and being present at this	24	in these discussions, when again our viability plan was deemed
25	meeting, did you actually hear that part of the presentation?	25	to be not viable and that we were going to have to go back and 200
	190		200
1	A. Yes, all of the ves and all the board did.	1	get more aggressive and more concessions, we brought Capstone
1 2	<ul><li>A. Yes, all of the yes and all the board did.</li><li>O. Okay. Do you see then, directly under where you just</li></ul>	1 2	get more aggressive and more concessions, we brought Capstone on and looked at a liquidation analysis.
	Q. Okay. Do you see then, directly under where you just		get more aggressive and more concessions, we brought Capstone on and looked at a liquidation analysis.  In some of the discussions with the first-lien lenders we
2	-	2	on and looked at a liquidation analysis.  In some of the discussions with the first-lien lenders we
2	Q. Okay. Do you see then, directly under where you just finished, there's a heading that says "Capstone's Liquidation	2 3	on and looked at a liquidation analysis.
2 3 4	Q. Okay. Do you see then, directly under where you just finished, there's a heading that says "Capstone's Liquidation Analysis"?	2 3 4	on and looked at a liquidation analysis.  In some of the discussions with the first-lien lenders we presented our liquidation analysis and it was we asked the
2 3 4 5	Q. Okay. Do you see then, directly under where you just finished, there's a heading that says "Capstone's Liquidation Analysis"?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5	on and looked at a liquidation analysis.  In some of the discussions with the first-lien lenders we presented our liquidation analysis and it was we asked the first-lien lenders what was their point of view.
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>Q. Okay. Do you see then, directly under where you just finished, there's a heading that says "Capstone's Liquidation Analysis"?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Okay. And if we look at that, there's a discussion there</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	on and looked at a liquidation analysis.  In some of the discussions with the first-lien lenders we presented our liquidation analysis and it was we asked the first-lien lenders what was their point of view.  Q. When was this about?
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>Q. Okay. Do you see then, directly under where you just finished, there's a heading that says "Capstone's Liquidation Analysis"?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Okay. And if we look at that, there's a discussion there about the first lien lenders, correct?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	on and looked at a liquidation analysis.  In some of the discussions with the first-lien lenders we presented our liquidation analysis and it was we asked the first-lien lenders what was their point of view.  Q. When was this about?  A. This would have been in the first quarter of '09, as we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you see then, directly under where you just finished, there's a heading that says "Capstone's Liquidation Analysis"?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if we look at that, there's a discussion there about the first lien lenders, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	on and looked at a liquidation analysis.  In some of the discussions with the first-lien lenders we presented our liquidation analysis and it was we asked the first-lien lenders what was their point of view.  Q. When was this about?  A. This would have been in the first quarter of '09, as we were getting into the process. They said they were going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you see then, directly under where you just finished, there's a heading that says "Capstone's Liquidation Analysis"?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if we look at that, there's a discussion there about the first lien lenders, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell us, just by looking at that, what's going</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	on and looked at a liquidation analysis.  In some of the discussions with the first-lien lenders we presented our liquidation analysis and it was we asked the first-lien lenders what was their point of view.  Q. When was this about?  A. This would have been in the first quarter of '09, as we were getting into the process. They said they were going to retain someone to do an independent liquidation analysis.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you see then, directly under where you just finished, there's a heading that says "Capstone's Liquidation Analysis"?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if we look at that, there's a discussion there about the first lien lenders, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell us, just by looking at that, what's going on? What was the discussion about?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	on and looked at a liquidation analysis.  In some of the discussions with the first-lien lenders we presented our liquidation analysis and it was we asked the first-lien lenders what was their point of view.  Q. When was this about?  A. This would have been in the first quarter of '09, as we were getting into the process. They said they were going to retain someone to do an independent liquidation analysis.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, I object.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you see then, directly under where you just finished, there's a heading that says "Capstone's Liquidation Analysis"?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if we look at that, there's a discussion there about the first lien lenders, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell us, just by looking at that, what's going on? What was the discussion about?</li> <li>A. This is after countless discussions that took place</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	on and looked at a liquidation analysis.  In some of the discussions with the first-lien lenders we presented our liquidation analysis and it was we asked the first-lien lenders what was their point of view.  Q. When was this about?  A. This would have been in the first quarter of '09, as we were getting into the process. They said they were going to retain someone to do an independent liquidation analysis.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, I object.  THE COURT: What's your objection?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you see then, directly under where you just finished, there's a heading that says "Capstone's Liquidation Analysis"?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if we look at that, there's a discussion there about the first lien lenders, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell us, just by looking at that, what's going on? What was the discussion about?</li> <li>A. This is after countless discussions that took place between United States Treasury and the first-lien lenders.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	on and looked at a liquidation analysis.  In some of the discussions with the first-lien lenders we presented our liquidation analysis and it was we asked the first-lien lenders what was their point of view.  Q. When was this about?  A. This would have been in the first quarter of '09, as we were getting into the process. They said they were going to retain someone to do an independent liquidation analysis.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, I object.  THE COURT: What's your objection?  MR. LAURIA: Hearsay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you see then, directly under where you just finished, there's a heading that says "Capstone's Liquidation Analysis"?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if we look at that, there's a discussion there about the first lien lenders, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell us, just by looking at that, what's going on? What was the discussion about?</li> <li>A. This is after countless discussions that took place between United States Treasury and the first-lien lenders.</li> <li>This was the current offer on the table to the first-lien</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	on and looked at a liquidation analysis.  In some of the discussions with the first-lien lenders we presented our liquidation analysis and it was we asked the first-lien lenders what was their point of view.  Q. When was this about?  A. This would have been in the first quarter of '09, as we were getting into the process. They said they were going to retain someone to do an independent liquidation analysis.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, I object.  THE COURT: What's your objection?  MR. LAURIA: Hearsay.  THE COURT: All right. Counsel?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you see then, directly under where you just finished, there's a heading that says "Capstone's Liquidation Analysis"?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if we look at that, there's a discussion there about the first lien lenders, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell us, just by looking at that, what's going on? What was the discussion about?</li> <li>A. This is after countless discussions that took place between United States Treasury and the first-lien lenders.</li> <li>This was the current offer on the table to the first-lien lenders, the two billion dollars.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	on and looked at a liquidation analysis.  In some of the discussions with the first-lien lenders we presented our liquidation analysis and it was we asked the first-lien lenders what was their point of view.  Q. When was this about?  A. This would have been in the first quarter of '09, as we were getting into the process. They said they were going to retain someone to do an independent liquidation analysis.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, I object.  THE COURT: What's your objection?  MR. LAURIA: Hearsay.  THE COURT: All right. Counsel?  MR. ARMSTRONG: Yes, Your Honor. It's not offered
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you see then, directly under where you just finished, there's a heading that says "Capstone's Liquidation Analysis"?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if we look at that, there's a discussion there about the first lien lenders, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell us, just by looking at that, what's going on? What was the discussion about?</li> <li>A. This is after countless discussions that took place between United States Treasury and the first-lien lenders.</li> <li>This was the current offer on the table to the first-lien lenders, the two billion dollars.</li> <li>Q. So the two billion dollars was the current offer, that's</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	on and looked at a liquidation analysis.  In some of the discussions with the first-lien lenders we presented our liquidation analysis and it was we asked the first-lien lenders what was their point of view.  Q. When was this about?  A. This would have been in the first quarter of '09, as we were getting into the process. They said they were going to retain someone to do an independent liquidation analysis.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, I object.  THE COURT: What's your objection?  MR. LAURIA: Hearsay.  THE COURT: All right. Counsel?  MR. ARMSTRONG: Yes, Your Honor. It's not offered for the truth. Mr. Nardelli and the board of managers'
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you see then, directly under where you just finished, there's a heading that says "Capstone's Liquidation Analysis"?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if we look at that, there's a discussion there about the first lien lenders, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell us, just by looking at that, what's going on? What was the discussion about?</li> <li>A. This is after countless discussions that took place between United States Treasury and the first-lien lenders. This was the current offer on the table to the first-lien lenders, the two billion dollars.</li> <li>Q. So the two billion dollars was the current offer, that's as of April 30th to the first lien lenders?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	on and looked at a liquidation analysis.  In some of the discussions with the first-lien lenders we presented our liquidation analysis and it was we asked the first-lien lenders what was their point of view.  Q. When was this about?  A. This would have been in the first quarter of '09, as we were getting into the process. They said they were going to retain someone to do an independent liquidation analysis.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, I object.  THE COURT: What's your objection?  MR. LAURIA: Hearsay.  THE COURT: All right. Counsel?  MR. ARMSTRONG: Yes, Your Honor. It's not offered for the truth. Mr. Nardelli and the board of managers' business judgment is being challenged. The purpose of this is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you see then, directly under where you just finished, there's a heading that says "Capstone's Liquidation Analysis"?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if we look at that, there's a discussion there about the first lien lenders, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell us, just by looking at that, what's going on? What was the discussion about?</li> <li>A. This is after countless discussions that took place between United States Treasury and the first-lien lenders. This was the current offer on the table to the first-lien lenders, the two billion dollars.</li> <li>Q. So the two billion dollars was the current offer, that's as of April 30th to the first lien lenders?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	on and looked at a liquidation analysis.  In some of the discussions with the first-lien lenders we presented our liquidation analysis and it was we asked the first-lien lenders what was their point of view.  Q. When was this about?  A. This would have been in the first quarter of '09, as we were getting into the process. They said they were going to retain someone to do an independent liquidation analysis.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, I object.  THE COURT: What's your objection?  MR. LAURIA: Hearsay.  THE COURT: All right. Counsel?  MR. ARMSTRONG: Yes, Your Honor. It's not offered for the truth. Mr. Nardelli and the board of managers' business judgment is being challenged. The purpose of this is to show the information that was provided and not provided to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Okay. Do you see then, directly under where you just finished, there's a heading that says "Capstone's Liquidation Analysis"?  A. Yes. Q. Okay. And if we look at that, there's a discussion there about the first lien lenders, correct?  A. Yes. Q. And can you tell us, just by looking at that, what's going on? What was the discussion about?  A. This is after countless discussions that took place between United States Treasury and the first-lien lenders. This was the current offer on the table to the first-lien lenders, the two billion dollars. Q. So the two billion dollars was the current offer, that's as of April 30th to the first lien lenders?  A. Correct. Q. Okay. And what was Mr. Manzo, who is he?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	on and looked at a liquidation analysis.  In some of the discussions with the first-lien lenders we presented our liquidation analysis and it was we asked the first-lien lenders what was their point of view.  Q. When was this about?  A. This would have been in the first quarter of '09, as we were getting into the process. They said they were going to retain someone to do an independent liquidation analysis.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, I object.  THE COURT: What's your objection?  MR. LAURIA: Hearsay.  THE COURT: All right. Counsel?  MR. ARMSTRONG: Yes, Your Honor. It's not offered for the truth. Mr. Nardelli and the board of managers' business judgment is being challenged. The purpose of this is to show the information that was provided and not provided to management.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you see then, directly under where you just finished, there's a heading that says "Capstone's Liquidation Analysis"?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if we look at that, there's a discussion there about the first lien lenders, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell us, just by looking at that, what's going on? What was the discussion about?</li> <li>A. This is after countless discussions that took place between United States Treasury and the first-lien lenders. This was the current offer on the table to the first-lien lenders, the two billion dollars.</li> <li>Q. So the two billion dollars was the current offer, that's as of April 30th to the first lien lenders?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what was Mr. Manzo, who is he?</li> <li>A. Mr. Manzo worked for a company that we retained by the name of Capstone.</li> <li>Q. And according to this Mr. Manzo provided an analysis of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	on and looked at a liquidation analysis.  In some of the discussions with the first-lien lenders we presented our liquidation analysis and it was we asked the first-lien lenders what was their point of view.  Q. When was this about?  A. This would have been in the first quarter of '09, as we were getting into the process. They said they were going to retain someone to do an independent liquidation analysis.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, I object.  THE COURT: What's your objection?  MR. LAURIA: Hearsay.  THE COURT: All right. Counsel?  MR. ARMSTRONG: Yes, Your Honor. It's not offered for the truth. Mr. Nardelli and the board of managers' business judgment is being challenged. The purpose of this is to show the information that was provided and not provided to management.  THE WITNESS: Well, I can  THE COURT: Just a minute.  MR. ARMSTRONG: He has to rule on it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you see then, directly under where you just finished, there's a heading that says "Capstone's Liquidation Analysis"?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if we look at that, there's a discussion there about the first lien lenders, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell us, just by looking at that, what's going on? What was the discussion about?</li> <li>A. This is after countless discussions that took place between United States Treasury and the first-lien lenders. This was the current offer on the table to the first-lien lenders, the two billion dollars.</li> <li>Q. So the two billion dollars was the current offer, that's as of April 30th to the first lien lenders?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what was Mr. Manzo, who is he?</li> <li>A. Mr. Manzo worked for a company that we retained by the name of Capstone.</li> <li>Q. And according to this Mr. Manzo provided an analysis of the possible range of liquidation values?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	on and looked at a liquidation analysis.  In some of the discussions with the first-lien lenders we presented our liquidation analysis and it was we asked the first-lien lenders what was their point of view.  Q. When was this about?  A. This would have been in the first quarter of '09, as we were getting into the process. They said they were going to retain someone to do an independent liquidation analysis.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, I object.  THE COURT: What's your objection?  MR. LAURIA: Hearsay.  THE COURT: All right. Counsel?  MR. ARMSTRONG: Yes, Your Honor. It's not offered for the truth. Mr. Nardelli and the board of managers' business judgment is being challenged. The purpose of this is to show the information that was provided and not provided to management.  THE WITNESS: Well, I can  THE COURT: Just a minute.  MR. ARMSTRONG: He has to rule on it.  THE COURT: All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you see then, directly under where you just finished, there's a heading that says "Capstone's Liquidation Analysis"?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if we look at that, there's a discussion there about the first lien lenders, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell us, just by looking at that, what's going on? What was the discussion about?</li> <li>A. This is after countless discussions that took place between United States Treasury and the first-lien lenders. This was the current offer on the table to the first-lien lenders, the two billion dollars.</li> <li>Q. So the two billion dollars was the current offer, that's as of April 30th to the first lien lenders?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what was Mr. Manzo, who is he?</li> <li>A. Mr. Manzo worked for a company that we retained by the name of Capstone.</li> <li>Q. And according to this Mr. Manzo provided an analysis of the possible range of liquidation values?</li> <li>A. Yes, as it's stated here 1.7 billion recovery.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	on and looked at a liquidation analysis.  In some of the discussions with the first-lien lenders we presented our liquidation analysis and it was we asked the first-lien lenders what was their point of view.  Q. When was this about?  A. This would have been in the first quarter of '09, as we were getting into the process. They said they were going to retain someone to do an independent liquidation analysis.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, I object.  THE COURT: What's your objection?  MR. LAURIA: Hearsay.  THE COURT: All right. Counsel?  MR. ARMSTRONG: Yes, Your Honor. It's not offered for the truth. Mr. Nardelli and the board of managers' business judgment is being challenged. The purpose of this is to show the information that was provided and not provided to management.  THE WITNESS: Well, I can  THE COURT: Just a minute.  MR. ARMSTRONG: He has to rule on it.  THE COURT: All right.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, the witness clearly started
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you see then, directly under where you just finished, there's a heading that says "Capstone's Liquidation Analysis"?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if we look at that, there's a discussion there about the first lien lenders, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell us, just by looking at that, what's going on? What was the discussion about?</li> <li>A. This is after countless discussions that took place between United States Treasury and the first-lien lenders. This was the current offer on the table to the first-lien lenders, the two billion dollars.</li> <li>Q. So the two billion dollars was the current offer, that's as of April 30th to the first lien lenders?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what was Mr. Manzo, who is he?</li> <li>A. Mr. Manzo worked for a company that we retained by the name of Capstone.</li> <li>Q. And according to this Mr. Manzo provided an analysis of the possible range of liquidation values?</li> <li>A. Yes, as it's stated here 1.7 billion recovery.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And then underneath that, apparently he shared with</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	on and looked at a liquidation analysis.  In some of the discussions with the first-lien lenders we presented our liquidation analysis and it was we asked the first-lien lenders what was their point of view.  Q. When was this about?  A. This would have been in the first quarter of '09, as we were getting into the process. They said they were going to retain someone to do an independent liquidation analysis.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, I object.  THE COURT: What's your objection?  MR. LAURIA: Hearsay.  THE COURT: All right. Counsel?  MR. ARMSTRONG: Yes, Your Honor. It's not offered for the truth. Mr. Nardelli and the board of managers' business judgment is being challenged. The purpose of this is to show the information that was provided and not provided to management.  THE WITNESS: Well, I can  THE COURT: Just a minute.  MR. ARMSTRONG: He has to rule on it.  THE COURT: All right.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, the witness clearly started to testify about things that other people had told him. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you see then, directly under where you just finished, there's a heading that says "Capstone's Liquidation Analysis"?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if we look at that, there's a discussion there about the first lien lenders, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell us, just by looking at that, what's going on? What was the discussion about?</li> <li>A. This is after countless discussions that took place between United States Treasury and the first-lien lenders. This was the current offer on the table to the first-lien lenders, the two billion dollars.</li> <li>Q. So the two billion dollars was the current offer, that's as of April 30th to the first lien lenders?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what was Mr. Manzo, who is he?</li> <li>A. Mr. Manzo worked for a company that we retained by the name of Capstone.</li> <li>Q. And according to this Mr. Manzo provided an analysis of the possible range of liquidation values?</li> <li>A. Yes, as it's stated here 1.7 billion recovery.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	on and looked at a liquidation analysis.  In some of the discussions with the first-lien lenders we presented our liquidation analysis and it was we asked the first-lien lenders what was their point of view.  Q. When was this about?  A. This would have been in the first quarter of '09, as we were getting into the process. They said they were going to retain someone to do an independent liquidation analysis.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, I object.  THE COURT: What's your objection?  MR. LAURIA: Hearsay.  THE COURT: All right. Counsel?  MR. ARMSTRONG: Yes, Your Honor. It's not offered for the truth. Mr. Nardelli and the board of managers' business judgment is being challenged. The purpose of this is to show the information that was provided and not provided to management.  THE WITNESS: Well, I can  THE COURT: Just a minute.  MR. ARMSTRONG: He has to rule on it.  THE COURT: All right.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, the witness clearly started

1 A. Sorry. 2 THE COURT: All right. It can be brought in for the purposes of how he formed his opinion and the action he tools, a for the truth of the matters. 3 BY MR. ARMSTRONG: 5 BY MR. ARMSTRONG: 6 Q. Okay. Mr. Nardelli, you were asking the banks whether they had a linguisation analysis. consert? 5 A. Yeah, let me give you – let me. I guess, respond to that is specifically three was a meeting called in Washington, in the freezewy building. Seve Ratter was there; Ron Bloom was there there there had a his purpose of his was a meeting called in Washington, in the freezewy building. Seve Ratter was there; Ron Bloom was there there been March – April – April timeframe. 4 A. This is probably in the middle of – let's we it would have been March – April – April timeframe. 5 Q. Okay. All it was a session that was held in the Treesawy? 5 building. Steve Ratter was there. Ron Bloom was there. If it recall, IPMorgam was in the room and Cili was in the room. Goldman Suchs was in the room and Cili was in the room. Goldman Suchs was in the room and Cili was in the room. Morgan Stanley was in the room. Morgan Stanley was in the room and Cili was in the room and Cili was in the room. About liquidation. 5 Q. Right. 6 Visability of that plan. 7 We also talked, in that room, about liquidation. 8 We have talked, in that room, about liquidation. 9 Q. Right. 1 A. No, sir. 1 A. No, sir. 1 A. No, sir. 1 A. No, sir. 2 A. The lead agent bank. PMorgam. 1 A. No, sir. 2 Q. Never at any point prior to bankruptcy? 2 A. No, surfamently, see and the management tean at the reproposal on liquidation on analysis, a share analysis, a share analysis. 2 A. The lead agent bank. PMorgam. 3 A. Okay. 4 A. Okay. 5 Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay. 5 Q. Okay. Poso we remother, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay. 6 Q. Okay. Poso we remother, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay. 7 Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay. 8 Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay. 9 Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardell	1			
purposes of how he formed his opinion and the action he took, a not for the truth of the matters.  It is BY MK. ARMSTRONG:  A. Yeah, let me give you — let me, I guess, respond to that is specifically there was a meeting called in Washington, in the Treasury building. Sever Ratner was there; Ron Bloom was there; the four large banks; the agent —  A. This is probably in the middle of — let's see it would lake have been March — April — April marframe.  A. This is probably in the middle of — let's see it would lake have been March — April — April marframe.  A. This is probably in the middle of — let's see it would lake have been March — April — April marframe.  A. This is probably in the middle of — let's see it would lake have been March — April — April marframe.  A. This is probably in the middle of — let's see it would lake have been March — April — April marframe.  A. This is probably in the middle of — let's see it would lake have been March — April — April marframe.  A. This is probably in the middle of — let's see it would lake have been March — April — April marframe.  A. This is probably in the middle of — let's see it would late it was a session that was held in the Treasury late the proposed on the case, sorry, but I think we could find it. It was a session that was held in the Treasury late and ourselves.  The proposed on the proposed on the could make a determination about the lapidation analysis and it was asked, again, had they proposed on liquidation analysis and it was asked, again, had they proposed on liquidation would be.  A. No, sir.  A. No, sir.  A. And I could tell you specifically, we presented our ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never say their proposal on liquidation would be.  A. No, sir.  A. No, sir.  A. Weals on alked, in that room, about liquidation.  Jo Q. Nay, And who expreased — who answered that question about whether they would perform an analysis, and we were told no it wasn't their proposal on liquidation analysis and it was asked, again, had they performed		questioning, I object.	1	A. Sorry.
d not for the truth of the matters.  By MR. ARMSTRONG:  O, Okay. Mr. Nardelli, you were asking the banks whether they had a liquidation analysis, correct?  they had a liquidation analysis, correct?  O, When is this?  O, When is this?  O, When is this?  O, When is this?  A. This is probably in the middle of - let's see it would have been March - April - April timeframe.  A. This is probably in the middle of - let's see it would have been March - April - April timeframe.  A. This is probably in the middle of - let's see it would have been March - April - April timeframe.  Could find it. It was a session that was held in the Treasury of the first lien lenders band out responded to the company's request for counted find it. It was a session that was held in the Treasury of the first lien lenders ever commented on could find it. It was a session that was held in the Treasury of the first lien lenders ever commented on condification.  So the four large and agent banks were there along with Fiat and ourselves.  And the purpose of that was two-fold. One was, they wanted to bear from Fiat and Chrysher what the plan was going forward so that they could make a determination about the propose of that was two-fold. One was, they wanted to bear from Fiat and Chrysher what the plan was going forward so that they could make a determination about the propose of liquidation analysis and we were told no it wasn't ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, Inever saw their proposal on liquidation or odd we veer get a number of what they thought the liquidation would be.  O, Never at any point prior to bankruptcy?  O, Okay. If you turn to page — the last part of this document, turn a few pages in to page 5.  A. The lead agent bank, PMorgan.  O, Okay. If you turn to page — the last part of this document, turn a few pages in to page 5.  A. Now, the many point prior to bankruptcy?  O, Okay. If you turn to page — the last part of this document, turn a few pages in to page 5.  A. Now, the many point prior to bankruptcy?  O, Okay	2	THE COURT: All right. It can be brought in for the	2	Q. That's okay. It says here, "Mr. Manzo informed the board
BYMR.ARMSTRONG:   Co. Nay. Mr. Nardelli, you were asking the banks whether they had a liquidation analysis, correct?	3	purposes of how he formed his opinion and the action he took,	3	that the company's first-lien lenders had advised Mr. Rattner
6 Q. Okay. Mr. Nardelli, you were asking the banks whether they had a liquidation analysis, correct? 7 they had a liquidation analysis, correct? 8 A. Yesh, let me give your - let me. I guess. respond to that is specifically there was a meeting called in Washington, in the respondence of the property building. Seve Ratmer was there; Ron Bloom was the fire the four large banks; the agent - let would in the respondence to the ment of the specifically there was a meeting called in Washington, in the respondence to the ment of the specifically there was a meeting called in Washington, in the respondence to the company's request for comment on the Capstone liquidation analysis. Does that compound the the agent banks for the first-lien lenders had not responded to the company's request for comment on the Capstone liquidation analysis. Does that a could find it. It was a session that was held in the Treasury building. Seve Ratmer was there. Ron Bloom was there. If I is building. Seve Ratmer was there. Ron Bloom was there. If I is building. Seve Ratmer was there. Ron Bloom was there. If I is building. Seve Ratmer was there. Ron Bloom was there. If I is building. Seve Ratmer was there. Ron Bloom was there. If I is building. Seve Ratmer was there. Ron Bloom was there. If I is building. Seve Ratmer was there. Ron Bloom was there. If I is building. Seve Ratmer was there. Ron Bloom was there. If I is building. Seve Ratmer was there. Ron Bloom was there. If I is building. Seve Ratmer was there. Ron Bloom was there. If I is company and the first lein lenders were commented on Capstone's liquidation analysis.  10 Control of the Capstone liquidation analysis.  21 Capstone's liquidation analysis.  22 Control of the first lein lenders were commented on Capstone's liquidation analysis.  23 Q. Okay. So ultimately, according to these minutes, it was decided - Chrysler's board of managers decided to file bankuptey.  24 A. No, sir.  25 Control of the plan.  26 Portor and the plan was asked. A pain, had they be proposal on liquidation a	4	not for the truth of the matters.	4	of the Presidential Task Force on the Auto Industry that their
they had a liquidation analysis, correct?  A Yesh, let me give you — let me, I guess, respond to that is specifically there was a meeting called in Washington, in the Treasury building. Sleve Rattner was there; Ron Bloom was there; the four large banks; the agent —  10 there; the four large banks; the agent —  11 de Treasury building. Sleve Rattner was there; Ron Bloom was there; the four large banks; the agent —  12 Q. When is this?  13 A. This is probably in the middle of — let's see it would law to could find it. It was a session that was held in the Treasury building. Steve Rattner was there. Ron Bloom was there. If I or room, Morgan was in the room. Morgan Stanle was in the room. Morgan Stanle was in the room. Morgan Stanle was in the room and Citi was in the room. Goldman Sachs was in the room and Citi was in the room. Goldman Sachs was in the room and Citi was in the room and	5	BY MR. ARMSTRONG:	5	liquidation analysis had not been prepared and shared with the
8 A. Yeah, let me give you—let me, I guess, respond to that is specifically there was a meeting called in Washington, in the Tessary splutding. Steve Rattner was there, Ron Bloom was there; the four large banks; the agent — let's see it would if a have been March — April — April (meframe.	6	Q. Okay. Mr. Nardelli, you were asking the banks whether	6	company but was in process." Does that comport with your
s specifically there was a meeting called in Washington, in the Treasury building. Steve Rattner was there; Ron Bloom was the there; the four large banks; the agent ————————————————————————————————————	7	they had a liquidation analysis, correct?	7	memory?
the Treasury building. Sieve Ratner was there; Ron Bloom was 10 from the documents. "He indicated that the agent banks for the first-lien lenders had not responded to the company's request first-lien lenders had not responded to the company's request first-lien lenders had not responded to the company's request first-lien lenders had not responded to the company's Pose that 2 comport with your memory 2 comport with your memory 3 comport with your memory 3 comport with your memory 4 comport with your me	8	A. Yeah, let me give you let me, I guess, respond to that	8	A. Yes, it does.
there; the four large banks; the agent —  Q. When is this?  A. This probably in the middle of — let's see it would  have been March — April — April timeframe.  Q. Okay.  15  Q. Okay.  16  A. I'm not exactly sure of the date; sorry, but I think we located find it. It was a session that was held in the Treasury building. Steve Rattner was there. Ron Bloom was there. If I receal, IPM Organ was in the room. Mogan Stanley was in the room. Goldman Sachs was in the room and Citi was in the room and Citi was in the room. Mogan Stanley was in the room. Goldman Sachs was in the room and Citi was the decided to Chrysler's board of the manure of the commandation and the commandation and the commandation and the commandation	9	is specifically there was a meeting called in Washington, in	9	Q. Okay. "He indicated that the agent banks" continuing
12 Q. When is this? 13 A. This is probably in the middle of – let's see it would 14 have been March – April – April timeframe. 15 Q. Okay. 16 A. I'm not exactly sure of the date; sorry, but I think we 17 could find it. It was a session that was held in the Treasury 18 building. Steve Rattner was there. Ron Bloom was there. If I 19 recall, JPMorgan was in the room and Citi was in the room 20 room. Goldman Sachs was in the room and Citi was in the room 21 So the four large and agent banks were there along with Fiat 22 and ourselves. 23 And the purpose of that was two-fold. One was, they 24 wanted to hear from Fiat and Chrysler what the plan was going forward so that they could make a determination about the 202 2 We also talked, in that room, about liquidation. 3 Q. Right. 4 A. And I could tell you specifically, we presented our 1 iquidation analysis and it was asked, again, had they performed a liquidation analysis and we were told no it wasn't ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of what they thought the liquidation or did we ever get an unabout whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis? 4 A. No, sir. 4 A. No, sir. 5 Q. Okay. If you turn to page – the last part of this document, turn a few pages in to page 5. 6 A. Okay. 6 Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay. 7 Q. And if you look here, there's a general discussion about the liquidation analysis as eucled to resin a level of cash that would allow for an order than the condition analysis. 7 Okay. Yeah. Well there it is. 7 Q. Vaeh. 7 Chapter 7 pretty quickly, we felt and the board agreed that we needed to retain a level of cash in the vould allow for an order of the first fine from the Capstone in quickle the company? 8 A. Okay. Yeah. Well there it is. 8 Company and impacting those solved howe to give a company and the company? 9 Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay. 9 Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay. 9 Q.	10	the Treasury building. Steve Rattner was there; Ron Bloom was	10	from the documents. "He indicated that the agent banks for the
A. This is probably in the middle of — let's see it would have been March—April — April timeframe.  A. The not exactly sure of the date; sorry, but I think we could find it. It was a session that was held in the Treasury building. Steve Rattner was there. Ron Bloom was there. If I could find it. It was a session that was held in the Treasury building. Steve Rattner was there. Ron Bloom was there. If I precall, PMorgan was in the room. Morgan Stanley was in the room. Goldman Sachs was in the room and Citi was in the room. The propose of that was two-fold. One was, they and ourselves.  And the purpose of that was two-fold. One was, they and ourselves.  And the purpose of that was two-fold. One was, they wasted to hear from Fiat and Chrysler what the plan was going forward so that they could make a determination about the profront a so that they could make a determination about the profront a fight in the propose of the twas two-fold. One was, they was the proposal on liquidation analysis and it was asked, again, had they preformed a liquidation analysis and we were told no it wash their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of what they though the liquidation or wild we ever get a number of what they though the liquidation would be.  Decreased the proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of what they though the liquidation would be.  Decreased the proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of what they though the liquidation would be.  Decreased the proposal on liquidation or did we ever get an unber of what they though the liquidation would be.  Decreased the proposal on liquidation or did we ever get on the proposal on liquidation or did we ever get on the proposal on liquidation or did we ever get on the proposal on liquidation or did we ever get on the proposal on liquidation or did we ever get on the proposal on liquidation or did we ever get on the proposal on liquidation or did we ever get on the proposal on liquidation or did we ever get on the proposal on liquidation or	11	there; the four large banks; the agent	11	first-lien lenders had not responded to the company's request
14 have been March April April timeframe. 15 Q. Okay. 16 A. I'm not exactly sure of the date; sorry, but I think we 17 could find it. It was a session that was held in the Treasury 18 building. Steve Ratner was there. Ron Bloom was there. If I 19 recall, J'PMorgan was in the room and Citi was in the room. 19 Godfman Sachs was in the room and Citi was in the room. 20 common Goldman Sachs was in the room and Citi was in the room of Common and Citi was in the room	12	Q. When is this?	12	for comment on the Capstone liquidation analysis." Does that
15 Q. Okay. 16 A. I'm not exactly sure of the date; sorry, but I think we 17 could find it. It was a session that was held in the Treasury 18 building. Steve Rattner was there. Ron Bloom was there. If I 19 recall. JPMorgan was in the room. Morgan Stanley was in the 21 room. Goldman Sachs was in the room and Citi was in the room. 22 So the four large and agent banks were there along with Fiat 23 and ourselves. 24 wanted to hear from Fiat and Chrysler what the plan was going 25 forward so that they could make a determination about the 26 was laked, in that room, about liquidation. 27 Q. Right. 28 Right. 29 Right. 20 Right. 20 Right. 21 A. And I could tell you specifically, we presented our 25 liquidation analysis and it was asked, again, had they 26 performed a liquidation analysis and we were told not it wasn't 27 ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw 29 their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of 30 what they thought the liquidation would be. 31 Q. Okay. And who expressed – who answered that question 32 Q. Neyer at any point prior to bankruptcy? 33 A. Well, it got down to either file for bankruptcy or through 34 a restructuring plan or liquidation company. And I can't 35 imagine, you know, using business judgment that liquidating a 36 company and impacting some 60,000 employees, over 3,000 dealer 37 that they thought the liquidation would be. 38 been just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on 39 the cenomy. 30 Q. Okay. And who expressed – who answered that question 31 A. The lead agent bank, JPMorgan. 31 A. The lead agent bank, JPMorgan. 32 Q. Okay. If you turn to page – the last part of this 34 A. Okay. 45 A. Okay. 46 A. Okay. 47 A. And I could tell you specifically, we presented our 48 their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of 49 what they thought the liquidation would be. 40 Q. Okay. And who expressed – who answered that question 41 A. Inferiously, yes. 42 Q. Okay. And who expressed – who answered that question 42 Q. Okay. If you tu	13	A. This is probably in the middle of let's see it would	13	comport with your memory
hether any of the first lien lenders ever commented on could find it. It was a session that was held in the Treasury building. Steve Rattner was there. Ron Bloom was there. If 1 recall, J.P.Morgan was in the room. Morgan Stanley was in the room. Of J. So the four large and agent banks were there along with Fiat and ourselves.  And the purpose of that was two-fold. One was, they wanted to hear from Fiat and Chrysler what the plan was going forward so that they could make a determination about the wasted to hear from Fiat and Chrysler what the plan was going forward so that they could make a determination about the wasted to hear from Fiat and Chrysler what the plan was going forward so that they could make a determination about the wasted forwards on the the wasted forwards on the wasted forwards of wasted forwards on the wasted forwards on the wasted forwards o	14	have been March April April timeframe.	14	A. Yes, sir.
could find it. It was a session that was held in the Treasury building. Steve Rattner was there. Ron Bloom was there. If I recall, JPMorgan was in the room. Morgan Stanley was in the room coldman Sachs was in the room and Citi was in the room and Citi was in the room and Citi was in the room and curvelves.  So the four large and agent banks were there along with Fiat and curvelves.  And the purpose of that was two-fold. One was, they wanted to hear from Fiat and Chrysler what the plan was going forward so that they could make a determination about the 202 2 20. (Okay. So ultimately, according to these minutes, it was decided Chrysler's board of managers decided to file bankruptcy, correct?  1 viability of that plan.  We also talked, in that room, about liquidation.  3 Q. Right.  4 A. And I could tell you specifically, we presented our liquidation analysis and it was asked, again, had they ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of what they thought the liquidation would be.  Q. Okay. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of what they thought the liquidation would be.  Q. Okay. And who expressed who answered that question about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis, a babut whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis, a shar	15	Q. Okay.	15	Q. Okay. Do you know, at any time prior to bankruptcy,
building. Steve Rattner was there. Ron Bloom was there. If I recall, JPMorgan was in the room. Morgan Stanley was in the room. Goldman Sachs was in the room and Citi was in the recollection.  20 And think that's – that's to the best of my recollection.  21 And the purpose of that was two-fold. One was, they decided – Chrysler's board of managers decided to file bankruptcy, correct?  22 A. Venli this that's – that's to the best of my recollection.  23 Q. Nay, So ultimately, according to these minutes, it was decided – Chrysler's board of managers decided to file bankruptcy, correct?  24 A. Venli thisk that's – that's – that's to the best of my recollection.  25 Q. And Can you tell us why it made that decision?  26 A. Well, it got down to either file for bankruptcy or through a restructuring plan or liquidate the company. And I can't imagine, you know, using business judgment that liquidation and plays and it was asked, again, had they their proposal on liquidation would be.  27 Q. Never at any point prior to bankruptcy?  28 A. The lead agent bank, PMorgan.	16	A. I'm not exactly sure of the date; sorry, but I think we	16	whether any of the first lien lenders ever commented on
recall, PMorgan was in the room. Morgan Stanley was in the room and Citi was in the recolubility of that the plous the that they could the liquidation.  2	17	could find it. It was a session that was held in the Treasury	17	Capstone's liquidation analysis?
room. Goldman Sachs was in the room and Citi was in the room So the four large and agent banks were there along with Fiat and ourselves.  And the purpose of that was two-fold. One was, they wanted to hear from Fiat and Chrysler what the plan was going forward so that they could make a determination about the 2022  viability of that plan.  We also talked, in that room, about liquidation.  Q. Right.  A. And I could tell you specifically, we presented our liquidation analysis and it was asked, again, had they performed a liquidation analysis and we were told no it wasn't ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of what they thought the liquidation would be. Q. Never at any point prior to bankruptcy?  Q. Okay. And A. No, it;  A. Unfortunately, yes.  Q. And can you tell us why it made that decision?  A. Well, it got down to either file for bankruptcy or through a restructuring plan or liquidate the company. And I can't imagine, you know, using business judgment that liquidating a company and impacting some 60,000 employees, over 3,000 deale that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it would have been just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on the economy.  Q. Dever at any point prior to bankruptcy?  10 Q. Okay. And who expressed — who answered that question about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis?  Who was that?  A. The lead agent bank, JPMorgan. Q. Okay. If you turn to page — the last part of this document, turn a few pages in to page 5.  A. Okay. Q. And if you look here, there's a general discussion. Do you see under there there's a discussion about the liquidation analysis.  A. Okay. Q. And if you look here, there's a general discussion. Do you see under there there's a discussion about the liquidation analysis.	18	building. Steve Rattner was there. Ron Bloom was there. If I	18	A. In that meeting they certainly said they were not willing
21 So the four large and agent banks were there along with Fiat and ourselves. 22 and ourselves. 23 And the purpose of that was two-fold. One was, they warded to hear from Fiat and Chrysler what the plan was going forward so that they could make a determination about the 202 2 2 2 2 2 4 2 2 4 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	19	recall, JPMorgan was in the room. Morgan Stanley was in the	19	to accept that liquidation analysis.
22 and ourselves. 23 And the purpose of that was two-fold. One was, they 24 warted to hear from Fiat and Chrysler what the plan was going 25 forward so that they could make a determination about the 202 2 204  1 viability of that plan. 2 We also talked, in that room, about liquidation. 3 Q. Right. 4 A. And I could tell you specifically, we presented our 1 liquidation analysis and it was asked, again, had they 2 performed a liquidation analysis and we were told no it wasn't 2 ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw 3 their proposal on liquidation would be. 4 A. No, sir. 2 Q. Okay. And who expressed who answered that question 3 about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis; 4 Who was that? 4 Who was that? 5 A. The lead agent bank, JPMorgan. 5 Q. Okay. If you turn to page the last part of this 4 document, turn a few pages in to page 5. 4 A. Okay. 5 Q. And if you look here, there's a general discussion. Do 2 you see under there there's a discussion about the liquidation 2 you see under there there's a discussion about the liquidation are proposed analysis. 2 recollection. 2 Q. Okay. So ultimately, according to these minutes, it was decided Chrysler's board of managers decided to file bankruptcy, correct? 2 decided Chrysler's board of managers decided to file bankruptcy, correct? 2 Q. And can you tell us why it made that decision? 3 A. Well, it got down to either file for bankruptcy or through a restructuring plan or liquidate the company. And I can't imagine, you know, using business judgment that liquidating a company and impacting some 60,000 employese, over 3,000 deale that the there what they thought the liquidation would be. 4 been just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on the economy. 4 been just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on the economy. 5 Q. During the thirty days prior to that or sixty days prior to that, did you at least you and the management team at least consider that you might have to liquidate the company?	20	room. Goldman Sachs was in the room and Citi was in the room	20	Q. Anything else?
And the purpose of that was two-fold. One was, they wanted to hear from Fiat and Chrysler what the plan was going forward so that they could make a determination about the 202 202 202 202 202 202 202 202 202 20				·
24 wanted to hear from Fiat and Chrysler what the plan was going forward so that they could make a determination about the 202 2 204  1 viability of that plan. 2 We also talked, in that room, about liquidation. 3 Q. Right. 4 A. And I could tell you specifically, we presented our 5 liquidation analysis and it was asked, again, had they 6 performed a liquidation analysis and we were told no it wasn't 7 ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw 8 their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of 8 what they thought the liquidation would be. 9 the conomy. 10 Q. Never at any point prior to bankruptcy? 11 A. No, sir. 12 Q. Okay. And who expressed — who answered that question about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis of A. The lead agent bank, JPMorgan. 10 Q. Okay. If you turn to page — the last part of this 4 A. Okay. 11 Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay. 12 Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay. 13 analysis. 14 A. Unfortunately, yes. 15 A. Unfortunately, yes. 16 Q. And did you tell us why it made that decision? 17 A. Well, it got down to cither file for bankruptcy or through 4 a restructuring plan or liquidate the company. And I can't imagine, you know, using business judgment that liquidating a company and impacting some 60,000 employees, over 3,000 dealer that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it would have been just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on the economy. 16 Q. During the thirry days prior to that or sixty days prior to that, did you at least — you and the management team at least consider that you might have to liquidate the company? 11 to that, did you at least — you and the management team at least consider that you might have to liquidate the company? 11 to that, did you at least — you and the management team at least consider that you might have to liquidate the company? 11 to that, did you at least — you and the management team at least consider that you might have to liquidate the c			22	
25 forward so that they could make a determination about the 202 2 204  1 viability of that plan. 2 We also talked, in that room, about liquidation. 3 Q. Right. 4 A. And I could tell you specifically, we presented our 5 liquidation analysis and it was asked, again, had they 6 performed a liquidation analysis and we were told no it wasn't 7 ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw 8 their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of 9 what they thought the liquidation would be. 10 Q. Never at any point prior to bankruptcy? 11 A. No, sir. 12 Q. Okay. And who expressed – who answered that question 13 about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis' 14 Who was that? 15 A. The lead agent bank, JPMorgan. 16 Q. Okay. If you turn to page – the last part of this 17 document, turn a few pages in to page 5. 18 A. Okay. 19 Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay. 20 A. Okay. 21 Q. And if you look here, there's a general discussion. Do 22 you see under there there's a discussion about the liquidation 25 bankruptcy, correct? 20 A. Unfortunately, yes. 26 Q. And can you tell us why it made that decision? 3 A. Well, it got down to either file for bankruptcy or through a restructuring plan or liquidate the company. And I can't imagine, you know, using business judgment that liquidating a restructuring plan or liquidate the company and impacting some 60,000 employees, over 3,000 dealer that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it would have been just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on the economy.  10 Q. During the thirty days prior to that or sixty days prior to that, did you at least – you and the management team at 12 least consider that you might have to liquidate the company? 1 A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real – unfortunately, a real threat. 1 C. A. I mean, it was a reality. Absolutely. When we went in and as we were working through this process the fact that if we were not successful we would have to go from Chapter 11 to 12 responsibility to		And the purpose of that was two-fold. One was, they		
1 viability of that plan. 2 We also talked, in that room, about liquidation. 3 Q. Right. 4 A. And I could tell you specifically, we presented our 5 liquidation analysis and it was asked, again, had they 6 performed a liquidation analysis and we were told no it wasn't 7 ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw 8 their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of 9 what they thought the liquidation would be. 10 Q. Never at any point prior to bankruptcy? 11 A. No, sir. 12 Q. Okay. And who expressed who answered that question 13 about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis' 14 Who was that? 15 A. The lead agent bank, JPMorgan. 16 Q. Okay. If you turn to page the last part of this 17 document, turn a few pages in to page 5. 18 A. Okay. 20 A. Okay. 21 Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay. 22 Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay. 23 analysis. 24 A. Okay. Yeah. Well there it is. 26 Q. Yeah. 27 Cand can you tell us why it made that decision? 28 Q. And can you tell us why it made that decision? 3 A. Well, it got down to either file for bankruptcy or brough 4 a restructuring plan or liquidate the company. And I can't imagine, you know, using business judgment that liquidating a company and impacting some 60,000 employees, over 3,000 dealer that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it would have beein just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on the conomy. 4 that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it would have beein just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on the conomy. 4 that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it would have beein just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on the conomy. 4 that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it would have beein just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on the conomy. 4 that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it would have beein just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on the conomy. 4 been just a c				
1 viability of that plan. 2 We also talked, in that room, about liquidation. 3 Q. Right. 4 A. And I could tell you specifically, we presented our 5 liquidation analysis and it was asked, again, had they 6 performed a liquidation analysis and we were told no it wasn't 7 ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw 8 their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of 9 what they thought the liquidation would be. 10 Q. Never at any point prior to bankruptcy? 11 A. No, sir. 12 Q. Okay. And who expressed who answered that question 13 about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis. 14 Who was that? 15 A. The lead agent bank, JPMorgan. 16 Q. Okay. If you turn to page the last part of this 17 document, turn a few pages in to page 5. 18 A. Okay. 19 Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay. 20 A. Okay. 21 Q. And if you look here, there's a general discussion. Do 22 you see under there there's a discussion about the liquidation 23 A. Unfortunately, yes. 24 A. Okay. Yeah. 26 Q. And carry ou lell us why it made that decision? 3 A. Well, it got down to either file for bankruptcy or through 4 a restructuring plan or liquidate the company. And I can't imagine, you know, using business judgment that liquidating a company and impacting some 60,000 employees, over 3,000 dealer that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it would have been just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on the economy.  Q. During the thirty days prior to that or sixty days prior to that, did you at least you and the management team at least consider that you might have to liquidate the company? A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat.  Q. Okay. If you turn to page the last part of this document, turn a few pages in to page 5.  A. Okay.  Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay. A. Okay.  Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay. A. Okay.  Q. And if you look here, there's a general discussion. Do you see under there t	25	•	25	* *
We also talked, in that room, about liquidation.  Q. Right.  A. And I could tell you specifically, we presented our liquidation analysis and it was asked, again, had they performed a liquidation analysis and were told no it wasn't ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of what they thought the liquidation would be.  Q. Never at any point prior to bankruptcy?  A. No, sir.  Q. Okay. And who expressed who answered that question about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis.  A. The lead agent bank, JPMorgan.  Q. Okay. If you turn to page the last part of this document, turn a few pages in to page 5.  A. Okay.  Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay.  Q. Okay. Weah.  Description of the decision?  A. Well, it got down to either file for bankruptcy or through a restructuring plan or liquidate the company. And I can't imagine, you know, using business judgment that liquidating a company and impacting some 60,000 employees, over 3,000 dealer that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it would have been just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on the economy.  Q. During the thirty days prior to that or sixty days prior to that, did you at least you and the management team at least consider that you might have to liquidate the company?  A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat.  D. A. Madi di you plan for that?  A. I mean, it was a reality. Absolutely. When we went in and as we were working through this process the fact that if we were not successful we would have to go from Chapter 11 to Chapter 7 pretty quickly, we felt and the board agreed that we needed to retain a level of cash that would allow for an orderly and efficient liquidation because we had a responsibility to protect the assets. So that as we decommissioned those assets and we secured those assets so we were, I think, very vigilant and responsible saying that when we reached a certain level of c		202		
We also talked, in that room, about liquidation.  Q. Right.  A. And I could tell you specifically, we presented our liquidation analysis and it was asked, again, had they performed a liquidation analysis and were told no it wasn't ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of what they thought the liquidation would be.  Q. Never at any point prior to bankruptcy?  A. No, sir.  Q. Okay. And who expressed who answered that question about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis.  A. The lead agent bank, JPMorgan.  Q. Okay. If you turn to page the last part of this document, turn a few pages in to page 5.  A. Okay.  Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay.  Q. Okay. Weah.  Description of the decision?  A. Well, it got down to either file for bankruptcy or through a restructuring plan or liquidate the company. And I can't imagine, you know, using business judgment that liquidating a company and impacting some 60,000 employees, over 3,000 dealer that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it would have been just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on the economy.  Q. During the thirty days prior to that or sixty days prior to that, did you at least you and the management team at least consider that you might have to liquidate the company?  A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat.  D. A. Madi di you plan for that?  A. I mean, it was a reality. Absolutely. When we went in and as we were working through this process the fact that if we were not successful we would have to go from Chapter 11 to Chapter 7 pretty quickly, we felt and the board agreed that we needed to retain a level of cash that would allow for an orderly and efficient liquidation because we had a responsibility to protect the assets. So that as we decommissioned those assets and we secured those assets so we were, I think, very vigilant and responsible saying that when we reached a certain level of c	1	viability of that plan	1	Δ. Unfortunately ves
3 A. Well, it got down to either file for bankruptcy or through 4 A. And I could tell you specifically, we presented our 5 liquidation analysis and it was asked, again, had they 6 performed a liquidation analysis and we were told no it wasn't 7 ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw 8 their proposal on liquidation would be. 9 what they thought the liquidation would be. 10 Q. Never at any point prior to bankruptcy? 11 A. No, sir. 12 Q. Okay. And who expressed who answered that question 13 about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis? 14 Who was that? 15 A. The lead agent bank, JPMorgan. 16 Q. Okay. If you turn to page the last part of this 17 document, turn a few pages in to page 5. 18 A. Okay. 19 Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay. 20 A. Okay. 21 Q. And if you look here, there's a general discussion. Do 22 you see under there there's a discussion about the liquidation 23 analysis. 24 A. Okay. Yeah. Well there it is. 25 Q. Yeah. 26 A. Okay. If you there it is. 27 A. Well, it got down to either file for bankruptcy or through 4 a restructuring plan or liquidate the company. And I can't imagine, you know, using business judgment that liquidating a company and impacting some 60,000 employees, over 3,000 dealer that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it would have been just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on the economy.  9 that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it would have been just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on the economy.  10 Q. During the thirty days prior to that or sixty days prior to that, did you at least you and the management team at least consider that you might have to liquidate the company? A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat.  15 Q. And did you plan for that? A. I mean, it was a reality. Absolutely. When we went in and as we were working through this process the fact that if we were not successful we would have to go from Chapter 11 to Chapter 7 pret		* *		• •
4 A. And I could tell you specifically, we presented our liquidation analysis and it was asked, again, had they performed a liquidation analysis and we were told no it wasn't ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of what they thought the liquidation would be.  Q. Never at any point prior to bankruptcy?  A. No, sir.  Q. Okay. And who expressed who answered that question about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis?  Who was that?  A. The lead agent bank, JPMorgan.  Q. Okay. If you turn to page the last part of this document, turn a few pages in to page 5.  A. Okay.  A. Okay.  A. Okay.  A. Okay.  Q. And if you look here, there's a general discussion. Do you see under there there's a discussion about the liquidation analysis.  A. Okay. Yeah. Well there it is.  Q. Yeah.		•		
5 liquidation analysis and it was asked, again, had they 6 performed a liquidation analysis and we were told no it wasn't 7 ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw 8 their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of 9 what they thought the liquidation would be. 10 Q. Never at any point prior to bankruptcy? 11 A. No, sir. 12 Q. Okay. And who expressed who answered that question 13 about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis? 14 Who was that? 15 A. The lead agent bank, JPMorgan. 16 Q. Okay. If you turn to page the last part of this 17 document, turn a few pages in to page 5. 18 A. Okay. 19 Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay. 20 A. Okay. 21 Q. And if you look here, there's a general discussion. Do 22 you see under there there's a discussion about the liquidation 25 Q. Yeah. 26 imagine, you know, using business judgment that liquidating a company and impacting some 60,000 employees, over 3,000 dealer that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it would have been just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on the economy. 26 During the thirty days prior to that or sixty days prior to that, did you at least you and the management team at least consider that you might have to liquidate the company? 3 A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat. 3 A. I mean, it was a reality. Absolutely. When we went in and as we were working through this process the fact that if we were not successful we would have to go from Chapter 11 to Chapter 7 pretty quickly, we felt and the board agreed that we needed to retain a level of cash that would allow for an orderly and efficient liquidation because we had a responsibility to protect the assets. So that as we were, I think, on the industry and on that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat. 4 A. The lead agent bank, JPMorgan. 5 A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat. 6 D. A I mean, it was a reality. Abs				
performed a liquidation analysis and we were told no it wasn't ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of what they thought the liquidation would be.  10 Q. Never at any point prior to bankruptcy?  11 A. No, sir.  12 Q. Okay. And who expressed who answered that question about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis?  13 A. The lead agent bank, JPMorgan.  14 Who was that?  15 A. The lead agent bank, JPMorgan.  16 Q. Okay. If you turn to page the last part of this document, turn a few pages in to page 5.  17 A. Okay.  19 Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay.  20 A. Okay.  21 Q. And if you look here, there's a general discussion. Do you see under there there's a discussion about the liquidation you were analysis.  22 A. Okay. Yeah.  23 A. Okay. Yeah.  24 A. Okay. Yeah.  25 Q. Yeah.				
that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it would have been just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on the economy.  Q. Never at any point prior to bankruptcy?  10 Q. Okay. And who expressed who answered that question about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis?  11 A. The lead agent bank, JPMorgan.  12 Q. Okay. If you turn to page the last part of this document, turn a few pages in to page 5.  13 A. Okay.  14 A. Okay.  15 Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay.  16 Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay.  17 A. Okay.  18 Who was that?  19 Q. And if you look here, there's a general discussion. Do you see under there there's a discussion about the liquidation analysis.  20 A. Okay. Yeah.  21 Who were not successful we would have to go from Chapter 11 to orderly and efficient liquidation because we had a responsibility to protect the assets. So that as we were, I think, on the industry and on the economy.  10 Q. During the thirty days prior to that or sixty days prior to that, did you at least you and the management team at least consider that you might have to liquidate the company?  10 A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat.  11 threat.  12 Q. And did you plan for that?  13 A. I mean, it was a reality. Absolutely. When we went in and as we were working through this process the fact that if we were not successful we would have to go from Chapter 11 to Chapter 7 pretty quickly, we felt and the board agreed that we needed to retain a level of cash that would allow for an orderly and efficient liquidation because we had a responsibility to protect the assets. So that as we were, I think, very vigilant and responsible saying that when we reached a certain level of cash in the U.S. if in fact we				
their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of what they thought the liquidation would be.  Q. Never at any point prior to bankruptcy?  10 Q. During the thirty days prior to that or sixty days prior to that, did you at least you and the management team at 12 Q. Okay. And who expressed who answered that question about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis.  A. The lead agent bank, JPMorgan.  C. Okay. If you turn to page the last part of this document, turn a few pages in to page 5.  A. Okay.  Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay.  Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay.  Q. And if you look here, there's a general discussion. Do 20 And if you look here, there's a discussion about the liquidation 21 Q. Yeah.  Been just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on 22 we reached a certain level of cash in the U.S. if in fact we		performed a liquidation analysis and we were told no it wasn't	6	
what they thought the liquidation would be.  Q. Never at any point prior to bankruptcy?  10 Q. During the thirty days prior to that or sixty days prior  11 to that, did you at least you and the management team at  12 Q. Okay. And who expressed who answered that question  13 about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis'  14 Who was that?  15 A. The lead agent bank, JPMorgan.  16 Q. Okay. If you turn to page the last part of this  17 document, turn a few pages in to page 5.  18 A. Okay.  19 Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay.  19 Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay.  20 And if you look here, there's a general discussion. Do  21 you see under there there's a discussion about the liquidation  22 you see under there there's a discussion about the liquidation  23 analysis.  24 A. Okay. Yeah. Well there it is.  Q. Pank  25 Were not successful we would have to go from Chapter 11 to  17 orderly and efficient liquidation because we had a  18 responsibility to protect the assets. So that as we  29 decommissioned those assets and we secured those assets so we  20 Were, I think, very vigilant and responsible saying that when  21 Who was that?  22 we reached a certain level of cash in the U.S. if in fact we				company and impacting some 60,000 employees, over 3,000 dealers
Q. Never at any point prior to bankruptcy?  10 Q. During the thirty days prior to that or sixty days prior  11 to that, did you at least you and the management team at  12 Q. Okay. And who expressed who answered that question 13 about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis? 14 Who was that? 15 A. The lead agent bank, JPMorgan. 16 Q. Okay. If you turn to page the last part of this 17 document, turn a few pages in to page 5. 18 A. Okay. 19 Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay. 20 A. Okay. 20 A. Okay. 21 Q. And if you look here, there's a general discussion. Do 22 you see under there there's a discussion about the liquidation 23 analysis. 24 A. Okay. Yeah. Well there it is. 25 Q. Yeah. 26 During the thirty days prior to that or sixty days prior 27 to that, did you at least you and the management team at 28 least consider that you might have to liquidate the company? 29 Last consider that you might have to liquidate the company? 20 A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real 21 threat. 22 Least consider that you might have to liquidate the company? 23 A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real 24 threat. 25 C. And did you plan for that? 26 A. I mean, it was a real unfortunately. 27 A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately. 28 A. I mean, it was a real unfortunately. 29 A. I mean, it was a real unfortunately. 29 A. I mean, it was a real unfortunately. 20 A. I mean, it was a real unfortunately. 20 A. I mean, it was a real unfortunately. 29 A. I mean, it was a real unfortunately. 29 A. I mean, it was a real unfortunately. 20 A. I mean, it was a real unfortunately. 20 A. I mean, it was a real unfortunately. 21 A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately. 21 A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately. 21 A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately. 21 A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately. 21 A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately. 22 A. Okay. 23 A. Okay. 24 Chardida you plan for	7	ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw	7	company and impacting some 60,000 employees, over 3,000 dealer that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it would have
A. No, sir.  11 to that, did you at least you and the management team at 12 Q. Okay. And who expressed who answered that question 13 about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis about whether there the analysis about whether there the analysis about whether there the analysis about the liaute the company?  13 A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat.  14 threat.  15 Q. And did you plan for that?  A. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat.  16 A. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat.  17 and as we were working through this process the fact that if we were not successful we would have to go from Chapter 11 to and as we were not successful we would have to go from Chapter 11 to and as we were not successful we would have to go from Chapter 11 to and as we were not successful we would have to go from Chapter 11 to and as we were not successful we would have to go from Chapter 11 to analysis and as we were working through this process the fact that if	7 8	ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of	7 8	company and impacting some 60,000 employees, over 3,000 dealer that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it would have been just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on
Q. Okay. And who expressed who answered that question about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis.  A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat.  Least consider that you might have to liquidate the company?  A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat.  Least consider that you might have to liquidate the company?  A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat.  Least consider that you might have to liquidate the company?  A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat.  Least consider that you might have to liquidate the company?  A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat.  Least consider that you might have to liquidate the company?  A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat.  Least consider that you might have to liquidate the company?  A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat.  Least consider that you might have to liquidate the company?  A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat.  Least consider that you might have to liquidate the company?  A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat.  Least consider that you might have to liquidate the company?  A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat.  Least consider that you might have to liquidate the company?  A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat.  Least consider that you might have to go from Chapter 11 to and as we were working through this process the fact that if we were not successful we would have to go from Chapter 11 to on as we were not successful we would have to go from Chapter 11 to on the custoff of and the board agreed that we need to retain a level of cash that would allow for an orderly and efficient liquidation because we had a responsibility to protect the assets. So that as we decommissioned those assets and we secured those assets so we were, I think, very vigilant and responsible saying that when were work	7 8 9	ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of what they thought the liquidation would be.	7 8 9	company and impacting some 60,000 employees, over 3,000 dealer that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it would have been just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on the economy.
about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis.  A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat.  A. The lead agent bank, JPMorgan.  Color of the least part of this document, turn a few pages in to page 5.  A. Okay.  Color of the least part of this A. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat.  A. I mean, it was a reality. Absolutely. When we went in and as we were working through this process the fact that if we were not successful we would have to go from Chapter 11 to Chapter 7 pretty quickly, we felt and the board agreed that we Chapter 7 pretty quickly, we felt and the board agreed that we needed to retain a level of cash that would allow for an Color of the least part of this document, turn a few pages in to page 5.  A. Okay.  Chapter 7 pretty quickly, we felt and the board agreed that we needed to retain a level of cash that would allow for an corderly and efficient liquidation because we had a responsibility to protect the assets. So that as we decommissioned those assets and we secured those assets so we A. Okay. Yeah. Well there it is.  Color of the least part of the threat.  A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat.  A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately.  A. I mean, it was a real unfortunately.	7 8 9 10	ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of what they thought the liquidation would be.  Q. Never at any point prior to bankruptcy?	7 8 9 10	company and impacting some 60,000 employees, over 3,000 dealer that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it would have been just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on the economy.  Q. During the thirty days prior to that or sixty days prior
14 Who was that?  15 A. The lead agent bank, JPMorgan.  16 Q. Okay. If you turn to page the last part of this  17 document, turn a few pages in to page 5.  18 A. Okay.  19 Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay.  20 A. Okay.  20 And if you look here, there's a general discussion. Do  21 Q. And if you look here there's a discussion about the liquidation  22 you see under there there's a discussion about the liquidation  23 analysis.  24 A. Okay. Yeah. Well there it is.  25 Q. Yeah.  16 A. I mean, it was a reality. Absolutely. When we went in  16 A. I mean, it was a reality. Absolutely. When we went in  17 and as we were working through this process the fact that if we were not successful we would have to go from Chapter 11 to  26 Chapter 7 pretty quickly, we felt and the board agreed that we needed to retain a level of cash that would allow for an  27 orderly and efficient liquidation because we had a responsibility to protect the assets. So that as we decommissioned those assets and we secured those assets so we were, I think, very vigilant and responsible saying that when we reached a certain level of cash in the U.S. if in fact we	7 8 9 10 11	ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of what they thought the liquidation would be.  Q. Never at any point prior to bankruptcy?  A. No, sir.	7 8 9 10 11	company and impacting some 60,000 employees, over 3,000 dealer that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it would have been just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on the economy.  Q. During the thirty days prior to that or sixty days prior to that, did you at least you and the management team at
A. The lead agent bank, JPMorgan.  15 Q. And did you plan for that?  16 Q. Okay. If you turn to page the last part of this  17 document, turn a few pages in to page 5.  18 A. Okay.  19 Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay.  20 A. Okay.  20 A. Okay.  20 And if you look here, there's a general discussion. Do  21 you see under there there's a discussion about the liquidation  22 you see under there there's a discussion about the liquidation  23 analysis.  24 A. Okay. Yeah. Well there it is.  25 Q. Yeah.  16 A. I mean, it was a reality. Absolutely. When we went in  17 and as we were working through this process the fact that if we  18 were not successful we would have to go from Chapter 11 to  19 Chapter 7 pretty quickly, we felt and the board agreed that we  20 needed to retain a level of cash that would allow for an  21 orderly and efficient liquidation because we had a  22 responsibility to protect the assets. So that as we  23 decommissioned those assets and we secured those assets so we  24 were, I think, very vigilant and responsible saying that when  25 we reached a certain level of cash in the U.S. if in fact we	7 8 9 10 11 12	ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of what they thought the liquidation would be.  Q. Never at any point prior to bankruptcy?  A. No, sir.  Q. Okay. And who expressed who answered that question	7 8 9 10 11 12	company and impacting some 60,000 employees, over 3,000 dealer that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it would have been just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on the economy.  Q. During the thirty days prior to that or sixty days prior to that, did you at least you and the management team at least consider that you might have to liquidate the company?
Q. Okay. If you turn to page the last part of this document, turn a few pages in to page 5.  A. Okay.  Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay.  Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay.  Q. And if you look here, there's a general discussion. Do  Q. And if you see under there there's a discussion about the liquidation  analysis.  A. I mean, it was a reality. Absolutely. When we went in  17 and as we were working through this process the fact that if we  18 were not successful we would have to go from Chapter 11 to  19 Chapter 7 pretty quickly, we felt and the board agreed that we  20 needed to retain a level of cash that would allow for an  21 orderly and efficient liquidation because we had a  22 responsibility to protect the assets. So that as we  23 analysis.  24 decommissioned those assets and we secured those assets so we  25 Q. Yeah.  26 Were, I think, very vigilant and responsible saying that when  27 we reached a certain level of cash in the U.S. if in fact we	7 8 9 10 11 12	ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of what they thought the liquidation would be.  Q. Never at any point prior to bankruptcy?  A. No, sir.  Q. Okay. And who expressed who answered that question about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis?	7 8 9 10 11 12	company and impacting some 60,000 employees, over 3,000 dealer that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it would have been just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on the economy.  Q. During the thirty days prior to that or sixty days prior to that, did you at least you and the management team at least consider that you might have to liquidate the company?  A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real
document, turn a few pages in to page 5.  17 and as we were working through this process the fact that if we were not successful we would have to go from Chapter 11 to 19 Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay.  18 were not successful we would have to go from Chapter 11 to 19 Chapter 7 pretty quickly, we felt and the board agreed that we needed to retain a level of cash that would allow for an 19 orderly and efficient liquidation because we had a 19 you see under there there's a discussion about the liquidation 19 decommissioned those assets. So that as we 19 decommissioned those assets and we secured those assets so we 19 Q. Yeah. 19 Veah. 19 Were, I think, very vigilant and responsible saying that when 19 Were, I think, very vigilant and responsible saying that when 19 Were not successful we would have to go from Chapter 11 to 19 Chapter 7 pretty quickly, we felt and the board agreed that we 19 needed to retain a level of cash that would allow for an 19 orderly and efficient liquidation because we had a 20 orderly and efficient liquidation because we had a 21 orderly and efficient liquidation because we had a 22 responsibility to protect the assets. So that as we 23 decommissioned those assets and we secured those assets so we 24 A. Okay. Yeah. Well there it is. 24 were, I think, very vigilant and responsible saying that when 25 we reached a certain level of cash in the U.S. if in fact we	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of what they thought the liquidation would be.  Q. Never at any point prior to bankruptcy?  A. No, sir.  Q. Okay. And who expressed who answered that question about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis? Who was that?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	company and impacting some 60,000 employees, over 3,000 dealer that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it would have been just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on the economy.  Q. During the thirty days prior to that or sixty days prior to that, did you at least you and the management team at least consider that you might have to liquidate the company?  A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat.
A. Okay.  18 were not successful we would have to go from Chapter 11 to  19 Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay.  20 A. Okay.  20 needed to retain a level of cash that would allow for an  21 Q. And if you look here, there's a general discussion. Do  22 you see under there there's a discussion about the liquidation  23 analysis.  24 A. Okay. Yeah. Well there it is.  25 Q. Yeah.  18 were not successful we would have to go from Chapter 11 to  19 Chapter 7 pretty quickly, we felt and the board agreed that we  20 needed to retain a level of cash that would allow for an  21 orderly and efficient liquidation because we had a  22 responsibility to protect the assets. So that as we  23 decommissioned those assets and we secured those assets so we  24 were, I think, very vigilant and responsible saying that when  25 we reached a certain level of cash in the U.S. if in fact we	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of what they thought the liquidation would be.  Q. Never at any point prior to bankruptcy?  A. No, sir.  Q. Okay. And who expressed who answered that question about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis? Who was that?  A. The lead agent bank, JPMorgan.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	company and impacting some 60,000 employees, over 3,000 dealer that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it would have been just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on the economy.  Q. During the thirty days prior to that or sixty days prior to that, did you at least you and the management team at least consider that you might have to liquidate the company?  A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat.  Q. And did you plan for that?
A. Okay.  Q. And if you look here, there's a general discussion. Do  you see under there there's a discussion about the liquidation  analysis.  A. Okay. Yeah. Well there it is.  Q. Yeah.  20 needed to retain a level of cash that would allow for an  21 orderly and efficient liquidation because we had a  22 responsibility to protect the assets. So that as we  23 decommissioned those assets and we secured those assets so we  24 were, I think, very vigilant and responsible saying that when  25 we reached a certain level of cash in the U.S. if in fact we	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of what they thought the liquidation would be.  Q. Never at any point prior to bankruptcy?  A. No, sir.  Q. Okay. And who expressed who answered that question about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis? Who was that?  A. The lead agent bank, JPMorgan.  Q. Okay. If you turn to page the last part of this	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	company and impacting some 60,000 employees, over 3,000 dealer that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it would have been just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on the economy.  Q. During the thirty days prior to that or sixty days prior to that, did you at least you and the management team at least consider that you might have to liquidate the company?  A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat.  Q. And did you plan for that?  A. I mean, it was a reality. Absolutely. When we went in
A. Okay.  Q. And if you look here, there's a general discussion. Do  you see under there there's a discussion about the liquidation  analysis.  A. Okay. Yeah. Well there it is.  Q. Yeah.  20 needed to retain a level of cash that would allow for an  21 orderly and efficient liquidation because we had a  22 responsibility to protect the assets. So that as we  23 decommissioned those assets and we secured those assets so we  24 were, I think, very vigilant and responsible saying that when  25 we reached a certain level of cash in the U.S. if in fact we	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of what they thought the liquidation would be.  Q. Never at any point prior to bankruptcy?  A. No, sir.  Q. Okay. And who expressed who answered that question about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis? Who was that?  A. The lead agent bank, JPMorgan.  Q. Okay. If you turn to page the last part of this document, turn a few pages in to page 5.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	company and impacting some 60,000 employees, over 3,000 dealer that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it would have been just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on the economy.  Q. During the thirty days prior to that or sixty days prior to that, did you at least you and the management team at least consider that you might have to liquidate the company?  A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat.  Q. And did you plan for that?  A. I mean, it was a reality. Absolutely. When we went in and as we were working through this process the fact that if we
you see under there there's a discussion about the liquidation 22 responsibility to protect the assets. So that as we 23 analysis. 24 A. Okay. Yeah. Well there it is. 25 Q. Yeah. 26 Yeah. 27 responsibility to protect the assets. So that as we 28 decommissioned those assets and we secured those assets so we 29 were, I think, very vigilant and responsible saying that when 29 we reached a certain level of cash in the U.S. if in fact we	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of what they thought the liquidation would be.  Q. Never at any point prior to bankruptcy?  A. No, sir.  Q. Okay. And who expressed who answered that question about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis? Who was that?  A. The lead agent bank, JPMorgan.  Q. Okay. If you turn to page the last part of this document, turn a few pages in to page 5.  A. Okay.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	company and impacting some 60,000 employees, over 3,000 dealer that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it would have been just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on the economy.  Q. During the thirty days prior to that or sixty days prior to that, did you at least you and the management team at least consider that you might have to liquidate the company?  A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat.  Q. And did you plan for that?  A. I mean, it was a reality. Absolutely. When we went in and as we were working through this process the fact that if we were not successful we would have to go from Chapter 11 to
you see under there there's a discussion about the liquidation analysis.  22 responsibility to protect the assets. So that as we 23 decommissioned those assets and we secured those assets so we 24 A. Okay. Yeah. Well there it is. 25 Q. Yeah. 26 you see under there there's a discussion about the liquidation 27 responsibility to protect the assets. So that as we 28 decommissioned those assets and we secured those assets so we 29 were, I think, very vigilant and responsible saying that when 29 we reached a certain level of cash in the U.S. if in fact we	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of what they thought the liquidation would be.  Q. Never at any point prior to bankruptcy?  A. No, sir.  Q. Okay. And who expressed who answered that question about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis? Who was that?  A. The lead agent bank, JPMorgan.  Q. Okay. If you turn to page the last part of this document, turn a few pages in to page 5.  A. Okay.  Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	company and impacting some 60,000 employees, over 3,000 dealer that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it would have been just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on the economy.  Q. During the thirty days prior to that or sixty days prior to that, did you at least you and the management team at least consider that you might have to liquidate the company?  A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat.  Q. And did you plan for that?  A. I mean, it was a reality. Absolutely. When we went in and as we were working through this process the fact that if we were not successful we would have to go from Chapter 11 to Chapter 7 pretty quickly, we felt and the board agreed that we
A. Okay. Yeah. Well there it is.  24 were, I think, very vigilant and responsible saying that when 25 Q. Yeah.  25 we reached a certain level of cash in the U.S. if in fact we	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of what they thought the liquidation would be.  Q. Never at any point prior to bankruptcy?  A. No, sir.  Q. Okay. And who expressed who answered that question about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis? Who was that?  A. The lead agent bank, JPMorgan.  Q. Okay. If you turn to page the last part of this document, turn a few pages in to page 5.  A. Okay.  Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay.  A. Okay.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	company and impacting some 60,000 employees, over 3,000 dealer that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it would have been just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on the economy.  Q. During the thirty days prior to that or sixty days prior to that, did you at least you and the management team at least consider that you might have to liquidate the company?  A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat.  Q. And did you plan for that?  A. I mean, it was a reality. Absolutely. When we went in and as we were working through this process the fact that if we were not successful we would have to go from Chapter 11 to Chapter 7 pretty quickly, we felt and the board agreed that we needed to retain a level of cash that would allow for an
25 Q. Yeah. 25 we reached a certain level of cash in the U.S. if in fact we	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of what they thought the liquidation would be.  Q. Never at any point prior to bankruptcy?  A. No, sir.  Q. Okay. And who expressed who answered that question about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis? Who was that?  A. The lead agent bank, JPMorgan.  Q. Okay. If you turn to page the last part of this document, turn a few pages in to page 5.  A. Okay.  Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay.  A. Okay.  Q. And if you look here, there's a general discussion. Do	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	company and impacting some 60,000 employees, over 3,000 dealer that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it would have been just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on the economy.  Q. During the thirty days prior to that or sixty days prior to that, did you at least you and the management team at least consider that you might have to liquidate the company?  A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat.  Q. And did you plan for that?  A. I mean, it was a reality. Absolutely. When we went in and as we were working through this process the fact that if we were not successful we would have to go from Chapter 11 to Chapter 7 pretty quickly, we felt and the board agreed that we needed to retain a level of cash that would allow for an orderly and efficient liquidation because we had a
	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of what they thought the liquidation would be.  Q. Never at any point prior to bankruptcy?  A. No, sir.  Q. Okay. And who expressed who answered that question about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis? Who was that?  A. The lead agent bank, JPMorgan.  Q. Okay. If you turn to page the last part of this document, turn a few pages in to page 5.  A. Okay.  Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay.  A. Okay.  Q. And if you look here, there's a general discussion. Do you see under there there's a discussion about the liquidation	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	company and impacting some 60,000 employees, over 3,000 dealer that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it would have been just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on the economy.  Q. During the thirty days prior to that or sixty days prior to that, did you at least you and the management team at least consider that you might have to liquidate the company?  A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat.  Q. And did you plan for that?  A. I mean, it was a reality. Absolutely. When we went in and as we were working through this process the fact that if we were not successful we would have to go from Chapter 11 to Chapter 7 pretty quickly, we felt and the board agreed that we needed to retain a level of cash that would allow for an orderly and efficient liquidation because we had a responsibility to protect the assets. So that as we
203   205	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of what they thought the liquidation would be.  Q. Never at any point prior to bankruptcy?  A. No, sir.  Q. Okay. And who expressed who answered that question about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis? Who was that?  A. The lead agent bank, JPMorgan.  Q. Okay. If you turn to page the last part of this document, turn a few pages in to page 5.  A. Okay.  Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay.  A. Okay.  Q. And if you look here, there's a general discussion. Do you see under there there's a discussion about the liquidation analysis.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	company and impacting some 60,000 employees, over 3,000 dealer that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it would have been just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on the economy.  Q. During the thirty days prior to that or sixty days prior to that, did you at least you and the management team at least consider that you might have to liquidate the company?  A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat.  Q. And did you plan for that?  A. I mean, it was a reality. Absolutely. When we went in and as we were working through this process the fact that if we were not successful we would have to go from Chapter 11 to Chapter 7 pretty quickly, we felt and the board agreed that we needed to retain a level of cash that would allow for an orderly and efficient liquidation because we had a responsibility to protect the assets. So that as we decommissioned those assets and we secured those assets so we
	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ready yet. So in my personal experience with them, I never saw their proposal on liquidation or did we ever get a number of what they thought the liquidation would be.  Q. Never at any point prior to bankruptcy?  A. No, sir.  Q. Okay. And who expressed who answered that question about whether they would perform an analysis, a share analysis? Who was that?  A. The lead agent bank, JPMorgan.  Q. Okay. If you turn to page the last part of this document, turn a few pages in to page 5.  A. Okay.  Q. Now remember, Mr. Nardelli, this is April 30th, okay.  A. Okay.  Q. And if you look here, there's a general discussion. Do you see under there there's a discussion about the liquidation analysis.  A. Okay. Yeah. Well there it is.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	company and impacting some 60,000 employees, over 3,000 dealer that employ about 140,000 suppliers. I mean, it would have been just a cataclysmic impact, I think, on the industry and on the economy.  Q. During the thirty days prior to that or sixty days prior to that, did you at least you and the management team at least consider that you might have to liquidate the company?  A. Yeah. I mean, it was a real unfortunately, a real threat.  Q. And did you plan for that?  A. I mean, it was a reality. Absolutely. When we went in and as we were working through this process the fact that if we were not successful we would have to go from Chapter 11 to Chapter 7 pretty quickly, we felt and the board agreed that we needed to retain a level of cash that would allow for an orderly and efficient liquidation because we had a responsibility to protect the assets. So that as we decommissioned those assets and we secured those assets so we were, I think, very vigilant and responsible saying that when

1	had to go into liquidation, a level of cash that we would need	1	that way, of separating these brands we did not believe was
2	and that discussion took place with the first-lien lenders	2	feasible.
3	also.	3	Q. Why?
4	Q. Do you remember what the amount was about?	4	A. For example, you have mixed production in our Belvedere
5	A. We were targeting about 750 million dollars.	5	plant. You produce a small, compact Dodge and two Jeeps, our
6	Q. And when you did file did you still have about that much	6	Patriot and our Compass. In our Brampton facility you produce
7	cash?	7	a Chrysler 300; you produce a Challenger and a Charger. So the
8	A. Yes.	8	ability to sell the brands did not line up, first of all, with
9	Q. And you said you shared that with the first-lien lenders	9	the facilities. Second of all, it didn't line up with our
10	as well, correct?	10	engineering and our tech center. You judge where we try to get
11	A. We did.	11	leverage by putting engineers together on platform versus
12	Q. Did you share, prior to filing for bankruptcy; did you	12	brand. So you couldn't just you just couldn't swipe off and
13	share additional information with the first-lien lenders? You	13	say okay you engineers go over here because they were working
14	mentioned before the business plan, did you share financial	14	on multiple platforms and multiple powertrains, transmissions,
15	information, cash information, things of that nature?	15	et cetera.
16	A. I think any time they requested information and what was	16	So it, you know, on paper I could understand the analysis.
17	our you know, what was our during that period we went to	17	But the ability to do that and the ability, then, to go to the
18	a daily cash call. We started to review not only commitments	18	market and try to sell that was just not feasible.
19	but releases of expenditures. All the things that I think you	19	Q. The interconnectedness you just described didn't apply,
20	would do when you reached this particular time in your	20	say, to the Connor Avenue facility which manufactured Viper,
21	business. And so not only me but there were others who were	21	correct?
22	communicating with the first-lien lenders about the dire	22	A. That was one facility that we did we went out and
23	straights we were in and the cash consumption and the things	23	tested. We brought on an investment banking firm to do the
24	that we were trying to do to salvage the company.	24	analysis. They put together a very good document, put together
25	Q. The objectors, the Indiana Pensioners, have claimed	25	a good book, had quite an extensive list, as I recall. I don't
	206		208
_			
1	repeatedly that the government coerced Chrysler into entering	1	know, maybe fifty potential buyers, not that they had expressed
2	into the deal; it dictated the terms or things of that nature,	2	interest but buyers that they were going to go to target. If I
3 4	what's your reaction to that?	3	recall, the valuation on that, that they put on it, was 100 to
5	A. I would not agree with that. They were the only lenders	4 5	150 million dollars. And Fast Forward, let's see, that would
6	out there who were willing to invest in saving this iconic brand. They were shoulder to shoulder with us working through	6	have been in the middle of '08 so almost fast forward a year
7		7	and I think we got we got some offers but were never really
8	a broad range of negotiations and discussions. I felt that they were they were, as we were, trying to come out with the	8	able to validate the ability of the buyer to pay somewhere
9	best alternative to avoid liquidation.	9	around ten million dollars. That's for the entire factory, all
10	Q. But they did make certain requests of you and wanted	10	the tooling, the people, the intellectual property, et cetera.  Q. So they were offering ten million dollars to buy the
	certain concessions and things done. Did you see that as		entire plant facility?
11 12	coercion?	11 12	A. Yeah. And part of the problem with that is the powertrain
		12	A. Tean. And part of the problem with that is the powertrain
13		13	
13	A. No. I mean, it's I've been in business thirty-eight	13	in the Viper is common. There's a lot of commonality in the
14	A. No. I mean, it's I've been in business thirty-eight years and some of their requests were nothing abnormal that I	14	in the Viper is common. There's a lot of commonality in the engine and the powertrain, the transmissions, the axles. So
14 15	A. No. I mean, it's I've been in business thirty-eight years and some of their requests were nothing abnormal that I hadn't had before from other lending institutions.	14 15	in the Viper is common. There's a lot of commonality in the engine and the powertrain, the transmissions, the axles. So again, the difficulty is that they would have to be comfortable
14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. No. I mean, it's I've been in business thirty-eight years and some of their requests were nothing abnormal that I hadn't had before from other lending institutions.</li> <li>Q. You're also being criticized because perhaps you should</li> </ul>	14 15 16	in the Viper is common. There's a lot of commonality in the engine and the powertrain, the transmissions, the axles. So again, the difficulty is that they would have to be comfortable in a service agreement with Chrysler to buy that technology on
14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. No. I mean, it's I've been in business thirty-eight years and some of their requests were nothing abnormal that I hadn't had before from other lending institutions.</li> <li>Q. You're also being criticized because perhaps you should have done something else like sold off product lines, Jeep,</li> </ul>	14 15 16 17	in the Viper is common. There's a lot of commonality in the engine and the powertrain, the transmissions, the axles. So again, the difficulty is that they would have to be comfortable in a service agreement with Chrysler to buy that technology on a going forward basis. So they wouldn't you know, they
14 15 16 17 18	A. No. I mean, it's I've been in business thirty-eight years and some of their requests were nothing abnormal that I hadn't had before from other lending institutions.  Q. You're also being criticized because perhaps you should have done something else like sold off product lines, Jeep, Dodge, something like that. What's your reaction to that?	14 15 16 17 18	in the Viper is common. There's a lot of commonality in the engine and the powertrain, the transmissions, the axles. So again, the difficulty is that they would have to be comfortable in a service agreement with Chrysler to buy that technology on a going forward basis. So they wouldn't you know, they would have to continue to buy engines from Chrysler.
14 15 16 17 18 19	A. No. I mean, it's I've been in business thirty-eight years and some of their requests were nothing abnormal that I hadn't had before from other lending institutions.  Q. You're also being criticized because perhaps you should have done something else like sold off product lines, Jeep, Dodge, something like that. What's your reaction to that?  A. Well, you know, it's it those are always, kind of,	14 15 16 17 18	in the Viper is common. There's a lot of commonality in the engine and the powertrain, the transmissions, the axles. So again, the difficulty is that they would have to be comfortable in a service agreement with Chrysler to buy that technology on a going forward basis. So they wouldn't you know, they would have to continue to buy engines from Chrysler.  Q. Right. Another criticism, Mr. Nardelli, is that you
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. No. I mean, it's I've been in business thirty-eight years and some of their requests were nothing abnormal that I hadn't had before from other lending institutions.  Q. You're also being criticized because perhaps you should have done something else like sold off product lines, Jeep, Dodge, something like that. What's your reaction to that?  A. Well, you know, it's it those are always, kind of, cocktail discussions and, you know, things that people want to	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in the Viper is common. There's a lot of commonality in the engine and the powertrain, the transmissions, the axles. So again, the difficulty is that they would have to be comfortable in a service agreement with Chrysler to buy that technology on a going forward basis. So they wouldn't you know, they would have to continue to buy engines from Chrysler.  Q. Right. Another criticism, Mr. Nardelli, is that you really didn't pay enough attention to the Indiana Pensioners'
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. I mean, it's I've been in business thirty-eight years and some of their requests were nothing abnormal that I hadn't had before from other lending institutions.  Q. You're also being criticized because perhaps you should have done something else like sold off product lines, Jeep, Dodge, something like that. What's your reaction to that?  A. Well, you know, it's it those are always, kind of, cocktail discussions and, you know, things that people want to talk about. So we looked at that and it wasn't something I	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in the Viper is common. There's a lot of commonality in the engine and the powertrain, the transmissions, the axles. So again, the difficulty is that they would have to be comfortable in a service agreement with Chrysler to buy that technology on a going forward basis. So they wouldn't you know, they would have to continue to buy engines from Chrysler.  Q. Right. Another criticism, Mr. Nardelli, is that you really didn't pay enough attention to the Indiana Pensioners' interest. Can you tell us what efforts you made, if any, on
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No. I mean, it's I've been in business thirty-eight years and some of their requests were nothing abnormal that I hadn't had before from other lending institutions.  Q. You're also being criticized because perhaps you should have done something else like sold off product lines, Jeep, Dodge, something like that. What's your reaction to that?  A. Well, you know, it's it those are always, kind of, cocktail discussions and, you know, things that people want to talk about. So we looked at that and it wasn't something I looked at, you know, for the first time ever. I think the	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in the Viper is common. There's a lot of commonality in the engine and the powertrain, the transmissions, the axles. So again, the difficulty is that they would have to be comfortable in a service agreement with Chrysler to buy that technology on a going forward basis. So they wouldn't you know, they would have to continue to buy engines from Chrysler.  Q. Right. Another criticism, Mr. Nardelli, is that you really didn't pay enough attention to the Indiana Pensioners' interest. Can you tell us what efforts you made, if any, on behalf of the first-lien lenders to get them more money?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. I mean, it's I've been in business thirty-eight years and some of their requests were nothing abnormal that I hadn't had before from other lending institutions.  Q. You're also being criticized because perhaps you should have done something else like sold off product lines, Jeep, Dodge, something like that. What's your reaction to that?  A. Well, you know, it's it those are always, kind of, cocktail discussions and, you know, things that people want to talk about. So we looked at that and it wasn't something I looked at, you know, for the first time ever. I think the company had looked at that previously. So we asked some folks.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in the Viper is common. There's a lot of commonality in the engine and the powertrain, the transmissions, the axles. So again, the difficulty is that they would have to be comfortable in a service agreement with Chrysler to buy that technology on a going forward basis. So they wouldn't you know, they would have to continue to buy engines from Chrysler.  Q. Right. Another criticism, Mr. Nardelli, is that you really didn't pay enough attention to the Indiana Pensioners' interest. Can you tell us what efforts you made, if any, on behalf of the first-lien lenders to get them more money?  A. Well, you know, there were the initial discussion we
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. No. I mean, it's I've been in business thirty-eight years and some of their requests were nothing abnormal that I hadn't had before from other lending institutions.  Q. You're also being criticized because perhaps you should have done something else like sold off product lines, Jeep, Dodge, something like that. What's your reaction to that?  A. Well, you know, it's it those are always, kind of, cocktail discussions and, you know, things that people want to talk about. So we looked at that and it wasn't something I looked at, you know, for the first time ever. I think the company had looked at that previously. So we asked some folk in the organization to take a look at that and to do an	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22	in the Viper is common. There's a lot of commonality in the engine and the powertrain, the transmissions, the axles. So again, the difficulty is that they would have to be comfortable in a service agreement with Chrysler to buy that technology on a going forward basis. So they wouldn't you know, they would have to continue to buy engines from Chrysler.  Q. Right. Another criticism, Mr. Nardelli, is that you really didn't pay enough attention to the Indiana Pensioners' interest. Can you tell us what efforts you made, if any, on behalf of the first-lien lenders to get them more money?  A. Well, you know, there were the initial discussion we had with the first-lien lenders we presented a recommended
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. No. I mean, it's I've been in business thirty-eight years and some of their requests were nothing abnormal that I hadn't had before from other lending institutions.  Q. You're also being criticized because perhaps you should have done something else like sold off product lines, Jeep, Dodge, something like that. What's your reaction to that?  A. Well, you know, it's it those are always, kind of, cocktail discussions and, you know, things that people want to talk about. So we looked at that and it wasn't something I looked at, you know, for the first time ever. I think the company had looked at that previously. So we asked some folks.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 23 24	in the Viper is common. There's a lot of commonality in the engine and the powertrain, the transmissions, the axles. So again, the difficulty is that they would have to be comfortable in a service agreement with Chrysler to buy that technology on a going forward basis. So they wouldn't you know, they would have to continue to buy engines from Chrysler.  Q. Right. Another criticism, Mr. Nardelli, is that you really didn't pay enough attention to the Indiana Pensioners' interest. Can you tell us what efforts you made, if any, on behalf of the first-lien lenders to get them more money?  A. Well, you know, there were the initial discussion we

we started. And we were told, by the first-lien lenders, on no the 250 came off the table and went back to the original two 1 2 uncertain terms that they had no intentions of negotiating with 2 billion dollar offer without agreement -- without -- I should 3 3 say it the other way, with more than a significant number us. That they were first-lien secured. They were in the money 4 and that if there were any discussions that were to take place 4 agreeing and unfortunately a very small modest number not 5 5 they would have to take place with the United States Treasury 6 not us. 6 Q. Finally Mr. Nardelli, you and your team are being 7 7 Q. And what was the offer at that time? challenged on your business judgment for pursuing this path, A. The offer at the time, again there was a whole series of 8 8 filing and seeking the sale. Do you have a response to 9 these but, you know, it was a couple of billion dollars and 9 everyone on that subject? 10 A. Well, look I've been in the business now, as I said, as 10 they were going to get equity. Again, a variety of different 11 scenarios but the response I got was look we heard your 11 you asked me, from August and I have grown to really 12 appreciate, you know, the passion, the commitment, the hard 12 proposal; we don't intend to respond to it and we're a first-13 lien secured, we're in the money and if there's any discussions 13 work, the importance of this business to the overall economy, 14 that will take place, that will take place between the United 14 to the auto industry and to communities across this country. 15 15 States Treasury and the first-lien lenders. There isn't a community that isn't touched by a dealer, that 16 16 Q. Wouldn't talk to you? isn't touched by a supplier or one of our massive and large 17 A. No, sir. 17 manufacturing facilities, and that's all the first tier. 18 There's the second tier transporters, there's the third tier. 18 Q. Can you tell us, just before April 30th, did you try one 19 And for me in looking at -- while it's a simple math model it's 19 20 A. Desperately. 20 a very complex business relative to the interdependencies and 21 Q. -- to see if you could bridge this gap? 21 at any point in time you could pick a single fact and say based 22 A. Desperately. I mean, the last thing I and our team wanted 22 on that fact would you make another decision. But 23 was to file. So we were all in Washington. We were trying to 23 unfortunately or fortunately, I think for Chrysler, I took the 24 24 wrap up the term sheet with Fiat. And I'm not sure of the responsibility along with the board and the management team to 25 exact time but some time around 4 or 5:00 we put some calls 25 look at it in its broadest form and to make sure that we were 1 into the agent bank and asked if we could get all the banks on 1 making the best business decision using our best business 2 2 a call and allow me to try and present the rationale that this judgment and that's why we came out with this decision that we 3 is a better deal than liquidation, financially, morally, from 3 had one organization willing to lend us money. They said that 4 4 an overall economic standpoint, impact on this country, et your standalone plan was not viable. They said you would have 5 cetera. I wanted to go through, personally, what I was 5 to form an alliance with either Fiat or someone else. You 6 6 experiencing and what our team was experiencing in the level of would have to go back and get major concessions, beyond what 7 trepidation and gut wrenching that we were going through. 7 you put in your first round, which we were able to do. And I 8 8 I was told no and so I wanted to make sure that my request would tell you, Judge, that without us, you know, kind of 9 was on record. So I asked an e-mail be sent to the banks 9 blazing the trail with some of those concessions, the other 10 10 formally asking for a meeting. As I understand now, major manufacturer in town would not have the benefit of those 11 concurrently, the United States Treasury contacted the first-11 already being negotiated for them. 12 12 lien lenders and -- whom I was in discussion with -- and said So we made the best decision, I think, on balance taking 13 can we please try one more time to get this thing resolved so 13 all those things into consideration to avoid liquidating 14 that we did not have to go into bankruptcy. 14 Chrysler impacting 60,000 employees, dealers, employees of 15 It's my understanding that they put an offer on the table; 15 dealers, suppliers, large mass transportation, railroads, 16 16 the United States Treasury put an offer on the table to the communities and tax bases across this country. So I feel that 17 bank taking it from two billion in cash to 2.250. I was told 17 we turned every stone, we exhausted every amount of energy an 18 that they had two hours to assemble and make the decision and 18 intellect we could. We got independent advisors, outside 19 if they didn't get consensus then the 250 million dollars would 19 counsel, board of directors and as painful as this was, I would 20 come off the table. 20 tell you, Judge, this is -- in thirty-eight years, the first 21 I was desperately hopeful that the opportunity to 21 time I've ever had to go into bankruptcy. It's not something I 22 increase, you know, the offer, the cash offer, money in hand, 22 want to get good at but it was a decision, I think, that best 23 would be enough inducement for them to make that decision. 23 served all of the constituents. 24 24 Q. What happened? Q. Okay. Give me one second, please. 25 A. Unfortunately they were not able to get agreement and so 25 (Pause)

VERITEXT REPORTING COMPANY

213

1	Q. Just one last thing.	1	signatures on it.
2	(Pause)	2	THE COURT: Well, we'll get one with a signature
3	MR. ARMSTRONG: May I approach, Judge?	3	page, all right, and that will be the one put into evidence.
4	THE COURT: Yes.	4	(Debtors' Exhibit 38, minutes of board meeting dated April 30,
5	(Pause)	5	2009, was hereby received into evidence as of this date.)
6	MR. ARMSTRONG: Okay. We've placed before the	6	MR. ARMSTRONG: That's fine.
7	witness what we've marked as Debtors' Exhibit 33.	7	MR. CULLEN: Your Honor, if I may clarify.
8	Q. Do you recognize that document?	8	THE COURT: Go ahead.
9	A. Yes, I do. Yes, sir.	9	MR. CULLEN: This is just on the evidentiary matter.
10	Q. What is it?	10	THE COURT: Wait a minute; let's stick to the same
11	A. This is a this is my declaration which I read, put	11	rules.
12	together and have signed and attested to.	12	MR. CULLEN: Okay.
13	Q. And is what's contained in here true and accurate to the	13	THE COURT: Counsel handling the witness can clarify.
14	best of your knowledge?	14	MR. CULLEN: I think I can clarify this with Mr.
15	A. Yes, sir. It is.	15	Lauria.
16	MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, we would move for the	: 16	THE COURT: Well
17	admission into evidence of the declaration and we could do	17	MR. ARMSTRONG: Okay. No further questions.
18	it one at a time because I see Mr. Lauria standing.	18	THE COURT: Mr. Lauria?
19	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria?	19	CROSS-EXAMINATION
20	MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, I'm going to make the same	20	BY MR. LAURIA:
21	objection that we've made to the other declarations that have	21	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Nardelli.
22	been offered.	22	A. Good afternoon.
23	THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule the objection.	23	Q. I believe you testified about the sequence of events that
24	Go ahead.	24	immediately proceeded the Chapter 11 filing just a few minutes
25	(Debtors' Exhibit 33, declaration of Mr. Nardelli, was hereby	25	ago. And in particular you testified about your awareness of
	214		216
,	. 1	,	
1 2	received into evidence as of this date.)	1	efforts that were made by the United States Treasury office to
3	MR. ARMSTRONG: And previously I showed the witness document, the minutes of the board. I'd like to move that into	ı 2 3	reach a deal on the eve of the Chapter 11 filing, is that correct?
4	evidence as well.	4	A. Yes.
5	MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, I object on a couple of	5	Q. Were there a couple of things you left out there?
6	grounds. Number one, the declaration represents that it has	6	A. I'm not sure what your question is.
7	attached to it at least two sets of minutes, I think we've only	7	• •
8	got one. There are none attached to the declaration that I've	8	Q. Well, were you aware of any other offers or negotiations that were going on at the eleventh hour?
9	received.	9	A. My recollection is the two points I made. One is, I was
10	THE COURT: One second. You're referring to the	10	trying to reach the first-lien lenders and asked for a
11	declaration, let's just focus on you're talking about DX	11	conference call. And I later became aware that the United
12	Exhibit 38?	12	States Treasury had extended an offer to the first-lien lenders
13	MR. LAURIA: No. No, I'm talking about well,	13	that took it to 2.250 billion dollars of cash.
14	let's talk about the Exhibit 38 which is an unsigned document.	14	Q. Well, let's take a step back for a moment, just to clarify
15	It has a signature page which is blank on the last page. And	15	a couple of things. First of all, when you were told that the
16	if it's an original it's the document that's signed, that's	16	first-lien lenders wouldn't negotiate, that was JPMorgan Chas
17	one that ought to go in.	17	that told you that, is that correct?
18	THE COURT: All right.	18	A. That is correct.
19	MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, I'm told that the Indiana	19	Q. You never had a single conversation with any of my three
20	Pensioners themselves have requested that this document be	20	clients, did you Mr. Nardelli?
21	moved into evidence.	21	A. No, I did not.
22	MR. LAURIA: I do not know if we've seen this	22	Q. Did you ever have a single conversation with any of the
23	document without a signature on it and I don't know if this is	23	first-lien lenders who didn't consent to the deal?
24	the same document that we've seen before. All I know is that	24	A. Say that again, please.
25	this is a blank signature page. It's supposed to have	25	Q. Did you ever have a single conversation with any of the
	215		217

1 first lien lenders who did not consent to the deal? 2 A. At what point in time? 3 Q. When the two billion dollar offer was on the table at the eleventh hour. 5 A. Well, at that point it is my understanding that the majority of the first-lien lenders did agree. 7 Q. That's not the question I asked. 8 A. I'm trying to understand your question 9 Q. I'm asking, did you have a single conversation with any of the first-lien lenders who did not consent to the deal? 11 A. No, that's why I was trying to get on the call to talk to all of the first-lien lenders. 12 all of the first-lien lenders. 13 Q. And who was it who said that you couldn't have such a call? 14 call? 15 A. The agent bank. 16 Q. That's PMorgan Chase again? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Now were you also aware of an effort by the nonconsenting first-lien lenders to find a settlement? 19 Q. All right. Could you open the book that's on the ledge 22 there and turn to tab 13, please? 21 Q. All right. Could you open the book that's on the ledge 22 there and turn to tab 13, please? 22 (Pause) 23 A. Okay. 2 (Pause) 3 A. Okay. 4 Q. That's an e-mail from Matt Feldman at U.S. Treasury to 5 Robert Manzo. Do you know both of those people? 5 A. Mr. Feldman is a member of the auto task force and is
3 Q. When the two billion dollar offer was on the table at the 4 eleventh hour. 5 A. Well, at that point it is my understanding that the 6 majority of the first-lien lenders did agree. 9 Q. That's not the question I asked. 1 A. I majority of the first-lien lenders did agree. 9 Q. That's not the question I asked. 1 A. No, that's why I was trying to understand your question 9 Q. I'm asking, did you have a single conversation with any of 10 the first-lien lenders who did not consent to the deal? 11 A. No, that's why I was trying to get on the call to talk to 12 all of the first-lien lenders. 13 Q. And who was it who said that you couldn't have such a 14 call? 15 A. The agent bank. 16 Q. That's IPMorgan Chase again? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Now were you also aware of an effort by the nonconsenting first-lien lenders to find a settlement? 19 Q. All right. Could you open the book that's on the ledge there and turn to tab 13, please? 21 Q. All right. Could you open the book that's on the ledge there and turn to tab 13, please? 22 (Pause) 23 A. Okay. 24 A. Tab 13? 25 Q. Tab 13. 26 Yes. 27 Man. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor. 28 Manzo made a proposal. You didn't support it, is that what 29 Manzo made a proposal. You didn't support it, is that what 20 We're to understand? 3 M. ARMSTRONG: It mischaracterizes the document at proposal. This document talk about something that the first-lien lenders might want. It says, 'If one of the company you went to billion shared pro
4 eleventh hour. 5 A. Well, at that point it is my understanding that the majority of the first-lien lenders did agree. 7 Q. That's not the question I asked. 8 A. I'm trying to understand your question 9 Q. I'm asking, did you have a single conversation with any of the first-lien lenders who did not consent to the deal? 11 A. No, that's why I was trying to get on the call to talk to all of the first-lien lenders. 12 all of the first-lien lenders. 13 Q. And who was it who said that you couldn't have such a call? 14 call? 15 A. The agent bank. 16 Q. That's JPMorgan Chase again? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Now were you also aware of an effort by the nonconsenting first-lien lenders to find a settlement? 19 Q. All right. Could you open the book that's on the ledge there and turn to tab 13, please? 20 Q. All right. Could you open the book that's on the ledge there and turn to tab 13, please? 21 Q. All right. Could you open the book that's on the ledge there and turn to tab 13, please? 22 (Pause) 23 Q. Tab 13. 24 A. Okay. 25 Q. Tab 13. 26 Pause) 27 A. Okay. 28 Pause) 29 A. Okay. 30 A. Okay. 41 We're to understand? 42 Wanzo made a proposal. You didn't support it, is that what a we're to understand? 43 We're to understand? 44 Wanzo made a proposal. You didn't support it, is that what a we're to understand? 45 Wanzo made a proposal. This document talks about savings and it talks about savings and it talks about savings and it talks about something that the first-lien lenders might want. It says, "If I understand it correctly, they want two billion shared pro
5 A. Well, at that point it is my understanding that the 6 majority of the first-lien lenders did agree. 7 Q. That's not the question I asked. 8 A. I'm trying to understand your question 9 Q. I'm asking, did you have a single conversation with any of 10 the first-lien lenders who did not consent to the deal? 11 A. No, that's why I was trying to get on the call to talk to 12 all of the first-lien lenders. 13 Q. And who was it who said that you couldn't have such a 14 call? 15 A. The agent bank. 16 Q. That's JPMorgan Chase again? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Now were you also aware of an effort by the nonconsenting in first-lien lenders to find a settlement? 20 A. I'm not aware. 21 Q. All right. Could you open the book that's on the ledge there and turn to tab 13, please? 22 there and turn to tab 13, please? 23 (Pause) 24 A. Tab 13? 25 Q. Tab 13. 218  1 A. Okay. 2 (Pause) 3 A. Okay. 4 Q. That's an e-mail from Matt Feldman at U.S. Treasury to Robert Manzo. Do you know both of those people? 4 A. Yes, Ido. 7 Q. Who is Mr. Feldman?  5 A. I don't think I'm on this distribution. 6 Q. Well let me ask you, in the CC line who is 7 R.LN43@chrysler.com? 8 A. Oh, I'm sorry. Yes, I see that. Yeah, that is me. 9 Q. All right. Did you tell him to cease and desist? 10 A. No, I did not. 11 Q. So maybe you were aware of this proposal? 11 A. Yes, I'm sorry. I see that I was on here and obviously I 12 A. Yes, I'm sorry. I see that I was on here and obviously I 13 would have read this. 14 Q. So did you support the proposal that Mr. Manzo was if the United States Treasury in fact could reach a resolution. I was very supportive of the last sminute offer that they made. 19 Q. That's not what I asked you, iir. I asked, did you support the proposal that Mr. Manzo was suggesting here? 21 A. I was not in the position to support offering 500 million dollars. 22 dollars. 23 Q. So as CEO of the company you were on this e-mail where N Mr. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor. 24 Manzo made a proposal. You didn't support it, is that what 20 communicate to him t
6 majority of the first-lien lenders did agree. 7 Q. That's not the question I asked. 8 A. I'm trying to understand your question 9 Q. I'm asking, did you have a single conversation with any of 10 the first-lien lenders who did not consent to the deal? 11 A. No, that's why I was trying to get on the call to talk to 12 all of the first-lien lenders. 13 Q. And who was it who said that you couldn't have such a 14 call? 15 A. The agent bank. 16 Q. That's JPMorgan Chase again? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Now were you also aware of an effort by the nonconsenting first-lien lenders to find a settlement? 19 first-lien lenders to find a settlement? 20 A. I'm not aware. 21 Q. All right. Did you tell him to cease and desist? 22 dollars. 23 (Pause) 24 A. Tab 13? 25 Q. Tab 13. 218 21 A. Okay. 21 Q. That's an e-mail from Matt Feldman at U.S. Treasury to R. A. Could you by the option of the something that the first-lien lenders to find as extlement at U.S. Treasury to Robert Manzo. Do you know both of those people? 24 A. Yes, Ido. 25 Q. Who is Mr. Feldman? 26 G. Well let me ask you, in the CC line who is RLN43@chrysler.com? 27 RLN43@chrysler.com? 28 A. Oh, I'm sorry. Yes, I see that. Yeah, that is me. 29 Q. All right. Did you tell him to cease and desist? 20 A. No, I did not. 21 Q. So maybe you were aware of this proposal? 21 A. Yes, I'm sorry. I see that I was on here and obviously I would have read this. 21 A. Yes, I'm sorry. I see that I was on here and obviously I would have read this. 21 A. Well, what I supported was if the United States Treasury in fact could reach a resolution. I was very supportive of the last minute offer that they made. 29 Q. That's not what I asked you, sir. I asked, did you support the proposal that Mr. Manzo was suggesting here? 21 A. I was not in the position to support offering 500 million doubter and turn to tab 13, please? 23 Q. So as CEO of the company you were on this e-mail where N Manzo made a proposal. You didn't support it, is that what 220 million doubter the first-lien lenders might want. It say
7 RLN43@chrysler.com? 8 A. I'm trying to understand your question 9 Q. I'm asking, did you have a single conversation with any of 10 the first-lien lenders who did not consent to the deal? 11 A. No, that's why I was trying to get on the call to talk to 12 all of the first-lien lenders. 13 Q. And who was it who said that you couldn't have such a 14 call? 15 A. The agent bank. 16 Q. That's JPMorgan Chase again? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Now were you also aware of an effort by the nonconsenting 19 first-lien lenders to find a settlement? 20 A. I'm not aware. 21 Q. All right. Did you tell him to cease and desist? 22 (Pause) 23 (Pause) 24 A. Tab 13? 25 Q. Tab 13. 218  7 RLN43@chrysler.com? 8 A. Oh, I'm sorry. Yes, I see that. Yeah, that is me. Q. All right. Did you tell him to cease and desist? 10 A. No, I did not. 11 Q. So maybe you were aware of this proposal? 12 A. Yes, I'm sorry, I see that I was on here and obviously I 13 would have read this. 14 Q. So did you support the proposal that Mr. Manzo was 15 discussing? 16 A. Well, what I supported was if the United States Treasury 17 in fact could reach a resolution. I was very supportive of the 18 last minute offer that they made. 19 Q. That's not what I asked you, sir. I asked, did you 19 support the proposal that Mr. Manzo was suggesting here? 20 A. I'm not aware. 21 Q. All right. Could you open the book that's on the ledge 22 dollars. 23 (Pause) 24 A. Tab 13? 25 Q. Tab 13.  218  1 A. Okay. 2 (Pause) 3 A. Okay. 3 We're to understand? 4 We're to understand? 5 We're to understand? 6 MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor. 7 HE COURT: What's the objection? 7 MR. ARMSTRONG: It mischaracterizes the document at Something that the first-lien lenders might want. It sale, Junderstand it correctly, they want two billion shared pro
8 A. I'm trying to understand your question 9 Q. I'm asking, did you have a single conversation with any of 10 the first-lien lenders who did not consent to the deal? 11 A. No, that's why I was trying to get on the call to talk to 12 all of the first-lien lenders. 13 Q. And who was it who said that you couldn't have such a 14 call? 15 A. The agent bank. 16 Q. That's JPMorgan Chase again? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Now were you also aware of an effort by the nonconsenting 19 first-lien lenders to find a settlement? 20 A. I'm not aware. 21 Q. All right. Did you tell him to cease and desist? 22 there and turn to tab 13, please? 23 (Pause) 24 A. Tab 13? 25 Q. Tab 13. 218  2 A. Oh, I'm sorry. Yes, I see that. Yeah, that is me. 9 Q. All right. Did you tell him to cease and desist? 10 A. No, I did not. 11 Q. So maybe you were aware of this proposal? 12 A. Yes, I'm sorry. I see that I was on here and obviously I would have read this. 13 would have read this. 14 Q. So did you support the proposal that Mr. Manzo was 15 discussing? 16 A. Well, what I supported was if the United States Treasury 17 in fact could reach a resolution. I was very supportive of the 18 last minute offer that they made. 19 Q. That's not what I asked you, sir. I asked, did you 19 you there proposal that Mr. Manzo was suggesting here? 20 A. I'm not aware. 21 Q. All right. Dould you open the book that's on the ledge 22 there and turn to tab 13, please? 23 (Pause) 24 A. Tab 13? 25 Q. Tab 13. 218  21 A. Okay. 24 Q. Tab 13. 218  219  220  231  24 A. Well, what I supported was if the United States Treasury 24 Manzo made a proposal that Mr. Manzo was suggesting here? 25 A. I was not in the position to support offering 500 million dollars. 26 Q. So as CEO of the company you were on this e-mail where Manzo made a proposal. You didn't support it, is that what 27 We're to understand? 28 Manzo made a proposal. You didn't support it, is that what 29 MR. ARMSTRONG: It mischaracterizes the document as proposal. This document talks about savings and it talks about something
9 Q. I'm asking, did you have a single conversation with any of the first-lien lenders who did not consent to the deal? 1. A. No, that's why I was trying to get on the call to talk to all of the first-lien lenders. 1. Q. And who was it who said that you couldn't have such a call? 1. A. The agent bank. 1. The agent bank. 1. Q. That's JPMorgan Chase again? 1. A. Yes. 1. Q. Now were you also aware of an effort by the nonconsenting first-lien lenders to find a settlement? 1. Q. All right. Did you tell him to cease and desist? 1. Q. So maybe you were aware of this proposal? 1. A. Yes, I'm sorry. I see that I was on here and obviously I would have read this. 1. Q. So did you support the proposal that Mr. Manzo was discussing? 1. A. Well, what I supported was if the United States Treasury in fact could reach a resolution. I was very supportive of the last minute offer that they made. 1. Q. That's not what I asked you, sir. I asked, did you support the proposal that Mr. Manzo was suggesting here? 1. Q. All right. Did you use aware of this proposal? 1. A. Wes, I'm sorry. I see that I was on here and obviously I would have read this. 1. Q. So maybe you were aware of this proposal? 1. A. Yes, I'm sorry. I see that I was on here and obviously I would have read this. 1. Q. So did you support the proposal that Mr. Manzo was use of discussing? 1. A. Well, what I supported was if the United States Treasury in fact could reach a resolution. I was very supportive of the last minute offer that they made. 1. Q. That's not what I asked you, sir. I asked, did you support the proposal that Mr. Manzo was suggesting here? 1. A. I'w as not in the position to support offering 500 million dollars. 1. We're to understand? 2. A. I'm not aware. 2. Q. Tab I.3. 2. We're to understand? 2. M. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor. 3. THE COURT: What's the objection, Your Honor. 3. THE COURT: What's the objection, Your Honor. 4. Q. That's an e-mail from Matt Feldman at U.S. Treasury to Something that the first-lien lenders might want. It says, "If I u
the first-lien lenders who did not consent to the deal?  A. No, that's why I was trying to get on the call to talk to all of the first-lien lenders.  Q. And who was it who said that you couldn't have such a call?  A. The agent bank.  A. The agent bank.  Q. That's JPMorgan Chase again?  A. Yes.  Roy Now were you also aware of an effort by the nonconsenting first-lien lenders to find a settlement?  A. I'm not aware.  Q. All right. Could you open the book that's on the ledge there and turn to tab 13, please?  A. Tab 13?  Q. Tab 13.  A. Okay.  Pause)  A. Okay.  Q. That's normal from Matt Feldman at U.S. Treasury to Robert Manzo. Do you know both of those people?  A. Yes, I do.  A. No, I did not.  1 Q. So maybe you were aware of this proposal?  A. Yes, I'm sorry. I see that I was on here and obviously I would have read this.  Q. So did you support the proposal that Mr. Manzo was discussing?  A. Well, what I supported was if the United States Treasury in fact could reach a resolution. I was very supportive of the last minute offer that they made.  Q. That's not what I asked you, sir. I asked, did you support the proposal that Mr. Manzo was suggesting here?  A. I was not in the position to support offering 500 million dollars.  22 dollars.  A. Okay.  Q. Tab 13.  We're to understand?  MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: What's the objection?  MR. ARMSTRONG: It mischaracterizes the document as proposal. This document talks about savings and it talks about something that the first-lien lenders might want. It says, "If I understand it correctly, they want two billion shared pro
11 A. No, that's why I was trying to get on the call to talk to 12 all of the first-lien lenders. 13 Q. And who was it who said that you couldn't have such a 14 call? 15 A. The agent bank. 16 Q. That's IPMorgan Chase again? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Now were you also aware of an effort by the nonconsenting first-lien lenders to find a settlement? 19 first-lien lenders to find a settlement? 20 A. I'm not aware. 21 Q. All right. Could you open the book that's on the ledge there and turn to tab 13, please? 22 (Pause) 23 (Pause) 24 A. Tab 13? 25 Q. Tab 13. 218 21 A. Okay. 21 A. Okay. 22 (Pause) 3 A. Okay. 3 A. Okay. 4 Q. That's an e-mail from Matt Feldman at U.S. Treasury to 5 Robert Manzo. Do you know both of those people? 4 A. Yes, I do. 5 Robert Manzo. Do you know both of those people? 6 A. Yes, I do. 7 Q. Who is Mr. Feldman? 11 Q. So maybe you were aware of this proposal? 12 A. Yes, I'm sorry. I see that I was on here and obviously I would have read this. 13 would have read this. 14 Q. So did you support the proposal that Mr. Manzo was discussing? 16 A. Well, what I supported was if the United States Treasury in fact could reach a resolution. I was very supportive of the last minute offer that they made. 19 Q. That's not what I asked you, sir. I asked, did you support the proposal that Mr. Manzo was suggesting here? 21 A. I was not in the position to support offering 500 million dollars. 22 dollars. 23 Q. So as CEO of the company you were on this e-mail where N manzo made a proposal. You didn't support it but you didn't communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what manzo made a proposal. You didn't support it, is that what proposal that Mr. Manzo made a proposal. This document talks about savings and it talks about something that the first-lien lenders might want. It says, "If I understand it correctly, they want two billion shared pro
12 all of the first-lien lenders. 13 Q. And who was it who said that you couldn't have such a 14 call? 15 A. The agent bank. 16 Q. That's JPMorgan Chase again? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Now were you also aware of an effort by the nonconsenting first-lien lenders to find a settlement? 19 first-lien lenders to find a settlement? 20 A. I'm not aware. 21 Q. All right. Could you open the book that's on the ledge there and turn to tab 13, please? 22 (Pause) 23 (Pause) 24 A. Tab 13? 25 Q. Tab 13. 218 218 21 A. Yes, I'm sorry. I see that I was on here and obviously I 22 would have read this. 23 would have read this. 24 A. Well, what I supported was if the United States Treasury in fact could reach a resolution. I was very supportive of the last minute offer that they made. 29 Q. That's not what I asked you, sir. I asked, did you support the proposal that Mr. Manzo was suggesting here? 21 A. I was not in the position to support offering 500 million dollars. 22 Q. So as CEO of the company you were on this e-mail where Manzo made a proposal. You didn't support it, is that what 22 communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 22 communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 22 communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 22 communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 22 communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 22 communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 22 communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 22 communicate to him that you didn't support it but you didn't
12 all of the first-lien lenders. 13 Q. And who was it who said that you couldn't have such a 14 call? 15 A. The agent bank. 16 Q. That's JPMorgan Chase again? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Now were you also aware of an effort by the nonconsenting first-lien lenders to find a settlement? 19 first-lien lenders to find a settlement? 20 A. I'm not aware. 21 Q. All right. Could you open the book that's on the ledge there and turn to tab 13, please? 22 (Pause) 23 (Pause) 24 A. Tab 13? 25 Q. Tab 13. 218 218 21 A. Yes, I'm sorry. I see that I was on here and obviously I 22 would have read this. 23 would have read this. 24 A. Well, what I supported was if the United States Treasury in fact could reach a resolution. I was very supportive of the last minute offer that they made. 29 Q. That's not what I asked you, sir. I asked, did you support the proposal that Mr. Manzo was suggesting here? 21 A. I was not in the position to support offering 500 million dollars. 22 Q. So as CEO of the company you were on this e-mail where Manzo made a proposal. You didn't support it, is that what 22 communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 22 communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 22 communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 22 communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 22 communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 22 communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 22 communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 22 communicate to him that you didn't support it but you didn't
14 call? 15 A. The agent bank. 16 Q. That's JPMorgan Chase again? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Now were you also aware of an effort by the nonconsenting first-lien lenders to find a settlement? 19 first-lien lenders to find a settlement? 20 A. I'm not aware. 21 Q. All right. Could you open the book that's on the ledge there and turn to tab 13, please? 22 (Pause) 23 (Pause) 24 A. Tab 13? 25 Q. Tab 13. 218  14 Q. So did you support the proposal that Mr. Manzo was discussing? 16 A. Well, what I supported was if the United States Treasury in fact could reach a resolution. I was very supportive of the last minute offer that they made. 19 Q. That's not what I asked you, sir. I asked, did you support the proposal that Mr. Manzo was suggesting here? 21 A. I was not in the position to support offering 500 million dollars. 22 Wanzo made a proposal. You didn't support it but you didn't communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 220  1 A. Okay. 2 (Pause) 3 A. Okay. 4 Q. That's an e-mail from Matt Feldman at U.S. Treasury to Robert Manzo. Do you know both of those people? 4 A. Yes, I do. 7 Q. Who is Mr. Feldman?  14 Q. So did you support the proposal that Mr. Manzo was suggesting here? 2 A. Well, what I supported was if the United States Treasury in fact could reach a resolution. I was very supportive of the last minute offer that they made. 19 Q. That's not what I asked you, sir. I asked, did you support the proposal that Mr. Manzo was suggesting here? 21 A. I was not in the position to support offering 500 million dollars. 22 Wanzo made a proposal. You didn't support it but you didn't communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 22 We're to understand? 24 MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor. 35 A. Okay. 4 Q. That's an e-mail from Matt Feldman at U.S. Treasury to 4 MR. ARMSTRONG: It mischaracterizes the document at 5 proposal. This document talks about savings and it talks about something that the first-lien lenders might want. It says, "If I understand it correctly, they want two billion shared pro
15 A. The agent bank. 16 Q. That's JPMorgan Chase again? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Now were you also aware of an effort by the nonconsenting first-lien lenders to find a settlement? 19 first-lien lenders to find a settlement? 20 A. I'm not aware. 21 Q. All right. Could you open the book that's on the ledge there and turn to tab 13, please? 22 (Pause) 23 (Pause) 24 A. Tab 13? 25 Q. Tab 13. 218 21 A. Okay. 21 A. Okay. 22 (Pause) 3 A. Okay. 3 A. Okay. 4 Q. That's an e-mail from Matt Feldman at U.S. Treasury to 5 Robert Manzo. Do you know both of those people? 4 A. Yes, I do. 5 Q. Who is Mr. Feldman? 15 discussing? 16 A. Well, what I supported was if the United States Treasury in fact could reach a resolution. I was very supportive of the last minute offer that they made. 19 Q. That's not what I asked you, sir. I asked, did you support the proposal that Mr. Manzo was suggesting here? 21 A. I was not in the position to support offering 500 million dollars. 22 dollars. 23 Q. So as CEO of the company you were on this e-mail where Manzo made a proposal. You didn't support it, is that what 25 communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 25 million didn't support it, is that what 26 million didn't support it, is that what 27 million dollars. 24 Manzo made a proposal. You didn't support it, is that what 27 million didn't support it, is that what 28 million didn't support it, is that what 28 million didn't support it, is that what 29 million dollars. 25 We're to understand? 26 MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor. 3 A. Okay. 4 Q. That's an e-mail from Matt Feldman at U.S. Treasury to 4 million didn't support it alks about something that the first-lien lenders might want. It says, "If 7 I understand it correctly, they want two billion shared pro
16 Q. That's JPMorgan Chase again? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Now were you also aware of an effort by the nonconsenting 17 first-lien lenders to find a settlement? 19 first-lien lenders to find a settlement? 20 A. I'm not aware. 21 Q. All right. Could you open the book that's on the ledge 22 there and turn to tab 13, please? 22 (Pause) 23 (Pause) 24 A. Tab 13? 25 Q. Tab 13. 26 (Pause) 27 A. Okay. 28 (Pause) 29 We're to understand? 20 We're to understand? 21 We're to understand? 22 we're to understand? 31 A. Okay. 41 We're to understand? 42 We're to understand? 43 A. Okay. 44 Q. That's an e-mail from Matt Feldman at U.S. Treasury to 5 Robert Manzo. Do you know both of those people? 45 A. Yes, I do. 46 A. Yes, I do. 47 Q. Who is Mr. Feldman? 48 Well, what I supported was if the United States Treasury in fact could reach a resolution. I was very supportive of the last minute offer that they made. 19 Q. That's not what I asked you, sir. I asked, did you support the proposal that Mr. Manzo was suggesting here? 20 A. I was not in the position to support offering 500 million dollars. 21 Manzo made a proposal. You didn't support it, is that what 22 Communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 22 Communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 22 Communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 22 Communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 22 Communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 22 Communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 22 Communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 22 Communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 22 Communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 22 Communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 22 Communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 22 Communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 22 Communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 22 Communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 22 Communicate to
17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Now were you also aware of an effort by the nonconsenting first-lien lenders to find a settlement? 19 first-lien lenders to find a settlement? 20 A. I'm not aware. 21 Q. All right. Could you open the book that's on the ledge there and turn to tab 13, please? 22 (Pause) 23 Q. That's not what I asked you, sir. I asked, did you support the proposal that Mr. Manzo was suggesting here? 21 A. I was not in the position to support offering 500 million dollars. 22 dollars. 23 Q. So as CEO of the company you were on this e-mail where Manzo made a proposal. You didn't support it, is that what 218 218 we're to understand? 220 23 Q. That's not what I asked you, sir. I asked, did you support the proposal that Mr. Manzo was suggesting here? 24 A. I was not in the position to support offering 500 million dollars. 25 Q. So as CEO of the company you were on this e-mail where Manzo made a proposal. You didn't support it, is that what 25 communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 25 million we're to understand? 26 MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor. 27 MR. ARMSTRONG: It mischaracterizes the document at proposal. This document talks about savings and it talks about something that the first-lien lenders might want. It says, "If 7 Understand it correctly, they want two billion shared pro
Q. Now were you also aware of an effort by the nonconsenting first-lien lenders to find a settlement?  Q. That's not what I asked you, sir. I asked, did you support the proposal that Mr. Manzo was suggesting here?  A. I'm not aware.  Q. That's not what I asked you, sir. I asked, did you support the proposal that Mr. Manzo was suggesting here?  A. I was not in the position to support offering 500 million dollars.  (Pause)  Q. So as CEO of the company you were on this e-mail where Manzo made a proposal. You didn't support it but you didn't communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what  218  1 we're to understand?  Q. That's an e-mail from Matt Feldman at U.S. Treasury to Robert Manzo. Do you know both of those people?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. That's not what I asked you, sir. I asked, did you support the proposal that Mr. Manzo was suggesting here?  A. I was not in the position to support offering 500 million dollars.  22 dollars.  23 Q. So as CEO of the company you were on this e-mail where Manzo made a proposal. You didn't support it but you didn't communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what we're to understand?  24 MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor.  3 A. Okay.  4 Q. That's an e-mail from Matt Feldman at U.S. Treasury to Something that the first-lien lenders might want. It says, "If I understand it correctly, they want two billion shared pro
19 first-lien lenders to find a settlement? 20 A. I'm not aware. 21 Q. All right. Could you open the book that's on the ledge 22 there and turn to tab 13, please? 23 (Pause) 24 A. Tab 13? 25 Q. Tab 13. 218  19 Q. That's not what I asked you, sir. I asked, did you support the proposal that Mr. Manzo was suggesting here? 22 dollars. 23 Q. So as CEO of the company you were on this e-mail where Manzo made a proposal. You didn't support it but you didn't communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 218  1 A. Okay. 2 (Pause) 3 A. Okay. 4 Q. That's an e-mail from Matt Feldman at U.S. Treasury to 5 Robert Manzo. Do you know both of those people? 4 A. Yes, I do. 6 A. Yes, I do. 7 Q. Who is Mr. Feldman?  1 19 Q. That's not what I asked you, sir. I asked, did you support the proposal that Mr. Manzo was suggesting here? 20 auport the proposal that Mr. Manzo was suggesting here? 21 A. I was not in the position to support offering 500 million dollars. 22 dollars. 23 Q. So as CEO of the company you were on this e-mail where Manzo made a proposal. You didn't support it, is that what 25 we're to understand? 2 MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: What's the objection? 4 MR. ARMSTRONG: It mischaracterizes the document at proposal. This document talks about savings and it talks about something that the first-lien lenders might want. It says, "If I understand it correctly, they want two billion shared pro
20
21 Q. All right. Could you open the book that's on the ledge 22 there and turn to tab 13, please? 23 (Pause) 24 A. Tab 13? 25 Q. Tab 13.  21 A. Okay. 21 We're to understand? 22 MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor. 3 A. Okay. 4 Q. That's an e-mail from Matt Feldman at U.S. Treasury to 5 Robert Manzo. Do you know both of those people? 4 A. Yes, I do. 5 Q. Who is Mr. Feldman? 5 Robert Manzo? 6 A. Yes, I do. 7 Q. Who is Mr. Feldman? 7 I understand it correctly, they want two billion shared pro
there and turn to tab 13, please?  22 dollars.  23 Q. So as CEO of the company you were on this e-mail where Manzo made a proposal. You didn't support it but you didn't communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what  218 we're to understand?  2 (Pause)  3 A. Okay.  4 Q. That's an e-mail from Matt Feldman at U.S. Treasury to  5 Robert Manzo. Do you know both of those people?  6 A. Yes, I do.  7 Q. Who is Mr. Feldman?  22 dollars.  23 Q. So as CEO of the company you were on this e-mail where Manzo made a proposal. You didn't support it, is that what  24 Manzo made a proposal. You didn't support it, is that what  25 communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what  26 MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor.  3 THE COURT: What's the objection?  4 MR. ARMSTRONG: It mischaracterizes the document at proposal. This document talks about savings and it talks about something that the first-lien lenders might want. It says, "If  7 I understand it correctly, they want two billion shared pro
23 (Pause) 24 A. Tab 13? 25 Q. Tab 13. 26 Tab 13. 27 Q. So as CEO of the company you were on this e-mail where Manzo made a proposal. You didn't support it but you didn't communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 218  28 We're to understand? 29 MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor. 30 A. Okay. 40 Q. That's an e-mail from Matt Feldman at U.S. Treasury to 5 Robert Manzo. Do you know both of those people? 41 A. Yes, I do. 42 Manzo made a proposal. You didn't support it but you didn't communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 220 MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor. 31 A. Okay. 42 MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor. 43 MR. ARMSTRONG: It mischaracterizes the document at 32 MR. ARMSTRONG: It mischaracterizes the document at 33 MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection? 44 MR. ARMSTRONG: It mischaracterizes the document at 34 MR. ARMSTRONG: It mischaracterizes the document at 35 MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection? 45 MR. ARMSTRONG: It mischaracterizes the document at 36 MR. ARMSTRONG: It mischaracterizes the document at 37 MR. ARMSTRONG: It mischaracterizes the document at 38 MR. ARMSTRONG: It mischaracter
24 A. Tab 13? 25 Q. Tab 13. 218  Manzo made a proposal. You didn't support it but you didn't communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 220  1 A. Okay. 2 (Pause) 3 A. Okay. 4 Q. That's an e-mail from Matt Feldman at U.S. Treasury to 5 Robert Manzo. Do you know both of those people? 4 A. Yes, I do. 5 Q. Who is Mr. Feldman?  24 Manzo made a proposal. You didn't support it but you didn't communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 220  2 MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: What's the objection? 4 MR. ARMSTRONG: It mischaracterizes the document at proposal. This document talks about savings and it talks about something that the first-lien lenders might want. It says, "If 7 I understand it correctly, they want two billion shared pro
25 Q. Tab 13.  26 Communicate to him that you didn't support it, is that what 220 communicate to him that you didn't support i
218  220  1 A. Okay.  2 (Pause)  3 A. Okay.  4 Q. That's an e-mail from Matt Feldman at U.S. Treasury to  5 Robert Manzo. Do you know both of those people?  6 A. Yes, I do.  7 Q. Who is Mr. Feldman?  2 we're to understand?  2 MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor.  3 THE COURT: What's the objection?  4 MR. ARMSTRONG: It mischaracterizes the document as proposal. This document talks about savings and it talks about something that the first-lien lenders might want. It says, "If I understand it correctly, they want two billion shared pro
1 A. Okay. 2 (Pause) 2 MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor. 3 A. Okay. 3 THE COURT: What's the objection? 4 MR. ARMSTRONG: It mischaracterizes the document at 5 Robert Manzo. Do you know both of those people? 5 Robert Manzo. Do you know both of those people? 6 A. Yes, I do. 7 Q. Who is Mr. Feldman? 7 I understand it correctly, they want two billion shared pro
2 (Pause) 2 MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor. 3 A. Okay. 3 THE COURT: What's the objection? 4 Q. That's an e-mail from Matt Feldman at U.S. Treasury to 5 Robert Manzo. Do you know both of those people? 6 A. Yes, I do. 7 Q. Who is Mr. Feldman? 2 MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: What's the objection? 4 MR. ARMSTRONG: It mischaracterizes the document at proposal. This document talks about savings and it talks about something that the first-lien lenders might want. It says, "If I understand it correctly, they want two billion shared pro
2 (Pause) 2 MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor. 3 A. Okay. 3 THE COURT: What's the objection? 4 Q. That's an e-mail from Matt Feldman at U.S. Treasury to 5 Robert Manzo. Do you know both of those people? 6 A. Yes, I do. 7 Q. Who is Mr. Feldman? 2 MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: What's the objection? 4 MR. ARMSTRONG: It mischaracterizes the document at proposal. This document talks about savings and it talks about something that the first-lien lenders might want. It says, "If I understand it correctly, they want two billion shared pro
3 A. Okay. 4 Q. That's an e-mail from Matt Feldman at U.S. Treasury to 5 Robert Manzo. Do you know both of those people? 6 A. Yes, I do. 7 Q. Who is Mr. Feldman? 3 THE COURT: What's the objection? 4 MR. ARMSTRONG: It mischaracterizes the document at proposal. This document talks about savings and it talks about something that the first-lien lenders might want. It says, "If 7 I understand it correctly, they want two billion shared pro
4 Q. That's an e-mail from Matt Feldman at U.S. Treasury to 5 Robert Manzo. Do you know both of those people? 6 A. Yes, I do. 7 Q. Who is Mr. Feldman? 4 MR. ARMSTRONG: It mischaracterizes the document at proposal. This document talks about savings and it talks about something that the first-lien lenders might want. It says, "If 7 I understand it correctly, they want two billion shared pro
5 Robert Manzo. Do you know both of those people? 5 proposal. This document talks about savings and it talks about 6 A. Yes, I do. 6 something that the first-lien lenders might want. It says, "If 7 Q. Who is Mr. Feldman? 7 I understand it correctly, they want two billion shared pro
6 A. Yes, I do. 6 something that the first-lien lenders might want. It says, "If 7 Q. Who is Mr. Feldman? 7 I understand it correctly, they want two billion shared pro
7 Q. Who is Mr. Feldman? 7 I understand it correctly, they want two billion shared pro
I ○ A. IVII. I CIUINAII IS A INCINIUCI OI INC AUTO LASK TOICE AND IS I ○ TATA, L'AITE ON IVII. MANZO, IN THE SECTION THAT MIT, L'AUTIA IS
9 providing the legal counsel to the auto task force. 9 obsessing on, says "We can easily find 250 million dollars of
10 Q. And who was Mr. Manzo? 10 savings." It's not a proposal.
11 A. Mr. Manzo is a member of Capstone who we retained. 11 THE COURT: Restate your question then, Mr. Lauria.
12 Q. Do you want to take a minute to read the e-mail here? 12 Q. You were aware of this communication, that is correct
13 Start at the bottom. 13 right?
14 A. I'm sorry; the bottom of the one from Robert Manzo to 14 A. Yes, sir. I see that now.
15 Bloom and Feldman? 15 Q. And you did not communicate to Mr. Manzo to discontinue
16 Q. Yes, that's correct. 16 this communication?
17 (Pause) 17 A. No, I I'm not sure what you're asking about discontinue
18 Q. Just let me know when you're through. 18 a communication.
19 (Pause) 19 Q. Well let me ask you, does it sound to you like he's trying
20 A. Okay. 20 to open a dialogue to find a solution to a problem here?
Q. Was Mr. Manzo speaking for the company when he indicated 21 A. Yeah, I think we were all trying to find solutions to
22 that we can easily find 250 million dollars of savings to help 22 resolve this problem.
22 that we can easily find 250 million dollars of savings to help 22 resolve this problem.
that we can easily find 250 million dollars of savings to help 2 resolve this problem. 2 fund this last piece, we have other ideas as well? 2 Q. All right. And what I'm trying to get at is first, I

1	Q. All right. And you didn't tell Mr. Manzo that you didn't	1	A. 2.5 billion.
2	have 500 million dollars to spend?	2	Q. And the last offer that had been on the table from the
3	A. Well again, I don't think this was in the form of a formal	3	United States Treasury was how much again?
4	proposal. I think we were all looking for any ideas or	4	A. To my knowledge, the last one I was aware of was 2.250.
5	solutions we could come up with to try and get an acceptable	5	Q. Okay. And so the difference between those two numbers is
6	answer. But this would have required I mean, we didn't have	6	A. 250 million.
7	500 million dollars. We didn't have 250 million dollars to	7	Q. All right. And did it does it sound like Mr. Manzo was
8	contribute to this.	8	trying to find a solution to that 250 million in this e-mail?
9	Q. Well, earlier you testified that you understood that the	9	MR. PANTALOS: Objection, Your Honor.
10	Treasury had in fact increased their proposal to 2.25 billion	10	THE COURT: What's your objection?
11	dollars, is that correct?	11	MR. PANTALOS: The question assumes a fact that's not
12	A. Yes, the night before we filed.	12	in evidence which is this, comparability between the 2.25
13	Q. Well,	13	proposal which was to be shared with syndicate members and a
14	A. That much would have come from the United States Treasury.	14	additional incremental half a billion dollars which was not to
15	Q. Could you look at the timing of this e-mail, the one that	15	be shared with syndicate members.
16	you were copied on from Mr. Manzo to Mr. Bloom?	16	MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, I think this is just
17	A. It looks like it's 4/30 at 3:54 a.m.	17	argument.
18	Q. No. If you would go down to the one we were looking at,	18	THE COURT: What else is new?
19	please.	19	MR. PANTALOS: Your Honor, I'm just trying to
20	A. Oh, I see; the 29th, is that it?	20	THE COURT: No, I understand that. I also don't I
21	Q. At what time, please?	21	think if we want the record clear we should really understand
22	A. Wednesday, April 29th at 22:44.	22	your question and the witness needs to understand your question
23	Q. So what time would that be in regular time?	23	in context.
24	A. That would be 10:44, almost 11:00.	24	MR. LAURIA: Well, the e-mail is in the record and it
25	Q. Would that be the night before?	25	says what it says. I'm really not
	222		224
	A The minks before 9	1	THE COURT. Week had seed to see the sheet 2.25
1	A. The night before?	1	THE COURT: Yeah, but you're assuming that the 2.25
2	Q. You filed.	2	million is still on the table and you're saying that Mr. Manzo
3	A. Yes.	3	meant that he could bridge the gap between 2.25 and 2.5.
4	Q. Would that be, also is that the same time you were	4	MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, I'm not making any such
5	saying that the U.S. Treasury had put an offer of 2.25 billion	5	assumptions. I'm just trying to ask some questions of this
6	dollars on the table?	6	witness to understand what the witness understood at the time
7	A. It is not the same time.	7	THE COURT: All right. Try again then.
8	Q. What time did the U.S. Treasury put their 2.25 billion	8	MR. LAURIA: I'm going to move on.
9 10	dollar on the table?	10	BY MR. LAURIA:
	A. My understanding it was some time around 6:00 in the		Q. By the way, what was the government's reaction to Mr.
11	evening, which would have been 18:00.  Q. So at 22:00 that offer was off the table and so the gap	11	Manzo's conversation here? Let's go through it in sequence,
12 13		12	what's the first reaction from Mr. Feldman?
14	was not 250 million dollars as Mr. Manzo suggests but rather	13 14	A. You're referring to the top of this document?  O. No. I'm referring to the responsive a mail. April 20 at
	was 500 million?  A. Well, I think what he is saying here I think it says	15	Q. No, I'm referring to the responsive e-mail, April 29 at
15			22:46. MS_VAPGAS: Objection_Vaur Hapor
16 17	here an additional 500 million shared only among their holdout	16 17	MS. VARGAS: Objection, Your Honor.
	groups. So if I read this what I would read is that the		THE COURT: What's your objection?
18	majority of the first-lien lenders were accepting a two billion	18	MS. VARGAS: Jeannette Vargas for the government.
19	dollar offer but it was the holdouts, if I read this now, is	19	The witness wasn't on that subsequent e-mail chain so there's
20	that the two billion dollar pro rata would go to and then	20	no foundation.
21	there was another 500 million dollars that was to go to only	21	MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, I'm just asking the witnes
22	the holdouts to try and get them to sign up, isn't that what	22	to read the response.
23	that says? That they want two billion shared pro rata and an	23	THE COURT: All right. The witness can read the
24	additional 500 shared only among the holdouts.	24	response and answer the question.
	Q. So how much is two billion plus 500 add up to?	25	(Pause)
25	223		225

1	A. So I just want to make sure what you're asking me to	1	Q. Well, we're talking about the 2.25 offer, correct?
2	respond to. Is it "Sorry I didn't mean to say," is that what	2	A. The 2.250 offer.
3	you're talking about?	3	Q. We're not you didn't hear any response to this
4	Q. No, I'm one response below. The one from Matthew Feldmar	4	conversation and you didn't ever ask Mr. Manzo if he got a
5	at the U.S. Treasury, and I'd like you to just read it for us.	5	response?
6	A. "I'm now not talking to you. You went where you	6	A. No, at this point at this point I don't even remember
7	shouldn't."	7	when I may have opened this e-mail and read it, to be honest
8	Q. Pretty strong reaction, isn't it?	8	with you.
9	A. I don't know. I mean, I'm not sure what he's referring	9	Q. You may not have looked at it the night of the 29th?
10	to.	10	A. Not at 11:00, I may not have.
11	Q. You don't think he's referring to the e-mail that this is	11	Q. Where do you think you might have been at 11:00 on the
12	an answer to?	12	29th?
13	MS. VARGAS: Objection, Your Honor.	13	A. I was in Washington. I don't remember exactly where I
14	A. You mean the 250	14	would have been.
15	THE COURT: One second. Don't answer it. Objection	15	Q. And you don't have access to your e-mails when you travel
16	overruled. Let the witness answer the question.	16	A. Yes, I do.
17	A. You mean the 250 million of savings?	17	Q. So were you otherwise looking at your e-mails on the
18	Q. I mean the e-mail that Mr. Manzo sent to Mr. Bloom and Mr.	18	evening of the 29th?
19	Feldman at 22:44 on Wednesday, April 29th.	19	A. I don't know. I mean, I don't you're asking me to
20	A. Okay.	20	recall this. I don't recall, you know, if I read this or if I
21	Q. The response was "I'm now not talking to you. You went	21	responded to it. I do know that Treasury took the offer off
22	where you shouldn't."	22	the table within the two hour window that I understood they
23	A. I don't know, you'd have to ask Matt.	23	gave them.
24	Q. Okay. I'd have to ask Matt what?	24	Q. Okay. Let me just ask you about the top response. I'd
25	A. What he meant by that.	25	like you to read that for me and let me know when you've read
	226		228
1	O William I and a find		
1	Q. Well, do you have any interpretation of what that means?	1	it.
2	A. I don't think it was my interpretation would not be	2	(Pause)
2	A. I don't think it was my interpretation would not be relevant.	2	(Pause) A. Yes, sir.
2 3 4	A. I don't think it was my interpretation would not be relevant.      Q. Your interpretation would not be relevant?	2 3 4	(Pause) A. Yes, sir. Q. Does it sound like it was a futile effort to you?
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. I don't think it was my interpretation would not be relevant.</li> <li>Q. Your interpretation would not be relevant?</li> <li>A. Of a conversation between Matt Feldman and Bob Manzo, sir.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	(Pause) A. Yes, sir. Q. Does it sound like it was a futile effort to you? A. What was a futile effort?
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. I don't think it was my interpretation would not be relevant.</li> <li>Q. Your interpretation would not be relevant?</li> <li>A. Of a conversation between Matt Feldman and Bob Manzo, sir.</li> <li>Q. Let me just get something clear. You indicated that it</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	(Pause) A. Yes, sir. Q. Does it sound like it was a futile effort to you? A. What was a futile effort? Q. To continue negotiating.
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. I don't think it was my interpretation would not be relevant.</li> <li>Q. Your interpretation would not be relevant?</li> <li>A. Of a conversation between Matt Feldman and Bob Manzo, sir.</li> <li>Q. Let me just get something clear. You indicated that it was very important to the company to try to avoid filing for</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	(Pause) A. Yes, sir. Q. Does it sound like it was a futile effort to you? A. What was a futile effort? Q. To continue negotiating. A. You're asking me to interpret from this statement that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. I don't think it was my interpretation would not be relevant.</li> <li>Q. Your interpretation would not be relevant?</li> <li>A. Of a conversation between Matt Feldman and Bob Manzo, sir.</li> <li>Q. Let me just get something clear. You indicated that it was very important to the company to try to avoid filing for Chapter 11 protection, is that correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(Pause) A. Yes, sir. Q. Does it sound like it was a futile effort to you? A. What was a futile effort? Q. To continue negotiating. A. You're asking me to interpret from this statement that? Q. I'm asking your impression. Yes, do you think it was a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. I don't think it was my interpretation would not be relevant.</li> <li>Q. Your interpretation would not be relevant?</li> <li>A. Of a conversation between Matt Feldman and Bob Manzo, sir.</li> <li>Q. Let me just get something clear. You indicated that it was very important to the company to try to avoid filing for Chapter 11 protection, is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(Pause) A. Yes, sir. Q. Does it sound like it was a futile effort to you? A. What was a futile effort? Q. To continue negotiating. A. You're asking me to interpret from this statement that? Q. I'm asking your impression. Yes, do you think it was a futile effort to continue negotiating?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. I don't think it was my interpretation would not be relevant.</li> <li>Q. Your interpretation would not be relevant?</li> <li>A. Of a conversation between Matt Feldman and Bob Manzo, sir.</li> <li>Q. Let me just get something clear. You indicated that it was very important to the company to try to avoid filing for Chapter 11 protection, is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. So you would have been very anxious for there to have been</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Pause) A. Yes, sir. Q. Does it sound like it was a futile effort to you? A. What was a futile effort? Q. To continue negotiating. A. You're asking me to interpret from this statement that? Q. I'm asking your impression. Yes, do you think it was a futile effort to continue negotiating? A. Again, you're asking me to make an assumption. I know for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I don't think it was my interpretation would not be relevant.  Q. Your interpretation would not be relevant?  A. Of a conversation between Matt Feldman and Bob Manzo, sir.  Q. Let me just get something clear. You indicated that it was very important to the company to try to avoid filing for Chapter 11 protection, is that correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. So you would have been very anxious for there to have been a resolution of this problem, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(Pause) A. Yes, sir. Q. Does it sound like it was a futile effort to you? A. What was a futile effort? Q. To continue negotiating. A. You're asking me to interpret from this statement that? Q. I'm asking your impression. Yes, do you think it was a futile effort to continue negotiating? A. Again, you're asking me to make an assumption. I know for a fact that the offer was pulled off the table.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I don't think it was my interpretation would not be relevant.  Q. Your interpretation would not be relevant?  A. Of a conversation between Matt Feldman and Bob Manzo, sir.  Q. Let me just get something clear. You indicated that it was very important to the company to try to avoid filing for Chapter 11 protection, is that correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. So you would have been very anxious for there to have been a resolution of this problem, correct?  A. Yeah. And I was very actively involved in trying to get	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(Pause) A. Yes, sir. Q. Does it sound like it was a futile effort to you? A. What was a futile effort? Q. To continue negotiating. A. You're asking me to interpret from this statement that? Q. I'm asking your impression. Yes, do you think it was a futile effort to continue negotiating? A. Again, you're asking me to make an assumption. I know for a fact that the offer was pulled off the table. Q. I'm not asking you about the 2.25 billion dollar offer at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. I don't think it was my interpretation would not be relevant.</li> <li>Q. Your interpretation would not be relevant?</li> <li>A. Of a conversation between Matt Feldman and Bob Manzo, sir.</li> <li>Q. Let me just get something clear. You indicated that it was very important to the company to try to avoid filing for Chapter 11 protection, is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. So you would have been very anxious for there to have been a resolution of this problem, correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah. And I was very actively involved in trying to get that done.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	(Pause) A. Yes, sir. Q. Does it sound like it was a futile effort to you? A. What was a futile effort? Q. To continue negotiating. A. You're asking me to interpret from this statement that? Q. I'm asking your impression. Yes, do you think it was a futile effort to continue negotiating? A. Again, you're asking me to make an assumption. I know for a fact that the offer was pulled off the table. Q. I'm not asking you about the 2.25 billion dollar offer at this point.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. I don't think it was my interpretation would not be relevant.</li> <li>Q. Your interpretation would not be relevant?</li> <li>A. Of a conversation between Matt Feldman and Bob Manzo, sir.</li> <li>Q. Let me just get something clear. You indicated that it was very important to the company to try to avoid filing for Chapter 11 protection, is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. So you would have been very anxious for there to have been a resolution of this problem, correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah. And I was very actively involved in trying to get that done.</li> <li>Q. But you were not involved in this dialogue?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Pause) A. Yes, sir. Q. Does it sound like it was a futile effort to you? A. What was a futile effort? Q. To continue negotiating. A. You're asking me to interpret from this statement that? Q. I'm asking your impression. Yes, do you think it was a futile effort to continue negotiating? A. Again, you're asking me to make an assumption. I know for a fact that the offer was pulled off the table. Q. I'm not asking you about the 2.25 billion dollar offer at this point. A. Uh-huh.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. I don't think it was my interpretation would not be relevant.</li> <li>Q. Your interpretation would not be relevant?</li> <li>A. Of a conversation between Matt Feldman and Bob Manzo, sir.</li> <li>Q. Let me just get something clear. You indicated that it was very important to the company to try to avoid filing for Chapter 11 protection, is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. So you would have been very anxious for there to have been a resolution of this problem, correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah. And I was very actively involved in trying to get that done.</li> <li>Q. But you were not involved in this dialogue?</li> <li>A. No, sir.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(Pause) A. Yes, sir. Q. Does it sound like it was a futile effort to you? A. What was a futile effort? Q. To continue negotiating. A. You're asking me to interpret from this statement that? Q. I'm asking your impression. Yes, do you think it was a futile effort to continue negotiating? A. Again, you're asking me to make an assumption. I know for a fact that the offer was pulled off the table. Q. I'm not asking you about the 2.25 billion dollar offer at this point. A. Uh-huh. Q. I'm asking you if based on a statement from a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. I don't think it was my interpretation would not be relevant.</li> <li>Q. Your interpretation would not be relevant?</li> <li>A. Of a conversation between Matt Feldman and Bob Manzo, sir.</li> <li>Q. Let me just get something clear. You indicated that it was very important to the company to try to avoid filing for Chapter 11 protection, is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. So you would have been very anxious for there to have been a resolution of this problem, correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah. And I was very actively involved in trying to get that done.</li> <li>Q. But you were not involved in this dialogue?</li> <li>A. No, sir.</li> <li>Q. And you were not aware of this dialogue?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	(Pause) A. Yes, sir. Q. Does it sound like it was a futile effort to you? A. What was a futile effort? Q. To continue negotiating. A. You're asking me to interpret from this statement that? Q. I'm asking your impression. Yes, do you think it was a futile effort to continue negotiating? A. Again, you're asking me to make an assumption. I know for a fact that the offer was pulled off the table. Q. I'm not asking you about the 2.25 billion dollar offer at this point. A. Uh-huh. Q. I'm asking you if based on a statement from a representative of the Department of Treasury it's over, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I don't think it was my interpretation would not be relevant.  Q. Your interpretation would not be relevant?  A. Of a conversation between Matt Feldman and Bob Manzo, sir.  Q. Let me just get something clear. You indicated that it was very important to the company to try to avoid filing for Chapter 11 protection, is that correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. So you would have been very anxious for there to have been a resolution of this problem, correct?  A. Yeah. And I was very actively involved in trying to get that done.  Q. But you were not involved in this dialogue?  A. No, sir.  Q. And you were not aware of this dialogue?  A. Well, I became aware of it at 10:44 on the 29th, according	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	(Pause) A. Yes, sir. Q. Does it sound like it was a futile effort to you? A. What was a futile effort? Q. To continue negotiating. A. You're asking me to interpret from this statement that? Q. I'm asking your impression. Yes, do you think it was a futile effort to continue negotiating? A. Again, you're asking me to make an assumption. I know for a fact that the offer was pulled off the table. Q. I'm not asking you about the 2.25 billion dollar offer at this point. A. Uh-huh. Q. I'm asking you if based on a statement from a representative of the Department of Treasury it's over, the president doesn't negotiate second rounds; we've given and lent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I don't think it was my interpretation would not be relevant.  Q. Your interpretation would not be relevant?  A. Of a conversation between Matt Feldman and Bob Manzo, sir Q. Let me just get something clear. You indicated that it was very important to the company to try to avoid filing for Chapter 11 protection, is that correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. So you would have been very anxious for there to have been a resolution of this problem, correct?  A. Yeah. And I was very actively involved in trying to get that done.  Q. But you were not involved in this dialogue?  A. No, sir.  Q. And you were not aware of this dialogue?  A. Well, I became aware of it at 10:44 on the 29th, according to this.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(Pause) A. Yes, sir. Q. Does it sound like it was a futile effort to you? A. What was a futile effort? Q. To continue negotiating. A. You're asking me to interpret from this statement that? Q. I'm asking your impression. Yes, do you think it was a futile effort to continue negotiating? A. Again, you're asking me to make an assumption. I know for a fact that the offer was pulled off the table. Q. I'm not asking you about the 2.25 billion dollar offer at this point. A. Uh-huh. Q. I'm asking you if based on a statement from a representative of the Department of Treasury it's over, the president doesn't negotiate second rounds; we've given and lent billions of dollars to your team so your team could manage this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I don't think it was my interpretation would not be relevant.  Q. Your interpretation would not be relevant?  A. Of a conversation between Matt Feldman and Bob Manzo, sir.  Q. Let me just get something clear. You indicated that it was very important to the company to try to avoid filing for Chapter 11 protection, is that correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. So you would have been very anxious for there to have been a resolution of this problem, correct?  A. Yeah. And I was very actively involved in trying to get that done.  Q. But you were not involved in this dialogue?  A. No, sir.  Q. And you were not aware of this dialogue?  A. Well, I became aware of it at 10:44 on the 29th, according to this.  Q. Did you ever ask Manzo if there was a follow up or a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Pause) A. Yes, sir. Q. Does it sound like it was a futile effort to you? A. What was a futile effort? Q. To continue negotiating. A. You're asking me to interpret from this statement that? Q. I'm asking your impression. Yes, do you think it was a futile effort to continue negotiating? A. Again, you're asking me to make an assumption. I know for a fact that the offer was pulled off the table. Q. I'm not asking you about the 2.25 billion dollar offer at this point. A. Uh-huh. Q. I'm asking you if based on a statement from a representative of the Department of Treasury it's over, the president doesn't negotiate second rounds; we've given and lent billions of dollars to your team so your team could manage this properly. I've protected your management and board and now
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I don't think it was my interpretation would not be relevant.  Q. Your interpretation would not be relevant?  A. Of a conversation between Matt Feldman and Bob Manzo, sir.  Q. Let me just get something clear. You indicated that it was very important to the company to try to avoid filing for Chapter 11 protection, is that correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. So you would have been very anxious for there to have been a resolution of this problem, correct?  A. Yeah. And I was very actively involved in trying to get that done.  Q. But you were not involved in this dialogue?  A. No, sir.  Q. And you were not aware of this dialogue?  A. Well, I became aware of it at 10:44 on the 29th, according to this.  Q. Did you ever ask Manzo if there was a follow up or a response?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Pause) A. Yes, sir. Q. Does it sound like it was a futile effort to you? A. What was a futile effort? Q. To continue negotiating. A. You're asking me to interpret from this statement that? Q. I'm asking your impression. Yes, do you think it was a futile effort to continue negotiating? A. Again, you're asking me to make an assumption. I know for a fact that the offer was pulled off the table. Q. I'm not asking you about the 2.25 billion dollar offer at this point. A. Uh-huh. Q. I'm asking you if based on a statement from a representative of the Department of Treasury it's over, the president doesn't negotiate second rounds; we've given and lent billions of dollars to your team so your team could manage this properly. I've protected your management and board and now you're telling me you're going to try to put me in a position
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I don't think it was my interpretation would not be relevant.  Q. Your interpretation would not be relevant?  A. Of a conversation between Matt Feldman and Bob Manzo, sir.  Q. Let me just get something clear. You indicated that it was very important to the company to try to avoid filing for Chapter 11 protection, is that correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. So you would have been very anxious for there to have been a resolution of this problem, correct?  A. Yeah. And I was very actively involved in trying to get that done.  Q. But you were not involved in this dialogue?  A. No, sir.  Q. And you were not aware of this dialogue?  A. Well, I became aware of it at 10:44 on the 29th, according to this.  Q. Did you ever ask Manzo if there was a follow up or a response?  A. No, not to my recollection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(Pause) A. Yes, sir. Q. Does it sound like it was a futile effort to you? A. What was a futile effort? Q. To continue negotiating. A. You're asking me to interpret from this statement that? Q. I'm asking your impression. Yes, do you think it was a futile effort to continue negotiating? A. Again, you're asking me to make an assumption. I know for a fact that the offer was pulled off the table. Q. I'm not asking you about the 2.25 billion dollar offer at this point. A. Uh-huh. Q. I'm asking you if based on a statement from a representative of the Department of Treasury it's over, the president doesn't negotiate second rounds; we've given and lent billions of dollars to your team so your team could manage this properly. I've protected your management and board and now you're telling me you're going to try to put me in a position to have to bend to a terrorist-like Lauria, that's BS. And I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I don't think it was my interpretation would not be relevant.  Q. Your interpretation would not be relevant?  A. Of a conversation between Matt Feldman and Bob Manzo, sir.  Q. Let me just get something clear. You indicated that it was very important to the company to try to avoid filing for Chapter 11 protection, is that correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. So you would have been very anxious for there to have been a resolution of this problem, correct?  A. Yeah. And I was very actively involved in trying to get that done.  Q. But you were not involved in this dialogue?  A. No, sir.  Q. And you were not aware of this dialogue?  A. Well, I became aware of it at 10:44 on the 29th, according to this.  Q. Did you ever ask Manzo if there was a follow up or a response?  A. No, not to my recollection.  Q. Can you tell us why?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Pause) A. Yes, sir. Q. Does it sound like it was a futile effort to you? A. What was a futile effort? Q. To continue negotiating. A. You're asking me to interpret from this statement that? Q. I'm asking your impression. Yes, do you think it was a futile effort to continue negotiating? A. Again, you're asking me to make an assumption. I know for a fact that the offer was pulled off the table. Q. I'm not asking you about the 2.25 billion dollar offer at this point. A. Uh-huh. Q. I'm asking you if based on a statement from a representative of the Department of Treasury it's over, the president doesn't negotiate second rounds; we've given and lent billions of dollars to your team so your team could manage this properly. I've protected your management and board and now you're telling me you're going to try to put me in a position to have to bend to a terrorist-like Lauria, that's BS. And I'm asking you if that indicates that further negotiation was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I don't think it was my interpretation would not be relevant.  Q. Your interpretation would not be relevant?  A. Of a conversation between Matt Feldman and Bob Manzo, sir.  Q. Let me just get something clear. You indicated that it was very important to the company to try to avoid filing for Chapter 11 protection, is that correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. So you would have been very anxious for there to have been a resolution of this problem, correct?  A. Yeah. And I was very actively involved in trying to get that done.  Q. But you were not involved in this dialogue?  A. No, sir.  Q. And you were not aware of this dialogue?  A. Well, I became aware of it at 10:44 on the 29th, according to this.  Q. Did you ever ask Manzo if there was a follow up or a response?  A. No, not to my recollection.  Q. Can you tell us why?  A. Well, it looks like, you know, what I heard back from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Pause) A. Yes, sir. Q. Does it sound like it was a futile effort to you? A. What was a futile effort? Q. To continue negotiating. A. You're asking me to interpret from this statement that? Q. I'm asking your impression. Yes, do you think it was a futile effort to continue negotiating? A. Again, you're asking me to make an assumption. I know for a fact that the offer was pulled off the table. Q. I'm not asking you about the 2.25 billion dollar offer at this point. A. Uh-huh. Q. I'm asking you if based on a statement from a representative of the Department of Treasury it's over, the president doesn't negotiate second rounds; we've given and lent billions of dollars to your team so your team could manage this properly. I've protected your management and board and now you're telling me you're going to try to put me in a position to have to bend to a terrorist-like Lauria, that's BS. And I'm asking you if that indicates that further negotiation was futile?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I don't think it was my interpretation would not be relevant.  Q. Your interpretation would not be relevant?  A. Of a conversation between Matt Feldman and Bob Manzo, sir.  Q. Let me just get something clear. You indicated that it was very important to the company to try to avoid filing for Chapter 11 protection, is that correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. So you would have been very anxious for there to have been a resolution of this problem, correct?  A. Yeah. And I was very actively involved in trying to get that done.  Q. But you were not involved in this dialogue?  A. No, sir.  Q. And you were not aware of this dialogue?  A. Well, I became aware of it at 10:44 on the 29th, according to this.  Q. Did you ever ask Manzo if there was a follow up or a response?  A. No, not to my recollection.  Q. Can you tell us why?  A. Well, it looks like, you know, what I heard back from Treasury is that there was not a response and therefore the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(Pause) A. Yes, sir. Q. Does it sound like it was a futile effort to you? A. What was a futile effort? Q. To continue negotiating. A. You're asking me to interpret from this statement that? Q. I'm asking your impression. Yes, do you think it was a futile effort to continue negotiating? A. Again, you're asking me to make an assumption. I know for a fact that the offer was pulled off the table. Q. I'm not asking you about the 2.25 billion dollar offer at this point. A. Uh-huh. Q. I'm asking you if based on a statement from a representative of the Department of Treasury it's over, the president doesn't negotiate second rounds; we've given and lent billions of dollars to your team so your team could manage this properly. I've protected your management and board and now you're telling me you're going to try to put me in a position to have to bend to a terrorist-like Lauria, that's BS. And I'm asking you if that indicates that further negotiation was futile? A. If I was to interpret this when it says it's over, to me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I don't think it was my interpretation would not be relevant.  Q. Your interpretation would not be relevant?  A. Of a conversation between Matt Feldman and Bob Manzo, sir.  Q. Let me just get something clear. You indicated that it was very important to the company to try to avoid filing for Chapter 11 protection, is that correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. So you would have been very anxious for there to have been a resolution of this problem, correct?  A. Yeah. And I was very actively involved in trying to get that done.  Q. But you were not involved in this dialogue?  A. No, sir.  Q. And you were not aware of this dialogue?  A. Well, I became aware of it at 10:44 on the 29th, according to this.  Q. Did you ever ask Manzo if there was a follow up or a response?  A. No, not to my recollection.  Q. Can you tell us why?  A. Well, it looks like, you know, what I heard back from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Pause) A. Yes, sir. Q. Does it sound like it was a futile effort to you? A. What was a futile effort? Q. To continue negotiating. A. You're asking me to interpret from this statement that? Q. I'm asking your impression. Yes, do you think it was a futile effort to continue negotiating? A. Again, you're asking me to make an assumption. I know for a fact that the offer was pulled off the table. Q. I'm not asking you about the 2.25 billion dollar offer at this point. A. Uh-huh. Q. I'm asking you if based on a statement from a representative of the Department of Treasury it's over, the president doesn't negotiate second rounds; we've given and lent billions of dollars to your team so your team could manage this properly. I've protected your management and board and now you're telling me you're going to try to put me in a position to have to bend to a terrorist-like Lauria, that's BS. And I'm asking you if that indicates that further negotiation was futile?

1	Q. So it's futile?	1	Q. Now Cerberus was the owner or still is the owner of
2	A. Well, it's over.	2	Chrysler, is that correct?
3	Q. Can you parse the difference between futile and over for	3	A. They own eighty percent of Chrysler.
4	me?	4	Q. Right. And so how is it that you came to be the CEO of
5	A. I don't know. I understand it's over.	5	Chrysler?
6	Q. Do you understand the word futile?	6	A. Well, they asked me to look at Chrysler and to take a look
7	A. Yeah, I do.	7	at some of the pro forma, look at some of the operating plans,
8	Q. Can you tell me the difference between futile and over	8	to sit in on some of the business reviews in New York. And
9	since you're choosing to find a difference between futile and	9	subsequently asked me if I would be interested in running
10	over?	10	Chrysler for them.
11	A. I can read from here it's over. Futile, is you're asking	11	Q. And they was Cerberus?
12	me to interpret what's said in the e-mail, sir.	12	A. Yes.
13	Q. All right. Let's go back to the top. You started with	13	Q. And this was in July when they asked you to do this?
14	Chrysler in August of 2007, is that correct?	14	A. Yes.
15	A. Yes.	15	Q. And so in approximately a month you then started with
16	Q. And prior to that you had been with Home Depot, is that	16	Chrysler?
17	right?	17	A. That's correct.
18	A. Prior to that I worked for Cerberus.	18	Q. And before you went to work for Cerberus in July you
19	Q. What position did you hold with Cerberus?	19	worked for Home Depot, is that right?
20	A. I was an independent contractor and advisor.	20	A. Correct.
21	Q. Were you one of their so-called bench executives?	21	Q. And how long were you at Home Depot?
22	A. I haven't heard that term but I was there to help advise	22	A. Almost six years, I think.
23	on various deals or strategy or what have you.	23	Q. All right. And before that?
24	Q. And in that capacity, had you worked with any other	24	A. General Electric.
25	companies?	25	Q. Had you ever worked in the auto industry before August of
	230		232
1	A. Did I work with other companies while I was at Cerberus?	1	2007?
1 2	Q. Yes.	2	A. No, I had not.
3	A. Did I look at other companies?	3	Q. Are you going to continue with the company after the
4	Q. Did you work at any other companies while you were at	4	restructuring is completed?
5	Cerberus?	5	A. No, I announced, I think, at the end of April that I would
6	A. No, I did not.	6	stay with the company until we got through this process. And
7	Q. Did you advise any other companies while you were at	7	then I thought it would be best to transition, the new team
8	Cerberus?	8	really need to had to have a much closer intimacy with Fiat
9	A. I did not.	9	operations to make sure that they were able to maximize the
10	Q. Can you tell us what you did while you were at Cerberus?	10	products, the technologies and the synergies that were part of
11	A. Yeah, I looked at they have a portfolio maybe I	11	that term sheet and part of the consolidated performance.
12	misunderstood your question. They have about fifty or sixty	12	Q. So when do you expect to leave the company?
13	companies, Blue Links, Traxis, New Page, Remington. So my job		A. When this transaction's complete.
14	was to take a look, as part of asked to participate with a	14	Q. When do you expect that to be?
15	section of Cerberus called Coax, which is their operational	15	A. Tomorrow.
16	team. It had, like, 150 analysts in it with all different	16	Q. Tomorrow? You expect the transaction to close tomorrow?
17	types of skills. So what they had asked me to do is look at	17	A. That's the plan.
18	some of the operating plans, sit in on some of the business	18	Q. Are there any regulatory approvals that have to be
19	reviews and see if there was anywhere where I could help them	19	achieved for the closing to occur?
20	out based on my experience and knowledge.	20	A. Yes, there are.
21	Q. How long were you with Cerberus?	21	Q. And have they been achieved?
22	A. I was with Cerberus from July through August, the	22	A. A number of them have and some of the secondary, for
23	beginning of August.	23	example some of the international ones and so forth, we'll
24	Q. For one month?	24	probably do a second close on those.
25	A. Yes.	25	Q. So you'll close without regulatory approval?
Ì	231		233
	231		

4 finalization of it. For example in the U.K., Germany and 5 Russia. 6 Q. So what will you do there? I'm not sure I understand the answer. 8 A. What is it you don't understand? 9 Q. You're going to close but you're poing to have regulatory approval or you're going to wait till you get 10 regulatory approval or close? 11 regulatory approval or close but you're going to have 12 q. No, we'll have regulatory approval in the U.S.? 13 A. I think that's well on its way right now. 14 Q. Do you currently have regulatory approval in the U.S.? 15 A. I think that's well on its way right now. 16 Q. When do you expect to get it? 17 A. Well, we would need it before we close. 18 Q. Do you have an expectation of when you'll get it? 19 A. Hopefully well have it done by tomorrow. 10 Q. Do you have an expectation of when you'll get it? 11 tomorrow? 12 A. Yes. 13 in the United States? 14 A. No, I think that's well on its way right now. 15 Q. When do you expect to get it? 16 Q. You mentioned that when the board met on April 20th, I believe it was, that you made the decision to file Chapter 11, is that right? 18 is that right? 19 A. Hopefully well have it done by tomorrow. 10 Q. Do you have an expectation of when you'll get it? 11 tomorrow? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Can you tell me what that indication its, please? 14 A. From counsel. 15 Q. From counsel? 16 Q. Your counsel? 17 A. Well, we not glection, Your Honor, First, we've had 18 with your counsel relating to the transaction. 18 Win your counsel relating to the transaction. 29 G. You counsel? 20 G. You counsel? 21 A. That is correct. 29 Q. And earlier you testified that at the time you had two choices, either to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate, is that correct? 29 Q. I'm going back over your testimony where you were characterizing whire you counsel are of this? 20 Q. You choose the tautomatic star. 21 A. Well, we'ne covering a lot of subjects. I'm not sure. 22 A. Well, we'ne covering a lot of subjects. I'm not sure. 23 A. Yes. 24 A. Well, we'ne covering a lot of subjects. I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	regulatory approval and then there will be some secondary where we have an indication of approval but just haven't secured the finalization of it. For example in the U.K., Germany and Russia.  Q. So what will you do there? I'm not sure I understand the answer.  A. What is it you don't understand?  Q. You're going to close but you're not going to have regulatory approval or you're going to wait till you get regulatory approvals to close?  A. No, we'll have regulatory approval certainly here in the U.S.  Q. Do you currently have regulatory approval in the U.S.?  A. I think that's well on its way right now.  Q. When do you expect to get it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. LAURIA: Q. When you say regulatory approval, what approvals are you talking about? A. Well, the regulatory approval would be anti-trust here in the United States. Q. Do you know if that application has been made? A. Yes, I'm aware that that application has been made. Q. And do you have any awareness of the statutory waiting period for that application to be granted? A. I don't know the exact time. Q. Are there any other regulatory approvals that are required in the United States? A. No, I think that's the one that's been brought to my
we have an indication of approval but just haven't secured the finalization of it. For example in the U.K., Germany and S. Rousia.  6. Q. So what will you do there? I'm not sure I understand the answer.  8. A. What is it you don't understand?  9. Q. You're going to close but you're not going to have regulatory approval to close?  12. A. No, we'll have regulatory approval evait ill you get regulatory approval to regulatory approval evait going to wait ill you get regulatory approval or you're going to wait ill you get regulatory approval or prove going to wait ill you get regulatory approval evait going to have a regulatory approval evait going to have a regulatory approval evait going to have any security have regulatory approval evait going to have any expenses of the statutory waiting period for that application has been made.  9. Q. And do you have any expenses of the statutory waiting period for that application to be granted?  12. A. No, we'll have regulatory approval evait going to have in the U.S.?  13. L. I don't know the exact time.  14. A. No, I think that's the one that's been brought to my attention as the, you know, the most significant one.  15. A. Well, we would need it before we close.  16. Q. When do you expect to approvals that are required in the U.S.?  17. A. Well, we would need it before we close.  18. A. No, I think that's the one that's been brought to my attention as the, you know, the most significant one.  18. A. No, I think that's the one that's been brought to my attention as the, you know, the most significant one.  19. Q. Do you have an expectation of when you're going to have it tomorrow?  20. Q. Do you have an expectation of when you're going to have it tomorrow?  21. A. Yes.  22. Q. From counsel?  23. A. Yes.  24. A. From counsel?  25. Q. From counsel?  26. Q. From counsel?  27. A. Legal counsel.  28. Q. From counsel?  29. Q. From counsel?  20. Your counsel gave you the minutes from the board meeting on April 30th you made a decision to file Chapter II, is that correct?  29. Q.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	we have an indication of approval but just haven't secured the finalization of it. For example in the U.K., Germany and Russia.  Q. So what will you do there? I'm not sure I understand the answer.  A. What is it you don't understand?  Q. You're going to close but you're not going to have regulatory approval or you're going to wait till you get regulatory approvals to close?  A. No, we'll have regulatory approval certainly here in the U.S.  Q. Do you currently have regulatory approval in the U.S.?  A. I think that's well on its way right now.  Q. When do you expect to get it?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. When you say regulatory approval, what approvals are you talking about?</li> <li>A. Well, the regulatory approval would be anti-trust here in the United States.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if that application has been made?</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm aware that that application has been made.</li> <li>Q. And do you have any awareness of the statutory waiting period for that application to be granted?</li> <li>A. I don't know the exact time.</li> <li>Q. Are there any other regulatory approvals that are required in the United States?</li> <li>A. No, I think that's the one that's been brought to my</li> </ul>
4 finalization of it. For example in the U.K., Germany and 5 Russia. 6 Q. So what will you do there? I'm not sure I understand the 7 answer. 8 A. What is it you don't understand? 9 Q. You're going to close but you're poing to have regulatory approval or you're going to wait till you get 10 regulatory approval or you're going to wait till you get 11 regulatory approval or you're going to wait till you get 12 A. No, we'll have regulatory approval certainly here in the 13 U.S. 14 Q. Do you currently have regulatory approval in the U.S.? 15 A. I think that's well on its way right now. 16 Q. When do you expect to get it? 17 A. Well, we would need it before we close. 18 Q. Do you have an expectation of when you'll get it? 19 A. Hopefully well have it done by tomorrow. 20 Q. Do you have some indication that you're going to have it tomorrow? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. From counsel. 23 Q. Can you tell me what that indication is, please? 24 A. From counsel. 25 Q. From counsel? 26 Q. Your counsel? 27 A. Legal counsel. 28 Q. Your counsel? 29 A. Legal counsel. 20 Q. You counsel? 20 M. R. ARMSTRONG: Hold on one second, please. Thanh you. I have an objection, Your Honor, First, we've had several questions relating to this so the objection is to this line of questioning as to the relevance. And secondly, Mr. Nardelli, please don't divulge communications that you've had with your counsel relating to the transaction. 29 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sory. 20 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sory. 21 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, what is the relevance of this? 21 MR. LAURIA: Well, Your Honor, among other things the deltor is going to be asked - has asked for relief under 6004 to shorten the automatic stay with respect to the sale order. 21 And I'm trying to understand realistically, when a clossing might occur, which I think is one essary to that what the withing those of that by course. 21 And I'm trying to understand realistically, when a clossing might occur, which I think is a get you three some of the sale order. 22 And he was informed of that by coursel. 23 And	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	finalization of it. For example in the U.K., Germany and Russia.  Q. So what will you do there? I'm not sure I understand the answer.  A. What is it you don't understand?  Q. You're going to close but you're not going to have regulatory approval or you're going to wait till you get regulatory approvals to close?  A. No, we'll have regulatory approval certainly here in the U.S.  Q. Do you currently have regulatory approval in the U.S.?  A. I think that's well on its way right now.  Q. When do you expect to get it?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	talking about?  A. Well, the regulatory approval would be anti-trust here in the United States.  Q. Do you know if that application has been made?  A. Yes, I'm aware that that application has been made.  Q. And do you have any awareness of the statutory waiting period for that application to be granted?  A. I don't know the exact time.  Q. Are there any other regulatory approvals that are required in the United States?  A. No, I think that's the one that's been brought to my
5 Russia. 6 Q. So what will you do there? I'm not sure I understand the 6 answer. 8 A. What is it you don't understand? 9 Q. You're going to olses but you're not going to have 11 regulatory approvals to close? 12 A. No, we'll have regulatory approval certainly here in the 13 U.S. 14 Q. Do you currently have regulatory approval certainly here in the 15 A. I think that's well on its way right now. 16 Q. When do you expect to get i? 17 A. Well, we would need it before we close. 18 Q. Do you have an expectation of when you'll get it? 19 A. Hopefully we'll have it done by tomorrow. 20 Q. Do you have an expectation of when you'll get it? 21 A. Yes. 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Can you tell me what that indication is, please? 24 A. From counsel. 25 Q. Your counsel? 26 Q. Your counsel? 27 A. Legal counsel. 28 Q. You rounsel? 39 Q. And do you have, one that's been brought to my 30 their done have been brought to my 31 tomorrow? 32 A. Yes. 33 Q. Can you tell me what that indication is, please? 34 A. From counsel. 35 Several questions relating to this so the objection is to this line of questioning as to the relevance. And secondly, Mr. 36 Nardelli, please don't divulge communications that you're had with your counsel relating to the transaction. 36 MR. ARMSTRONG: Hold on one second, please. Thank you. I have an objection, Your Honor. First, we've had several questions relating to this so the cooling, the provided of the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Russia.  Q. So what will you do there? I'm not sure I understand the answer.  A. What is it you don't understand?  Q. You're going to close but you're not going to have regulatory approval or you're going to wait till you get regulatory approvals to close?  A. No, we'll have regulatory approval certainly here in the U.S.  Q. Do you currently have regulatory approval in the U.S.?  A. I think that's well on its way right now.  Q. When do you expect to get it?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Well, the regulatory approval would be anti-trust here in the United States.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if that application has been made?</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm aware that that application has been made.</li> <li>Q. And do you have any awareness of the statutory waiting period for that application to be granted?</li> <li>A. I don't know the exact time.</li> <li>Q. Are there any other regulatory approvals that are required in the United States?</li> <li>A. No, I think that's the one that's been brought to my</li> </ul>
6 Q. So what will you do there? I'm not sure I understand the 7 answer. 8 A. What is it you don't understand? 9 Q. You're going to close but you're not going to have 10 regulatory approval or you're going to wait till you get 11 regulatory approval or close? 12 A. No, we'll have regulatory approval in close? 13 U.S. 14 Q. Do you currently have regulatory approval in the U.S.? 15 A. I think that's well on its way right now. 16 Q. When do you expect to get it? 17 A. Well, we would need it before we close. 18 Q. Do you have an expectation of when you'll get it? 19 A. Hopefully we'll have it done by tomorrow. 10 Q. Do you have an expectation of when you'll get it? 11 A. I don't know the sext time. 12 Q. Are there any other regulatory approvals that are required in the United States? 14 A. No, I think that's well on its way right now. 15 A. Hopefully we'll have it done by tomorrow. 16 Q. Do you have an expectation of when you'll get it? 17 A. Well, we would need it before we close. 18 Q. Do you have an expectation of when you'll get it? 19 A. Hopefully we'll have it done by tomorrow. 20 Q. Do you have an expectation of when you'll get it? 21 tomorrow? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Can you tell me what that indication is, please? 24 A. From counsel? 25 Q. From counsel? 26 Q. Your counsel? 27 A. Legal counsel. 28 Q. Your counsel? 29 Q. Your counsel? 20 Your counsel? 20 Your counsel? 21 A. Legal counsel. 22 Q. Ald right. Arrib the counter of the board meeting on April 30th you made a decision to file Chapter 11, is that correct? 27 A. That is correct. 28 A. Ves. 29 Q. From counsel? 30 MR. ARMSTRONG: Hold on one second, please. Thank with your counsel relating to this so the objection is to this line of questioning as to the relevance. And secondly, Mr. 29 THE WITNESS: Okay. 30 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 31 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, what is the relevance to this? 31 MR. LAURIA: Well, Your Honor, among other things the late for the form the said of that you made to the fore things the information that I think is necessary to that	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. So what will you do there? I'm not sure I understand the answer.  A. What is it you don't understand?  Q. You're going to close but you're not going to have regulatory approval or you're going to wait till you get regulatory approvals to close?  A. No, we'll have regulatory approval certainly here in the U.S.  Q. Do you currently have regulatory approval in the U.S.?  A. I think that's well on its way right now.  Q. When do you expect to get it?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the United States.  Q. Do you know if that application has been made?  A. Yes, I'm aware that that application has been made.  Q. And do you have any awareness of the statutory waiting period for that application to be granted?  A. I don't know the exact time.  Q. Are there any other regulatory approvals that are required in the United States?  A. No, I think that's the one that's been brought to my
7 A. What is it you don't understand? 8 A. What is it you don't understand? 9 Q. You're going to close but you're not going to have 10 regulatory approvals to close? 11 regulatory approvals to close? 12 A. No, we'll have regulatory approval certainly here in the 13 U.S. 14 Q. Do you currently have regulatory approval in the U.S.? 15 A. I think that's well on its way right now. 16 Q. When do you expect to get it? 17 A. Well, we would need it before we close. 18 Q. Do you have an expectation of when you'll get it? 19 A. Hopefully we'll have it done by tomorrow. 10 Q. Do you have some indication that you're going to have it tomorrow? 11 tomorrow? 12 Q. From counsel? 13 A. Lagal counsel. 14 A. Lagal counsel. 15 Q. Your counsel? 16 A. Lagal counsel. 17 A. Lagal counsel. 18 A. Lagal counsel. 19 Q. Mark ARMSTRONG: Hold on one second, please. Thank you, I have an objection, Your Honor. First, we've had seiver an questions relating to this so the breavance. And secondly, Mr. Nardelli, please don't divulge communications that you've had with your counsel relating to the transaction. 10 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 11 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, what is the relevance of this? 12 MR. LAURIA: Well, Your Honor, among other things the information that I think is necessary to that what the imight occur, which I think is relevant to that issue. 18 THE COURT: All right. I think you got — you have the information that I think is necessary to that what the wintermation of that by counsel. 19 And he was informed of that by counsel. 20 And he was informed of that by counsel. 21 And he was informed of that by counsel. 22 A. Yes. 23 C. A. Yes. 24 A. From counsel? 25 C. From counsel? 26 A. Yes we did. 27 A. Charl is at the time you had two choices, to pursua a restructuring plan is what we're talking about today, is that correct? 28 A. We chose not to liquidate. 29 A. That is correct. 29 A. Well, we'n covering a lot of subjects. I'm not sure.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	answer.  A. What is it you don't understand?  Q. You're going to close but you're not going to have regulatory approval or you're going to wait till you get regulatory approvals to close?  A. No, we'll have regulatory approval certainly here in the U.S.  Q. Do you currently have regulatory approval in the U.S.?  A. I think that's well on its way right now.  Q. When do you expect to get it?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Do you know if that application has been made?</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm aware that that application has been made.</li> <li>Q. And do you have any awareness of the statutory waiting period for that application to be granted?</li> <li>A. I don't know the exact time.</li> <li>Q. Are there any other regulatory approvals that are required in the United States?</li> <li>A. No, I think that's the one that's been brought to my</li> </ul>
8 A. What is it you don't understand? 9 Q. You're going to close but you're not going to have 11 regulatory approval or you're going to wait ill you get 12 regulatory approval or close? 12 A. No, we'll have regulatory approval certainly here in the 13 U.S. 14 Q. Do you currently have regulatory approval in the U.S.? 15 A. I think that's well on its way right now. 16 Q. When do you expect to get it? 17 A. Well, we would need it before we close. 18 Q. Do you have an expectation of when you'll get it? 19 A. Hopefully we'll have it done by tomorrow. 10 Q. Do you have an expectation of when you'll get it? 11 A. Well, we had that indication that you're going to have it tomorrow? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Can you tell me what that indication is, please? 14 A. From counsel. 15 Q. From counsel? 16 A. Legal counsel. 17 A. Legal counsel. 18 you. I have an objection, Your Honor. First, we've had several questions relating to this so the objection is to this line of questioning as to the relevance. And secondly, Mr. 18 Yardelli, please don't divulge communications that you've had with your counsel relating to this so the objection is to this line of questioning as to the relevance. And secondly, Mr. 18 Yardelli, please don't divulge communications that you've had with your counsel relating to this so the objection is to this line of questioning as to the relevance. And secondly, Mr. 19 A. Hopefully in the transaction. 20 Q. From counsel? 21 A. Legal counsel. 21 Q. Your counsel? 22 Q. Your counsel? 23 Mr. ARMSTRONG: Hold on one second, please. Thank with your counsel relating to this so the objection is to this line of questioning as to the relevance. And secondly, Mr. 21 Yardelli, please don't divulge communications that you've had with your counsel relating to this so the objection is to this line of questioning as to the relevance. And secondly, Mr. 22 Your counsel? 23 Mr. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 24 A. No. 25 Your counsel gave you them innutes from the board meeting on April 30th you made a decision to file Chapter II, is that correct?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. What is it you don't understand?  Q. You're going to close but you're not going to have regulatory approval or you're going to wait till you get regulatory approvals to close?  A. No, we'll have regulatory approval certainly here in the U.S.  Q. Do you currently have regulatory approval in the U.S.?  A. I think that's well on its way right now.  Q. When do you expect to get it?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Yes, I'm aware that that application has been made.</li> <li>Q. And do you have any awareness of the statutory waiting period for that application to be granted?</li> <li>A. I don't know the exact time.</li> <li>Q. Are there any other regulatory approvals that are required in the United States?</li> <li>A. No, I think that's the one that's been brought to my</li> </ul>
9 Q. You're going to close but you're not going to have 10 regulatory approval or you're going to wait fill you get 11 regulatory approval or close? 12 A. No, we'll have regulatory approval certainly here in the 13 U.S. 14 Q. Do you currently have regulatory approval in the U.S.? 15 A. I think that's well on its way right now. 16 Q. When do you expect to get it? 17 A. Well, we would need the fore we close. 18 Q. Do you have an expectation of when you'll get it? 19 A. Hopfully well have it done by tomorrow. 20 Q. Do you have an expectation of when you'll get it? 21 tomorrow? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Can you tell me what that indication is, please? 24 A. From counsel? 25 Q. From counsel? 26 A. Legal counsel. 27 Q. Your counsel? 28 A. Legal counsel. 29 Q. Your counsel? 30 MR. ARMSTRONG: Hold on one second, please. Thank you. I have an objection, Your Honor, First, we've had several questions relating to the transaction. 4 you. I have an objection, Your Honor, First, we've had several questions relating to the transaction. 5 THE WITNESS: Okay. 6 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 7 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 7 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 7 MR. LAURIA: Well, Your Honor, among other things the relevance of this? 8 MR. LAURIA: Well, Your Honor, among other things the information that I think is necessary to that what the winthous counter the information that I think is necessary to that what the winthous counter that the immentance of that by counsel. 20 And he was informed of that by counsel. 21 And he was informed of that by counsel. 22 And he was informed of that by counsel. 23 A. You counse the counter of the provided that the winthous counter that the immentance of that when the automatic stay with respect to the sale order. 24 And I'm trying to understand, realistically, when a closing might occur, which I think is relevant to that issue. 25 And he was informed of that by counsel. 26 And he was informed of that by counsel. 27 And he was informed of that by counsel. 28 And he was informed of that by counsel. 29 And he was informed of that by co	9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. You're going to close but you're not going to have regulatory approval or you're going to wait till you get regulatory approvals to close?  A. No, we'll have regulatory approval certainly here in the U.S.  Q. Do you currently have regulatory approval in the U.S.?  A. I think that's well on its way right now.  Q. When do you expect to get it?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul><li>Q. And do you have any awareness of the statutory waiting period for that application to be granted?</li><li>A. I don't know the exact time.</li><li>Q. Are there any other regulatory approvals that are required in the United States?</li><li>A. No, I think that's the one that's been brought to my</li></ul>
10 regulatory approval or you're going to wait till you get 11 regulatory approvals to close? 12 A. No, we'll have regulatory approval certainly here in the 13 U.S. 14 Q. Do you currently have regulatory approval in the U.S.? 15 A. I think that's well on its way right now. 16 Q. When do you expect to get it? 17 A. Well, we would need it before we close. 18 Q. Do you have an expectation of when you'll get it? 19 A. Hopefully we'll have it done by tomorrow. 10 Q. Do you have some indication that you're going to have it tomorrow? 11 Interval objection, Your Honor, First, we've had several questions relating to this so the objection is to this line of questioning as to the relevance. And secondly, Mr. Nardelli, please don't divulge communications that you've had with your counsel relating to the transaction. 10 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 11 THE WITNESS: Okay. 12 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 13 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 14 debotor is going to be asked — has asked for relief under 6004 to shorten the automatic stay with respect to the sale order. 15 And I'm trying to understand, realistically, when a closing might foccur, which I think is regulator to paproval will take place. 15 A. I don't know the exact time. 16 Q. Are there any other regulatory approvals that are required in the United States? 16 A. No. I think that's thee on that's been brought to my attention as the, you know, the most significant one. 17 A. No. I think that's the one that's been brought to my attention as the, you know, the most significant one. 18 A. No. I think that's the most that's been brought to my attention as the, you know, the most significant one. 19 C. Your omentioned that when the board meet in the United States? 19 A. Holl that's the one that's been brought to my attention as the, you know, the most significant one. 20 C. Your omentioned that when the board meet ing that right? 21 A. That was April 30. 22 Q. All right, April 30th. 23 A. You said the 29th. 24 A. That is correct. 25 Q. All right, April 30th. 26 A. That was April 30. 27 A. That was A	10 11 12 13 14	regulatory approval or you're going to wait till you get regulatory approvals to close?  A. No, we'll have regulatory approval certainly here in the U.S.  Q. Do you currently have regulatory approval in the U.S.?  A. I think that's well on its way right now.  Q. When do you expect to get it?	10 11 12 13 14 15	period for that application to be granted?  A. I don't know the exact time.  Q. Are there any other regulatory approvals that are required in the United States?  A. No, I think that's the one that's been brought to my
12 A. No, we'll have regulatory approval certainly here in the 13 U.S. 14 Q. Do you currently have regulatory approval in the U.S.? 15 A. I think that's well on its way right now. 16 Q. When do you expect to get it? 17 A. Well, we would need it before we close. 18 Q. Do you have an expectation of when you'll get it? 19 A. Hopefully we'll have it done by tomorrow. 20 Q. Do you have some indication that you're going to have it 21 tomorrow? 21 A. From counsel. 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Can you tell me what that indication is, please? 24 A. From counsel? 25 Q. From counsel? 26 Q. You're counsel? 27 A. Legal counsel. 28 Q. You're counsel? 29 Q. You're counsel? 20 A. Legal counsel. 20 Q. You're counsel? 21 A. That is correct. 234 24 A. From counsel? 25 Q. From counsel? 26 A. Legal counsel way ou've had with you're going to the relevance. And secondly, Mr. Nardelli, please don't divulge communications that you've had with your counsel relating to this so the objection is to this line of questioning as to the relevance. And secondly, Mr. Nardelli, please don't divulge communications that you've had with your counsel relating to the transaction. 29 THE WITNESS: Okay. 30 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 31 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, what is the relevance of this? 31 MR. LAURIA: Well, Your Honor, among other things the debtor is going to be asked – has asked for relief under 6004 to shorten the automatic stay with respect to the sale order. 31 And I'm trying to understand, realistically, when a closing might occur, which I think is necessary to that what the witners alternatives that were talking about to shorten the automatic stay with respect to the sale order. 32 And he was informed of that by counsel. 33 A. You said the 29th. 44 A. From counsel? 45 A. From counsel? 55 A. Well, we're covering a lot of subjects. I'm not sure. 56 And he was informed of that by counsel. 57 A. Well, we're covering a lot of subjects. I'm not sure. 58 A. Well, we're covering a lot of subjects. I'm not sure. 59 And he was informed of that by counsel.	11 12 13 14	regulatory approvals to close?  A. No, we'll have regulatory approval certainly here in the U.S.  Q. Do you currently have regulatory approval in the U.S.?  A. I think that's well on its way right now.  Q. When do you expect to get it?	11 12 13 14 15	A. I don't know the exact time.     Q. Are there any other regulatory approvals that are required in the United States?     A. No, I think that's the one that's been brought to my
12 A. No, we'll have regulatory approval certainly here in the 13 U.S. 14 Q. Do you currently have regulatory approval in the U.S.? 15 A. I think that's well on its way right now. 16 Q. When do you expect to get it? 17 A. Well, we would need it before we close. 18 Q. Do you have an expectation of when you'll get it? 19 A. Hopefully we'll have it done by tomorrow. 20 Q. Do you have some indication that you're going to have it tomorrow? 21 tomorrow? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Can you tell me what that indication is, please? 24 A. From counsel. 25 Q. From counsel? 26 Q. Your counsel? 27 A. Legal counsel? 28 Q. Your counsel? 29 Q. Your counsel? 20 Q. Your counsel? 21 A. Legal counsel. 29 Q. Your counsel? 21 A. Legal counsel. 20 Q. Your counsel? 21 A. Legal counsel. 21 A. Legal counsel. 22 Q. Your counsel? 23 MR. ARMSTRONG: Hold on one second, please. Thank you. I have an objection, Your Honor. First, we've had several questions relating to this so the objection is to this line of questioning as to the relevance. And secondly, Mr. Nardelli, please don't divulge communications that you've had with your counsel relating to the transaction. 29 THE WITNESS: Okay. 20 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 21 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, what is the relevance of this? 21 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 22 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 23 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 24 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 25 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 26 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 27 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 28 MR. LAURIA: Well, Your Honor, among other things the debtor is going to be asked — has asked for relief under 6004 to shorten the automatic stay with respect to the sale order. 28 MR. LAURIA: Well, Your Honor, among other things the debtor is going to be asked — has asked for relief under 6004 to shorten the automatic stay with respect to the sale order. 29 And I'm trying to understand, realistically, when a closing might occur, which I think is relevant to that issue. 20 And or stricturing plan or to liquidate. 21 And he was informed of that by counsel. 22 And he was informed of that by counsel. 23 And h	12 13 14	A. No, we'll have regulatory approval certainly here in the U.S.  Q. Do you currently have regulatory approval in the U.S.?  A. I think that's well on its way right now.  Q. When do you expect to get it?	12 13 14 15	<ul><li>Q. Are there any other regulatory approvals that are required in the United States?</li><li>A. No, I think that's the one that's been brought to my</li></ul>
13 U.S. 14 Q. Do you currently have regulatory approval in the U.S.? 15 A. I think that's well on its way right now. 16 Q. When do you expect to get it? 17 A. Well, we would need it before we close. 18 Q. Do you have an expectation of when you'll get it? 19 A. Hopefully well have it done by tomorrow. 20 Q. Do you have some indication that you're going to have it tomorrow? 21 tomorrow? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Can you tell me what that indication is, please? 24 A. From counsel. 25 Q. From counsel? 26 Q. Your counsel? 27 A. Legal counsel. 28 Q. Your counsel? 29 Q. Your counsel? 20 Q. Your counsel? 21 A. Legal counsel. 29 Q. Your counsel? 20 Q. Your counsel? 21 A. Legal counsel. 29 Q. Your counsel? 20 Q. Your counsel? 21 A. Legal counsel. 29 Q. Your counsel? 20 Q. Your counsel? 21 A. Legal counsel. 29 Q. Your counsel? 20 Q. Your counsel? 21 A. Well, we had seeveral questions relating to this so the objection is to this line of questioning as to the relevance. And secondly, Mr. 20 Nardelli, please don't divulge communications that you've had with your counsel relating to the transaction. 20 Your counsel relating to the transaction. 21 A. Legal counsel. 22 Q. Your counsel? 23 MR. ARMSTRONG: Hold on one second, please. Thank you. I have an objection, Your Honor. First, we've had several questions relating to this so the objection is to this line of questioning as to the relevance. And secondly, Mr. 23 Nordelli, please don't divulge communications that you've had with your counsel relating to the transaction. 29 Q. I'm going back over your testimony where you were characterizing what your options were at the April 30th board meeting where you testified that you had two choices, to pursue a restructuring plan, is that correct? 20 A. Well, we had three alternatives that were submitted; the standalone plan, is that your question? 29 Q. I'm going back over your testimony where you were characterizing what your options were at the April 30th board meeting where you testified that you had two choices, to pursue a restructuring plan	13 14	U.S.  Q. Do you currently have regulatory approval in the U.S.?  A. I think that's well on its way right now.  Q. When do you expect to get it?	13 14 15	in the United States?  A. No, I think that's the one that's been brought to my
14 Q. Do you currently have regulatory approval in the U.S.? 15 A. I think that's well on its way right now. 16 Q. When do you expect to get it? 17 A. Well, we would need it before we close. 18 Q. Do you have an expectation of when you'll get it? 19 A. Hopefully we'll have it done by tomorrow. 20 Q. Do you have some indication that you're going to have it tomorrow? 21 tomorrow? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Can you tell me what that indication is, please? 24 A. From counsel. 25 Q. From counsel? 26 Q. Your counsel? 27 Q. Your counsel? 28 W. A. Legal counsel. 29 Q. Your counsel? 30 MR. ARMSTRONG: Hold on one second, please. Thank you. I have an objection, Your Honor. First, we've had several questions relating to this so the objection is to this line of questioning as to the relevance. And secondly, Mr. 29 Nardelli, please don't divulge communications that you've had with your counsel relating to the transaction. 30 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 31 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, what is the relevance of this? 32 MR. LAURIA: Well, Your Honor, among other things the to shorten the automatic stay with respect to the sale order. 31 A. Hat was a brill that indication is, please? 32 A. Yes. 32 Q. On you tell me what that indication is, please? 33 MR. ARMSTRONG: Hold on one second, please. Thank you. I have an objection, Your Honor. First, we've had several questions relating to this so the objection is to this line of questioning as to the relevance. And secondly, Mr. 34 No. I think that's the one that's been the board met on April 29th, I is that right? 35 A. Yes. 36 A. Yes. 39 Q. You counsel gave you the minutes from the board meeting to the ransaction. 30 Q. You said the 29th. 31 A. That is correct. 32 Q. And earlier you testified that at the time you had two choices, either to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate, is that correct? 4 A. Well, we had three alternatives that were submitted; the standalone plan, is that your question? 4 A. No. That is correct. 5 Q. You chose not to liquidate. 6 A. Yes, we did. 7 Q. You chose not	14	<ul><li>Q. Do you currently have regulatory approval in the U.S.?</li><li>A. I think that's well on its way right now.</li><li>Q. When do you expect to get it?</li></ul>	14 15	A. No, I think that's the one that's been brought to my
15 A. I think that's well on its way right now. 16 Q. When do you expect to get it? 17 A. Well, we would need it before we close. 18 Q. Do you have an expectation of when you'll get it? 19 A. Hopefully we'll have it done by tomorrow. 20 Q. Do you have some indication that you're going to have it tomorrow? 21 tomorrow? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Can you tell me what that indication is, please? 24 A. From counsel. 25 Q. From counsel? 26 Q. From counsel? 27 A. Legal counsel. 29 Q. Your counsel? 29 Wour counsel? 20 Q. Your counsel? 21 A. Legal counsel. 29 Q. Your counsel? 20 Q. And earlier you testified that at the time you had two choices, either to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate, is that correct? 29 A. Narelli, please don't divulge communications that you've had with your counsel relating to the transaction. 29 THE WITNESS: Okay. 20 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 21 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, what is the relevance of this? 21 MR. LAURIA: Well, Your Honor, among other things the debtor is going to be asked – has asked for relief under 6004 to shorten the automatic stay with respect to the sale order. 20 And you chose the restructuring plan, is that correct? 31 MR. LAURIA: Well, Your Honor, among other things the debtor is going to be asked – has asked for relief under 6004 to shorten the automatic stay with respect to the sale order. 32 A. That is correct. 33 A. That is correct. 34 A. That is correct. 35 A. Well, we had three alternatives that were submitted; the standalone plan, is that your question? 36 A. No. 37 Q. No. 38 A. No. 39 THE WITNESS: Okay. 40 And you chose the restructuring plan, is that correct? 41 A. That's correct. 42 Q. And you chose the restructuring plan, is that correct? 43 A. No. 44 A. That's correct. 45 And I'm trying to understand, realistically, when a closing might occur, which I think is necessary to that what the the information that I think is necessary to that what the the information of that I think is necessary to that what the what the information that I think is necessary to that		A. I think that's well on its way right now.  Q. When do you expect to get it?	15	
16 Q. When do you expect to get it?  17 A. Well, we would need it before we close.  18 Q. Do you have an expectation of when you'll get it?  19 A. Hopefully we'll have it done by tomorrow.  20 Q. Do you have some indication that you're going to have it tomorrow?  21 tomorrow?  22 A. Yes.  23 Q. Can you tell me what that indication is, please?  24 A. From counsel.  25 Q. From counsel?  26 Q. Your counsel?  27 A. Legal counsel?  28 Q. Your counsel?  29 Q. And earlier you testified that at the time you had two choices, either to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate, the standalone plan, is that your question?  28 A. Nardelli, please don't divulge communications that you've had with your counsel relating to the transaction.  29 THE WITNESS: Okay.  30 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry.  41 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, what is the relevance of this?  42 The Court of this?  43 MR. LAURIA: Well, Your Honor, among other things the debtor is going to be asked — has asked for relief under 6004.  44 And I'm trying to understand, realistically, when a closing might occur, which I think is relevant to that issue.  45 THE COURT: All right. I think you got — you have we're talking about today, is that correct?  46 And I'm trying to understand, realistically, when a closing might occur, which I think is recessary to that what the winters believes when this regulatory approval will take place.  46 And he was informed of that by counsel.  47 A. That was, that you made the decision to file Chapter 11, is that corrent?  48 A. I don't know. Do you have the document?  49 Q. Your counsel gave you the minutes from the board meeting the targing.  49 A. I don't know. Do you have the document?  40 A. That was April 30.  40 A. That was April 30.  40 A. That was April 30.  41 A. That is correct.  42 Q. And arilier you testified that at the time you had two choices, either to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate.  41 A. That is correct.  42 Q. And arilier you testified that at the time you had two choices, to pursue a restructuring pla		Q. When do you expect to get it?		
17 A. Well, we would need it before we close. 18 Q. Do you have an expectation of when you'll get it? 19 A. Hopefully we'll have it done by tomorrow. 20 Q. Do you have some indication that you're going to have it tomorrow? 21 A. Yes. 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Can you tell me what that indication is, please? 24 A. From counsel. 25 Q. From counsel? 26 Q. Form counsel? 27 A. Legal counsel. 28 Q. Your counsel? 29 Q. Your counsel? 20 Q. The word in the second please. Thank you. I have an objection, Your Honor. First, we've had several questions relating to this so the objection is to this line of questioning as to the relevance. And secondly, Mr. 29 Nardelli, please don't divulge communications that you've had with your counsel relating to the transaction. 20 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 21 THE WITNESS: Okay. 22 A. Well, we had three alternatives that were submitted; the standalone plan, is that your question? 29 A. Well, we had three alternatives that were you were characterizing what your options were at the April 30th you connect elating to the transaction. 21 A. That is correct. 22 Q. And earlier you testified that at the time you had two choices, either to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate, is that correct? 3 A. Well, we had three alternatives that were submitted; the standalone plan, is that your question? 3 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 3 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 4 Condition on esecond, please. Thank you for counsel relating to the transaction. 4 With your counsel relating to the transaction. 5 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 5 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 6 Condition on esecond, please. Thank you for counsel relating to the transaction. 6 In the word of this word of the account of the properties of the pro	15			•
18 Q. Do you have an expectation of when you'll get it? 19 A. Hopefully we'll have it done by tomorrow. 20 Q. Do you have some indication that you're going to have it tomorrow? 21 tomorrow? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Can you tell me what that indication is, please? 24 A. From counsel. 25 Q. From counsel? 26 A. Legal counsel. 27 Q. Your counsel? 28 A. Res. 29 Q. From counsel? 29 A. That was April 30. 20 Prom counsel? 21 A. That is correct. 29 Q. And earlier you testified that at the time you had two choices, either to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate, is that correct? 29 Q. And earlier you testified that at the time you had two choices, either to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate, is that correct? 29 Q. And earlier you testified that at the time you had two choices, either to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate, is that correct? 30 Q. Your counsel? 31 A. That is correct. 32 Q. And earlier you testified that at the time you had two choices, either to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate, is that correct? 4 Q. No. 4 Well, we had three alternatives that were submitted; the standalone plan, is that your question? 4 Q. I'm going back over your testimony where you were characterizing what your options were at the April 30th board meeting on the power of this? 4 Q. I'm sorry then. At the board meeting on April 30th you made a decision to file Chapter 11, is that correct? 4 Q. And earlier you testified that at the time you had two choices, either to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate, is that right? 5 A. Well, we had three alternatives that were submitted; the standalone plan, is that your question? 7 Q. No. 8 A. No. 9 Q. I'm going back over your testimony where you were characterizing what your options were at the April 30th board meeting on the power of this? 9 Q. I'm going back over your testimony where you were a restructuring plan or to liquidate. And I just want to make a debtor is going to be asked — has asked for relief under 6004 to shorter. 10 Q. You chose not to liquidate. 1		A Well we would need it before we close		Q. You mentioned that when the board met on April 29th, I
19 A. Hopefully we'll have it done by tomorrow. 20 Q. Do you have some indication that you're going to have it tomorrow? 21 A. Yes. 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Can you tell me what that indication is, please? 24 A. From counsel. 25 Q. From counsel? 26 A. Legal counsel. 27 Q. Your counsel? 28 A. That was April 30. 29 Q. From counsel? 29 Q. From counsel? 20 Q. Your counsel the board meeting on April 30th you made a decision to file Chapter 11, is that correct? 29 Q. Your counsel? 29 Q. Your counsel? 20 Q. Your counsel? 20 Q. Your counsel? 21 A. That was April 30. 22 Q. All right, April 30th. 23 A. You said the 29th. 24 Q. I'm sorry then. At the board meeting on April 30th you made a decision to file Chapter 11, is that correct? 29 Q. And earlier you testified that at the time you had two choices, either to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate, is that correct? 29 Q. And earlier you testified that at the time you had two choices, either to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate, is that correct? 30 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 31 THE WITNESS: Okay. 42 Q. I'm sorry then. At the board meeting on April 30th you made a decision to file Chapter 11, is that correct? 43 A. That is correct. 44 Q. I'm sorry then. At the board meeting on April 30th you made a decision to file Chapter 11, is that correct? 45 A. Well, we had three alternatives that were submitted; the standalone plan, is that your question? 46 A. No. 47 Q. No. 48 A. No. 49 Q. I'm going back over your testimony where you were characterizing what your options were at the April 30th board meeting where you testified that you had two choices, to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate. 40 A. That is correct. 51 A. Well, we had three alternatives that were submitted; the standalone plan, is that your question? 52 A. Yell, we had three alternatives that were submitted; the standalone plan, is that your question? 53 A. Yell, we had three alternatives that were submitted; the standalone plan, is that your options were at the April 30th board meeting on to be liquidate.		·		•
20 Q. Do you have some indication that you're going to have it tomorrow? 21 A. Yes. 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Can you tell me what that indication is, please? 24 A. From counsel. 25 Q. From counsel? 26 Q. From counsel? 27 Your counsel? 28 A. Legal counsel. 29 Q. Your counsel? 29 Q. Your counsel? 20 Q. From counsel? 20 Q. I'm sorry then. At the board meeting on April 30th you made a decision to file Chapter 11, is that correct? 29 Q. Your counsel? 29 Q. Your counsel? 20 Q. Your counsel? 20 Q. Your counsel? 21 A. That is correct. 22 Q. I'm sorry then. At the board meeting on April 30th you made a decision to file Chapter 11, is that correct? 29 Q. And earlier you testified that at the time you had two choices, either to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate, is that correct? 30 A. Well, we had three alternatives that were submitted; the standalone plan, is that your question? 41 A. That is correct. 42 Q. I'm sorry then. At the board meeting on April 30th you made a decision to file Chapter 11, is that correct? 43 A. That is correct. 44 Q. I'm sorry then. At the board meeting on April 30th you made a decision to file Chapter 11, is that correct? 45 A. Well, we had three alternatives that the time you had two choices, either to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate, is that correct? 46 A. Well, we had three alternatives that were submitted; the standalone plan, is that your question? 47 Q. No. 48 A. No. 49 Q. I'm going back over your testimony where you were characterizing what your options were at the April 30th board meeting on April 30th, 20 And a restructuring plan or to liquidate. 49 A. That is correct. 40 A. That is correct. 40 A. Well, we had three alternatives that were submitted; the standalone plan, is that your question? 41 A. That is correct. 41 A. That is correct. 42 Q. No. 43 A. No. 44 A. That is correct. 44 A. Well, we had three alternatives that were submitted; the standalone plan, is that your question? 45 A. Well, we had three alternatives that the time you had two choices, the principle of the				is that right?
21 tomorrow? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Can you tell me what that indication is, please? 24 A. From counsel. 25 Q. From counsel? 26 Q. Your counsel? 27 A. Legal counsel. 28 Q. Your counsel? 29 Q. Your counsel? 29 Q. Your counsel? 20 Your counsel? 20 Your counsel? 21 A. That is correct. 22 Q. And earlier you testified that at the time you had two standalone plan, is that your question? 29 Your counsel relating to this so the objection is to this line of questioning as to the relevance. And secondly, Mr. 20 Nardelli, please don't divulge communications that you've had with your counsel relating to the transaction. 20 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 21 THE WITNESS: Okay. 21 MR. LARIA: Well, Your Honor, among other things the debtor is going to be asked has asked for relief under 6004 to shorten the automatic stay with respect to the sale order. 21 And I'm trying to understand, realistically, when a closing might occur, which I think is relevant to that issue. 22 M. All right. Art is board meeting on April 30th you made a decision to file Chapter 11, is that correct? 22 Q. And earlier you testified that at the time you had two choices, either to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate, is that correct? 25 A. Well, we had three alternatives that were submitted; the standalone plan, is that your question? 26 A. No. 27 O. No. 28 A. No. 29 O. I'm going back over your testimony where you were characterizing what your options were at the April 30th board meeting on to liquidate. And I just want to make sure I got that right. 28 A. That's correct. 29 And you chose the restructuring plan, is that correct? 30 A. That's correct. 31 A. That was April 30th. 32 A. You said the 29th. 32 A. You said the 29th. 32 A. Well, we had three alternatives that the time you had two choices, either to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate. 31 A. That's correct. 32 A. Well, we had three alternatives that we is that detire you had two choices, to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate. 33 A. No. 34 A. No. 35 A. No. 36 A. No. 37 O. Promodion tha		* *		•
22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Can you tell me what that indication is, please? 24 A. From counsel. 25 Q. From counsel? 26 A. Legal counsel. 27 Q. Your counsel? 28 MR. ARMSTRONG: Hold on one second, please. Thank you. I have an objection, Your Honor. First, we've had several questioning as to the relevance. And secondly, Mr. 28 Nardelli, please don't divulge communications that you've had with your counsel relating to the transaction. 29 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 29 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 29 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 20 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 21 MR. LAURIA: Well, Your Honor, among other things the debtor is going to be asked has asked for relief under 6004 to shorten the automatic stay with respect to the sale order. 20 And printrying to understand, realistically, when a closing might occur, which I think is relevant to that issue. 21 THE COURT: All right. I think you got you have the information that I think is relevant to that what the witness believes when this regulatory approval will take place. 21 And he was informed of that by counsel. 22 Q. All right, April 30th. 23 A. You said the 29th. 24 Q. I'm sorry then. At the board meeting on April 30th you made a decision to file Chapter 11, is that correct? 24 Q. And earlier you testified that at the time you had two choices, either to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate, is that correct? 3 A. You said the 29th. 24 Q. I'm sorry then. At the board meeting on April 30th you made a decision to file Chapter 11, is that correct? 4 A. Well, we had three alternatives that whe time you had two choices, either to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate, is that correct? 4 A. No. 9 Q. I'm going back over your testimony where you were characterizing what your options were at the April 30th board meeting where you testified that at the time you had two choices, either to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate. 4 A. No. 9 Q. I'm going back over your testimony where you were characterizing what your options were at the April 30th board meeting where you testified that at the				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Q. Can you tell me what that indication is, please?  A. From counsel.  Q. From counsel?  A. Legal counsel.  Q. Your counsel?  A. That is correct.  Q. And earlier you testified that at the time you had two choices, either to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate, is that correct?  A. Well, we had three alternatives that were submitted; the standalone plan, is that your question?  A. No.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry.  THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, what is the relevance of this?  MR. LAURIA: Well, Your Honor, among other things the debtor is going to be asked — has asked for relief under 6004  MR. Mander in trying to understand, realistically, when a closing might occur, which I think is relevant to that issue.  THE COURT: All right. I think you got — you have the information that I think is relevant to that what the witness believes when this regulatory approval will take place.  And he was informed of that by counsel.				*
A. From counsel.  Q. From counsel?  234  A. Legal counsel.  Q. Your counsel?  3 MR. ARMSTRONG: Hold on one second, please. Thank you. I have an objection, Your Honor. First, we've had several questions relating to this so the objection is to this line of questioning as to the relevance. And secondly, Mr.  Nardelli, please don't divulge communications that you've had with your counsel relating to the transaction.  MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry.  THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, what is the relevance of this?  MR. LAURIA: Well, Your Honor, among other things the debtor is going to be asked — has asked for relief under 6004 to shorten the automatic stay with respect to the sale order.  And I'm trying to understand, realistically, when a closing might occur, which I think is relevant to that issue.  THE COURT: All right. I think you got — you have the information that I think is necessary to that what the witness believes when this regulatory approval will take place.  24 Q. I'm sorry then. At the board meeting on April 30th you made a decision to file Chapter 11, is that correct?  25 Q. And earlier you testified that at the time you had two choices, either to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate, is that correct?  A. Well, we had three alternatives that were submitted; the standalone plan, is that your question?  7 Q. No.  8 A. No.  9 Q. I'm going back over your testimony where you were characterizing what your options were at the April 30th board meeting where you testified that you had two choices, to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate. And I just want to make sure I got that right.  A. That's correct.  Q. And you chose the restructuring plan, is that correct?  A. Yes, we did.  Q. You chose not to liquidate.  Q. You chose not to liquidate.  Q. And a restructuring plan is what we're talking about today, is that correct?  A. Well, we're covering a lot of subjects. I'm not sure.				
234 234 236  1 A. Legal counsel. 2 Q. Your counsel? 3 MR. ARMSTRONG: Hold on one second, please. Thank 4 you. I have an objection, Your Honor. First, we've had 5 several questions relating to this so the objection is to this 6 line of questioning as to the relevance. And secondly, Mr. 7 Nardelli, please don't divulge communications that you've had 8 with your counsel relating to the transaction. 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. 10 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 11 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, what is the 12 relevance of this? 13 MR. LAURIA: Well, Your Honor, among other things the 14 debtor is going to be asked — has asked for relief under 6004 15 to shorten the automatic stay with respect to the sale order. 16 And I'm trying to understand, realistically, when a closing 17 might occur, which I think is relevant to that issue. 18 THE COURT: All right. I think you got — you have 19 the information that I think is necessary to that what the 20 witness believes when this regulatory approval will take place. 21 And he was informed of that by counsel. 25 made a decision to file Chapter 11, is that correct?  2 Q. And earlier you testified that at the time you had two choices, either to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate, is that correct?  A. Well, we had three alternatives that were submitted; the standalone plan, is that your question?  7 Q. No. 8 A. No. 9 L'm going back over your testimony where you were characterizing what your options were at the April 30th board meeting where you testified that you had two choices, to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate. And I just want to make sure I got that right.  14 A. That's correct.  9 Q. And you chose the restructuring plan, is that correct?  15 Q. And you chose not to liquidate.  17 Q. You chose not to liquidate.  18 A. Well, we chose not to liquidate.  19 Q. And a restructuring plan is what we're talking about today, is that correct?  20 A. Well, we'ne covering a lot of subjects. I'm not sure.				
1 A. Legal counsel. 2 Q. Your counsel? 3 MR. ARMSTRONG: Hold on one second, please. Thank 4 you. I have an objection, Your Honor. First, we've had 5 several questions relating to this so the objection is to this 6 line of questioning as to the relevance. And secondly, Mr. 7 Nardelli, please don't divulge communications that you've had 8 with your counsel relating to the transaction. 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. 10 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 11 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, what is the relevance of this? 12 relevance of this? 13 MR. LAURIA: Well, Your Honor, among other things the debtor is going to be asked — has asked for relief under 6004 15 to shorten the automatic stay with respect to the sale order. 16 And I'm trying to understand, realistically, when a closing might occur, which I think is relevant to that issue. 17 THE COURT: All right. I think you got — you have the information that I think is necessary to that what the witness believes when this regulatory approval will take place. 21 And he was informed of that by counsel. 23 A. Well, we're covering a lot of subjects. I'm not sure.				
1 A. Legal counsel. 2 Q. Your counsel? 3 MR. ARMSTRONG: Hold on one second, please. Thank 4 you. I have an objection, Your Honor. First, we've had 5 several questions relating to this so the objection is to this 6 line of questioning as to the relevance. And secondly, Mr. 7 Nardelli, please don't divulge communications that you've had 8 with your counsel relating to the transaction. 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. 10 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 11 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, what is the 12 relevance of this? 13 MR. LAURIA: Well, Your Honor, among other things the 14 debtor is going to be asked has asked for relief under 6004 15 to shorten the automatic stay with respect to the sale order. 16 And I'm trying to understand, realistically, when a closing 17 might occur, which I think is relevant to that issue. 18 THE COURT: All right. I think you got you have 19 the information that I think is necessary to that what the 20 witness believes when this regulatory approval will take place. 21 And he was informed of that by counsel.  1 A. That is correct. 2 Q. And earlier you testified that at the time you had two choices, either to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate, is that correct?  A. Well, we had three alternatives that were submitted; the standalone plan, is that your question?  7 Q. No. 8 A. No. 9 Q. I'm going back over your testimony where you were characterizing what your options were at the April 30th board meeting where you testified that you had two choices, to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate. And I just want to make sure I got that right.  1 A. That is correct? 1 A. That's correct? 1 A. That's correct. 1 A. That's correct. 1 A. That's correct. 1 A. That's correct. 1 A. Yes, we did. 1 A. That's correct. 1 A. Yes, we did. 1 A. That's correct. 1 A. Yes, we did. 1 A. That is correct? 2 A. We chose not to liquidate. 2 A. Yes chose not to liquidate. 2 A. We chose not to liquidate. 3 A. We chose not to liquidate. 3 A. We chose not to liquidate. 3 A. We chose not to liquidate. 4 A. We chose not to l	25	~	25	<u>^</u>
Q. Your counsel?  MR. ARMSTRONG: Hold on one second, please. Thank you. I have an objection, Your Honor. First, we've had several questions relating to this so the objection is to this line of questioning as to the relevance. And secondly, Mr. Nardelli, please don't divulge communications that you've had with your counsel relating to the transaction. THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry.  THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, what is the  MR. LAURIA: Well, Your Honor, among other things the debtor is going to be asked has asked for relief under 6004  And I'm trying to understand, realistically, when a closing might occur, which I think is relevant to that issue.  THE COURT: All right. I think you got you have the information that I think is necessary to that what the witness believes when this regulatory approval will take place.  And he was informed of that by counsel.  And he was informed of that by counsel.  And He was informed of that by counsel.		234		230
Q. Your counsel?  MR. ARMSTRONG: Hold on one second, please. Thank you. I have an objection, Your Honor. First, we've had several questions relating to this so the objection is to this line of questioning as to the relevance. And secondly, Mr. Nardelli, please don't divulge communications that you've had with your counsel relating to the transaction. THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry.  MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry.  THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, what is the  Reduction of the automatic stay with respect to the sale order.  And I'm trying to understand, realistically, when a closing might occur, which I think is relevant to that issue.  THE COURT: All right. I think you got you have the information that I think is regulatory approval will take place. And he was informed of that by counsel.  A. Well, we had three alternatives that were submitted; the standalone plan, is that your question?  A. Well, we had three alternatives that were submitted; the standalone plan, is that your question?  A. Well, we had three alternatives that were submitted; the standalone plan, is that your question?  Q. No.  A. No.  Q. I'm going back over your testimony where you were characterizing what your options were at the April 30th board meeting where you testified that at the time you had two choices, to pursue a restructuring what your question?  A. No.  A. No.  A. No.  A. No.  You fram going back over your testimony where you were characterizing what your options were at the April 30th board meeting where you testified that you had two choices, to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate. And I just want to make sure I got that right.  A. That's correct.  Q. And you chose the restructuring plan, is that correct?  A. Yes, we did.  A. Yes, we did.  A. We chose not to liquidate.  A. Well, we're covering a lot of subjects. I'm not sure.	1	A Legal counsel	1	A That is correct
MR. ARMSTRONG: Hold on one second, please. Thank you. I have an objection, Your Honor. First, we've had several questions relating to this so the objection is to this line of questioning as to the relevance. And secondly, Mr. Nardelli, please don't divulge communications that you've had with your counsel relating to the transaction.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry.  MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry.  THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, what is the  Rh. LAURIA: Well, Your Honor, among other things the debtor is going to be asked has asked for relief under 6004 MR. And I'm trying to understand, realistically, when a closing might occur, which I think is relevant to that issue.  THE COURT: All right. I think you got you have the information that I think is necessary to that what the witness believes when this regulatory approval will take place. And he was informed of that by counsel.  A. Well, we had three alternatives that were submitted; the standalone plan, is that your question?  A. Well, we had three alternatives that were submitted; the standalone plan, is that your question?  A. Well, we had three alternatives that were submitted; the standalone plan, is that your question?  A. Well, we had three alternatives that were submitted; the standalone plan, is that your question?  Q. No.  A. No.  Q. I'm going back over your testimony where you were characterizing what your options were at the April 30th board meeting where you testified that you had two choices, to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate. And I just want to make sure I got that right.  A. That's correct.  Q. And you chose the restructuring plan, is that correct? A. Yes, we did.  Q. You chose not to liquidate?  A. We chose not to liquidate.  A. We chose not to liquidate.  A. We chose not to liquidate.  A. Well, we're covering a lot of subjects. I'm not sure.		-		
4 you. I have an objection, Your Honor. First, we've had 5 several questions relating to this so the objection is to this 6 line of questioning as to the relevance. And secondly, Mr. 7 Nardelli, please don't divulge communications that you've had 8 with your counsel relating to the transaction. 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. 10 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 11 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, what is the 12 relevance of this? 13 MR. LAURIA: Well, Your Honor, among other things the 14 debtor is going to be asked — has asked for relief under 6004 15 to shorten the automatic stay with respect to the sale order. 16 And I'm trying to understand, realistically, when a closing 17 might occur, which I think is relevant to that issue. 18 THE COURT: All right. I think you got — you have 19 the information that I think is necessary to that what the 20 witness believes when this regulatory approval will take place. 21 And he was informed of that by counsel.  4 is that correct?  5 A. Well, we had three alternatives that were submitted; the standalone plan, is that your question?  7 Q. No.  8 A. No.  9 Q. I'm going back over your testimony where you were characterizing what your options were at the April 30th board meeting where you testified that you had two choices, to pursue 12 a restructuring plan or to liquidate. And I just want to make 13 sure I got that right. 14 A. That's correct. 15 Q. And you chose the restructuring plan, is that correct? 16 A. Yes, we did. 17 Q. You chose not to liquidate? 18 A. We chose not to liquidate. 19 Q. And a restructuring plan is what we're talking about vitness believes when this regulatory approval will take place. 20 today, is that correct? 21 A. Well, we're covering a lot of subjects. I'm not sure.				
5 several questions relating to this so the objection is to this 6 line of questioning as to the relevance. And secondly, Mr. 7 Nardelli, please don't divulge communications that you've had 8 with your counsel relating to the transaction. 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. 10 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. 11 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, what is the 12 relevance of this? 13 MR. LAURIA: Well, Your Honor, among other things the 14 debtor is going to be asked has asked for relief under 6004 15 to shorten the automatic stay with respect to the sale order. 16 And I'm trying to understand, realistically, when a closing 17 MR COURT: All right. I think you got you have 18 THE COURT: All right. I think you got you have 19 the information that I think is necessary to that what the 20 witness believes when this regulatory approval will take place. 21 And he was informed of that by counsel. 22 A. Well, we had three alternatives that were submitted; the standalone plan, is that your question?  7 Q. No.  8 A. No.  9 Q. I'm going back over your testimony where you were 10 characterizing what your options were at the April 30th board 11 meeting where you testified that you had two choices, to pursue 12 a restructuring plan or to liquidate. And I just want to make 13 sure I got that right. 14 A. That's correct. 15 Q. And you chose the restructuring plan, is that correct? 16 A. Yes, we did. 17 Q. You chose not to liquidate? 18 A. We chose not to liquidate. 19 Q. And a restructuring plan is what we're talking about 20 witness believes when this regulatory approval will take place. 21 And he was informed of that by counsel.				
line of questioning as to the relevance. And secondly, Mr.  Nardelli, please don't divulge communications that you've had  with your counsel relating to the transaction.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry.  THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, what is the  relevance of this?  MR. LAURIA: Well, Your Honor, among other things the  debtor is going to be asked has asked for relief under 6004  And I'm trying to understand, realistically, when a closing  might occur, which I think is relevant to that issue.  THE COURT: All right. I think you got you have  the information that I think is necessary to that what the  witness believes when this regulatory approval will take place.  And He was informed of that by counsel.  destroic in the automatic stay with respect to the sale order.  And I meting where you testified that you had two choices, to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate. And I just want to make  sure I got that right.  A. That's correct.  Q. And you chose the restructuring plan, is that correct?  A. Yes, we did.  Q. You chose not to liquidate?  A. We chose not to liquidate.  Q. And a restructuring plan is what we're talking about today, is that correct?  And he was informed of that by counsel.		·		
Nardelli, please don't divulge communications that you've had  8 with your counsel relating to the transaction.  9 THE WITNESS: Okay.  10 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry.  11 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, what is the 12 relevance of this?  13 MR. LAURIA: Well, Your Honor, among other things the 14 debtor is going to be asked has asked for relief under 6004 15 to shorten the automatic stay with respect to the sale order. 16 And I'm trying to understand, realistically, when a closing 17 might occur, which I think is relevant to that issue. 18 THE COURT: All right. I think you got you have 19 the information that I think is necessary to that what the 20 witness believes when this regulatory approval will take place. 21 And he was informed of that by counsel.  7 Q. No.  8 A. No.  9 Q. I'm going back over your testimony where you were characterizing what your options were at the April 30th board meeting where you testified that you had two choices, to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate. And I just want to make sure I got that right.  4 A. That's correct.  15 Q. And you chose the restructuring plan, is that correct?  A. Yes, we did.  17 Q. You chose not to liquidate?  18 A. We chose not to liquidate.  20 And a restructuring plan is what we're talking about today, is that correct?  A. Well, we're covering a lot of subjects. I'm not sure.				
with your counsel relating to the transaction.  9 THE WITNESS: Okay.  10 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry.  11 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, what is the 12 relevance of this?  13 MR. LAURIA: Well, Your Honor, among other things the 14 debtor is going to be asked has asked for relief under 6004 15 to shorten the automatic stay with respect to the sale order. 16 And I'm trying to understand, realistically, when a closing 17 might occur, which I think is relevant to that issue. 18 THE COURT: All right. I think you got you have 19 the information that I think is necessary to that what the 20 witness believes when this regulatory approval will take place. 21 And he was informed of that by counsel.  8 A. No.  9 Q. I'm going back over your testimony where you were 10 characterizing what your options were at the April 30th board 11 meeting where you testified that you had two choices, to pursue 12 a restructuring plan or to liquidate. And I just want to make 13 sure I got that right. 14 A. That's correct. 15 Q. And you chose the restructuring plan, is that correct? 16 A. Yes, we did. 17 Q. You chose not to liquidate? 18 A. We chose not to liquidate. 19 Q. And a restructuring plan is what we're talking about 19 Voday, is that correct? 20 A. Well, we're covering a lot of subjects. I'm not sure.			7	
THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry.  THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, what is the relevance of this?  MR. LAURIA: Well, Your Honor, among other things the debtor is going to be asked has asked for relief under 6004 to shorten the automatic stay with respect to the sale order. And I'm trying to understand, realistically, when a closing might occur, which I think is relevant to that issue.  THE COURT: All right. I think you got you have the information that I think is necessary to that what the witness believes when this regulatory approval will take place. And he was informed of that by counsel.  PQ. I'm going back over your testimony where you were characterizing what your options were at the April 30th board meeting where you testified that you had two choices, to pursue a restructuring plan or to liquidate. And I just want to make  12 a restructuring plan or to liquidate. And I just want to make 13 sure I got that right.  4. That's correct. 15 Q. And you chose the restructuring plan, is that correct? A. Yes, we did. 17 Q. You chose not to liquidate? 18 A. We chose not to liquidate. 19 Q. And a restructuring plan is what we're talking about 20 witness believes when this regulatory approval will take place. 21 And he was informed of that by counsel.  In mot sure.		•	8	-
MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry.  10			9	
THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, what is the relevance of this?  MR. LAURIA: Well, Your Honor, among other things the debtor is going to be asked has asked for relief under 6004 to shorten the automatic stay with respect to the sale order. And I'm trying to understand, realistically, when a closing might occur, which I think is relevant to that issue. THE COURT: All right. I think you got you have the information that I think is necessary to that what the witness believes when this regulatory approval will take place. And he was informed of that by counsel.	10	•	10	
relevance of this?  MR. LAURIA: Well, Your Honor, among other things the debtor is going to be asked has asked for relief under 6004  to shorten the automatic stay with respect to the sale order.  And I'm trying to understand, realistically, when a closing might occur, which I think is relevant to that issue.  THE COURT: All right. I think you got you have the information that I think is necessary to that what the witness believes when this regulatory approval will take place.  And he was informed of that by counsel.	11	·	11	meeting where you testified that you had two choices, to pursue
MR. LAURIA: Well, Your Honor, among other things the debtor is going to be asked has asked for relief under 6004 to shorten the automatic stay with respect to the sale order. And I'm trying to understand, realistically, when a closing might occur, which I think is relevant to that issue.  THE COURT: All right. I think you got you have the information that I think is necessary to that what the witness believes when this regulatory approval will take place. And he was informed of that by counsel.  Is sure I got that right. A. That's correct.  A. Yes, we did.  P. You chose not to liquidate?  A. We chose not to liquidate.  P. And a restructuring plan is what we're talking about today, is that correct?  And he was informed of that by counsel.		,		
debtor is going to be asked has asked for relief under 6004  15 to shorten the automatic stay with respect to the sale order.  16 And I'm trying to understand, realistically, when a closing  17 might occur, which I think is relevant to that issue.  18 THE COURT: All right. I think you got you have  19 the information that I think is necessary to that what the  20 witness believes when this regulatory approval will take place.  21 And he was informed of that by counsel.  14 A. That's correct.  15 Q. And you chose the restructuring plan, is that correct?  A. Yes, we did.  17 Q. You chose not to liquidate?  18 A. We chose not to liquidate.  19 Q. And a restructuring plan is what we're talking about today, is that correct?  21 A. Well, we're covering a lot of subjects. I'm not sure.				
to shorten the automatic stay with respect to the sale order.  And I'm trying to understand, realistically, when a closing might occur, which I think is relevant to that issue.  THE COURT: All right. I think you got you have the information that I think is necessary to that what the witness believes when this regulatory approval will take place. And he was informed of that by counsel.  Description:  Q. And you chose the restructuring plan, is that correct?  A. Yes, we did.  Pure Course not to liquidate?  A. We chose not to liquidate.  Pure Course not to liquidate.  Pure Course not to liquidate.  And a restructuring plan is what we're talking about today, is that correct?  And he was informed of that by counsel.	14			-
And I'm trying to understand, realistically, when a closing might occur, which I think is relevant to that issue.  THE COURT: All right. I think you got you have the information that I think is necessary to that what the witness believes when this regulatory approval will take place. And he was informed of that by counsel.  I A. Yes, we did.  Represent the information to liquidate?  A. We chose not to liquidate.  Pure Q. And a restructuring plan is what we're talking about today, is that correct?  And he was informed of that by counsel.  I A. Well, we're covering a lot of subjects. I'm not sure.			15	
might occur, which I think is relevant to that issue.  18 THE COURT: All right. I think you got you have 19 the information that I think is necessary to that what the 20 witness believes when this regulatory approval will take place. 21 And he was informed of that by counsel.  17 Q. You chose not to liquidate?  18 A. We chose not to liquidate.  19 Q. And a restructuring plan is what we're talking about today, is that correct?  20 today, is that correct?  21 A. Well, we're covering a lot of subjects. I'm not sure.				
THE COURT: All right. I think you got you have the information that I think is necessary to that what the witness believes when this regulatory approval will take place. And he was informed of that by counsel.  18 A. We chose not to liquidate.  9 Q. And a restructuring plan is what we're talking about today, is that correct?  18 A. Well, we're covering a lot of subjects. I'm not sure.			17	Q. You chose not to liquidate?
20 witness believes when this regulatory approval will take place. 21 And he was informed of that by counsel. 22 today, is that correct? 21 A. Well, we're covering a lot of subjects. I'm not sure.	18	THE COURT: All right. I think you got you have	18	
20 witness believes when this regulatory approval will take place. 21 And he was informed of that by counsel. 22 today, is that correct? 21 A. Well, we're covering a lot of subjects. I'm not sure.	19	the information that I think is necessary to that what the	19	Q. And a restructuring plan is what we're talking about
	20	witness believes when this regulatory approval will take place.	20	
MP I ATIPLA: Wall Your Honor Ldid have a favy other 22 O Le that the motion that's hafore the Court today?	21	And he was informed of that by counsel.	21	A. Well, we're covering a lot of subjects. I'm not sure.
22 W.K. LAUKIA. Well Toul Hollof, I did have a few office   22 Q. Is that the motion that's before the Court today:	22	MR. LAURIA: Well Your Honor, I did have a few other	22	Q. Is that the motion that's before the Court today?
23 questions on the topic. We've been talking generally about 23 A. The restructuring plan?	23	questions on the topic. We've been talking generally about	23	A. The restructuring plan?
24 regulatory approval, I wanted to ask the witness what 24 Q. Yes.	24	regulatory approval, I wanted to ask the witness what	24	Q. Yes.
25 regulatory approval he's talking about. 25 A. I thought it was an objection to the restructuring plan.	25	regulatory approval he's talking about.	25	A. I thought it was an objection to the restructuring plan.
•		235		237

1			
	Q. What are you going to do after you discontinue your	1	A. I don't. If you're referencing the settlement agreement,
2	employment with the company?	2	is that what you're referencing?
3	A. I announced at the time, about a month ago when I elected	3	A. I'm referencing what you're telling us about.
4	to announce that I would be leaving after the completion of	4	Q. Okay. Why don't you tell us which releases you understand
5	this, is that I would return to Cerberus as an advisor.	5	and know about?
6	Q. So when are you going to start at Cerberus?	6	A. I'm only aware that as part of a global settlement between
7	A. When we complete this transaction.	7	Daimler, Cerberus and Chrysler and the settlement was
8	Q. All right. Immediately?	8	negotiated by the United States Treasury, there were releases
9	A. Yes, sir.	9	in that document.
10	Q. So if the transaction completes tomorrow on Monday you'll	10	Q. Do you know what those releases apply to?
11	be working for Cerberus?	11	A. No, I don't.
12	A. If the transaction that would be the plan.	12	Q. Do you know if they have any value?
13	Q. All right. And have you worked out your compensation with		A. No, sir.
14	Cerberus?	14	Q. Well, somebody asks for a release it usually has some
15	A. I have not.	15	value to the person asking for relief, is that correct?
16	Q. You're going to do that over the weekend?	16	A. Well, in my experience there's either a value or a release
17	A. We would not have a discussion about that until the deal	17	about future liabilities or indemnification, has been my
			•
18	is closed.	18	experience.
19	Q. So if the deal closes on Friday and you're going to start	19	Q. So the person who wants to get a release thinks they're
20	work on Monday, when are you going to have that discussion?	20	getting some value for the release, correct?
21	A. Sometime next week.	21	A. Or some comfort.
22	Q. After you start work there?	22	Q. Comfort, value, the same thing?
23	A. Sure.	23	A. Well, I think one is monetary and the other is peace of
24	Q. Do you still have an office there?	24	mind.
25	A. I do not.	25	Q. Does Cerberus also own FinCo?
	238		240
1	O. C. da and hard to make an arrange of the same at th	1	A. W. d I.
1	Q. So do you have to make any arrangements to go starting		A. Yes, they do.
2	to work at Cerberus?	2	Q. And are you aware of what's happening to FinCo as a
3	<ol> <li>Cerberus is you know, it isn't that level of formality.</li> </ol>	2	6.4.1 ( )
	•	3	consequence of this transaction?
4	You know, a conference room it's a pretty flexible	4	A. My understanding on FinCo is that there was a negotiation
4 5	You know, a conference room it's a pretty flexible environment. I could be on the road visiting some portfolio	4 5	A. My understanding on FinCo is that there was a negotiation between the United States Treasury, Fiat, FinCo and GMAC that
4 5 6	You know, a conference room it's a pretty flexible environment. I could be on the road visiting some portfolio companies so it's not a structured environment.	4 5 6	A. My understanding on FinCo is that there was a negotiation between the United States Treasury, Fiat, FinCo and GMAC that would allow GMAC to pick up the financing, both retail and
4 5 6 7	You know, a conference room it's a pretty flexible environment. I could be on the road visiting some portfolio companies so it's not a structured environment.  Q. While you've been working at Chrysler have you had an	4 5 6 7	A. My understanding on FinCo is that there was a negotiation between the United States Treasury, Fiat, FinCo and GMAC that would allow GMAC to pick up the financing, both retail and wholesale. And FinCo would dissolve itself.
4 5 6	You know, a conference room — it's a pretty flexible environment. I could be on the road visiting some portfolio companies so it's not a structured environment.  Q. While you've been working at Chrysler have you had an ongoing relationship with Cerberus?	4 5 6	A. My understanding on FinCo is that there was a negotiation between the United States Treasury, Fiat, FinCo and GMAC that would allow GMAC to pick up the financing, both retail and
4 5 6 7 8 9	You know, a conference room it's a pretty flexible environment. I could be on the road visiting some portfolio companies so it's not a structured environment.  Q. While you've been working at Chrysler have you had an	4 5 6 7	A. My understanding on FinCo is that there was a negotiation between the United States Treasury, Fiat, FinCo and GMAC that would allow GMAC to pick up the financing, both retail and wholesale. And FinCo would dissolve itself.
4 5 6 7 8	You know, a conference room it's a pretty flexible environment. I could be on the road visiting some portfolio companies so it's not a structured environment.  Q. While you've been working at Chrysler have you had an ongoing relationship with Cerberus?  A. Well, they're our owners and so yes I've had discussions with Cerberus.	4 5 6 7 8	A. My understanding on FinCo is that there was a negotiation between the United States Treasury, Fiat, FinCo and GMAC that would allow GMAC to pick up the financing, both retail and wholesale. And FinCo would dissolve itself.  Q. Are you aware if Cerberus is getting any releases in
4 5 6 7 8 9	You know, a conference room — it's a pretty flexible environment. I could be on the road visiting some portfolio companies so it's not a structured environment.  Q. While you've been working at Chrysler have you had an ongoing relationship with Cerberus?  A. Well, they're our owners and so yes I've had discussions	4 5 6 7 8	A. My understanding on FinCo is that there was a negotiation between the United States Treasury, Fiat, FinCo and GMAC that would allow GMAC to pick up the financing, both retail and wholesale. And FinCo would dissolve itself.  Q. Are you aware if Cerberus is getting any releases in connection with that transaction?
4 5 6 7 8 9	You know, a conference room it's a pretty flexible environment. I could be on the road visiting some portfolio companies so it's not a structured environment.  Q. While you've been working at Chrysler have you had an ongoing relationship with Cerberus?  A. Well, they're our owners and so yes I've had discussions with Cerberus.	4 5 6 7 8 9	A. My understanding on FinCo is that there was a negotiation between the United States Treasury, Fiat, FinCo and GMAC that would allow GMAC to pick up the financing, both retail and wholesale. And FinCo would dissolve itself.  Q. Are you aware if Cerberus is getting any releases in connection with that transaction?  A. No, I would not be.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	You know, a conference room it's a pretty flexible environment. I could be on the road visiting some portfolio companies so it's not a structured environment.  Q. While you've been working at Chrysler have you had an ongoing relationship with Cerberus?  A. Well, they're our owners and so yes I've had discussions with Cerberus.  Q. I mean in any kind of employment or consulting capacity.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. My understanding on FinCo is that there was a negotiation between the United States Treasury, Fiat, FinCo and GMAC that would allow GMAC to pick up the financing, both retail and wholesale. And FinCo would dissolve itself.  Q. Are you aware if Cerberus is getting any releases in connection with that transaction?  A. No, I would not be.  Q. All right. And who owns GMAC?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	You know, a conference room it's a pretty flexible environment. I could be on the road visiting some portfolio companies so it's not a structured environment.  Q. While you've been working at Chrysler have you had an ongoing relationship with Cerberus?  A. Well, they're our owners and so yes I've had discussions with Cerberus.  Q. I mean in any kind of employment or consulting capacity.  A. Oh no, I have not.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. My understanding on FinCo is that there was a negotiation between the United States Treasury, Fiat, FinCo and GMAC that would allow GMAC to pick up the financing, both retail and wholesale. And FinCo would dissolve itself.  Q. Are you aware if Cerberus is getting any releases in connection with that transaction?  A. No, I would not be.  Q. All right. And who owns GMAC?  A. I believe the government.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	You know, a conference room it's a pretty flexible environment. I could be on the road visiting some portfolio companies so it's not a structured environment.  Q. While you've been working at Chrysler have you had an ongoing relationship with Cerberus?  A. Well, they're our owners and so yes I've had discussions with Cerberus.  Q. I mean in any kind of employment or consulting capacity.  A. Oh no, I have not.  Q. When was the last time you worked for Cerberus?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. My understanding on FinCo is that there was a negotiation between the United States Treasury, Fiat, FinCo and GMAC that would allow GMAC to pick up the financing, both retail and wholesale. And FinCo would dissolve itself.  Q. Are you aware if Cerberus is getting any releases in connection with that transaction?  A. No, I would not be.  Q. All right. And who owns GMAC?  A. I believe the government.  Q. You believe the government owns GMAC?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	You know, a conference room it's a pretty flexible environment. I could be on the road visiting some portfolio companies so it's not a structured environment.  Q. While you've been working at Chrysler have you had an ongoing relationship with Cerberus?  A. Well, they're our owners and so yes I've had discussions with Cerberus.  Q. I mean in any kind of employment or consulting capacity.  A. Oh no, I have not.  Q. When was the last time you worked for Cerberus?  A. The last time I worked directly for Cerberus would have	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. My understanding on FinCo is that there was a negotiation between the United States Treasury, Fiat, FinCo and GMAC that would allow GMAC to pick up the financing, both retail and wholesale. And FinCo would dissolve itself.  Q. Are you aware if Cerberus is getting any releases in connection with that transaction?  A. No, I would not be.  Q. All right. And who owns GMAC?  A. I believe the government.  Q. You believe the government owns GMAC?  A. I believe that's correct.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	You know, a conference room it's a pretty flexible environment. I could be on the road visiting some portfolio companies so it's not a structured environment.  Q. While you've been working at Chrysler have you had an ongoing relationship with Cerberus?  A. Well, they're our owners and so yes I've had discussions with Cerberus.  Q. I mean in any kind of employment or consulting capacity.  A. Oh no, I have not.  Q. When was the last time you worked for Cerberus?  A. The last time I worked directly for Cerberus would have been in July.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. My understanding on FinCo is that there was a negotiation between the United States Treasury, Fiat, FinCo and GMAC that would allow GMAC to pick up the financing, both retail and wholesale. And FinCo would dissolve itself.  Q. Are you aware if Cerberus is getting any releases in connection with that transaction?  A. No, I would not be.  Q. All right. And who owns GMAC?  A. I believe the government.  Q. You believe the government owns GMAC?  A. I believe that's correct.  Q. All right. Do you know if Cerberus has an ownership
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	You know, a conference room it's a pretty flexible environment. I could be on the road visiting some portfolio companies so it's not a structured environment.  Q. While you've been working at Chrysler have you had an ongoing relationship with Cerberus?  A. Well, they're our owners and so yes I've had discussions with Cerberus.  Q. I mean in any kind of employment or consulting capacity.  A. Oh no, I have not.  Q. When was the last time you worked for Cerberus?  A. The last time I worked directly for Cerberus would have been in July.  Q. Are you aware of whether or not Cerberus is going to	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. My understanding on FinCo is that there was a negotiation between the United States Treasury, Fiat, FinCo and GMAC that would allow GMAC to pick up the financing, both retail and wholesale. And FinCo would dissolve itself.  Q. Are you aware if Cerberus is getting any releases in connection with that transaction?  A. No, I would not be.  Q. All right. And who owns GMAC?  A. I believe the government.  Q. You believe the government owns GMAC?  A. I believe that's correct.  Q. All right. Do you know if Cerberus has an ownership interest in GMAC?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	You know, a conference room it's a pretty flexible environment. I could be on the road visiting some portfolio companies so it's not a structured environment.  Q. While you've been working at Chrysler have you had an ongoing relationship with Cerberus?  A. Well, they're our owners and so yes I've had discussions with Cerberus.  Q. I mean in any kind of employment or consulting capacity.  A. Oh no, I have not.  Q. When was the last time you worked for Cerberus?  A. The last time I worked directly for Cerberus would have been in July.  Q. Are you aware of whether or not Cerberus is going to realize any benefits as a consequence of this transaction?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. My understanding on FinCo is that there was a negotiation between the United States Treasury, Fiat, FinCo and GMAC that would allow GMAC to pick up the financing, both retail and wholesale. And FinCo would dissolve itself.  Q. Are you aware if Cerberus is getting any releases in connection with that transaction?  A. No, I would not be.  Q. All right. And who owns GMAC?  A. I believe the government.  Q. You believe the government owns GMAC?  A. I believe that's correct.  Q. All right. Do you know if Cerberus has an ownership interest in GMAC?  A. I know that Cerberus originally, back in '07, had a
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	You know, a conference room it's a pretty flexible environment. I could be on the road visiting some portfolio companies so it's not a structured environment.  Q. While you've been working at Chrysler have you had an ongoing relationship with Cerberus?  A. Well, they're our owners and so yes I've had discussions with Cerberus.  Q. I mean in any kind of employment or consulting capacity.  A. Oh no, I have not.  Q. When was the last time you worked for Cerberus?  A. The last time I worked directly for Cerberus would have been in July.  Q. Are you aware of whether or not Cerberus is going to realize any benefits as a consequence of this transaction?  A. My understanding is they will get no benefit; they	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. My understanding on FinCo is that there was a negotiation between the United States Treasury, Fiat, FinCo and GMAC that would allow GMAC to pick up the financing, both retail and wholesale. And FinCo would dissolve itself.  Q. Are you aware if Cerberus is getting any releases in connection with that transaction?  A. No, I would not be.  Q. All right. And who owns GMAC?  A. I believe the government.  Q. You believe the government owns GMAC?  A. I believe that's correct.  Q. All right. Do you know if Cerberus has an ownership interest in GMAC?  A. I know that Cerberus originally, back in '07, had a majority ownership but I believe that's been diluted to
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	You know, a conference room — it's a pretty flexible environment. I could be on the road visiting some portfolio companies so it's not a structured environment.  Q. While you've been working at Chrysler have you had an ongoing relationship with Cerberus?  A. Well, they're our owners and so yes I've had discussions with Cerberus.  Q. I mean in any kind of employment or consulting capacity.  A. Oh no, I have not.  Q. When was the last time you worked for Cerberus?  A. The last time I worked directly for Cerberus would have been in July.  Q. Are you aware of whether or not Cerberus is going to realize any benefits as a consequence of this transaction?  A. My understanding is they will get no benefit; they surrendered all of their equity. They relinquished all	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. My understanding on FinCo is that there was a negotiation between the United States Treasury, Fiat, FinCo and GMAC that would allow GMAC to pick up the financing, both retail and wholesale. And FinCo would dissolve itself.  Q. Are you aware if Cerberus is getting any releases in connection with that transaction?  A. No, I would not be.  Q. All right. And who owns GMAC?  A. I believe the government.  Q. You believe the government owns GMAC?  A. I believe that's correct.  Q. All right. Do you know if Cerberus has an ownership interest in GMAC?  A. I know that Cerberus originally, back in '07, had a majority ownership but I believe that's been diluted to somewhere below ten percent.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	You know, a conference room — it's a pretty flexible environment. I could be on the road visiting some portfolio companies so it's not a structured environment.  Q. While you've been working at Chrysler have you had an ongoing relationship with Cerberus?  A. Well, they're our owners and so yes I've had discussions with Cerberus.  Q. I mean in any kind of employment or consulting capacity.  A. Oh no, I have not.  Q. When was the last time you worked for Cerberus?  A. The last time I worked directly for Cerberus would have been in July.  Q. Are you aware of whether or not Cerberus is going to realize any benefits as a consequence of this transaction?  A. My understanding is they will get no benefit; they surrendered all of their equity. They relinquished all entitlement to their second lien loan and notes and basically	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. My understanding on FinCo is that there was a negotiation between the United States Treasury, Fiat, FinCo and GMAC that would allow GMAC to pick up the financing, both retail and wholesale. And FinCo would dissolve itself.  Q. Are you aware if Cerberus is getting any releases in connection with that transaction?  A. No, I would not be.  Q. All right. And who owns GMAC?  A. I believe the government.  Q. You believe the government owns GMAC?  A. I believe that's correct.  Q. All right. Do you know if Cerberus has an ownership interest in GMAC?  A. I know that Cerberus originally, back in '07, had a majority ownership but I believe that's been diluted to somewhere below ten percent.  Q. But that's not zero, right?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	You know, a conference room it's a pretty flexible environment. I could be on the road visiting some portfolio companies so it's not a structured environment.  Q. While you've been working at Chrysler have you had an ongoing relationship with Cerberus?  A. Well, they're our owners and so yes I've had discussions with Cerberus.  Q. I mean in any kind of employment or consulting capacity.  A. Oh no, I have not.  Q. When was the last time you worked for Cerberus?  A. The last time I worked directly for Cerberus would have been in July.  Q. Are you aware of whether or not Cerberus is going to realize any benefits as a consequence of this transaction?  A. My understanding is they will get no benefit; they surrendered all of their equity. They relinquished all entitlement to their second lien loan and notes and basically have will get nothing out of this.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. My understanding on FinCo is that there was a negotiation between the United States Treasury, Fiat, FinCo and GMAC that would allow GMAC to pick up the financing, both retail and wholesale. And FinCo would dissolve itself.  Q. Are you aware if Cerberus is getting any releases in connection with that transaction?  A. No, I would not be.  Q. All right. And who owns GMAC?  A. I believe the government.  Q. You believe the government owns GMAC?  A. I believe that's correct.  Q. All right. Do you know if Cerberus has an ownership interest in GMAC?  A. I know that Cerberus originally, back in '07, had a majority ownership but I believe that's been diluted to somewhere below ten percent.  Q. But that's not zero, right?  A. Oh no, no. It's ten percent. But you asked me
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	You know, a conference room it's a pretty flexible environment. I could be on the road visiting some portfolio companies so it's not a structured environment.  Q. While you've been working at Chrysler have you had an ongoing relationship with Cerberus?  A. Well, they're our owners and so yes I've had discussions with Cerberus.  Q. I mean in any kind of employment or consulting capacity.  A. Oh no, I have not.  Q. When was the last time you worked for Cerberus?  A. The last time I worked directly for Cerberus would have been in July.  Q. Are you aware of whether or not Cerberus is going to realize any benefits as a consequence of this transaction?  A. My understanding is they will get no benefit; they surrendered all of their equity. They relinquished all entitlement to their second lien loan and notes and basically have will get nothing out of this.  Q. Are you aware if they're getting any releases?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. My understanding on FinCo is that there was a negotiation between the United States Treasury, Fiat, FinCo and GMAC that would allow GMAC to pick up the financing, both retail and wholesale. And FinCo would dissolve itself.  Q. Are you aware if Cerberus is getting any releases in connection with that transaction?  A. No, I would not be.  Q. All right. And who owns GMAC?  A. I believe the government.  Q. You believe the government owns GMAC?  A. I believe that's correct.  Q. All right. Do you know if Cerberus has an ownership interest in GMAC?  A. I know that Cerberus originally, back in '07, had a majority ownership but I believe that's been diluted to somewhere below ten percent.  Q. But that's not zero, right?  A. Oh no, no. It's ten percent. But you asked me  Q. So Cerberus does have an ownership interest in GMAC, is
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	You know, a conference room it's a pretty flexible environment. I could be on the road visiting some portfolio companies so it's not a structured environment.  Q. While you've been working at Chrysler have you had an ongoing relationship with Cerberus?  A. Well, they're our owners and so yes I've had discussions with Cerberus.  Q. I mean in any kind of employment or consulting capacity.  A. Oh no, I have not.  Q. When was the last time you worked for Cerberus?  A. The last time I worked directly for Cerberus would have been in July.  Q. Are you aware of whether or not Cerberus is going to realize any benefits as a consequence of this transaction?  A. My understanding is they will get no benefit; they surrendered all of their equity. They relinquished all entitlement to their second lien loan and notes and basically have will get nothing out of this.  Q. Are you aware if they're getting any releases?  A. I'm aware that I'm aware that in a settlement agreement	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. My understanding on FinCo is that there was a negotiation between the United States Treasury, Fiat, FinCo and GMAC that would allow GMAC to pick up the financing, both retail and wholesale. And FinCo would dissolve itself.  Q. Are you aware if Cerberus is getting any releases in connection with that transaction?  A. No, I would not be.  Q. All right. And who owns GMAC?  A. I believe the government.  Q. You believe the government owns GMAC?  A. I believe that's correct.  Q. All right. Do you know if Cerberus has an ownership interest in GMAC?  A. I know that Cerberus originally, back in '07, had a majority ownership but I believe that's been diluted to somewhere below ten percent.  Q. But that's not zero, right?  A. Oh no, no. It's ten percent. But you asked me  Q. So Cerberus does have an ownership interest in GMAC, is that correct?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	You know, a conference room it's a pretty flexible environment. I could be on the road visiting some portfolio companies so it's not a structured environment.  Q. While you've been working at Chrysler have you had an ongoing relationship with Cerberus?  A. Well, they're our owners and so yes I've had discussions with Cerberus.  Q. I mean in any kind of employment or consulting capacity.  A. Oh no, I have not.  Q. When was the last time you worked for Cerberus?  A. The last time I worked directly for Cerberus would have been in July.  Q. Are you aware of whether or not Cerberus is going to realize any benefits as a consequence of this transaction?  A. My understanding is they will get no benefit; they surrendered all of their equity. They relinquished all entitlement to their second lien loan and notes and basically have will get nothing out of this.  Q. Are you aware if they're getting any releases?  A. I'm aware that I'm aware that in a settlement agreement there are releases there are releases being requested.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. My understanding on FinCo is that there was a negotiation between the United States Treasury, Fiat, FinCo and GMAC that would allow GMAC to pick up the financing, both retail and wholesale. And FinCo would dissolve itself.  Q. Are you aware if Cerberus is getting any releases in connection with that transaction?  A. No, I would not be.  Q. All right. And who owns GMAC?  A. I believe the government.  Q. You believe the government owns GMAC?  A. I believe that's correct.  Q. All right. Do you know if Cerberus has an ownership interest in GMAC?  A. I know that Cerberus originally, back in '07, had a majority ownership but I believe that's been diluted to somewhere below ten percent.  Q. But that's not zero, right?  A. Oh no, no. It's ten percent. But you asked me  Q. So Cerberus does have an ownership interest in GMAC, is that correct?  A. Yes, they would have a small as I understand it, a

1	Q. So your prior answer that it was owned by the government	1	A. Correct.
2	isn't exactly correct?	2	Q. Anyone else?
3	A. Well, the majority of the ownership is the government,	3	A. A host of people. I mean, this doesn't happen. We had
4	correct.	4	our chief counsel, we had Treasury, we had engineering, we had
5	Q. Okay. But there's a difference between majority and a	5	the head of operations, our government affair. I mean, you can
6	hundred percent, right?	6	go down the list, everybody
7	A. Correct.	7	Q. I'm sure all those people weren't going to all these
8	Q. All right. Now, you've played an integral role in the	8	meetings.
9	negotiations of the restructuring of Chrysler, is that correct?	9	A. There was quite a few of those people going to these
10	MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor, characterizing	; 10	meetings.
11	it as a restructuring of Chrysler when this is a 363 sale.	11	Q. And in an advisory capacity, Mr. Manzo was very involved?
12	MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, the speaking objections are	12	A. Mr. Manzo participated and was very involved in a variety
13	improper and I think the witness testified on direct that he'd	13	of meetings.
14	been involved in trying to restructure Chrysler for quite some	14	Q. How about Ms. Ball?
15	time and that was what I was asking about. And I really just -	15	A. Ms. Ball participated in some of the meetings, as was
16	-	16	relevant.
17	THE COURT: First, I'm going to allow the question.	17	Q. But you would not describe her as very involved?
18	I think the witness referred to at least what his choice was on	18	A. She was very involved in the aspects that required her
19	April 30th, restructuring or liquidation and so he used the	19	involvement. For example, she would not have been involved in
20	term restructuring to describe what's was intended upon the	20	the UAW negotiations.
21	filing or at the filing. So you can continue to use that term	21	Q. Who was the outside counsel that was most involved in the
22	in the questioning. Go ahead.	22	restructuring negotiations?
23	MR. LAURIA: Thank you.	23	A. Ms. Ball.
24	Q. So have you been intimately involved in the negotiations	24	Q. And what was the board's role?
25	regarding the restructuring of Chrysler?	25	A. The board's role was to be very involved also. I think
	242		244
1	A Well was I've been involved. I would say a lot of moonle	,	the minutes would prove that we kept the board very involved
1	A. Well, yes I've been involved. I would say a lot of people	1	the minutes would prove that we kept the board very involved,
2	have been involved in the restructuring.	2	very transparent. We had frequent and open dialogue. I would
2	have been involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm not asking about the other people, I'm asking if you	2	very transparent. We had frequent and open dialogue. I would commend the board for their flexibility and willingness to meet
2 3 4	have been involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm not asking about the other people, I'm asking if you were intimately involved.	2 3 4	very transparent. We had frequent and open dialogue. I would commend the board for their flexibility and willingness to meet on relatively short notice.
2 3 4 5	have been involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm not asking about the other people, I'm asking if you were intimately involved.  A. Yeah, I was involved in the restructuring.	2 3 4 5	very transparent. We had frequent and open dialogue. I would commend the board for their flexibility and willingness to meet on relatively short notice.  Q. All right. And how would you describe Cerberus as very
2 3 4 5 6	have been involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm not asking about the other people, I'm asking if you were intimately involved.  A. Yeah, I was involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm asking you if you were intimately involved.	2 3 4 5 6	very transparent. We had frequent and open dialogue. I would commend the board for their flexibility and willingness to meet on relatively short notice.  Q. All right. And how would you describe Cerberus as very involved in the negotiations?
2 3 4 5 6 7	have been involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm not asking about the other people, I'm asking if you were intimately involved.  A. Yeah, I was involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm asking you if you were intimately involved.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, if the witness isn't going	2 3 4 5 6 7	very transparent. We had frequent and open dialogue. I would commend the board for their flexibility and willingness to meet on relatively short notice.  Q. All right. And how would you describe Cerberus as very involved in the negotiations?  A. Cerberus was not involved in certain aspects. They were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	have been involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm not asking about the other people, I'm asking if you were intimately involved.  A. Yeah, I was involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm asking you if you were intimately involved.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, if the witness isn't going to answer my questions I'm going to have to keep asking them	2 3 4 5 6	very transparent. We had frequent and open dialogue. I would commend the board for their flexibility and willingness to meet on relatively short notice.  Q. All right. And how would you describe Cerberus as very involved in the negotiations?  A. Cerberus was not involved in certain aspects. They were involved, as I
2 3 4 5 6 7	have been involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm not asking about the other people, I'm asking if you were intimately involved.  A. Yeah, I was involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm asking you if you were intimately involved.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, if the witness isn't going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	very transparent. We had frequent and open dialogue. I would commend the board for their flexibility and willingness to meet on relatively short notice.  Q. All right. And how would you describe Cerberus as very involved in the negotiations?  A. Cerberus was not involved in certain aspects. They were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	have been involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm not asking about the other people, I'm asking if you were intimately involved.  A. Yeah, I was involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm asking you if you were intimately involved.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, if the witness isn't going to answer my questions I'm going to have to keep asking them over and over again.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	very transparent. We had frequent and open dialogue. I would commend the board for their flexibility and willingness to meet on relatively short notice.  Q. All right. And how would you describe Cerberus as very involved in the negotiations?  A. Cerberus was not involved in certain aspects. They were involved, as I  Q. I'm just asking if you would describe them as being very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	have been involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm not asking about the other people, I'm asking if you were intimately involved.  A. Yeah, I was involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm asking you if you were intimately involved.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, if the witness isn't going to answer my questions I'm going to have to keep asking them over and over again.  THE COURT: I didn't tell you to stop asking. You'll get them answered.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	very transparent. We had frequent and open dialogue. I would commend the board for their flexibility and willingness to meet on relatively short notice.  Q. All right. And how would you describe Cerberus as very involved in the negotiations?  A. Cerberus was not involved in certain aspects. They were involved, as I  Q. I'm just asking if you would describe them as being very involved.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	have been involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm not asking about the other people, I'm asking if you were intimately involved.  A. Yeah, I was involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm asking you if you were intimately involved.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, if the witness isn't going to answer my questions I'm going to have to keep asking them over and over again.  THE COURT: I didn't tell you to stop asking. You'll	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	very transparent. We had frequent and open dialogue. I would commend the board for their flexibility and willingness to meet on relatively short notice.  Q. All right. And how would you describe Cerberus as very involved in the negotiations?  A. Cerberus was not involved in certain aspects. They were involved, as I  Q. I'm just asking if you would describe them as being very involved.  A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	have been involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm not asking about the other people, I'm asking if you were intimately involved.  A. Yeah, I was involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm asking you if you were intimately involved.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, if the witness isn't going to answer my questions I'm going to have to keep asking them over and over again.  THE COURT: I didn't tell you to stop asking. You'll get them answered.  A. Yes, I was very involved, if you want to use the word	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	very transparent. We had frequent and open dialogue. I would commend the board for their flexibility and willingness to meet on relatively short notice.  Q. All right. And how would you describe Cerberus as very involved in the negotiations?  A. Cerberus was not involved in certain aspects. They were involved, as I  Q. I'm just asking if you would describe them as being very involved.  A. No.  Q. At any point in time?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	have been involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm not asking about the other people, I'm asking if you were intimately involved.  A. Yeah, I was involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm asking you if you were intimately involved.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, if the witness isn't going to answer my questions I'm going to have to keep asking them over and over again.  THE COURT: I didn't tell you to stop asking. You'll get them answered.  A. Yes, I was very involved, if you want to use the word intimately involved. I tend to use intimately in a different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	very transparent. We had frequent and open dialogue. I would commend the board for their flexibility and willingness to meet on relatively short notice.  Q. All right. And how would you describe Cerberus as very involved in the negotiations?  A. Cerberus was not involved in certain aspects. They were involved, as I  Q. I'm just asking if you would describe them as being very involved.  A. No.  Q. At any point in time?  A. They were very involved in certain aspects of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	have been involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm not asking about the other people, I'm asking if you were intimately involved.  A. Yeah, I was involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm asking you if you were intimately involved.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, if the witness isn't going to answer my questions I'm going to have to keep asking them over and over again.  THE COURT: I didn't tell you to stop asking. You'll get them answered.  A. Yes, I was very involved, if you want to use the word intimately involved. I tend to use intimately in a different context.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	very transparent. We had frequent and open dialogue. I would commend the board for their flexibility and willingness to meet on relatively short notice.  Q. All right. And how would you describe Cerberus as very involved in the negotiations?  A. Cerberus was not involved in certain aspects. They were involved, as I  Q. I'm just asking if you would describe them as being very involved.  A. No.  Q. At any point in time?  A. They were very involved in certain aspects of the negotiations.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	have been involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm not asking about the other people, I'm asking if you were intimately involved.  A. Yeah, I was involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm asking you if you were intimately involved.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, if the witness isn't going to answer my questions I'm going to have to keep asking them over and over again.  THE COURT: I didn't tell you to stop asking. You'll get them answered.  A. Yes, I was very involved, if you want to use the word intimately involved. I tend to use intimately in a different context.  Q. That I didn't ask.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	very transparent. We had frequent and open dialogue. I would commend the board for their flexibility and willingness to meet on relatively short notice.  Q. All right. And how would you describe Cerberus as very involved in the negotiations?  A. Cerberus was not involved in certain aspects. They were involved, as I Q. I'm just asking if you would describe them as being very involved.  A. No. Q. At any point in time? A. They were very involved in certain aspects of the negotiations. Q. Which aspects?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	have been involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm not asking about the other people, I'm asking if you were intimately involved.  A. Yeah, I was involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm asking you if you were intimately involved.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, if the witness isn't going to answer my questions I'm going to have to keep asking them over and over again.  THE COURT: I didn't tell you to stop asking. You'll get them answered.  A. Yes, I was very involved, if you want to use the word intimately involved. I tend to use intimately in a different context.  Q. That I didn't ask.  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	very transparent. We had frequent and open dialogue. I would commend the board for their flexibility and willingness to meet on relatively short notice.  Q. All right. And how would you describe Cerberus as very involved in the negotiations?  A. Cerberus was not involved in certain aspects. They were involved, as I Q. I'm just asking if you would describe them as being very involved.  A. No. Q. At any point in time? A. They were very involved in certain aspects of the negotiations. Q. Which aspects? A. They were very involved, as I said, in the discussions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	have been involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm not asking about the other people, I'm asking if you were intimately involved.  A. Yeah, I was involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm asking you if you were intimately involved.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, if the witness isn't going to answer my questions I'm going to have to keep asking them over and over again.  THE COURT: I didn't tell you to stop asking. You'll get them answered.  A. Yes, I was very involved, if you want to use the word intimately involved. I tend to use intimately in a different context.  Q. That I didn't ask.  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.  Q. Who else was principally — did you say very involved, was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	very transparent. We had frequent and open dialogue. I would commend the board for their flexibility and willingness to meet on relatively short notice.  Q. All right. And how would you describe Cerberus as very involved in the negotiations?  A. Cerberus was not involved in certain aspects. They were involved, as I  Q. I'm just asking if you would describe them as being very involved.  A. No.  Q. At any point in time?  A. They were very involved in certain aspects of the negotiations.  Q. Which aspects?  A. They were very involved, as I said, in the discussions between themselves and Daimler with the United States Treasury
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have been involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm not asking about the other people, I'm asking if you were intimately involved.  A. Yeah, I was involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm asking you if you were intimately involved.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, if the witness isn't going to answer my questions I'm going to have to keep asking them over and over again.  THE COURT: I didn't tell you to stop asking. You'll get them answered.  A. Yes, I was very involved, if you want to use the word intimately involved. I tend to use intimately in a different context.  Q. That I didn't ask.  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.  Q. Who else was principally — did you say very involved, was the word you were comfortable with?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	very transparent. We had frequent and open dialogue. I would commend the board for their flexibility and willingness to meet on relatively short notice.  Q. All right. And how would you describe Cerberus as very involved in the negotiations?  A. Cerberus was not involved in certain aspects. They were involved, as I  Q. I'm just asking if you would describe them as being very involved.  A. No.  Q. At any point in time?  A. They were very involved in certain aspects of the negotiations.  Q. Which aspects?  A. They were very involved, as I said, in the discussions between themselves and Daimler with the United States Treasury Q. What were the discussions between themselves and Daimler
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have been involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm not asking about the other people, I'm asking if you were intimately involved.  A. Yeah, I was involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm asking you if you were intimately involved.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, if the witness isn't going to answer my questions I'm going to have to keep asking them over and over again.  THE COURT: I didn't tell you to stop asking. You'll get them answered.  A. Yes, I was very involved, if you want to use the word intimately involved. I tend to use intimately in a different context.  Q. That I didn't ask.  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.  Q. Who else was principally did you say very involved, was the word you were comfortable with?  A. Very involved is fine.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	very transparent. We had frequent and open dialogue. I would commend the board for their flexibility and willingness to meet on relatively short notice.  Q. All right. And how would you describe Cerberus as very involved in the negotiations?  A. Cerberus was not involved in certain aspects. They were involved, as I  Q. I'm just asking if you would describe them as being very involved.  A. No.  Q. At any point in time?  A. They were very involved in certain aspects of the negotiations.  Q. Which aspects?  A. They were very involved, as I said, in the discussions between themselves and Daimler with the United States Treasury Q. What were the discussions between themselves and Daimler and the United States Treasury, if you know?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	have been involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm not asking about the other people, I'm asking if you were intimately involved.  A. Yeah, I was involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm asking you if you were intimately involved.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, if the witness isn't going to answer my questions I'm going to have to keep asking them over and over again.  THE COURT: I didn't tell you to stop asking. You'll get them answered.  A. Yes, I was very involved, if you want to use the word intimately involved. I tend to use intimately in a different context.  Q. That I didn't ask.  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.  Q. Who else was principally did you say very involved, was the word you were comfortable with?  A. Very involved is fine.  Q. Who else at the well, is it true that Mr. Kolka was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	very transparent. We had frequent and open dialogue. I would commend the board for their flexibility and willingness to meet on relatively short notice.  Q. All right. And how would you describe Cerberus as very involved in the negotiations?  A. Cerberus was not involved in certain aspects. They were involved, as I  Q. I'm just asking if you would describe them as being very involved.  A. No.  Q. At any point in time?  A. They were very involved in certain aspects of the negotiations.  Q. Which aspects?  A. They were very involved, as I said, in the discussions between themselves and Daimler with the United States Treasury Q. What were the discussions between themselves and Daimler and the United States Treasury, if you know?  A. The only thing I know is from the settlement agreement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	have been involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm not asking about the other people, I'm asking if you were intimately involved.  A. Yeah, I was involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm asking you if you were intimately involved.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, if the witness isn't going to answer my questions I'm going to have to keep asking them over and over again.  THE COURT: I didn't tell you to stop asking. You'll get them answered.  A. Yes, I was very involved, if you want to use the word intimately involved. I tend to use intimately in a different context.  Q. That I didn't ask.  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.  Q. Who else was principally did you say very involved, was the word you were comfortable with?  A. Very involved is fine.  Q. Who else at the well, is it true that Mr. Kolka was very involved in these negotiations?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	very transparent. We had frequent and open dialogue. I would commend the board for their flexibility and willingness to meet on relatively short notice.  Q. All right. And how would you describe Cerberus as very involved in the negotiations?  A. Cerberus was not involved in certain aspects. They were involved, as I Q. I'm just asking if you would describe them as being very involved.  A. No. Q. At any point in time? A. They were very involved in certain aspects of the negotiations. Q. Which aspects? A. They were very involved, as I said, in the discussions between themselves and Daimler with the United States Treasury Q. What were the discussions between themselves and Daimler and the United States Treasury, if you know?  A. The only thing I know is from the settlement agreement where there was agreements on the exchange of equity, second
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have been involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm not asking about the other people, I'm asking if you were intimately involved.  A. Yeah, I was involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm asking you if you were intimately involved.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, if the witness isn't going to answer my questions I'm going to have to keep asking them over and over again.  THE COURT: I didn't tell you to stop asking. You'll get them answered.  A. Yes, I was very involved, if you want to use the word intimately involved. I tend to use intimately in a different context.  Q. That I didn't ask.  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.  Q. Who else was principally did you say very involved, was the word you were comfortable with?  A. Very involved is fine.  Q. Who else at the well, is it true that Mr. Kolka was very involved in these negotiations?  A. Yes, he's one that was very involved.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	very transparent. We had frequent and open dialogue. I would commend the board for their flexibility and willingness to meet on relatively short notice.  Q. All right. And how would you describe Cerberus as very involved in the negotiations?  A. Cerberus was not involved in certain aspects. They were involved, as I Q. I'm just asking if you would describe them as being very involved.  A. No. Q. At any point in time? A. They were very involved in certain aspects of the negotiations. Q. Which aspects? A. They were very involved, as I said, in the discussions between themselves and Daimler with the United States Treasury Q. What were the discussions between themselves and Daimler and the United States Treasury, if you know?  A. The only thing I know is from the settlement agreement where there was agreements on the exchange of equity, second lien notes, I think that's probably, you know, to the limit of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	have been involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm not asking about the other people, I'm asking if you were intimately involved.  A. Yeah, I was involved in the restructuring.  Q. I'm asking you if you were intimately involved.  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, if the witness isn't going to answer my questions I'm going to have to keep asking them over and over again.  THE COURT: I didn't tell you to stop asking. You'll get them answered.  A. Yes, I was very involved, if you want to use the word intimately involved. I tend to use intimately in a different context.  Q. That I didn't ask.  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.  Q. Who else was principally did you say very involved, was the word you were comfortable with?  A. Very involved is fine.  Q. Who else at the well, is it true that Mr. Kolka was very involved in these negotiations?  A. Yes, he's one that was very involved.  Q. And Mr. LaSorda?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	very transparent. We had frequent and open dialogue. I would commend the board for their flexibility and willingness to meet on relatively short notice.  Q. All right. And how would you describe Cerberus as very involved in the negotiations?  A. Cerberus was not involved in certain aspects. They were involved, as I  Q. I'm just asking if you would describe them as being very involved.  A. No.  Q. At any point in time?  A. They were very involved in certain aspects of the negotiations.  Q. Which aspects?  A. They were very involved, as I said, in the discussions between themselves and Daimler with the United States Treasury Q. What were the discussions between themselves and Daimler and the United States Treasury, if you know?  A. The only thing I know is from the settlement agreement where there was agreements on the exchange of equity, second lien notes, I think that's probably, you know, to the limit of what I'd be aware of.

1			
1	percent and Cerberus had eighty percent.	1	counsel as it relates to this.
2	Q. All right. If you would turn to tab 9, please.	2	Q. Do you know who that is?
3	(Pause)	3	A. I don't.
4	A. Okay.	4	Q. All right. If you could go to the next e-mail above, the
5	Q. And if you would turn to the bottom of the e-mail trail.	5	e-mail from Mr. Presutti. This is an e-mail from
6	A. The bottom of the last page?	6	TWL7@chrysler.com to Ron Bloom and copied to RLN43, I believe
7	Q. The last page, yes.	7	that's you, right?
8	A. Okay.	8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Do you know Richard Presutti?	9	Q. All right. Could you read that e-mail aloud?
10	A. I do.	10	A. The one that says, "Ron, sorry for the delay"?
11	Q. He worked for a law firm SRZ.	11	Q. That's the one.
12	Q. Who's SRZ? Is that Schulte Roth & Zabel?	12	A. "On the Daimler issues but we have consolidated the list
13	A. Yes.	13	and obtained input from Chrysler and Cerberus. We have a
14	Q. Is Schulte Roth & Zabel also counsel to Cerberus?	14	conference call with Daimler on Sunday and Ron and I will work
15	A. I'm not sure. You mean overall or on a particular deal?	15	the list with Daimler through the weekend, starting tomorrow.
16	Q. In any capacity.	16	Our objective is to resolve the issues before Monday. And if
17	A. I know they've done work with them. I'm not aware of	17	we do, we can call you and cancel the Monday night meeting. We
18	whether they are their only or primary counsel.	18	like DC but Michigan is okay too. Take care. Tom LaSorda."
19	Q. All right. Are they counsel with respect to Cerberus'	19	Q. All right. Is that the Chrysler-Daimler settlement that
20	investment in Chrysler?	20	we were talking about just a few minutes ago?
21	A. I believe they were involved in the purchase of Chrysler	21	A. My recollection of this discussion is Tom had the lead in
22	from Daimler.	22	trying to resolve some of the operational issues between
23	Q. And they are now your counsel, is that right, at Chrysler?	23	Chrysler and Daimler.
24	A. No.	24	Q. I'm sorry, sir. I'm just asking if the settlement that's
25	Q. Schulte Roth is not your counsel at Chrysler?	25	being referred to here, between Chrysler and Daimler and
	246		248
1	A. Regarding what? In regards to	1	Cerberus, is the one that you were talking to earlier.
2	Q. Let me ask you, is Schulte Roth your counsel in any	2	A. No, what I'm trying to clarify for you
3	regard?	3	Q. If I need clarification I'll ask for it, but I'm just
4	A. I know they're working on the they are working on the	4	looking for a yes or no answer.
5	master transaction agreement.	5	MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor.
6	Q. Schulte Roth represented the company in negotiating the	6	
7	master transaction agreement?		THE COURT: Just a minute. What's your objection?
		7	THE COURT: Just a minute. What's your objection?  MR. ARMSTRONG: Just to let Mr. Nardelli finish his
8	A. Yes.	7 8	THE COURT: Just a minute. What's your objection?  MR. ARMSTRONG: Just to let Mr. Nardelli finish his sentence.
8			MR. ARMSTRONG: Just to let Mr. Nardelli finish his
	Q. They were your primary counsel in that agreement?	8 9	MR. ARMSTRONG: Just to let Mr. Nardelli finish his sentence.
9		8 9	MR. ARMSTRONG: Just to let Mr. Nardelli finish his sentence.  THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, just ask the
9 10	<ul><li>Q. They were your primary counsel in that agreement?</li><li>A. No, they were they were involved in that with Cerberus</li></ul>	8 9 10	MR. ARMSTRONG: Just to let Mr. Nardelli finish his sentence.  THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, just ask the question again.
9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. They were your primary counsel in that agreement?</li> <li>A. No, they were they were involved in that with Cerberus in negotiating that with Fiat.</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11	MR. ARMSTRONG: Just to let Mr. Nardelli finish his sentence.  THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, just ask the question again.  Q. Is the settlement discussion being referred to in Mr.
9 10 11 12	<ul><li>Q. They were your primary counsel in that agreement?</li><li>A. No, they were they were involved in that with Cerberus in negotiating that with Fiat.</li><li>Q. They represented Cerberus in connection with the master</li></ul>	8 9 10 11 12	MR. ARMSTRONG: Just to let Mr. Nardelli finish his sentence.  THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, just ask the question again.  Q. Is the settlement discussion being referred to in Mr. LaSorda's e-mail the same settlement that you were previously
9 10 11 12 13	<ul><li>Q. They were your primary counsel in that agreement?</li><li>A. No, they were they were involved in that with Cerberus in negotiating that with Fiat.</li><li>Q. They represented Cerberus in connection with the master transaction agreement?</li></ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. ARMSTRONG: Just to let Mr. Nardelli finish his sentence.  THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, just ask the question again.  Q. Is the settlement discussion being referred to in Mr. LaSorda's e-mail the same settlement that you were previously describing for us?
9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. They were your primary counsel in that agreement?</li> <li>A. No, they were they were involved in that with Cerberus in negotiating that with Fiat.</li> <li>Q. They represented Cerberus in connection with the master transaction agreement?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure. You're asking me they were</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. ARMSTRONG: Just to let Mr. Nardelli finish his sentence.  THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, just ask the question again.  Q. Is the settlement discussion being referred to in Mr. LaSorda's e-mail the same settlement that you were previously describing for us?  THE COURT: Before the witness answers, just identify
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. They were your primary counsel in that agreement?</li> <li>A. No, they were they were involved in that with Cerberus in negotiating that with Fiat.</li> <li>Q. They represented Cerberus in connection with the master transaction agreement?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure. You're asking me they were</li> <li>Q. I'm just trying to understand if you view the Schulte Roth</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. ARMSTRONG: Just to let Mr. Nardelli finish his sentence.  THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, just ask the question again.  Q. Is the settlement discussion being referred to in Mr. LaSorda's e-mail the same settlement that you were previously describing for us?  THE COURT: Before the witness answers, just identify the previous settlement.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. They were your primary counsel in that agreement?</li> <li>A. No, they were they were involved in that with Cerberus in negotiating that with Fiat.</li> <li>Q. They represented Cerberus in connection with the master transaction agreement?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure. You're asking me they were</li> <li>Q. I'm just trying to understand if you view the Schulte Roth &amp; Zabel people as your counsel such that when you have</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. ARMSTRONG: Just to let Mr. Nardelli finish his sentence.  THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, just ask the question again.  Q. Is the settlement discussion being referred to in Mr. LaSorda's e-mail the same settlement that you were previously describing for us?  THE COURT: Before the witness answers, just identify the previous settlement.  MR. LAURIA: We were previously talking about
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. They were your primary counsel in that agreement?</li> <li>A. No, they were they were involved in that with Cerberus in negotiating that with Fiat.</li> <li>Q. They represented Cerberus in connection with the master transaction agreement?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure. You're asking me they were</li> <li>Q. I'm just trying to understand if you view the Schulte Roth &amp; Zabel people as your counsel such that when you have conversations with them you're getting legal advice or if you</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. ARMSTRONG: Just to let Mr. Nardelli finish his sentence.  THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, just ask the question again.  Q. Is the settlement discussion being referred to in Mr.  LaSorda's e-mail the same settlement that you were previously describing for us?  THE COURT: Before the witness answers, just identify the previous settlement.  MR. LAURIA: We were previously talking about  THE COURT: No, I understand. Just identify it for
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. They were your primary counsel in that agreement?</li> <li>A. No, they were they were involved in that with Cerberus in negotiating that with Fiat.</li> <li>Q. They represented Cerberus in connection with the master transaction agreement?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure. You're asking me they were</li> <li>Q. I'm just trying to understand if you view the Schulte Roth &amp; Zabel people as your counsel such that when you have conversations with them you're getting legal advice or if you view them as Cerberus' counsel?</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. ARMSTRONG: Just to let Mr. Nardelli finish his sentence.  THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, just ask the question again.  Q. Is the settlement discussion being referred to in Mr.  LaSorda's e-mail the same settlement that you were previously describing for us?  THE COURT: Before the witness answers, just identify the previous settlement.  MR. LAURIA: We were previously talking about  THE COURT: No, I understand. Just identify it for the witness.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. They were your primary counsel in that agreement?  A. No, they were they were involved in that with Cerberus in negotiating that with Fiat.  Q. They represented Cerberus in connection with the master transaction agreement?  A. I'm not sure. You're asking me they were  Q. I'm just trying to understand if you view the Schulte Roth & Zabel people as your counsel such that when you have conversations with them you're getting legal advice or if you view them as Cerberus' counsel?  A. They were our counsel in I understand your question	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. ARMSTRONG: Just to let Mr. Nardelli finish his sentence.  THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, just ask the question again.  Q. Is the settlement discussion being referred to in Mr.  LaSorda's e-mail the same settlement that you were previously describing for us?  THE COURT: Before the witness answers, just identify the previous settlement.  MR. LAURIA: We were previously talking about  THE COURT: No, I understand. Just identify it for the witness.  Q. A settlement regarding the notes and equity in the
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. They were your primary counsel in that agreement?</li> <li>A. No, they were they were involved in that with Cerberus in negotiating that with Fiat.</li> <li>Q. They represented Cerberus in connection with the master transaction agreement?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure. You're asking me they were</li> <li>Q. I'm just trying to understand if you view the Schulte Roth &amp; Zabel people as your counsel such that when you have conversations with them you're getting legal advice or if you view them as Cerberus' counsel?</li> <li>A. They were our counsel in I understand your question now. They were our counsel in developing the master</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. ARMSTRONG: Just to let Mr. Nardelli finish his sentence.  THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, just ask the question again.  Q. Is the settlement discussion being referred to in Mr.  LaSorda's e-mail the same settlement that you were previously describing for us?  THE COURT: Before the witness answers, just identify the previous settlement.  MR. LAURIA: We were previously talking about THE COURT: No, I understand. Just identify it for the witness.  Q. A settlement regarding the notes and equity in the company.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. They were your primary counsel in that agreement?</li> <li>A. No, they were they were involved in that with Cerberus in negotiating that with Fiat.</li> <li>Q. They represented Cerberus in connection with the master transaction agreement?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure. You're asking me they were</li> <li>Q. I'm just trying to understand if you view the Schulte Roth &amp; Zabel people as your counsel such that when you have conversations with them you're getting legal advice or if you view them as Cerberus' counsel?</li> <li>A. They were our counsel in I understand your question now. They were our counsel in developing the master transaction agreement.</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. ARMSTRONG: Just to let Mr. Nardelli finish his sentence.  THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, just ask the question again.  Q. Is the settlement discussion being referred to in Mr.  LaSorda's e-mail the same settlement that you were previously describing for us?  THE COURT: Before the witness answers, just identify the previous settlement.  MR. LAURIA: We were previously talking about  THE COURT: No, I understand. Just identify it for the witness.  Q. A settlement regarding the notes and equity in the company.  A. This the only way I can answer this, Your Honor, is
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. They were your primary counsel in that agreement?</li> <li>A. No, they were they were involved in that with Cerberus in negotiating that with Fiat.</li> <li>Q. They represented Cerberus in connection with the master transaction agreement?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure. You're asking me they were</li> <li>Q. I'm just trying to understand if you view the Schulte Roth &amp; Zabel people as your counsel such that when you have conversations with them you're getting legal advice or if you view them as Cerberus' counsel?</li> <li>A. They were our counsel in I understand your question now. They were our counsel in developing the master transaction agreement.</li> <li>Q. Not Cerberus'?</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. ARMSTRONG: Just to let Mr. Nardelli finish his sentence.  THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, just ask the question again.  Q. Is the settlement discussion being referred to in Mr. LaSorda's e-mail the same settlement that you were previously describing for us?  THE COURT: Before the witness answers, just identify the previous settlement.  MR. LAURIA: We were previously talking about THE COURT: No, I understand. Just identify it for the witness.  Q. A settlement regarding the notes and equity in the company.  A. This the only way I can answer this, Your Honor, is that this was a part of the settlement. This dealt with some
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. They were your primary counsel in that agreement?</li> <li>A. No, they were they were involved in that with Cerberus in negotiating that with Fiat.</li> <li>Q. They represented Cerberus in connection with the master transaction agreement?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure. You're asking me they were</li> <li>Q. I'm just trying to understand if you view the Schulte Roth &amp; Zabel people as your counsel such that when you have conversations with them you're getting legal advice or if you view them as Cerberus' counsel?</li> <li>A. They were our counsel in I understand your question now. They were our counsel in developing the master transaction agreement.</li> <li>Q. Not Cerberus'?</li> <li>A. I don't I think they I'm not sure.</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. ARMSTRONG: Just to let Mr. Nardelli finish his sentence.  THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lauria, just ask the question again.  Q. Is the settlement discussion being referred to in Mr. LaSorda's e-mail the same settlement that you were previously describing for us?  THE COURT: Before the witness answers, just identify the previous settlement.  MR. LAURIA: We were previously talking about THE COURT: No, I understand. Just identify it for the witness.  Q. A settlement regarding the notes and equity in the company.  A. This the only way I can answer this, Your Honor, is that this was a part of the settlement. This dealt with some of the operating issues. It had nothing to do with equity. It

516-608-2400 212-267-6868

1	They had to provide technology, we had some purchasing	1	little surprised at the response you got from Mr. Bloom?
2	agreements. And Tom was most familiar with those because he	2	A. No, I think it was as curt as the message I got from him.
3	put them in place when we made the acquisition, when Cerberus	3	Q. Did that have an exclamation point?
4	made the acquisition from Daimler.	4	A. From him?
5	So Ron Bloom had asked Tom, and I agreed, to let him try	5	Q. Yes.
6	and negotiate a settlement what would be the most equitable	6	A. No.
7	resolution of these issues. It did not get involved, Your	7	Q. All right. So let's keep going. There's a response from
8	Honor, with equity and first-lien loans and so forth.	8	Mr. LaSorda to you and what does that say?
9	Q. So is it a yes or a no?	9	A. "Thanks, Tom. I guess UST is running it." I'd be happy
10	A. It is what I said.	10	to explain that.
11	Q. You don't know if it's yes or no?	11	Q. If I ask a question, you can answer it. And if you don't
12	A. It is what I said, sir. I can't answer a yes or a no.	12	feel like you got to say enough on my question, I'm sure your
13	Q. That's not a yes or no answer to my question.	13	counsel will help you provide an explanation.
14	A. Not that I would feel comfortable giving you on the	14	A. Okay.
15	record.	15	Q. Is that okay?
16	Q. So this is not part of the Chrysler-Daimler settlement	16	A. Sure.
17	that included resolution of their debt and equity in the	17	Q. All right. Now, the next response is from Mr. LaSorda
18	company?	18	back to you and he says?
19	A. It is part of it, as I explained.	19	A. "Twenty-six days and counting."
20	Q. All right. So let's go up to the response, which was also	20	Q. And twenty-six days and counting was a reference to what
21	copied to you. That's on the next page.	21	A. If I look at the date of 4/4, twenty-six days would put us
22	A. Okay.	22	to the 30th.
23	Q. That's from Ron Bloom. Could you read the response,	23	Q. And what happened on the 30th?
24	please?	24	A. On the 30th was the deadline where we had to have a plan
25	A. It says, "I'm a little more surprised a little more	25	resubmitted that would meet the criteria of Treasury.
23	A. It says, This a fittle more surprised a fittle more	23	252
	200		
1	than surprised that you would consider settling without	1	Q. Your response to that twenty-six days and counting was
2	approval."	2	what?
3	Q. All right. Now what did that mean to you?	3	A. Amen with an exclamation point.
4	A. Well, what this means to me is Ron unfortunately thought	4	Q. You were looking forward to getting to April 30th, I take
5	we were agreeing to a global settlement, back to my earlier	5	it?
6	discussion with you about equity and first lien loans,	6	A. Yes, sir.
7	etcetera. When we went back and clarified that this was only	7	Q. Let's flip to the next e-mail, the next tab, 10 please.
8	the elements that he had asked us to negotiate and that we	8	A. Okay.
9	would not sign anything until he understood that part of it as	9	(Pause)
10	it related to a global settlement is what he was reacting to	10	Q. If you'd look at the e-mail from Mr. Manzo to you, right
11	here.	11	at the I guess it's the second message in the chain.
12	Q. You're response then was a lot shorter then that, wasn't	12	A. Yeah.
13	it?	13	Q. Could you read the second sentence, please?
14	A. Yes.	14	A. The one that starts with "Curious"?
15	Q. Could you read your response, please?	15	Q. Yes.
16	A. Yeah. "Ron thought we were helping, how would you like to		A. "Curious that they often say we really can't tell you what
17	handle it?"	17	to do or how to run your business. And yet, on other matters
18	Q. And his response?	18	have no issue having authority to approve. Oh well."
19	A. "First, by discussing things before you settle."	19	Q. And is this continuing to refer to the Chrysler-Daimler
20	Q. All right. And then your response?	20	settlement, can you tell?
21	A. "I guess we can discuss but not settle."	21	A. I can't tell. My recollection of this would be, again, we
22	Q. And there's an exclamation point at the end of that e-	22	felt that we were instructed to try and resolve some of the
23	mail, isn't there?	23	open operating issues between us between Chrysler LLC and
24	A. Yeah.	24	Daimler. And when we got the note that said, you know, that
			-
25	O. Well, does that exclamation point indicate that you were a	25	Mr. Bloom was surprised we were a little reacted negatively
25	Q. Well, does that exclamation point indicate that you were a 251	25	Mr. Bloom was surprised we were a little reacted negatively 253

1	because we thought we were doing as we were instructed. Once	1	to work through this. So it probably was an overreaction on my
2	we got that cleared up I think we were in good shape.	2	part. A lot of hands on the steering wheel.
3	Q. All right. So all I asked was did this refer to the	3	Q. All right. So I want to go through the key elements of
4	Chrysler-Daimler settlement, that comment?	4	the deal, okay. Would you probably view the government
5	A. I know. You've asked that several times and I'm trying to	5	financing as the most important element of the deal?
6	give you an answer that is as accurate as I possibly can give	6	A. Yes.
7	you.	7	Q. And what's the amount of the government financing for this
8	Q. All I'm looking for is a yes or a no.	8	transaction?
9	A. I can't do that in good conscience.	9	A. Well, let's see if I can summarize. The first part was
10	Q. That's becoming apparent.	10	four billion dollars was the first tranche that we received.
11	A. All I can say, Your Honor, is I'm trying to be as	11	Then there is
12	transparent and accurate as I can be. Sorry.	12	Q. When was that four billion received?
13	Q. All right. Who were the key parties that you dealt with	13	A. That was received in January of '09.
14	at the United States Treasury in these restructuring	14	Q. And you viewed that as part of the financing for this
15	negotiations? Was Ron Bloom one of them?	15	transaction?
16	A. Yes, sir.	16	A. Well, if we didn't get that we wouldn't have this
17	Q. Steve Rattner?	17	transaction.
18	A. To a lesser degree.	18	Q. Okay.
19	Q. And Matthew Feldman?	19	A. So I'm just trying to recant
20	A. To some degree.	20	Q. So you do view it as part of the financing for this
21	Q. When was the first time Mr. Feldman became involved, do	21	transaction?
22	you recall?	22	A. Yeah. And it, to me, we wouldn't have gotten here without
23	A. I don't remember the date, sir.	23	the first four billion.
24	Q. Could you flip to tab 7, please?	24	Q. All right. Then what came next?
25	A. Sure. Okay.	25	A. Then we received we got 4.9 billion in DIP financing.
	254		256
1	Q. All right. The bottom e-mail in this chain is from Mr.	1	Q. And who provided that?
2	Manzo to Mr. Feldman, does that appear to be the case here?	2	A. United States Treasury.
3	(Pause)	3	Q. And then is there anything else? Any other financing?
4	Q. Did you flip to the third page?	4	A. Then upon exiting bankruptcy the government will provide
5	A. The third page?	5	six billion dollars. Two billion dollars, Your Honor, goes to
6	(Pause)	6	OldCo to pay the first-lien lenders and the other four billion
7	A. This is the one that starts off with "Great"?	7	- ·
8			
	O That's it	8	
	Q. That's it. A. Yes, I see that	8	company.
9	A. Yes, I see that.	9	company.  Q. And that is the most important component of this
9 10	A. Yes, I see that. Q. All right. And what's the date of that e-mail?	9 10	company.  Q. And that is the most important component of this transaction?
9 10 11	<ul><li>A. Yes, I see that.</li><li>Q. All right. And what's the date of that e-mail?</li><li>A. It's March 17th.</li></ul>	9 10 11	company.  Q. And that is the most important component of this transaction?  A. Which portion of that?
9 10	A. Yes, I see that. Q. All right. And what's the date of that e-mail? A. It's March 17th. Q. And who is that e-mail from, apparently?	9 10	company.  Q. And that is the most important component of this transaction?  A. Which portion of that?  Q. The government financing?
9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Yes, I see that.</li> <li>Q. All right. And what's the date of that e-mail?</li> <li>A. It's March 17th.</li> <li>Q. And who is that e-mail from, apparently?</li> <li>A. It's from Bob Manzo to Matt Feldman.</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12	company.  Q. And that is the most important component of this transaction?  A. Which portion of that?
9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes, I see that. Q. All right. And what's the date of that e-mail? A. It's March 17th. Q. And who is that e-mail from, apparently?	9 10 11 12 13	company.  Q. And that is the most important component of this transaction?  A. Which portion of that?  Q. The government financing?  A. I think it's very important. We wouldn't be here if it
9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Yes, I see that.</li> <li>Q. All right. And what's the date of that e-mail?</li> <li>A. It's March 17th.</li> <li>Q. And who is that e-mail from, apparently?</li> <li>A. It's from Bob Manzo to Matt Feldman.</li> <li>Q. And the next e-mail is from Matt back to Bob, is that</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14	company.  Q. And that is the most important component of this transaction?  A. Which portion of that?  Q. The government financing?  A. I think it's very important. We wouldn't be here if it wasn't for the government financing.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Yes, I see that.</li> <li>Q. All right. And what's the date of that e-mail?</li> <li>A. It's March 17th.</li> <li>Q. And who is that e-mail from, apparently?</li> <li>A. It's from Bob Manzo to Matt Feldman.</li> <li>Q. And the next e-mail is from Matt back to Bob, is that correct?</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	company.  Q. And that is the most important component of this transaction?  A. Which portion of that?  Q. The government financing?  A. I think it's very important. We wouldn't be here if it wasn't for the government financing.  Q. All right. And would you characterize the UAW settlement
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes, I see that. Q. All right. And what's the date of that e-mail? A. It's March 17th. Q. And who is that e-mail from, apparently? A. It's from Bob Manzo to Matt Feldman. Q. And the next e-mail is from Matt back to Bob, is that correct? A. Yes.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	company. Q. And that is the most important component of this transaction? A. Which portion of that? Q. The government financing? A. I think it's very important. We wouldn't be here if it wasn't for the government financing. Q. All right. And would you characterize the UAW settlement as a very important component of the transaction?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes, I see that. Q. All right. And what's the date of that e-mail? A. It's March 17th. Q. And who is that e-mail from, apparently? A. It's from Bob Manzo to Matt Feldman. Q. And the next e-mail is from Matt back to Bob, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And that e-mail was then forwarded to you, is that	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	company. Q. And that is the most important component of this transaction? A. Which portion of that? Q. The government financing? A. I think it's very important. We wouldn't be here if it wasn't for the government financing. Q. All right. And would you characterize the UAW settlement as a very important component of the transaction? A. Yes, I would.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes, I see that. Q. All right. And what's the date of that e-mail? A. It's March 17th. Q. And who is that e-mail from, apparently? A. It's from Bob Manzo to Matt Feldman. Q. And the next e-mail is from Matt back to Bob, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And that e-mail was then forwarded to you, is that correct?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	company. Q. And that is the most important component of this transaction? A. Which portion of that? Q. The government financing? A. I think it's very important. We wouldn't be here if it wasn't for the government financing. Q. All right. And would you characterize the UAW settlement as a very important component of the transaction? A. Yes, I would. Q. All right. And is the new labor contract an important
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes, I see that. Q. All right. And what's the date of that e-mail? A. It's March 17th. Q. And who is that e-mail from, apparently? A. It's from Bob Manzo to Matt Feldman. Q. And the next e-mail is from Matt back to Bob, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And that e-mail was then forwarded to you, is that correct? A. Yes.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	company. Q. And that is the most important component of this transaction? A. Which portion of that? Q. The government financing? A. I think it's very important. We wouldn't be here if it wasn't for the government financing. Q. All right. And would you characterize the UAW settlement as a very important component of the transaction? A. Yes, I would. Q. All right. And is the new labor contract an important part of that settlement?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes, I see that. Q. All right. And what's the date of that e-mail? A. It's March 17th. Q. And who is that e-mail from, apparently? A. It's from Bob Manzo to Matt Feldman. Q. And the next e-mail is from Matt back to Bob, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And that e-mail was then forwarded to you, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. And what was your response?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	company.  Q. And that is the most important component of this transaction?  A. Which portion of that?  Q. The government financing?  A. I think it's very important. We wouldn't be here if it wasn't for the government financing.  Q. All right. And would you characterize the UAW settlement as a very important component of the transaction?  A. Yes, I would.  Q. All right. And is the new labor contract an important part of that settlement?  A. Yes, it is.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes, I see that. Q. All right. And what's the date of that e-mail? A. It's March 17th. Q. And who is that e-mail from, apparently? A. It's from Bob Manzo to Matt Feldman. Q. And the next e-mail is from Matt back to Bob, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And that e-mail was then forwarded to you, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. And what was your response? A. "I think we're clearly getting more cooks in the kitchen,	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	company.  Q. And that is the most important component of this transaction?  A. Which portion of that?  Q. The government financing?  A. I think it's very important. We wouldn't be here if it wasn't for the government financing.  Q. All right. And would you characterize the UAW settlement as a very important component of the transaction?  A. Yes, I would.  Q. All right. And is the new labor contract an important part of that settlement?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. And is the VEBA settlement an important component?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes, I see that. Q. All right. And what's the date of that e-mail? A. It's March 17th. Q. And who is that e-mail from, apparently? A. It's from Bob Manzo to Matt Feldman. Q. And the next e-mail is from Matt back to Bob, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And that e-mail was then forwarded to you, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. And what was your response? A. "I think we're clearly getting more cooks in the kitchen, doesn't sound too good."	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	company.  Q. And that is the most important component of this transaction?  A. Which portion of that?  Q. The government financing?  A. I think it's very important. We wouldn't be here if it wasn't for the government financing.  Q. All right. And would you characterize the UAW settlement as a very important component of the transaction?  A. Yes, I would.  Q. All right. And is the new labor contract an important part of that settlement?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. And is the VEBA settlement an important component?  A. Yes.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes, I see that. Q. All right. And what's the date of that e-mail? A. It's March 17th. Q. And who is that e-mail from, apparently? A. It's from Bob Manzo to Matt Feldman. Q. And the next e-mail is from Matt back to Bob, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And that e-mail was then forwarded to you, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. And what was your response? A. "I think we're clearly getting more cooks in the kitchen, doesn't sound too good." Q. So you weren't happy about the addition of Mr. Feldman to	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	company.  Q. And that is the most important component of this transaction?  A. Which portion of that?  Q. The government financing?  A. I think it's very important. We wouldn't be here if it wasn't for the government financing.  Q. All right. And would you characterize the UAW settlement as a very important component of the transaction?  A. Yes, I would.  Q. All right. And is the new labor contract an important part of that settlement?  A. Yes, it is.  Q. And is the VEBA settlement an important component?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And you mentioned getting concessions from the
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes, I see that. Q. All right. And what's the date of that e-mail? A. It's March 17th. Q. And who is that e-mail from, apparently? A. It's from Bob Manzo to Matt Feldman. Q. And the next e-mail is from Matt back to Bob, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And that e-mail was then forwarded to you, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. And what was your response? A. "I think we're clearly getting more cooks in the kitchen, doesn't sound too good." Q. So you weren't happy about the addition of Mr. Feldman to the team, is that correct?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. And that is the most important component of this transaction?</li> <li>A. Which portion of that?</li> <li>Q. The government financing?</li> <li>A. I think it's very important. We wouldn't be here if it wasn't for the government financing.</li> <li>Q. All right. And would you characterize the UAW settlement as a very important component of the transaction?</li> <li>A. Yes, I would.</li> <li>Q. All right. And is the new labor contract an important part of that settlement?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>Q. And is the VEBA settlement an important component?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. And you mentioned getting concessions from the dealers, was that an important component of the deal?</li> </ul>

1	Q. All right. And what about dealing with contingent	1	the new emerging name, yes.
2	liabilities, was that important also?	2	Q. Okay. And will that company be called Chrysler after the
3	A. Contingent liabilities?	3	transaction closes?
4	Q. Yes.	4	A. It'll be called Chrysler Group LLC.
5	A. Well, sure they were important but I think you said the	5	Q. All right. From the public's perspective will it be
6	primary importance was to really look at the funding and the	6	Chrysler?
7	various constituent's concessions.	7	A. I don't know what NewCo will do, I mean as far as trying
8	Q. No. My question right now is was dealing with contingent	8	to position the name.
9	liabilities an important component of the transaction?	9	Q. You think they might change their name?
10	A. Yes.	10	A. No, I think they decided on Chrysler Group LLC as opposed
11	Q. And when I say contingent liabilities, would it be fair to	11	to Chrysler. I think it marries up with Fiat Group.
12	say that that includes tort claims?	12	Q. You think the cars won't be called Chryslers, they'll be
13	A. Tort claims?	13	Chrysler Groups?
14	Q. Yes.	14	A. No, they'll be called Chrysler.
15	A. Yes.	15	Q. Okay.
16	Q. And what about environmental liabilities?	16	A. Dodge, Jeep.
17	A. Sure.	17	Q. Same cars?
18	Q. So we've got the government financing, the UAW settlement	, 18	A. Yes.
19	concessions from the dealers and dealing with contingent	19	Q. All right. Could you turn to page 2 of your declaration,
20	liabilities. Are there any other material components to the	20	that's under tab 3 in the book.
21	transaction?	21	A. Okay.
22	A. Sure. There's the CAW, the Canadian Auto Workers.	22	Q. All right. I'd like you to read the first sentence of
23	Q. Okay.	23	paragraph 2 out loud.
24	A. There were supplier concessions. There were huge	24	A. "I am authorized to submit this declaration in support of
25	management concessions, wage concessions, benefit concessions	. 25	Chrysler's request"
	258		260
1		1	Q. No, I'm sorry sir. The first paragraph of the first
1 2	There was an extensive amount of issues that we had to deal with in putting this together.	2	sentence of paragraph 2. Sorry.
3	Q. Was it also important to get Cerberus to forgive its two	3	THE COURT: Now Mr. Lauria, one second. You're on
4	billion dollars of second lien debt?	4	page 2, right?
5	A. It was an important element of it, yes.	5	MR. LAURIA: Yes.
6	Q. And what about resolving the U.S. Treasury's third lien	6	THE COURT: Of the declaration?
7	debt, was that also important?	7	MR. LAURIA: Yes.
8	A. Yes, it was.	8	THE COURT: Paragraph 2.
9	Q. How is that getting resolved?	9	MR. LAURIA: I got confused, Your Honor.
10	A. Well, the first portion, the four billion dollar the	10	THE COURT: Oh, all right.
11	first tranche is being forgiven. And it's my understanding	11	Q. If you'd please read that sentence.
12	that the 4.9 million in DIP financing, to a certain degree,	12	A. Yeah. That's what I was reading, I thought.
13	would be forgiven.	13	Q. Yes.
	Č .	14	MR. LAURIA: I got confused, Your Honor.
14	Q. To a certain degree?		£ ,
14 15	<ul><li>Q. To a certain degree?</li><li>A. Depends on how much of it is expended, is what I meant.</li></ul>	15	A. Oh, I'm sorry.
	A. Depends on how much of it is expended, is what I meant.	15 16	A. Oh, I'm sorry. Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead.
15	<ul><li>A. Depends on how much of it is expended, is what I meant.</li><li>Q. Okay. Would any of it not be forgiven?</li></ul>		•
15 16	<ul><li>A. Depends on how much of it is expended, is what I meant.</li><li>Q. Okay. Would any of it not be forgiven?</li><li>A. Not to my knowledge.</li></ul>	16	<ul><li>Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead.</li><li>A. Okay. "I am authorized to submit this declaration in</li></ul>
15 16 17	<ul><li>A. Depends on how much of it is expended, is what I meant.</li><li>Q. Okay. Would any of it not be forgiven?</li><li>A. Not to my knowledge.</li><li>Q. All of it will be forgiven?</li></ul>	16 17	Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead.  A. Okay. "I am authorized to submit this declaration in support of Chrysler's request that the courts approve the sale
15 16 17 18	<ul><li>A. Depends on how much of it is expended, is what I meant.</li><li>Q. Okay. Would any of it not be forgiven?</li><li>A. Not to my knowledge.</li><li>Q. All of it will be forgiven?</li><li>A. If it is entirely spent on DIP financing.</li></ul>	16 17 18	<ul><li>Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead.</li><li>A. Okay. "I am authorized to submit this declaration in</li></ul>
15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Depends on how much of it is expended, is what I meant.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Would any of it not be forgiven?</li> <li>A. Not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. All of it will be forgiven?</li> <li>A. If it is entirely spent on DIP financing.</li> <li>Q. All right. Now, you're familiar with the master</li> </ul>	16 17 18 19	Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead.  A. Okay. "I am authorized to submit this declaration in support of Chrysler's request that the courts approve the sale of assets to Fiat group. Except as otherwise indicated, all
15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Depends on how much of it is expended, is what I meant.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Would any of it not be forgiven?</li> <li>A. Not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. All of it will be forgiven?</li> <li>A. If it is entirely spent on DIP financing.</li> <li>Q. All right. Now, you're familiar with the master transaction agreement, is that correct?</li> </ul>	16 17 18 19 20	Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead.  A. Okay. "I am authorized to submit this declaration in support of Chrysler's request that the courts approve the sale of assets to Fiat group. Except as otherwise indicated, all facts set forth in this"
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Depends on how much of it is expended, is what I meant.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Would any of it not be forgiven?</li> <li>A. Not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. All of it will be forgiven?</li> <li>A. If it is entirely spent on DIP financing.</li> <li>Q. All right. Now, you're familiar with the master transaction agreement, is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead.  A. Okay. "I am authorized to submit this declaration in support of Chrysler's request that the courts approve the sale of assets to Fiat group. Except as otherwise indicated, all facts set forth in this"  Q. Just the first sentence.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Depends on how much of it is expended, is what I meant.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Would any of it not be forgiven?</li> <li>A. Not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. All of it will be forgiven?</li> <li>A. If it is entirely spent on DIP financing.</li> <li>Q. All right. Now, you're familiar with the master transaction agreement, is that correct?</li> </ul>	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead.</li> <li>A. Okay. "I am authorized to submit this declaration in support of Chrysler's request that the courts approve the sale of assets to Fiat group. Except as otherwise indicated, all facts set forth in this"</li> <li>Q. Just the first sentence.</li> <li>A. I'm sorry.</li> <li>Q. Now, just a moment ago you testified that the assets were</li> </ul>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Depends on how much of it is expended, is what I meant.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Would any of it not be forgiven?</li> <li>A. Not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. All of it will be forgiven?</li> <li>A. If it is entirely spent on DIP financing.</li> <li>Q. All right. Now, you're familiar with the master transaction agreement, is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And under the agreement Chrysler is selling substantially</li> </ul>	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead.</li> <li>A. Okay. "I am authorized to submit this declaration in support of Chrysler's request that the courts approve the sale of assets to Fiat group. Except as otherwise indicated, all facts set forth in this"</li> <li>Q. Just the first sentence.</li> <li>A. I'm sorry.</li> <li>Q. Now, just a moment ago you testified that the assets were</li> </ul>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Depends on how much of it is expended, is what I meant.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Would any of it not be forgiven?</li> <li>A. Not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. All of it will be forgiven?</li> <li>A. If it is entirely spent on DIP financing.</li> <li>Q. All right. Now, you're familiar with the master transaction agreement, is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And under the agreement Chrysler is selling substantially all of its assets to a company called NewCarCo, is that right?</li> </ul>	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead.  A. Okay. "I am authorized to submit this declaration in support of Chrysler's request that the courts approve the sale of assets to Fiat group. Except as otherwise indicated, all facts set forth in this" Q. Just the first sentence.  A. I'm sorry. Q. Now, just a moment ago you testified that the assets were being sold to NewCarCo. Why are you saying that you're selling

1	A. I don't know. I know that the NewCo company will be	1	A. Fifty-five of eighty?
2	called Chrysler Group LLC.	2	Q. I'm sorry; yes fifty-five of eighty-five, I'm sorry.
3	Q. So you're not selling the assets to Fiat Group SPA?	3	MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor?
4	A. And that Fiat basically will be provided the funds to make	4	THE COURT: Yes. One second.
5	that acquisition, to make that purchase.	5	MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection. I don't know that this is
6	Q. Fiat will be provided the funds to make that purchase?	6	useful exercise of our time, what percentage fifty-five is of
7	A. Yes.	7	eighty-five.
8	Q. What funds is Fiat using to make a purchase?	8	THE COURT: Mr. Lauria, why don't you tell him the
9	A. The six billion dollars.	9	percentage. He doesn't need to go through the calculation.
10	Q. Is that money being advanced to Fiat or to NewCarCo?	10	MR. LAURIA: I told him the percentages and he didn't
11	A. I think it is being advanced there's two billion	11	say he'd never heard of that before.
12	dollars that will go to the first lien lenders and then six	12	THE COURT: Well, he may not have.
13	billion dollars will be part of the exit financing that will	13	MR. LAURIA: I'm trying to help him get there.
14	help fund the emergence of NewCarCo, as you say, coming out of		THE COURT: All right. If Fiat has twenty percent
15	bankruptcy.	15	MR. LAURIA: All right. And the United States
16	Q. So none of that money is going to Fiat?	16	Treasury and Canada have ten percent.
17	A. No, none of that money is going to	17	THE COURT: Then isn't it fifty-five of seventy?
	, , ,		•
18	Q. And Fiat isn't providing any money to make this deal	18	MR. LAURIA: Well, I think the percentages it
19	happen, is that correct?	19	starts out at sixty-eight, twelve and twenty. And I think if
20	A. No, it's not.	20	you use fifty-five, ten and twenty you have eighty-five total.
21	Q. All right. Are you aware of what the initial ownership of	21	And if you do the math you get to sixty-eight, twelve and
22	NewCarCo is going to be?	22	twenty.
23	A. Yes. To the best of my knowledge it was negotiated by	23	THE COURT: Okay. I'll take your representation for
24	Treasury that the UAW would have fifty-five percent, that the	24	that.
25	government split between the U.S. and Canada around ten	25	MR. LAURIA: All right.
	262		264
1	percent. And that Fiat would have an initial twenty with the	1	BY MR. LAURIA:
2	opportunity to grow that to thirty-five by accomplishing three	2	Q. So who is the largest shareholder of New Chrysler?
3	specific benchmarks, each of five percent.	3	A. If I use my fifty-five or your sixty-six it would be the
4	1	4	UAW.
5	Q. That only adds up to eighty-five initially, fifty-five,	5	Q. All right. And Fiat has either twenty or thirty-five, is
	ten and twenty, is that correct?	6	that correct?
6	A. Fifty-five, ten and twenty, correct.		
7	Q. Right. So while Fiat is at twenty, is it correct to say	7	A. My understanding of the deal was it was twenty that could
8	that the UAW would be at approximately sixty-eight percent and	8	grow to thirty-five.
9	the United States Treasury and Canada would share approximately	9	Q. All right. So would it be fair to describe New Chrysler,
10	twelve percent?	10	really, as kind of a joint venture between four parties?
11	A. You know, I saw that in an article and I've never looked	11	MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a
12	at it that way. I mean, my knowledge was always fifty-five,	12	legal conclusion.
13	thirty-five and ten. I've read that in the article this	13	MR. LAURIA: I'm asking for a businessman's answer
14	morning in the paper.	14	here.
15	Q. Well, if we start out with let's just assume that we're	15	THE COURT: He can ask for his understanding.
1 1 /	•		
16	going to end up with a hundred shares, okay.	16	A. No, I would not, in my context of experience, call that a
17	going to end up with a hundred shares, okay.  A. A hundred percent, yes.	17	joint venture.
17 18	going to end up with a hundred shares, okay.  A. A hundred percent, yes.  Q. Okay?	17 18	joint venture. Q. What would you call it?
17 18 19	going to end up with a hundred shares, okay.  A. A hundred percent, yes.  Q. Okay?  A. Uh-huh.	17 18 19	joint venture.  Q. What would you call it?  A. I would call it a distribution of equity ownership.
17 18 19 20	going to end up with a hundred shares, okay.  A. A hundred percent, yes.  Q. Okay?  A. Uh-huh.  Q. And we've got twenty shares initially going to Fiat.	17 18 19 20	joint venture.  Q. What would you call it?  A. I would call it a distribution of equity ownership.  Q. Okay. Certainly not a sale to Fiat, is it?
17 18 19 20 21	going to end up with a hundred shares, okay.  A. A hundred percent, yes.  Q. Okay?  A. Uh-huh.	17 18 19	joint venture.  Q. What would you call it?  A. I would call it a distribution of equity ownership.
17 18 19 20 21 22	going to end up with a hundred shares, okay.  A. A hundred percent, yes.  Q. Okay?  A. Uh-huh.  Q. And we've got twenty shares initially going to Fiat.	17 18 19 20	joint venture. Q. What would you call it? A. I would call it a distribution of equity ownership. Q. Okay. Certainly not a sale to Fiat, is it? A. Not a sale to Fiat.
17 18 19 20 21	going to end up with a hundred shares, okay.  A. A hundred percent, yes.  Q. Okay?  A. Uh-huh.  Q. And we've got twenty shares initially going to Fiat.  We've got ten going to the United States Treasury and Canada	17 18 19 20 21	joint venture. Q. What would you call it? A. I would call it a distribution of equity ownership. Q. Okay. Certainly not a sale to Fiat, is it? A. Not a sale to Fiat.
17 18 19 20 21 22	going to end up with a hundred shares, okay.  A. A hundred percent, yes.  Q. Okay?  A. Uh-huh.  Q. And we've got twenty shares initially going to Fiat.  We've got ten going to the United States Treasury and Canada and we've got fifty-five going to the UAW, is that correct?	17 18 19 20 21 22	joint venture.  Q. What would you call it?  A. I would call it a distribution of equity ownership.  Q. Okay. Certainly not a sale to Fiat, is it?  A. Not a sale to Fiat.  Q. Okay. So when did the reorganization of Chrysler become a
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	going to end up with a hundred shares, okay.  A. A hundred percent, yes.  Q. Okay?  A. Uh-huh.  Q. And we've got twenty shares initially going to Fiat.  We've got ten going to the United States Treasury and Canada and we've got fifty-five going to the UAW, is that correct?  A. That was my recollection of the deal, yeah.	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	joint venture.  Q. What would you call it?  A. I would call it a distribution of equity ownership.  Q. Okay. Certainly not a sale to Fiat, is it?  A. Not a sale to Fiat.  Q. Okay. So when did the reorganization of Chrysler become a sale of assets to NewCarCo?

1	A. Well, it won't become an actual sale of assets until the	1	A. The equity at that point had grown I could look in here
2	transaction's complete.	2	and confirm but I believe it had grown to somewhere around
3	Q. Well let me ask you, when you submitted the viability	3	thirty, thirty-five percent.
4	report on February 17th, you're familiar with that?	4	Q. All right. And let's flip forward to tab 6 for a second,
5	A. Yes.	5	okay? Do you recognize tab 6?
6	Q. Okay. Your vision of a reorganized Chrysler at that point	6	A. Yes, sir. I do.
7	was a standalone reorganization, is that correct?	7	Q. What is it?
8	MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor, to counsel's	8	A. This is a letter let me make sure. This is a letter
9	use of the term reorganized. Before he made some hay about	9	that I sent to Ron Bloom and Steve Rattner.
10	restructuring, you allowed him to use that word with the	10	Q. And what's the date of the letter?
11	witness, not reorganize.	11	A. The date is March 10, 2009.
12	THE COURT: You can use what's in the report, Mr.	12	Q. All right. If you could turn to page 3 with me.
13	Lauria.	13	A. Okay.
14	MR. LAURIA: Why don't we go to the report?	14	Q. If we could look at paragraph 3, and I would just ask you
15	THE COURT: Go ahead.	15	to read the first sentence of that paragraph.
16	Q. That's under tab 2. Can you tell us what's at the bottom	16	A. The one that starts with regardless?
17	of the cover page?	17	Q. No, the one that starts when we spoke on the topic of
18	A. Chrysler's restructuring plan for long-term viability.	18	Fiat.
19	Q. Okay. And in this restructuring plan the company proposed	19	A. Not the third paragraph but okay. "When we spoke on
20	that Chrysler restructure as a standalone company, is that	20	the topic of Fiat you mentioned that Fiat must make a cash
21	correct?	21	contribution for their thirty-five percent equity stake due to
22	A. Can you say it again, please? The government said what?	22	the optics, not the economics, of the deal."
23	Q. In this restructuring plan Chrysler proposed that it	23	Q. All right. So the deal contemplated a thirty-five percent
24	restructure as a standalone company, correct?	24	stake for Fiat, is that correct?
25	A. Yes, that was one of the proposals.	25	A. Yes.
	266		268
1	O And what was the second proposal?	1	O And that thirty-five percent stake was going to be in
1 2	Q. And what was the second proposal?  A. The second proposal was to form an alliance with Fiat.	1 2	Q. And that thirty-five percent stake was going to be in Chrysler LLC, is that correct?
2	A. The second proposal was to form an alliance with Fiat.	2	Chrysler LLC, is that correct?
2	A. The second proposal was to form an alliance with Fiat.  Q. Now, when you say the word alliance you don't mean sell	2	Chrysler LLC, is that correct?  A. Correct.
2 3 4	A. The second proposal was to form an alliance with Fiat.  Q. Now, when you say the word alliance you don't mean sell your assets to Fiat, right?	2 3 4	Chrysler LLC, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And they were proposing to put in certain technology and
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. The second proposal was to form an alliance with Fiat.</li><li>Q. Now, when you say the word alliance you don't mean sell your assets to Fiat, right?</li><li>A. No, the alliance was intended to have an equity</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	Chrysler LLC, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And they were proposing to put in certain technology and platforms, is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>A. The second proposal was to form an alliance with Fiat.</li><li>Q. Now, when you say the word alliance you don't mean sell your assets to Fiat, right?</li><li>A. No, the alliance was intended to have an equity participation with Fiat.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	Chrysler LLC, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And they were proposing to put in certain technology and platforms, is that correct?  A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. The second proposal was to form an alliance with Fiat.</li> <li>Q. Now, when you say the word alliance you don't mean sell your assets to Fiat, right?</li> <li>A. No, the alliance was intended to have an equity participation with Fiat.</li> <li>Q. What does that mean, an equity participation?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	Chrysler LLC, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And they were proposing to put in certain technology and platforms, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. But not cash, is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. The second proposal was to form an alliance with Fiat.</li> <li>Q. Now, when you say the word alliance you don't mean sell your assets to Fiat, right?</li> <li>A. No, the alliance was intended to have an equity participation with Fiat.</li> <li>Q. What does that mean, an equity participation?</li> <li>A. Well, the original discussion that we had with Fiat was</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Chrysler LLC, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And they were proposing to put in certain technology and platforms, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. But not cash, is that correct?  A. They were not putting cash in, that's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. The second proposal was to form an alliance with Fiat.</li> <li>Q. Now, when you say the word alliance you don't mean sell your assets to Fiat, right?</li> <li>A. No, the alliance was intended to have an equity participation with Fiat.</li> <li>Q. What does that mean, an equity participation?</li> <li>A. Well, the original discussion that we had with Fiat was similar to what we had with Nissan and others, that we wanted</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Chrysler LLC, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And they were proposing to put in certain technology and platforms, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. But not cash, is that correct?  A. They were not putting cash in, that's correct.  Q. But you thought that they should, is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. The second proposal was to form an alliance with Fiat.</li> <li>Q. Now, when you say the word alliance you don't mean sell your assets to Fiat, right?</li> <li>A. No, the alliance was intended to have an equity participation with Fiat.</li> <li>Q. What does that mean, an equity participation?</li> <li>A. Well, the original discussion that we had with Fiat was similar to what we had with Nissan and others, that we wanted to explore the opportunity, Your Honor, of granting them some</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Chrysler LLC, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And they were proposing to put in certain technology and platforms, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. But not cash, is that correct?  A. They were not putting cash in, that's correct.  Q. But you thought that they should, is that correct?  A. Well, this is saying when we spoke this is referring to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. The second proposal was to form an alliance with Fiat.  Q. Now, when you say the word alliance you don't mean sell your assets to Fiat, right?  A. No, the alliance was intended to have an equity participation with Fiat.  Q. What does that mean, an equity participation?  A. Well, the original discussion that we had with Fiat was similar to what we had with Nissan and others, that we wanted to explore the opportunity, Your Honor, of granting them some equity in the company in exchange for their products and their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Chrysler LLC, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And they were proposing to put in certain technology and platforms, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. But not cash, is that correct?  A. They were not putting cash in, that's correct.  Q. But you thought that they should, is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. The second proposal was to form an alliance with Fiat.  Q. Now, when you say the word alliance you don't mean sell your assets to Fiat, right?  A. No, the alliance was intended to have an equity participation with Fiat.  Q. What does that mean, an equity participation?  A. Well, the original discussion that we had with Fiat was similar to what we had with Nissan and others, that we wanted to explore the opportunity, Your Honor, of granting them some equity in the company in exchange for their products and their technology, etcetera, and the helping us.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Chrysler LLC, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And they were proposing to put in certain technology and platforms, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. But not cash, is that correct?  A. They were not putting cash in, that's correct.  Q. But you thought that they should, is that correct?  A. Well, this is saying when we spoke this is referring to Mr. Rattner and Mr. Bloom mentioned that Fiat must make a cash contribution.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. The second proposal was to form an alliance with Fiat.  Q. Now, when you say the word alliance you don't mean sell your assets to Fiat, right?  A. No, the alliance was intended to have an equity participation with Fiat.  Q. What does that mean, an equity participation?  A. Well, the original discussion that we had with Fiat was similar to what we had with Nissan and others, that we wanted to explore the opportunity, Your Honor, of granting them some equity in the company in exchange for their products and their technology, etcetera, and the helping us.  Q. So you wanted to get an equity investment by Fiat into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Chrysler LLC, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And they were proposing to put in certain technology and platforms, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. But not cash, is that correct?  A. They were not putting cash in, that's correct.  Q. But you thought that they should, is that correct?  A. Well, this is saying when we spoke this is referring to Mr. Rattner and Mr. Bloom mentioned that Fiat must make a cash
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. The second proposal was to form an alliance with Fiat.  Q. Now, when you say the word alliance you don't mean sell your assets to Fiat, right?  A. No, the alliance was intended to have an equity participation with Fiat.  Q. What does that mean, an equity participation?  A. Well, the original discussion that we had with Fiat was similar to what we had with Nissan and others, that we wanted to explore the opportunity, Your Honor, of granting them some equity in the company in exchange for their products and their technology, etcetera, and the helping us.  Q. So you wanted to get an equity investment by Fiat into Chrysler?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Chrysler LLC, is that correct?  A. Correct. Q. And they were proposing to put in certain technology and platforms, is that correct? A. Correct. Q. But not cash, is that correct? A. They were not putting cash in, that's correct. Q. But you thought that they should, is that correct? A. Well, this is saying when we spoke this is referring to Mr. Rattner and Mr. Bloom mentioned that Fiat must make a cash contribution. Q. So you didn't think they needed to make a cash
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. The second proposal was to form an alliance with Fiat.  Q. Now, when you say the word alliance you don't mean sell your assets to Fiat, right?  A. No, the alliance was intended to have an equity participation with Fiat.  Q. What does that mean, an equity participation?  A. Well, the original discussion that we had with Fiat was similar to what we had with Nissan and others, that we wanted to explore the opportunity, Your Honor, of granting them some equity in the company in exchange for their products and their technology, etcetera, and the helping us.  Q. So you wanted to get an equity investment by Fiat into Chrysler?  A. They would provide product, platforms, technology, not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Chrysler LLC, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And they were proposing to put in certain technology and platforms, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. But not cash, is that correct?  A. They were not putting cash in, that's correct.  Q. But you thought that they should, is that correct?  A. Well, this is saying when we spoke this is referring to Mr. Rattner and Mr. Bloom mentioned that Fiat must make a cash contribution.  Q. So you didn't think they needed to make a cash contribution?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. The second proposal was to form an alliance with Fiat.  Q. Now, when you say the word alliance you don't mean sell your assets to Fiat, right?  A. No, the alliance was intended to have an equity participation with Fiat.  Q. What does that mean, an equity participation?  A. Well, the original discussion that we had with Fiat was similar to what we had with Nissan and others, that we wanted to explore the opportunity, Your Honor, of granting them some equity in the company in exchange for their products and their technology, etcetera, and the helping us.  Q. So you wanted to get an equity investment by Fiat into Chrysler?  A. They would provide product, platforms, technology, not cash. But they would bring those assets to Chrysler LLC in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Chrysler LLC, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And they were proposing to put in certain technology and platforms, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. But not cash, is that correct?  A. They were not putting cash in, that's correct.  Q. But you thought that they should, is that correct?  A. Well, this is saying when we spoke this is referring to Mr. Rattner and Mr. Bloom mentioned that Fiat must make a cash contribution.  Q. So you didn't think they needed to make a cash contribution?  A. No, the original discussions that we started with Fiat was, as I said, Your Honor, twenty percent for platforms,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. The second proposal was to form an alliance with Fiat.  Q. Now, when you say the word alliance you don't mean sell your assets to Fiat, right?  A. No, the alliance was intended to have an equity participation with Fiat.  Q. What does that mean, an equity participation?  A. Well, the original discussion that we had with Fiat was similar to what we had with Nissan and others, that we wanted to explore the opportunity, Your Honor, of granting them some equity in the company in exchange for their products and their technology, etcetera, and the helping us.  Q. So you wanted to get an equity investment by Fiat into Chrysler?  A. They would provide product, platforms, technology, not cash. But they would bring those assets to Chrysler LLC in exchange for some equity.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Chrysler LLC, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And they were proposing to put in certain technology and platforms, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. But not cash, is that correct?  A. They were not putting cash in, that's correct.  Q. But you thought that they should, is that correct?  A. Well, this is saying when we spoke this is referring to Mr. Rattner and Mr. Bloom mentioned that Fiat must make a cash contribution.  Q. So you didn't think they needed to make a cash contribution?  A. No, the original discussions that we started with Fiat
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. The second proposal was to form an alliance with Fiat.  Q. Now, when you say the word alliance you don't mean sell your assets to Fiat, right?  A. No, the alliance was intended to have an equity participation with Fiat.  Q. What does that mean, an equity participation?  A. Well, the original discussion that we had with Fiat was similar to what we had with Nissan and others, that we wanted to explore the opportunity, Your Honor, of granting them some equity in the company in exchange for their products and their technology, etcetera, and the helping us.  Q. So you wanted to get an equity investment by Fiat into Chrysler?  A. They would provide product, platforms, technology, not cash. But they would bring those assets to Chrysler LLC in exchange for some equity.  Q. All right. And did you have any discussions regarding how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Chrysler LLC, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And they were proposing to put in certain technology and platforms, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. But not cash, is that correct?  A. They were not putting cash in, that's correct.  Q. But you thought that they should, is that correct?  A. Well, this is saying when we spoke this is referring to Mr. Rattner and Mr. Bloom mentioned that Fiat must make a cash contribution.  Q. So you didn't think they needed to make a cash contribution?  A. No, the original discussions that we started with Fiat was, as I said, Your Honor, twenty percent for platforms, powertrain, engine technology.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. The second proposal was to form an alliance with Fiat.  Q. Now, when you say the word alliance you don't mean sell your assets to Fiat, right?  A. No, the alliance was intended to have an equity participation with Fiat.  Q. What does that mean, an equity participation?  A. Well, the original discussion that we had with Fiat was similar to what we had with Nissan and others, that we wanted to explore the opportunity, Your Honor, of granting them some equity in the company in exchange for their products and their technology, etcetera, and the helping us.  Q. So you wanted to get an equity investment by Fiat into Chrysler?  A. They would provide product, platforms, technology, not cash. But they would bring those assets to Chrysler LLC in exchange for some equity.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Chrysler LLC, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And they were proposing to put in certain technology and platforms, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. But not cash, is that correct?  A. They were not putting cash in, that's correct.  Q. But you thought that they should, is that correct?  A. Well, this is saying when we spoke this is referring to Mr. Rattner and Mr. Bloom mentioned that Fiat must make a cash contribution.  Q. So you didn't think they needed to make a cash contribution?  A. No, the original discussions that we started with Fiat was, as I said, Your Honor, twenty percent for platforms, powertrain, engine technology.  Q. Okay. So on February 17th there was going to be an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. The second proposal was to form an alliance with Fiat.  Q. Now, when you say the word alliance you don't mean sell your assets to Fiat, right?  A. No, the alliance was intended to have an equity participation with Fiat.  Q. What does that mean, an equity participation?  A. Well, the original discussion that we had with Fiat was similar to what we had with Nissan and others, that we wanted to explore the opportunity, Your Honor, of granting them some equity in the company in exchange for their products and their technology, etcetera, and the helping us.  Q. So you wanted to get an equity investment by Fiat into Chrysler?  A. They would provide product, platforms, technology, not cash. But they would bring those assets to Chrysler LLC in exchange for some equity.  Q. All right. And did you have any discussions regarding how much equity they would get for those things?  A. Now this discussion, again, started in the May/June	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Chrysler LLC, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And they were proposing to put in certain technology and platforms, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. But not cash, is that correct?  A. They were not putting cash in, that's correct.  Q. But you thought that they should, is that correct?  A. Well, this is saying when we spoke this is referring to Mr. Rattner and Mr. Bloom mentioned that Fiat must make a cash contribution.  Q. So you didn't think they needed to make a cash contribution?  A. No, the original discussions that we started with Fiat was, as I said, Your Honor, twenty percent for platforms, powertrain, engine technology.  Q. Okay. So on February 17th there was going to be an alliance with Fiat. The transaction contemplated an investment by Fiat in Chrysler for which it would receive equity in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. The second proposal was to form an alliance with Fiat.  Q. Now, when you say the word alliance you don't mean sell your assets to Fiat, right?  A. No, the alliance was intended to have an equity participation with Fiat.  Q. What does that mean, an equity participation?  A. Well, the original discussion that we had with Fiat was similar to what we had with Nissan and others, that we wanted to explore the opportunity, Your Honor, of granting them some equity in the company in exchange for their products and their technology, etcetera, and the helping us.  Q. So you wanted to get an equity investment by Fiat into Chrysler?  A. They would provide product, platforms, technology, not cash. But they would bring those assets to Chrysler LLC in exchange for some equity.  Q. All right. And did you have any discussions regarding how much equity they would get for those things?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Chrysler LLC, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And they were proposing to put in certain technology and platforms, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. But not cash, is that correct?  A. They were not putting cash in, that's correct.  Q. But you thought that they should, is that correct?  A. Well, this is saying when we spoke this is referring to Mr. Rattner and Mr. Bloom mentioned that Fiat must make a cash contribution.  Q. So you didn't think they needed to make a cash contribution?  A. No, the original discussions that we started with Fiat was, as I said, Your Honor, twenty percent for platforms, powertrain, engine technology.  Q. Okay. So on February 17th there was going to be an alliance with Fiat. The transaction contemplated an investment
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. The second proposal was to form an alliance with Fiat.  Q. Now, when you say the word alliance you don't mean sell your assets to Fiat, right?  A. No, the alliance was intended to have an equity participation with Fiat.  Q. What does that mean, an equity participation?  A. Well, the original discussion that we had with Fiat was similar to what we had with Nissan and others, that we wanted to explore the opportunity, Your Honor, of granting them some equity in the company in exchange for their products and their technology, etcetera, and the helping us.  Q. So you wanted to get an equity investment by Fiat into Chrysler?  A. They would provide product, platforms, technology, not cash. But they would bring those assets to Chrysler LLC in exchange for some equity.  Q. All right. And did you have any discussions regarding how much equity they would get for those things?  A. Now this discussion, again, started in the May/June timeframe of '08, similar to our discussions with Nissan at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Chrysler LLC, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And they were proposing to put in certain technology and platforms, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. But not cash, is that correct?  A. They were not putting cash in, that's correct.  Q. But you thought that they should, is that correct?  A. Well, this is saying when we spoke this is referring to Mr. Rattner and Mr. Bloom mentioned that Fiat must make a cash contribution.  Q. So you didn't think they needed to make a cash contribution?  A. No, the original discussions that we started with Fiat was, as I said, Your Honor, twenty percent for platforms, powertrain, engine technology.  Q. Okay. So on February 17th there was going to be an alliance with Fiat. The transaction contemplated an investment by Fiat in Chrysler for which it would receive equity in the company. A noncash investment, is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. The second proposal was to form an alliance with Fiat.  Q. Now, when you say the word alliance you don't mean sell your assets to Fiat, right?  A. No, the alliance was intended to have an equity participation with Fiat.  Q. What does that mean, an equity participation?  A. Well, the original discussion that we had with Fiat was similar to what we had with Nissan and others, that we wanted to explore the opportunity, Your Honor, of granting them some equity in the company in exchange for their products and their technology, etcetera, and the helping us.  Q. So you wanted to get an equity investment by Fiat into Chrysler?  A. They would provide product, platforms, technology, not cash. But they would bring those assets to Chrysler LLC in exchange for some equity.  Q. All right. And did you have any discussions regarding how much equity they would get for those things?  A. Now this discussion, again, started in the May/June timeframe of '08, similar to our discussions with Nissan at the time. And discussions were somewhere around twenty percent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Chrysler LLC, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And they were proposing to put in certain technology and platforms, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. But not cash, is that correct?  A. They were not putting cash in, that's correct.  Q. But you thought that they should, is that correct?  A. Well, this is saying when we spoke this is referring to Mr. Rattner and Mr. Bloom mentioned that Fiat must make a cash contribution.  Q. So you didn't think they needed to make a cash contribution?  A. No, the original discussions that we started with Fiat was, as I said, Your Honor, twenty percent for platforms, powertrain, engine technology.  Q. Okay. So on February 17th there was going to be an alliance with Fiat. The transaction contemplated an investment by Fiat in Chrysler for which it would receive equity in the company. A noncash investment, is that correct?  A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. The second proposal was to form an alliance with Fiat.  Q. Now, when you say the word alliance you don't mean sell your assets to Fiat, right?  A. No, the alliance was intended to have an equity participation with Fiat.  Q. What does that mean, an equity participation?  A. Well, the original discussion that we had with Fiat was similar to what we had with Nissan and others, that we wanted to explore the opportunity, Your Honor, of granting them some equity in the company in exchange for their products and their technology, etcetera, and the helping us.  Q. So you wanted to get an equity investment by Fiat into Chrysler?  A. They would provide product, platforms, technology, not cash. But they would bring those assets to Chrysler LLC in exchange for some equity.  Q. All right. And did you have any discussions regarding how much equity they would get for those things?  A. Now this discussion, again, started in the May/June timeframe of '08, similar to our discussions with Nissan at the time. And discussions were somewhere around twenty percent equity.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Chrysler LLC, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And they were proposing to put in certain technology and platforms, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. But not cash, is that correct?  A. They were not putting cash in, that's correct.  Q. But you thought that they should, is that correct?  A. Well, this is saying when we spoke this is referring to Mr. Rattner and Mr. Bloom mentioned that Fiat must make a cash contribution.  Q. So you didn't think they needed to make a cash contribution?  A. No, the original discussions that we started with Fiat was, as I said, Your Honor, twenty percent for platforms, powertrain, engine technology.  Q. Okay. So on February 17th there was going to be an alliance with Fiat. The transaction contemplated an investment by Fiat in Chrysler for which it would receive equity in the company. A noncash investment, is that correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. And on this on the date of this letter, March 10th,

2 Q. All right. At what point did the transaction become a sale of assets to a new company in which Flat would own an interest?  5 A. Well, as we move closer to the date, if you'll recall, at the end of I assets to a new company in which Flat would own an interest?  5 A. Well, as we move closer to the date, if you'll recall, at the end of I asset to a new company in which Flat would own an interest?  5 A. Well, as we move closer to the date, if you'll recall, at the end of I asset to end of March. the auto task force and the President of the United States said, one; your standalone viability plan is not viable. Two —  9 Q. Sir, I'm sorry, But we're at Murch 10th.  A. Okay.  A. O. A. Okay.  A. O. And I was just asking, at what point — at what point the transaction envisioned on the February J'lh restructuring plan and then again in the March 10th letter, became a sale of transaction envisioned on the February J'lh restructuring plan and then again in the March 10th letter, became a sale of the creations of the Perinary J'lh restructuring plan and then again in the March 10th letter, became a sale of the creations of the president would be making an announcement?  5 A. Well, as we move closer to the date in the sale of the March 10th letter, became a sale of the sale of the president would be making an announcement would have been the and to task force but I don't remember the exact it me of date when something like that evolved.  5 A. Treally don't.  1 Q. Yes,  A. I really don't.  1 Q. Yes,  A. I may be with the and that the auto task force but I don't remember the exact it me of date when something like that evolved.  A. In regards to forming a NewCo company?  2 O. Yes,  A. I may be with the sale and the end of March; in the surface of the deal?  2 A. Yes,  3 Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March; in the surface of the deal?  2 A. Yes,  3 Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after the president amounced that your standalone extentioning like that the president amounced that				
sale of assets to a new company in which Fiat would own an interest?  A. Well, as we move closer to the date, if you'll recall, at the end of —this would have been at the end of March, the auto task force and the President of the Utited States said, one; your standalone viability plan is not viable. Two — you Sin. I'm sorry, Dat we're at March 10th.  A. Okay, Sin. I'm sorry, Dat we're at March 10th.  A. Okay, So, Sin. This sorry, Dat we're at March 10th.  A. Okay, So, Sin. This sorry, Dat we're at March 10th.  A. Okay pust assaking, at what point —a what p	1	A. That was the point I was trying to make in this note.	1	A. I do.
believe it was at the end of March. A Well, as we move closer to the date, if you'll recall, at the end of — this would have been at the end of March, the and to task force and the President amouncement?  A New residual one visual than the president of the United States said, one; your standalone viability plan is not viable. Two—  So, Sir, I'm sorry, But were at March 10th. A New; A New; A New; A New; A New; A New; A New are staid that your plans is not viable. And that you would have to form an alliance with Fiat and/or someone else going forward. And we had thirty days to put that plan together.  The president mentioned on the February 17th restructuring plan and then again in the March 10th letter, became a sale of assets to a company in which Fiat would get an interest?  A. It took place towards the end of March, is my recollection.  A It was in would have been the auto task force but I don't member the exact time or date when something like that evolved.  A. In really don't.  O, Who did you first hear about it from?  A It took place towards the end of March, is my recollection.  D, Who ind you first hear about it from?  A I really don't.  O, Who ind you first hear about it from?  A I really don't.  O, Who ind you first hear about it from?  A I really don't.  O, Who ind you first hear about it from?  A I lore treatl the due, to be honest with you. I know that I have been the auto task force about changing a proposal was unacceptable?  A I don't recall the due, to be honest with you. I know that I have the lamp to look at it.  O Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after the president amounced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable?  A I don't recall the due, to be honest with you. I know that I might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in the resident amounced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable?  A Rection to?	2	Q. All right. At what point did the transaction become a	2	Q. And when was that?
5 A. Well, as we move closer to the date, if you'll recall, at 6 the end of — this would have been at the end of March, the 6 auto task force and the President of the United States said, 8 one; your standalone viability plan is not viable. Two — 9 Q. Sir, I'm sorry. But we're at March 10th. 10 A. Okay. 11 Q. And I was just asking, at what point — at what point the transaction envisioned on the February 17th restructuring plan and then again in the March 10th letter, became a sale of the transaction envisioned on the February 17th restructuring plan and then again in the March 10th letter, became a sale of the transaction will be a sesset so a company in which Fiat would get an interes? 14 A. It color I kan be a season of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this the irribution of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this the irribution of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this the irribution of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this the irribution of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this the irribution of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this this the first alternative was turned down. 25 cm² color.  1 Q. Vou do recall when the first alternative was turned down. 25 cm² color.  2 Q. Vou do recall when the first alternative was turned down. 25 cm² color.  2 Q. Vou do recall when the first alternative was turned down. 25 cm² color.  2 Q. Vou do recall when the first alternative was turned down. 25 cm² color.  3 Q. Qual down think that if recall the date, to be homes with your standalone restructuring proposel was unacceptable?  4 A. Reaction to?  5 Q. Do you recall what your reaction was when you first heart in might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in here I the happy to look at it.  4 A. Chan is a color of the president would be an interest or the sit of you why they they they first and the sit of your why they they the treansaction should be structured as a transfer of Chrysler's asset	3	sale of assets to a new company in which Fiat would own an	3	A. That's when the president made his announcement. I
the end of - this would have been at the end of March, the anto task force and the President of the United States said, 7 or 2 or 2 or 3 or 3 or 3 or 3 or 3 or 3	4	interest?	4	believe it was at the end of March.
auto task force and the President of the United States said, one; your standalone viability plan is not viable. Two— one; your standalone viability plan is not viable. Two— one; your standalone viability plan is not viable. And that you plan is not viable. And that you plan is not viable. And that you would have to form an alliance with Fitta and/or someone else going forward. And we had thirty days to put that plan to going or forward. And we had thirty days to put that plan to going or forward. And we had thirty days to put that p	5	A. Well, as we move closer to the date, if you'll recall, at	5	Q. Did you get any advance notice of that announcement?
one; your standalone viability plan is not viable. Two Q Sir, I'm sorry. But we're at March 10th. Q And I was just asking, at what point at what point the transaction envisioned on the February 17th restructuring plan and then again in the March 10th letter, became a sale of sacet so a company in which Fiat would get an interest? A. It took place towards the end of March, is my recollection.  Q Do you remember how that topic first came up? A. I'm sure it would have been the auto task force but I don't remember the exact time or date when something like that evolved. Q. But you say you're sure it would have been the auto task. A I really don't. Q Dy es. Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March? A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know that t that The president announced that you standalone restructuring proprosal was unacceptable? A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions of going on about how we might restructure it and what form it might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in the roll of the resident announced that your reaction was when you first hear of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this the first atternative was turned obton.  A. I don't recall it recall that became see if I can frame this froy ou. One of the things that obviously we had to be prepared for was in fact filing for bankruptcy. That was one of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this the enswer to your question.  Q. Vou do recall when the first alternative was turned down, at 50 course.  Q. Vou do recall when the first alternative was turned down, and again I don't recall.  Q. Vou do recall when the first alternative was turned down, and again I don't recall.  Q. Vou do recall when the first alternative was turned down, and again I don't recall.  Q. Vou	6	the end of this would have been at the end of March, the	6	A. We were informed the evening before from the auto task
9 Q. Sir, I'm sorry. But we're at March 10th. 10 A. Okay. 11 Q. And I was just asking, at what point — at what point he transaction envisioned on the February 17th restructuring plan and then again in the March 10th letter, became a sale of a saset so a company in which Flat would get an interest? 15 A. It took place towards the end of March, is my 16 recollection. 16 P. Op you remember how that topic first came up? 17 Q. Do you remember how that topic first came up? 18 A. I really don't. 19 Q. Who did you first hear about it from? 19 A. I really don't. 20 A. I may re it would have been the auto task force but I don't remember the exact time or date when something like that 2 cevolved. 21 don't remember the exact time or date when something like that 2 cevolved. 22 evolved. 23 Q. But you say you're sure it would have been the auto task force but I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know 1 force? 24 A. I negards to forming a NewCo company? 25 I that: 26 Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after the president announced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable? 3 A. I don't recall. It know there was so many discussions going forward. And we had thirty days to put that plan 1 going forward. And we had thirty days to put that plan 1 going forward. And we had thirty days to put that plan 1 going forward. And we had thirty days to put that plan 1 going forward. And we had thirty days to put that plan 1 going forward. And we had thirty days to put that plan 1 going forward. And we had thirty days to put that plan 1 going forward. And we had thirty days to put that plan 1 going forward. And we had thirty days to put that plan 1 going forward. And we had their will be done that plon of that? 4 D. Q. Who will have a potential to put the present of the deal? 5 Q. Yes. 5 A. I really don't. 6 Q. Well, stant the many the first an advanced to prove the stant the end of March? 7 A. A. A. A. I don't recall it the date, to be honest with you. I know 1 going forward. And we had	7	auto task force and the President of the United States said,	7	force that the president would be making an announcement the
10 A. Okay. 11 Q. And I was just asking, at what point — at what point the transaction envisioned on the February I 7th restructuring plan and then again in the March 10th letter, became a sale of the assets to a company in which Fiat would get an interest? 14 assets to a company in which Fiat would get an interest? 15 A. It took place towards the end of March, is my recollection. 16 recollection. 17 Q. Do you remember how that topic first came up? 18 A. It really don't. 19 Q. Who did you first hear about it from? 19 Q. Who did you first hear about it from? 20 A. I'm sure it would have been the auto task force but I of the three are time or date when something like that force? 21 Q. But you say you're sure it would have been the auto task force? 22 evolved. 23 Q. But you say you're sure it would have been the auto task force? 24 A. Ves. 25 A. In regards to forming a NewCo company? 25 A. I negards to forming a NewCo company? 26 A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know that — 27 the president announced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable? 28 proposal was unacceptable? 39 A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions that might task. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in the good and an advance of the structure of the alary and discussions on how — what alternatives would be available for restructuring proposal was unacceptable? 30 Q. Doy ou recall what your reaction was when you first heard about it? 31 the structure of the deal? 32 A. Okay. So I would say some time between that March 10th and that notification there were discussions on how — what alternatives would be available for restructuring you have a potential byte of the president announced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable? 32 A. Reaction to? 33 Q. Doy ou recall what your reaction was when you first heard this froy on. One of the things that obviously we had to be prepared for was in fact filing for bankrupt	8	one; your standalone viability plan is not viable. Two	8	next day that said that your plan is not viable. And that you
11	9	Q. Sir, I'm sorry. But we're at March 10th.	9	would have to form an alliance with Fiat and/or someone else
transaction envisioned on the February 17th restructuring plan du then again in the March 10th letter, became a sale of a sasets to a company in which First would get an interest?  14	10	A. Okay.	10	going forward. And we had thirty days to put that plan
and then again in the March 10th letter, became a sale of sasets to a company in which Fiat would get an interest?  A. It took place towards the end of March, is my recollection.  O. Do you remember how that topic first came up?  O. Do you remember how that topic first came up?  O. Do you remember how that topic first came up?  O. Who did you first hear about it from?  O. Who did you first hear about it from?  O. A. I'm sure it would have been the auto task force but 1 don't remember the exact time or date when something like that evolved.  O. But you say you're sure it would have been the auto task ore but 1 don't remember the exact time or date when something like that evolved.  O. But you say you're sure it would have been the auto task ore?  A. In regards to forming a NewCo company?  O. Yes.  A. Yes.  O. A. Yes.  A. Yes.  A. Yes.  O. Who did you first hear about it from?  A. I don't remember the exact time or date when something like that or evolved.  O. But you say you're sure it would have been the auto task ore each of March?  A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know that hat or the president announced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable?  A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know going on about how we might restructure it and what form it in might take. I don't recall thow there was so many discussions on about how we might restructure it and what form it in might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in the Pid be happy to look at it.  O. Do you reareall what your reaction was when you first heard of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest?  A. A. Reaction to?  O. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest?  O. When you say much leaner, what do you mean?  A. Well, that we would be able to get even further restructuring because you would have a potential buyer that would be funded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy.  The date of t	11	Q. And I was just asking, at what point at what point the	11	together.
assets to a company in which Fiat would get an interest?  A. It took place towards the end of March, is my  7. Q. Do you remember how that topic first came up?  8. A. I really don't.  9. Q. Who did you first hear about it from?  19. Q. Who did you first hear about it from?  10. Do you remember the exact time or date when something like that of or cere't over the control of the c	12	transaction envisioned on the February 17th restructuring plan	12	Q. Who informed you of that?
15 A. It took place towards the end of March, is my 16 recollection. 17 Q. Do you remember how that topic first came up? 18 A. I really don't. 19 Q. Who did you first hear about it from? 19 A. Not in my office. I don't know if there was anybody else 20 A. I'm sure it would have been the auto task force but I 21 don't remember the exact time or date when something like that 22 evolved. 23 Q. But you say you're sure it would have been the auto task 24 force? 25 A. In regards to forming a NewCo company? 26 A. Yes. 27 A. Yes. 28 A. Yes. 29 A. Yes. 20 A. Yes. 20 A. Yes. 21 the structure of the deal? 29 A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know that the president amounced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable? 29 A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions of going on about how we might restructure it and what form it might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in here I'd be happy to look at it. 20 Do you recall what your reaction was when you first heard about it? 20 A. Reaction to? 21 C. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after the president amounced that your standalone restructuring to going on about how we might restructure it and what form it might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in here I'd be happy to look at it. 22 A. Reaction to? 23 Q. Surtucturing the transaction as a sale of substantially all the here I'd be happy to look at it. 24 A. Reaction to? 25 A. Reaction to? 26 Q. Well part of the answer to that is that they felt by going frough a restructuring because you would have a potential by the three alternatives. And as we were going through the date would be able to gene out leaner. That it would be funded by the government when we came out of the three alternatives. And as we were going through the date would be able to leave behind? 29 A. Well, hat we would be able to get even further restructuring because you would have a potential by the answer to your question. 29 Q. Well open alternative was turn d	13	and then again in the March 10th letter, became a sale of	13	A. Mr. Rattner.
16 recollection. 17 Q. Do you remember how that topic first came up? 18 A. I really don't. 19 Q. Who did you first hear about it from? 20 A. I resure it would have been the auto task force but I don't remember the exact time or date when something like that 2 evolved. 21 don't remember the exact time or date when something like that 2 evolved. 22 evolved. 23 Q. But you say you're sure it would have been the auto task force? 24 force? 25 A. In regards to forming a NewCo company? 270 270 270 270 270 270 270 270 270 270	14	assets to a company in which Fiat would get an interest?	14	Q. Did he do that in person?
17 Q. Do you remember how that topic first came up? 18 A. I really don't. 19 Q. Who did you first hear about it from? 20 A. I'm sure it would have been the auto task force but I 21 don't remember the exact time or date when something like that covolved. 22 evolved. 23 Q. But you say you're sure it would have been the auto task 24 force? 25 A. In regards to forming a NewCo company? 270  1 Q. Yes. 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March? 4 A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know that the president announced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable? 4 A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions going on about how we might restructure it and what form it might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in here I'd be happy to look at it. 3 Q. Do you recall what your reaction was when you first heard about it? 4 A. Reaction to? 5 A. Reaction to? 6 Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all of Chrysler's assest to a new company with Fiat would linvest? 6 Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all of Chrysler's assest to a feet of the three alternatives. And as we were going through the transaction beauto you would have a potential beauto it? 6 Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all of Chrysler's assest to a feet of the date is in the related that your reaction was when you first heard about it? 6 A. Reaction to? 7 C. Well, part of the answer to that is that they felt by going through a restructuring particularly a 363, that it would be funded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy. 7 Do you recall what your reaction was when you first heard this for you. One of the things that obviously we had to be prepared for was in fact filing for bankruptcy. That was one of the three alternatives. And as we were going through the first alternative was turned down, and again I don't recall the answer to your question. 8 Q. Why would we? 9 Q. Vou do recall when the first alter	15	A. It took place towards the end of March, is my	15	A. On a phone call.
18 A. I really don't. 19 Q. Who did you first hear about it from? 20 A. I'm sure it would have been the auto task force but I 21 don't remember the exact time or date when something like that 22 evolved. 23 Q. But you say you're sure it would have been the auto task 24 force? 25 A. In regards to forming a NewCo company? 270  1 Q. Yes. 2 A. Yes. 2 A. Yes. 2 Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March? 3 Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March? 4 A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know 5 that 6 Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after the president announced that your standalone restructuring 8 proposal was unacceptable? 9 A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions 10 going on about how we might restructure it and what form it might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in here I'd be happy to look at it. 2 here I'd be happy to look at it. 3 Q. Do you recall what your reaction was when you first hear I'd about it? 4 A. Reaction to? 4 A. I dinhik that if I recall that became - see if I can frame this for you. One of the things that obviously we had to be prepared for was in fact filing for bankruptcy. That was one of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this fact alternative was turn down, and again I don't recall the answer to your question. 4 Q. You do recall when the first alternative was turned down, 25 correct?  1 Q. You do recall when the first alternative was turned down, 25 correct?  1 A. Not in my office. I don't know if there was anybody else in the room there. 2 Q. So it was just a one-on-one call between you and Mr. 2 Rattner? 2 Q. All right. And you don't recall if by that time you had alternative? 3 A. To my knowledge. Yeah. 3 A. To my knowledge. Yeah. 4 A. To defended. 5 Q. Well, just help out do save it alternative would base ustactions no how - what alternatives would be availa	16	recollection.	16	Q. To you?
19 Q. Who did you first hear about it from? 20 A. Tin sure it would have been the auto task force but I 21 don't remember the exact time or date when something like that 22 evolved. 23 Q. But you say you're sure it would have been the auto task 24 force? 25 A. In regards to forming a NewCo company? 270  1 Q. Yes. 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March? 4 A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know 5 that 6 Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after 7 the president announced that your standalone restructuring 8 proposal was unacceptable? 3 A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions 10 going on about how we might restructure it and what form it 11 might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in 12 here I'd be happy to look at it. 13 Q. Do you recall what your reaction was when you first hear 14 about it? 4 A. I don't recall that became – see if I can frame 15 for Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest? 16 A. I think that if I recall that became – see if I can frame 17 the first alternative was turn down, and again I don't recall 20 Q. Vou dor recall when the first alternative was turned down, 21 the room there. 22 Rattner? 23 A. To my knowledge. Yeah. 24 A. To my knowledge. Yeah. 25 A. To my knowledge. Yeah. 26 A. To my knowledge. Yeah. 27 Q. All right. And you don't recall if by that time you had already had discussions with the auto task force about changing 2772  2 A. Yes. 2 A. Ckay. So I would say some time between that March 10th alternative would be available for restructuring. 3 alternatives would be available for restructuring. 4 alternatives would be available for restructuring a retransfer of Chrysler's assets to a new company with Fiat investing in that company. 3 Q. Well provide a restructuring, particularly a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come our leaner. That it would be a much easier restructuring pearcicularly a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come our leaner. That it w	17	Q. Do you remember how that topic first came up?	17	A. Yes.
A. I'm sure it would have been the auto task force but I don't remember the exact time or date when something like that evolved.  20	18	A. I really don't.	18	Q. Was anybody else on that phone call?
don't remember the exact time or date when something like that evolved.  Q. But you say you're sure it would have been the auto task force?  A. In regards to forming a NewCo company?  270  Q. Yes.  A. Yes.  Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March?  A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know that —  Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after the president announced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable?  A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions going on about how we might restructure it and what form it might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in here I'd be happy to look at it.  Q. Do you recall what your reaction was when you first heard about it?  A. Reaction to?  Q. So it was just a one-on-one call between you and Mr.  22 Rattner?  A. To my knowledge. Yeah.  Q. All right. And you don't recall if by that time you had already had discussions with the auto task force about changing 272  1 the structure of the deal?  A. Okay. So I would say some time between that March 10th and that notification there were discussions on how — what alternatives would be available for restructuring.  4 the president announced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable?  9 A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions going on about how we might restructure it and what form it might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in here I'd be happy to look at it.  10 going on about how we might restructure it and what form it about it?  11 would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be a much easier restructuring, particularly a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be prepared for was in fact filing for bankruptey. That was one of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest?  A. I think that if I recall that became — see if I can frame this for you. One of the things that obviously we had to be prepared for was in fact filing for ban	19	•	19	A. Not in my office. I don't know if there was anybody else
22 evolved. 23 Q. But you say you're sure it would have been the auto task force? 24 Goree? 25 A. In regards to forming a NewCo company? 26 270 270 270 270 270 270 270 270 270 270	20	A. I'm sure it would have been the auto task force but I	20	in the room there.
23 Q. But you say you're sure it would have been the auto task force? 24 A. In regards to forming a NewCo company? 25 A. In regards to forming a NewCo company? 270  270  1 Q. Yes. 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March? 4 A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know 5 that 6 Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after the president announced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable? A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions going on about how we might restructure it and what form it might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in here I'd be happy to look at it. Q. Do you recall what your reaction was when you first heard about it? A. Reaction to? Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest? A. I think that if I recall that became see if I can frame this for you. One of the things that obviously we had to be prepared for was in fact filing for bankruptcy. That was one of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this the inst alternatives. And as we were going through this answer to your question. Q. You do recall when the first alternative was turned down, 25 Correct?  A. Well, because they represent a liability and a cost that we would the have to try and service as we came out of the three alternatives. And as cost that we would then have to try and service as we came out of	21	don't remember the exact time or date when something like that	21	Q. So it was just a one-on-one call between you and Mr.
24 force?  A. In regards to forming a NewCo company?  270  1 Q. Yes.  2 A. Yes.  2 A. Yes.  3 Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March?  4 A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know that the proposal was unacceptable?  5 A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions ogoing on about how we might restructure it and what form it might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in here I'd be happy to look at it.  2 Q. Do you recall what your reaction was when you first heard about it?  A. Reaction to?  Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest?  A. I think that if I recall that became — see if I can frame this for you. One of the things that obviously we had to be prepared for was in fact filing for bankruptcy. That was one of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this tensive force that the came to force?  24 Q. All right. And you don't recall if by that time you had already had discussions with the auto task force about changing 272  25 A. Okay. So I would say some time between that March 10th and that notification there were discussions on how — what alternatives would be available for restructuring.  5 Q. All right. And joou don't recall if by that time you had already had discussions with the auto task force about changing 272  A. Okay. So I would say some time between that March 10th and that notification there were discussions on how — what alternatives would be auto task force tell you why they thought the transaction should be structured as a transfer of Chrysler's assets to a new company with Fiat investing in that company.  9 Q. Well, part of the answer to that is that they felt by going through a restructuring, particularly a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be amuse to be able to come out leaner. That it would be affected as much easier restructuring because you would have a potential buyer that would be funded by the gove	22	evolved.	22	Rattner?
25 A. In regards to forming a NewCo company? 270  1 Q. Yes. 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March? 4 A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know 5 that 6 Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after the president announced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable? 9 A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions going on about how we might restructure it and what form it might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in here I'd be happy to look at it. 10 Q. Do you recall what your reaction was when you first heard about it? 10 Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest? 10 Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest? 11 A. I think that if I recall that became – see if I can frame this for you. One of the things that obviously we had to be prepared for was in fact filing for bankruptcy. That was one of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this that suld already losed like St. Louis and Newark, etcetera. 12 Q. You do recall when the first alternative was turned down, 25 Q. You do recall when the first alternative was turned down, 25 Q. You do recall when the first alternative was turned down, 25 Q. You do recall when the first alternative was turned down, 25 Q. You do recall when the first alternative was turned down, 26 Q. You do recall when the first alternative was turned down, 27 Q. Well, because they represent a liability and a cost that we would then have to try and service as we came out of				•
270  Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March? A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know that — Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after the president announced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable? A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions going on about how we might restructure it and what form it might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in there I'd be happy to look at it. Q. Do you recall what your reaction was when you first heard about it? A. Reaction to? Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest? A. I think that if I recall that became — see if I can frame this for you. One of the things that obviously we had to be prepared for was in fact filing for bankruptcy. That was one of the three alternatives was turn down, and again I don't recall the answer to your question. Q. You do recall when the first alternative was turned down, 25 correct?  1 the structure of the deal? A. Okay. So I would say some time between that March 10th and that notification there were discussions on how — what alternatives would be available for restructuring.  2 A. Okay. So I would say some time between that March 10th and that notification there were discussions on how — what alternatives would be available for restructuring.  4 alternatives would be available for restructuring.  5 Q. All right. And did anybody at the auto task force tell you why they thought the transaction should be structured as a transfer of Chrysler's assets to a new company with Fiat investing in that company.  9 Q. Well, part of the answer to that is that they felt by going through a restructuring, particularly a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be unded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy.  12 a much easier restructuring because you would have a potential to would allow us to be able to cleave befind in O		force?		
1 Q. Yes. 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March? 4 A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know 5 that 6 Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after 7 the president announced that your standalone restructuring 8 proposal was unacceptable? 9 A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions 10 going on about how we might restructure it and what form it 11 might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in 12 here I'd be happy to look at it. 13 Q. Do you recall what your reaction was when you first heard about it? 14 A. Reaction to? 15 Q. When you say much leaner, what do you mean? 16 Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest? 18 A. I think that if I recall that became see if I can frame this for you. One of the things that obviously we had to be prepared for was in fact filing for bankruptcy. That was one of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this or your question. 20 Q. You do recall when the first alternative was turned down, 25 correct? 21 the structure of the deal? 22 A. Okay. So I would say some time between that March 10th and that notification there were discussions on how what alternative was turned of March? 24 A. Okay. So I would say some time between that March 10th and that notification there were discussions on how what alternative was turned of March? 24 A. Okay. So I would say some time between discussions on how what alternative was turned of March? 25 Louis and that notification there were discussions on how what alternative was turned down, 24 A. Well, because they represent a liability and a cost that we would then have to try and service as we came out of	25		25	
2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March? 4 A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know 5 that 6 Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after 7 the president announced that your standalone restructuring 8 proposal was unacceptable? 9 A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions 9 going on about how we might restructure it and what form it 11 might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in 12 here I'd be happy to look at it. 13 Q. Do you recall what your reaction was when you first heard 14 about it? 15 A. Reaction to? 16 Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all 17 of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest? 18 A. I think that if I recall that became see if I can frame 19 this for you. One of the things that obviously we had to be 20 prepared for was in fact filing for bankruptcy. That was one 21 of the three alternatives. And as we were going through the transaction should be structured as a transfer of Chrysler's assets to a new company with Fiat investing in that company. 9 Q. Well, part of the answer to that is that they felt by going through a restructuring, particularly a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be a much easier restructuring because you would have a potential buyer that would be funded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy. 15 Q. When you say much leaner, what do you mean? 16 Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all 17 of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest? 18 A. I think that if I recall that became see if I can frame this for you. One of the things that obviously we had to be prepared for was in fact filing for bankruptcy. That was one of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this that they felt by going through this would be funded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy. 16 Q. When you say much leaner, what do you mean? 17 A. Well, that we would be able		270		272
2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March? 4 A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know 5 that 6 Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after 7 the president announced that your standalone restructuring 8 proposal was unacceptable? 9 A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions 9 going on about how we might restructure it and what form it 11 might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in 12 here I'd be happy to look at it. 13 Q. Do you recall what your reaction was when you first heard 14 about it? 15 A. Reaction to? 16 Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all 17 of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest? 18 A. I think that if I recall that became see if I can frame 19 this for you. One of the things that obviously we had to be 20 prepared for was in fact filing for bankruptcy. That was one 21 of the three alternatives. And as we were going through the transaction should be structured as a transfer of Chrysler's assets to a new company with Fiat investing in that company. 9 Q. Well, part of the answer to that is that they felt by going through a restructuring, particularly a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be a much easier restructuring because you would have a potential buyer that would be funded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy. 15 Q. When you say much leaner, what do you mean? 16 Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all 17 of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest? 18 A. I think that if I recall that became see if I can frame this for you. One of the things that obviously we had to be prepared for was in fact filing for bankruptcy. That was one of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this that they felt by going through this would be funded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy. 16 Q. When you say much leaner, what do you mean? 17 A. Well, that we would be able				
3 Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March? 4 A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know 5 that 6 Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after 7 the president announced that your standalone restructuring 8 proposal was unacceptable? 9 A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions 10 going on about how we might restructure it and what form it 11 might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in 12 here I'd be happy to look at it. 13 Q. Do you recall what your reaction was when you first heard 14 about it? 15 A. Reaction to? 16 Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all 17 of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest? 18 A. I think that if I recall that became – see if I can frame 19 this for you. One of the things that obviously we had to be 10 prepared for was in fact filing for bankruptcy. That was one 11 of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this 12 the first alternative was turn down, and again I don't recall 13 the answer to your question. 14 A. Well, because they represent a liability and a cost that 15 we would then have to try and service as we came out of	1	O. Voc	1	the structure of the deal?
A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know that  Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after the president announced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable?  A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions going on about how we might restructure it and what form it might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in here I'd be happy to look at it.  Q. Do you recall what your reaction was when you first heard about it?  A. Reaction to?  Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all of Chrysler's assets to a new company with Fiat investing in that company.  Q. Well, part of the answer to that is that they felt by going through a restructuring, particularly a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be unded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy.  A. Reaction to?  Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all of Chrysler's assets to a new company with Fiat investing in that company.  Q. Well, part of the answer to that is that they felt by going through a restructuring, particularly a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be unded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy.  15 Q. When you say much leaner, what do you mean?  A. Well, that we would be able to get even further restructuring, further liabilities, etcetera. For example, we'd be able to leave behind in OldCo some of our idle facilities that we had already closed like St. Louis and Newark, etcetera.  Q. Why would you want to leave those behind?  A. Well, because they represent a liability and a cost that we would then have to try and service as we came out of		-		
that  Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after the president announced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable?  A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions going on about how we might restructure it and what form it might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in here I'd be happy to look at it.  Q. Do you recall what your reaction was when you first heard about it?  A. Reaction to?  Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest?  A. I think that if I recall that became see if I can frame of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this the first alternative was turn down, and again I don't recall the answer to your question.  S. Q. All right. And did anybody at the auto task force tell you why they thought the transaction should be structured as a transfer of Chrysler's assets to a new company with Fiat investing in that company.  9 Q. Well, part of the answer to that is that they felt by going through a restructuring, particularly a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be a much easier restructuring because you would have a potential buyer that would be funded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy.  15 Q. When you asy much leaner, what do you mean?  A. Well, that we would be able to get even further restructuring, particularly a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would about it?  A. Well, that would be funded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy.  15 Q. When you asy much leaner, what do you mean?  A. Well, that we would be able to get even further restructuring, particularly a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be an much easier restructuring particularly a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be an much easier restructuring particularly a 363, that it would invest?  A. Well, that we had al	2	A. Yes.	2	A. Okay. So I would say some time between that March 10th
Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after the president announced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable?  A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions going on about how we might restructure it and what form it might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in here I'd be happy to look at it.  Q. Do you recall what your reaction was when you first heard about it?  A. Reaction to?  Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest?  A. I think that if I recall that became — see if I can frame this for you. One of the things that obviously we had to be prepared for was in fact filling for bankruptcy. That was one of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this that they felt by going through a restructuring, particularly a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be a much easier restructuring because you would have a potential buyer that would be funded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy.  Q. When you say much leaner, what do you mean?  A. Well, that we would be able to get even further restructuring, further liabilities, etcetera. For example, we'd be able to leave behind in OldCo some of our idle facilities that we had already closed like St. Louis and Newark, etcetera.  Q. Why would you want to leave those behind?  A. Well, because they represent a liability and a cost that we would then have to try and service as we came out of	2	A. Yes. Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March?	2	A. Okay. So I would say some time between that March 10th and that notification there were discussions on how what
the president announced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable?  A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions going on about how we might restructure it and what form it might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in here I'd be happy to look at it.  Description of Chrysler's assets to a new company with Fiat investing in that company.  Per I'd be happy to look at it.  Description of bankruptcy.  A. Reaction to?  O. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all of Chrysler's assets to a new company with Fiat investing in that company.  Per I'd be happy to look at it.  Description of bankruptcy a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be a much easier restructuring because you would have a potential buyer that would be funded by the government when we came out of about it?  A. Reaction to?  O. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest?  A. I think that if I recall that became — see if I can frame this for you. One of the things that obviously we had to be prepared for was in fact filing for bankruptcy. That was one of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this the first alternative was turn down, and again I don't recall the answer to your question.  Description of Chrysler's assets to a new company with Fiat investing in that company.  Description of that they felt by going through a restructuring, particularly a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be a much easier restructuring because you would have a potential buyer that would be funded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy.  Description of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest?  A. Well, that we would be able to get even further restructuring, further liabilities, etcetera. For example, we'd be able to leave behind in OldCo some of our idle facilities that we had already closed like St. Louis and Newark, etceter	2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March?</li><li>A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know</li></ul>	2 3 4	A. Okay. So I would say some time between that March 10th and that notification there were discussions on how what alternatives would be available for restructuring.
proposal was unacceptable?  A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions going on about how we might restructure it and what form it might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in here I'd be happy to look at it.  Do you recall what your reaction was when you first heard about it?  A. Reaction to?  A. Reaction to?  C. Well, part of the answer to that is that they felt by going through a restructuring, particularly a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be amuch easier restructuring because you would have a potential buyer that would be funded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy.  A. Reaction to?  C. When you so would have a potential buyer that would be funded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy.  A. Well, that we would be able to get even further restructuring, further liabilities, etcetera. For example, we'd be able to leave behind in OldCo some of our idle facilities that we had already closed like St. Louis and Newark, etcetera.  Or When you want to leave those behind?  A. Why would you want to leave those behind?  A. Why would we?  Well, part of the answer to that is that they felt by going through a restructuring, particularly a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be a much easier restructuring because you would have a potential buyer that would be funded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy.  A. Well, that we would be funded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy.  A. Well, that we would be funded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy.  A. Well, that we would be able to get even further restructuring, particularly a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be a much easier restructuring because restructuring because vow would have a potential buyer that would be funded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy.  A. Well, because they represent a liability and a cost that we would be able to come out leaner. That	2 3 4 5	A. Yes.  Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March?  A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know that	2 3 4 5	A. Okay. So I would say some time between that March 10th and that notification there were discussions on how what alternatives would be available for restructuring.  Q. All right. And did anybody at the auto task force tell
9 A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions 10 going on about how we might restructure it and what form it 11 might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in 12 here I'd be happy to look at it. 13 Q. Do you recall what your reaction was when you first heard 14 about it? 15 A. Reaction to? 16 Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all 17 of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest? 18 A. I think that if I recall that became see if I can frame 19 this for you. One of the things that obviously we had to be 20 prepared for was in fact filing for bankruptcy. That was one 21 of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this 22 the first alternative was turn down, and again I don't recall 23 the answer to your question. 24 Q. You do recall when the first alternative was turned down, 25 correct? 26 Q. Well, part of the answer to that is that they felt by going through a restructuring, particularly a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be a much easier restructuring because you would have a potential buyer that would be funded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy.  12 a much easier restructuring because you would have a potential buyer that would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be a much easier restructuring because you would have a potential buyer that would be funded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy.  14 of bankruptcy.  28 Q. When you say much leaner, what do you mean?  A. Well, that we would be able to get even further restructuring, further liabilities, etcetera. For example, we'd be able to leave behind in OldCo some of our idle facilities that we had already closed like St. Louis and Newark, etcetera.  29 Q. Why would you want to leave those behind?  A. Well, because they represent a liability and a cost that we would then have to try and service as we came out of	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know that</li> <li>Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	A. Okay. So I would say some time between that March 10th and that notification there were discussions on how what alternatives would be available for restructuring.  Q. All right. And did anybody at the auto task force tell you why they thought the transaction should be structured as a
going on about how we might restructure it and what form it might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in here I'd be happy to look at it.  Q. Do you recall what your reaction was when you first heard about it?  A. Reaction to?  Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest?  A. I think that if I recall that became see if I can frame this for you. One of the things that obviously we had to be prepared for was in fact filling for bankruptcy. That was one of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this the answer to your question.  That it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be a much easier restructuring because you would have a potential buyer that would be funded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy.  14	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know that</li> <li>Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after the president announced that your standalone restructuring</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Okay. So I would say some time between that March 10th and that notification there were discussions on how what alternatives would be available for restructuring.  Q. All right. And did anybody at the auto task force tell you why they thought the transaction should be structured as a transfer of Chrysler's assets to a new company with Fiat
might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in here I'd be happy to look at it.  Q. Do you recall what your reaction was when you first heard about it?  A. Reaction to?  Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest?  A. I think that if I recall that became see if I can frame this for you. One of the things that obviously we had to be prepared for was in fact filing for bankruptcy. That was one of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this the first alternative was turn down, and again I don't recall the answer to your question.  That it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be a much easier restructuring because you would have a potential buyer that would be funded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy.  Q. When you say much leaner, what do you mean? A. Well, that we would be able to get even further restructuring, further liabilities, etcetera. For example, we'd be able to leave behind in OldCo some of our idle facilities that we had already closed like St. Louis and Newark, etcetera.  Q. Why would you want to leave those behind?  A. Why would we?  A. Why would we?  A. Why would we?  A. Well, because they represent a liability and a cost that we would then have to try and service as we came out of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March? A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know that Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after the president announced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Okay. So I would say some time between that March 10th and that notification there were discussions on how what alternatives would be available for restructuring.  Q. All right. And did anybody at the auto task force tell you why they thought the transaction should be structured as a transfer of Chrysler's assets to a new company with Fiat investing in that company.
here I'd be happy to look at it.  12 a much easier restructuring because you would have a potential 13 Q. Do you recall what your reaction was when you first heard 14 about it? 15 A. Reaction to? 16 Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all 17 of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest? 18 A. I think that if I recall that became see if I can frame 19 this for you. One of the things that obviously we had to be 10 prepared for was in fact filing for bankruptcy. That was one 20 prepared for was in fact filing for bankruptcy. That was one 21 of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this 22 the first alternative was turn down, and again I don't recall 23 the answer to your question. 24 Q. You do recall when the first alternative was turned down, 25 correct? 28 a much easier restructuring because you would have a potential 29 buyer that would be funded by the government when we came out 14 of bankruptcy. 15 Q. When you say much leaner, what do you mean? 16 A. Well, that we would be able to get even further 17 restructuring, further liabilities, etcetera. For example, 18 we'd be able to leave behind in OldCo some of our idle 19 facilities that we had already closed like St. Louis and 20 Newark, etcetera. 21 Q. Why would you want to leave those behind? 22 A. Why would we? 23 Q. Yes. 24 Q. You do recall when the first alternative was turned down, 25 correct? 26 A. Well, because they represent a liability and a cost that 27 we would then have to try and service as we came out of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March? A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know that Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after the president announced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable? A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Okay. So I would say some time between that March 10th and that notification there were discussions on how what alternatives would be available for restructuring.  Q. All right. And did anybody at the auto task force tell you why they thought the transaction should be structured as a transfer of Chrysler's assets to a new company with Fiat investing in that company.  Q. Well, part of the answer to that is that they felt by
Q. Do you recall what your reaction was when you first heard about it?  A. Reaction to?  Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest?  A. I think that if I recall that became see if I can frame this for you. One of the things that obviously we had to be prepared for was in fact filing for bankruptcy. That was one of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this the first alternative was turn down, and again I don't recall the answer to your question.  Q. When you say much leaner, what do you mean?  A. Well, that we would be able to get even further restructuring, further liabilities, etcetera. For example, we'd be able to leave behind in OldCo some of our idle facilities that we had already closed like St. Louis and Newark, etcetera.  Q. Why would you want to leave those behind?  A. Well, because they represent a liability and a cost that we would then have to try and service as we came out of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March? A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know that Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after the president announced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable? A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions going on about how we might restructure it and what form it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Okay. So I would say some time between that March 10th and that notification there were discussions on how what alternatives would be available for restructuring.  Q. All right. And did anybody at the auto task force tell you why they thought the transaction should be structured as a transfer of Chrysler's assets to a new company with Fiat investing in that company.  Q. Well, part of the answer to that is that they felt by going through a restructuring, particularly a 363, that it
A. Reaction to?  Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest?  A. I think that if I recall that became see if I can frame this for you. One of the things that obviously we had to be prepared for was in fact filing for bankruptcy. That was one of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this the first alternative was turn down, and again I don't recall the answer to your question.  Ye when you say much leaner, what do you mean?  A. Well, that we would be able to get even further restructuring, further liabilities, etcetera. For example, we'd be able to leave behind in OldCo some of our idle facilities that we had already closed like St. Louis and Newark, etcetera.  Q. Why would you want to leave those behind?  A. Well, because they represent a liability and a cost that we would then have to try and service as we came out of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes. Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March? A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know that Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after the president announced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable? A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions going on about how we might restructure it and what form it might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Okay. So I would say some time between that March 10th and that notification there were discussions on how what alternatives would be available for restructuring.  Q. All right. And did anybody at the auto task force tell you why they thought the transaction should be structured as a transfer of Chrysler's assets to a new company with Fiat investing in that company.  Q. Well, part of the answer to that is that they felt by going through a restructuring, particularly a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be
Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest?  A. I think that if I recall that became see if I can frame this for you. One of the things that obviously we had to be prepared for was in fact filing for bankruptcy. That was one of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this the first alternative was turn down, and again I don't recall the answer to your question.  Yes.  A. Well, that we would be able to get even further restructuring, further liabilities, etcetera. For example, we'd be able to leave behind in OldCo some of our idle facilities that we had already closed like St. Louis and Newark, etcetera.  Q. Why would you want to leave those behind?  A. Well, that we would be able to get even further restructuring, further liabilities, etcetera. For example, we'd be able to leave behind in OldCo some of our idle facilities that we had already closed like St. Louis and Newark, etcetera.  Q. Why would you want to leave those behind?  A. Well, because they represent a liability and a cost that we would then have to try and service as we came out of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes. Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March? A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know that Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after the president announced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable? A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions going on about how we might restructure it and what form it might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in here I'd be happy to look at it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Okay. So I would say some time between that March 10th and that notification there were discussions on how what alternatives would be available for restructuring.  Q. All right. And did anybody at the auto task force tell you why they thought the transaction should be structured as a transfer of Chrysler's assets to a new company with Fiat investing in that company.  Q. Well, part of the answer to that is that they felt by going through a restructuring, particularly a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be a much easier restructuring because you would have a potential
Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest?  A. I think that if I recall that became see if I can frame this for you. One of the things that obviously we had to be prepared for was in fact filing for bankruptcy. That was one of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this the first alternative was turn down, and again I don't recall the answer to your question.  Yes.  A. Well, that we would be able to get even further restructuring, further liabilities, etcetera. For example, we'd be able to leave behind in OldCo some of our idle facilities that we had already closed like St. Louis and Newark, etcetera.  Q. Why would you want to leave those behind?  A. Well, that we would be able to get even further restructuring, further liabilities, etcetera. For example, we'd be able to leave behind in OldCo some of our idle facilities that we had already closed like St. Louis and Newark, etcetera.  Q. Why would you want to leave those behind?  A. Well, because they represent a liability and a cost that we would then have to try and service as we came out of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March? A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know that Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after the president announced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable? A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions going on about how we might restructure it and what form it might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in here I'd be happy to look at it. Q. Do you recall what your reaction was when you first heare	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Okay. So I would say some time between that March 10th and that notification there were discussions on how what alternatives would be available for restructuring.  Q. All right. And did anybody at the auto task force tell you why they thought the transaction should be structured as a transfer of Chrysler's assets to a new company with Fiat investing in that company.  Q. Well, part of the answer to that is that they felt by going through a restructuring, particularly a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be a much easier restructuring because you would have a potential buyer that would be funded by the government when we came out
A. I think that if I recall that became see if I can frame this for you. One of the things that obviously we had to be prepared for was in fact filing for bankruptcy. That was one of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this the first alternative was turn down, and again I don't recall the answer to your question.  You do recall when the first alternative was turned down, Cy You do recall when the first alternative was turned down, correct?  That was one facilities that we had already closed like St. Louis and Newark, etcetera.  Q. Why would you want to leave those behind?  A. Well, because they represent a liability and a cost that we would then have to try and service as we came out of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes. Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March? A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know that Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after the president announced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable? A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions going on about how we might restructure it and what form it might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in here I'd be happy to look at it. Q. Do you recall what your reaction was when you first heard about it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Okay. So I would say some time between that March 10th and that notification there were discussions on how what alternatives would be available for restructuring.  Q. All right. And did anybody at the auto task force tell you why they thought the transaction should be structured as a transfer of Chrysler's assets to a new company with Fiat investing in that company.  Q. Well, part of the answer to that is that they felt by going through a restructuring, particularly a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be a much easier restructuring because you would have a potential buyer that would be funded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy.
A. I think that if I recall that became see if I can frame this for you. One of the things that obviously we had to be prepared for was in fact filing for bankruptcy. That was one of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this the first alternative was turn down, and again I don't recall the answer to your question.  You do recall when the first alternative was turned down, Correct?  Has be able to leave behind in OldCo some of our idle facilities that we had already closed like St. Louis and Newark, etcetera.  Q. Why would you want to leave those behind?  A. Well, because they represent a liability and a cost that we would then have to try and service as we came out of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes. Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March? A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know that Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after the president announced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable? A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions going on about how we might restructure it and what form it might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in here I'd be happy to look at it. Q. Do you recall what your reaction was when you first hear about it? A. Reaction to?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 1 13 14 15	A. Okay. So I would say some time between that March 10th and that notification there were discussions on how what alternatives would be available for restructuring.  Q. All right. And did anybody at the auto task force tell you why they thought the transaction should be structured as a transfer of Chrysler's assets to a new company with Fiat investing in that company.  Q. Well, part of the answer to that is that they felt by going through a restructuring, particularly a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be a much easier restructuring because you would have a potential buyer that would be funded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy.  Q. When you say much leaner, what do you mean?
this for you. One of the things that obviously we had to be prepared for was in fact filing for bankruptcy. That was one of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this the first alternative was turn down, and again I don't recall the answer to your question.  Q. You do recall when the first alternative was turned down, Correct?  19 facilities that we had already closed like St. Louis and Newark, etcetera.  Q. Why would you want to leave those behind?  A. Why would we?  Q. Yes.  A. Well, because they represent a liability and a cost that we would then have to try and service as we came out of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March? A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know that Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after the president announced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable? A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions going on about how we might restructure it and what form it might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in here I'd be happy to look at it. Q. Do you recall what your reaction was when you first hear about it? A. Reaction to? Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Okay. So I would say some time between that March 10th and that notification there were discussions on how what alternatives would be available for restructuring.  Q. All right. And did anybody at the auto task force tell you why they thought the transaction should be structured as a transfer of Chrysler's assets to a new company with Fiat investing in that company.  Q. Well, part of the answer to that is that they felt by going through a restructuring, particularly a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be a much easier restructuring because you would have a potential buyer that would be funded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy.  Q. When you say much leaner, what do you mean?  A. Well, that we would be able to get even further
prepared for was in fact filing for bankruptcy. That was one of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this the first alternative was turn down, and again I don't recall the answer to your question.  Q. Why would you want to leave those behind?  A. Why would we?  Q. You do recall when the first alternative was turned down, correct?  A. Well, because they represent a liability and a cost that we would then have to try and service as we came out of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes.  Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March?  A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know that  Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after the president announced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable?  A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions going on about how we might restructure it and what form it might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in here I'd be happy to look at it.  Q. Do you recall what your reaction was when you first heard about it?  A. Reaction to?  Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 1 13 14 15 16 17	A. Okay. So I would say some time between that March 10th and that notification there were discussions on how what alternatives would be available for restructuring.  Q. All right. And did anybody at the auto task force tell you why they thought the transaction should be structured as a transfer of Chrysler's assets to a new company with Fiat investing in that company.  Q. Well, part of the answer to that is that they felt by going through a restructuring, particularly a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be a much easier restructuring because you would have a potential buyer that would be funded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy.  Q. When you say much leaner, what do you mean?  A. Well, that we would be able to get even further restructuring, further liabilities, etcetera. For example,
of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this the first alternative was turn down, and again I don't recall the answer to your question.  You do recall when the first alternative was turned down, correct?  Q. Why would you want to leave those behind?  A. Why would we?  A. Well, because they represent a liability and a cost that we would then have to try and service as we came out of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes.  Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March?  A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know that  Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after the president announced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable?  A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions going on about how we might restructure it and what form it might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in here I'd be happy to look at it.  Q. Do you recall what your reaction was when you first heard about it?  A. Reaction to?  Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest?  A. I think that if I recall that became see if I can frame	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Okay. So I would say some time between that March 10th and that notification there were discussions on how what alternatives would be available for restructuring.  Q. All right. And did anybody at the auto task force tell you why they thought the transaction should be structured as a transfer of Chrysler's assets to a new company with Fiat investing in that company.  Q. Well, part of the answer to that is that they felt by going through a restructuring, particularly a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be a much easier restructuring because you would have a potential buyer that would be funded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy.  Q. When you say much leaner, what do you mean?  A. Well, that we would be able to get even further restructuring, further liabilities, etcetera. For example, we'd be able to leave behind in OldCo some of our idle
the first alternative was turn down, and again I don't recall the answer to your question.  Q. You do recall when the first alternative was turned down, Correct?  A. Why would we?  Q. Yes.  A. Well, because they represent a liability and a cost that we would then have to try and service as we came out of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes.  Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March?  A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know that  Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after the president announced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable?  A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions going on about how we might restructure it and what form it might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in here I'd be happy to look at it.  Q. Do you recall what your reaction was when you first heard about it?  A. Reaction to?  Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest?  A. I think that if I recall that became see if I can frame this for you. One of the things that obviously we had to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Okay. So I would say some time between that March 10th and that notification there were discussions on how what alternatives would be available for restructuring.  Q. All right. And did anybody at the auto task force tell you why they thought the transaction should be structured as a transfer of Chrysler's assets to a new company with Fiat investing in that company.  Q. Well, part of the answer to that is that they felt by going through a restructuring, particularly a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be a much easier restructuring because you would have a potential buyer that would be funded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy.  Q. When you say much leaner, what do you mean?  A. Well, that we would be able to get even further restructuring, further liabilities, etcetera. For example, we'd be able to leave behind in OldCo some of our idle facilities that we had already closed like St. Louis and
the answer to your question.  23 Q. Yes.  Q. You do recall when the first alternative was turned down, correct?  23 Q. Yes.  24 A. Well, because they represent a liability and a cost that we would then have to try and service as we came out of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes.  Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March?  A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know that  Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after the president announced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable?  A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions going on about how we might restructure it and what form it might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in here I'd be happy to look at it.  Q. Do you recall what your reaction was when you first hear about it?  A. Reaction to?  Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest?  A. I think that if I recall that became see if I can frame this for you. One of the things that obviously we had to be prepared for was in fact filling for bankruptcy. That was one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 1 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Okay. So I would say some time between that March 10th and that notification there were discussions on how what alternatives would be available for restructuring.  Q. All right. And did anybody at the auto task force tell you why they thought the transaction should be structured as a transfer of Chrysler's assets to a new company with Fiat investing in that company.  Q. Well, part of the answer to that is that they felt by going through a restructuring, particularly a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be a much easier restructuring because you would have a potential buyer that would be funded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy.  Q. When you say much leaner, what do you mean?  A. Well, that we would be able to get even further restructuring, further liabilities, etcetera. For example, we'd be able to leave behind in OldCo some of our idle facilities that we had already closed like St. Louis and Newark, etcetera.
Q. You do recall when the first alternative was turned down, correct?  A. Well, because they represent a liability and a cost that we would then have to try and service as we came out of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March? A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know that Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after the president announced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable? A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions going on about how we might restructure it and what form it might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in here I'd be happy to look at it. Q. Do you recall what your reaction was when you first hear about it? A. Reaction to? Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest? A. I think that if I recall that became see if I can frame this for you. One of the things that obviously we had to be prepared for was in fact filing for bankruptcy. That was one of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Okay. So I would say some time between that March 10th and that notification there were discussions on how what alternatives would be available for restructuring.  Q. All right. And did anybody at the auto task force tell you why they thought the transaction should be structured as a transfer of Chrysler's assets to a new company with Fiat investing in that company.  Q. Well, part of the answer to that is that they felt by going through a restructuring, particularly a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be a much easier restructuring because you would have a potential buyer that would be funded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy.  Q. When you say much leaner, what do you mean?  A. Well, that we would be able to get even further restructuring, further liabilities, etcetera. For example, we'd be able to leave behind in OldCo some of our idle facilities that we had already closed like St. Louis and Newark, etcetera.  Q. Why would you want to leave those behind?
25 correct? 25 we would then have to try and service as we came out of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March? A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know that Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after the president announced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable? A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions going on about how we might restructure it and what form it might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in here I'd be happy to look at it. Q. Do you recall what your reaction was when you first heard about it? A. Reaction to? Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest? A. I think that if I recall that became see if I can frame this for you. One of the things that obviously we had to be prepared for was in fact filing for bankruptcy. That was one of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this the first alternative was turn down, and again I don't recall	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Okay. So I would say some time between that March 10th and that notification there were discussions on how what alternatives would be available for restructuring.  Q. All right. And did anybody at the auto task force tell you why they thought the transaction should be structured as a transfer of Chrysler's assets to a new company with Fiat investing in that company.  Q. Well, part of the answer to that is that they felt by going through a restructuring, particularly a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be a much easier restructuring because you would have a potential buyer that would be funded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy.  Q. When you say much leaner, what do you mean?  A. Well, that we would be able to get even further restructuring, further liabilities, etcetera. For example, we'd be able to leave behind in OldCo some of our idle facilities that we had already closed like St. Louis and Newark, etcetera.  Q. Why would you want to leave those behind?  A. Why would we?
271	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes.  Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March?  A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know that  Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after the president announced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable?  A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions going on about how we might restructure it and what form it might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in here I'd be happy to look at it.  Q. Do you recall what your reaction was when you first heard about it?  A. Reaction to?  Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest?  A. I think that if I recall that became see if I can frame this for you. One of the things that obviously we had to be prepared for was in fact filling for bankruptcy. That was one of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this the first alternative was turn down, and again I don't recall the answer to your question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 1 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Okay. So I would say some time between that March 10th and that notification there were discussions on how what alternatives would be available for restructuring.  Q. All right. And did anybody at the auto task force tell you why they thought the transaction should be structured as a transfer of Chrysler's assets to a new company with Fiat investing in that company.  Q. Well, part of the answer to that is that they felt by going through a restructuring, particularly a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be a much easier restructuring because you would have a potential buyer that would be funded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy.  Q. When you say much leaner, what do you mean?  A. Well, that we would be able to get even further restructuring, further liabilities, etcetera. For example, we'd be able to leave behind in OldCo some of our idle facilities that we had already closed like St. Louis and Newark, etcetera.  Q. Why would you want to leave those behind?  A. Why would we?  Q. Yes.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes.  Q. And you think that was some time at the end of March?  A. I don't recall the date, to be honest with you. I know that  Q. Well just help me out, do you think it was before or after the president announced that your standalone restructuring proposal was unacceptable?  A. I don't recall. I know there was so many discussions going on about how we might restructure it and what form it might take. I don't want to misrepresent. If the date is in here I'd be happy to look at it.  Q. Do you recall what your reaction was when you first heard about it?  A. Reaction to?  Q. Structuring the transaction as a sale of substantially all of Chrysler's assets to a NewCo in which Fiat would invest?  A. I think that if I recall that became see if I can frame this for you. One of the things that obviously we had to be prepared for was in fact filing for bankruptcy. That was one of the three alternatives. And as we were going through this the first alternative was turn down, and again I don't recall the answer to your question.  Q. You do recall when the first alternative was turned down,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 1 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Okay. So I would say some time between that March 10th and that notification there were discussions on how what alternatives would be available for restructuring.  Q. All right. And did anybody at the auto task force tell you why they thought the transaction should be structured as a transfer of Chrysler's assets to a new company with Fiat investing in that company.  Q. Well, part of the answer to that is that they felt by going through a restructuring, particularly a 363, that it would allow us to be able to come out leaner. That it would be a much easier restructuring because you would have a potential buyer that would be funded by the government when we came out of bankruptcy.  Q. When you say much leaner, what do you mean?  A. Well, that we would be able to get even further restructuring, further liabilities, etcetera. For example, we'd be able to leave behind in OldCo some of our idle facilities that we had already closed like St. Louis and Newark, etcetera.  Q. Why would you want to leave those behind?  A. Why would we?  Q. Yes.  A. Well, because they represent a liability and a cost that

1 bankruptcy. 1 A. Yes.	
± bankruptcy.   ± A. 1cs.	
2 Q. So by leaving those assets behind you wouldn't have to 2 Q. Now, the second sentence	says, "Doing anything else in
3 bear that cost? 3 light of our precarious situation	n, however, would have been an
4 A. NewCo would not have to bear that cost and then OldCo 4 unreasonable exercise of busin	ess judgment". Is that your
5 would do the best they can to liquidate those assets. 5 statement, sir?	
6 Q. So let me make sure I understand this correctly. Was the 6 A. Yes.	
7 auto task force suggesting that an advantage of this structure 7 Q. And what did you mean by	y that?
8 was to be able to not have the company bear certain 8 A. Well, as I explained earlier	r when you were asking me abou
9 environmental cleanup liabilities? 9 the impact of not choosing this	alternative versus liquidation.
10 A. No, that discussion never happened. 10 Q. And that was because of years.	our precarious situation, is that
Q. Well, I thought you just said there were costs associated 11 correct?	
with some of these shutdown plants, are none of those costs 12 A. Yes.	
13 environmental related? 13 Q. And what was the precario	ous situation that you were
14 A. Yeah. But your question specifically was did the 14 referring to?	
	running out of cash to be able to
costs behind. They never had that discussion with me. 16 keep the company solvent.	
	running out of cash on February
18 behind were what? 18 17th?	
19 A. Well, for sure there was well, I shouldn't say for 19 A. We were not running out of	
20 sure. As we went through the analysis there were the idle 20 Q. You were not running out	of cash on February 17th?
	ond. Just let him answer the
We looked at other operational issues, other operating segments 22 first question.	
	ng out, we were burning cash on
24 those in OldCo and then try to liquidate those as best as 24 February 17th. We had receive	
25 OldCo could. 25 in January because, as I said ea	•
274	276
1 Q. All right. And what about dealing with your dealers, did 1 to spiral downward. We were	forced to continue to idle and
	you do that and you continue to
	ue to make the interest payments
4 your dealer force? 4 to the first lien lenders, when y	* *
5 A. Well, there was no question that 5 etcetera, yes you start to burn of	
6 Q. Just a yes or no, then you can explain if I ask you to 6 Q. All right. And when did t	
7 explain. 7 A. Well, geez it's we starte	•
8 A. Okay. Repeat the question. 8 of '08. As I indicated, we sper	-
9 Q. Did you ever have any discussion with the auto task force 9 '08 as a result of the volume fa	
	alloff and the cost to idle
about whether or not effecting this transaction through Chapter 10 factories, unfortunately lay peo	
about whether or not effecting this transaction through Chapter 10 factories, unfortunately lay per 11 11 in a 363 sale would facilitate reducing your dealer network? 11 etcetera. So I think it was som	ople off, furlough people,
	ople off, furlough people, newhere around six to seven
11 11 in a 363 sale would facilitate reducing your dealer network? 11 etcetera. So I think it was som 12 A. Yes. 12 billion dollars in restructuring	ople off, furlough people, newhere around six to seven
11 11 in a 363 sale would facilitate reducing your dealer network? 11 etcetera. So I think it was som 12 A. Yes. 12 billion dollars in restructuring	ople off, furlough people, newhere around six to seven costs. the second half of '08 you saw
11 11 in a 363 sale would facilitate reducing your dealer network? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And that was some time before March 31st? 14 A. Yes. 15 etcetera. So I think it was some billion dollars in restructuring last of the property of the prop	ople off, furlough people, newhere around six to seven costs. the second half of '08 you saw
11 11 in a 363 sale would facilitate reducing your dealer network? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And that was some time before March 31st? 14 A. Yes. 15 etcetera. So I think it was some billion dollars in restructuring last of the property of the prop	ople off, furlough people, newhere around six to seven costs. the second half of '08 you saw , is that correct? why we went to Congress and
11 In a 363 sale would facilitate reducing your dealer network?  12 A. Yes.  13 Q. And that was some time before March 31st?  14 A. Yes.  15 Q. Was the concept of the assets being sold from OldCo to  16 etcetera. So I think it was some billion dollars in restructuring like in the properties of the assets being sold from OldCo to like it was some time before March 31st?  14 that you might run out of cash. It was some time before March 31st?  15 A. That's correct. And that's	ople off, furlough people, newhere around six to seven costs. the second half of '08 you saw , is that correct? why we went to Congress and oan.
11 11 in a 363 sale would facilitate reducing your dealer network? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And that was some time before March 31st? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Was the concept of the assets being sold from OldCo to 16 NewCo only in the context of a 363 sale? 17 etcetera. So I think it was some billion dollars in restructuring 12 that you, in fact in 13 Q. Let me ask you, in fact in 14 that you might run out of cash. 16 NewCo only in the context of a 363 sale? 18 etcetera. So I think it was some billion dollars in restructuring 12 Q. Let me ask you, in fact in 14 that you might run out of cash. 19 A. That's correct. And that's 14 the Treasury and asked for a local cash.	ople off, furlough people, newhere around six to seven costs. the second half of '08 you saw , is that correct? why we went to Congress and oan.
11 11 in a 363 sale would facilitate reducing your dealer network? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And that was some time before March 31st? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Was the concept of the assets being sold from OldCo to 16 NewCo only in the context of a 363 sale? 17 A. Yes. 18 etcetera. So I think it was some time before March 31st? 19 billion dollars in restructuring 10 Q. Let me ask you, in fact in 11 that you might run out of cash. 10 A. That's correct. And that's 11 the Treasury and asked for a let 12 Q. And didn't you see your side.	ople off, furlough people, newhere around six to seven costs. the second half of '08 you saw , is that correct? why we went to Congress and oan.
11 11 in a 363 sale would facilitate reducing your dealer network? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And that was some time before March 31st? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Was the concept of the assets being sold from OldCo to 16 NewCo only in the context of a 363 sale? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. So there was never any discussion about using that 19 etcetera. So I think it was some time before March 31st? 10 billion dollars in restructuring 12 dealer in that you might run out of cash. 16 That's correct. And that's 15 dealer 16 dealer 17 dealer 17 dealer 18 dealer 19 de	ople off, furlough people, newhere around six to seven costs. the second half of '08 you saw, is that correct? why we went to Congress and oan. tuation as precarious at that
11 11 in a 363 sale would facilitate reducing your dealer network? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And that was some time before March 31st? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Was the concept of the assets being sold from OldCo to 16 NewCo only in the context of a 363 sale? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. So there was never any discussion about using that 19 structure not as part of a bankruptcy transaction? 19 detectera. So I think it was some time before March 31st? 10 billion dollars in restructuring 11 that you might run out of cash. 12 that you might run out of cash. 13 A. That's correct. And that's 14 the Treasury and asked for a letter than 15 the Treasury and asked for a letter than 16 the Treasury and asked for a letter than 17 than 18 time? 19 A. Yes.	ople off, furlough people, newhere around six to seven costs. the second half of '08 you saw, is that correct? why we went to Congress and oan. tuation as precarious at that
11 11 in a 363 sale would facilitate reducing your dealer network? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And that was some time before March 31st? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Was the concept of the assets being sold from OldCo to 16 NewCo only in the context of a 363 sale? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. So there was never any discussion about using that 19 structure not as part of a bankruptcy transaction? 20 A. Not to my knowledge. 21 Q. Okay. Now I want to go back to your declaration for a 21 doing anything else but a sale	ople off, furlough people, newhere around six to seven costs. the second half of '08 you saw, is that correct? why we went to Congress and oan. tuation as precarious at that
11 11 in a 363 sale would facilitate reducing your dealer network? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And that was some time before March 31st? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Was the concept of the assets being sold from OldCo to 16 NewCo only in the context of a 363 sale? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. So there was never any discussion about using that 19 structure not as part of a bankruptcy transaction? 20 A. Not to my knowledge. 21 Q. Okay. Now I want to go back to your declaration for a 21 doing anything else but a sale	ople off, furlough people, newhere around six to seven costs. the second half of '08 you saw, is that correct? why we went to Congress and oan. tuation as precarious at that transaction was not an the siness judgment, is that correct?
11 11 in a 363 sale would facilitate reducing your dealer network? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And that was some time before March 31st? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Was the concept of the assets being sold from OldCo to 16 NewCo only in the context of a 363 sale? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. So there was never any discussion about using that 19 structure not as part of a bankruptcy transaction? 20 A. Not to my knowledge. 21 Q. Okay. Now I want to go back to your declaration for a selection in the context of bull of the structure of the assets being sold from OldCo to that you might run out of cash. 21 A. That's correct. And that's the Treasury and asked for a least time? 22 Q. And didn't you see your since time? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. All right. Now, when it was some time before March 31st? 25 A. Yes. 26 Q. All right. Now, when it was doing anything else but a sale only reasonable exercise of bull or the property of the ask you, in fact in that you might run out of cash. 25 A. That's correct. And that's the Treasury and asked for a least time? 26 Q. And didn't you see your since time? 27 Q. All right. Now, when it was some time before March 31st? 28 A. Yes. 29 Q. All right. Now, when it was some time before March 31st? 39 Q. Let me ask you, in fact in that you might run out of cash. 30 A. That's correct. And that's the Treasury and asked for a least time? 31 Q. And didn't you see your since time? 32 Q. All right. Now, when it was some time before March 31st? 30 Q. Let me ask you, in fact in that you might run out of cash. 31 A. Yes. 32 Q. All right. Now, when it was some time before March 31st? 32 A. Yes. 33 Q. Let me ask you, in fact in that you might run out of cash. 34 A. Yes. 35 Q. A. That's correct. And that's the Treasury and asked for a least your dealers. 36 A. That's correct. And that's the Treasury and asked for a least your dealers. 37 Q. And didn't you see your single that the Treasury and asked for a least your dealers. 38 Q. So there was never any discussion about using that the Treasury and asked for a least your dealers. 39 Q. And did	ople off, furlough people, newhere around six to seven costs. the second half of '08 you saw, is that correct? why we went to Congress and oan. tuation as precarious at that transaction was not an the siness judgment, is that correct?
11 11 in a 363 sale would facilitate reducing your dealer network? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And that was some time before March 31st? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Was the concept of the assets being sold from OldCo to 16 NewCo only in the context of a 363 sale? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. So there was never any discussion about using that 19 structure not as part of a bankruptcy transaction? 20 A. Not to my knowledge. 21 Q. Okay. Now I want to go back to your declaration for a second. 22 second. 23 A. Okay. 21 Determ. So I think it was some time before March 31st? 12 billion dollars in restructuring Q. Let me ask you, in fact in that you might run out of cash. 16 the Treasury and asked for a let the Treasury and asked for a let time? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. And didn't you see your sit time? 21 doing anything else but a sale only reasonable exercise of but as ale only reasonable exercise of but as A. It was the only reasonable exercise of but as all the context of a 363 sale? 21 A. Okay.	ople off, furlough people, newhere around six to seven costs. the second half of '08 you saw, is that correct? why we went to Congress and oan. tuation as precarious at that transaction was not an the siness judgment, is that correct?

1	A. Yes.	1	A. I don't know the exact date but during that period.
2	Q. Okay. "Doing anything else in light of our precarious	2	Q. Sometime in November or December?
3	situation, however, would have been an unreasonable exercise of	3	A. Yes.
4	business judgment."	4	Q. All right. And was there any reason you thought that he
5	A. Yes.	5	would be able to arrange for funds under TARP for Chrysler?
6	Q. And that was because of your precarious situation?	6	A. I had no idea but it was worth the ask.
7	A. Yes.	7	Q. Were you aware of the fact that he had appeared before
8	Q. All right. And you just testified a moment ago that in	8	Congress in mid-November and testified that auto companies fall
9	late 2008 you were also in a precarious situation, right?	9	outside the purpose of TARP?
10	A. Yes.	10	A. We were aware of that and obviously it was a big concern
11	Q. But you were not pursuing simply a sale transaction at	11	when we were going to make the request.
12	that point in time, were you?	12	Q. All right. You said it was a big concern, if the
13	A. No, because the difference between those two dates, as the	13	Secretary of the Treasury said that auto companies were outside
14	market continued to get worse, we continued to burn cash. And	14	the purpose of TARP, did it concern you that maybe you wouldn't
15	so when we asked in November, December for funds we asked for	. 15	be able to get a loan?
16	if you'll recall I mentioned we were asking for seven billion.	16	A. It did.
17	We felt that that would be enough to get us through what we	17	Q. All right. And were you aware of the fact that an effort
18	thought was going to be an industry that started to bottom out.	18	was made in the house of representatives to pass a special Auto
19	Q. Well let me ask you, when you asked for that cash, when	19	Act so that financing could be provided to the auto companies?
20	was that?	20	A. So here's what I recall about that, at the same
21	A. It was in November, December timeframe of '08.	21	Q. If you would just answer the question I ask then we can
22	Q. All right.	22	THE COURT: Just a minute. Counsel? Just answer yes
23	A. We were awarded it in January.	23	or no if you were aware.
24	Q. And if you didn't get it, what would have happened?	24	A. Okay. Would you ask the question again, please? Sorry.
25	A. We would have had to liquidate.	25	Q. Were you aware that an effort was made in the house of
	278		280
1	Q. Would you have filed bankruptcy?	1	representatives to pass an Auto Act that would permit providing
1 2	<ul><li>Q. Would you have filed bankruptcy?</li><li>A. Probably.</li></ul>	1 2	representatives to pass an Auto Act that would permit providing funding to the auto companies?
	* * *		
2	A. Probably.	2	funding to the auto companies?
2	A. Probably. Q. Were you prepared to file bankruptcy?	2 3 4	funding to the auto companies?  A. Yes.
2 3 4	A. Probably.  Q. Were you prepared to file bankruptcy?  A. We were not prepared at that point. We were doing	2 3 4	funding to the auto companies?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And were you aware of whether or not that law
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. Probably.</li><li>Q. Were you prepared to file bankruptcy?</li><li>A. We were not prepared at that point. We were doing everything we could to convince Treasury to give us the money</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	funding to the auto companies?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And were you aware of whether or not that law passed the House?
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. Probably.</li><li>Q. Were you prepared to file bankruptcy?</li><li>A. We were not prepared at that point. We were doing everything we could to convince Treasury to give us the money But we certainly were thinking about and started to engage</li></ul>	2 3 4 . 5	funding to the auto companies?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And were you aware of whether or not that law passed the House?  A. My recollection, it did not.
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Probably.  Q. Were you prepared to file bankruptcy?  A. We were not prepared at that point. We were doing everything we could to convince Treasury to give us the money But we certainly were thinking about and started to engage outside counsel at that time. We secured Jones Day in that	2 3 4 5 6 7	funding to the auto companies?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And were you aware of whether or not that law passed the House?  A. My recollection, it did not.  Q. All right. Did that concern you that the financing might
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Probably.  Q. Were you prepared to file bankruptcy?  A. We were not prepared at that point. We were doing everything we could to convince Treasury to give us the money But we certainly were thinking about and started to engage outside counsel at that time. We secured Jones Day in that period anticipating that we would need to start planning for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	funding to the auto companies?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And were you aware of whether or not that law passed the House?  A. My recollection, it did not.  Q. All right. Did that concern you that the financing might not be available?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Probably.  Q. Were you prepared to file bankruptcy?  A. We were not prepared at that point. We were doing everything we could to convince Treasury to give us the money But we certainly were thinking about and started to engage outside counsel at that time. We secured Jones Day in that period anticipating that we would need to start planning for bankruptcy.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	funding to the auto companies?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And were you aware of whether or not that law passed the House?  A. My recollection, it did not.  Q. All right. Did that concern you that the financing might not be available?  A. Well
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Probably.  Q. Were you prepared to file bankruptcy?  A. We were not prepared at that point. We were doing everything we could to convince Treasury to give us the money But we certainly were thinking about and started to engage outside counsel at that time. We secured Jones Day in that period anticipating that we would need to start planning for bankruptcy.  Q. All right. And you said that you went to the Treasury to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	funding to the auto companies?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And were you aware of whether or not that law passed the House?  A. My recollection, it did not.  Q. All right. Did that concern you that the financing might not be available?  A. Well
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Probably.  Q. Were you prepared to file bankruptcy?  A. We were not prepared at that point. We were doing everything we could to convince Treasury to give us the money But we certainly were thinking about and started to engage outside counsel at that time. We secured Jones Day in that period anticipating that we would need to start planning for bankruptcy.  Q. All right. And you said that you went to the Treasury to see if you could get a loan, is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	funding to the auto companies?  A. Yes. Q. All right. And were you aware of whether or not that law passed the House? A. My recollection, it did not. Q. All right. Did that concern you that the financing might not be available? A. Well THE WITNESS: Your Honor, if I could answer that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Probably.  Q. Were you prepared to file bankruptcy?  A. We were not prepared at that point. We were doing everything we could to convince Treasury to give us the money But we certainly were thinking about and started to engage outside counsel at that time. We secured Jones Day in that period anticipating that we would need to start planning for bankruptcy.  Q. All right. And you said that you went to the Treasury to see if you could get a loan, is that correct?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	funding to the auto companies?  A. Yes. Q. All right. And were you aware of whether or not that law passed the House? A. My recollection, it did not. Q. All right. Did that concern you that the financing might not be available? A. Well THE WITNESS: Your Honor, if I could answer that MR. LAURIA: It's a yes or no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Probably.  Q. Were you prepared to file bankruptcy?  A. We were not prepared at that point. We were doing everything we could to convince Treasury to give us the money But we certainly were thinking about and started to engage outside counsel at that time. We secured Jones Day in that period anticipating that we would need to start planning for bankruptcy.  Q. All right. And you said that you went to the Treasury to see if you could get a loan, is that correct?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And you were asking for a TARP loan, is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	funding to the auto companies?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And were you aware of whether or not that law passed the House?  A. My recollection, it did not.  Q. All right. Did that concern you that the financing might not be available?  A. Well  THE WITNESS: Your Honor, if I could answer that  MR. LAURIA: It's a yes or no.  THE COURT: Just yes or no. Did it concern you or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Probably.  Q. Were you prepared to file bankruptcy?  A. We were not prepared at that point. We were doing everything we could to convince Treasury to give us the money But we certainly were thinking about and started to engage outside counsel at that time. We secured Jones Day in that period anticipating that we would need to start planning for bankruptcy.  Q. All right. And you said that you went to the Treasury to see if you could get a loan, is that correct?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And you were asking for a TARP loan, is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	funding to the auto companies?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And were you aware of whether or not that law passed the House?  A. My recollection, it did not.  Q. All right. Did that concern you that the financing might not be available?  A. Well  THE WITNESS: Your Honor, if I could answer that  MR. LAURIA: It's a yes or no.  THE COURT: Just yes or no. Did it concern you or not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Probably.  Q. Were you prepared to file bankruptcy?  A. We were not prepared at that point. We were doing everything we could to convince Treasury to give us the money But we certainly were thinking about and started to engage outside counsel at that time. We secured Jones Day in that period anticipating that we would need to start planning for bankruptcy.  Q. All right. And you said that you went to the Treasury to see if you could get a loan, is that correct?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And you were asking for a TARP loan, is that right?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	funding to the auto companies?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And were you aware of whether or not that law passed the House?  A. My recollection, it did not.  Q. All right. Did that concern you that the financing might not be available?  A. Well  THE WITNESS: Your Honor, if I could answer that  MR. LAURIA: It's a yes or no.  THE COURT: Just yes or no. Did it concern you or not?  THE WITNESS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Probably.  Q. Were you prepared to file bankruptcy?  A. We were not prepared at that point. We were doing everything we could to convince Treasury to give us the money But we certainly were thinking about and started to engage outside counsel at that time. We secured Jones Day in that period anticipating that we would need to start planning for bankruptcy.  Q. All right. And you said that you went to the Treasury to see if you could get a loan, is that correct?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And you were asking for a TARP loan, is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. Now, were you aware of the fact, and didn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	funding to the auto companies?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And were you aware of whether or not that law passed the House?  A. My recollection, it did not.  Q. All right. Did that concern you that the financing might not be available?  A. Well  THE WITNESS: Your Honor, if I could answer that  MR. LAURIA: It's a yes or no.  THE COURT: Just yes or no. Did it concern you or not?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  Q. Now, you're in a situation where you know the company
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Probably.  Q. Were you prepared to file bankruptcy?  A. We were not prepared at that point. We were doing everything we could to convince Treasury to give us the money But we certainly were thinking about and started to engage outside counsel at that time. We secured Jones Day in that period anticipating that we would need to start planning for bankruptcy.  Q. All right. And you said that you went to the Treasury to see if you could get a loan, is that correct?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And you were asking for a TARP loan, is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. Now, were you aware of the fact, and didn't you say that you had talked to Mr. Paulson?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	funding to the auto companies?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And were you aware of whether or not that law passed the House?  A. My recollection, it did not.  Q. All right. Did that concern you that the financing might not be available?  A. Well  THE WITNESS: Your Honor, if I could answer that  MR. LAURIA: It's a yes or no.  THE COURT: Just yes or no. Did it concern you or not?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  Q. Now, you're in a situation where you know the company could be running out of money. It's December and you don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Probably.  Q. Were you prepared to file bankruptcy?  A. We were not prepared at that point. We were doing everything we could to convince Treasury to give us the money But we certainly were thinking about and started to engage outside counsel at that time. We secured Jones Day in that period anticipating that we would need to start planning for bankruptcy.  Q. All right. And you said that you went to the Treasury to see if you could get a loan, is that correct?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And you were asking for a TARP loan, is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. Now, were you aware of the fact, and didn't you say that you had talked to Mr. Paulson?  A. We spoke to the Secretary Treasurer. We spoke to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	funding to the auto companies?  A. Yes. Q. All right. And were you aware of whether or not that law passed the House? A. My recollection, it did not. Q. All right. Did that concern you that the financing might not be available? A. Well  THE WITNESS: Your Honor, if I could answer that  MR. LAURIA: It's a yes or no.  THE COURT: Just yes or no. Did it concern you or not?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Q. Now, you're in a situation where you know the company could be running out of money. It's December and you don't have a commitment for new financing. What contingency planning
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Probably.  Q. Were you prepared to file bankruptcy?  A. We were not prepared at that point. We were doing everything we could to convince Treasury to give us the money But we certainly were thinking about and started to engage outside counsel at that time. We secured Jones Day in that period anticipating that we would need to start planning for bankruptcy.  Q. All right. And you said that you went to the Treasury to see if you could get a loan, is that correct?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And you were asking for a TARP loan, is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. Now, were you aware of the fact, and didn't you say that you had talked to Mr. Paulson?  A. We spoke to the Secretary Treasurer. We spoke to the Secretary of Commerce	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	funding to the auto companies?  A. Yes. Q. All right. And were you aware of whether or not that law passed the House? A. My recollection, it did not. Q. All right. Did that concern you that the financing might not be available? A. Well  THE WITNESS: Your Honor, if I could answer that  MR. LAURIA: It's a yes or no.  THE COURT: Just yes or no. Did it concern you or not?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Q. Now, you're in a situation where you know the company could be running out of money. It's December and you don't have a commitment for new financing. What contingency planning were you doing at that point?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Probably.  Q. Were you prepared to file bankruptcy?  A. We were not prepared at that point. We were doing everything we could to convince Treasury to give us the money But we certainly were thinking about and started to engage outside counsel at that time. We secured Jones Day in that period anticipating that we would need to start planning for bankruptcy.  Q. All right. And you said that you went to the Treasury to see if you could get a loan, is that correct?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And you were asking for a TARP loan, is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. Now, were you aware of the fact, and didn't you say that you had talked to Mr. Paulson?  A. We spoke to the Secretary Treasurer. We spoke to the Secretary of Commerce  Q. Was the Secretary of Treasury Mr. Paulson at that time?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	funding to the auto companies?  A. Yes. Q. All right. And were you aware of whether or not that law passed the House? A. My recollection, it did not. Q. All right. Did that concern you that the financing might not be available? A. Well  THE WITNESS: Your Honor, if I could answer that  MR. LAURIA: It's a yes or no.  THE COURT: Just yes or no. Did it concern you or not?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Q. Now, you're in a situation where you know the company could be running out of money. It's December and you don't have a commitment for new financing. What contingency planning were you doing at that point?  A. We were making sure, again as I mentioned, we retained
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Probably.  Q. Were you prepared to file bankruptcy?  A. We were not prepared at that point. We were doing everything we could to convince Treasury to give us the money But we certainly were thinking about and started to engage outside counsel at that time. We secured Jones Day in that period anticipating that we would need to start planning for bankruptcy.  Q. All right. And you said that you went to the Treasury to see if you could get a loan, is that correct?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And you were asking for a TARP loan, is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. Now, were you aware of the fact, and didn't you say that you had talked to Mr. Paulson?  A. We spoke to the Secretary Treasurer. We spoke to the Secretary of Commerce  Q. Was the Secretary of Treasury Mr. Paulson at that time?  A. It was. Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	funding to the auto companies?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And were you aware of whether or not that law passed the House?  A. My recollection, it did not.  Q. All right. Did that concern you that the financing might not be available?  A. Well  THE WITNESS: Your Honor, if I could answer that  MR. LAURIA: It's a yes or no.  THE COURT: Just yes or no. Did it concern you or not?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  Q. Now, you're in a situation where you know the company could be running out of money. It's December and you don't have a commitment for new financing. What contingency planning were you doing at that point?  A. We were making sure, again as I mentioned, we retained outside counsel to help plan for bankruptcy. We were having
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Probably.  Q. Were you prepared to file bankruptcy?  A. We were not prepared at that point. We were doing everything we could to convince Treasury to give us the money But we certainly were thinking about and started to engage outside counsel at that time. We secured Jones Day in that period anticipating that we would need to start planning for bankruptcy.  Q. All right. And you said that you went to the Treasury to see if you could get a loan, is that correct?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And you were asking for a TARP loan, is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. Now, were you aware of the fact, and didn't you say that you had talked to Mr. Paulson?  A. We spoke to the Secretary Treasurer. We spoke to the Secretary of Commerce  Q. Was the Secretary of Treasury Mr. Paulson at that time?  A. It was. Yes, sir.  Q. All right. And when you spoke to him about getting did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	funding to the auto companies?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And were you aware of whether or not that law passed the House?  A. My recollection, it did not.  Q. All right. Did that concern you that the financing might not be available?  A. Well  THE WITNESS: Your Honor, if I could answer that  MR. LAURIA: It's a yes or no.  THE COURT: Just yes or no. Did it concern you or not?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  Q. Now, you're in a situation where you know the company could be running out of money. It's December and you don't have a commitment for new financing. What contingency planning were you doing at that point?  A. We were making sure, again as I mentioned, we retained outside counsel to help plan for bankruptcy. We were having continuous discussions with the Secretary or Treasury,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Probably.  Q. Were you prepared to file bankruptcy?  A. We were not prepared at that point. We were doing everything we could to convince Treasury to give us the money But we certainly were thinking about and started to engage outside counsel at that time. We secured Jones Day in that period anticipating that we would need to start planning for bankruptcy.  Q. All right. And you said that you went to the Treasury to see if you could get a loan, is that correct?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And you were asking for a TARP loan, is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. Now, were you aware of the fact, and didn't you say that you had talked to Mr. Paulson?  A. We spoke to the Secretary Treasurer. We spoke to the Secretary of Commerce  Q. Was the Secretary of Treasury Mr. Paulson at that time?  A. It was. Yes, sir.  Q. All right. And when you spoke to him about getting did you personally speak to him?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	funding to the auto companies?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And were you aware of whether or not that law passed the House?  A. My recollection, it did not.  Q. All right. Did that concern you that the financing might not be available?  A. Well  THE WITNESS: Your Honor, if I could answer that  MR. LAURIA: It's a yes or no.  THE COURT: Just yes or no. Did it concern you or not?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  Q. Now, you're in a situation where you know the company could be running out of money. It's December and you don't have a commitment for new financing. What contingency planning were you doing at that point?  A. We were making sure, again as I mentioned, we retained outside counsel to help plan for bankruptcy. We were having continuous discussions with the Secretary or Treasury, Secretary of Commerce. There were lengthy discussions in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Probably.  Q. Were you prepared to file bankruptcy?  A. We were not prepared at that point. We were doing everything we could to convince Treasury to give us the money But we certainly were thinking about and started to engage outside counsel at that time. We secured Jones Day in that period anticipating that we would need to start planning for bankruptcy.  Q. All right. And you said that you went to the Treasury to see if you could get a loan, is that correct?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And you were asking for a TARP loan, is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. Now, were you aware of the fact, and didn't you say that you had talked to Mr. Paulson?  A. We spoke to the Secretary Treasurer. We spoke to the Secretary of Commerce  Q. Was the Secretary of Treasury Mr. Paulson at that time?  A. It was. Yes, sir.  Q. All right. And when you spoke to him about getting did you personally speak to him?  A. On one or two occasions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	funding to the auto companies?  A. Yes. Q. All right. And were you aware of whether or not that law passed the House? A. My recollection, it did not. Q. All right. Did that concern you that the financing might not be available? A. Well  THE WITNESS: Your Honor, if I could answer that  MR. LAURIA: It's a yes or no.  THE COURT: Just yes or no. Did it concern you or not?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Q. Now, you're in a situation where you know the company could be running out of money. It's December and you don't have a commitment for new financing. What contingency planning were you doing at that point?  A. We were making sure, again as I mentioned, we retained outside counsel to help plan for bankruptcy. We were having continuous discussions with the Secretary or Treasury, Secretary of Commerce. There were lengthy discussions in place, Your Honor. At the same time there was a department,

1			
	were discussions in the house at the time that twenty-five of	1	instead of Chrysler
2	the fifty billion could be used to support the auto industry.	2	A. Yes.
3	And that was my pause relative to the question about the	3	Q was from the auto task force, correct?
4	discussions that were going on in the house.	4	A. Yes. They were suggesting that again, I had no
5	There was considerable discussion about whether that money	5	previous knowledge on how this transaction the sales
6	could be used as opposed to TARP money because as was	6	transaction would work. And so, they basically were outlining
7	highlighted, the statement about TARP constraints. And then	7	this as a possibility of one way to conduct the transaction.
8	the money would be replenished again, twenty-five of the fifty. $\\$	8	Q. And they proposed that that could only be done or
9	So in addition to making that request directly of TARP, we also	9	discussed doing that only in the context of a Chapter 11 case,
10	had the alternative of possibly getting twenty-five billion of	10	is that correct?
11	the fifty billion that was approved through the Department of	11	A. I don't recall only. I mean, my understanding, again,
12	Energy to fund us.	12	that a 363 could only be done under the context of bankruptcy.
13	MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, I'm going to move to strike	13	Q. Okay.
14	that. I asked what he was doing. I didn't ask what the rest	14	A. Yes.
15	of the world was doing.	15	Q. Could you go back to tab 7 for me?
16	THE COURT: Well, I think he answered that this is	16	A. Yes.
17	what he was considering.	17	Q. And I'd like to look at the e-mail on the first page
18	MR. LAURIA: All right.	18	A. Yes.
19	THE COURT: I think you did ask for more than a yes	19	Q that is to you from Mr. Manzo.
20	or no. All right. Mr. Lauria, do you have an estimate?	20	A. Yes.
21	MR. LAURIA: This is going to go on for a long time,	21	Q. If you could the first sentence says "While I'd say at
22	Your Honor.	22	least this guy will tell Bloom, Rattner what will work and what
23	THE COURT: No, you've got to give me an estimate	23	won't". "This guy" is referring to Mr. Feldman, is that
24	because it's not unfettered.	24	correct?
25	MR. LAURIA: Okay. Fair enough. Your Honor, I could	25	A. Can I read the rest of this and make sure?
	282		284
1		,	0. 9
1 2	see another couple hours at the rate we're going here.	1	Q. Sure.
	THE COURT: Well, we're going to take a fifteen	3	(Pause)
3	minute break. We'll come back and then I'll limit you to an	4	A. Okay. So the question was
4 5	hour and a half and we'll move along at that speed.		Q. The term "this guy" in the first sentence of Mr. Manzo's
	MR. LAURIA: All right. (Recess from 4:54 p.m. until 5:15 p.m.)	5	e-mail to you refers to Mr. Feldman, is that correct?
6			A I would was
-		6	A. I would yes.
7	THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. The	7	Q. All right. And then the next sentence says "They need
8	THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. The witness take the stand, please. Is the witness back? Okay.	7 8	Q. All right. And then the next sentence says "They need that to figure out a structure."
8 9	THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. The witness take the stand, please. Is the witness back? Okay.  MR. ARMSTRONG: We're getting him.	7 8 9	Q. All right. And then the next sentence says "They need that to figure out a structure."  A. Okay.
8 9 10	THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. The witness take the stand, please. Is the witness back? Okay.  MR. ARMSTRONG: We're getting him.  THE COURT: All right.	7 8 9	<ul><li>Q. All right. And then the next sentence says "They need that to figure out a structure."</li><li>A. Okay.</li><li>Q. Would it be fair to say that that was a reference to the</li></ul>
8 9 10 11	THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. The witness take the stand, please. Is the witness back? Okay.  MR. ARMSTRONG: We're getting him.  THE COURT: All right.  (Pause)	7 8 9 10 11	<ul><li>Q. All right. And then the next sentence says "They need that to figure out a structure."</li><li>A. Okay.</li><li>Q. Would it be fair to say that that was a reference to the 363?</li></ul>
8 9 10 11 12	THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. The witness take the stand, please. Is the witness back? Okay.  MR. ARMSTRONG: We're getting him.  THE COURT: All right.  (Pause)  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Lauria.	7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. All right. And then the next sentence says "They need that to figure out a structure."</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Would it be fair to say that that was a reference to the 363?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't know if I could make that leap to 363 but</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. The witness take the stand, please. Is the witness back? Okay.  MR. ARMSTRONG: We're getting him.  THE COURT: All right.  (Pause)  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Lauria.  RESUME CROSS-EXAMINATION	7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. All right. And then the next sentence says "They need that to figure out a structure."</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Would it be fair to say that that was a reference to the 363?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't know if I could make that leap to 363 but they're looking at various structures of what would work.</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. The witness take the stand, please. Is the witness back? Okay.  MR. ARMSTRONG: We're getting him.  THE COURT: All right.  (Pause)  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Lauria.  RESUME CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. LAURIA:	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. All right. And then the next sentence says "They need that to figure out a structure."</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Would it be fair to say that that was a reference to the 363?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't know if I could make that leap to 363 but they're looking at various structures of what would work.</li> <li>Q. All right. And then if you could read the next sentence</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. The witness take the stand, please. Is the witness back? Okay.  MR. ARMSTRONG: We're getting him.  THE COURT: All right.  (Pause)  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Lauria.  RESUME CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. LAURIA:  Q. Earlier you testified that the auto task force first	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. All right. And then the next sentence says "They need that to figure out a structure."</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Would it be fair to say that that was a reference to the 363?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't know if I could make that leap to 363 but they're looking at various structures of what would work.</li> <li>Q. All right. And then if you could read the next sentence out loud?</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. The witness take the stand, please. Is the witness back? Okay.  MR. ARMSTRONG: We're getting him.  THE COURT: All right.  (Pause)  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Lauria.  RESUME CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. LAURIA:  Q. Earlier you testified that the auto task force first proposed the possibility of effecting this transaction through	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. All right. And then the next sentence says "They need that to figure out a structure."</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Would it be fair to say that that was a reference to the 363?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't know if I could make that leap to 363 but they're looking at various structures of what would work.</li> <li>Q. All right. And then if you could read the next sentence out loud?</li> <li>A. Sure. "Matt told me Jimmy did not go over well. He may</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. The witness take the stand, please. Is the witness back? Okay.  MR. ARMSTRONG: We're getting him.  THE COURT: All right.  (Pause)  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Lauria.  RESUME CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. LAURIA:  Q. Earlier you testified that the auto task force first proposed the possibility of effecting this transaction through 363 and that it would only, as a sale, that is, to NewCo with	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. All right. And then the next sentence says "They need that to figure out a structure."</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Would it be fair to say that that was a reference to the 363?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't know if I could make that leap to 363 but they're looking at various structures of what would work.</li> <li>Q. All right. And then if you could read the next sentence out loud?</li> <li>A. Sure. "Matt told me Jimmy did not go over well. He may have sealed his fate last week and regrettably ours at the same</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. The witness take the stand, please. Is the witness back? Okay.  MR. ARMSTRONG: We're getting him.  THE COURT: All right.  (Pause)  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Lauria.  RESUME CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. LAURIA:  Q. Earlier you testified that the auto task force first proposed the possibility of effecting this transaction through 363 and that it would only, as a sale, that is, to NewCo with an investment by Fiat and that company and that it would only	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. All right. And then the next sentence says "They need that to figure out a structure."</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Would it be fair to say that that was a reference to the 363?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't know if I could make that leap to 363 but they're looking at various structures of what would work.</li> <li>Q. All right. And then if you could read the next sentence out loud?</li> <li>A. Sure. "Matt told me Jimmy did not go over well. He may have sealed his fate last week and regrettably ours at the same time."</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. The witness take the stand, please. Is the witness back? Okay.  MR. ARMSTRONG: We're getting him.  THE COURT: All right.  (Pause)  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Lauria.  RESUME CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. LAURIA:  Q. Earlier you testified that the auto task force first proposed the possibility of effecting this transaction through 363 and that it would only, as a sale, that is, to NewCo with an investment by Fiat and that company and that it would only be done as a Section 363 transaction in a Chapter 11 case, is	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. All right. And then the next sentence says "They need that to figure out a structure."</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Would it be fair to say that that was a reference to the 363?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't know if I could make that leap to 363 but they're looking at various structures of what would work.</li> <li>Q. All right. And then if you could read the next sentence out loud?</li> <li>A. Sure. "Matt told me Jimmy did not go over well. He may have sealed his fate last week and regrettably ours at the same time."</li> <li>Q. Now, do you know who Jimmy is?</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. The witness take the stand, please. Is the witness back? Okay.  MR. ARMSTRONG: We're getting him.  THE COURT: All right.  (Pause)  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Lauria.  RESUME CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. LAURIA:  Q. Earlier you testified that the auto task force first proposed the possibility of effecting this transaction through 363 and that it would only, as a sale, that is, to NewCo with an investment by Fiat and that company and that it would only be done as a Section 363 transaction in a Chapter 11 case, is that correct?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. All right. And then the next sentence says "They need that to figure out a structure."</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Would it be fair to say that that was a reference to the 363?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't know if I could make that leap to 363 but they're looking at various structures of what would work.</li> <li>Q. All right. And then if you could read the next sentence out loud?</li> <li>A. Sure. "Matt told me Jimmy did not go over well. He may have sealed his fate last week and regrettably ours at the same time."</li> <li>Q. Now, do you know who Jimmy is?</li> <li>A. I believe he was referring to Jimmy Lee (ph.) at JPMorgan.</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. The witness take the stand, please. Is the witness back? Okay.  MR. ARMSTRONG: We're getting him.  THE COURT: All right.  (Pause)  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Lauria.  RESUME CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. LAURIA:  Q. Earlier you testified that the auto task force first proposed the possibility of effecting this transaction through 363 and that it would only, as a sale, that is, to NewCo with an investment by Fiat and that company and that it would only be done as a Section 363 transaction in a Chapter 11 case, is that correct?  A. I'm sorry. That was in reference to what? I	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. All right. And then the next sentence says "They need that to figure out a structure."</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Would it be fair to say that that was a reference to the 363?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't know if I could make that leap to 363 but they're looking at various structures of what would work.</li> <li>Q. All right. And then if you could read the next sentence out loud?</li> <li>A. Sure. "Matt told me Jimmy did not go over well. He may have sealed his fate last week and regrettably ours at the same time."</li> <li>Q. Now, do you know who Jimmy is?</li> <li>A. I believe he was referring to Jimmy Lee (ph.) at JPMorgan.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what Jimmy Lee's title is at JPMorgan?</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. The witness take the stand, please. Is the witness back? Okay.  MR. ARMSTRONG: We're getting him.  THE COURT: All right.  (Pause)  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Lauria.  RESUME CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. LAURIA:  Q. Earlier you testified that the auto task force first proposed the possibility of effecting this transaction through 363 and that it would only, as a sale, that is, to NewCo with an investment by Fiat and that company and that it would only be done as a Section 363 transaction in a Chapter 11 case, is that correct?  A. I'm sorry. That was in reference to what? I  Q. Earlier you testified	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. All right. And then the next sentence says "They need that to figure out a structure."</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Would it be fair to say that that was a reference to the 363?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't know if I could make that leap to 363 but they're looking at various structures of what would work.</li> <li>Q. All right. And then if you could read the next sentence out loud?</li> <li>A. Sure. "Matt told me Jimmy did not go over well. He may have sealed his fate last week and regrettably ours at the same time."</li> <li>Q. Now, do you know who Jimmy is?</li> <li>A. I believe he was referring to Jimmy Lee (ph.) at JPMorgan.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what Jimmy Lee's title is at JPMorgan?</li> <li>A. I don't know his exact title.</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. The witness take the stand, please. Is the witness back? Okay.  MR. ARMSTRONG: We're getting him.  THE COURT: All right.  (Pause)  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Lauria.  RESUME CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. LAURIA:  Q. Earlier you testified that the auto task force first proposed the possibility of effecting this transaction through 363 and that it would only, as a sale, that is, to NewCo with an investment by Fiat and that company and that it would only be done as a Section 363 transaction in a Chapter 11 case, is that correct?  A. I'm sorry. That was in reference to what? I  Q. Earlier you testified  A. Yes.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. All right. And then the next sentence says "They need that to figure out a structure."</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Would it be fair to say that that was a reference to the 363?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't know if I could make that leap to 363 but they're looking at various structures of what would work.</li> <li>Q. All right. And then if you could read the next sentence out loud?</li> <li>A. Sure. "Matt told me Jimmy did not go over well. He may have sealed his fate last week and regrettably ours at the same time."</li> <li>Q. Now, do you know who Jimmy is?</li> <li>A. I believe he was referring to Jimmy Lee (ph.) at JPMorgan.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what Jimmy Lee's title is at JPMorgan?</li> <li>A. I don't know his exact title.</li> <li>Q. Very senior at the bank?</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. The witness take the stand, please. Is the witness back? Okay.  MR. ARMSTRONG: We're getting him.  THE COURT: All right.  (Pause)  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Lauria.  RESUME CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. LAURIA:  Q. Earlier you testified that the auto task force first proposed the possibility of effecting this transaction through 363 and that it would only, as a sale, that is, to NewCo with an investment by Fiat and that company and that it would only be done as a Section 363 transaction in a Chapter 11 case, is that correct?  A. I'm sorry. That was in reference to what? I  Q. Earlier you testified  A. Yes.  Q that the first time you heard about structuring the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. All right. And then the next sentence says "They need that to figure out a structure."</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Would it be fair to say that that was a reference to the 363?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't know if I could make that leap to 363 but they're looking at various structures of what would work.</li> <li>Q. All right. And then if you could read the next sentence out loud?</li> <li>A. Sure. "Matt told me Jimmy did not go over well. He may have sealed his fate last week and regrettably ours at the same time."</li> <li>Q. Now, do you know who Jimmy is?</li> <li>A. I believe he was referring to Jimmy Lee (ph.) at JPMorgan.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what Jimmy Lee's title is at JPMorgan?</li> <li>A. I don't know his exact title.</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. The witness take the stand, please. Is the witness back? Okay.  MR. ARMSTRONG: We're getting him.  THE COURT: All right.  (Pause)  THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Lauria.  RESUME CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. LAURIA:  Q. Earlier you testified that the auto task force first proposed the possibility of effecting this transaction through 363 and that it would only, as a sale, that is, to NewCo with an investment by Fiat and that company and that it would only be done as a Section 363 transaction in a Chapter 11 case, is that correct?  A. I'm sorry. That was in reference to what? I  Q. Earlier you testified  A. Yes.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. All right. And then the next sentence says "They need that to figure out a structure."</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Would it be fair to say that that was a reference to the 363?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't know if I could make that leap to 363 but they're looking at various structures of what would work.</li> <li>Q. All right. And then if you could read the next sentence out loud?</li> <li>A. Sure. "Matt told me Jimmy did not go over well. He may have sealed his fate last week and regrettably ours at the same time."</li> <li>Q. Now, do you know who Jimmy is?</li> <li>A. I believe he was referring to Jimmy Lee (ph.) at JPMorgan.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what Jimmy Lee's title is at JPMorgan?</li> <li>A. I don't know his exact title.</li> <li>Q. Very senior at the bank?</li> </ul>

1	when he said "He may have sealed his fate last week and	1	A. Yes.
2	regrettably ours at the same time"?	2	Q it says from RLM 43 at Chrysler.
3	A. Well, if I read on in this e-mail, my recollection is they	3	A. Yeah. To Manzo, yeah. Where I say "Thank you"?
4	were asking for a seventeen million dollar fee to get a waiver	4	Q. Yes.
5	on some financial statements. And my guess is he may have bee	n 5	A. Yes. Okay.
6	referring to that request for a transaction fee. And I don't	6	Q. All right. Now you're responding to an e-mail from Mr.
7	remember in what context but I remember something about them	7	Manzo to you, is that correct?
8	asking for a fee. The bank was asking for a fee.	8	A. Yes. Can I read it or
9	Q. Do you recall at that time having the impression that the	9	Q. Well, we'll get to that. But I just want to establish
10	discussions between the United States Treasury and JPMorgan as	10	that it's an e-mail from Mr. Manzo to you.
11	agent for the secured lenders were not going well?	11	A. Yes.
12	A. I wouldn't have any context of whether they're going well	12	Q. All right. Now the subject is "UST called discussions
13	or not. I mean	13	with BCG", is that correct?
14	Q. You were not involved in those conversations at all?	14	A. That's the subject, yes.
15	A. No. Again, as I stated earlier, we had an initial	15	Q. Who is BCG?
16	discussion with JPMorgan and, basically, as I said, Your Honor,	16	A. Boston Consulting Group.
17	that we were told that those discussions would have to take	17	Q. Were they an advisor of yours?
18	place with the United States Treasury.	18	A. No, they were not our advisor.
19	Q. Did you make any effort to keep yourself informed of those	19	Q. Who were they advising?
20	discussions?	20	A. They were retained by the U.S. Treasury.
21	A. I did not other than the one meeting that I said I	21	Q. All right. And do you know what their assignment was for
22	participated in where the four banks were there, Fiat, Chrysler	22	U.S. Treasury?
23	and Mr. Rattner and Mr. Bloom.	23	A. In the broadest scope, I think they were brought in to
24	Q. Well, isn't it true that the company couldn't reorganize	24	provide based on their specialization in the area of the auto
25	without some arrangement or treatment for the first lien debt?  286	25	industry. They have a pretty large practice in Detroit. And I 288
	280		200
1	A. Yeah. One of the obviously, one of the things when we	1	think the auto task force, again, wanted to make sure they were
2	looked at our	2	bringing together the most talented group of consultants and
3	Q. Just yes or no, please.	3	advisors in making this decision.
4	A. Yes. We had to look at our first lien debt	4	Q. All right. I'd like you to take a look at the fifth
5	Q. So	5	paragraph of Mr. Manzo's e-mail to you. I'm going to read it
6	A as part of our restructuring.	6	out loud for you.
7	Q. So how were you going to accomplish this restructuring if	7	A. Okay.
8	you weren't even keeping tabs on the negotiations regarding the	8	MR. ARMSTRONG: Excuse me. Your Honor?
9	first lien debt?	9	THE COURT: Yes?
10	A. Well, as I tried to say, we made our first attempt at	10	MR. ARMSTRONG: Now that we seem to be getting to it
11	debt. And we knew that we would have to reduce the service	11	as counsel put it, could the witness have a chance to actually
12	level, that we could not afford to service that level of debt	12	read the entire e-mail?
13	and that the United States and we were directed that that	13	MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, I'm asking him about a
14	discussion would take place between the banks and United States	14	paragraph here. I don't know that he needs to read the entire
15	Treasury.	15	e-mail.
16	Q. Let me ask you to flip forward to tab 11, please?	16	MR. ARMSTRONG: Well, I know your objective is to
17	A. Okay.	17	throw a couple of words out, but I'd like him to at least take
18	Q. This is an e-mail from you to Mr. Manzo dated April 5th,	18	a look
19	is that correct?	19	MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, I think the comments of
20	A. Tab did you say tab 11?	20	counsel are entirely inappropriate. If he's got an objection
21	Q. Yes, I did.	21	he should address it to the Court.
22	A. This looks like an e-mail from Bob Manzo to me not me to	22	THE COURT: No, I think they just state the
23	him.	23	objection and direct your comment to me.
24	Q. Well, if you start up at the top of the page, at the very	24	MR. ARMSTRONG: Yes, sir.
25	top	25	THE COURT: All right. You know, the witness can
	287		289

1	respond. If the witness can't respond to a particular question	1	Q. Would it be fair to say that the U.S. Treasury did not
2	because it's out of context, he can say so. Go ahead, ask the	2	agree with the company's positions on a number of significant
3	question.	3	issues?
4	MR. LAURIA: All right.	4	A. No, I wouldn't say that.
5	BY MR. LAURIA:	5	Q. All right. Let's test that a little bit, okay?
6	Q. I'm going to read the fifth paragraph here. "The banks	6	A. Okay.
7	are having a call tomorrow to discuss and vote. They only need	7	Q. In the February 17th restructuring plan, the company
8	fifty-one percent, which is just JPM and Citi. So when JPM	8	recommended a standalone restructuring, is that correct?
9	says we don't have bank group support, that is bullshit. JPM	9	A. Yes.
10	and Citi control decision. They are, as usual, trying to	10	Q. The U.S. Treasury did not agree with that, is that
11	create an illusion of a large unruly group to gain negotiation	11	correct?
12	leverage." Do you recall reading that message?	12	A. Correct.
13	A. I'm sure I must have.	13	Q. Are you pursuing a standalone restructuring plan?
14	Q. Do you recall today what that vote was referring to?	14	A. Are we pursuing one?
15	A. Let me read through this, please.	15	Q. That is the question.
16	(Pause)	16	A. No.
17	A. Okay. I believe this is tied to the other e-mail. When	17	Q. Your answer is?
18	it says "We sent them a new proposal on the amendment", we	18	A. No.
19	needed bank approval on an amendment that we were requesting	19	Q. All right. The initial financial request you made to the
20	and I think it ties back into the banks wanted seventeen	20	U.S. Treasury was for seven billion dollars, is that correct?
21	million dollars in fees to be able to change that amendment.	21	A. Yes.
22	And I believe that you only need fifty-one percent of the banks	22	Q. How much did you receive?
23	to agree to that amendment as opposed to a majority.	23	A. Four.
24	Q. So Mr. Manzo didn't seem to think that their position was	24	Q. All right. When you were trying to move forward with the
25	too legitimate, did he?	25	Daimler settlement, you were told to discontinue discussions
	290		292
- 1	A No. it would not an analytic form this	-	
1	A. No, it would not appear that way from this.	1	and not reach a deal until you had gotten approval from the
2	Q. All right, let's look at the next two sentences, please.	2	UST, is that correct?
2	Q. All right, let's look at the next two sentences, please.  I'm going to read them for you.	2	UST, is that correct?  A. Yes, I stated that in the context as it was as we had
2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. All right, let's look at the next two sentences, please.</li><li>I'm going to read them for you.</li><li>A. Okay.</li></ul>	2 3 4	UST, is that correct?  A. Yes, I stated that in the context as it was as we had that discussion.
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. All right, let's look at the next two sentences, please.</li><li>I'm going to read them for you.</li><li>A. Okay.</li><li>Q. "Feldman is squarely behind us and, as you see from some</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	UST, is that correct?  A. Yes, I stated that in the context as it was as we had that discussion.  Q. In fact, you stated that they were running it, is that
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. All right, let's look at the next two sentences, please.</li> <li>I'm going to read them for you.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. "Feldman is squarely behind us and, as you see from some e-mails, we saw Rattner is up to speed. Matt said if they</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	UST, is that correct?  A. Yes, I stated that in the context as it was as we had that discussion.  Q. In fact, you stated that they were running it, is that correct?
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. All right, let's look at the next two sentences, please.</li> <li>I'm going to read them for you.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. "Feldman is squarely behind us and, as you see from some e-mails, we saw Rattner is up to speed. Matt said if they don't approve tomorrow, UST will really 'turn up the heat';</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	UST, is that correct?  A. Yes, I stated that in the context as it was as we had that discussion.  Q. In fact, you stated that they were running it, is that
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. All right, let's look at the next two sentences, please.</li> <li>I'm going to read them for you.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. "Feldman is squarely behind us and, as you see from some e-mails, we saw Rattner is up to speed. Matt said if they</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	UST, is that correct?  A. Yes, I stated that in the context as it was as we had that discussion.  Q. In fact, you stated that they were running it, is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. All right, let's look at the next two sentences, please.</li> <li>I'm going to read them for you.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. "Feldman is squarely behind us and, as you see from some e-mails, we saw Rattner is up to speed. Matt said if they don't approve tomorrow, UST will really 'turn up the heat';</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	UST, is that correct?  A. Yes, I stated that in the context as it was as we had that discussion.  Q. In fact, you stated that they were running it, is that correct?  A. No, I didn't say that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. All right, let's look at the next two sentences, please. I'm going to read them for you. A. Okay. Q. "Feldman is squarely behind us and, as you see from some e-mails, we saw Rattner is up to speed. Matt said if they don't approve tomorrow, UST will really 'turn up the heat'; don't know what exactly that means, but I guess we could	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	UST, is that correct?  A. Yes, I stated that in the context as it was as we had that discussion.  Q. In fact, you stated that they were running it, is that correct?  A. No, I didn't say that.  Q. Okay, let's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. All right, let's look at the next two sentences, please. I'm going to read them for you. A. Okay. Q. "Feldman is squarely behind us and, as you see from some e-mails, we saw Rattner is up to speed. Matt said if they don't approve tomorrow, UST will really 'turn up the heat'; don't know what exactly that means, but I guess we could speculate." Have you ever heard any reference like that before	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	UST, is that correct?  A. Yes, I stated that in the context as it was as we had that discussion.  Q. In fact, you stated that they were running it, is that correct?  A. No, I didn't say that.  Q. Okay, let's  A. I don't believe I did.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. All right, let's look at the next two sentences, please. I'm going to read them for you.  A. Okay.  Q. "Feldman is squarely behind us and, as you see from some e-mails, we saw Rattner is up to speed. Matt said if they don't approve tomorrow, UST will really 'turn up the heat'; don't know what exactly that means, but I guess we could speculate." Have you ever heard any reference like that before or since regarding the U.S. Treasury turning up the heat?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	UST, is that correct?  A. Yes, I stated that in the context as it was as we had that discussion.  Q. In fact, you stated that they were running it, is that correct?  A. No, I didn't say that.  Q. Okay, let's  A. I don't believe I did.  Q let's go back to an e-mail that's at tab 10 I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. All right, let's look at the next two sentences, please. I'm going to read them for you.  A. Okay.  Q. "Feldman is squarely behind us and, as you see from some e-mails, we saw Rattner is up to speed. Matt said if they don't approve tomorrow, UST will really 'turn up the heat'; don't know what exactly that means, but I guess we could speculate." Have you ever heard any reference like that before or since regarding the U.S. Treasury turning up the heat?  A. No. I mean, again, I read it here. I must have read it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	UST, is that correct?  A. Yes, I stated that in the context as it was as we had that discussion.  Q. In fact, you stated that they were running it, is that correct?  A. No, I didn't say that.  Q. Okay, let's  A. I don't believe I did.  Q let's go back to an e-mail that's at tab 10 I'm sorry, tab 9. Your e-mail to Mr. LaSorda?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. All right, let's look at the next two sentences, please. I'm going to read them for you.  A. Okay.  Q. "Feldman is squarely behind us and, as you see from some e-mails, we saw Rattner is up to speed. Matt said if they don't approve tomorrow, UST will really 'turn up the heat'; don't know what exactly that means, but I guess we could speculate." Have you ever heard any reference like that before or since regarding the U.S. Treasury turning up the heat?  A. No. I mean, again, I read it here. I must have read it when I received the e-mail.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	UST, is that correct?  A. Yes, I stated that in the context as it was as we had that discussion.  Q. In fact, you stated that they were running it, is that correct?  A. No, I didn't say that.  Q. Okay, let's  A. I don't believe I did.  Q let's go back to an e-mail that's at tab 10 I'm sorry, tab 9. Your e-mail to Mr. LaSorda?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. All right, let's look at the next two sentences, please. I'm going to read them for you.  A. Okay.  Q. "Feldman is squarely behind us and, as you see from some e-mails, we saw Rattner is up to speed. Matt said if they don't approve tomorrow, UST will really 'turn up the heat'; don't know what exactly that means, but I guess we could speculate." Have you ever heard any reference like that before or since regarding the U.S. Treasury turning up the heat?  A. No. I mean, again, I read it here. I must have read it when I received the e-mail.  Q. Did they ever turn up the heat on you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	UST, is that correct?  A. Yes, I stated that in the context as it was as we had that discussion.  Q. In fact, you stated that they were running it, is that correct?  A. No, I didn't say that.  Q. Okay, let's  A. I don't believe I did.  Q let's go back to an e-mail that's at tab 10 I'm sorry, tab 9. Your e-mail to Mr. LaSorda?  A. Yes.  Q. I'm going to read it to you: "Thanks, Tom. I guess the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. All right, let's look at the next two sentences, please. I'm going to read them for you.  A. Okay.  Q. "Feldman is squarely behind us and, as you see from some e-mails, we saw Rattner is up to speed. Matt said if they don't approve tomorrow, UST will really 'turn up the heat'; don't know what exactly that means, but I guess we could speculate." Have you ever heard any reference like that before or since regarding the U.S. Treasury turning up the heat?  A. No. I mean, again, I read it here. I must have read it when I received the e-mail.  Q. Did they ever turn up the heat on you?  A. No, I think we turned the heat up on them to try and get	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	UST, is that correct?  A. Yes, I stated that in the context as it was as we had that discussion.  Q. In fact, you stated that they were running it, is that correct?  A. No, I didn't say that.  Q. Okay, let's  A. I don't believe I did.  Q let's go back to an e-mail that's at tab 10 I'm sorry, tab 9. Your e-mail to Mr. LaSorda?  A. Yes.  Q. I'm going to read it to you: "Thanks, Tom. I guess the UST is running it." Do you remember that now?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. All right, let's look at the next two sentences, please. I'm going to read them for you.  A. Okay.  Q. "Feldman is squarely behind us and, as you see from some e-mails, we saw Rattner is up to speed. Matt said if they don't approve tomorrow, UST will really 'turn up the heat'; don't know what exactly that means, but I guess we could speculate." Have you ever heard any reference like that before or since regarding the U.S. Treasury turning up the heat?  A. No. I mean, again, I read it here. I must have read it when I received the e-mail.  Q. Did they ever turn up the heat on you?  A. No, I think we turned the heat up on them to try and get this deal done.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	UST, is that correct?  A. Yes, I stated that in the context as it was as we had that discussion.  Q. In fact, you stated that they were running it, is that correct?  A. No, I didn't say that.  Q. Okay, let's  A. I don't believe I did.  Q let's go back to an e-mail that's at tab 10 I'm sorry, tab 9. Your e-mail to Mr. LaSorda?  A. Yes.  Q. I'm going to read it to you: "Thanks, Tom. I guess the UST is running it." Do you remember that now?  A. Yes, and I explained that in the context of that e-mail
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. All right, let's look at the next two sentences, please. I'm going to read them for you.  A. Okay.  Q. "Feldman is squarely behind us and, as you see from some e-mails, we saw Rattner is up to speed. Matt said if they don't approve tomorrow, UST will really 'turn up the heat'; don't know what exactly that means, but I guess we could speculate." Have you ever heard any reference like that before or since regarding the U.S. Treasury turning up the heat?  A. No. I mean, again, I read it here. I must have read it when I received the e-mail.  Q. Did they ever turn up the heat on you?  A. No, I think we turned the heat up on them to try and get this deal done.  Q. On the U.S. Treasury?  A. Yeah, I mean, it was very important that we there were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	UST, is that correct?  A. Yes, I stated that in the context as it was as we had that discussion.  Q. In fact, you stated that they were running it, is that correct?  A. No, I didn't say that.  Q. Okay, let's  A. I don't believe I did.  Q let's go back to an e-mail that's at tab 10 I'm sorry, tab 9. Your e-mail to Mr. LaSorda?  A. Yes.  Q. I'm going to read it to you: "Thanks, Tom. I guess the UST is running it." Do you remember that now?  A. Yes, and I explained that in the context of that e-mail and the exchange that took place.  Q. Now, let's talk about getting a deal done with the non-
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. All right, let's look at the next two sentences, please. I'm going to read them for you.  A. Okay.  Q. "Feldman is squarely behind us and, as you see from some e-mails, we saw Rattner is up to speed. Matt said if they don't approve tomorrow, UST will really 'turn up the heat'; don't know what exactly that means, but I guess we could speculate." Have you ever heard any reference like that before or since regarding the U.S. Treasury turning up the heat?  A. No. I mean, again, I read it here. I must have read it when I received the e-mail.  Q. Did they ever turn up the heat on you?  A. No, I think we turned the heat up on them to try and get this deal done.  Q. On the U.S. Treasury?  A. Yeah, I mean, it was very important that we there were parts of the negotiations that they were handling.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	UST, is that correct?  A. Yes, I stated that in the context as it was as we had that discussion.  Q. In fact, you stated that they were running it, is that correct?  A. No, I didn't say that.  Q. Okay, let's  A. I don't believe I did.  Q let's go back to an e-mail that's at tab 10 I'm sorry, tab 9. Your e-mail to Mr. LaSorda?  A. Yes.  Q. I'm going to read it to you: "Thanks, Tom. I guess the UST is running it." Do you remember that now?  A. Yes, and I explained that in the context of that e-mail and the exchange that took place.  Q. Now, let's talk about getting a deal done with the non-TARP lenders. Do you remember talking about the negotiations.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. All right, let's look at the next two sentences, please. I'm going to read them for you.  A. Okay.  Q. "Feldman is squarely behind us and, as you see from some e-mails, we saw Rattner is up to speed. Matt said if they don't approve tomorrow, UST will really 'turn up the heat'; don't know what exactly that means, but I guess we could speculate." Have you ever heard any reference like that before or since regarding the U.S. Treasury turning up the heat?  A. No. I mean, again, I read it here. I must have read it when I received the e-mail.  Q. Did they ever turn up the heat on you?  A. No, I think we turned the heat up on them to try and get this deal done.  Q. On the U.S. Treasury?  A. Yeah, I mean, it was very important that we there were parts of the negotiations that they were handling.  Q. And by letting them handle those negotiations, you turned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	UST, is that correct?  A. Yes, I stated that in the context as it was as we had that discussion.  Q. In fact, you stated that they were running it, is that correct?  A. No, I didn't say that.  Q. Okay, let's  A. I don't believe I did.  Q let's go back to an e-mail that's at tab 10 I'm sorry, tab 9. Your e-mail to Mr. LaSorda?  A. Yes.  Q. I'm going to read it to you: "Thanks, Tom. I guess the UST is running it." Do you remember that now?  A. Yes, and I explained that in the context of that e-mail and the exchange that took place.  Q. Now, let's talk about getting a deal done with the non-TARP lenders. Do you remember talking about the negotiation at the eleventh hour?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. All right, let's look at the next two sentences, please. I'm going to read them for you.  A. Okay.  Q. "Feldman is squarely behind us and, as you see from some e-mails, we saw Rattner is up to speed. Matt said if they don't approve tomorrow, UST will really 'turn up the heat'; don't know what exactly that means, but I guess we could speculate." Have you ever heard any reference like that before or since regarding the U.S. Treasury turning up the heat?  A. No. I mean, again, I read it here. I must have read it when I received the e-mail.  Q. Did they ever turn up the heat on you?  A. No, I think we turned the heat up on them to try and get this deal done.  Q. On the U.S. Treasury?  A. Yeah, I mean, it was very important that we there were parts of the negotiations that they were handling.  Q. And by letting them handle those negotiations, you turned up the heat on them?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	UST, is that correct?  A. Yes, I stated that in the context as it was as we had that discussion.  Q. In fact, you stated that they were running it, is that correct?  A. No, I didn't say that.  Q. Okay, let's  A. I don't believe I did.  Q let's go back to an e-mail that's at tab 10 I'm sorry, tab 9. Your e-mail to Mr. LaSorda?  A. Yes.  Q. I'm going to read it to you: "Thanks, Tom. I guess the UST is running it." Do you remember that now?  A. Yes, and I explained that in the context of that e-mail and the exchange that took place.  Q. Now, let's talk about getting a deal done with the non-TARP lenders. Do you remember talking about the negotiation at the eleventh hour?  A. Okay, the discussion at the eleventh hour with the non-
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. All right, let's look at the next two sentences, please. I'm going to read them for you.  A. Okay.  Q. "Feldman is squarely behind us and, as you see from some e-mails, we saw Rattner is up to speed. Matt said if they don't approve tomorrow, UST will really 'turn up the heat'; don't know what exactly that means, but I guess we could speculate." Have you ever heard any reference like that before or since regarding the U.S. Treasury turning up the heat?  A. No. I mean, again, I read it here. I must have read it when I received the e-mail.  Q. Did they ever turn up the heat on you?  A. No, I think we turned the heat up on them to try and get this deal done.  Q. On the U.S. Treasury?  A. Yeah, I mean, it was very important that we there were parts of the negotiations that they were handling.  Q. And by letting them handle those negotiations, you turned up the heat on them?  A. Well, we certainly wanted to get it done.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	UST, is that correct?  A. Yes, I stated that in the context as it was as we had that discussion.  Q. In fact, you stated that they were running it, is that correct?  A. No, I didn't say that.  Q. Okay, let's  A. I don't believe I did.  Q let's go back to an e-mail that's at tab 10 I'm sorry, tab 9. Your e-mail to Mr. LaSorda?  A. Yes.  Q. I'm going to read it to you: "Thanks, Tom. I guess the UST is running it." Do you remember that now?  A. Yes, and I explained that in the context of that e-mail and the exchange that took place.  Q. Now, let's talk about getting a deal done with the non-TARP lenders. Do you remember talking about the negotiation at the eleventh hour?  A. Okay, the discussion at the eleventh hour with the non-TARP
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. All right, let's look at the next two sentences, please. I'm going to read them for you.  A. Okay.  Q. "Feldman is squarely behind us and, as you see from some e-mails, we saw Rattner is up to speed. Matt said if they don't approve tomorrow, UST will really 'turn up the heat'; don't know what exactly that means, but I guess we could speculate." Have you ever heard any reference like that before or since regarding the U.S. Treasury turning up the heat?  A. No. I mean, again, I read it here. I must have read it when I received the e-mail.  Q. Did they ever turn up the heat on you?  A. No, I think we turned the heat up on them to try and get this deal done.  Q. On the U.S. Treasury?  A. Yeah, I mean, it was very important that we there were parts of the negotiations that they were handling.  Q. And by letting them handle those negotiations, you turned up the heat on them?  A. Well, we certainly wanted to get it done.  Q. Is it fair to say that you had numerous meetings and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	UST, is that correct?  A. Yes, I stated that in the context as it was as we had that discussion.  Q. In fact, you stated that they were running it, is that correct?  A. No, I didn't say that.  Q. Okay, let's  A. I don't believe I did.  Q let's go back to an e-mail that's at tab 10 I'm sorry, tab 9. Your e-mail to Mr. LaSorda?  A. Yes.  Q. I'm going to read it to you: "Thanks, Tom. I guess the UST is running it." Do you remember that now?  A. Yes, and I explained that in the context of that e-mail and the exchange that took place.  Q. Now, let's talk about getting a deal done with the non-TARP lenders. Do you remember talking about the negotiation at the eleventh hour?  A. Okay, the discussion at the eleventh hour with the non-TARP  Q. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. All right, let's look at the next two sentences, please. I'm going to read them for you.  A. Okay.  Q. "Feldman is squarely behind us and, as you see from some e-mails, we saw Rattner is up to speed. Matt said if they don't approve tomorrow, UST will really 'turn up the heat'; don't know what exactly that means, but I guess we could speculate." Have you ever heard any reference like that before or since regarding the U.S. Treasury turning up the heat?  A. No. I mean, again, I read it here. I must have read it when I received the e-mail.  Q. Did they ever turn up the heat on you?  A. No, I think we turned the heat up on them to try and get this deal done.  Q. On the U.S. Treasury?  A. Yeah, I mean, it was very important that we there were parts of the negotiations that they were handling.  Q. And by letting them handle those negotiations, you turned up the heat on them?  A. Well, we certainly wanted to get it done.  Q. Is it fair to say that you had numerous meetings and discussions with the United States Treasury regarding the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	UST, is that correct?  A. Yes, I stated that in the context as it was as we had that discussion.  Q. In fact, you stated that they were running it, is that correct?  A. No, I didn't say that.  Q. Okay, let's  A. I don't believe I did.  Q let's go back to an e-mail that's at tab 10 I'm sorry, tab 9. Your e-mail to Mr. LaSorda?  A. Yes.  Q. I'm going to read it to you: "Thanks, Tom. I guess the UST is running it." Do you remember that now?  A. Yes, and I explained that in the context of that e-mail and the exchange that took place.  Q. Now, let's talk about getting a deal done with the non-TARP lenders. Do you remember talking about the negotiation at the eleventh hour?  A. Okay, the discussion at the eleventh hour with the non-TARP  Q. Correct.  A lenders?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. All right, let's look at the next two sentences, please. I'm going to read them for you.  A. Okay.  Q. "Feldman is squarely behind us and, as you see from some e-mails, we saw Rattner is up to speed. Matt said if they don't approve tomorrow, UST will really 'turn up the heat'; don't know what exactly that means, but I guess we could speculate." Have you ever heard any reference like that before or since regarding the U.S. Treasury turning up the heat?  A. No. I mean, again, I read it here. I must have read it when I received the e-mail.  Q. Did they ever turn up the heat on you?  A. No, I think we turned the heat up on them to try and get this deal done.  Q. On the U.S. Treasury?  A. Yeah, I mean, it was very important that we there were parts of the negotiations that they were handling.  Q. And by letting them handle those negotiations, you turned up the heat on them?  A. Well, we certainly wanted to get it done.  Q. Is it fair to say that you had numerous meetings and discussions with the United States Treasury regarding the restructuring?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	UST, is that correct?  A. Yes, I stated that in the context as it was as we had that discussion.  Q. In fact, you stated that they were running it, is that correct?  A. No, I didn't say that.  Q. Okay, let's  A. I don't believe I did.  Q let's go back to an e-mail that's at tab 10 I'm sorry, tab 9. Your e-mail to Mr. LaSorda?  A. Yes.  Q. I'm going to read it to you: "Thanks, Tom. I guess the UST is running it." Do you remember that now?  A. Yes, and I explained that in the context of that e-mail and the exchange that took place.  Q. Now, let's talk about getting a deal done with the non-TARP lenders. Do you remember talking about the negotiations at the eleventh hour?  A. Okay, the discussion at the eleventh hour with the non-TARP  Q. Correct.  A lenders?  Q. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. All right, let's look at the next two sentences, please. I'm going to read them for you.  A. Okay.  Q. "Feldman is squarely behind us and, as you see from some e-mails, we saw Rattner is up to speed. Matt said if they don't approve tomorrow, UST will really 'turn up the heat'; don't know what exactly that means, but I guess we could speculate." Have you ever heard any reference like that before or since regarding the U.S. Treasury turning up the heat?  A. No. I mean, again, I read it here. I must have read it when I received the e-mail.  Q. Did they ever turn up the heat on you?  A. No, I think we turned the heat up on them to try and get this deal done.  Q. On the U.S. Treasury?  A. Yeah, I mean, it was very important that we there were parts of the negotiations that they were handling.  Q. And by letting them handle those negotiations, you turned up the heat on them?  A. Well, we certainly wanted to get it done.  Q. Is it fair to say that you had numerous meetings and discussions with the United States Treasury regarding the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	UST, is that correct?  A. Yes, I stated that in the context as it was as we had that discussion.  Q. In fact, you stated that they were running it, is that correct?  A. No, I didn't say that.  Q. Okay, let's  A. I don't believe I did.  Q let's go back to an e-mail that's at tab 10 I'm sorry, tab 9. Your e-mail to Mr. LaSorda?  A. Yes.  Q. I'm going to read it to you: "Thanks, Tom. I guess the UST is running it." Do you remember that now?  A. Yes, and I explained that in the context of that e-mail and the exchange that took place.  Q. Now, let's talk about getting a deal done with the non-TARP lenders. Do you remember talking about the negotiations at the eleventh hour?  A. Okay, the discussion at the eleventh hour with the non-TARP  Q. Correct.  A lenders?

1	Q. Yes.	1	fall of 2008 is the best alternative for all parties." Now,
2	A. In my attempt to try and have a conversation with them?	2	that is a statement made by your advisor Mr. Manzo to the UST
3	Q. Right.	3	on April 14th.
4	A. Yes.	4	A. Yes, it was a sta
5	Q. And you were unable to do that, correct?	5	Q. Is that a statement with which you agree?
6	A. Correct.	6	A. It was a statement that was made relative to not the
7	Q. And when Mr. Manzo, one of your key advisors, tried to	7	transaction we're discussing here today. This was in response
8	press a conversation to find a settlement, was it would it	8	to, again, another inquiry, looking at all alternatives, of
9	be fair to say that he was shut down?	9	breaking out segments of Chrysler and potentially selling those
10	A. I'm not sure in what context you're making the statement.	10	to General Motors. So in context of doing that versus a total
11	As I	11	alliance with General Motors, Mr. Manzo, I believe, was saying
12	Q. Let's go back to tab 13.	12	that a total alliance would be better than fractionalizing and
13	A. Okay. Yes?	13	trying to cherry-pick the Chrysler portfolio, Your Honor.
14	Q. In response to Mr. Manzo's e-mail to Bloom and Feldman	14	Q. Did the UST agree with the approach you wanted to take?
15	saying that "[w]e could easily find 250 million in savings to	15	A. Which approach was that?
16	help fund this last piece. We have other ideas as well. I	16	Q. The approach you just described.
17	hope you think it's worth giving this one more shot. We will	17	A. United States Treasury, as I recall this, again, was
18	work with you and Matt to get this done. I'm around." The	18	another scenario about how we might be able to save Chrysler.
19	response was, "I'm now not talking to you. You went where you		They asked the they asked Boston Consulting to take a look
20	shouldn't." Do you remember that?	20	at various alternatives. If you look here, the subject of
21	A. Well, sure, I remember when we reviewed it earlier in the	21	"jeep, truck and minivan", they were looking at various product
22	testimony where Matt sent that to Mr. Manzo at 22:46.	22	lines that might bring value to Chrysler; in other words, save
23	Q. Let's go to tab 16.	23 24	portions of Chrysler by combining them with General Motors.
24 25	A. Okay.		And we had the same issue about the ability to break those off
25	Q. The second e-mail on the page is an e-mail from Mr. Manzo 294	25	and really effect that change, Your Honor, and that's why we 296
	251		
1	to various people at Treasury. I'm going to read to you the	1	said you're better off doing a total alliance than a fractional
2	third paragraph. It says, "Again, I'm being redundant, I know,	2	alliance or buying these segments.
3	but we continue to believe that this is not the best way to	3	Q. So did they agree with you?
4	maximize the value of these assets." Next paragraph, "We	4	A. Ultimately they did agree that they should not try to
5	continue to believe that revisiting the combination alliance	5	quarter off pieces of Chrysler and put them into General
6	discussion with GM from the fall of 2008 is the best	6	Motors.
7	alternative for all parties." Do you recall this?	7	Q. All right.
8	A. Yes, I do.	8	A. I'm sorry. I'm just trying to give you the facts.
9	Q. All right. So the company's view was that the value-	9	Q. Thank you. Let me ask you to let me ask you, you
10	maximizing approach was an alliance with GM, is that correct?	10	mentioned in your declaration that you had conducted due
11	A. No.	11	diligence with respect to Fiat; is that correct?
12	Q. No? All right. Let's	12	A. Yes.
13	A. No, and you need the background of this to be able to	13	Q. All right. And you asked Capstone to assist in connection
14	for me to answer that question.	14	with that diligence, correct?
15	Q. You're saying the answer is no?	15	A. We had Capstone involved and others.
16	A. Yeah, I'm saying in the context of this discussion that	16	Q. Do you recall ever getting any advice from Capstone with
17	took place, as I recall, is not the inference you're	17	respect to that diligence?
18	suggesting.	18	A. No. If you have a document, I'd be happy to look at it.
19	Q. I don't think I was making any inference, but let's look	19	Q. Well, let's go to tab 21.
20	at the let's look at these two sentences again.	20	A. Okay.
21	A. Okay.	21	Q. I'm sorry, first let's go yeah, let's go to tab 21.
22	Q. Okay. "Again, I'm being redundant, I know, but we	22	A. Mine's blank.
23	continue to believe that this is not the best way to maximize	23	Q. Yours is blank?
24	the value of these assets." "We continue to believe that	24	A. Yes, sir.
25	revisiting the combination alliance discussion with GM from the	25	Q. All right.
I	295		297

1 MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, if I may approach? 2 THE COURT: Go head. 3 Q. Why don't you take a look at this e-mail for a moment? 4 And let me know when you've reviewed it. 5 A. Okay. 6 (Pause) 7 A. Okay. 8 Q. Okay. Is this a discussion of the status of due diligence regarding Fiar? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Do you know a Mr. Quackenbush at Capstone? 12 A. I don't recapinz the harm and the properties of the proper				
Q. Why don't you take a look at this e-mail for a moment? And let me know when you've reviewed it. And let me know when you 've reviewed it. And let me know when you 've reviewed it. And let me know when you 've reviewed it. And let me know when you 've reviewed it. And let me know when you 've reviewed it. And let me know when you 've reviewed it. And let me know when you 've reviewed it. And let me know when you 've reviewed it. And let me know when you 've reviewed it. And let me know when you 've reviewed it. And let me know when you 've reviewed it. And let me know when you 've reviewed it. And let me know when you 've reviewed it. And let me know when you 've reveal the weathed it. And let me know when you 've reveal the weathed it. And let me know when you 've reveal the weathed it. And let me know when you 've reveal the weathed it. And let me know when you 've reveal the weathed it. And let me know when you 've reveal the weathed it. And let me know when you 've reveal the weathed it. And let me know when you 've reveal the weathed it. And you 've you 've had the status of the diligence the year. And of when we have 've as of the diligence the shaking if you ever recall hearing that Fiat had a significant presence in a number of high-risk countries for corruption? And it in plant and kning if you ever recall hearing that Fiat had a significant presence in a number of high-risk countries for corruption? And it in you ever recall hearing that Fiat had a significant presence in a number of high-risk countries for corruption? And it in you ever recall hearing any dot some thing. And reviewed that and whatever penalties were associated. And that they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign and they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign and they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign and they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign and they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign and they have a very strong policy in	1	MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, if I may approach?	1	•
4 A. I don't – I can't put that in context with the overall evaluation of Fiat. 5 A. Okay. 6 (Pause) 7 A. Okay. 8 Q. Okay. Is this a discussion of the status of due diligence regarding Fiat? 9 regarding Fiat? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Do you know a Mr. Quackenbush at Capstone? 12 A. I don't recognize the name. 13 Q. All right. Did you ever hear that Fiat had high off-lablince-sheet liabilities? 14 balance-sheet liabilities. 15 A. Tim trying to see if it said it in here. 16 Q. Dosent say it there, sir. 17 A. Yeah. 18 Q. I'm just asking if you ever recall hearing that Fiat had high off-balance-sheet liabilities. 19 Q. I'm just asking if you ever recall hearing that Fiat had high off-balance-sheet liabilities. 10 A. Boy, not to my recollection. If there was a document, I'd 20 a high presence in a number of high-risk countries for corruption? 14 A. I can't need a concern was naised about some case as it related to corruption. I called Sergio; he conveyed to merelated to corruption. I called Sergio; he conveyed to merelated that and whatever penalties were associated. And that they that and whatever penalties were associated. And that they that and whatever penalties were associated. And that they that and whatever penalties were associated. And that they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign Corruption Foreign Corr	2		2	Treasury/Chrysler get in bed with a shady partner?"
5 A. Okay. 6 (Pause) 7 A. Okay. 8 Q. Okay, Is this a discussion of the status of due diligence regarding Firat? 9 A. Yes. 11 Q. Do you know a Mr. Quackenbush at Capstone? 12 A. I don't recognize the name. 13 Q. All right. Did you ever hear that Fiat had high off-balance-sheet liabilities? 14 balance-sheet liabilities? 15 A. The rying to see if it said it in here. 16 Q. Dosourt say it there, sir. 17 A. Yesh. 18 Q. Tin just asking if you ever recall hearing that Fiat had high off-balance-sheet liabilities. 19 A. The rying to see if it said it in here. 19 Q. Lift just asking if you ever recall hearing that Fiat had high off-balance-sheet liabilities. 19 De you creall having a way discussions about the execution of the transaction once the Chapter 11 case was commenced? 20 A. Boy, not to my recollection. If there was a document, I'd to be happy to look at that. 21 Q. Do you ever recall hearing that Fiat had a significant presence in a number of high-risk countries for corruption? 22 A. I recall a concern was raised about some case as it realed to corruption. I called Sergio; he conveyed to meral that was —there was something that someone of his employees did, and they immediately moved to remedy that and whatever penalties were associated. And that they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign Corrupt Practice Act, et cetem. 29 A. A shady partner? 20 Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner? 21 Q. Shady partner. 22 Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner? 23 Q. All right. Let's look at tab 19. 24 A. Okay, Okay, 25 Q. And if you flip to page 2— 26 A. A Okay, Chay. 27 Q. Veryou'll notice that the team agreed that points to 23 Nardelli are 1, 2, 3 and 4. If you would look at point 3. 28 A. O'Risheet investments in IV without full disclosure is an 24 A. Okay when we started the factories to be able to build when we started the factories to be able to build when we started the factories to be able to build when we wanted the factories to be	3	Q. Why don't you take a look at this e-mail for a moment?	3	Q. Do you recall ever receiving that advice?
6 (Pause) 7 A. Okay. 8 Q. Okay. Is this a discussion of the status of due diligence regarding Fiat? 9 A. Yes. 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Do you know a Mr. Quackenbush at Capstone? 12 A. I don't recognize the name. 13 Q. All right. Did you ever hear that Fiat had high off-balance-sheet liabilities? 14 balance-sheet liabilities? 15 A. Tru trying to see if it said it in here. 16 Q. Doesn't say it there, sir. 17 A. Yeah. 18 Q. Trai just asking if you ever recall hearing that Fiat had high off-balance-sheet liabilities. 20 A. Boy, not to my recollection. If there was a document, I'd label hance-sheet liabilities. 21 A. Freatly one ever recall hearing that Fiat had a significant related to corruption. I called Sergio; he conveyed to measure of his employees did, and they immediately moved the they have a very strong policy in place one corruption, Foreign Corrupt Practice Act, et cetera. 2 Q. Do you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner? 3 A. A shady partner? 4 A. A shady partner? 5 A. A shady partner? 5 A. A shady partner? 6 Q. Shady partner. 7 Q. Did you ever eeall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner? 8 A. A shady partner? 9 A. A shady partner? 10 Q. Shady partner. 11 A. I don't know. Not to - again, if you've got something. It for heappy to look at it al. 12 Q. Do you are not. 13 Q. All right. Let's look at tab 19. 14 A. Okay. 15 Q. Okay. This is an e-mail that includes a report within Capstone. 16 Capstone. 17 A. A mal on the distribution of this? 18 Q. You are not. 19 Q. And if you flip to page 2 - 20 Q. Poyou'll notice that the team agreed that points to a A. Well, show that the team agreed that points to a Now and the factories to be able to build when we started the factories to be able to build when we started the factories to be able to build when we started the factories to be able to build when we started the factories to be able to build when we started the factories to be able to build when we started the factories to be able to build whence.	4	And let me know when you've reviewed it.	4	A. I don't I can't put that in context with the overall
7 A. Okay. 8 Q. Okay. Is this a discussion of the status of due diligence regarding Fiar? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Do you know a Mr. Quackenbush at Capstone? 12 A. I don't recognize the name. 13 Q. All right. Did you ever hear that Fiat had high off-balance-sheet liabilities? 14 A. Tru trying to see if it said it in here. 15 Q. Do son't say it there, sir. 16 Q. Doesn't say it there, sir. 17 A. Yesh. 18 Q. I'm just asking if you ever recall hearing that Fiat had high off-balance-sheet liabilities. 19 A. Boy, not to my recollection. If there was a document, I'd processor in a number of high-risk countries for corruption? 19 A. I recall a concern was raised about some case as it related to corruption. I called Sergio: he conveyed to mereval as someone of his employees did, and they immediately moved to remedy that and whatever penalties were associated. And that they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign for Corrupt Practice Act, extern. 19 Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner? 20 Q. Do you are not. 21 Sergio Marchionne, the CEO of Fiat. He conveyed and a shady partner? 22 Sergio Marchionne, the CEO of Fiat because were associated. And that they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign for the practice Act, extern. 21 G. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner? 22 G. Do you are not. 23 Sergio Marchionne, the CEO of Fiat. He conveyed and a shady partner? 24 A. Abday, Okay. 25 Corrupt Practice Act, extern. 26 Corrupt Practice Act, extern. 27 Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner? 28 A. Abday partner? 29 A. A shady partner? 20 Q. So Agay D. All right. Lefts look at tab 19. 20 A. A. Okay. 21 G. Do you are not. 22 Sergio Marchionne, the CEO of Fiat. He conveyed and a shady partner? 23 Q. Oy	5	A. Okay.	5	evaluation of Fiat.
8 show me that? 9 q. Okay. Is this a discussion of the status of due diligence regarding fiat? 1 A. Yes. 1 Q. Do you know a Mr. Quackenbush at Capstone? 2 A. I don't recognize the name. 3 Q. All fight. Did you ever hear that Fiat had high off-balance-sheet liahilities? 4 A. Fan trying to see if it said it in here. 5 Q. Doesn't say it there, sir. 6 Q. Doesn't say it there, sir. 7 A. Yeah. 8 Q. I'm just asking if you ever recall hearing that Fiat had high off-balance-sheet liahilities. 9 Q. I'm just asking if you ever recall hearing that Fiat had a high off-balance-sheet liahilities. 10 Q. Do you ever recall hearing that Fiat had a significant presence in a number of high-risk countries for corruption? 11 Sergio Marchionne, the CEO of Fiat. He conveyed and acknowledged yes, that it was – there was something that someone of his employees did, and they immediately moved to remedy that and whatever penalties were associated. And that they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign Corrupt Practice Act, et cetera. 2 Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner? 3 A. A shady partner? 4 A. A shady partner? 5 Q. Shady partner. 5 Q. Shady partner. 6 Q. Shady partner. 7 Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner? 8 A. A shady partner? 9 A. A shady partner? 1 A. A okay. Okay. 1 Sergio Marchionne, the CEO ok at tab 19. 1 A. Okay. 2 Q. And if you flip to page 2 2 Q. And if you flip to page 2 2 Q. And if you flip to page 2 2 Q. And if you flip to page 2 2 Q. Poyou'll notice that the team agreed that points to balacter in a specific service. 5 A. "Off-sheet investments in JV without full disclosure is an 25 but read it out load for us. 5 Sergio Marchionic flat the team agreed that points to a shady partner? 6 A. Okay. C. Okay. This is an e-mail that includes a report within and the distribution of this? C. A. Okay. C. Oy our are not. C. A. Okay. C. Oy ou	6	(Pause)	6	Q. So you never received that advice?
9 Q. Let's take a look at tab 24. 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Do you know a Mr. Quackenbush at Capstone? 12 A. I don't recognize the name. 13 Q. All right. Did you ever hear that Fiat had high off-balance-sheet liabilities? 15 A. I'm trying to see if it said it in here. 16 Q. Doesn't say it here, sir. 17 A. Yesh. 18 Q. I'm just asking if you ever recall hearing that Fiat had high off-balance-sheet liabilities? 19 A. Boy, not to my recollection. If there was a document, I'd print off-balance-sheet liabilities? 20 A. Boy, not to my recollection. If there was a document, I'd print of the transaction once the Chapter II case was commenced? 21 A. Boy, not to my recollection. If there was a document, I'd print off-balance-sheet liabilities? 22 A. I recall a concern was raised about some case as it presence in a number of high-risk countries for corruptional presence in a number of high-risk	7	A. Okay.	7	A. I don't recall. If I did, if there's somewhere you can
A. Yes.  10 A. Yes.  11 Q. Do you know a Mr. Quackenbush at Capstone?  A. In the first probabilities?  A. Hurrying to see if it said it in here.  A. Cay.  A. Yesh.  12 Q. Do you recall, shortly before the bankruptcy case was a company and the United States Treasury regarding the timing of the transaction?  A. Well, we had a lot of discussions about the timing of the transaction?  A. Well, we had a lot of discussions about the timing of the transaction?  A. Well, we had a lot of discussions about the timing of the transaction?  A. Well, we had a lot of discussions about the timing of the transaction?  A. Well, we had a lot of discussions about the timing of the transaction?  A. Well, we had a lot of discussions about the timing of the transaction?  A. Well, as we said, you know, when we announced?  A. Boy, not to my recollection. If there was a document, I'd be happy to look at that.  20 A. Boy, not to my recollection. If there was a document, I'd guestion?  21 presence in a number of high-risk countries for corruption?  22 Q. Do you ever recall hearing that Fiat had a significant presence in a number of high-risk countries for corruption?  23 Prelated to corruption. I called Sergio; he conveyed to me-  24 A. Treatll a concern was raised about some case as it related to corruption. I called Sergio; he conveyed to me-  25 Prelated to corruption. I called Sergio; he conveyed to me-  26 Prelated to corruption. I called Sergio; he conveyed and acknowledged yes, that it was - there was something that they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign of Corrupt Practice Act, et cetera.  Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner?  A. A A hand have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign of Corrupt Practice Act, et cetera.  Q. Did you ever have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign of Corrupt Practice Act, et cetera.  Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner?  A. A floon't know. Not to again, if you've got something,	8	Q. Okay. Is this a discussion of the status of due diligence	8	show me that?
12 Q. Do you know a Mr. Quackenbush at Capstone? 13 Q. All right. Did you ever heart that Fiat had high off- 14 balance-sheet liabilities? 15 A. Tm trying to see if it said it in here. 16 Q. Dosn't say it there, sir. 17 A. Yeah. 18 Q. I'm just asking if you ever recall hearing that Fiat had high off- 18 balpy to look at that. 20 A. Boy, not to my recollection. If there was a document, I'd be happy to look at that. 21 be happy to look at that. 22 q. Do you ever recall hearing that Fiat had a significant presence in a number of high-risk countries for corruption? 23 related to corruption. I called Sergio, he conveyed to a caknowledged yes, that it was – there was something that someone of his employees did, and they immediately moved to remedy that and whatever penalties were associated. And they farmed they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign Corrupt Practice Act, et cetera. 10 Q. Shady partner? 11 A. A don't know. Not to – again, if you've got something. I'd be happy to look at it. 11 Q. Now, you mentioned making payments to suppliers. Is the company building cars right now? 14 A. Well, as we said, you know, when we announced, we wante to determine the transmitten once the Chapter II case was commenced? 15 A. Treall a concern was raised about some case as it related to corruption. I called Sergio, he conveyed to me- 298 1 Sergio Marchionne, the CEO of Fiat. He conveyed and acknowledged yes, that it was – there was something that someone of his employees did, and they immediately moved to remedy that and whatever penalties were associated. And they immediately moved to remedy that and whatever penalties were associated. And it was a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign Corrupt Practice Act, et cetera. 19 Q. Shady partner? 20 Q. Shady partner? 21 A. A don't know. Not to – again, if you've got something. 22 I'd be happy to look at it. 23 Q. Martie it is an e-mail that includes a report within Captone. 24 A. Am I on the distribution of this? 25 Q. Okay. This is an e-mail that inc	9	regarding Fiat?	9	Q. Let's take a look at tab 24.
12 A. I don't recognize the name. 13 Q. All right. Did you ever hear that Fiat had high off- 14 balance-sheet liabilities? 15 A. Fur trying to see if it said it in here. 16 Q. Doesn't say it there, sir. 16 Q. Doesn't say it there, sir. 17 A. Yeah. 18 Q. I'm just asking if you ever recall hearing that Fiat had high off- 18 Despite the phase of the balance-sheet liabilities. 19 high off-balance-sheet liabilities. 20 A. Boy, not to my recollection. If there was a document, I'd 21 be happy to look at that. 22 Q. Do you ever recall hearing that Fiat had a significant presence in a number of high-risk countries for corruption. 24 A. I recall a concern was raised about some case as it related to corruption. I called Sergio; he conveyed not a acknowledged yes, that it was — there was something that someone of his employees did, and they immediately moved to remedy that and whatever penalties were associated. And that they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign Corrupt Practice Act, et cetera. 29 A. A shady partner? 20 Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner? 20 Q. Shady partner? 21 Q. Shady partner? 22 A. And I'nght. Let's look at tab 19. 23 A. Bady, Naky. 24 A. I recall a concern was an ised about some case as it remedy that and whatever penalties were associated. And that they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign for Corrupt Practice Act, et cetera. 29 Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner? 20 Q. Shady partner? 21 Q. Shady partner? 22 A. A Bady partner? 23 A. A man on the distribution of this? 24 The phase a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign for Corrupt Practice Act, et cetera. 29 Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner? 20 Q. Shady partner? 21 Q. Shady partner? 22 A. Sandy and the proper development of the transaction once the Capstone. 23 A. Fiath that a significant proper development of the transaction once the Capstone was an adocument. I'd 24 Treasury about th	10	A. Yes.	10	A. Okay.
13 Q. All right. Did you ever hear that Fiat had high off-balance-sheet liabilities? 14 A. Tm trying to see if it said it in here. 15 Q. Doesn't say it there, sir. 16 Q. Doesn't say it there, sir. 17 A. Yeah. 18 Q. I'm just asking if you ever recall hearing that Fiat had high off-balance-sheet liabilities. 19 A. Boy, not to my recollection. If there was a document, I'd bigh off-balance-sheet liabilities. 20 A. Boy, not to my recollection. If there was a document, I'd be happy to look at that. 21 be happy to look at that. 22 Q. Do you ever recall hearing that Fiat had a significant presence in a number of high-risk countries for corruption? 23 presence in a number of high-risk countries for corruption? 24 A. I recall a concern was raised about some case as it related to corruption. I called Sergic; he conveyed to meral acknowledged yes, that it was — there was something that someone of his employees did, and they immediately moved to remedy that and whatever penalties were associated. And that hey have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign Corrupt Practice Act, et cetern. 29 Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner? 20 Q. Shady partner? 21 Q. Shady partner? 22 Q. Doky. This is an e-mail that includes a report within Capstone. 23 A. Am I on the distribution of this? 24 A. Totally. 25 A. Well, we be add not of discussions about the timing relative to the end-of-gine high-risk countries for corruption, Foreign for the transaction? 24 A. Well, as we said, you know, when we announced, we wante of get through this in thirty to sixty days. Is that your question? 26 Q. Do you recreal hearing that Fiat had a significant to get through this in thirty to sixty days. Is that your question? 27 Q. I think that's responsive to my question, yes. 28 A. Yesh. 29 Do you recall having any discussion with the United States Treasury regarding the timing of the transaction once the Chapter I cap wante of the transaction once the Chapter II cap was aid, you know, when we announced. 29 A. Ye	11	Q. Do you know a Mr. Quackenbush at Capstone?	11	Q. Do you recall, shortly before the bankruptcy case was
13 Q. All right. Did you ever hear that Fiat had high off-balance-sheet liabilities?  A. Furitying to see if it said it in here.  16 Q. Doesn't say it there, sir.  17 A. Yeah.  18 Q. Imjust asking if you ever recall hearing that Fiat had high off-balance-sheet liabilities.  20 A. Boy, not to my recollection. If there was a document, I'd be happy to look at that.  21 Q. Do you ever recall hearing that Fiat had a significant presence in a number of high-risk countries for corruption?  22 Q. Do you ever recall hearing that Fiat had a significant related to corruption. I called Sergio, he conveyed to mean acknowledged yes, that it was — there was something that someone of his employees did, and they immediately moved to remedy that and whatever penalties were associated. And that they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign Corrupt Practice Act, et cetera.  29 Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner?  20 Q. Shady partner?  21 Q. Shady partner?  22 Q. Do you fee recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner?  23 Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner?  24 A. I recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner?  25 A. A bady partner?  26 Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner?  27 Q. Did you first the conveyed something, 12 Packs that your you mentioned making payments to suppliers. Is the company building cars right now?  28 A. I recall a concern was raised about the timing of the transaction?  29 A. A such and the transaction once the Chapter 1 case was commenced?  20 A. A bid whatever penalties were associated. And that they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign for the transaction once the Chapter 1 care was commenced?  29 A. A such lass we had to would one that?  20 Do you recall having any discussion with the United States Treasury about that?  21 I do the happy to look at the word was something, 12 I do the happy to look at it.  22 Q. Do do you ever recall have	12	A. I don't recognize the name.	12	filed, learning of any discussions between the company and the
balance-sheet liabilities?  A. Tm trying to see if it said it in here.  Q. Doson't say it there, sir.  A. Yeah.  Roy, not to my recollection. If there was a document, I'd phigh off-balance-sheet liabilities.  A. Boy, not to my recollection. If there was a document, I'd phigh off-balance-sheet liabilities.  A. Boy, not to my recollection. If there was a document, I'd question?  A. Boy, not to my recollection. If there was a document, I'd question?  A. I call a concern was raised about some case as it related to corruption. I called Sergio; he conveyed not a caknowledged yes, that it was — there was something that someone of his employees did, and they immediately moved to remedy that and whatever penalties were associated. And that they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign Corrupt Practice Act, et cetera.  Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner?  A. A shady partner.  A. All on the distribution of this?  A. Okay. Okay.  A. Am I on the distribution of this?  A. Am I of the transaction once the Chapter II case was commenced?  A. Well, we had a lot of discussions about the timing of the transaction once the Chapter II case was commenced?  A. Well, we had a lot of discussions about the timing of the transaction once the Chapter II case was commenced?  A. Well, we had a lot of discussions about the timing of the transaction once the Chapter II case was commenced?  A. Well, we had a lot of discussions about the	13		13	United States Treasury regarding the timing of the transaction?
15 A. Tm trying to see if it said it in here. 16 Q. Doesn't say it there, sir. 17 A. Yeah. 18 Q. Tm just asking if you ever recall hearing that Fiat had 19 high off-balance-sheet liabilities. 20 A. Boy, not to my recollection. If there was a document, 1'd 12 be happy to look at that. 21 Q. Do you ever recall hearing that Fiat had a significant 22 presence in a number of high-risk countries for corruption? 23 presence in an umber of high-risk countries for corruption? 24 A. I recall a concern was raised about some case as it 24 related to corruption. I called Sergio; he conveyed to 298  1 Sergio Marchionne, the CEO of Fiat. He conveyed and 2 acknowledged yes, that it was - there was something that 3 someone of his employees did, and they immediately moved to 298  1 Sergio Marchionne, the CEO of Fiat. He conveyed and 2 acknowledged yes, that it was - there was something that 5 someone of his employees did, and they immediately moved to 2 remedy that and whatever penalties were associated. And that 5 they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign 6 Corrupt Practice Act, et cetera. 2 Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a 8 shady partner? 3 A. A shady partner? 4 A. I don't know. Not to — again, if you've got something, 12 I'd be happy to look at it. 3 Q. All right. Land how about discussions about the twast said, based on some case as it 24 trassury about that the waste of putting to getter a DIP budget, and whe had to go out thirty, sixty days 2 as to what it would cost while we continued to make payments b 3 suppliers, what were the tax costs, what were the obligatory payments that we would have to make. So in context of putting together a DIP budget, yeah, we did have discussions about the 6 timing. 4 O. No. xir, we're not. 5 Q. Now, you mentioned making payments to suppliers. Is the company building cars right now? 5 A. Yoldy partner. 6 Corrupt Practice Act, et cetera. 7 Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a 8 shady partner? 9 A. A shady partner? 9 A. A sha	14		14	
16 Q. Dosen't say it there, sir. 17 A. Yeah. 18 Q. I'm just asking if you ever recall hearing that Fiat had bigh off-balance-sheet liabilities. 20 A. Boy, not to my recollection. If there was a document, I'd less happy to look at that. 21 be happy to look at that. 22 Q. Do you ever recall hearing that Fiat had a significant presence in a number of high-risk countries for corruption? 23 A. I recall a concern was raised about some case as it related to corruption. I called Sergio; he conveyed to measurement of the related to corruption. I called Sergio; he conveyed to measurement of the remaining that someone of his employees did, and they immediately moved to remedy that and whatever penalties were associated. And that they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign Corrupt Practice Act, et cetera. 23 Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner? 24 A. A shady partner? 25 A. A shady partner? 26 A. A shady partner? 27 Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner? 28 A. A shady partner? 29 A. A shady partner? 30 Q. Shady partner. 40 A. Okay. 51 Capstone. 51 A. A mol In on the distribution of this? 52 Q. Oxay. This is an e-mail that includes a report within Capstone. 53 Capstone. 54 A. Orally. 55 Capstone. 56 Capstone. 57 Q. Oxay. This is an e-mail that includes a report within Capstone. 58 Q. Oxay are not. 59 A. Oxay. 50 A. Oxay. 51 Capstone. 51 A. Oxay. 52 A. Oyff-sheet investments in JV without full disclosure is an output of the transaction once the Chapter II case was commenced? 59 A. Yesh. 59 Q. And if you flip to page 2 50 Q. And if you flip to page 2 51 A. Oxay. 51 Capstone. 51 Capstone. 52 A. Yesh. 53 Capstone. 54 A. Treasury about that? 55 De you ever recall hearing that Fiat had a significant to get through this in thirty to sixty days. Is that your question, yes. 64 A. Yesh. 65 Capstone. 66 Carptone. 67 Q. Did you ever recall hearing that Fiat had a significant to get through this in thirty to sixty days. Is that your question, y			l .	_
17 A. Yeah.  18 Q. I'm just asking if you ever recall hearing that Fiat had high off-balance-sheet liabilities.  20 A. Boy, not to my recollection. If there was a document, I'd be happy to look at that.  21 Q. Do you ever recall hearing that Fiat had a significant presence in a number of high-risk countries for corruption?  22 A. I recall a concern was raised about some case as it related to corruption. I called Sergio; he conveyed to meral a caknowledged yes, that it was there was something that someone of his employees did, and they immediately moved to remedy that and whatever penalties were associated. And that they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign Corrupt Practice Act, et cetera.  Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner?  9 A. A shady partner?  9 Q. All right. Let's look at tab 19.  1 A. Okay. Okay.  1 G. Now, Okay.  1 Okay.  2 Q. And if you flip to page 2  A. Okay.  2 J. Just read it out loud for us.  2 Off-sheet investments in JV without full disclosure is an official part of the page to loud for us.  3 Off-sheet investments in JV without full disclosure is an official part of the page to loud for us.  4 A. Well, as we said, you know, when we announced, we wante to get through this in thirty to sixty days. Is that your question?  A. Well, as we said, you know, when we announced, we wante to get through this in thirty to sixty days. Is that your question?  Q. Do you recall having any discussion with the United States Treasury about that?  A. Yeah.  2 Q. Do you recall having any discussion with the United States Treasury about that?  A. Yeah.  2 (Po you recall having any discussion with the United States Treasury about that?  A. Yeah.  2 (Po you recall having any discussion with the United States Treasury about that?  A. Yeah.  2 (Po you recall having any discussion with the United States Treasury about that?  A. Yeah.  2 (Po you recall having any discussion with the United States Treasury about that?  A. Yeah.  A. Yeah.  A. Yeah.  A. Yeah.  A.		, ,	l .	
2. Im just asking if you ever recall hearing that Fiat had high off-balance-sheet liabilities. 2. A. Boy, not to my recollection. If there was a document, I'd be happy to look at that. 2. Q. Do you ever recall hearing that Fiat had a significant presence in a number of high-risk countries for corruption? 2. A. I recall a concern was raised about some case as it related to corruption. I called Sergio; he conveyed to measurement of the corruption. I called Sergio; he conveyed and acknowledged yes, that it was—there was something that someone of his employees did, and they immediately moved to remedy that and whatever penalties were associated. And that they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign Corrupt Practice Act, et cetera. 2. Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner? 3. A. A shady partner? 4. A. I don't know. Not to — again, if you've got something. 4. A. Okay. Okay. 5. Q. All right. Let's look at tab 19. 6. Capstone. 6. Capstone. 7. A. Am I on the distribution of this? 8. A. Mar I on the distribution of this? 8. A. Mar I on the distribution of this? 9. You are not. 9. Well, as we said, you know, when we announced, we wante to get through this in thirty to sixty days. Is that your question? 9. U think that's responsive to my question, yes. 9. A. Yeah. 9. Do you recall having any discussion with the United States Treasury about that? 9. A. Yes because we had to put together a DIP budget, a debtor—3000 9. Treasury about that? 9. A. Yes because we had to put together a DIP budget, and we had to go out thirty, sixty days as to what it would cost while we continued to make payments t suppliers. what were the tax costs, what were the abligatory payments that we would have to make. So in context of putting together a DIP budget, and we had to go out thirty, sixty days as to what it would cost while we continued to make payments to suppliers. Is the timing. 9. No, sir, we're not. 9. Q. Okay. This is an e-mail that includes a report within the timing. 9. A. Okay. Okay				
high off-balance-sheet liabilities.  A. Boy, not to my recollection. If there was a document, I'd be happy to look at that.  Do you ever recall hearing that Fiat had a significant presence in a number of high-risk countries for corruption?  A. I recall a concern was raised about some case as it related to corruption. I called Sergio; he conveyed to measure accordance of his employees did, and they immediately moved to remedy that and whatever penalties were associated. And that they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign Corrupt Practice Act, et cetera.  Do Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner?  A. A shady partner?  A. A shady partner?  A. A shady partner?  A. A lon't know. Not to — again, if you've got something. If do happy to look at ita.  A. Okay. Okay. This is an e-mail that includes a report within Capstone.  A. Okay. Okay.  A. Okay.  Q. And if you flip to page 2 —  A. Okay.  Q. — you'll notice that the team agreed that points to A. Okay.  J. Just read it out loud for us.  A. "Off-sheet investments in JV without full disclosure is an of the recall have discussions and a significant and significant and significant as significant that. Q. Unit that's responsive to my question, yes.  A. Yeah.  Q. Do you recall having any discussion with the United States Treasury about that?  A. Yes because we had to put together a DIP budget, a debtor-accordance with the United States Treasury about that?  A. Yes because we had to put together a DIP budget, and we had to go out thirty, sixty days as to what it would cost while we continued to make payments to suppliers, what were the tax costs, what were the obligatory payments that we would have to make. So in context of putting together a DIP budget, and we had to go out thirty, sixty days as to what it would cost while we continued to make payments to suppliers, what were the tax costs, what were the obligatory payments that we would have toscus, what were the obligatory payments that we would have discussions about the				
A. Boy, not to my recollection. If there was a document, I'd be happy to look at that.  2 Q. Do you ever recall hearing that Fiat had a significant papersence in a number of high-risk countries for corruption?  2 A. I recall a concern was raised about some case as it related to corruption. I called Sergio; he conveyed to me related to corruption. I called Sergio; he conveyed and acknowledged yes, that it was – there was something that someone of his employees did, and they immediately moved to remedy that and whatever penalties were associated. And that they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign Corrupt Practice Act, et cetera.  7 Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner?  9 A. A shady partner?  9 A. A shady partner?  10 Q. Shady partner?  11 A. I don't know. Not to – again, if you've got something, I'd be happy to look at it.  12 Q. Am of if you flip to page 2 – 2  13 A. Okay.  Q. And if you flip to page 2 – 2  24 A. Yeah.  25 Treasury about that?  26 A. Yesh because we had to put together a DIP budget, a debtor—300 in-possession budget, and we had to go out thirty, sixty days as to what it would cost while we continued to make payments to suppliers, what were the tax costs, what were the tax costs of together a DIP budget, yeah, we did have discussions about the timing.  7 Q. Now, you mentioned making payments to suppliers. Is the company buildin				•
21 be happy to look at that. 22 Q. Do you ever recall hearing that Fiat had a significant presence in a number of high-risk countries for corruption? 23 presence in a number of high-risk countries for corruption? 24 A. I recall a concern was raised about some case as it related to corruption. I called Sergio; he conveyed to me— 298  1 Sergio Marchionne, the CEO of Fiat. He conveyed and acknowledged yes, that it was — there was something that 3 someone of his employees did, and they immediately moved to remedy that and whatever penalties were associated. And that they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign 6 Corrupt Practice Act, et cetera. 2 Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner? 3 A. A shady partner? 4 A. A shady partner? 5 A. A shady partner? 6 Q. Shady partner. 7 Q. Shady partner. 8 A. A shady partner. 9 A. A shady partner. 10 Q. Shady partner. 11 A. I don't know. Not to — again, if you've got something, 12 I'd be happy to look at it. 12 Q. Okay. This is an e-mail that includes a report within 15 Capstone. 14 A. Okay. 15 Q. You are not. 16 Capstone. 17 A. Am I on the distribution of this? 18 Q. You are not. 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. And if you flip to page 2 — 21 A. Okay. 22 Q. — you'll notice that the team agreed that points to 23 Nardelli are 1, 2, 3 and 4. If you would look at point 3. 24 Lyeah. 25 Lyeah was that it was — there was something that 2 as to what it would cost while we continued to make payments to suppliers, what were the balgearry payments that we would have to make. So in context of putting together a DIP budget, and we had to gu out thirty, sixty days as to what it would cost while we continued to make payments to suppliers, what were the tax costs, what were the obligatory payments that we would have to make. So in context of putting together a DIP budget, yeah, we did have discussions about the timing.  7 Q. Now, you mentioned making payments to suppliers. Is the compayment against of take to our suppliers, we'd gone back and said, based on s		-		
22 Q. Do you ever recall hearing that Fiat had a significant presence in a number of high-risk countries for corruption? 24 A. I recall a concern was raised about some case as it related to corruption. I called Sergio; he conveyed to meresone of his employees did, and they immediately moved to remedy that and whatever penalties were associated. And that they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign Corrupt Practice Act, et cetera.  Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner?  A. A shady partner?  A. A shady partner?  A. A don't know. Not to again, if you've got something, I Q. All right. Let's look at tab 19.  A. A m I on the distribution of this?  Q. You are not.  A. Am I on the distribution of this?  Q. And if you flip to page 2 21. A. Okay.  Q you'll notice that the team agreed that points to A. "Off-sheet investments in JV without full disclosure is an obalization and the state of the parts of		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	l .	•
23 presence in a number of high-risk countries for corruption? 24 A. I recall a concern was raised about some case as it related to corruption. I called Sergio; he conveyed to me—298  1 Sergio Marchionne, the CEO of Fiat. He conveyed and acknowledged yes, that it was—there was something that someone of his employees did, and they immediately moved to remedy that and whatever penalties were associated. And that they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign Corrupt Practice Act, et cetera.  2 Q. Do you recall having any discussion with the United States Treasury about that?  2 A. Yes because we had to put together a DIP budget, a debtor—300  1 in-possession budget, and we had to go out thirty, sixty days as to what it would cost while we continued to make payments to suppliers, what were the tax costs, what were the obligatory as to payments that we would have to make. So in context of putting together a DIP budget, yeah, we did have discussions about the timing.  2 Did you ever recall having any discussion with the United States Treasury about that?  3 A. As bad to put together a DIP budget, a debtor—300  3 suppliers, what were the tax costs, what were the obligatory as to would have to make. So in context of putting together a DIP budget, eah, we would have to make. So in context of putting together a DIP budget, eah, we would have to make. So in context of putting together a DIP budget, eah, we had to go out thirty, sixty days as to what it would cost while we continued to make payments to suppliers. Is the timing.  3 Dayments that we would have to make. So in context of putting together a DIP budget, a debtor—300  4 Payments that we would have to make. So in context of putting together a DIP budget, eah, we had to go out thirty, sixty days as to what it would down to make. So in context of putting together a DIP budget, eah, we had to go unt thirty, sixty days as to what it would have to make. So in context of putting together a DIP budget, eah, we had to go unt thirty, sixty days as to what		***		
A. I recall a concern was raised about some case as it related to corruption. I called Sergio; he conveyed to me— 298  Sergio Marchionne, the CEO of Fiat. He conveyed and acknowledged yes, that it was—there was something that someone of his employees did, and they immediately moved to remedy that and whatever penalties were associated. And that they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign Corrupt Practice Act, et cetera.  Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner?  A. A shady partner?  A. I don't know. Not to—again, if you've got something, I'd be happy to look at it.  Q. All right. Let's look at tab 19.  A. Okay. Okay.  Q. Okay. This is an e-mail that includes a report within Capstone.  A. A m I on the distribution of this?  A. Okay.  Q. You are not.  Q. You are not.  A. Okay.				
25 related to corruption. I called Sergio; he conveyed to me— 298  1 Sergio Marchionne, the CEO of Fiat. He conveyed and 2 acknowledged yes, that it was — there was something that 3 someone of his employees did, and they immediately moved to 4 remedy that and whatever penalties were associated. And that 5 they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign 6 Corrupt Practice Act, et cetera. 7 Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a 8 shady partner? 9 A. A shady partner? 10 Q. Shady partner? 11 A. I don't know. Not to — again, if you've got something, 12 I'd be happy to look at it. 13 Q. All right. Let's look at tab 19. 14 A. Okay. Okay. 15 Q. Okay. This is an e-mail that includes a report within 16 Capstone. 17 A. Am I on the distribution of this? 18 Q. You are not. 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. And if you flip to page 2 — 21 A. Okay. 21 Q. — you'll notice that the team agreed that points to 22 Nardelli are 1, 2, 3 and 4. If you would look at point 3. 24 Just read it out loud for us. 25 A. "Yes because we had to put together a DIP budget, a debtor—300 on thirty, sixty days as to what it would cost while we continued to make payments to suppliers, what were the tax costs, what were the			l .	
298  Sergio Marchionne, the CEO of Fiat. He conveyed and acknowledged yes, that it was there was something that someone of his employees did, and they immediately moved to remedy that and whatever penalties were associated. And that they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign Corrupt Practice Act, et cetera.  Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner?  A. A shady partner?  A. A shady partner?  Q. Shady partner?  A. I don't know. Not to again, if you've got something, I'd be happy to look at it.  Q. All right. Let's look at tab 19.  A. Okay. Okay.  A. Am I on the distribution of this?  A. Am I on the distribution of this?  A. Okay.  Q. And if you flip to page 2  A. Okay.  Q. And if you flip to page 2  A. Okay.  Q. For the plants idle or suppliers, we'd gone back and said, based on some new terms and conditions, if you agree to these new terms and conditions, some additional concessions, then I think what we've done so far is agreed to make a partial payment against our liabilities.  A. Okay.  Q. And if you flip to page 2  A. Okay.  A. Wordli are 1, 2, 3 and 4. If you would look at point 3.  A. Wordli are 1, 2, 3 and 4. If you would look at point 3.  A. Wordli are 1, 2, 3 and 4. If you would look at point 3.  A. Wordli are 1, 2, 3 and 4. If you would look at point 3.  A. Wordli are 1, 2, 3 and 4. If you would look at point 3.  A. Wordli when we started the factories to be able to build vehicles.				•
1 Sergio Marchionne, the CEO of Fiat. He conveyed and 2 acknowledged yes, that it was there was something that 3 someone of his employees did, and they immediately moved to 4 remedy that and whatever penalties were associated. And that 5 they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign 6 Corrupt Practice Act, et cetera. 7 Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a 8 shady partner? 9 A. A shady partner? 10 Q. Shady partner. 11 A. I don't know. Not to again, if you've got something, 12 I'd be happy to look at it. 13 Q. All right. Let's look at tab 19. 14 A. Okay. Okay. 15 Q. You are not. 16 Capstone. 17 A. Am I on the distribution of this? 18 Q. You are not. 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. And if you flip to page 2 21 A. Okay. 21 Q you'll notice that the team agreed that points to 22 Nardelli are 1, 2, 3 and 4. If you would look at point 3. 24 Just read it out loud for us. 25 A. "Off-sheet investments in JV without full disclosure is an	25		25	
acknowledged yes, that it was there was something that someone of his employees did, and they immediately moved to remedy that and whatever penalties were associated. And that they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign Corrupt Practice Act, et cetera.  Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner?  A. A shady partner?  A. I don't know. Not to again, if you've got something, Q. Okay. Okay.  A. Okay. Okay.  A. Am I on the distribution of this?  A. Am I on the distribution of this?  A. Okay.  Q. And if you flip to page 2  A. Okay.  Q. And if you flip to page 2  A. Okay.  A.		290		300
acknowledged yes, that it was there was something that someone of his employees did, and they immediately moved to remedy that and whatever penalties were associated. And that they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign Corrupt Practice Act, et cetera.  Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner?  A. A shady partner?  A. I don't know. Not to again, if you've got something, Q. Okay. Okay.  A. Okay. Okay.  A. Am I on the distribution of this?  A. Am I on the distribution of this?  A. Okay.  Q. And if you flip to page 2  A. Okay.  Q. And if you flip to page 2  A. Okay.  A.	1	Sergio Marchionne the CEO of Fiat. He conveyed and	1	in-possession hudget, and we had to go out thirty, sixty days
3 someone of his employees did, and they immediately moved to remedy that and whatever penalties were associated. And that they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign to payments that we would have to make. So in context of putting together a DIP budget, yeah, we did have discussions about the timing.  7 Q. Now, you mentioned making payments to suppliers. Is the company building cars right now?  9 A. No, sir, we're not.  10 Q. Are the plants idle?  11 A. Totally.  12 Q. So I'm a little confused about why you're paying suppliers.  13 Q. All right. Let's look at tab 19.  14 A. Okay. Okay.  15 Q. Okay. This is an e-mail that includes a report within  16 Capstone.  17 A. Am I on the distribution of this?  18 Q. You are not.  19 A. Okay.  20 Q. And if you flip to page 2  11 A. Okay.  21 Q you'll notice that the team agreed that points to  22 Q you'll notice that the team agreed that points to  23 Nardelli are 1, 2, 3 and 4. If you would look at point 3.  24 Just read it out loud for us.  25 A. "Off-sheet investments in JV without full disclosure is an obligation; was appliers. Is the timing.  27 Q. Now, you mentioned making payments to suppliers. Is the company building cars right now?  9 A. No, sir, we're not.  10 Q. Are the plants idle?  11 A. Totally.  12 Q. So I'm a little confused about why you're paying suppliers.  13 suppliers.  14 A. Well, because it's an obligation. When we went back and talked to our suppliers, we'd gone back and said, based on some new terms and conditions, if you agree to these new terms and conditions, some additional concessions, then I think what we've done so far is agreed to make a		-	l .	
4 remedy that and whatever penalties were associated. And that they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign 6 Corrupt Practice Act, et cetera. 7 Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a 8 shady partner? 8 8 company building cars right now? 9 A. A shady partner? 9 A. A shady partner. 10 Q. Shady partner. 10 Q. Shady partner. 11 A. I don't know. Not to again, if you've got something, 11 I'd be happy to look at it. 12 Q. So I'm a little confused about why you're paying suppliers. 11 A. Okay. Okay. 12 Q. Okay. This is an e-mail that includes a report within 15 talked to our suppliers, we'd gone back and said, based on some 16 Capstone. 16 Nokay. 17 Okay. 18 Q. You are not. 18 We've done so far is agreed to make a partial payment against own we've done so far is agreed to make a partial payment against own we've done so far is agreed to make a partial payment against own we've done so far is agreed to make a partial payment against own we've done so far is agreed to make a partial payment against own so public is a position to 19 Ust read it out loud for us. 19 Ust read it out loud for us. 10 Okay. 10 Okay. 10 Okay. 11 Okay. 12 Okay. 12 Okay. 13 Okay. 14 Okay. 15 Okay. 16 Okay. 17 Okay. 18 Okay. 19 Our liabilities. 19 19 Our liabilit				* *
they have a very strong policy in place on corruption, Foreign Corrupt Practice Act, et cetera.  Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a shady partner?  A. A shady partner?  A. A shady partner.  A. I don't know. Not to again, if you've got something,  I db e happy to look at it.  Q. Okay. This is an e-mail that includes a report within  Capstone.  A. Am I on the distribution of this?  A. Am I on the distribution of this?  A. Okay.  Q. And if you flip to page 2  A. Okay.  Q. And if you flip to page 2  A. Okay.  A. Okay.  A. Wedl, because it's an obligation. When we went back and additional concessions, then I think what we've done so far is agreed to make a partial payment against owy our suppliers?  A. Okay.  Q. So you're continuing to pay outstanding obligations to your suppliers?  A. Yeah, those that were listed as critical suppliers so that we could keep them solvent so that they were in a position to have liquidity when we started the factories to be able to build vehicles.		* *	l .	
6 Corrupt Practice Act, et cetera. 7 Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a 8 shady partner? 9 A. A shady partner? 9 A. A shady partner. 10 Q. Shady partner. 11 A. I don't know. Not to again, if you've got something, 12 I'd be happy to look at it. 13 Q. All right. Let's look at tab 19. 14 A. Okay. Okay. 15 Q. Okay. This is an e-mail that includes a report within 16 Capstone. 17 A. Am I on the distribution of this? 18 Q. You are not. 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. And if you flip to page 2 21 A. Okay. 20 Q. And if you flip to page 2 21 A. Okay. 21 Q. So you're continuing to pay outstanding obligations to 22 Q you'll notice that the team agreed that points to 23 Nardelli are 1, 2, 3 and 4. If you would look at point 3. 24 Just read it out loud for us. 25 A. "Off-sheet investments in JV without full disclosure is an 26 Liming. 7 Q. Now, you mentioned making payments to suppliers. Is the company building cars right now? 9 A. No, sir, we're not. 10 Q. Are the plants idle? 11 A. Totally. 12 Q. So I'm a little confused about why you're paying suppliers. 14 A. Well, because it's an obligation. When we went back and talked to our suppliers, we'd gone back and said, based on some new terms and conditions, if you agree to these new terms and conditions, some additional concessions, then I think what we've done so far is agreed to make a partial payment against our liabilities. 20 Q. So you're continuing to pay outstanding obligations to your suppliers? 21 A. Yeah, those that were listed as critical suppliers so that we could keep them solvent so that they were in a position to have liquidity when we started the factories to be able to build vehicles.		-		
7 Q. Did you ever recall hearing anybody refer to Fiat as a 8 shady partner? 9 A. A shady partner? 10 Q. Shady partner. 11 A. I don't know. Not to again, if you've got something, 12 I'd be happy to look at it. 13 Q. All right. Let's look at tab 19. 14 A. Okay. Okay. 15 Q. Okay. This is an e-mail that includes a report within 16 Capstone. 17 A. Am I on the distribution of this? 18 Q. You are not. 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. And if you flip to page 2 21 A. Okay. 20 Q. And if you flip to page 2 21 A. Okay. 21 Q. So J'm a little confused about why you're paying suppliers. 22 Q. So J'm a little confused about why you're paying suppliers. 23 Nardelli are 1, 2, 3 and 4. If you would look at point 3. 24 Just read it out loud for us. 25 A. "Off-sheet investments in JV without full disclosure is an obligation was payments to suppliers. Is the company building cars right now? 26 Q. Now, you mentioned making payments to suppliers. Is the company building cars right now? 29 A. No, sir, we're not. 10 Q. Are the plants idle? 11 A. Totally. 12 Q. So I'm a little confused about why you're paying suppliers. 13 suppliers. 14 A. Well, because it's an obligation. When we went back and talked to our suppliers, we'd gone back and said, based on some new terms and conditions, if you agree to these new terms and conditions, some additional concessions, then I think what we've done so far is agreed to make a partial payment against our liabilities. 29 Q. So you're continuing to pay outstanding obligations to your suppliers? 20 Q. So you're continuing to pay outstanding obligations to your suppliers? 21 A. Yeah, those that were listed as critical suppliers so that we could keep them solvent so that they were in a position to have liquidity when we started the factories to be able to build vehicles.			l .	
8 shady partner? 9 A. A shady partner? 10 Q. Shady partner. 11 A. I don't know. Not to again, if you've got something, 12 I'd be happy to look at it. 13 Q. All right. Let's look at tab 19. 14 A. Okay. Okay. 15 Q. Okay. This is an e-mail that includes a report within 16 Capstone. 17 A. Am I on the distribution of this? 18 Q. You are not. 19 Q. And if you flip to page 2 20 Q. And if you flip to page 2 21 A. Okay. 20 Q. And if you flip to page 2 21 A. Okay. 21 Q. So I'm a little confused about why you're paying suppliers. 22 Q you'll notice that the team agreed that points to 23 Nardelli are 1, 2, 3 and 4. If you would look at point 3. 24 Just read it out loud for us. 25 A. "Off-sheet investments in JV without full disclosure is an long to the sent the part of the page 2 in a company building cars right now? 26 A. No, sir, we're not. 27 Q. Are the plants idle? 28 A. No, sir, we're not. 29 Q. Are the plants idle? 29 Q. Are the plants idle? 20 Q. So I'm a little confused about why you're paying suppliers. 21 A. Well, because it's an obligation. When we went back and a laked to our suppliers, we'd gone back and said, based on some new terms and conditions, if you agree to these new terms and conditions, some additional concessions, then I think what we've done so far is agreed to make a partial payment against our liabilities. 29 Q. So you're continuing to pay outstanding obligations to your suppliers? 20 Q. So you're continuing to pay outstanding obligations to we could keep them solvent so that they were in a position to have liquidity when we started the factories to be able to build vehicles.		*	l .	ů
9 A. A shady partner? 10 Q. Shady partner. 11 A. I don't know. Not to again, if you've got something, 12 I'd be happy to look at it. 13 Q. All right. Let's look at tab 19. 14 A. Okay. Okay. 15 Q. Okay. This is an e-mail that includes a report within 16 Capstone. 17 A. Am I on the distribution of this? 18 Q. You are not. 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. And if you flip to page 2 21 A. Okay. 20 Q. And if you flip to page 2 21 A. Okay. 21 Q. So I'm a little confused about why you're paying suppliers. 22 Q. over the plants idle? 23 Nardelli are 1, 2, 3 and 4. If you would look at point 3. 24 Just read it out loud for us. 25 A. "Off-sheet investments in JV without full disclosure is an obligation. 26 A. No, sir, we're not. 27 Q. Are the plants idle? 28 A. No, sir, we're not. 29 Q. Are the plants idle? A. No, Sir, we're not. 20 Q. So Joi'm a little confused about why you're paying suppliers. 21 A. Well, because it's an obligation. When we went back and talked to our suppliers, we'd gone back and said, based on some new terms and conditions, if you agree to these new terms and conditions, some additional concessions, then I think what we've done so far is agreed to make a partial payment against our liabilities. 29 Q. So you're continuing to pay outstanding obligations to your suppliers? 20 Q. So you're continuing to pay outstanding obligations to we could keep them solvent so that they were in a position to have liquidity when we started the factories to be able to build vehicles.			l .	
10 Q. Shady partner.  11 A. I don't know. Not to again, if you've got something, 12 I'd be happy to look at it. 13 Q. All right. Let's look at tab 19. 14 A. Okay. Okay. 15 Q. Okay. This is an e-mail that includes a report within 16 Capstone. 17 A. Am I on the distribution of this? 18 Q. You are not. 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. And if you flip to page 2 21 A. Okay. 22 Q you'll notice that the team agreed that points to 23 Nardelli are 1, 2, 3 and 4. If you would look at point 3. 24 Just read it out loud for us. 25 A. "Off-sheet investments in JV without full disclosure is an output of the some terms and that includes a report within to talked to our suppliers, we'd gone back and said, based on some new terms and conditions, if you agree to these new terms and conditions, some additional concessions, then I think what we've done so far is agreed to make a partial payment against our liabilities. 20 Q. So you're continuing to pay outstanding obligations to your suppliers? 21 A. Yeah, those that were listed as critical suppliers so that we could keep them solvent so that they were in a position to have liquidity when we started the factories to be able to build vehicles.		• •		
11 A. I don't know. Not to again, if you've got something, 12 I'd be happy to look at it. 13 Q. All right. Let's look at tab 19. 14 A. Okay. Okay. 15 Q. Okay. This is an e-mail that includes a report within 16 Capstone. 17 A. Am I on the distribution of this? 18 Q. You are not. 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. And if you flip to page 2 21 A. Okay. 20 Q. And if you flip to page 2 21 A. Okay. 21 Q. So I'm a little confused about why you're paying suppliers. 22 Q you'll notice that the team agreed that points to 23 Nardelli are 1, 2, 3 and 4. If you would look at point 3. 24 Just read it out loud for us. 25 A. "Off-sheet investments in JV without full disclosure is an obligation. When we went back and talked to our suppliers, we'd gone back and said, based on some new terms and conditions, if you agree to these new terms and conditions, some additional concessions, then I think what we've done so far is agreed to make a partial payment against our liabilities. 20 Q. So you're continuing to pay outstanding obligations to your suppliers? 21 A. Yeah, those that were listed as critical suppliers so that we could keep them solvent so that they were in a position to have liquidity when we started the factories to be able to build vehicles.		• •		
12 I'd be happy to look at it. 13 Q. All right. Let's look at tab 19. 14 A. Okay. Okay. 15 Q. Okay. This is an e-mail that includes a report within 16 Capstone. 17 A. Am I on the distribution of this? 18 Q. You are not. 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. And if you flip to page 2 21 A. Okay. 21 Q. So I'm a little confused about why you're paying suppliers. 22 Q you'll notice that the team agreed that points to 23 Nardelli are 1, 2, 3 and 4. If you would look at point 3. 24 Just read it out loud for us. 25 A. "Off-sheet investments in JV without full disclosure is an obligation. When we went back and 16 A. Well, because it's an obligation. When we went back and 17 talked to our suppliers, we'd gone back and said, based on some new terms and conditions, if you agree to these new terms and conditions, some additional concessions, then I think what we've done so far is agreed to make a partial payment against our liabilities. 20 Q. So you're continuing to pay outstanding obligations to your suppliers? 21 A. Yeah, those that were listed as critical suppliers so that we could keep them solvent so that they were in a position to have liquidity when we started the factories to be able to build vehicles.				
Q. All right. Let's look at tab 19.  14 A. Okay. Okay.  15 Q. Okay. This is an e-mail that includes a report within  16 Capstone.  17 A. Am I on the distribution of this?  18 Q. You are not.  19 A. Okay.  20 Q. And if you flip to page 2  21 A. Okay.  22 Q you'll notice that the team agreed that points to  23 Nardelli are 1, 2, 3 and 4. If you would look at point 3.  24 Just read it out loud for us.  13 suppliers.  14 A. Well, because it's an obligation. When we went back and talked to our suppliers, we'd gone back and said, based on some talked to our suppliers, we'd gone back and said, based on some new terms and conditions, if you agree to these new terms and rewive done so far is agreed to make a partial payment against our liabilities.  20 Q. So you're continuing to pay outstanding obligations to your suppliers?  21 A. Yeah, those that were listed as critical suppliers so that we could keep them solvent so that they were in a position to have liquidity when we started the factories to be able to build vehicles.			l .	•
14 A. Okay. Okay.  15 Q. Okay. This is an e-mail that includes a report within 16 Capstone.  16 Capstone.  17 A. Am I on the distribution of this?  18 Q. You are not.  19 A. Okay.  20 Q. And if you flip to page 2  21 A. Okay.  22 Q you'll notice that the team agreed that points to 23 Nardelli are 1, 2, 3 and 4. If you would look at point 3.  24 Just read it out loud for us.  25 A. "Off-sheet investments in JV without full disclosure is an position to power against talked to our suppliers, we'd gone back and said, based on some talked to our suppliers, we'd gone back and said, based on some new terms and conditions, if you agree to these new terms and conditions, some additional concessions, then I think what we've done so far is agreed to make a partial payment against our liabilities.  20 Q. So you're continuing to pay outstanding obligations to your suppliers?  21 your suppliers?  22 A. Yeah, those that were listed as critical suppliers so that we could keep them solvent so that they were in a position to have liquidity when we started the factories to be able to build vehicles.		110		
15 Q. Okay. This is an e-mail that includes a report within 16 Capstone. 17 A. Am I on the distribution of this? 18 Q. You are not. 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. And if you flip to page 2 21 A. Okay. 21 Q you'll notice that the team agreed that points to 23 Nardelli are 1, 2, 3 and 4. If you would look at point 3. 24 Just read it out loud for us. 25 A. "Off-sheet investments in JV without full disclosure is an investment, we'd gone back and said, based on some additional concessions, then I think what we've done so far is agreed to make a partial payment against we've done so far is agreed to make a partial payment against over liabilities.  20 Q. So you're continuing to pay outstanding obligations to your suppliers?  21 A. Yeah, those that were listed as critical suppliers so that we could keep them solvent so that they were in a position to have liquidity when we started the factories to be able to build vehicles.				
16 Capstone.  17 A. Am I on the distribution of this?  18 Q. You are not.  19 A. Okay.  20 Q. And if you flip to page 2  21 A. Okay.  22 Q you'll notice that the team agreed that points to  23 Nardelli are 1, 2, 3 and 4. If you would look at point 3.  24 Just read it out loud for us.  25 A. "Off-sheet investments in JV without full disclosure is an look of the service of the senew terms and conditions, if you agree to these new terms and conditions, some additional concessions, then I think what it is the properties of the properties		•	l .	~
17 Conditions, some additional concessions, then I think what 18 Q. You are not. 18 we've done so far is agreed to make a partial payment against 19 A. Okay. 19 our liabilities. 20 Q. And if you flip to page 2 21 A. Okay. 21 your suppliers? 22 Q you'll notice that the team agreed that points to 23 Nardelli are 1, 2, 3 and 4. If you would look at point 3. 24 Just read it out loud for us. 25 A. "Off-sheet investments in JV without full disclosure is an 25 build vehicles.		- · · ·	l .	
18 Q. You are not.  19 A. Okay.  20 Q. And if you flip to page 2  21 A. Okay.  22 Q you'll notice that the team agreed that points to  23 Nardelli are 1, 2, 3 and 4. If you would look at point 3.  24 Just read it out loud for us.  25 A. "Off-sheet investments in JV without full disclosure is an our liabilities.  20 Q. So you're continuing to pay outstanding obligations to  21 your suppliers?  22 A. Yeah, those that were listed as critical suppliers so that  23 we could keep them solvent so that they were in a position to  24 have liquidity when we started the factories to be able to  25 build vehicles.		•	l .	
19 A. Okay. 20 Q. And if you flip to page 2 21 A. Okay. 22 Q you'll notice that the team agreed that points to 23 Nardelli are 1, 2, 3 and 4. If you would look at point 3. 24 Just read it out loud for us. 25 A. "Off-sheet investments in JV without full disclosure is an 25 build vehicles.  19 our liabilities. 20 Q. So you're continuing to pay outstanding obligations to 21 your suppliers? 22 A. Yeah, those that were listed as critical suppliers so that 23 we could keep them solvent so that they were in a position to 24 have liquidity when we started the factories to be able to 25 build vehicles.			l .	
Q. And if you flip to page 2  A. Okay.  Q. So you're continuing to pay outstanding obligations to  your suppliers?  A. Yeah, those that were listed as critical suppliers so that  Nardelli are 1, 2, 3 and 4. If you would look at point 3.  Just read it out loud for us.  A. "Off-sheet investments in JV without full disclosure is an 25 build vehicles.			l .	
21 A. Okay. 22 Q you'll notice that the team agreed that points to 23 Nardelli are 1, 2, 3 and 4. If you would look at point 3. 24 Just read it out loud for us. 25 A. "Off-sheet investments in JV without full disclosure is an 25 build vehicles. 21 your suppliers? 22 A. Yeah, those that were listed as critical suppliers so that 23 we could keep them solvent so that they were in a position to 24 have liquidity when we started the factories to be able to 25 build vehicles.		-		
Q you'll notice that the team agreed that points to 22 A. Yeah, those that were listed as critical suppliers so that 23 Nardelli are 1, 2, 3 and 4. If you would look at point 3. 24 Just read it out loud for us. 25 A. "Off-sheet investments in JV without full disclosure is an 25 build vehicles.			l .	
Nardelli are 1, 2, 3 and 4. If you would look at point 3.  24 Just read it out loud for us.  25 A. "Off-sheet investments in JV without full disclosure is an 25 build vehicles.  28 we could keep them solvent so that they were in a position to 24 have liquidity when we started the factories to be able to 25 build vehicles.		A. Okay.	21	your suppliers?
24 Just read it out loud for us. 24 have liquidity when we started the factories to be able to 25 A. "Off-sheet investments in JV without full disclosure is an 25 build vehicles.	22	Q you'll notice that the team agreed that points to	22	A. Yeah, those that were listed as critical suppliers so that
25 A. "Off-sheet investments in JV without full disclosure is an 25 build vehicles.	23	Nardelli are 1, 2, 3 and 4. If you would look at point 3.	23	we could keep them solvent so that they were in a position to
	24	Just read it out loud for us.	24	have liquidity when we started the factories to be able to
299   301	25	A. "Off-sheet investments in JV without full disclosure is an	25	build vehicles.
		299		301

1	Q. Do you happen to recall what the outstanding amount owed	1	A. Yes, I mean, as far as what payments are required, what
2	to your suppliers was on the date of the bankruptcy case?	2	are you know, certainly from our bankruptcy counsel, what
3	A. No, sir, I don't remember the exact amount.	3	are some of the obligations, what are some of the payments you
4	Q. All right. Would the number 5.3 billion sound like it	4	are obligated to make. You know, I've had really good counsel
5	might be the right number to you?	5	working me through this process.
6	A. Well, it seems like an awful high number for suppliers.	6	MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, if I may just
7	Q. All right. If we could	7	MR. LAURIA: I'm not going to invade the privilege.
8	A. I don't know, but	8	MR. ARMSTRONG: I know you're not; I'm just concerned
9	Q. If we could look at tab number 1.	9	that Mr. Nardelli might. Just remember to keep in mind that
10	A. Okay.	10	you shouldn't divulge the communications that we've had or
11	Q. This is the affidavit of Ronald Kolka in support of first-	11	you've had with your counsel.
12	day pleadings. You know Mr. Kolka, right?	12	THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. Sorry. I'm just trying to
13	A. Yes, sir.	13	be responsive.
14	Q. All right. He's your CFO, is that correct?	14	BY MR. LAURIA:
15	A. Yes, sir.	15	Q. You do have an understanding that you owe fiduciary duties
16	Q. All right. If you could look with me at page 3.	16	to your stakeholders in the zone of insolvency; is that
17	A. Tab 1.	17	correct?
18	Q. Yes.	18	A. Yes.
19	A. Page 3?	19	Q. And you're you've been very concerned about performing
20	Q. Yes. Last bullet point on the bottom of page 3. You see	20	those fiduciary duties as you've gone through this process,
21	the reference to 5.3 billion there?	21	correct?
22	A. Yes.	22	A. Yeah, to the best of my ability.
23	Q. Does that refresh your recollection at all on the amount	23	Q. Is it your basic understanding that your fiduciary duty
24	of payables to for parts and services?	24	requires you to maximize value for your stakeholders?
25	A. Well, in here you also have, in addition to the parts	25	A. Yes.
	302		304
1	suppliers, you also have service suppliers	1	Q. Now, you couldn't perform that duty if you didn't know
2	Q. Yes	2	what your stakeholders were going to get in restructuring,
3	A in addition	3	could you?
4	Q parts and services.	4	A. Well, I think that part of that is you know, part of
5	A. Yeah. Yes. So when I I'm sorry, when I was	5	that is a moving number; it's a new you know, as we go
6	referencing the number, I was thinking about the parts	6	through every day trying to resolve what are some of the open
7	suppliers. So I'm sure if this is the number he put in there,	7	issues and the values and so forth.
8	I'm sure that it's accurate.	8	Q. But you did have to form a view as to what your
9	Q. And as long as we're here, if you'd go to the second	9	stakeholders were going to recover under various alternatives
10	bullet in that section; it references Chrysler's workers and	10	so that you could pick the course that would maximize the
11	retirees and their surviving spouses will lose over 9.8 billion	11	recovery, correct?
12	of health care and other benefits. Is that a reference to the	12	A. Yes, in its broadest sense, that's what we were doing with
13	outstanding VEBA obligation?	13	the DIP budget to make sure that, again, it started off at 4.1
14	A. I would assume that part of that is VEBA and other ongoing		billion; it grew to 4.960 billion because we encountered
15	health care benefits to both active and inactive and then non-	15	additional obligations to various stakeholders, tax payments
16	bargaining unit and bargaining unit salary and management.	16	that we discovered we had to make. And so we went back to the
17	Q. All right. Now, you, I'm sure, have received a lot of	17	United States Treasury and they increased the DIP budget.
18	advice about your fiduciary duties as this process has	18	Q. All right. Now, as we just talked about, you are aware
19	unfolded, is that correct?	19	that you had somewhere in the order of 5.3 billion dollars of
20	A. Well, advice on fiduciary no. No, but when you say	20	outstanding trade claims, is that correct?
21	"advice", from who?	21	A. Yes, as you've noted here on page 3.
22	Q. Well, I'm just asking if you've received any advice	22	Q. And is it your understanding that substantially all of
23	regarding your fiduciary duties as this	23	those claims are going to be paid in cash in full pursuant to
24	A. Oh, yes.	24	this transaction?
25	Q process has unfolded.	25	A. No.
	303		305

1	Q. No?	1	underfunded pension liability is?
2	A. I don't I couldn't give you an answer, of that amount,	2	A. No. You mean the amount? I don't know
3	how much of it will be paid in full.	3	Q. The amount.
4	Q. Do you have any idea?	4	A no.
5	A. No. We would have to go back I'd have to get with Ron	5	Q. If I told you it was 3.5 billion, would you have any
6	and of this amount what portion of it was approved as part of	6	does that sound like a familiar number to you?
7	the DIP budget.	7	A. No, it wouldn't be a familiar number.
8	Q. You'd have to go back to Ron? Who is Ron?	8	Q. All right. Now, what are the what is your
9	A. Ron Kolka.	9	understanding of what the senior lenders are going to get under
10	Q. So you don't know if	10	the transaction?
11	A. I don't	11	A. The senior lenders, as I understand it, have agreed to a
12	Q any of your trade claims are getting paid?	12	two billion dollar cash payment.
13	A. Oh, no, I know some of them are. I you asked me	13	Q. So that's what they're going to get, is that correct?
14	specifically is the 5.3 billion being paid in full, and I don't	14	A. That's my understanding.
15	know the exact answer to that.	15	Q. All right. Now, let's talk about the VEBA obligations for
16	Q. Do you have any idea how much of that's getting paid?	16	a moment, if we could.
17	A. I wouldn't want to give you a wrong answer.	17	A. Okay.
18	Q. But Ron would be the person who would know?	18	Q. Is it correct to say that the VEBA is receiving a 4.6
19	A. I think so.	19	billion dollar note and a 55 percent equity stake in the new
20	Q. All right. What about warranty obligations and dealer	20	company?
21	obligations? Do you have any understanding of how those claim	s 21	A. The 55 percent is back to my earlier comments, and the 4.6
22	are being treated?	22	sounds about right of a 10 billion dollar obligation that was
23	A. My understanding is that the warranty obligations are	23	negotiated a contractual obligation that was negotiated in
24	being transferred to NewCo.	24	the 2007 settlement. And what we were one of the criteria
25	Q. And will be honored?	25	in our submission plan to the government was that fifty percent
	306		308
1	A. Yes.	1	of that had to be converted to equity, and that was one of the
2	Q. All right. What about the pension obligations?	2	agreements that we had reached with the UAW, along with meeting
3	A. It depends again, my understanding, it depends on which	3	the what they call the transplant wages per hour, Your
4	pension.	4	Honor; that's Toyota and Nissan and so forth.
5	Q. Well, let's talk about, then, in toto, unless you believe	5	Q. Do you know what the VEBA is?
6	that there's going to be different treatments of different	6	A. What it is?
7	pension obligations.	7	Q. Yes.
8	A. Well, my understanding, there is. For example, Lee	8	A. It's a it was negotiated in 2007 and was moving the
9	Iacocca lost his pension.	9	liabilities from the car manufacturers to the union.
10	Q. All right. He lost his pension?	10	Q. And do you know what the amount of that liability was?
11	A. Yeah, the unsecured portion is lost and nonrecoverable.	11	A. I believe the negotiation was somewhere around ten billion
12	Q. How about the pension for the hourly workers?	12	dollars.
13	A. I believe the pension for the hourly workers is carried	13	Q. All right. And so the company is obligated to fund that,
14	forward, but I'd want to confirm that.	14	is that correct?
15		15	A. Yeah, contractually we were obligated to fund it, and then
	Q. All right. You are familiar with the master transaction		
16	Q. All right. You are familiar with the master transaction agreement, right?	16	as part of the submission we were instructed that we had to
16 17	-	16 17	as part of the submission we were instructed that we had to have a letter a memorandum of understanding from the union
	agreement, right?		
17	agreement, right? A. Yes.	17	have a letter a memorandum of understanding from the union
17 18	agreement, right?  A. Yes.  Q. Would you have any reason to disagree if I told you that,	17 18 19	have a letter a memorandum of understanding from the union that they would be willing to convert half of that into equity.
17 18 19	agreement, right?  A. Yes.  Q. Would you have any reason to disagree if I told you that, pursuant to Section 2.06(r) and 3.16, the UAW pension	17 18 19	have a letter a memorandum of understanding from the union that they would be willing to convert half of that into equity.  Q. Okay. So your understanding is that half of that
17 18 19 20	agreement, right?  A. Yes.  Q. Would you have any reason to disagree if I told you that, pursuant to Section 2.06(r) and 3.16, the UAW pension obligations, underfunded pension obligations, are being assumed.	17 18 19 1 20	have a letter a memorandum of understanding from the union that they would be willing to convert half of that into equity.  Q. Okay. So your understanding is that half of that liability is being satisfied with a note and half of it is
17 18 19 20 21	agreement, right?  A. Yes.  Q. Would you have any reason to disagree if I told you that, pursuant to Section 2.06(r) and 3.16, the UAW pension obligations, underfunded pension obligations, are being assumed and paid by NewCo?	17 18 19 1 20 21	have a letter a memorandum of understanding from the union that they would be willing to convert half of that into equity.  Q. Okay. So your understanding is that half of that liability is being satisfied with a note and half of it is being satisfied with equity in the new company, is that
17 18 19 20 21 22	agreement, right?  A. Yes.  Q. Would you have any reason to disagree if I told you that, pursuant to Section 2.06(r) and 3.16, the UAW pension obligations, underfunded pension obligations, are being assumed and paid by NewCo?  A. I guess. I'd have to look at it to confirm it. But if	17 18 19 1 20 21 22	have a letter a memorandum of understanding from the union that they would be willing to convert half of that into equity.  Q. Okay. So your understanding is that half of that liability is being satisfied with a note and half of it is being satisfied with equity in the new company, is that correct?
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	agreement, right?  A. Yes.  Q. Would you have any reason to disagree if I told you that, pursuant to Section 2.06(r) and 3.16, the UAW pension obligations, underfunded pension obligations, are being assumed and paid by NewCo?  A. I guess. I'd have to look at it to confirm it. But if you're saying that's exactly what's in there, then I'm sure	17 18 19 1 20 21 22 23	have a letter a memorandum of understanding from the union that they would be willing to convert half of that into equity.  Q. Okay. So your understanding is that half of that liability is being satisfied with a note and half of it is being satisfied with equity in the new company, is that correct?  A. Yes, sir.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	agreement, right?  A. Yes.  Q. Would you have any reason to disagree if I told you that, pursuant to Section 2.06(r) and 3.16, the UAW pension obligations, underfunded pension obligations, are being assumed and paid by NewCo?  A. I guess. I'd have to look at it to confirm it. But if you're saying that's exactly what's in there, then I'm sure we're abiding by the master transaction agreement.	17 18 19 120 21 22 23 24	have a letter a memorandum of understanding from the union that they would be willing to convert half of that into equity.  Q. Okay. So your understanding is that half of that liability is being satisfied with a note and half of it is being satisfied with equity in the new company, is that correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. All right. And the in effect, the contribution to the

1	claim?	1	A. I don't recall.
2	A. Yeah, they basically were willing to exchange half of the	2	Q. All right. How about of the old company?
3	claim for an equity contribution.	3	A. Of the old company?
4	Q. All right. Now, do you have a view as to the value of	4	Q. Yes.
5	that equity?	5	A. My recollection in the presentation, they felt that the
6	MR. BROMLEY: Objection, Your Honor. Your Honor	6	two billion dollars of cash that the first lien lenders
7	yesterday we had a little back-and-forth on this with respect	7	accepted was better than what the liquidation value would have
8	to the value of the VEBA, and on that issue yesterday I believe	8	been.
9	Your Honor ruled that that was not relevant. So we have an	9	Q. Well, this is a going-concern deal, isn't it?
10	objection on relevance grounds.	10	A. Which one is a going-concern
11	THE COURT: Yeah, Mr. Lauria, what is the relevance	11	Q. The transaction that you've been working so hard to put
12	of the witness's view as to the value?	12	together here.
13	MR. LAURIA: Well, Your Honor, I think the witness	13	A. For NewCo?
14	has acknowledged that, in connection with the performance of	14	Q. Yes.
15	his fiduciary duties to his stakeholders, he needs to think	15	A. Yes.
16	about what they are getting in the transaction. And he's	16	Q. All right. So have you gotten any opinions regarding the
17	testified that the VEBA, which is owed about ten billion	17	going-concern value of NewCo or OldCo?
18	dollars, is receiving a note and equity. And I want to try to	18	A. You know, I guess if I was going to be part of the NewCo
19	understand what that value is so that we can, at some point in	19	management I probably would have, you know, gotten involved in
20	time, have an appropriate argument on the record about the	20	that. But my concern was making sure that, one, we got a
21	performance of fiduciary duties.	21	fairness opinion in exchange, assets in, cash out, back for,
22	THE COURT: All right.	22	and that Fiat was a viable ongoing potential partner before I
23	You can answer the question.	23	entrusted the assets into that transaction
24	A. And the question was, please?	24	Q. All right.
25	BY MR. LAURIA:	25	A that sale transaction.
	310		312
1	Q. Do you have a view as to the value of the equity?	1	Q. Can I ask you to take a look at tab number 2?
2	A.	2	A. Okay.
_	I don't. You know, it's it will be it's to be	3	Q. This is the Chrysler restructuring plan for long-term
3	determined based on the performance and the value of NewCo.	4	viability.
4 5	Q. All right. Did you hire any experts to provide you with a view of the value of the equity?	5	A. Yes.
6	A. The value of the equity in NewCo?	6	Q. All right. And if you could start with me on page 16?
7	Q. Yes.	7	A. Okay.
8	A. I can't recall if we really looked at that in detail. I	8	Q. Have you seen this page before?
9	know we did due diligence on Fiat. I don't know. I don't	9	A. I'm sure I have. Let me may I refresh myself here?
10	recall.	10	Q. Sure.
11	Q. Do you recall hiring Greenhill for any purpose?	11	(Pause)
12	A. Yes. We did we hired Greenhill. We started with	12	A. Okay.
13	Goldman Sachs and they basically had to pull out of that	13	Q. Does this page express the company's view that it should
14	engagement. And then we were able to secure Greenhill to go in		have serviceable total debt of 22.8 billion dollars?
15	and help us on due diligence and do a fairness opinion.	15	A. Yes.
16	Q. All right. And did you ever have any discussions with	16	Q. All right. And looking at the far right column, you
17	anybody at Greenhill regarding the value of the enterprise or	17	recognize that you owed the first lien lenders 6.9 billion; you
18	the equity?	18	wiped out the 2 billion owed to Cerberus and Daimler, is that
19	A. My recollection on Greenhill is we had them make a	19	correct?
20	presentation to the board at one of the board meetings on the	20	A. Yes.
21	fairness opinion.	21	Q. You reflect a third lien to the government of 9.6
22	Q. All right. And do you recall Greenhill expressing any	22	billion
23	views regarding value?	23	A. Yes.
	A Of the many of the control of the	O 4	0 :- 41-4
24	A. Of the new company?	24	Q is that correct?
25	A. Of the new company? Q. Yes.	24 25	Q is that correct? A. Yes.

25 A. No, it's not due yet. 25 not have been commensurate with that.				
3 Q. — is that correct? 4 A. Yeah. 5 Q. And you reflect a six billion dollar loan from DOE, is that correct? 5 Q. And you reflect a six billion dollar loan from DOE, is that correct? 6 A. Yes. 8 Q. So the grand total was 27.8 billion, is that correct? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. All right. And, now, below that you say "required debt and debt service relief from above creditor groups."— 11 and debt service relief from above creditor groups."— 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. — "five billion."? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Okay. So your target was to get the debt down to 22.8 to get in down of the your content of the your could induce the first lien banks, the government and/or the VFBA to further reduce their debt under one of these were some atternatives that we wanted to surface as a way to try and get a correct? 16 Q. All right. You believe — 21 A. Yes. 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. All right. You believe — 24 A. We had to get 5 billion more from the 27.8 to get it down to 22.8, and this was replacted by the government. 25 Q. Okay. And J just would like to take a look — have you your end that for us? 26 Q. Name and that you were looking for any combination of and when we were having discussions the third lien lenders said no way, we're no going to provide taxpayer money and not get paid back. 26 Q. Was that mean that you combination of, and when we were having discussions the third lien lenders said no way, we're no going to provide taxpayer money and not get paid back. 27 Q. Okay. Not a penny? 28 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 29 Q. Okay. Not a penny? 20 Q. Okay. Not a penny? 30 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 31 Q. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction we're currently talking about, aren't they fortyring 8.5 the part of the first lien lenders. 31 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 32 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 33 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 34 A. A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 35 A. No. 36 A. We presented this deck to the board. 37 A.		-		-
4 A. Yesh. 5 Q. And you reflect a six billion dollar loan from DOE, is that correct? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. So the grand total was 27.8 billion, is that correct? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. All right. And, now, below that you say "required debt and debt service relief from above creditor groups" — 11 A. Yes. 11 Q. O'Any. So your target was to get the debt down to 22.8 is billion, is that correct? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. — "five billion"? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. O'Any. So your target was to get the debt down to 22.8 is billion, is that correct? 16 Q. All right. You believe — 17 A. Yes, and this was rejected. 18 Q. All right. You believe — 21 Q. All right. You believe — 22 A. We had to get 5 billion more from the 27.8 to get it down 22.8 is debtors. 23 to 22.8, and this was a plan that was rejected by the 24 government. 24 government. 25 Q. O'Any. And I just would like to take a look — have you 24 government. 26 Q. Does that mean that you were looking for this five billion dollars of relief from those there group? 27 A. Yes. I says "creditor groups, including the U.S. 28 government. 29 Q. Does that mean that you were looking for this five billion dollars of relief from those there group? 20 Q. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction were correctly talking about, aren't they forgiving 8.5 billion for those there group? 3 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 3 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 4 Q. O'And you were looking for any combination of, and when we were not governed that for us? 5 Q. O'Any Not a penny. It would mean that the VEBA would be cut in 15 ability to take are of health are access for its membership. 4 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 5 Q. D'All you ever get that DOE loan, sir? 5 Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, sir? 5 Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir? 5 Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir? 5 Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir? 5 Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir? 6 Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir? 7 Q. A We were — a negative equity balance sheet? 8 hill				
5 Q. And you reflect a six billion dollar loan from DOE, is that correct? 6 that correct? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. So the grand total was 27.8 billion, is that correct? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. All right. And, now, below that you say "required debt and debt service relief from above creditor groups" - 11 and debt service relief from above creditor groups" - 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. "five billion"? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Okay. So your target was to get the debt down to 22.8 billion, is that correct? 16 billion, is that correct? 17 A. Yes, and this was rejected. 18 Q. All right. But you thought that this was reasonable, correct? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. All right. You believe - 22 A. Yes. 22 Q. A. Yes. 23 Q. All right. You believe - 24 A. We had to get 5 billion more from the 27.8 to get it down to 22.8 billion dollars of relief from those three groups? 24 government. 25 Q. Okay. And I just would like to take a look - have you and that for us? 26 Q. Okay. And I just would like to take a look - have you and that for us? 27 A. Yes. and this was a plan that was rejected by the government, the first lien bank lenders and the UAW/VERA*. 26 Q. Okay. And I just would like to take a look - have you and that for us? 27 A. Yes. and this was a plan that was rejected by the government, the first lien bank lenders and the UAW/VERA*. 28 government. 29 Q. Okay. And I just would like to take a look - have you and that for us? 31 A. Yes. It says "creditor groups, including the U.S. government, the first lien bank lenders and the UAW/VERA*. 31 A. We were looking for any combination of, and when we were not governed that for us? 31 A. We were looking for any combination of, and when we were a not governed that for us? 31 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 31 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 31 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 31 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 32 A. They are now at the bottom of requests of about eight lishilion. 33 A. We were looking no that the VEBA would be cut in lish, which means that bac		· ·		
that correct?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And, now, below that you say "required debt and debt service relief from above creditor groups"—  A. Yes.  O. O. All right. And, now, below that you say "required debt and debt service relief from above creditor groups"—  A. Yes.  O. O. Chay. So your target was to get the debt down to 22.8 to billion, is that correct?  A. Yes.  O. O. O. Say. So your target was to get the debt down to 22.8 to billion, is that correct?  A. Yes.  O. O. All right, but you thought that this was reasonable, correct?  A. Yes, and this was rejected.  O. All right, You believe—  2. A. We had to get 5 billion more from the 27.8 to get it down to 22.8, and this was a plan that was rejected by the government.  D. O. Chay. And I just would like to take a look — have your advanced from those three groups?  A. We were looking to government, the first lien bank kenders and the UAV/VEBA?  A. Yes, It says "creditor groups including the U.S.  Q. O. All right. You were looking to get five billion from that block of debtors.  D. O. So you were looking to compensate that five billion dollars of relief from those three groups?  A. We were looking for any combination of, and when we were harring discussions the third lien lenders aid no way, we're not going to provide taxpayer money and not get paid back.  Q. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction the were currently talking about, aren't they forgiving 8.5 lillion dollars of debt?  A. Not a permy.  A. Not a permy?  A. Not a permy?  A. Not a permy?  A. We were looking for any combination of, and when we were harring discussions the third lien lenders aid no way, we're not going to provide taxpayer money and not get paid back.  Q. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction the were currently talking about, aren't they forgiving 8.5 lillion dollars of debt?  A. Not a permy?  A. No a permy?  A. No a permy?  A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no.  A. Yes.  A. We were looking to provide taxpayer money and not get paid back.  Q. O. A				
7 A. Yes. 8 Q. So the grand total was 27.8 billion, is that correct? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. All right. And, now, below that you say "required debt and debt service relief from above creditor groups" 11 and debt service relief from above creditor groups" 12 A. Yes. 13 Q "five billion"? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Okay. So your target was to get the debt down to 22.8 billion, is that correct? 16 billion, is that correct? 17 A. Yes, and this was rejected. 18 Q. All right, but you thought that this was reasonable, correct? 29 A. Yes. 21 Q. All right. You believe 21 A. We had to get 5 billion more from the 27.8 to get it down to 22.8, and this was plan that was rejected by the government. 25 Q. Okay. And I just would like to take a look have you agovernment, the first lien bank lenders and the UAW/VEBA'. 20 Q. Des that mean that you were looking for this five billion dollars of relief from those there groups? 21 A. We weel looking for any combination of, and when we were having discussions the third lien lenders said nown, we're not going to provide taxpayer money and not get paid back. 21 Q. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction we're currently talking about aren't they forgiving 8.5 billion dollars of debt? 22 A. That's correct. 23 A. Thay are now. At the time, the answer was no. 24 Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way? 25 Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way? 26 A. That coard in fish that the balk are well ash thing discussions the thrial lein lenders said no way, we're not going to provide taxpayer money and not get paid back. 26 Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way? 27 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 28 Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way? 29 Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way? 20 Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way? 21 A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars of debt and the last, obviously, the first lear lenders are all the provided taxpayer morey and not get paid back. 29 Q. Did you ever get that D				
a chieving the five billion in liability service reductions? A. Yes.  10. Q. All right. And, now, below that you say "required debt and debt service relief from above creditor groups"  11. A. Yes.  12. A. Yes. 13. Qfive billion"? 14. A. Yes. 15. Q. Okay. So your target was to get the debt down to 22.8 billion, is that correct? 16. A. Yes, and this was rejected. 17. A. Yes, and this was rejected. 18. Q. All right, but you thought that this was reasonable, correct? 19. Q. All right, You believe  20. A. We had to get 5 billion more from the 27.8 to get it down to 22.8 and this was a plan that was rejected by the government. 19. Q. Okay. And I just would like to take a look have you government, the first lien bank lenders and the UAW/VEBA*. 19. Q. Okay. And I just would like to take a look have you debts. 20. Q. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction of going to provide taxpayer money and not get paid back. 20. Q. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction of the permitty lalking about, aren't they forgiving 8.5 lillion dollars of febris flien bank that the VEBA would be cut in lability to take care of health care costs for its membership, and then the last, obviously, the third area would have been a concession on the part of the first lien lenders. 20. Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir? 21. Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir? 22. A. The OE on, it's not doe yet. 23. A. We were noon, and the potten in the VEBA would be cut in lability to take care of health care costs for its membership, and the means that baseally it may not be solvent in its billion dollars of other first lien lenders. 23. A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 24. Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir? 25. Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir? 26. A. No, it's not doe yet. 27. A. No, it's not doe yet. 28. A. No, it's not doe yet. 39. A. We presented this deck to the board. 39. A. We presented this deck to the board. 39. A. We presented this deck to the board. 39. Q. Did you ever get the				
9 A. Yes, 10 Q. All right. And, now, below that you say "required debt and debt service relief from above creditor groups"				
10 Q. All right. And, now, below that you say "required debt and debt service relief from above creditor groups" 11 and debt service relief from above creditor groups" 12 A. Yes. 13 Q "five billion"? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Okay. So your target was to get the debt down to 22.8   16 billition, is that correct? 17 A. Yes, and this was rejected. 18 Q. All right, but you thought that this was reasonable, correct? 19 correct? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. All right, You where not, at this point in time, envisioning outright debt forgiveness, were you? 22 A. We had to get 5 billion more from the 27.8 to get it down 22.8 and this was a plan that was rejected by the government. 22 A. We had to get 5 billion more from the 27.8 to get it down 24. We ware look in for us? 23 to 22.8. and this was a plan that was rejected by the government. 24 government. 25 Q. Okay. And I just would like to take a look have you and that for us? 26 A. Yes. 1 says "creditor groups, including the U.S. 27 Q. Well, let me ask you about hand, lenders and the UAW/VEBA". 28 having discussions the third lien lenders said no way, we're not going to provide taxpayer money and not get paid back. 10 Q. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction 11 we're currently talking about, aren't they forgiving 8.5   28 billion dollars of cleb? 29 A. Not a penny? 30 A. Not a penny? 40 Q. Okay. Not a penny? 41 Q. Okay. Not a penny? 41 A. Ot apenny. It would mean that the VEBA would be cut in half; the half, which means that basically it may not be solvent in its ability to take care of health care costs for its membership, on considering this proposal? 41 And then the last, obviously, the third area would have been a conscion on the part of the first lien lenders. 42 Q. Did you ever get than DOE loan, by the way? 43 A. The DOE loan, the twenty-live of the fifty million dollars in debt that you believe the company was worth more than that? 44 Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir? 45 A. No, it's not due yet. 46 Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir? 47 A. We we		-		·
and debt service relief from above creditor groups"—  A. Yes.  Q. With billion"?  A. Yes.  12				
12 Our debt structure down. 13 Q. "five billion"? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Okay. So your target was to get the debt down to 22.8 16 billion, is that correct? 17 A. Yes, and this was rejected. 18 Q. All right, but you thought that this was reasonable, 19 correct? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. All right. You believe 22 A. We had to get 5 billion more from the 27.8 to get it down 22.8 and this was a plan that was rejected by the 23 government. 25 Q. Okay. And I just would like to take a look have you 26 you read that for us? 27 you read that for us? 28 A. Yes. It says "creditor groups, including the U.S. 29 Q. Does that mean that you were looking for this five billion 29 dollars of febt; from those three groups? 20 Q. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction 20 Q. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction 21 we're currently talking about, aren't they forgiving 8.5 22 ha. No, be any part of the this proposal? 23 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 24 Q. Okay. Not a penny? 25 A. No a penny? 26 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 27 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 28 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 29 Q. Didy ou ever get that DOE loan, by the way? 20 A. They are now. We have turned in requests of about eight 21 A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars 22 was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight 23 billion. 24 Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, by the way? 25 A. No, it's not due yet. 26 A. No, it's not due yet. 27 Correct. 28 A. We were -a negative equity balance sheet -in the current everience their debt under one of these allematives, is that correct? 29 Current. 29 A. We were looking to ompensate that five billion of eighter with common stock, preferred stock, some sort of debtors. 29 A. That's correct. 29 A. That's correct. 30 A. Tres, it says 'creditor groups, including the U.S. 41 A. The year now. At the time, the answer was no. 42 Q. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction 43 A. Yes. 44 C. A				•
13 Q "five billion"? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Okay. So your target was to get the debt down to 22.8 16 billion, is that correct? 17 A. Yes, and this was rejected. 18 Q. All right, but you thought that this was reasonable, 19 correct? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. All right, You believe 22 A. We had to get 5 billion more from the 27.8 to get it down 23 to 22.8, and this was aplan that was rejected by the 24 government. 25 Q. Okay. And I just would like to take a look have you 26 you read that for us? 27 A. We were looking for any combination of, and when we were 28 having discussions the third lien lenders said no way, we're 29 not going to provide taxpayer money and not get paid back. 21 billion dollars of debt? 22 billion dollars of debt? 23 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 24 Q. Okay. Not a penny? 25 A. Not a penny? It would mean that the VEBA would be cut in half, which means that basically it may not be solvent in its billior, to a penny? 26 A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty limilion dollars 27 was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight 28 was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight 29 Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, by the way? 20 A. No, it's not due yet. 21 Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir? 22 A. We were none-sund not pent of the company certainly vould and the cut in billion dollars or debt? 29 A. No penny? It would mean that the VEBA would be cut in its billion dollars of debt? 29 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 30 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 31 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 32 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 33 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 34 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 35 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 36 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 37 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 38 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 49 C. And then the last, obviously, the third area would have been a				
14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Okay. So your target was to get the debt down to 22.8   16 billion, is that correct? 17 A. Yes, and this was rejected. 18 Q. All right, but you thought that this was reasonable, 19 correct? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. All right, You believe 22 A. We had to get 5 billion more from the 27.8 to get it down 23 to 22.8, and this was a plan that was rejected by the 24 government. 25 Q. Okay. And I just would like to take a look have you 26 you read that for us? 27 A. Yes. It says "creditor groups, including the U.S. 28 Qovernment, the first lien bank lenders and the UAW/VEBA*. 29 Q. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction of you were looking for provide taxpayer money and not get paid back. 29 not going to provide taxpayer money and not get paid back. 20 Q. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction were recurrently talking about, aren't they forgiving 8.5 10 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 11 We're currently talking about, aren't they forgiving 8.5 12 billion dollars of debt? 13 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 14 Q. Okay. Not a penny? 15 A. Not a penny. It would mean that the VEBA would be cut in half, which means that basically it may not be solvent in its ability to take care of health care costs for its membership. 18 And then the last, obviously, the third area would have been a concession on the part of the first lien lenders. 29 Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way? 20 Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way? 21 A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars? 22 was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight 25 A. No, it's not due yet. 21 A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars 25 A. No, it's not due yet.				
15 Q. Okay. So your target was to get the debt down to 22.8 15 billion, is that correct?  16 A. Yes, and this was rejected.  18 Q. All right, but you thought that this was reasonable, correct?  20 A. Yes.  21 Q. All right, You were not, at this point in time, envisioning outright debt forgiveness, were you?  22 A. We had to get 5 billion more from the 27.8 to get it down to 22.8 to 22.8, and this was a plan that was rejected by the government.  23 to 22.8, and this was a plan that was rejected by the government.  24 government.  25 Q. Okay. And I just would like to take a look — have you and that for us?  31 A. Yes. It says "creditor groups, including the U.S. government, the first lien bank lenders and the UAW/VEBA".  4 government, the first lien bank lenders and the UAW/VEBA".  5 Q. Does that mean that you were looking for this five billion dollars of relief from those three groups?  A. We were looking for any combination of, and when we were having discussions the third lien lenders said no way, we're not going to provide taxpayer money and not get paid back.  Q. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction we're currently talking about, aren't they forgiving 8.5 abillion dollars of debt?  A. Not a penny. It would mean that the VEBA would be cut in half, which means that basically it may not be solvent in its ability to take care of health care costs for its membership.  And then the last, obviously, the third area would have been a concession on the part of the first lien lenders.  20 Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way?  A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight billion.  21 A. No, it's not due yet.				
16 billion, is that correct?				-
17 A. Yes, and this was rejected. 28 Q. All right, but you thought that this was reasonable, correct? 29 A. Yes. 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. All right. You were not, at this point in time, envisioning outright debt forgiveness, were you? 22 A. We had to get 5 billion more from the 27.8 to get it down at the 22.8, and this was a plan that was rejected by the government. 25 Q. Okay. And I just would like to take a look – have you at the a look at note 2 down at the bottom of the page. Could you read that for us? 31 A. Yes. It says "creditor groups, including the U.S. 4 government, the first lien bank lenders and the UAW/VEBA". 5 Q. Does that mean that you were looking for this five billion dollars of relief from those three groups? 4 A. We were looking for any combination of, and when we were having discussions the third lien lenders said no way, we're not going to provide taxpayer money and not get paid back. Q. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction of dollars of debt? 3 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 4 Q. Okay. Not a penny? 5 A. Not a penny. It would mean that the VEBA would be cut in half, which means that basically it may not be solvent in its ability to take care of health care costs for is membership. 5 And then the last, obviously, the third area would have been a concession on the part of the first lien lenders. 5 Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way? 6 A. No, it's not due yet. 6 A. No, it's not due yet. 7 A. No, it's not due yet. 8 A. No, it's not due yet. 8 A. No, it's not due yet. 8 A. No, it's not due yet. 9 A. No, it's not due yet.				
18 Q. All right, but you thought that this was reasonable, correct? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. All right. You believe 22 A. We had to get 5 billion more from the 27.8 to get it down to 22.8, and this was a plan that was rejected by the government. 23 to 22.8, and this was a plan that was rejected by the government. 24 government, the first lien bank lenders and the UAW/VEBA*. 25 Q. Okay. And I just would like to take a look have you you read that for us? 31 A. Yes. It says "creditor groups, including the U.S. 4 government, the first lien bank lenders and the UAW/VEBA*. 5 Q. Does that mean that you were looking for this five billion dollars of relief from those three groups? 4 A. We were looking for any combination of, and when we were having discussions the third lien lenders said no way, we're not going to provide taxpayer money and not get paid back. 4 Q. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction we're currently talking about, aren't they forgiving 8.5 billion dollars of debt? 4 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 4 Q. Okay. Not a penny? 5 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 5 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 6 A. Not a penny. It would mean that the VEBA would be cut in half, which means that basically it may not be solvent in its ability to take care of health care costs for its membership. 6 And then the last, obviously, the third area would have been a concession on the part of the first lien lenders. 7 Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way? 8 A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight billion. 8 A. No, it's not due yet. 8 Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir? 9 A. No, it's not due yet. 9 C. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir? 9 A. No, it's not due yet. 9 C. All right. You were not, at this point in time, envisioning outright debt or cast, so that it obeliant point in time, envisioning outright debt for give debtors on the part of the first lien lender. 9 A. Yes. These were		·		
19 correct? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. All right. You believe 22 A. We had to get 5 billion more from the 27.8 to get it down to 22.8, and this was a plan that was rejected by the government. 23 to 22.8, and this was a plan that was rejected by the government. 24 government. 25 Q. Okay. And I just would like to take a look have you and that for us? 26 A. Yes. It says "creditor groups, including the U.S. government, the first lien bank lenders and the UAW/VEBA". 27 A. We were looking to compensate that five billion either with common stock, preferred stock, some sort of 316  28 A. Yes. It says "creditor groups, including the U.S. government, the first lien bank lenders and the UAW/VEBA". 29 A. We were looking for any combination of, and when we were having discussions the third lien lenders said no way, we're not going to provide taxpayer money and not get paid back. 29 Q. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction we're currently talking about, aren't they forgiving 8.5 billion dollars of debt? 20 Q. Okay. Not a penny? 21 A. The yer now. At the time, the answer was no. 22 Q. Okay. Not a penny? 23 A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight billion. 25 Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way? 26 A. No, we were looking to get five billion dollars of ebt? 27 A. And, again, this was rejected. 38 A. Yes. These were some alternatives as to how we might get this proposal? 49 A. Yes. 40 A. Yes. 41 C. And it's fair to say that the board met and considered this proposal? 40 A. Yes. 41 Q. And it's fair to say that if you believe that the company can service 22.8 billion dollars in debt that you believe the company can service 22.8 billion dollars in debt that you believe the company was worn more than that? 41 A. No. 42 Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, by the way? 42 A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight billion.		·		
20 A. Yes. 21 Q. All right. You believe 22 A. We had to get 5 billion more from the 27.8 to get it down to 22.8, and this was a plan that was rejected by the government. 23 Q. Okay. And I just would like to take a look have you 314  1 take a look at note 2 down at the bottom of the page. Could you read that for us? 2 A. Yes. It says "creditor groups, including the U.S. government, the first lien bank lenders and the UAW/VEBA". 3 A. Yes. It says "creditor groups, including the U.S. government, the first lien bank lenders and the UAW/VEBA". 4 government, the first lien bank lenders and the UAW/VEBA". 5 Q. Does that mean that you were looking for this five billion dollars of relief from those three groups? 4 A. We were looking for any combination of, and when we were having discussions the third lien lenders said no way, we're not going to provide taxpayer money and not get paid back. Q. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction we're currently talking about, aren't they forgiving 8.5 2 billion dollars of debt? 3 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. Q. Okay. Not a penny? 15 A. Not a penny. It would mean that the VEBA would be cut in half, which means that basically it may not be solvent in its ability to take care of health care costs for its membership. A And then the last, obviously, the third area would have been a concession on the part of the first lien lenders. Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way? 1 A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight billion. 2 Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir? 2 A. From any of these relegotes was no. A. No, we were a negative equity balance sheet? 3 A. No, we were a negative equity balance sheet? 4 A. Rotay balance sheet in the current environment, the value of the company certainly would not have been commensurate with that.				-
21 Q. All right. You believe 22 A. We had to get 5 billion more from the 27.8 to get it down 23 to 22.8, and this was a plan that was rejected by the 24 government. 25 Q. Okay. And I just would like to take a look have you 26 314  1 take a look at note 2 down at the bottom of the page. Could 27 you read that for us? 28 A. Yes. It says 'creditor groups, including the U.S. 29 Qosernment, the first lien lenders and the UAW/VEBA''. 29 Qo. Does that mean that you were looking for this five billion 29 dollars of relief from those three groups? 20 A. We were looking for any combination of, and when we were 29 not going to provide taxpayer money and not get paid back. 20 Q. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction 21 we're currently talking about, aren't they forgiving 8.5 21 billion dollars of debt? 22 dollars of ebt? 23 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 24 Q. Okay. Not a penny? 25 A. No, we were looking to get five billion from that block of debtors. 26 debtors. 27 A. No, we were looking to got provide to compensate that five billion get have you either with common stock, preferred stock, some sort of 316  28 A. Tak's correct. 39 A. A. Yes. It says 'creditor groups, including the U.S. 40 A. And, again, this was rejected. 41 A. And, and, again, this was rejected. 42 Q. But this was what you recommended? 43 A. Yes. These were some alternatives as to how we might get there. 44 Q. And it's fair to say that the board met and considered this proposal? 45 A. We presented this deck to the board. 46 A. Yes. 47 A. We presented this deck to the board. 48 Q. And the board approved it? 49 A. Okay. 40 And the he last, obviously, the third area would have been a connession on the part of the first lien lenders. 40 Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, by the way? 41 A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight billion. 41 Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir? 42 Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir? 43 A. We were a negative				
22 A. We had to get 5 billion more from the 27.8 to get it down to 22.8, and this was a plan that was rejected by the government.  24 government.  25 Q. Okay. And I just would like to take a look have you and that for us?  26 A. Yes. It says "creditor groups, including the U.S.  27 d. You read that for us?  28 A. Yes. It says "creditor groups, including the U.S.  29 Q. Does that mean that you were looking for this five billion dollars of relief from those three groups?  20 A. Yes. It says "creditor groups, including the U.S.  31 d. We were looking for any combination of, and when we were having discussions the third lien lenders said no way, we're not going to provide taxpayer money and not get paid back.  20 Q. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction we're currently talking about, aren't they forgiving 8.5  21 billion dollars of debt?  22 A. That's correct.  3 Q. All right.  4 A. And, again, this was rejected.  5 Q. But this was what you recommended?  6 A. Yes. These were some alternatives as to how we might get the there.  8 Q. And it's fair to say that the board met and considered this proposal?  10 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no.  11 Q. Okay. Not a penny?  12 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no.  13 A. We presented this deck to the board.  14 Q. Okay. Not a penny?  15 A. Not a penny. It would mean that the VEBA would be cut in the half, which means that basically it may not be solvent in its ability to take care of health care costs for its membership.  18 And then the last, obviously, the third area would have been a concession on the part of the first lien lenders.  19 Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way?  20 Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way?  21 A. Tho DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight billion.  22 Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir?  33 A. We were a negative equity balance sheet?  34 A. No, it's not due yet.				•
take a look at note 2 down at the bottom of the page. Could you read that for us?  A. Yes. It says "creditor groups, including the U.S. government, the first lien bank lenders and the UAW/VEBA".  A. We were looking for any combination of, and when we were having discussions the third lien lenders said no way, we're not going to provide taxpayer money and not get paid back.  Q. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction we're currently talking about, aren't they forgiving 8.5  A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. Q. Okay. Not a penny? A. Not a penny. It would mean that the VEBA would be cut in half, which means that basically it may not be solvent in its ability to take care of health care costs for its membership. A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight billion.  23 debtors. 24 Q. And you were looking to compensate that five billion either with common stock, preferred stock, some sort of 316  314				
24 government. 25 Q. Okay. And I just would like to take a look have you 314  1 take a look at note 2 down at the bottom of the page. Could you read that for us? 2 you read that for us? 3 A. Yes. It says "creditor groups, including the U.S. 4 government, the first lien bank lenders and the UAW/VEBA". 5 Q. Does that mean that you were looking for this five billion dollars of relief from those three groups? 7 A. We were looking for any combination of, and when we were having discussions the third lien lenders said no way, we're not going to provide taxpayer money and not get paid back. 10 Q. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction we're currently talking about, aren't they forgiving 8.5 billion dollars of debt? 1 A. Not a penny? 1 A. Not a penny? 1 A. Not a penny? 1 I would mean that the VEBA would be cut in half, which means that basically it may not be solvent in its ability to take care of health care costs for its membership, concession on the part of the first lien lenders. 2 Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way? 2 A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight billion. 2 Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir? 3 A. No, it's not due yet.  2 A. A. And, again, this was rejected. 3 A. A. And, again, this was rejected. 4 A. And, again, this was rejected. 5 Q. But this was what you recommended? 6 A. Yes. These were some alternatives as to how we might get there. 8 Q. And it's fair to say that the board met and considered this proposal? 9 there. 9 A. Yes. 11 Q. And it engaged in a very careful process, I'm sure, in considering this proposal? 12 considering this proposal? 13 A. We presented this deck to the board. 14 Q. And the board approved it? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. All right. 17 A. Okay. 18 Q. Now, is it fair to say that if you believe that the company can service 22.8 billion dollars in debt that you believe the company was worth more than that? 19 Q. Vou were proposing a negative equity balance sheet? 20 Q. Did you ever				
25 Q. Okay. And I just would like to take a look have you 314  1 take a look at note 2 down at the bottom of the page. Could you read that for us?  2 A. Yes. It says "creditor groups, including the U.S. 4 government, the first lien bank lenders and the UAW/VEBA". 5 Q. Does that mean that you were looking for this five billion dollars of relief from those three groups?  A. We were looking for any combination of, and when we were having discussions the third lien lenders said no way, we're not going to provide taxpayer money and not get paid back. Q. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction we're currently talking about, aren't they forgiving 8.5  10 Q. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction we're currently talking about, aren't they forgiving 8.5  11 do A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no.  12 do Okay. Not a penny?  13 A. Not a penny. It would mean that the VEBA would be cut in half, which means that basically it may not be solvent in its ability to take care of health care costs for its membership. And then the last, obviously, the third area would have been a concession on the part of the first lien lenders.  Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way?  A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars of about eight billion.  20 Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir?  21 A. No, it's not due yet.  22 billion.  23 d. All right.  4 A. And, again, this was rejected.  4 A. Yes. These were some alternatives as to how we might get there.  5 Q. And it's fair to say that the board met and considered this proposal?  10 A. Yes.  11 Q. And it engaged in a very careful process, I'm sure, in considering this proposal?  12 considering this proposal?  13 A. We presented this deck to the board.  14 Q. Not it engaged in a very careful process, I'm sure, in considering this proposal?  15 A. Yes.  16 Q. And it engaged in a very careful process, I'm sure, in considering this proposal?  16 A. Yes.  17 A. Okay.  18 Q. Nokay.  19 C. Nokay.  20 Nokay.  21 A. Nokay.  22 Vou w				
1 take a look at note 2 down at the bottom of the page. Could 2 you read that for us? 3 A. Yes. It says "creditor groups, including the U.S. 4 government, the first lien bank lenders and the UAW/VEBA". 5 Q. Does that mean that you were looking for this five billion 6 dollars of relief from those three groups? 7 A. We were looking for any combination of, and when we were 8 having discussions the third lien lenders said no way, we're 9 not going to provide taxpayer money and not get paid back. 10 Q. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction 11 we're currently talking about, aren't they forgiving 8.5 11 we're currently talking about, aren't they forgiving 8.5 12 billion dollars of debt? 13 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 14 Q. Okay. Not a penny? 15 A. Not a penny. It would mean that the VEBA would be cut in half, which means that basically it may not be solvent in its 16 half, which means that basically it may not be solvent in its 17 ability to take care of health care costs for its membership. 18 And then the last, obviously, the third area would have been a concession on the part of the first lien lenders. 19 C. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way? 20 Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way? 21 A. That's correct. 22 A. That's correct. 3 Q. All right. 4 A. And, again, this was rejected. 5 Q. But this was what you recommended? 6 A. Yes. These were some alternatives as to how we might get there. 8 Q. And it's fair to say that the board met and considered this proposal? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. And it engaged in a very careful process, I'm sure, in considering this proposal? 11 a. A. We presented this deck to the board. 12 Q. And the board approved it? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. And the board approved it? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. All right. Let's go to page 54. 17 A. Okay. 18 Q. Now, is it fair to say that if you believe that the company can service 22.8 billion dollars in debt that you believe the company was worth more than that? 22 Was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight billion. 23	25		25	
you read that for us?  A. Yes. It says "creditor groups, including the U.S.  government, the first lien bank lenders and the UAW/VEBA".  O. Does that mean that you were looking for this five billion  dollars of relief from those three groups?  A. We were looking for any combination of, and when we were  having discussions the third lien lenders said no way, we're  not going to provide taxpayer money and not get paid back.  O. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction  we're currently talking about, aren't they forgiving 8.5  billion dollars of debt?  A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no.  Q. Okay. Not a penny. It would mean that the VEBA would be cut in  half, which means that basically it may not be solvent in its  ability to take care of health care costs for its membership.  And then the last, obviously, the third area would have been a  concession on the part of the first lien lenders.  Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way?  A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight  D. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir?  A. No, it's not due yet.  A. That's correct.  A. And, again, this was rejected.  A. Ac And, again, this was rejected.  A. And, again, this was rejected.  A. And, again, this was rejected.  A. A Cand the board an				316
you read that for us?  A. Yes. It says "creditor groups, including the U.S.  government, the first lien bank lenders and the UAW/VEBA".  O. Does that mean that you were looking for this five billion  dollars of relief from those three groups?  A. We were looking for any combination of, and when we were  having discussions the third lien lenders said no way, we're  not going to provide taxpayer money and not get paid back.  O. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction  we're currently talking about, aren't they forgiving 8.5  billion dollars of debt?  A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no.  Q. Okay. Not a penny. It would mean that the VEBA would be cut in  half, which means that basically it may not be solvent in its  ability to take care of health care costs for its membership.  And then the last, obviously, the third area would have been a  concession on the part of the first lien lenders.  Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way?  A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight  D. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir?  A. No, it's not due yet.  A. That's correct.  A. And, again, this was rejected.  A. Ac And, again, this was rejected.  A. And, again, this was rejected.  A. And, again, this was rejected.  A. A Cand the board an				
A. Yes. It says "creditor groups, including the U.S.  government, the first lien bank lenders and the UAW/VEBA".  Q. Does that mean that you were looking for this five billion dollars of relief from those three groups?  A. We were looking for any combination of, and when we were having discussions the third lien lenders said no way, we're not going to provide taxpayer money and not get paid back.  Q. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction we're currently talking about, aren't they forgiving 8.5 billion dollars of debt?  A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no.  Q. Okay. Not a penny?  A. Not a penny. It would mean that the VEBA would be cut in half, which means that basically it may not be solvent in its ability to take care of health care costs for its membership.  And then the last, obviously, the third area would have been a concession on the part of the first lien lenders.  Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way?  A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight billion.  A. No, it's not due yet.		^ ~		
4 government, the first lien bank lenders and the UAW/VEBA". 5 Q. Does that mean that you were looking for this five billion dollars of relief from those three groups? 6 A. We were looking for any combination of, and when we were having discussions the third lien lenders said no way, we're not going to provide taxpayer money and not get paid back. 6 Q. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction we're currently talking about, aren't they forgiving 8.5 6 A. Yes. These were some alternatives as to how we might get there. 8 Q. And it's fair to say that the board met and considered this proposal? 9 this proposal? 10 A. Yes. 11 We're currently talking about, aren't they forgiving 8.5 11 Q. And it engaged in a very careful process, I'm sure, in considering this proposal? 12 considering this proposal? 13 A. We presented this deck to the board. 14 Q. Okay. Not a penny? 15 A. Not a penny? 16 half, which means that basically it may not be solvent in its ability to take care of health care costs for its membership. 17 And then the last, obviously, the third area would have been a concession on the part of the first lien lenders. 18 Q. Now, is it fair to say that the board met and considered this proposal? 19 A. Yes. 10 Q. And it engaged in a very careful process, I'm sure, in considering this proposal? 10 A. We presented this deck to the board. 11 Q. And the board approved it? 12 Q. All right. Let's go to page 54. 13 A. Okay. 14 Q. Now, is it fair to say that if you believe that the company conservice 22.8 billion dollars in debt that you believe the company was worth more than that? 11 A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight billion. 12 Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir? 13 A. We were — a negative equity balance sheet — in the current environment, the value of the company certainly would not have been commensurate with that.		•		
5 Q. Does that mean that you were looking for this five billion 6 dollars of relief from those three groups? 6 A. We were looking for any combination of, and when we were 8 having discussions the third lien lenders said no way, we're 9 not going to provide taxpayer money and not get paid back. 10 Q. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction 11 we're currently talking about, aren't they forgiving 8.5 12 billion dollars of debt? 13 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 14 Q. Okay. Not a penny? 15 A. Not a penny. It would mean that the VEBA would be cut in half, which means that basically it may not be solvent in its 16 ability to take care of health care costs for its membership. 17 ability to take care of health care would have been a concession on the part of the first lien lenders. 19 Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way? 20 Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way? 21 A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight 25 A. No, it's not due yet.  5 Q. But this was what you recommended?  6 A. Yes. These were some alternatives as to how we might get there.  8 Q. And it's fair to say that the board met and considered this proposal?  10 A. Yes.  11 Q. And it engaged in a very careful process, I'm sure, in considering this proposal?  12 considering this proposal?  13 A. We presented this deck to the board.  14 Q. And the board approved it?  15 A. Yes.  16 Q. All right. Let's go to page 54.  17 A. Okay.  18 Q. Now, is it fair to say that if you believe that the company can service 22.8 billion dollars in debt that you believe the company was worth more than that?  21 A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars  22 Q. You were proposing a negative equity balance sheet?  23 billion.  24 Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir?  25 A. We were a negative equity balance sheet in the current environment, the value of the company certainly would not have been commensurate with that.				
dollars of relief from those three groups?  A. We were looking for any combination of, and when we were having discussions the third lien lenders said no way, we're not going to provide taxpayer money and not get paid back.  Q. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction we're currently talking about, aren't they forgiving 8.5  A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no.  Q. Okay. Not a penny?  A. Not a penny. It would mean that the VEBA would be cut in half, which means that basically it may not be solvent in its ability to take care of health care costs for its membership.  And then the last, obviously, the third area would have been a concession on the part of the first lien lenders.  Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way?  A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir?  A. No, it's not due yet.  A. We were some alternatives as to how we might get there.  A. Yes. These were some alternatives as to how we might get there.  A. Yes. These were some alternatives as to how we might get there.  A. Yes.  A. Yes.  A. Yes.  10 A. Yes.  11 Q. And it engaged in a very careful process, I'm sure, in considering this proposal?  12 considering this proposal?  13 A. We presented this deck to the board.  14 Q. And the board approved it?  15 A. Yes.  16 Q. All right. Let's go to page 54.  17 A. Okay.  18 Q. Now, is it fair to say that if you believe that the company can service 22.8 billion dollars in debt that you believe the company was worth more than that?  20 A. You were proposing a negative equity balance sheet?  21 A. No.  22 Wa were a negative equity balance sheet?  23 A. We were a negative equity balance sheet in the current environment, the value of the company certainly would not have been commensurate with that.				-
A. We were looking for any combination of, and when we were having discussions the third lien lenders said no way, we're not going to provide taxpayer money and not get paid back.  Q. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction we're currently talking about, aren't they forgiving 8.5  Let billion dollars of debt?  A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no.  A. Not a penny. It would mean that the VEBA would be cut in half, which means that basically it may not be solvent in its half, which means that basically it may not be solvent in its half to take care of health care costs for its membership. And then the last, obviously, the third area would have been a concession on the part of the first lien lenders.  Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way?  A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight  A. No, it's not due yet.  A. No, it's not due yet.  There.  R. Q. And it's fair to say that the board met and considered this proposal?  A. Yes.  Let's go to page 54.  A. Okay.  A. Okay.  A. Okay.  A. No.  B. Q. And the board approved it?  A. Okay.  A. No.  B. Q. Now, is it fair to say that if you believe that the company can service 22.8 billion dollars in debt that you believe the company was worth more than that?  A. No.  A. No.  A. We were a negative equity balance sheet?  A. We were a negative equity balance sheet?  A. We were a negative equity balance sheet in the current environment, the value of the company certainly would not have been commensurate with that.				·
having discussions the third lien lenders said no way, we're not going to provide taxpayer money and not get paid back.  Q. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction we're currently talking about, aren't they forgiving 8.5  A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no.  Q. Okay. Not a penny?  A. Not a penny. It would mean that the VEBA would be cut in half, which means that basically it may not be solvent in its ability to take care of health care costs for its membership. And then the last, obviously, the third area would have been a concession on the part of the first lien lenders.  Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way?  A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight  Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir?  A. No, it's not due yet.  A. No, it's not due yet.  B. Q. And it's fair to say that the board met and considered this frair to say that the board met and considered this proposal?  A. Yes.  Q. And it engaged in a very careful process, I'm sure, in considering this proposal?  A. Yes.  12 considering this proposal?  A. We presented this deck to the board.  A. We present				
9 not going to provide taxpayer money and not get paid back. 10 Q. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction 11 we're currently talking about, aren't they forgiving 8.5 11 Q. And it engaged in a very careful process, I'm sure, in 12 billion dollars of debt? 12 considering this proposal? 13 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 14 Q. Okay. Not a penny? 15 A. Not a penny. It would mean that the VEBA would be cut in 16 half, which means that basically it may not be solvent in its 17 ability to take care of health care costs for its membership. 18 And then the last, obviously, the third area would have been a 19 concession on the part of the first lien lenders. 19 Q. Now, is it fair to say that if you believe that the 19 concession on the part of the first lien lenders. 20 Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way? 21 A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars 22 was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight 23 billion. 24 Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir? 25 A. No, it's not due yet. 26 this proposal? 27 A. Yes. 28 this proposal? 29 A. We were a negative equity balance sheet in the 29 current environment, the value of the company certainly would 29 not have been commensurate with that.				
10 Q. Well, let me ask you about that. Under the transaction 11 we're currently talking about, aren't they forgiving 8.5 11 Q. And it engaged in a very careful process, I'm sure, in 12 billion dollars of debt? 13 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no. 14 Q. Okay. Not a penny? 15 A. Not a penny. It would mean that the VEBA would be cut in 16 half, which means that basically it may not be solvent in its 17 ability to take care of health care costs for its membership. 18 And then the last, obviously, the third area would have been a 19 concession on the part of the first lien lenders. 10 Q. And the board approved it? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. And the board approved it? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. And the board approved it? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. All right. Let's go to page 54. 17 A. Okay. 18 Q. Now, is it fair to say that if you believe that the 19 company can service 22.8 billion dollars in debt that you 20 believe the company was worth more than that? 21 A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars 22 was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight 23 billion. 24 Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir? 25 A. No, it's not due yet. 26 Can dit engaged in a very careful process, I'm sure, in 27 Considering this proposal? 28 A. We presented this deck to the board. 29 A. We presented this deck to the board. 20 A. We presented this deck to the board. 21 A. Yes. 22 A. We were set the DoE loan, sir? 23 A. We were a negative equity balance sheet in the 24 Current environment, the value of the company certainly would 25 A. No, it's not due yet.		•		•
we're currently talking about, aren't they forgiving 8.5  11 Q. And it engaged in a very careful process, I'm sure, in  12 billion dollars of debt?  13 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no.  14 Q. Okay. Not a penny?  15 A. Not a penny. It would mean that the VEBA would be cut in  16 half, which means that basically it may not be solvent in its  17 ability to take care of health care costs for its membership.  18 And then the last, obviously, the third area would have been a  19 concession on the part of the first lien lenders.  10 Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way?  21 A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars  22 was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight  23 billion.  24 Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir?  25 A. No, it's not due yet.  11 Q. And it engaged in a very careful process, I'm sure, in  26 considering this proposal?  27 A. We presented this deck to the board.  28 A. We presented this deck to the board.  29 A. We presented this deck to the board.  20 A. We presented this deck to the board.  20 A. We presented this deck to the board.  21 A. We presented this deck to the board.  29 A. We presented this deck to the board.  20 A. We presented this deck to the board.  21 A. Yes.  22 A. Yes.  23 billion dollars in debt that you believe that the  24 C. Now, is it fair to say that if you believe that the  25 A. No.  26 Wow, is it fair to say that if you believe that the  27 company can service 22.8 billion dollars in debt that you  28 believe the company was worth more than that?  29 Pou were proposing a negative equity balance sheet?  20 Poid you ever get the DOE loan, sir?  21 A. We were a negative equity balance sheet in the  22 current environment, the value of the company certainly would  29 not have been commensurate with that.				• •
billion dollars of debt?  12 considering this proposal?  13 A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no.  14 Q. Okay. Not a penny?  15 A. Not a penny. It would mean that the VEBA would be cut in half, which means that basically it may not be solvent in its  16 half, which means that basically it may not be solvent in its  17 ability to take care of health care costs for its membership.  18 And then the last, obviously, the third area would have been a concession on the part of the first lien lenders.  19 concession on the part of the first lien lenders.  10 Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way?  20 A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars  21 A. No.  22 was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight  23 billion.  24 Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir?  25 A. No, it's not due yet.  26 considering this proposal?  A. We presented this deck to the board.  A. We yes.  14 Q. And the board approved it?  A. Yes.  15 A. Yes.  16 Q. All right. Let's go to page 54.  A. Okay.  18 Q. Now, is it fair to say that if you believe that the company can service 22.8 billion dollars in debt that you believe the company was worth more than that?  20 believe the company was worth more than that?  21 A. No.  22 Q. You were proposing a negative equity balance sheet?  23 A. We were a negative equity balance sheet in the current environment, the value of the company certainly would not have been commensurate with that.				
A. They are now. At the time, the answer was no.  Q. Okay. Not a penny?  A. Not a penny. It would mean that the VEBA would be cut in half, which means that basically it may not be solvent in its ability to take care of health care costs for its membership.  And then the last, obviously, the third area would have been a concession on the part of the first lien lenders.  Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way?  A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight  Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir?  A. We presented this deck to the board.  A. We presented this deck to the board.  A. Yes.  Q. All right. Let's go to page 54.  A. Okay.  Q. Now, is it fair to say that if you believe that the company can service 22.8 billion dollars in debt that you believe the company was worth more than that?  A. No.  20 Poid you ever get that DOE loan, sir?  A. We were a negative equity balance sheet?  A. We were a negative equity balance sheet in the current environment, the value of the company certainly would not have been commensurate with that.				
Q. Okay. Not a penny?  A. Not a penny. It would mean that the VEBA would be cut in half, which means that basically it may not be solvent in its ability to take care of health care costs for its membership.  And then the last, obviously, the third area would have been a concession on the part of the first lien lenders.  Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way?  A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight billion.  Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir?  A. No, it's not due yet.  And the board approved it?  A. Yes.  A. Yes.  A. Yes.  A. Okay.  Q. Now, is it fair to say that if you believe that the company can service 22.8 billion dollars in debt that you believe the company was worth more than that?  A. No.  Q. You were proposing a negative equity balance sheet?  A. We were a negative equity balance sheet in the current environment, the value of the company certainly would not have been commensurate with that.				• •
A. Not a penny. It would mean that the VEBA would be cut in half, which means that basically it may not be solvent in its ability to take care of health care costs for its membership.  And then the last, obviously, the third area would have been a concession on the part of the first lien lenders.  Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way?  A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir?  A. We were a negative equity balance sheet in the current environment, the value of the company certainly would not have been commensurate with that.				
half, which means that basically it may not be solvent in its ability to take care of health care costs for its membership.  And then the last, obviously, the third area would have been a concession on the part of the first lien lenders.  Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way?  A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight billion.  A. We were a negative equity balance sheet in the current environment, the value of the company certainly would not half, which means that basically it may not be solvent in its  A. Okay.  Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way?  A. No.  20 believe the company was worth more than that?  A. No.  21 A. No.  22 Q. You were proposing a negative equity balance sheet?  A. We were a negative equity balance sheet in the current environment, the value of the company certainly would not have been commensurate with that.				
ability to take care of health care costs for its membership.  And then the last, obviously, the third area would have been a concession on the part of the first lien lenders.  Q. Now, is it fair to say that if you believe that the company can service 22.8 billion dollars in debt that you believe the company was worth more than that?  A. No.  A. No.  A. No.  You was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight billion.  A. We were a negative equity balance sheet in the current environment, the value of the company certainly would not have been commensurate with that.		* *		
And then the last, obviously, the third area would have been a concession on the part of the first lien lenders.  Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way?  A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight billion.  21 A. We were a negative equity balance sheet?  A. No.  22 Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir?  A. We were a negative equity balance sheet in the current environment, the value of the company certainly would not have been commensurate with that.				
concession on the part of the first lien lenders.  Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way?  A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars  was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight  billion.  Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir?  A. We were a negative equity balance sheet in the  current environment, the value of the company certainly would  not have been commensurate with that.		*		•
Q. Did you ever get that DOE loan, by the way?  A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars  was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight  billion.  Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir?  A. No.  22 Q. You were proposing a negative equity balance sheet?  A. We were a negative equity balance sheet in the  current environment, the value of the company certainly would  not have been commensurate with that.		•		• •
A. The DOE loan, the twenty-five of the fifty million dollars  21 A. No.  22 was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight  23 billion.  24 Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir?  25 A. No, it's not due yet.  26 A. No it's not due yet.  27 A. No.  28 Q. You were proposing a negative equity balance sheet?  29 A. We were a negative equity balance sheet in the  20 current environment, the value of the company certainly would  20 not have been commensurate with that.	20	<u>*</u>	20	
was approved. We have turned in requests of about eight 2 Q. You were proposing a negative equity balance sheet? 2 A. We were a negative equity balance sheet in the 2 Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir? 2 current environment, the value of the company certainly would 2 not have been commensurate with that.	21		21	A. No.
Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir? 24 current environment, the value of the company certainly would 25 A. No, it's not due yet. 25 not have been commensurate with that.	22		22	Q. You were proposing a negative equity balance sheet?
25 A. No, it's not due yet. 25 not have been commensurate with that.	23	billion.	23	A. We were a negative equity balance sheet in the
	24	Q. Did you ever get the DOE loan, sir?	24	current environment, the value of the company certainly would
315   317	25	A. No, it's not due yet.	25	not have been commensurate with that.
317	1	315		317

1			
1	Q. Well, let me ask you, doesn't page 54 suggest that the	1	Q. So on March 10th you still believed that you had a company
2	terminal value of the enterprise was twenty-eight billion	2	that could service 22.8 billion dollars of debt and had a
3	dollars?	3	terminal equity value of 28 billion dollars, correct?
4	A. Let's see, where are you where do you see that?	4	MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor.
5	Q. If you look at over the on the left-hand side, you see	5	THE COURT: What's your objection?
6	"terminal value" underlined?	6	MR. ARMSTRONG: Foundation. The valuation that he
7	A. Yes, terminal val	7	showed the witness is a 2016 valuation, not a present-day or
8	Q. You see EBITDA at 2016, 5.6?	8	not a February 17th valuation.
9	A. I'm on the wrong page, I guess.	9	MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, it's a target. I don't even
10	Q. Are you on page 54?	10	know what the objection is, much less how to respond to it.
11	A. Yeah, U-54?	11	THE COURT: All right.
12	Q. U-54.	12	MR. ARMSTRONG: Maybe I could help clarify, Your
13	A. 2016. I'm looking at free cash flow of 1.2.	13	Honor?
14	Q. Oh, you're on the right-hand side. If you'd go over to	14	MR. LAURIA: I don't really want a talking objection
15	the left-hand side of the page.	15	from counsel, Your Honor.
16	A. Yes?	16	THE COURT: All right, just a second. What are you
17	Q. Okay? First you see free	17	asking the witness to compare?
18	A. I see the EBITDA 2016	18	MR. LAURIA: I'm asking if on March 10th he was still
19	Q. Right.	19	endorsing the same plan he endorsed on February 17th.
20	A 5.6, multiple	20	THE COURT: All right. That question can be
21	Q. Right.	21	answered.
22	A. Yeah.	22	A. So this letter, as a result of a visit that we had
23	Q. 5.0	23	BY MR. LAURIA:
24	A. Yeah.	24	Q. It's a yes-or-no answer, sir.
25	Q terminal value before debt, twenty-eight billion	25	A. I can't give you a yes or no because
	318		320
1	dollars?	1	Q. You don't know if on March 10th you were endorsing the
2	A. Yes.	2	same plan you were endorsing on February 17th?
3	Q. Okay. So do you agree that this reflected a terminal	3	A. I was not because we changed the assumptions in this
4	value of the enterprise of twenty-eight billion dollars?	4	letter.
5	A. If you assume you could get a five multiple.	5	Q. What did you change?
6	Q. Well, you put the five in here, right?	6	
7		_	A. Well, if you read it you'll see where my concern was that
_	A. Yes, sir, I did.	7	we were being compared on an apples-to-apples basis. The poin
8	Q. So I guess you thought it was reasonable?	8	we were being compared on an apples-to-apples basis. The poin I made in our plan is that we were extremely conservative with
9	<ul><li>Q. So I guess you thought it was reasonable?</li><li>A. I did; they didn't.</li></ul>	8 9	we were being compared on an apples-to-apples basis. The poin I made in our plan is that we were extremely conservative with SAR starting at 10.1 growing to 12.6. And, yet, I was
9 10	<ul><li>Q. So I guess you thought it was reasonable?</li><li>A. I did; they didn't.</li><li>Q. But you did, correct?</li></ul>	8 9 10	we were being compared on an apples-to-apples basis. The poin I made in our plan is that we were extremely conservative with SAR starting at 10.1 growing to 12.6. And, yet, I was competing against another manufacturer that was using a 10.5
9 10 11	<ul><li>Q. So I guess you thought it was reasonable?</li><li>A. I did; they didn't.</li><li>Q. But you did, correct?</li><li>A. Yeah.</li></ul>	8 9 10 11	we were being compared on an apples-to-apples basis. The poin I made in our plan is that we were extremely conservative with SAR starting at 10.1 growing to 12.6. And, yet, I was competing against another manufacturer that was using a 10.5 growing to 16.8 SAR. If I used that SAR in my held all my
9 10 11 12	<ul><li>Q. So I guess you thought it was reasonable?</li><li>A. I did; they didn't.</li><li>Q. But you did, correct?</li><li>A. Yeah.</li><li>Q. And the board did, correct?</li></ul>	8 9 10 11 12	we were being compared on an apples-to-apples basis. The poin I made in our plan is that we were extremely conservative with SAR starting at 10.1 growing to 12.6. And, yet, I was competing against another manufacturer that was using a 10.5 growing to 16.8 SAR. If I used that SAR in my held all my other assumptions, I would generate an additional nine billion
9 10 11 12 13	<ul><li>Q. So I guess you thought it was reasonable?</li><li>A. I did; they didn't.</li><li>Q. But you did, correct?</li><li>A. Yeah.</li><li>Q. And the board did, correct?</li><li>A. Yeah.</li></ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13	we were being compared on an apples-to-apples basis. The poin I made in our plan is that we were extremely conservative with SAR starting at 10.1 growing to 12.6. And, yet, I was competing against another manufacturer that was using a 10.5 growing to 16.8 SAR. If I used that SAR in my held all my other assumptions, I would generate an additional nine billion dollars of cash flow over the six years. So I wanted to be
9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. So I guess you thought it was reasonable?</li> <li>A. I did; they didn't.</li> <li>Q. But you did, correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And the board did, correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And management and the board went through a very careful</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13	we were being compared on an apples-to-apples basis. The poin I made in our plan is that we were extremely conservative with SAR starting at 10.1 growing to 12.6. And, yet, I was competing against another manufacturer that was using a 10.5 growing to 16.8 SAR. If I used that SAR in my held all my other assumptions, I would generate an additional nine billion dollars of cash flow over the six years. So I wanted to be sure, I wanted to make absolutely clear, that we were being
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. So I guess you thought it was reasonable?</li> <li>A. I did; they didn't.</li> <li>Q. But you did, correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And the board did, correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And management and the board went through a very careful thorough process in putting these materials together, correct?</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 , 14	we were being compared on an apples-to-apples basis. The poin I made in our plan is that we were extremely conservative with SAR starting at 10.1 growing to 12.6. And, yet, I was competing against another manufacturer that was using a 10.5 growing to 16.8 SAR. If I used that SAR in my held all my other assumptions, I would generate an additional nine billion dollars of cash flow over the six years. So I wanted to be sure, I wanted to make absolutely clear, that we were being evaluated on a comparative basis and that if the plan you
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. So I guess you thought it was reasonable?</li> <li>A. I did; they didn't.</li> <li>Q. But you did, correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And the board did, correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And management and the board went through a very careful thorough process in putting these materials together, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, we did.</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	we were being compared on an apples-to-apples basis. The poin I made in our plan is that we were extremely conservative with SAR starting at 10.1 growing to 12.6. And, yet, I was competing against another manufacturer that was using a 10.5 growing to 16.8 SAR. If I used that SAR in my held all my other assumptions, I would generate an additional nine billion dollars of cash flow over the six years. So I wanted to be sure, I wanted to make absolutely clear, that we were being evaluated on a comparative basis and that if the plan you referenced, which they turned down because of the cash flow
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. So I guess you thought it was reasonable?</li> <li>A. I did; they didn't.</li> <li>Q. But you did, correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And the board did, correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And management and the board went through a very careful thorough process in putting these materials together, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, we did.</li> <li>Q. All right. Now, on if you could flip with me to tab 6?</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	we were being compared on an apples-to-apples basis. The poin I made in our plan is that we were extremely conservative with SAR starting at 10.1 growing to 12.6. And, yet, I was competing against another manufacturer that was using a 10.5 growing to 16.8 SAR. If I used that SAR in my held all my other assumptions, I would generate an additional nine billion dollars of cash flow over the six years. So I wanted to be sure, I wanted to make absolutely clear, that we were being evaluated on a comparative basis and that if the plan you referenced, which they turned down because of the cash flow basis, not the terminal value but it was really being evaluated
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. So I guess you thought it was reasonable?</li> <li>A. I did; they didn't.</li> <li>Q. But you did, correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And the board did, correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And management and the board went through a very careful thorough process in putting these materials together, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, we did.</li> <li>Q. All right. Now, on if you could flip with me to tab 6?</li> <li>A. Yes?</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	we were being compared on an apples-to-apples basis. The poin I made in our plan is that we were extremely conservative with SAR starting at 10.1 growing to 12.6. And, yet, I was competing against another manufacturer that was using a 10.5 growing to 16.8 SAR. If I used that SAR in my held all my other assumptions, I would generate an additional nine billion dollars of cash flow over the six years. So I wanted to be sure, I wanted to make absolutely clear, that we were being evaluated on a comparative basis and that if the plan you referenced, which they turned down because of the cash flow basis, not the terminal value but it was really being evaluated on a cash flow basis, I didn't want to be disadvantaged either
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. So I guess you thought it was reasonable?</li> <li>A. I did; they didn't.</li> <li>Q. But you did, correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And the board did, correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And management and the board went through a very careful thorough process in putting these materials together, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, we did.</li> <li>Q. All right. Now, on if you could flip with me to tab 6?</li> <li>A. Yes?</li> <li>Q. All right. This is your March 10 letter to Treasury</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 , 14 15 16 17 18	we were being compared on an apples-to-apples basis. The poin I made in our plan is that we were extremely conservative with SAR starting at 10.1 growing to 12.6. And, yet, I was competing against another manufacturer that was using a 10.5 growing to 16.8 SAR. If I used that SAR in my held all my other assumptions, I would generate an additional nine billion dollars of cash flow over the six years. So I wanted to be sure, I wanted to make absolutely clear, that we were being evaluated on a comparative basis and that if the plan you referenced, which they turned down because of the cash flow basis, not the terminal value but it was really being evaluated on a cash flow basis, I didn't want to be disadvantaged either on SAR level or pricing assumptions. That's what this letter
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. So I guess you thought it was reasonable?</li> <li>A. I did; they didn't.</li> <li>Q. But you did, correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And the board did, correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And management and the board went through a very careful thorough process in putting these materials together, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, we did.</li> <li>Q. All right. Now, on if you could flip with me to tab 6?</li> <li>A. Yes?</li> <li>Q. All right. This is your March 10 letter to Treasury urging Treasury to approve your proposal, correct?</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	we were being compared on an apples-to-apples basis. The poin I made in our plan is that we were extremely conservative with SAR starting at 10.1 growing to 12.6. And, yet, I was competing against another manufacturer that was using a 10.5 growing to 16.8 SAR. If I used that SAR in my held all my other assumptions, I would generate an additional nine billion dollars of cash flow over the six years. So I wanted to be sure, I wanted to make absolutely clear, that we were being evaluated on a comparative basis and that if the plan you referenced, which they turned down because of the cash flow basis, not the terminal value but it was really being evaluated on a cash flow basis, I didn't want to be disadvantaged either on SAR level or pricing assumptions. That's what this letter was about.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. So I guess you thought it was reasonable?</li> <li>A. I did; they didn't.</li> <li>Q. But you did, correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And the board did, correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And management and the board went through a very careful thorough process in putting these materials together, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, we did.</li> <li>Q. All right. Now, on if you could flip with me to tab 6?</li> <li>A. Yes?</li> <li>Q. All right. This is your March 10 letter to Treasury urging Treasury to approve your proposal, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	we were being compared on an apples-to-apples basis. The poin I made in our plan is that we were extremely conservative with SAR starting at 10.1 growing to 12.6. And, yet, I was competing against another manufacturer that was using a 10.5 growing to 16.8 SAR. If I used that SAR in my held all my other assumptions, I would generate an additional nine billion dollars of cash flow over the six years. So I wanted to be sure, I wanted to make absolutely clear, that we were being evaluated on a comparative basis and that if the plan you referenced, which they turned down because of the cash flow basis, not the terminal value but it was really being evaluated on a cash flow basis, I didn't want to be disadvantaged either on SAR level or pricing assumptions. That's what this letter was about.  Q. You were concerned that they might use a higher SAR than
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. So I guess you thought it was reasonable?</li> <li>A. I did; they didn't.</li> <li>Q. But you did, correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And the board did, correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And management and the board went through a very careful thorough process in putting these materials together, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, we did.</li> <li>Q. All right. Now, on if you could flip with me to tab 6?</li> <li>A. Yes?</li> <li>Q. All right. This is your March 10 letter to Treasury urging Treasury to approve your proposal, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And the proposal that you wanted them to approve is the</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	we were being compared on an apples-to-apples basis. The poin I made in our plan is that we were extremely conservative with SAR starting at 10.1 growing to 12.6. And, yet, I was competing against another manufacturer that was using a 10.5 growing to 16.8 SAR. If I used that SAR in my held all my other assumptions, I would generate an additional nine billion dollars of cash flow over the six years. So I wanted to be sure, I wanted to make absolutely clear, that we were being evaluated on a comparative basis and that if the plan you referenced, which they turned down because of the cash flow basis, not the terminal value but it was really being evaluated on a cash flow basis, I didn't want to be disadvantaged either on SAR level or pricing assumptions. That's what this letter was about.  Q. You were concerned that they might use a higher SAR than you were using, which would suggest higher value?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. So I guess you thought it was reasonable?</li> <li>A. I did; they didn't.</li> <li>Q. But you did, correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And the board did, correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And management and the board went through a very careful thorough process in putting these materials together, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, we did.</li> <li>Q. All right. Now, on if you could flip with me to tab 6?</li> <li>A. Yes?</li> <li>Q. All right. This is your March 10 letter to Treasury urging Treasury to approve your proposal, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And the proposal that you wanted them to approve is the one that was reflected in the pages we were just looking at,</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	we were being compared on an apples-to-apples basis. The poin I made in our plan is that we were extremely conservative with SAR starting at 10.1 growing to 12.6. And, yet, I was competing against another manufacturer that was using a 10.5 growing to 16.8 SAR. If I used that SAR in my held all my other assumptions, I would generate an additional nine billion dollars of cash flow over the six years. So I wanted to be sure, I wanted to make absolutely clear, that we were being evaluated on a comparative basis and that if the plan you referenced, which they turned down because of the cash flow basis, not the terminal value but it was really being evaluated on a cash flow basis, I didn't want to be disadvantaged either on SAR level or pricing assumptions. That's what this letter was about.  Q. You were concerned that they might use a higher SAR than you were using, which would suggest higher value?  A. No, sir. I was suggesting that, as I was competing for
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. So I guess you thought it was reasonable?</li> <li>A. I did; they didn't.</li> <li>Q. But you did, correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And the board did, correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And management and the board went through a very careful thorough process in putting these materials together, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, we did.</li> <li>Q. All right. Now, on if you could flip with me to tab 6?</li> <li>A. Yes?</li> <li>Q. All right. This is your March 10 letter to Treasury urging Treasury to approve your proposal, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And the proposal that you wanted them to approve is the one that was reflected in the pages we were just looking at, correct?</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	we were being compared on an apples-to-apples basis. The poin I made in our plan is that we were extremely conservative with SAR starting at 10.1 growing to 12.6. And, yet, I was competing against another manufacturer that was using a 10.5 growing to 16.8 SAR. If I used that SAR in my held all my other assumptions, I would generate an additional nine billion dollars of cash flow over the six years. So I wanted to be sure, I wanted to make absolutely clear, that we were being evaluated on a comparative basis and that if the plan you referenced, which they turned down because of the cash flow basis, not the terminal value but it was really being evaluated on a cash flow basis, I didn't want to be disadvantaged either on SAR level or pricing assumptions. That's what this letter was about.  Q. You were concerned that they might use a higher SAR than you were using, which would suggest higher value?  A. No, sir. I was suggesting that, as I was competing for funds from the United States Treasury against another
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. So I guess you thought it was reasonable?</li> <li>A. I did; they didn't.</li> <li>Q. But you did, correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And the board did, correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And management and the board went through a very careful thorough process in putting these materials together, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, we did.</li> <li>Q. All right. Now, on if you could flip with me to tab 6?</li> <li>A. Yes?</li> <li>Q. All right. This is your March 10 letter to Treasury urging Treasury to approve your proposal, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And the proposal that you wanted them to approve is the one that was reflected in the pages we were just looking at,</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	we were being compared on an apples-to-apples basis. The poin I made in our plan is that we were extremely conservative with SAR starting at 10.1 growing to 12.6. And, yet, I was competing against another manufacturer that was using a 10.5 growing to 16.8 SAR. If I used that SAR in my held all my other assumptions, I would generate an additional nine billion dollars of cash flow over the six years. So I wanted to be sure, I wanted to make absolutely clear, that we were being evaluated on a comparative basis and that if the plan you referenced, which they turned down because of the cash flow basis, not the terminal value but it was really being evaluated on a cash flow basis, I didn't want to be disadvantaged either on SAR level or pricing assumptions. That's what this letter was about.  Q. You were concerned that they might use a higher SAR than you were using, which would suggest higher value?  A. No, sir. I was suggesting that, as I was competing for

1	view in the industry wasn't disadvantaging me in their	1	A. Thirty-eight.
2	consideration of viability.	2	Q. Thirty-eight years. And you've probably thought about
3	Q. They would conclude that you didn't need the money?	3	various ways to value a business in different contexts,
4	A. No. I just wanted to make sure that when they looked at	4	correct?
5	my cash flow they were not looking at cash flow, which Mr.	5	A. Yes.
6	Rattner and Mr. Bloom were looking at, over the life of that	6	Q. All right. Would it be fair to say that one way to value
7	pro forma to 2016 because if I used General Motors' SAR and	7	a business is to look at the price of the stock and multiply it
8	kept all of my other assumptions constant I would generate nine	8	by the number of shares?
9	billion dollars in additional cash. And I didn't want my plan	9	A. Yes.
10	to be rejected because one company was using that SAR level and		Q. All right. So if somebody buys a percentage of a company
11	I was using a more conservative SAR level. And the same on	11	for a price, you can derive an implied value, correct?
12	pricing.	12 13	A. That's one way.
13 14	Q. All right. On February 17th, you believed the company		Q. Okay. So, for example, if I buy ten percent of a company
15	could service 22.8 billion dollars in debt, is that correct?	14	for ten dollars, that would suggest that a hundred percent of
	A. Yes, on February 17th.	15	the equity is worth a hundred dollars
16 17	Q. Okay. And on March 10th?	16	A. Yes
18	A. On March 10th, what I was proposing here was, again,	17	Q correct?
19	please evaluate us on a comparable basis as cash.	18 19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Let's close the book and not look at the letter. I'm just	20	Q. And if I bought 10 percent for 20, 100 percent would be 200 dollars, correct?
21	asking on March 10th did you still believe you had a company that could service 22.8 billion dollars in debt?	21	A. Yes.
22	A. Yes.	22	
23	Q. All right. And that company the business of that	23	Q. Okay. Now, are you aware of any values that have been attributed to the Fiat contribution to NewCo?
24	company is largely being transferred to NewCarCo, is that	24	A. Yes.
25	correct?	25	Q. Why don't you tell us what those values are?
23	322	23	2. Why don't you ten us what those values are:
1	A. Well, the transaction the sales transaction is assets	1	A. Well, what we did was we looked at what it would cost
2	are being put in and cash is being delivered.	2	Chrysler LLC, for example, to develop an entire new platform, a
3	Q. All right. And are you putting in substantially all the	3	new top hat, the length of time, the engineering, the ER&D, the
4	assets of the business that was going to service 22.8 billion	4	tooling capital expenditures, and we used some of our historic
5	dollars of debt into NewCarCo?	5	actuals in determining, for example, a billion-five to a
6	A. A significant amount, yes, sir	6	billion-eight for a launch of a new vehicle; then on top of
7	Q. All right.	7	that what the marketing, advertising, redistribution we
8	A we'd transfer.	8	looked at what it cost us, for example, to develop the new
9	Q. Are there any valuable assets that you're not putting in?	9	Phoenix engine, the new transmission, six-speed transmission.
10	A. Any valuable assets? Well, we're putting in we're not	10	And we used those experiences from Chrysler to come up with an
11	putting in some of the factories, but I would say the majority	11	equivalent value of what Fiat's contribution would be to NewCo.
12	of the valuable assets, to assure that the new business is an	12	Q. And, in fact, did you hire some experts to help you with
13	ongoing enterprise, would be kind of the sales transaction	13	that?
14	going in for cash out.	14	A. I'm not aware of experts in helping us do that. I think a
15	Q. And in addition to what you're putting in, Fiat is putting	15	lot of that was derived from our own historical experiences.
16	some stuff in too, right?	16	Q. All right. And your conclusion through that exercise was
17	A. Fiat is putting assets in.	17	that the Fiat contribution was worth eight to ten billion
18	Q. That will make that business even more valuable, is that	18	dollars; is that correct?
19	correct?	19	A. Yes. I recogni yes, I remember recognize that
20	A. That's the plan. That's the hope.	20	number.
21	Q. All right. Now, I think you've testified that you're a	21	Q. All right. So if I pay eight billion dollars for twenty
22	pretty experienced businessman, correct?	22	percent of the equity in a company, what does that suggest that
23	A. Well, it may be a little self-serving, but yeah, I've been	23	a hundred percent of the equity is worth?
24	around for	24	A. Well, 8 for 10, it would be 800, wouldn't it?
25	Q. I'll give that to you. Thirty-five years, did you say?	25	Q. Eight billion dollars for twenty percent?
	323		325

1	A. Yeah. So if it's	1	using.
2	Q. So eight times five?	2	Q. Well, let's just go back again. Are you saying that if I
3	A. Eight times five.	3	buy 10 percent of the company for 20 dollars that it doesn't
4	Q. Which is?	4	suggest that the equity in the company is worth 200?
5	A. Forty.	5	A. Depends. It could be worth nothing, but you think the
6	Q. Forty billion dollars?	6	future value of it, because of technology or the segment it's
7	A. Yeah, if that was a realistic method.	7	in, it's a growth situation.
8	Q. Well, we, I think, just said that it was one way you	8	Q. So my 10 dollar or 20 dollar investment isn't for 10
9	convey a company is you look at what somebody would pay for a	9	percent of a 200 dollar company now?
10	percentage and you	10	A. No, it depends. I mean, people will buy stock based on
11	A. Yeah, I mean, I've	11	the fact that they think it's going to go up.
12	Q do the math.	12	Q. Yes?
13	A. Yeah, I've done a lot of these deals in my career, and,	13	A. Yeah. I don't
14	you know, each time it could be a percentage of forward EBITDA	14	Q. They don't usually buy because they think it's going to go
15	you know, that the company selling wants usually you want to	15	down, do they?
16	do it on backward because you have to earn the value out of	16	A. Well, there's a lot of people doing that today called
17	that company. So you don't want to pay for something that	17	short-sellers.
18	hasn't been earned yet or realized.	18	Q. Pardon me?
19	Q. So, now, do you have an understanding as to whether or not	19	A. I said there's a lot of people doing that today called
20	Fiat has placed a value on its investment in the new company?	20	short-sellers.
21	A. I don't know that.	21	Q. Well, they're actually positioning themselves for the
22	Q. You haven't heard that?	22	price to go down, correct?
23	A. No, sir.	23	A. Yes.
24	Q. All right. So let's just use your eight to ten.	24	Q. All right. If you buy the stock, you're positioned for it
25	A. Okay.	25	to go up.
	326		328
1	O Olyano		
	Q. Okay?	1	A. No, I understand the math. I'm just
2	A. Okay.	2	Q. All right.
2	A. Okay. Q. So if it's ten, then the value of the equity is fifty	2 3	<ul><li>Q. All right.</li><li>A trying to be very clear, because I wouldn't want to</li></ul>
3 4	A. Okay. Q. So if it's ten, then the value of the equity is fifty billion dollars, is that correct?	2 3 4	Q. All right.  A trying to be very clear, because I wouldn't want to convey something here that I didn't believe in or that
3 4 5	<ul><li>A. Okay.</li><li>Q. So if it's ten, then the value of the equity is fifty billion dollars, is that correct?</li><li>A. Yeah, if you use your approach in the math. I'm not sure</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	Q. All right.  A trying to be very clear, because I wouldn't want to convey something here that I didn't believe in or that again, it really depends on the sector. It depends on the
3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So if it's ten, then the value of the equity is fifty billion dollars, is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah, if you use your approach in the math. I'm not sure that's</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	Q. All right.  A trying to be very clear, because I wouldn't want to convey something here that I didn't believe in or that again, it really depends on the sector. It depends on the position of the company, the future ability to execute plans.
3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So if it's ten, then the value of the equity is fifty billion dollars, is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah, if you use your approach in the math. I'm not sure that's</li> <li>Q. I kind of think of it as our approach. We've worked this</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. All right.  A trying to be very clear, because I wouldn't want to convey something here that I didn't believe in or that again, it really depends on the sector. It depends on the position of the company, the future ability to execute plans. I mean, there's a whole host of things that go into these types
3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So if it's ten, then the value of the equity is fifty billion dollars, is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah, if you use your approach in the math. I'm not sure that's</li> <li>Q. I kind of think of it as our approach. We've worked this out together here on the record.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. All right.  A trying to be very clear, because I wouldn't want to convey something here that I didn't believe in or that again, it really depends on the sector. It depends on the position of the company, the future ability to execute plans. I mean, there's a whole host of things that go into these types of transactions.
3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So if it's ten, then the value of the equity is fifty billion dollars, is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah, if you use your approach in the math. I'm not sure that's</li> <li>Q. I kind of think of it as our approach. We've worked this</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. All right.  A trying to be very clear, because I wouldn't want to convey something here that I didn't believe in or that again, it really depends on the sector. It depends on the position of the company, the future ability to execute plans. I mean, there's a whole host of things that go into these types of transactions.  Q. So do you think Fiat is overpaying for their twenty
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So if it's ten, then the value of the equity is fifty billion dollars, is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah, if you use your approach in the math. I'm not sure that's</li> <li>Q. I kind of think of it as our approach. We've worked this out together here on the record.</li> <li>A. No, you've given me the numbers and I've agreed with the math.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. All right.  A trying to be very clear, because I wouldn't want to convey something here that I didn't believe in or that again, it really depends on the sector. It depends on the position of the company, the future ability to execute plans. I mean, there's a whole host of things that go into these types of transactions.  Q. So do you think Fiat is overpaying for their twenty percent?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So if it's ten, then the value of the equity is fifty billion dollars, is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah, if you use your approach in the math. I'm not sure that's</li> <li>Q. I kind of think of it as our approach. We've worked this out together here on the record.</li> <li>A. No, you've given me the numbers and I've agreed with the math.</li> <li>Q. Well, I think before we got to that math you agreed with</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. All right.  A trying to be very clear, because I wouldn't want to convey something here that I didn't believe in or that again, it really depends on the sector. It depends on the position of the company, the future ability to execute plans. I mean, there's a whole host of things that go into these types of transactions.  Q. So do you think Fiat is overpaying for their twenty percent?  A. No.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Okay.  Q. So if it's ten, then the value of the equity is fifty billion dollars, is that correct?  A. Yeah, if you use your approach in the math. I'm not sure that's  Q. I kind of think of it as our approach. We've worked this out together here on the record.  A. No, you've given me the numbers and I've agreed with the math.  Q. Well, I think before we got to that math you agreed with me on the methodology.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. All right.</li> <li>A trying to be very clear, because I wouldn't want to convey something here that I didn't believe in or that again, it really depends on the sector. It depends on the position of the company, the future ability to execute plans. I mean, there's a whole host of things that go into these types of transactions.</li> <li>Q. So do you think Fiat is overpaying for their twenty percent?</li> <li>A. No.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Objection, Your Honor.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Okay. Q. So if it's ten, then the value of the equity is fifty billion dollars, is that correct? A. Yeah, if you use your approach in the math. I'm not sure that's Q. I kind of think of it as our approach. We've worked this out together here on the record. A. No, you've given me the numbers and I've agreed with the math. Q. Well, I think before we got to that math you agreed with me on the methodology. A. I said that was one methodology	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. All right.</li> <li>A trying to be very clear, because I wouldn't want to convey something here that I didn't believe in or that again, it really depends on the sector. It depends on the position of the company, the future ability to execute plans. I mean, there's a whole host of things that go into these types of transactions.</li> <li>Q. So do you think Fiat is overpaying for their twenty percent?</li> <li>A. No.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: What's the objection?</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Okay. Q. So if it's ten, then the value of the equity is fifty billion dollars, is that correct? A. Yeah, if you use your approach in the math. I'm not sure that's Q. I kind of think of it as our approach. We've worked this out together here on the record. A. No, you've given me the numbers and I've agreed with the math. Q. Well, I think before we got to that math you agreed with me on the methodology. A. I said that was one methodology Q. Yes, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. All right.</li> <li>A trying to be very clear, because I wouldn't want to convey something here that I didn't believe in or that again, it really depends on the sector. It depends on the position of the company, the future ability to execute plans. I mean, there's a whole host of things that go into these types of transactions.</li> <li>Q. So do you think Fiat is overpaying for their twenty percent?</li> <li>A. No.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: What's the objection?  MR. BIENENSTOCK: The objection is that Fiat's</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Okay. Q. So if it's ten, then the value of the equity is fifty billion dollars, is that correct? A. Yeah, if you use your approach in the math. I'm not sure that's Q. I kind of think of it as our approach. We've worked this out together here on the record. A. No, you've given me the numbers and I've agreed with the math. Q. Well, I think before we got to that math you agreed with me on the methodology. A. I said that was one methodology Q. Yes, yes. A that could be used depending on the circumstance, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. All right.</li> <li>A trying to be very clear, because I wouldn't want to convey something here that I didn't believe in or that again, it really depends on the sector. It depends on the position of the company, the future ability to execute plans. I mean, there's a whole host of things that go into these types of transactions.</li> <li>Q. So do you think Fiat is overpaying for their twenty percent?</li> <li>A. No.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: What's the objection?  MR. BIENENSTOCK: The objection is that Fiat's transaction with the acquisition vehicle is not before this</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Okay.  Q. So if it's ten, then the value of the equity is fifty billion dollars, is that correct?  A. Yeah, if you use your approach in the math. I'm not sure that's  Q. I kind of think of it as our approach. We've worked this out together here on the record.  A. No, you've given me the numbers and I've agreed with the math.  Q. Well, I think before we got to that math you agreed with me on the methodology.  A. I said that was one methodology  Q. Yes, yes.  A that could be used depending on the circumstance, the environment, the type of company, the industry that it's in. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. All right.</li> <li>A trying to be very clear, because I wouldn't want to convey something here that I didn't believe in or that again, it really depends on the sector. It depends on the position of the company, the future ability to execute plans. I mean, there's a whole host of things that go into these types of transactions.</li> <li>Q. So do you think Fiat is overpaying for their twenty percent?</li> <li>A. No.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: What's the objection?  MR. BIENENSTOCK: The objection is that Fiat's transaction with the acquisition vehicle is not before this Court, and how you value the acquisition vehicle is not before</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Okay.  Q. So if it's ten, then the value of the equity is fifty billion dollars, is that correct?  A. Yeah, if you use your approach in the math. I'm not sure that's  Q. I kind of think of it as our approach. We've worked this out together here on the record.  A. No, you've given me the numbers and I've agreed with the math.  Q. Well, I think before we got to that math you agreed with me on the methodology.  A. I said that was one methodology  Q. Yes, yes.  A that could be used depending on the circumstance, the environment, the type of company, the industry that it's in. I mean, it is not you know, it is not a single-dimension	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. All right.</li> <li>A trying to be very clear, because I wouldn't want to convey something here that I didn't believe in or that again, it really depends on the sector. It depends on the position of the company, the future ability to execute plans. I mean, there's a whole host of things that go into these types of transactions.</li> <li>Q. So do you think Fiat is overpaying for their twenty percent?</li> <li>A. No.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: What's the objection?  MR. BIENENSTOCK: The objection is that Fiat's transaction with the acquisition vehicle is not before this Court, and how you value the acquisition vehicle is not before this Court. And especially given the time constraints we're</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Okay.  Q. So if it's ten, then the value of the equity is fifty billion dollars, is that correct?  A. Yeah, if you use your approach in the math. I'm not sure that's  Q. I kind of think of it as our approach. We've worked this out together here on the record.  A. No, you've given me the numbers and I've agreed with the math.  Q. Well, I think before we got to that math you agreed with me on the methodology.  A. I said that was one methodology  Q. Yes, yes.  A that could be used depending on the circumstance, the environment, the type of company, the industry that it's in. I mean, it is not you know, it is not a single-dimension decision.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. All right.  A trying to be very clear, because I wouldn't want to convey something here that I didn't believe in or that again, it really depends on the sector. It depends on the position of the company, the future ability to execute plans. I mean, there's a whole host of things that go into these types of transactions.  Q. So do you think Fiat is overpaying for their twenty percent?  A. No.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: What's the objection?  MR. BIENENSTOCK: The objection is that Fiat's transaction with the acquisition vehicle is not before this Court, and how you value the acquisition vehicle is not before this Court. And especially given the time constraints we're under, this is consuming the transcript with completely
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Okay. Q. So if it's ten, then the value of the equity is fifty billion dollars, is that correct? A. Yeah, if you use your approach in the math. I'm not sure that's Q. I kind of think of it as our approach. We've worked this out together here on the record. A. No, you've given me the numbers and I've agreed with the math. Q. Well, I think before we got to that math you agreed with me on the methodology. A. I said that was one methodology Q. Yes, yes. A that could be used depending on the circumstance, the environment, the type of company, the industry that it's in. I mean, it is not you know, it is not a single-dimension decision. Q. All right. So if we say forty to fifty billion dollars,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. All right.  A trying to be very clear, because I wouldn't want to convey something here that I didn't believe in or that again, it really depends on the sector. It depends on the position of the company, the future ability to execute plans. I mean, there's a whole host of things that go into these types of transactions.  Q. So do you think Fiat is overpaying for their twenty percent?  A. No.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: What's the objection?  MR. BIENENSTOCK: The objection is that Fiat's transaction with the acquisition vehicle is not before this Court, and how you value the acquisition vehicle is not before this Court. And especially given the time constraints we're under, this is consuming the transcript with completely immaterial and irrelevant things.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Okay. Q. So if it's ten, then the value of the equity is fifty billion dollars, is that correct? A. Yeah, if you use your approach in the math. I'm not sure that's Q. I kind of think of it as our approach. We've worked this out together here on the record. A. No, you've given me the numbers and I've agreed with the math. Q. Well, I think before we got to that math you agreed with me on the methodology. A. I said that was one methodology Q. Yes, yes. A that could be used depending on the circumstance, the environment, the type of company, the industry that it's in. I mean, it is not you know, it is not a single-dimension decision. Q. All right. So if we say forty to fifty billion dollars, that's eight to ten, using eight to ten as a range, for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. All right.  A trying to be very clear, because I wouldn't want to convey something here that I didn't believe in or that again, it really depends on the sector. It depends on the position of the company, the future ability to execute plans. I mean, there's a whole host of things that go into these types of transactions.  Q. So do you think Fiat is overpaying for their twenty percent?  A. No.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: What's the objection?  MR. BIENENSTOCK: The objection is that Fiat's transaction with the acquisition vehicle is not before this Court, and how you value the acquisition vehicle is not before this Court. And especially given the time constraints we're under, this is consuming the transcript with completely immaterial and irrelevant things.  It's also clear second ground for my objection is
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Okay. Q. So if it's ten, then the value of the equity is fifty billion dollars, is that correct? A. Yeah, if you use your approach in the math. I'm not sure that's Q. I kind of think of it as our approach. We've worked this out together here on the record. A. No, you've given me the numbers and I've agreed with the math. Q. Well, I think before we got to that math you agreed with me on the methodology. A. I said that was one methodology Q. Yes, yes. A that could be used depending on the circumstance, the environment, the type of company, the industry that it's in. I mean, it is not you know, it is not a single-dimension decision. Q. All right. So if we say forty to fifty billion dollars, that's eight to ten, using eight to ten as a range, for the Fiat investment?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. All right.  A trying to be very clear, because I wouldn't want to convey something here that I didn't believe in or that again, it really depends on the sector. It depends on the position of the company, the future ability to execute plans. I mean, there's a whole host of things that go into these types of transactions.  Q. So do you think Fiat is overpaying for their twenty percent?  A. No.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: What's the objection?  MR. BIENENSTOCK: The objection is that Fiat's transaction with the acquisition vehicle is not before this Court, and how you value the acquisition vehicle is not before this Court. And especially given the time constraints we're under, this is consuming the transcript with completely immaterial and irrelevant things.  It's also clear second ground for my objection is that, as the witness has said repeatedly, he's approving
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Okay. Q. So if it's ten, then the value of the equity is fifty billion dollars, is that correct? A. Yeah, if you use your approach in the math. I'm not sure that's Q. I kind of think of it as our approach. We've worked this out together here on the record. A. No, you've given me the numbers and I've agreed with the math. Q. Well, I think before we got to that math you agreed with me on the methodology. A. I said that was one methodology Q. Yes, yes. A that could be used depending on the circumstance, the environment, the type of company, the industry that it's in. I mean, it is not you know, it is not a single-dimension decision. Q. All right. So if we say forty to fifty billion dollars, that's eight to ten, using eight to ten as a range, for the Fiat investment? A. Yeah, that's what you're using.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. All right.  A trying to be very clear, because I wouldn't want to convey something here that I didn't believe in or that again, it really depends on the sector. It depends on the position of the company, the future ability to execute plans. I mean, there's a whole host of things that go into these types of transactions.  Q. So do you think Fiat is overpaying for their twenty percent?  A. No.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: What's the objection?  MR. BIENENSTOCK: The objection is that Fiat's transaction with the acquisition vehicle is not before this Court, and how you value the acquisition vehicle is not before this Court. And especially given the time constraints we're under, this is consuming the transcript with completely immaterial and irrelevant things.  It's also clear second ground for my objection is that, as the witness has said repeatedly, he's approving MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, is this is a talk
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Okay.  Q. So if it's ten, then the value of the equity is fifty billion dollars, is that correct?  A. Yeah, if you use your approach in the math. I'm not sure that's  Q. I kind of think of it as our approach. We've worked this out together here on the record.  A. No, you've given me the numbers and I've agreed with the math.  Q. Well, I think before we got to that math you agreed with me on the methodology.  A. I said that was one methodology  Q. Yes, yes.  A that could be used depending on the circumstance, the environment, the type of company, the industry that it's in. I mean, it is not you know, it is not a single-dimension decision.  Q. All right. So if we say forty to fifty billion dollars, that's eight to ten, using eight to ten as a range, for the Fiat investment?  A. Yeah, that's what you're using.  Q. Well, I'm that's what I'm asking you to use for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. All right.  A trying to be very clear, because I wouldn't want to convey something here that I didn't believe in or that again, it really depends on the sector. It depends on the position of the company, the future ability to execute plans. I mean, there's a whole host of things that go into these types of transactions.  Q. So do you think Fiat is overpaying for their twenty percent?  A. No.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: What's the objection?  MR. BIENENSTOCK: The objection is that Fiat's transaction with the acquisition vehicle is not before this Court, and how you value the acquisition vehicle is not before this Court. And especially given the time constraints we're under, this is consuming the transcript with completely immaterial and irrelevant things.  It's also clear second ground for my objection is that, as the witness has said repeatedly, he's approving MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, is this is a talk MR. BIENENSTOCK: Mr. Lauria's math.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Okay.  Q. So if it's ten, then the value of the equity is fifty billion dollars, is that correct?  A. Yeah, if you use your approach in the math. I'm not sure that's Q. I kind of think of it as our approach. We've worked this out together here on the record.  A. No, you've given me the numbers and I've agreed with the math. Q. Well, I think before we got to that math you agreed with me on the methodology.  A. I said that was one methodology Q. Yes, yes.  A that could be used depending on the circumstance, the environment, the type of company, the industry that it's in. I mean, it is not you know, it is not a single-dimension decision.  Q. All right. So if we say forty to fifty billion dollars, that's eight to ten, using eight to ten as a range, for the Fiat investment?  A. Yeah, that's what you're using. Q. Well, I'm that's what I'm asking you to use for purposes of this conversation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. All right.  A trying to be very clear, because I wouldn't want to convey something here that I didn't believe in or that again, it really depends on the sector. It depends on the position of the company, the future ability to execute plans. I mean, there's a whole host of things that go into these types of transactions.  Q. So do you think Fiat is overpaying for their twenty percent?  A. No.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: What's the objection?  MR. BIENENSTOCK: The objection is that Fiat's transaction with the acquisition vehicle is not before this Court, and how you value the acquisition vehicle is not before this Court. And especially given the time constraints we're under, this is consuming the transcript with completely immaterial and irrelevant things.  It's also clear second ground for my objection is that, as the witness has said repeatedly, he's approving  MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, is this is a talk  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Mr. Lauria's math.  MR. LAURIA: Is there an objection? Because I don't
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Okay.  Q. So if it's ten, then the value of the equity is fifty billion dollars, is that correct?  A. Yeah, if you use your approach in the math. I'm not sure that's  Q. I kind of think of it as our approach. We've worked this out together here on the record.  A. No, you've given me the numbers and I've agreed with the math.  Q. Well, I think before we got to that math you agreed with me on the methodology.  A. I said that was one methodology  Q. Yes, yes.  A that could be used depending on the circumstance, the environment, the type of company, the industry that it's in. I mean, it is not you know, it is not a single-dimension decision.  Q. All right. So if we say forty to fifty billion dollars, that's eight to ten, using eight to ten as a range, for the Fiat investment?  A. Yeah, that's what you're using.  Q. Well, I'm that's what I'm asking you to use for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. All right.  A trying to be very clear, because I wouldn't want to convey something here that I didn't believe in or that again, it really depends on the sector. It depends on the position of the company, the future ability to execute plans. I mean, there's a whole host of things that go into these types of transactions.  Q. So do you think Fiat is overpaying for their twenty percent?  A. No.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: What's the objection?  MR. BIENENSTOCK: The objection is that Fiat's transaction with the acquisition vehicle is not before this Court, and how you value the acquisition vehicle is not before this Court. And especially given the time constraints we're under, this is consuming the transcript with completely immaterial and irrelevant things.  It's also clear second ground for my objection is that, as the witness has said repeatedly, he's approving MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, is this is a talk MR. BIENENSTOCK: Mr. Lauria's math.

3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 p 23 F 24 d 25 s:	THE COURT: One second, Mr. Lauria. Talking louder doesn't solve the problem.  MR. LAURIA: Well  THE COURT: Just a minute.  MR. LAURIA: I somehow  THE COURT: Mr. Bienenstock  MR. BIENENSTOCK: The  THE COURT: Just a minute.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: The  THE COURT: Wait.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: The  THE COURT: Wait  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Oh.  THE COURT: Mr. Bienenstock.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Sorry.  THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Let's come back to Mr. Lauria. You've explored this issue. The witness has said your method is a method that's used. Beyond that, I don't see what the value of this is. It is a method.  MR. LAURIA: Well, again, Your Honor, as you know, we are the witness has testified about the importance of performing his fiduciary duties in connection with this deal.  He's recognized that that is, in large part, reflected by delivering value to his stakeholders. And one of his largest stakeholders is getting equity in this company. And I'm trying	1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	contribution because I'm not sure if that note has interest.  And unless you consider whether or not that note has interest, you may well have to if it has no interest, has to present-value it. And so then we have to talk about apples and apples.  But none of that has come in yet; this witness isn't going to provide it. And you have enough to make whatever argument you want to make about fiduciary duties and stakeholders. It's obvious that the stakeholder that you're a part of is getting 2 billion dollars of a 6.9 billion dollar debt. And you can make your arguments accordingly as to whether or not you think this witness complied with his fiduciary obligations, taking into consideration other stakeholders are getting a hundred cents on the dollar, as it comes out. Now, whether from a bankruptcy standpoint that's allowable under 363, I'm sure I'll hear a lot of arguments about it, and I will anxiously await those arguments.  MR. LAURIA: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right? So let's move off this topic.  MR. LAURIA: Thank you.  THE COURT: You're welcome. I won't hold those five minutes against you.  MR. LAURIA: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay.  BY MR. LAURIA:  Q. Let me ask you, do you think it's relevant to the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 18 19 20 21 22 p 23 14 25 8 1 2 p 23 24 25 8	MR. LAURIA: Well THE COURT: Just a minute. MR. LAURIA: I somehow THE COURT: Mr. Bienenstock MR. BIENENSTOCK: The THE COURT: Just a minute. MR. BIENENSTOCK: The THE COURT: Wait. MR. BIENENSTOCK: The THE COURT: Wait MR. BIENENSTOCK: Oh. THE COURT: Mr. Bienenstock. MR. BIENENSTOCK: Sorry. THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Let's come back to Mr. Lauria. You've explored this issue. The witness has said your method is a method that's used. Beyond that, I don't see what the value of this is. It is a method. MR. LAURIA: Well, again, Your Honor, as you know, we are the witness has testified about the importance of performing his fiduciary duties in connection with this deal. He's recognized that that is, in large part, reflected by delivering value to his stakeholders. And one of his largest stakeholders is getting equity in this company. And I'm trying	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	you may well have to if it has no interest, has to present-value it. And so then we have to talk about apples and apples.  But none of that has come in yet; this witness isn't going to provide it. And you have enough to make whatever argument you want to make about fiduciary duties and stakeholders. It's obvious that the stakeholder that you're a part of is getting 2 billion dollars of a 6.9 billion dollar debt. And you can make your arguments accordingly as to whether or not you think this witness complied with his fiduciary obligations, taking into consideration other stakeholders are getting a hundred cents on the dollar, as it comes out. Now, whether from a bankruptcy standpoint that's allowable under 363, I'm sure I'll hear a lot of arguments about it, and I will anxiously await those arguments.  MR. LAURIA: All right. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right? So let's move off this topic. MR. LAURIA: Thank you.  THE COURT: You're welcome. I won't hold those five minutes against you.  MR. LAURIA: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay.  BY MR. LAURIA:  Q. Let me ask you, do you think it's relevant to the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 tt 18 ss 19 ss 20 21 a 22 p 23 H 24 d 25 ss	THE COURT: Just a minute.  MR. LAURIA: I somehow THE COURT: Mr. Bienenstock MR. BIENENSTOCK: The THE COURT: Just a minute. MR. BIENENSTOCK: The THE COURT: Wait. MR. BIENENSTOCK: The THE COURT: Wait MR. BIENENSTOCK: Oh. THE COURT: Mr. Bienenstock. MR. BIENENSTOCK: Sorry. THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Let's come back to Mr. Lauria. You've explored this issue. The witness has said your method is a method that's used. Beyond that, I don't see what the value of this is. It is a method. MR. LAURIA: Well, again, Your Honor, as you know, we are the witness has testified about the importance of performing his fiduciary duties in connection with this deal. He's recognized that that is, in large part, reflected by delivering value to his stakeholders. And one of his largest stakeholders is getting equity in this company. And I'm trying	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 22 21 22 23 24	value it. And so then we have to talk about apples and apples.  But none of that has come in yet; this witness isn't going to provide it. And you have enough to make whatever argument you want to make about fiduciary duties and stakeholders. It's obvious that the stakeholder that you're a part of is getting 2 billion dollars of a 6.9 billion dollar debt. And you can make your arguments accordingly as to whether or not you think this witness complied with his fiduciary obligations, taking into consideration other stakeholders are getting a hundred cents on the dollar, as it comes out. Now, whether from a bankruptcy standpoint that's allowable under 363, I'm sure I'll hear a lot of arguments about it, and I will anxiously await those arguments.  MR. LAURIA: All right. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right? So let's move off this topic. MR. LAURIA: Thank you.  THE COURT: You're welcome. I won't hold those five minutes against you.  MR. LAURIA: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay.  BY MR. LAURIA:  Q. Let me ask you, do you think it's relevant to the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 to 18 s. 19 20 21 a 22 p 23 H 24 d 25 s:	MR. LAURIA: I somehow THE COURT: Mr. Bienenstock MR. BIENENSTOCK: The THE COURT: Just a minute. MR. BIENENSTOCK: The THE COURT: Wait. MR. BIENENSTOCK: The THE COURT: Wait MR. BIENENSTOCK: Oh. THE COURT: Mr. Bienenstock. MR. BIENENSTOCK: Sorry. THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Let's come back to Mr. Lauria. You've explored this issue. The witness has said your method is a method that's used. Beyond that, I don't see what the value of this is. It is a method. MR. LAURIA: Well, again, Your Honor, as you know, we are the witness has testified about the importance of performing his fiduciary duties in connection with this deal. He's recognized that that is, in large part, reflected by delivering value to his stakeholders. And one of his largest stakeholders is getting equity in this company. And I'm trying	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 22 21 22 23 24	But none of that has come in yet; this witness isn't going to provide it. And you have enough to make whatever argument you want to make about fiduciary duties and stakeholders. It's obvious that the stakeholder that you're a part of is getting 2 billion dollars of a 6.9 billion dollar debt. And you can make your arguments accordingly as to whether or not you think this witness complied with his fiduciary obligations, taking into consideration other stakeholders are getting a hundred cents on the dollar, as it comes out. Now, whether from a bankruptcy standpoint that's allowable under 363, I'm sure I'll hear a lot of arguments about it, and I will anxiously await those arguments.  MR. LAURIA: All right. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right? So let's move off this topic. MR. LAURIA: Thank you.  THE COURT: You're welcome. I won't hold those five minutes against you.  MR. LAURIA: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay.  BY MR. LAURIA:  Q. Let me ask you, do you think it's relevant to the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 81	THE COURT: Mr. Bienenstock  MR. BIENENSTOCK: The  THE COURT: Just a minute.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: The  THE COURT: Wait.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: The  THE COURT: Wait  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Oh.  THE COURT: Mr. Bienenstock.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Sorry.  THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Let's come back to Mr. Lauria. You've explored this issue. The witness has said your method is a method that's used. Beyond that, I don't see what the value of this is. It is a method.  MR. LAURIA: Well, again, Your Honor, as you know, we are the witness has testified about the importance of performing his fiduciary duties in connection with this deal.  He's recognized that that is, in large part, reflected by delivering value to his stakeholders. And one of his largest stakeholders is getting equity in this company. And I'm trying	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	going to provide it. And you have enough to make whatever argument you want to make about fiduciary duties and stakeholders. It's obvious that the stakeholder that you're a part of is getting 2 billion dollars of a 6.9 billion dollar debt. And you can make your arguments accordingly as to whether or not you think this witness complied with his fiduciary obligations, taking into consideration other stakeholders are getting a hundred cents on the dollar, as it comes out. Now, whether from a bankruptcy standpoint that's allowable under 363, I'm sure I'll hear a lot of arguments about it, and I will anxiously await those arguments.  MR. LAURIA: All right. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right? So let's move off this topic. MR. LAURIA: Thank you.  THE COURT: You're welcome. I won't hold those five minutes against you.  MR. LAURIA: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay.  BY MR. LAURIA:  Q. Let me ask you, do you think it's relevant to the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 8 19 20 21 22 p 23 H 25 8 1 to 2	MR. BIENENSTOCK: The THE COURT: Just a minute. MR. BIENENSTOCK: The THE COURT: Wait. MR. BIENENSTOCK: The THE COURT: Wait MR. BIENENSTOCK: Oh. THE COURT: Mr. Bienenstock. MR. BIENENSTOCK: Sorry. THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Let's come back to Mr. Lauria. You've explored this issue. The witness has said your method is a method that's used. Beyond that, I don't see what the value of this is. It is a method. MR. LAURIA: Well, again, Your Honor, as you know, we are the witness has testified about the importance of performing his fiduciary duties in connection with this deal. He's recognized that that is, in large part, reflected by delivering value to his stakeholders. And one of his largest stakeholders is getting equity in this company. And I'm trying	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 22 21 22 23 24	argument you want to make about fiduciary duties and stakeholders. It's obvious that the stakeholder that you're a part of is getting 2 billion dollars of a 6.9 billion dollar debt. And you can make your arguments accordingly as to whether or not you think this witness complied with his fiduciary obligations, taking into consideration other stakeholders are getting a hundred cents on the dollar, as it comes out. Now, whether from a bankruptcy standpoint that's allowable under 363, I'm sure I'll hear a lot of arguments about it, and I will anxiously await those arguments.  MR. LAURIA: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right? So let's move off this topic.  MR. LAURIA: Thank you.  THE COURT: You're welcome. I won't hold those five minutes against you.  MR. LAURIA: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay.  BY MR. LAURIA:  Q. Let me ask you, do you think it's relevant to the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 SS 19 20 21 22 p 23 F 24 d 25 SS	THE COURT: Just a minute.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: The THE COURT: Wait.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: The THE COURT: Wait MR. BIENENSTOCK: Oh. THE COURT: Mr. Bienenstock. MR. BIENENSTOCK: Sorry. THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Let's come back to Mr. Lauria. You've explored this issue. The witness has said your method is a method that's used. Beyond that, I don't see what the value of this is. It is a method.  MR. LAURIA: Well, again, Your Honor, as you know, we are the witness has testified about the importance of performing his fiduciary duties in connection with this deal.  He's recognized that that is, in large part, reflected by delivering value to his stakeholders. And one of his largest stakeholders is getting equity in this company. And I'm trying	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	stakeholders. It's obvious that the stakeholder that you're a part of is getting 2 billion dollars of a 6.9 billion dollar debt. And you can make your arguments accordingly as to whether or not you think this witness complied with his fiduciary obligations, taking into consideration other stakeholders are getting a hundred cents on the dollar, as it comes out. Now, whether from a bankruptcy standpoint that's allowable under 363, I'm sure I'll hear a lot of arguments about it, and I will anxiously await those arguments.  MR. LAURIA: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right? So let's move off this topic.  MR. LAURIA: Thank you.  THE COURT: You're welcome. I won't hold those five minutes against you.  MR. LAURIA: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay.  BY MR. LAURIA:  Q. Let me ask you, do you think it's relevant to the
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 p 23 F 24 d 25 s:	MR. BIENENSTOCK: The THE COURT: Wait.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: The THE COURT: Wait MR. BIENENSTOCK: Oh. THE COURT: Mr. Bienenstock. MR. BIENENSTOCK: Sorry. THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Let's come back to Mr. Lauria. You've explored this issue. The witness has said your method is a method that's used. Beyond that, I don't see what the value of this is. It is a method. MR. LAURIA: Well, again, Your Honor, as you know, we are the witness has testified about the importance of performing his fiduciary duties in connection with this deal. He's recognized that that is, in large part, reflected by delivering value to his stakeholders. And one of his largest stakeholders is getting equity in this company. And I'm trying	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	part of is getting 2 billion dollars of a 6.9 billion dollar debt. And you can make your arguments accordingly as to whether or not you think this witness complied with his fiduciary obligations, taking into consideration other stakeholders are getting a hundred cents on the dollar, as it comes out. Now, whether from a bankruptcy standpoint that's allowable under 363, I'm sure I'll hear a lot of arguments about it, and I will anxiously await those arguments.  MR. LAURIA: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right? So let's move off this topic.  MR. LAURIA: Thank you.  THE COURT: You're welcome. I won't hold those five minutes against you.  MR. LAURIA: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay.  BY MR. LAURIA:  Q. Let me ask you, do you think it's relevant to the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 tt 18 ss 19 ss 20 21 a 22 p 23 H 24 d 25 ss	THE COURT: Wait.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: The THE COURT: Wait MR. BIENENSTOCK: Oh. THE COURT: Mr. Bienenstock. MR. BIENENSTOCK: Sorry. THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Let's come back to Mr. Lauria. You've explored this issue. The witness has said your method is a method that's used. Beyond that, I don't see what the value of this is. It is a method. MR. LAURIA: Well, again, Your Honor, as you know, water the witness has testified about the importance of performing his fiduciary duties in connection with this deal. He's recognized that that is, in large part, reflected by delivering value to his stakeholders. And one of his largest stakeholders is getting equity in this company. And I'm trying	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	debt. And you can make your arguments accordingly as to whether or not you think this witness complied with his fiduciary obligations, taking into consideration other stakeholders are getting a hundred cents on the dollar, as it comes out. Now, whether from a bankruptcy standpoint that's allowable under 363, I'm sure I'll hear a lot of arguments about it, and I will anxiously await those arguments.  MR. LAURIA: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right? So let's move off this topic.  MR. LAURIA: Thank you.  THE COURT: You're welcome. I won't hold those five minutes against you.  MR. LAURIA: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay.  BY MR. LAURIA:  Q. Let me ask you, do you think it's relevant to the
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 to 18 si 19 si 20 21 a 22 p 23 H 24 d 25 si	MR. BIENENSTOCK: The THE COURT: Wait MR. BIENENSTOCK: Oh. THE COURT: Mr. Bienenstock. MR. BIENENSTOCK: Sorry. THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Let's come back to Mr. Lauria. You've explored this issue. The witness has said your method is a method that's used. Beyond that, I don't see what the value of this is. It is a method. MR. LAURIA: Well, again, Your Honor, as you know, we are the witness has testified about the importance of performing his fiduciary duties in connection with this deal. He's recognized that that is, in large part, reflected by delivering value to his stakeholders. And one of his largest stakeholders is getting equity in this company. And I'm trying	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	whether or not you think this witness complied with his fiduciary obligations, taking into consideration other stakeholders are getting a hundred cents on the dollar, as it comes out. Now, whether from a bankruptcy standpoint that's allowable under 363, I'm sure I'll hear a lot of arguments about it, and I will anxiously await those arguments.  MR. LAURIA: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right? So let's move off this topic.  MR. LAURIA: Thank you.  THE COURT: You're welcome. I won't hold those five minutes against you.  MR. LAURIA: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay.  BY MR. LAURIA:  Q. Let me ask you, do you think it's relevant to the
12 13 14 15 16 17 to 18 ss 19 ss 20 21 a 22 p 23 H 24 d 25 ss	THE COURT: Wait  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Oh.  THE COURT: Mr. Bienenstock.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Sorry.  THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Let's come back to Mr. Lauria. You've explored this issue. The witness has said your method is a method that's used. Beyond that, I don't see what the value of this is. It is a method.  MR. LAURIA: Well, again, Your Honor, as you know, we are the witness has testified about the importance of performing his fiduciary duties in connection with this deal.  He's recognized that that is, in large part, reflected by delivering value to his stakeholders. And one of his largest stakeholders is getting equity in this company. And I'm trying	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	fiduciary obligations, taking into consideration other stakeholders are getting a hundred cents on the dollar, as it comes out. Now, whether from a bankruptcy standpoint that's allowable under 363, I'm sure I'll hear a lot of arguments about it, and I will anxiously await those arguments.  MR. LAURIA: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right? So let's move off this topic.  MR. LAURIA: Thank you.  THE COURT: You're welcome. I won't hold those five minutes against you.  MR. LAURIA: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay.  BY MR. LAURIA:  Q. Let me ask you, do you think it's relevant to the
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 81	MR. BIENENSTOCK: Oh.  THE COURT: Mr. Bienenstock.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Sorry.  THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Let's come back to Mr. Lauria. You've explored this issue. The witness has said your method is a method that's used. Beyond that, I don't see what the value of this is. It is a method.  MR. LAURIA: Well, again, Your Honor, as you know, we are the witness has testified about the importance of performing his fiduciary duties in connection with this deal. He's recognized that that is, in large part, reflected by delivering value to his stakeholders. And one of his largest stakeholders is getting equity in this company. And I'm trying	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	stakeholders are getting a hundred cents on the dollar, as it comes out. Now, whether from a bankruptcy standpoint that's allowable under 363, I'm sure I'll hear a lot of arguments about it, and I will anxiously await those arguments.  MR. LAURIA: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right? So let's move off this topic.  MR. LAURIA: Thank you.  THE COURT: You're welcome. I won't hold those five minutes against you.  MR. LAURIA: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay.  BY MR. LAURIA:  Q. Let me ask you, do you think it's relevant to the
14 15 16 17 to 18 ss 19 ss 20 21 aa 22 p 23 H 25 ss  1 to 2 re	THE COURT: Mr. Bienenstock.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Sorry.  THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Let's come back to Mr. Lauria. You've explored this issue. The witness has said your method is a method that's used. Beyond that, I don't see what the value of this is. It is a method.  MR. LAURIA: Well, again, Your Honor, as you know, we are the witness has testified about the importance of performing his fiduciary duties in connection with this deal. He's recognized that that is, in large part, reflected by delivering value to his stakeholders. And one of his largest stakeholders is getting equity in this company. And I'm trying	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	comes out. Now, whether from a bankruptcy standpoint that's allowable under 363, I'm sure I'll hear a lot of arguments about it, and I will anxiously await those arguments.  MR. LAURIA: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right? So let's move off this topic.  MR. LAURIA: Thank you.  THE COURT: You're welcome. I won't hold those five minutes against you.  MR. LAURIA: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay.  BY MR. LAURIA:  Q. Let me ask you, do you think it's relevant to the
15 16 17 to 18 si 19 si 20 21 a 22 p 23 F 24 d 25 si	MR. BIENENSTOCK: Sorry.  THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Let's come back to Mr. Lauria. You've explored this issue. The witness has said your method is a method that's used. Beyond that, I don't see what the value of this is. It is a method.  MR. LAURIA: Well, again, Your Honor, as you know, ware the witness has testified about the importance of performing his fiduciary duties in connection with this deal. He's recognized that that is, in large part, reflected by delivering value to his stakeholders. And one of his largest stakeholders is getting equity in this company. And I'm trying	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	allowable under 363, I'm sure I'll hear a lot of arguments about it, and I will anxiously await those arguments.  MR. LAURIA: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right? So let's move off this topic.  MR. LAURIA: Thank you.  THE COURT: You're welcome. I won't hold those five minutes against you.  MR. LAURIA: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay.  BY MR. LAURIA:  Q. Let me ask you, do you think it's relevant to the
16 17 to 18 si 19 si 20 21 a 22 p 23 F 24 d 25 si	THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Let's come back to Mr. Lauria. You've explored this issue. The witness has said your method is a method that's used. Beyond that, I don't see what the value of this is. It is a method.  MR. LAURIA: Well, again, Your Honor, as you know, ware the witness has testified about the importance of performing his fiduciary duties in connection with this deal. He's recognized that that is, in large part, reflected by delivering value to his stakeholders. And one of his largest stakeholders is getting equity in this company. And I'm trying	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	about it, and I will anxiously await those arguments.  MR. LAURIA: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right? So let's move off this topic.  MR. LAURIA: Thank you.  THE COURT: You're welcome. I won't hold those five minutes against you.  MR. LAURIA: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay.  BY MR. LAURIA:  Q. Let me ask you, do you think it's relevant to the
17 to 18 si 19 si 20 21 a 22 p 23 H 24 d 25 si 1 to 2 re	to Mr. Lauria. You've explored this issue. The witness has said your method is a method that's used. Beyond that, I don't see what the value of this is. It is a method.  MR. LAURIA: Well, again, Your Honor, as you know, we are the witness has testified about the importance of performing his fiduciary duties in connection with this deal. He's recognized that that is, in large part, reflected by delivering value to his stakeholders. And one of his largest stakeholders is getting equity in this company. And I'm trying	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. LAURIA: All right. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right? So let's move off this topic. MR. LAURIA: Thank you. THE COURT: You're welcome. I won't hold those five minutes against you. MR. LAURIA: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. BY MR. LAURIA: Q. Let me ask you, do you think it's relevant to the
18 s. 19 s. 20 21 a 22 p 23 H 24 d 25 s. 1	said your method is a method that's used. Beyond that, I don't see what the value of this is. It is a method.  MR. LAURIA: Well, again, Your Honor, as you know, we are the witness has testified about the importance of performing his fiduciary duties in connection with this deal. He's recognized that that is, in large part, reflected by delivering value to his stakeholders. And one of his largest stakeholders is getting equity in this company. And I'm trying	18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE COURT: All right? So let's move off this topic.  MR. LAURIA: Thank you.  THE COURT: You're welcome. I won't hold those five minutes against you.  MR. LAURIA: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay.  BY MR. LAURIA:  Q. Let me ask you, do you think it's relevant to the
19 so 20 21 a 22 p 23 H 24 d 25 so 2	MR. LAURIA: Well, again, Your Honor, as you know, we are the witness has testified about the importance of performing his fiduciary duties in connection with this deal. He's recognized that that is, in large part, reflected by delivering value to his stakeholders. And one of his largest stakeholders is getting equity in this company. And I'm trying	19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. LAURIA: Thank you.  THE COURT: You're welcome. I won't hold those five minutes against you.  MR. LAURIA: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay.  BY MR. LAURIA:  Q. Let me ask you, do you think it's relevant to the
20 21 a 22 p 23 H 24 d 25 s 1 to 2 re	MR. LAURIA: Well, again, Your Honor, as you know, we are the witness has testified about the importance of performing his fiduciary duties in connection with this deal. He's recognized that that is, in large part, reflected by delivering value to his stakeholders. And one of his largest stakeholders is getting equity in this company. And I'm trying	20 21 22 23 24	MR. LAURIA: Thank you.  THE COURT: You're welcome. I won't hold those five minutes against you.  MR. LAURIA: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay.  BY MR. LAURIA:  Q. Let me ask you, do you think it's relevant to the
21 a 22 p 23 H 24 d 25 s:  1 to 2 re	are the witness has testified about the importance of performing his fiduciary duties in connection with this deal. He's recognized that that is, in large part, reflected by delivering value to his stakeholders. And one of his largest stakeholders is getting equity in this company. And I'm trying	21 22 23 24	minutes against you.  MR. LAURIA: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay.  BY MR. LAURIA:  Q. Let me ask you, do you think it's relevant to the
22 p 23 H 24 d 25 si	performing his fiduciary duties in connection with this deal.  He's recognized that that is, in large part, reflected by delivering value to his stakeholders. And one of his largest stakeholders is getting equity in this company. And I'm trying	22 23 24	MR. LAURIA: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. BY MR. LAURIA: Q. Let me ask you, do you think it's relevant to the
23 H 24 d 25 si 1 to 2 re	He's recognized that that is, in large part, reflected by delivering value to his stakeholders. And one of his largest stakeholders is getting equity in this company. And I'm trying	23 24	THE COURT: Okay.  BY MR. LAURIA:  Q. Let me ask you, do you think it's relevant to the
24 d 25 si 1 to 2 re	delivering value to his stakeholders. And one of his largest stakeholders is getting equity in this company. And I'm trying	24	BY MR. LAURIA:  Q. Let me ask you, do you think it's relevant to the
25 si	stakeholders is getting equity in this company. And I'm trying		Q. Let me ask you, do you think it's relevant to the
1 to 2 re		25	
2 r	330		332
2 re			
2 re			
	to understand his assessment of his own performance with	1	performance of your fiduciary duties do you think it's
	respect to that. And I think we	2	relevant to the performance of your fiduciary duties if you
3	THE COURT: I think you're going far afield, though,	3	have one class of creditors who might be getting more than a
	with this. I mean, it just doesn't add much anymore.	4	hundred percent of what they're owed, and another class, a
5	MR. LAURIA: Well	5	senior class, getting less than a hundred percent?
6	THE COURT: I mean, we could all speculate about this	6	A. I'm not aware of anyone getting a hundred percent.
	value. Whatever the UAW did in its agreement, it addressed its	7	Q. I'm not asking that question.
	concerns, I assume, and that's it.	8	A. Okay. So your
9	MR. LAURIA: Well, the testimony, Your Honor, is	9	Q. Do you think it's relevant to the performance of your
	actually very clear that half of the current obligation owed is	10	fiduciary duties if one class of creditors, or one group of
	being satisfied with this interest in the reorganized company.	11	creditors, is getting more than a hundred percent of what
	And that's been acknowledged by the UAW in their solicitation	12	they're owed, and another class, a senior class, is getting
	materials to their membership. It's been acknowledged by a	13	less?
	number of witnesses on the record. So I don't think we have a	14	A. And I'm not aware of that as part of the decision I make.
	factual issue that we can argue about. What we really have is	15	Q. Again, that's not the question I'm asking you, sir. I'm
	counsel winding up here to engage in a legal argument	16	just asking if it's relevant if that were the case, would it
17	THE COURT: Now wait a minute. Wait a minute.	17	be relevant to the performance of your fiduciary duties as you
18	MR. LAURIA: and I really think we ought to save	18	understand them?
	the legal argument	19	MR. BIENENSTOCK: Objection, Your Honor. The
20	THE COURT: I heard you.	20	objection is the form of the question, and it assumes something
21	MR. LAURIA: for closing.	21	not in evidence. When counsel says getting something, getting
22	THE COURT: Stop talking. Enough is enough. You	22	it from where?
	have the record for what you want. There is records that need	23	MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, this is a hypothetical and
	the record needs to be amplified on certain issues regarding	24	I'm just testing
25 y	your statement as to the value of the VEBA trust and	25	THE COURT: Yes, answer the hy the witness can 333

1	answer the hypothetical.	1	Q. All right. Is the DIP senior to or junior to the first
2	A. Hypothetically, it would depend upon it would depend	2	lien lenders' claim?
3	upon the environment and the situation, as far as my fiduciary	3	A. Junior.
4	responsibilities, in trying to make sure I've secured the best	4	Q. Junior. So, in fact, every dollar that the U.S. Treasury
5	value. I do understand that there is a prioritization of	5	has lent to the company till today is junior to the 6.9 billion
6	creditors.	6	dollars owed to the first lien lenders, correct?
7	Q. Well, what I'm trying to get at is the possibility that a	7	A. That is correct.
8	creditor is getting paid more than they're owed. Is that okay	8	Q. All right.
9	when you have other creditors that are not getting paid what	9	A. That first lien lenders elected not to contribute DIP
10	they're owed, from a fiduciary perspective, as you understand	10	money.
11	it?	11	MR. LAURIA: I'd like to strike the rest of the
12	A. Again, it's a hypothetical question. I'm not sure how to	12	answer, Your Honor.
13	answer that other than it would depend on the situation of what	13	THE COURT: All right, strike the rest.
14	was required to make sure you were, again, providing the best	14	Please just answer the question directly.
15	value in its entirety.	15	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
16	Q. All right. And it's fair to say that the company hasn't	16	THE COURT: Thank you.
17	done a valuation of the stock consideration that's going to any	17	(Pause)
18	of your stakeholders, is that correct?	18	BY MR. LAURIA:
19	A. The allocation	19	Q. Did it come to a point in time when you understood that
20	MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor.	20	negotiations with the first lien lenders and the United States
21	THE COURT: Well, he can answer I mean, just	21	Treasury were not progressing well?
22	answer the question: Has your company done an evaluation?	22	A. Yes, it did concern me that there, to my knowledge, were
23	THE WITNESS: No, the no, the allocation was	23	no discussions going on in the first half of that thirty-day
24	basically done, the negotiations, with the United States	24	period.
25	Treasury and the UAW on the VEBA. And I think I related to you	25	Q. The thirty-day period between?
	334		336
1	how the Fiat discussions ensued and then the balance of it for	1	A. The notification when so it would have been through
2	the United States Treasury.	2	beginning of April to the end of April.
3	MR. LAURIA:	3	Q. All right. And it was was it during that time period
4	Q. What was the basis for giving that balance to the United	4	when you first heard from the auto task force about the
5	States Treasury?	5	change the potential change in structure in the use of the
6	A. That was their determination of what they felt they had to		363?
7	have in exchange for their contribution.	7	A. Yes. I think somewhere during that period we were looking
8	Q. All right. And you're aware that every dollar of	8	at various alternatives, and 363 came up as an alternative to
9	contribution that the United States Treasury has put in is	9	Chapter 11 and then Chapter 7.
10	junior in terms of priority to the first lien loans, is that	10	Q. So would it be fair to say that the 363 structure may have
11	correct?	11	been, at least in part, a tactic to enhance negotiations with
12	A. Well, the money that they had put in, the four billion	12	the banks?
13	dollars, was junior. The DIP money, based on the fact that the		A. No, my understanding in the discussions was it was a way
14	first lien lenders accepted the two billion dollars, would not	14	to retain viability and be able to, again, put the new company
15	be junior. And	15	in a position of more viability: less debt, less cost, more
16	Q. Your testimony today is that the DIP is senior to the	16	restructuring.
17	money owed to the first lien lenders?	17	Q. More viability. I'm not sure I understand what you mean
18	A. Well, the money, as I understand the transaction, is that	18	by that.
19	the two billion dollars will be paid in cash. And, again, part	19	A. Well, as opposed to going into bankruptcy and trying to
20	of that is the certainty of cash versus the risk of equity	20	find a lender to fund us in its entirety, coming out of
21	going forward.	21	bankruptcy, versus the 363 allows us to put good assets in,
22	Q. Let me try to get this at this a different way.	22	cash out, and then the remaining assets would stay with OldCo.
23	A. Okay.	23	Q. But wasn't the problem here that the Treasury was saying
24	Q. Let's just suppose that the sale doesn't get approved.	24	to you that they would not advance the funds you wanted unless
25	A. Yes?	25	they got a deal with the senior secured lenders that was
	335		337

1	acceptable to them?	1	Q. Well, in the context of there not being an agreement
2	A. Well, certainly	2	between the first lien lenders and the United States Treasury,
3	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Should I just	3	is it your understanding that the 363 sale was a way to get the
4	say yes or no, or	4	deal done even though you didn't have that agreement?
5	MR. LAURIA: It would help.	5	A. Yes, it is a way.
6	THE COURT: Oh, take your choice	6	Q. I see. Now, when did you conclude that the first lien
7	THE WITNESS: Okay.	7	lenders could only get two billion dollars of value in a
8	MR. LAURIA: at this point.	8	Chrysler restructuring?
9	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.	9	A. My understanding was, again, the 29th that evening was
10	THE COURT: No, that's all right. We're all getting	10	when I got the final word that the UST was going to offer two
11	tired.	11	billion dollars cash to the first lien lenders.
12	THE WITNESS: Yeah.	12	Q. So that was not your decision?
13	A. 363 was only one option of trying to look at how we could	13	A. That was what the government was willing to fund.
14	emerge.	14	Q. Okay. So, now, I'm going to ask the question slightly
15	Q. Okay. I'm just asking you a simple question.	15	differently. When did you conclude that the secured lenders
16	A. I'm sorry, yeah. They haven't been very simple.	16	should get only two billion dollars of value?
17		17	•
	Q. Did you ever hear from the U.S. Treasury that they would		A. Well, I don't know about "should get", but when we did the
18	only make available to you the funds that you needed to keep	18	evaluation
19	running the company if they got a resolution of the first lien	19	Q. Well, that's what I'm asking you is when did you conclude
20	debt that was acceptable to them?	20	that the first lien lenders should get only two billion dollars
21	A. Yes, and other and the other concessions.	21	of value? And the reason I'm asking is going to back to that
22	Q. Right. And do you know what resolution they were looking		concept of your understanding your fiduciary duties. I think
23	for from the first lien debt?	23	you said that maximizing recovery and value for all your
24	A. The only thing I know	24	stakeholders is what you have to do to perform your fiduciary
25	THE WITNESS: If I can answer that? Yeah. Okay,	25	duties, right?
	338		340
1	Your Honor.	1	A. Yes, and it
2	A the meeting that I referenced earlier, when the four	2	Q. So let me just ask
3	major banks, Fiat and Chrysler were in the room, the first	3	A. Sure.
4	offer that was made to the first lien lenders was one billion	4	Q the question here. So I'm asking you when you thought
5	dollars.	5	that's what they should get, to get an understanding of your
6	Q. One billion dollars of	6	performance of your fiduciary duty to your first lien lenders.
7	A. Cash.	7	A. Okay, so in exercising my fiduciary responsibility,
8	Q. Cash or debt?	8	anything they got above what was a very as it turned out to
9	A. My understanding, it was cash.	9	be, a very aggressive liquidation analysis of 1.7 billion. And
10		10	at the time we closed the transaction, I guess it would have
	Q. All right.	± 0	at the time we crosed the transaction, I guess it would flave
1.7	A I may be wrong but it	11	
11	A. I may be wrong, but it	11	been more like 700 million in liquidation value.
12	Q. All right.	12	been more like 700 million in liquidation value.  Q. Well, you haven't closed the transaction yet, right?
12 13	Q. All right. A it was a billion dollars.	12 13	been more like 700 million in liquidation value.  Q. Well, you haven't closed the transaction yet, right?  A. No, sir.
12 13 14	<ul><li>Q. All right.</li><li>A it was a billion dollars.</li><li>Q. And what do you know what the first lien lenders</li></ul>	12 13 14	been more like 700 million in liquidation value.  Q. Well, you haven't closed the transaction yet, right?  A. No, sir.  Q. Okay. So we don't know what
12 13 14 15	<ul><li>Q. All right.</li><li>A it was a billion dollars.</li><li>Q. And what do you know what the first lien lenders responded to that?</li></ul>	12 13 14 15	been more like 700 million in liquidation value.  Q. Well, you haven't closed the transaction yet, right?  A. No, sir.  Q. Okay. So we don't know what  A. I'm sorry, I was referring to the transaction that was
12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. All right.</li> <li>A it was a billion dollars.</li> <li>Q. And what do you know what the first lien lenders responded to that?</li> <li>A. They asked to have a private follow-up session with Mr.</li> </ul>	12 13 14 15 16	been more like 700 million in liquidation value.  Q. Well, you haven't closed the transaction yet, right?  A. No, sir.  Q. Okay. So we don't know what  A. I'm sorry, I was referring to the transaction that was entered into on the I guess, the elements of the transaction
12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. All right.</li> <li>A it was a billion dollars.</li> <li>Q. And what do you know what the first lien lenders responded to that?</li> <li>A. They asked to have a private follow-up session with Mr. Rattner and Mr. Bloom.</li> </ul>	12 13 14 15 16	been more like 700 million in liquidation value.  Q. Well, you haven't closed the transaction yet, right?  A. No, sir.  Q. Okay. So we don't know what  A. I'm sorry, I was referring to the transaction that was entered into on the I guess, the elements of the transaction that we would close, the offers that are on the table and the
12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. All right.</li> <li>A it was a billion dollars.</li> <li>Q. And what do you know what the first lien lenders responded to that?</li> <li>A. They asked to have a private follow-up session with Mr. Rattner and Mr. Bloom.</li> <li>Q. All right. And were you did you hear about the course</li> </ul>	12 13 14 15 16 17	Deen more like 700 million in liquidation value.  Q. Well, you haven't closed the transaction yet, right?  A. No, sir.  Q. Okay. So we don't know what  A. I'm sorry, I was referring to the transaction that was entered into on the I guess, the elements of the transaction that we would close, the offers that are on the table and the banks accepting the first lien lenders accepting the two
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. All right.</li> <li>A it was a billion dollars.</li> <li>Q. And what do you know what the first lien lenders responded to that?</li> <li>A. They asked to have a private follow-up session with Mr. Rattner and Mr. Bloom.</li> <li>Q. All right. And were you did you hear about the course of these negotiations as those discussions went on?</li> </ul>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	been more like 700 million in liquidation value.  Q. Well, you haven't closed the transaction yet, right?  A. No, sir.  Q. Okay. So we don't know what  A. I'm sorry, I was referring to the transaction that was entered into on the I guess, the elements of the transaction that we would close, the offers that are on the table and the banks accepting the first lien lenders accepting the two billion dollars in cash.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. All right.</li> <li>A it was a billion dollars.</li> <li>Q. And what do you know what the first lien lenders responded to that?</li> <li>A. They asked to have a private follow-up session with Mr. Rattner and Mr. Bloom.</li> <li>Q. All right. And were you did you hear about the course of these negotiations as those discussions went on?</li> <li>A. The only thing I was aware is have we reached a resolution</li> </ul>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Deen more like 700 million in liquidation value.  Q. Well, you haven't closed the transaction yet, right?  A. No, sir.  Q. Okay. So we don't know what  A. I'm sorry, I was referring to the transaction that was entered into on the I guess, the elements of the transaction that we would close, the offers that are on the table and the banks accepting the first lien lenders accepting the two
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. All right.</li> <li>A it was a billion dollars.</li> <li>Q. And what do you know what the first lien lenders responded to that?</li> <li>A. They asked to have a private follow-up session with Mr. Rattner and Mr. Bloom.</li> <li>Q. All right. And were you did you hear about the course of these negotiations as those discussions went on?</li> </ul>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	been more like 700 million in liquidation value.  Q. Well, you haven't closed the transaction yet, right?  A. No, sir.  Q. Okay. So we don't know what  A. I'm sorry, I was referring to the transaction that was entered into on the I guess, the elements of the transaction that we would close, the offers that are on the table and the banks accepting the first lien lenders accepting the two billion dollars in cash.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. All right.</li> <li>A it was a billion dollars.</li> <li>Q. And what do you know what the first lien lenders responded to that?</li> <li>A. They asked to have a private follow-up session with Mr. Rattner and Mr. Bloom.</li> <li>Q. All right. And were you did you hear about the course of these negotiations as those discussions went on?</li> <li>A. The only thing I was aware is have we reached a resolution</li> </ul>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	been more like 700 million in liquidation value.  Q. Well, you haven't closed the transaction yet, right?  A. No, sir.  Q. Okay. So we don't know what  A. I'm sorry, I was referring to the transaction that was entered into on the I guess, the elements of the transaction that we would close, the offers that are on the table and the banks accepting the first lien lenders accepting the two billion dollars in cash.  Q. Now, isn't it true that you believe that Chrysler's
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. All right.</li> <li>A it was a billion dollars.</li> <li>Q. And what do you know what the first lien lenders responded to that?</li> <li>A. They asked to have a private follow-up session with Mr. Rattner and Mr. Bloom.</li> <li>Q. All right. And were you did you hear about the course of these negotiations as those discussions went on?</li> <li>A. The only thing I was aware is have we reached a resolution with the first lien lenders yet. But those I was not party</li> </ul>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Deen more like 700 million in liquidation value.  Q. Well, you haven't closed the transaction yet, right?  A. No, sir.  Q. Okay. So we don't know what  A. I'm sorry, I was referring to the transaction that was entered into on the I guess, the elements of the transaction that we would close, the offers that are on the table and the banks accepting the first lien lenders accepting the two billion dollars in cash.  Q. Now, isn't it true that you believe that Chrysler's business has significant value as a going concern? It's just a
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. All right.</li> <li>A it was a billion dollars.</li> <li>Q. And what do you know what the first lien lenders responded to that?</li> <li>A. They asked to have a private follow-up session with Mr. Rattner and Mr. Bloom.</li> <li>Q. All right. And were you did you hear about the course of these negotiations as those discussions went on?</li> <li>A. The only thing I was aware is have we reached a resolution with the first lien lenders yet. But those I was not party of, nor you know, nor did Mr. Rattner or Mr. Bloom convey to</li> </ul>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Deen more like 700 million in liquidation value.  Q. Well, you haven't closed the transaction yet, right?  A. No, sir.  Q. Okay. So we don't know what  A. I'm sorry, I was referring to the transaction that was entered into on the I guess, the elements of the transaction that we would close, the offers that are on the table and the banks accepting the first lien lenders accepting the two billion dollars in cash.  Q. Now, isn't it true that you believe that Chrysler's business has significant value as a going concern? It's just a yes or a no.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. All right. A it was a billion dollars. Q. And what do you know what the first lien lenders responded to that? A. They asked to have a private follow-up session with Mr. Rattner and Mr. Bloom. Q. All right. And were you did you hear about the course of these negotiations as those discussions went on? A. The only thing I was aware is have we reached a resolution with the first lien lenders yet. But those I was not party of, nor you know, nor did Mr. Rattner or Mr. Bloom convey to me where those discussions were as they basically went from one	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22	Deen more like 700 million in liquidation value.  Q. Well, you haven't closed the transaction yet, right?  A. No, sir.  Q. Okay. So we don't know what  A. I'm sorry, I was referring to the transaction that was entered into on the I guess, the elements of the transaction that we would close, the offers that are on the table and the banks accepting the first lien lenders accepting the two billion dollars in cash.  Q. Now, isn't it true that you believe that Chrysler's business has significant value as a going concern? It's just a yes or a no.  MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor.

1	says "Chrysler's business", is it Old Chrysler, is it New	1	that concern.
2	Chrysler?	2	(Pause)
3	THE COURT: All right, specify.	3	Q. I'd like to turn to tab 15. Recognize this document?
4	MR. LAURIA: It's current Chrysler's business.	4	A. Let me take a look at it, please.
5	THE COURT: All right.	5	Q. Take as long as you like, as long as the judge doesn't
6	MR. LAURIA: It's not Old Chrysler yet.	6	charge me for the time it takes.
7	THE COURT: One second, Mr. Lauria. And I'd ask	7	THE COURT: Well, I may charge you for the time it
8	counsel, use the microphone I should have told you this a	8	took you to ask the question.
9	while ago to your left that's on the table. And	9	MR. LAURIA: I was trying to eliminate questions,
10	MR. ARMSTRONG: I will.	10	Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: your objections then will probably get	11	A. Okay.
12	heard more quickly.	12	THE COURT: Time well spent.
13	Go ahead.	13	A. Okay.
14	BY MR. LAURIA:	14	Q. All right. You recognize this document?
15	Q. I'm talking about the current company.	15	A. Yes, sir.
16			
	A. The question is that it has tremendous value going	16	Q. Can you tell us what it is?
17	forward?	17	A. Yes, this is an exercise that we asked some of the team to
18	Q. Isn't it true that you believe Chrysler's business has	18	look at back in this is back in October and, again, as we
19	significant value as a going concern?	19	were looking at a variety of different alternatives to make
20	A. Yes, I believe that.	20	sure that we were exploring every possible avenue of what we
21	Q. Okay. And as a going concern, the value substantially	21	call here is breakup valuation; in other words, if we had to
22	exceeds two billion dollars, correct?	22	sell a portion of Chrysler to generate funds because we were
23	A. Well, I didn't finish. I think that	23	not able to get funds, what might that be?
24	Q. You answered the question I asked, which is why I'm asking	; 24	Q. All right. And so let's look at page 3.
25	you another question.	25	A. Yes?
	342		344
1	A. Oliver	,	O. This is a summary of the values that you thought you soul
1 2	A. Okay.	1 2	Q. This is a summary of the values that you thought you could
	Q. Okay? So as a going concern, the value of Chrysler is		realize in a breakup, is that correct?
3	substantially in excess of two billion dollars, correct?	3 4	A. No, not that I thought I could realize.
4	A. That will deter that will be determined upon its		Q. Well, who thought they could realize?
5	ability to perform going forward under NewCo.	5	A. The group that was doing this study at our request.
6	Q. Well, the business is going forward under NewCo, correct?		Q. All right.
7	A. Portions of it are, in addition to significant	7	A. If I thought I could get these, I probably would have done
8	contributions from Fiat.	8	it.
9	Q. But it is a going concern, correct?	9	Q. All right. And is it fair to say that there's an asset
10	A. We are Chrysler, as we know it today, will be	10	value with a low of 9.1 billion and a high of 25.7 billion?
11	liquidat will be basically broken up between old assets and	11	A. That was presented in the study.
12	new assets.	12	Q. All right. And any of those numbers would have been
13	Q. Are those old assets operating assets?	13	sufficient to pay the first lien lenders in cash in full,
14	A. No, they're not.	14	correct?
15	Q. No, they're not. So all the operating business is going	15	A. If, in fact, you could get that minus the liabilities.
16	to NewCo, correct?	16	Q. Well, the first lien lenders are first in line, aren't
17	A. Correct.	17	they?
18	Q. Right. So the income-generating business is going into	18	A. Yes.
19	NewCo?	19	Q. Okay. If you could turn back to page 2 for a second?
20	A. Yes.	20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Correct. All right, thank you. So this is a going-	21	Q. Is this a complete list of your business lines back in
22	concern reorganization, isn't it?	22	October of 2008?
23	A. It's a going concern with significantly more cash, less	23	A. Let me just look here at I don't see the Caliber on
24	debt, a different distribution, eight to ten billion dollars of	24	there. Am I missing I don't looks like there's a few
25	technology and product, intellectual property, being added to	25	missing.
	343		345
	313		313

1	Q. All right. Could you tell me which ones are missing?	1	Q. Okay. You well, why don't you read the first sentence?
2	A. It looks like the Caliber might be missing, now that I	2	A. "The objectors speculate that they personally may have
3	look, under car line. It's the one that's produced in	3	fared better if Chrysler liquidated."
4	Belvedere along with the Compass and the Patriot. So they may	4	Q. Where did you get that view?
5	have just assumed that they were going to drop that line. I	5	A. That's an assumption I made based upon the objections.
6	don't recall exactly. That's one that jumps out at me.	6	Q. Have you seen that statement in any of the objections?
7	Q. Oh, well, if you'd look at	7	A. No, but I can only assume, by stopping the deal, that
8	A. And I don't	8	would result in liquidation and the loss of thousands of jobs
9	Q the box	9	and so forth that you thought that you would be able to get a
10	A. Yeah.	10	better value than the two billion dollar cash that's been
11	Q on the bottom there.	11	accepted
12	A. Oh, yeah, okay. Nitro, Caliber okay, so there's	12	Q. But you never had a conversation with any of the objectors
13	there are eliminations of some of the vehicles.	13	and haven't seen any statement made by any of the objectors
14	Q. All right. So except for those eliminations, this	14	stating this is a position, have you?
15	reflects all of the	15	A. No, this is the first time you and I have met. And,
16	A. Yes.	16	again, I made the assumption based on the objection.
17	Q business lines at Chrysler?	17	Q. So it's actually you who was speculating on this
18	A. Yeah, I would say so, yes.	18	statement, not the objectors, correct?
19	Q. Are the current business lines of Chrysler?	19	A. Yeah, it's my interpretation of that.
20	A. Everything that's up here no, the Durango and the Aspen	20	Q. All right. Now, in paragraph number 6
21	are gone under "truck". Do you see that?	21	A. Yes?
22	Q. Yes.	22	Q you say that you were unable to get financing from
23	A. Durango and Aspen is gone. And then you would have to add		banks or other traditional sources, correct?
24	back Nitro, Caliber. The PT Cruiser will be gone this year.	24	A. Yes.
25	There the Altos the Sprinter is still up on top. So it's	25	Q. All right. And so as a result, and as a last resort,
	346		348
1	a little bit of a mix.	1	Chrysler approached the United States government for financial
2	Q. All right. So the company did engage in an exercise to	2	support
3	assess breaking itself up, is that correct?	3	A. Yes.
4	A. Yes, we did.	4	Q correct?
5	Q. Did you have any discussions with any third parties about	5	A. Yes.
6	selling any of these lines?	6	Q. Now, they indicated they would provide it, correct?
7	A. The only line we entered into with a third party where we	7	A. Given a set of criteria.
8	contracted Lazard was on the Viper. And then we did have Mr.	8	Q. Well, they have provided it and have billions so far,
9	LaSorda Mr. LaSorda led some discussions with some of the	9	right?
10	international car manufacturers as to, for example, would they	10	A. They provide it for in the first tranche. And then
11	be interested in picking up the Sebring/Avenger car lines. And	11	based on based upon the agreements that had been reached,
12	we were never able to consummate a deal that we felt	12	assuming that we get closing, they provided the DIP financing.
13	comfortable with on the value on some of these, which is why	13	Q. All right. So is that apparently is not a loan or
14	when I looked at the overall study, this paper study, that the	14	loans that they expect to have repaid, correct?
15	reality of being able to attain those values were just not	15	A. Well, it's not true as when we got the first four, they
16	realistic.	16	fully expected that to be repaid. And originally on the DIP
17	Q. So you never pursued this course of action?	17	financing, they expected, but subsequently have agreed, to
18	A. No. We looked at it. The values were way overstated	18	forego that.
19	based on the reality of the market and our experience. And so	19	Q. Well, do you think there's a reason the market wouldn't
20	we did not pursue this, other than what I had mentioned to you.	20	provide you any financing?
21	Q. All right. If we could go to your declaration, please?	21	A. Is there a reason the mark I think the market that
22	That's under tab 3.	22	would say, in traditional means of financing, that we were not
23	A. Okay. Okay.	23	viable.
24	Q. If you'd look at paragraph number 5 on page 3.	24	Q. All right, so would it be fair to say that the U.S.
25	A. Okay.	25	government has made loans to advance broader social purposes
	347		349

1	preserving jobs, avoiding harm to the economy, things like	1	Mr. Bienenstock, what are you objection to?
2	that?	2	MR. LAURIA: No speaking objection please, Your
3	A. I'm sure that part of that did factor into their	3	Honor.
4	consideration.	4	THE COURT: Just a minute.
5	Q. And, in fact, those have been some of the public	5	Mr. Bienen what is your objection?
6	statements made by the Treasury with respect to the loans,	6	MR. BIENENSTOCK: The question assumes facts not in
7	isn't it?	7	evidence, namely that the transaction doesn't respect the first
8	A. Yeah, but thankfully they did.	8	lenders' position.
9	Q. All right. Now, in fact, the Treasury and the executive	9	THE COURT: All right. Well, the question can be
10	branch have made a fairly large political investment in	10	asked answered. It was asked.
11	successfully reorganizing Chrysler, haven't they?	11	Go ahead.
12	A. Well, if we get through this and we close the deal, yes,	12	A. So the question, I just want to make sure, is that you
13	sir.	13	were reading from?
14	Q. So, success is important to the government, correct?	14	Q. Is there any reason why the transaction couldn't be
15	A. Well, success is important to me and all the people whose	15	accomplished, taking into account those high interests and
16	livelihood depends on this.	16	needs on the other side of the table, and still respect the
17	Q. Doesn't that tell you that you could have said no to some	17	first lien lenders' senior position?
18	of the UST's demands?	18	THE COURT: Why don't you just say "pay the first
19	A. For example, which demands?	19	lien lenders in full"?
20	Q. For example, that you pay your first lien lenders two	20	MR. LAURIA: Pardon me?
21	billion dollars.	21	THE COURT: Why don't you just phrase it as "pay the
22	A. Well, I guess at any point I could have objected and run	22	first lien lenders in full"?
23	the risk that they wouldn't finance and we would liquidate.	23	MR. LAURIA: Well, in fact, Your Honor, the first
24	Q. But that's not risk-free to the UST either, correct?	24	lien lenders were willing to take substantially
25	A. No. I think there was you know, I'm sure that they	25	THE COURT: No, wait, wait, I don't
	350		352
1	took all of that into consideration in those negotiations.	1	MR. LAURIA: less than payment in full.
2	Q. In fact, you know, the statements have been made that if	2	THE COURT: Don't negotiate with me. I'm telling you
2	Q. In fact, you know, the statements have been made that if this transaction isn't done that thousands of jobs will be lost	2	THE COURT: Don't negotiate with me. I'm telling you we can avoid the objection the issue that was raised in the
2 3 4	Q. In fact, you know, the statements have been made that if this transaction isn't done that thousands of jobs will be lost and great harm will be done to the economy; maybe the supply	2 3 4	THE COURT: Don't negotiate with me. I'm telling you we can avoid the objection the issue that was raised in the objection if you just say "paid in full".
2 3 4 5	Q. In fact, you know, the statements have been made that if this transaction isn't done that thousands of jobs will be lost and great harm will be done to the economy; maybe the supply chain will be damaged; maybe General Motors, maybe Ford wil	2 3 4 1 5	THE COURT: Don't negotiate with me. I'm telling you we can avoid the objection the issue that was raised in the objection if you just say "paid in full".  BY MR. LAURIA:
2 3 4 5 6	Q. In fact, you know, the statements have been made that if this transaction isn't done that thousands of jobs will be lost and great harm will be done to the economy; maybe the supply chain will be damaged; maybe General Motors, maybe Ford wil also suffer as well, which causes bigger ripples in the pond.	2 3 4 1 5 6	THE COURT: Don't negotiate with me. I'm telling you we can avoid the objection the issue that was raised in the objection if you just say "paid in full".  BY MR. LAURIA:  Q. Paid in full.
2 3 4 5 6	Q. In fact, you know, the statements have been made that if this transaction isn't done that thousands of jobs will be lost and great harm will be done to the economy; maybe the supply chain will be damaged; maybe General Motors, maybe Ford wil also suffer as well, which causes bigger ripples in the pond. Terrible thing, right?	2 3 4 1 5 6 7	THE COURT: Don't negotiate with me. I'm telling you we can avoid the objection the issue that was raised in the objection if you just say "paid in full".  BY MR. LAURIA: Q. Paid in full. A. I would assume that the determination was made that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. In fact, you know, the statements have been made that if this transaction isn't done that thousands of jobs will be lost and great harm will be done to the economy; maybe the supply chain will be damaged; maybe General Motors, maybe Ford wil also suffer as well, which causes bigger ripples in the pond. Terrible thing, right?  A. Cataclysmic compared to the issue	2 3 4 1 5 6 7	THE COURT: Don't negotiate with me. I'm telling you we can avoid the objection the issue that was raised in the objection if you just say "paid in full".  BY MR. LAURIA: Q. Paid in full. A. I would assume that the determination was made that putting out 6.9 billion dollars in cash was not an economically
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. In fact, you know, the statements have been made that if this transaction isn't done that thousands of jobs will be lost and great harm will be done to the economy; maybe the supply chain will be damaged; maybe General Motors, maybe Ford wil also suffer as well, which causes bigger ripples in the pond. Terrible thing, right?  A. Cataclysmic compared to the issue Q. So	2 3 4 1 5 6 7 8	THE COURT: Don't negotiate with me. I'm telling you we can avoid the objection the issue that was raised in the objection if you just say "paid in full".  BY MR. LAURIA: Q. Paid in full. A. I would assume that the determination was made that putting out 6.9 billion dollars in cash was not an economically acceptable solution to the only person we could find to put
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. In fact, you know, the statements have been made that if this transaction isn't done that thousands of jobs will be lost and great harm will be done to the economy; maybe the supply chain will be damaged; maybe General Motors, maybe Ford wil also suffer as well, which causes bigger ripples in the pond. Terrible thing, right?  A. Cataclysmic compared to the issue Q. So A on the table here.	2 3 4 1 5 6 7	THE COURT: Don't negotiate with me. I'm telling you we can avoid the objection the issue that was raised in the objection if you just say "paid in full".  BY MR. LAURIA: Q. Paid in full. A. I would assume that the determination was made that putting out 6.9 billion dollars in cash was not an economically acceptable solution to the only person we could find to put money in the deal.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. In fact, you know, the statements have been made that if this transaction isn't done that thousands of jobs will be lost and great harm will be done to the economy; maybe the supply chain will be damaged; maybe General Motors, maybe Ford wil also suffer as well, which causes bigger ripples in the pond. Terrible thing, right?  A. Cataclysmic compared to the issue Q. So A on the table here. Q. So the U.S. government has a pretty high interest, a	2 3 4 1 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE COURT: Don't negotiate with me. I'm telling you we can avoid the objection the issue that was raised in the objection if you just say "paid in full".  BY MR. LAURIA: Q. Paid in full. A. I would assume that the determination was made that putting out 6.9 billion dollars in cash was not an economically acceptable solution to the only person we could find to put money in the deal. Q. Well, you could have left the debt on the balance sheet,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. In fact, you know, the statements have been made that if this transaction isn't done that thousands of jobs will be lost and great harm will be done to the economy; maybe the supply chain will be damaged; maybe General Motors, maybe Ford wil also suffer as well, which causes bigger ripples in the pond. Terrible thing, right?  A. Cataclysmic compared to the issue Q. So A on the table here. Q. So the U.S. government has a pretty high interest, a pretty high need, in making sure that Chrysler stays alive,	2 3 4 1 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE COURT: Don't negotiate with me. I'm telling you we can avoid the objection the issue that was raised in the objection if you just say "paid in full".  BY MR. LAURIA: Q. Paid in full. A. I would assume that the determination was made that putting out 6.9 billion dollars in cash was not an economically acceptable solution to the only person we could find to put money in the deal. Q. Well, you could have left the debt on the balance sheet, or some of it on the balance sheet, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. In fact, you know, the statements have been made that if this transaction isn't done that thousands of jobs will be lost and great harm will be done to the economy; maybe the supply chain will be damaged; maybe General Motors, maybe Ford wil also suffer as well, which causes bigger ripples in the pond. Terrible thing, right?  A. Cataclysmic compared to the issue Q. So A on the table here. Q. So the U.S. government has a pretty high interest, a pretty high need, in making sure that Chrysler stays alive, wouldn't that be right?	2 3 4 1 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE COURT: Don't negotiate with me. I'm telling you we can avoid the objection the issue that was raised in the objection if you just say "paid in full".  BY MR. LAURIA: Q. Paid in full. A. I would assume that the determination was made that putting out 6.9 billion dollars in cash was not an economically acceptable solution to the only person we could find to put money in the deal. Q. Well, you could have left the debt on the balance sheet, or some of it on the balance sheet, right? A. Well, I proposed that and it was rejected, as we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. In fact, you know, the statements have been made that if this transaction isn't done that thousands of jobs will be lost and great harm will be done to the economy; maybe the supply chain will be damaged; maybe General Motors, maybe Ford wil also suffer as well, which causes bigger ripples in the pond. Terrible thing, right?  A. Cataclysmic compared to the issue Q. So A on the table here. Q. So the U.S. government has a pretty high interest, a pretty high need, in making sure that Chrysler stays alive, wouldn't that be right?  A. Sure. I think that is correct that they are genuinely	2 3 4 1 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: Don't negotiate with me. I'm telling you we can avoid the objection the issue that was raised in the objection if you just say "paid in full".  BY MR. LAURIA: Q. Paid in full. A. I would assume that the determination was made that putting out 6.9 billion dollars in cash was not an economically acceptable solution to the only person we could find to put money in the deal. Q. Well, you could have left the debt on the balance sheet, or some of it on the balance sheet, right? A. Well, I proposed that and it was rejected, as we discussed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. In fact, you know, the statements have been made that if this transaction isn't done that thousands of jobs will be lost and great harm will be done to the economy; maybe the supply chain will be damaged; maybe General Motors, maybe Ford wil also suffer as well, which causes bigger ripples in the pond. Terrible thing, right?  A. Cataclysmic compared to the issue Q. So A on the table here. Q. So the U.S. government has a pretty high interest, a pretty high need, in making sure that Chrysler stays alive, wouldn't that be right?  A. Sure. I think that is correct that they are genuinely interested in saving, you know, not only Chrysler but the	2 3 4 1 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE COURT: Don't negotiate with me. I'm telling you we can avoid the objection the issue that was raised in the objection if you just say "paid in full".  BY MR. LAURIA: Q. Paid in full. A. I would assume that the determination was made that putting out 6.9 billion dollars in cash was not an economically acceptable solution to the only person we could find to put money in the deal. Q. Well, you could have left the debt on the balance sheet, or some of it on the balance sheet, right? A. Well, I proposed that and it was rejected, as we discussed. Q. But you thought that that was viable?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. In fact, you know, the statements have been made that if this transaction isn't done that thousands of jobs will be lost and great harm will be done to the economy; maybe the supply chain will be damaged; maybe General Motors, maybe Ford wil also suffer as well, which causes bigger ripples in the pond. Terrible thing, right?  A. Cataclysmic compared to the issue Q. So A on the table here. Q. So the U.S. government has a pretty high interest, a pretty high need, in making sure that Chrysler stays alive, wouldn't that be right?  A. Sure. I think that is correct that they are genuinely interested in saving, you know, not only Chrysler but the supplier base because of the potential domino impact on GM,	2 3 4 1 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT: Don't negotiate with me. I'm telling you we can avoid the objection the issue that was raised in the objection if you just say "paid in full".  BY MR. LAURIA: Q. Paid in full. A. I would assume that the determination was made that putting out 6.9 billion dollars in cash was not an economically acceptable solution to the only person we could find to put money in the deal. Q. Well, you could have left the debt on the balance sheet, or some of it on the balance sheet, right? A. Well, I proposed that and it was rejected, as we discussed. Q. But you thought that that was viable? A. But I wasn't bringing the money to the table.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. In fact, you know, the statements have been made that if this transaction isn't done that thousands of jobs will be lost and great harm will be done to the economy; maybe the supply chain will be damaged; maybe General Motors, maybe Ford wil also suffer as well, which causes bigger ripples in the pond. Terrible thing, right?  A. Cataclysmic compared to the issue Q. So A on the table here. Q. So the U.S. government has a pretty high interest, a pretty high need, in making sure that Chrysler stays alive, wouldn't that be right?  A. Sure. I think that is correct that they are genuinely interested in saving, you know, not only Chrysler but the supplier base because of the potential domino impact on GM, Ford and other you know, other manufacturers.	2 3 4 1 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT: Don't negotiate with me. I'm telling you we can avoid the objection the issue that was raised in the objection if you just say "paid in full".  BY MR. LAURIA: Q. Paid in full. A. I would assume that the determination was made that putting out 6.9 billion dollars in cash was not an economically acceptable solution to the only person we could find to put money in the deal. Q. Well, you could have left the debt on the balance sheet, or some of it on the balance sheet, right? A. Well, I proposed that and it was rejected, as we discussed. Q. But you thought that that was viable? A. But I wasn't bringing the money to the table. Q. But as the fiduciary for everybody
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. In fact, you know, the statements have been made that if this transaction isn't done that thousands of jobs will be lost and great harm will be done to the economy; maybe the supply chain will be damaged; maybe General Motors, maybe Ford wil also suffer as well, which causes bigger ripples in the pond. Terrible thing, right?  A. Cataclysmic compared to the issue Q. So A on the table here. Q. So the U.S. government has a pretty high interest, a pretty high need, in making sure that Chrysler stays alive, wouldn't that be right?  A. Sure. I think that is correct that they are genuinely interested in saving, you know, not only Chrysler but the supplier base because of the potential domino impact on GM, Ford and other you know, other manufacturers. Q. So do you have any understanding of why this restructuring	2 3 4 1 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE COURT: Don't negotiate with me. I'm telling you we can avoid the objection the issue that was raised in the objection if you just say "paid in full".  BY MR. LAURIA: Q. Paid in full. A. I would assume that the determination was made that putting out 6.9 billion dollars in cash was not an economically acceptable solution to the only person we could find to put money in the deal. Q. Well, you could have left the debt on the balance sheet, or some of it on the balance sheet, right? A. Well, I proposed that and it was rejected, as we discussed. Q. But you thought that that was viable? A. But I wasn't bringing the money to the table. Q. But as the fiduciary for everybody A. Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. In fact, you know, the statements have been made that if this transaction isn't done that thousands of jobs will be lost and great harm will be done to the economy; maybe the supply chain will be damaged; maybe General Motors, maybe Ford wil also suffer as well, which causes bigger ripples in the pond. Terrible thing, right?  A. Cataclysmic compared to the issue Q. So A on the table here. Q. So the U.S. government has a pretty high interest, a pretty high need, in making sure that Chrysler stays alive, wouldn't that be right?  A. Sure. I think that is correct that they are genuinely interested in saving, you know, not only Chrysler but the supplier base because of the potential domino impact on GM, Ford and other you know, other manufacturers. Q. So do you have any understanding of why this restructuring couldn't be done and respect the senior lenders' first position	2 3 4 1 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: Don't negotiate with me. I'm telling you we can avoid the objection the issue that was raised in the objection if you just say "paid in full".  BY MR. LAURIA: Q. Paid in full. A. I would assume that the determination was made that putting out 6.9 billion dollars in cash was not an economically acceptable solution to the only person we could find to put money in the deal. Q. Well, you could have left the debt on the balance sheet, or some of it on the balance sheet, right? A. Well, I proposed that and it was rejected, as we discussed. Q. But you thought that that was viable? A. But I wasn't bringing the money to the table. Q. But as the fiduciary for everybody A. Yes, sir. Q you thought that was viable?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. In fact, you know, the statements have been made that if this transaction isn't done that thousands of jobs will be lost and great harm will be done to the economy; maybe the supply chain will be damaged; maybe General Motors, maybe Ford wil also suffer as well, which causes bigger ripples in the pond. Terrible thing, right?  A. Cataclysmic compared to the issue Q. So A on the table here. Q. So the U.S. government has a pretty high interest, a pretty high need, in making sure that Chrysler stays alive, wouldn't that be right?  A. Sure. I think that is correct that they are genuinely interested in saving, you know, not only Chrysler but the supplier base because of the potential domino impact on GM, Ford and other you know, other manufacturers. Q. So do you have any understanding of why this restructuring couldn't be done and respect the senior lenders' first position on the assets?	2 3 4 1 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE COURT: Don't negotiate with me. I'm telling you we can avoid the objection the issue that was raised in the objection if you just say "paid in full".  BY MR. LAURIA: Q. Paid in full. A. I would assume that the determination was made that putting out 6.9 billion dollars in cash was not an economically acceptable solution to the only person we could find to put money in the deal. Q. Well, you could have left the debt on the balance sheet, or some of it on the balance sheet, right? A. Well, I proposed that and it was rejected, as we discussed. Q. But you thought that that was viable? A. But I wasn't bringing the money to the table. Q. But as the fiduciary for everybody A. Yes, sir. Q you thought that was viable? A. And I presented that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. In fact, you know, the statements have been made that if this transaction isn't done that thousands of jobs will be lost and great harm will be done to the economy; maybe the supply chain will be damaged; maybe General Motors, maybe Ford wil also suffer as well, which causes bigger ripples in the pond. Terrible thing, right?  A. Cataclysmic compared to the issue Q. So A on the table here. Q. So the U.S. government has a pretty high interest, a pretty high need, in making sure that Chrysler stays alive, wouldn't that be right?  A. Sure. I think that is correct that they are genuinely interested in saving, you know, not only Chrysler but the supplier base because of the potential domino impact on GM, Ford and other you know, other manufacturers. Q. So do you have any understanding of why this restructuring couldn't be done and respect the senior lenders' first position on the assets?  A. No, I	2 3 4 1 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: Don't negotiate with me. I'm telling you we can avoid the objection the issue that was raised in the objection if you just say "paid in full".  BY MR. LAURIA: Q. Paid in full. A. I would assume that the determination was made that putting out 6.9 billion dollars in cash was not an economically acceptable solution to the only person we could find to put money in the deal. Q. Well, you could have left the debt on the balance sheet, or some of it on the balance sheet, right? A. Well, I proposed that and it was rejected, as we discussed. Q. But you thought that that was viable? A. But I wasn't bringing the money to the table. Q. But as the fiduciary for everybody A. Yes, sir. Q you thought that was viable? A. And I presented that. Q. Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. In fact, you know, the statements have been made that if this transaction isn't done that thousands of jobs will be lost and great harm will be done to the economy; maybe the supply chain will be damaged; maybe General Motors, maybe Ford wil also suffer as well, which causes bigger ripples in the pond. Terrible thing, right?  A. Cataclysmic compared to the issue Q. So A on the table here. Q. So the U.S. government has a pretty high interest, a pretty high need, in making sure that Chrysler stays alive, wouldn't that be right?  A. Sure. I think that is correct that they are genuinely interested in saving, you know, not only Chrysler but the supplier base because of the potential domino impact on GM, Ford and other you know, other manufacturers. Q. So do you have any understanding of why this restructuring couldn't be done and respect the senior lenders' first position on the assets?	2 3 4 1 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: Don't negotiate with me. I'm telling you we can avoid the objection the issue that was raised in the objection if you just say "paid in full".  BY MR. LAURIA: Q. Paid in full. A. I would assume that the determination was made that putting out 6.9 billion dollars in cash was not an economically acceptable solution to the only person we could find to put money in the deal. Q. Well, you could have left the debt on the balance sheet, or some of it on the balance sheet, right? A. Well, I proposed that and it was rejected, as we discussed. Q. But you thought that that was viable? A. But I wasn't bringing the money to the table. Q. But as the fiduciary for everybody A. Yes, sir. Q you thought that was viable? A. And I presented that. Q. Right. A. Yes, sir, and the people with the money rejected it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. In fact, you know, the statements have been made that if this transaction isn't done that thousands of jobs will be lost and great harm will be done to the economy; maybe the supply chain will be damaged; maybe General Motors, maybe Ford wil also suffer as well, which causes bigger ripples in the pond. Terrible thing, right?  A. Cataclysmic compared to the issue Q. So A on the table here. Q. So the U.S. government has a pretty high interest, a pretty high need, in making sure that Chrysler stays alive, wouldn't that be right?  A. Sure. I think that is correct that they are genuinely interested in saving, you know, not only Chrysler but the supplier base because of the potential domino impact on GM, Ford and other you know, other manufacturers. Q. So do you have any understanding of why this restructuring couldn't be done and respect the senior lenders' first position on the assets?  A. No, I MR. BIENENSTOCK: Objection. MR. LAURIA: He can answer the question.	2 3 4 1 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: Don't negotiate with me. I'm telling you we can avoid the objection the issue that was raised in the objection if you just say "paid in full".  BY MR. LAURIA: Q. Paid in full. A. I would assume that the determination was made that putting out 6.9 billion dollars in cash was not an economically acceptable solution to the only person we could find to put money in the deal. Q. Well, you could have left the debt on the balance sheet, or some of it on the balance sheet, right? A. Well, I proposed that and it was rejected, as we discussed. Q. But you thought that that was viable? A. But I wasn't bringing the money to the table. Q. But as the fiduciary for everybody A. Yes, sir. Q you thought that was viable? A. And I presented that. Q. Right. A. Yes, sir, and the people with the money rejected it. Q. Right, your third lien lenders?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. In fact, you know, the statements have been made that if this transaction isn't done that thousands of jobs will be lost and great harm will be done to the economy; maybe the supply chain will be damaged; maybe General Motors, maybe Ford wil also suffer as well, which causes bigger ripples in the pond. Terrible thing, right?  A. Cataclysmic compared to the issue Q. So A on the table here. Q. So the U.S. government has a pretty high interest, a pretty high need, in making sure that Chrysler stays alive, wouldn't that be right?  A. Sure. I think that is correct that they are genuinely interested in saving, you know, not only Chrysler but the supplier base because of the potential domino impact on GM, Ford and other you know, other manufacturers. Q. So do you have any understanding of why this restructuring couldn't be done and respect the senior lenders' first position on the assets?  A. No, I  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Objection.  MR. LAURIA: He can answer the question.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: The question	2 3 4 1 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE COURT: Don't negotiate with me. I'm telling you we can avoid the objection the issue that was raised in the objection if you just say "paid in full".  BY MR. LAURIA: Q. Paid in full. A. I would assume that the determination was made that putting out 6.9 billion dollars in cash was not an economically acceptable solution to the only person we could find to put money in the deal. Q. Well, you could have left the debt on the balance sheet, or some of it on the balance sheet, right? A. Well, I proposed that and it was rejected, as we discussed. Q. But you thought that that was viable? A. But I wasn't bringing the money to the table. Q. But as the fiduciary for everybody A. Yes, sir. Q you thought that was viable? A. And I presented that. Q. Right. A. Yes, sir, and the people with the money rejected it. Q. Right, your third lien lenders? A. Call them what you will. They were the only viable source
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. In fact, you know, the statements have been made that if this transaction isn't done that thousands of jobs will be lost and great harm will be done to the economy; maybe the supply chain will be damaged; maybe General Motors, maybe Ford wil also suffer as well, which causes bigger ripples in the pond. Terrible thing, right?  A. Cataclysmic compared to the issue Q. So A on the table here. Q. So the U.S. government has a pretty high interest, a pretty high need, in making sure that Chrysler stays alive, wouldn't that be right?  A. Sure. I think that is correct that they are genuinely interested in saving, you know, not only Chrysler but the supplier base because of the potential domino impact on GM, Ford and other you know, other manufacturers. Q. So do you have any understanding of why this restructuring couldn't be done and respect the senior lenders' first position on the assets?  A. No, I MR. BIENENSTOCK: Objection. MR. LAURIA: He can answer the question.	2 3 4 1 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: Don't negotiate with me. I'm telling you we can avoid the objection the issue that was raised in the objection if you just say "paid in full".  BY MR. LAURIA: Q. Paid in full. A. I would assume that the determination was made that putting out 6.9 billion dollars in cash was not an economically acceptable solution to the only person we could find to put money in the deal. Q. Well, you could have left the debt on the balance sheet, or some of it on the balance sheet, right? A. Well, I proposed that and it was rejected, as we discussed. Q. But you thought that that was viable? A. But I wasn't bringing the money to the table. Q. But as the fiduciary for everybody A. Yes, sir. Q you thought that was viable? A. And I presented that. Q. Right. A. Yes, sir, and the people with the money rejected it. Q. Right, your third lien lenders?

1	Q. Well, were they anything but your third lien lender at the	1	do with nondebtor SUBs, setoffs, recoupments and other issues
2	time?	2	going to the mechanics of the relief that they're asking in
3	A. Well, the second lien agreed to go away.	3	the totality in the sense of the sale free and clear.
4	Q. Okay, but they were still the third lien lender, correct?	4	THE COURT: And that's what you're going to cover in
5	A. Yes.	5	your examination?
6	Q. Okay.	6	MR. BIENENSTOCK: I'm going to cover comments that
7	A. They would have been subordinate to the first.	7	the witness made about Chrysler Financial; that's my only
8	THE COURT: Are you almost finished?	8	interest.
9	(Pause)	9	THE COURT: Well, all right, I'll allow it as long as
10	MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, I'll do you one better; I'll	10	it stays in a very narrow frame about Chrysler Financial. And
11	say I am finished.	11	you can do it after we take the break.
12	THE COURT: Thank you.	12	MR. BIENENSTOCK: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	Is there any	13	MR. BROMLEY: Your Honor, I also have some questions
14	MS. BROWN: Your Honor, I have about thirty	14	which have to do with the same issue, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: About thirty minutes?	15	THE COURT: If you limit it to the comments made
16	MS. BROWN: Yeah.	16	about the UAW. And, Mr. Pantaleo, you have
17	MR. BIENENSTOCK: Your Honor, I have five.	17	MR. PANTALEO: No. No, Your Honor.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	18	
18 19	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And I have ten, Your Honor.	19	THE COURT: You just wanted to stand up?
	THE COURT: All right, well, now we're up to forty-	20	MR. PANTALEO: Just I just wanted to stand up.
20	five. I think what we'll do is I assume there'll be		THE COURT: Let's start again at 7:15.
21	redirect, or not?	21	(Recess from 6:57 p.m. until 7:15 p.m.)
22	MR. ARMSTRONG: Just a little.	22	THE COURT: Please be seated. All right, before you
23	THE COURT: All right, why don't we take it seems	23	begin, I ask that Mr. Bromley and Mr. Bienenstock are they
24	like people need a break, including myself.	24	back?
25	Mr. Lauria?	25	MR. BIENENSTOCK: Yes, Your Honor.
	354		356
1	MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, Mr. Bienenstock, I don't	1	THE COURT: All right. I think structurally it's
2	believe, is an objector, so I don't believe that he would	2	better that you address your issues on redirect so the
3	properly be taking cross-examination here. So I think he kind	3	objectors have an opportunity to respond to them, because I
4	of missed his opportunity if he wanted to elicit testimony in	4	think you're looking for more clarification points that would
5	support of the transaction.	5	be better dealt with that way. So hold off until we get to
6	THE COURT: Did you file an objection, Mr.	6	redirect. And then on recross, to the extent any objector
7	Bienenstock?	7	takes issue with whatever questions took place, or answers, it
8	MR. BIENENSTOCK: Your Honor, we filed two pleadings		can be done then.
9	last week listing problems we had with the sale transaction,	9	Mr. Mayer, would you which
10	which are tantamount to objections, and we've also been	10	MR. MAYER: I'm sorry, Your Honor. When you called
11	negotiating them constantly. Also, the examination I want to	11	the break, I was out with Mr. Hyland (ph.) trying to make sure
12	do, which would be done in five minutes, I believe, or less,	12	that I wouldn't have to ask any questions. And we're not quite
13	results from things that came up during Mr. Lauria's cross-	13	there, and I would want to put my name in the queue for no mor
14	examination of the witness.	14	than five minutes.
15	THE COURT: And then it should be conducted in	15	THE COURT: On redirect.
16	redirect.	16	MR. MAYER: On either recross or redirect, I don't
1 77	MD DIENENGTOCK, That C	1	
17	MR. BIENENSTOCK: That's fine.	17	care.
18	THE COURT: You	18	THE COURT: On redirect. It'll give you more time.
18 19	THE COURT: You MR. LAURIA: If at all, Your Honor, but in that he	18 19	THE COURT: On redirect. It'll give you more time.  MR. LAURIA: The committee is here to support the
18 19 20	THE COURT: You MR. LAURIA: If at all, Your Honor, but in that he didn't participate in the direct, I don't know you get into	18 19 20	THE COURT: On redirect. It'll give you more time.  MR. LAURIA: The committee is here to support the transaction, I presume.
18 19 20 21	THE COURT: You MR. LAURIA: If at all, Your Honor, but in that he didn't participate in the direct, I don't know you get into redirect.	18 19 20 21	THE COURT: On redirect. It'll give you more time.  MR. LAURIA: The committee is here to support the transaction, I presume.  MR. MAYER: We have some questions with respect to
18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: You MR. LAURIA: If at all, Your Honor, but in that he didn't participate in the direct, I don't know you get into redirect.  THE COURT: What did you file an objection to as	18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: On redirect. It'll give you more time.  MR. LAURIA: The committee is here to support the transaction, I presume.  MR. MAYER: We have some questions with respect to certain issues that may not be necessary. I don't think I need
18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: You MR. LAURIA: If at all, Your Honor, but in that he didn't participate in the direct, I don't know you get into redirect.	18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: On redirect. It'll give you more time.  MR. LAURIA: The committee is here to support the transaction, I presume.  MR. MAYER: We have some questions with respect to certain issues that may not be necessary. I don't think I need to answer Mr. Lauria's question.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE COURT: You MR. LAURIA: If at all, Your Honor, but in that he didn't participate in the direct, I don't know you get into redirect.  THE COURT: What did you file an objection to as	18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: On redirect. It'll give you more time.  MR. LAURIA: The committee is here to support the transaction, I presume.  MR. MAYER: We have some questions with respect to certain issues that may not be necessary. I don't think I need
18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: You MR. LAURIA: If at all, Your Honor, but in that he didn't participate in the direct, I don't know you get into redirect.  THE COURT: What did you file an objection to as well? Did you file didn't you file an objection to	18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: On redirect. It'll give you more time.  MR. LAURIA: The committee is here to support the transaction, I presume.  MR. MAYER: We have some questions with respect to certain issues that may not be necessary. I don't think I need to answer Mr. Lauria's question.

1	All right, go ahead.	1	Q. That's not what I'm assuming. I asked if they're a
2	MS. BROWN: Amy Brown	2	revenue source and you answered yes, correct?
3	THE COURT: One second.	3	A. Yes.
4	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Are we still on cross?	4	Q. And you are seeking to terminate or to reject their
5	THE COURT: Yes, we're still on cross-examination.	5	dealership agreements, correct?
6	MS. BROWN: Good evening, Your Honor. I'm Amy Brow	n 6	A. Yes.
7	from Squire Sanders, and I'm here on behalf of the committee of	7	Q. Mr. Nardelli, you testified earlier that there isn't a
8	Chrysler affected dealers.	8	community that isn't touched by a dealer, isn't that right?
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION	9	A. Yes, I did.
10	BY MS. BROWN:	10	Q. And, so, rejecting these dealers will have devastating
11	Q. Good evening, Mr. Nardelli.	11	effects not only on the rejected dealers but the dealers'
12	A. Good evening.	12	employees and their communities, right?
13	Q. I'm looking at Debtors' Exhibit 33, which is your	13	A. Not in total.
14	declaration, and specifically paragraph 15.	14	Q. You understand that some dealerships are going to close,
15	THE COURT: All right. Is it the same Exhibit 3 that	15	correct?
16	was used?	16	A. Yes.
17	MS. BROWN: Exhibit 3	17	Q. And you understand that some dealers will have to file
18	THE COURT: I think it's probably easier for him to	18	bankruptcy, correct?
19	access Exhibit 3.	19	A. Yes.
20	A. Okay.	20	Q. And you understand that dealers, on average, employ fifty
21	Q. Paragraph 15, which is on page 6, looking at the last two	21	employees, correct?
22	sentences, do you see where it says "The sale would strengthen	22	A. I've heard a range between twenty-five to fifty.
23	Chrysler for the long term, benefiting all constituents,	23	Q. And even if it's twenty-five on average, that's tens of
24	including U.S. taxpayers, employees, creditors, dealers,	24	thousands of employees who will be impacted by Chrysler's
25	suppliers and secured lenders. In the end, our sole aim was to	25	decision to reject 789 dealership agreements, won't they?
	358		360
1	benefit everyone"? Do you see that?	1	A. Yes, similar to the 35,000 employees we've had to lay off.
2	A. Yes. Yes, ma'am.	2	Q. Mr. Nardelli, you testified that you made efforts to try
3	Q. And that's your declaration, correct?	3	to protect Chrysler's workers, correct?
4	A. Yes, ma'am.	4	A. Yes.
5	Q. But your aim with this proposed sale is not to benefit the	5	Q. What efforts did you make to protect these employees of
6	789 dealers whose dealership agreements Chrysler is seeking to		the 789 dealers that you're seeking to reject?
7	reject, right?	7	A. Well, what I did was try to make a decision to avoid
8	A. Well, unfortunately	8	liquidation that would have affected 140,000 employees of
9	Q. It's a yes-or-no answer.	9	dealers, and unfortunately, as you go through this process,
10	THE WITNESS: I don't know how to answer that yes or		there were dealers and employees of dealers affected; there
11	no, Your Honor.	11	were employees within Chrysler affected; there were suppliers
12	Q. Mr. Nardelli, you would agree with me that the sale will	12	affected; there were first lien, second lien creditors
13	not benefit the 789 dealers whose dealership agreements that	13	affected. Unfortunately, the process had negative impact
14	Chrysler is seeking to reject, right?	14	across the board on a lot of constituents.
15	A. Correct.	15	Q. Mr. Nardelli, if I understand your testimony correctly
16	Q. And you're aware, aren't you, that these 789 dealers	16	then, you did nothing to protect the employees of these 789
17	represented 14 percent of Chrysler's sales last year?	17	dealers, isn't that correct?
18	A. Correct.	18	A. I guess I would not agree with that.
19	Q. So, rejecting these dealers will cut off a revenue source	19	Q. And what did you do, then, to protect the employees of the
20	for Chrysler, right?	20	789 dealers that you're whose dealership agreements you're
21	A. No.	21	seeking to reject?
22	Q. These dealers are a revenue source for Chrysler, aren't	22	A. Well, we're working very closely with the 789 dealers.
23	they?	23	The volume of inventory, for example, we started with, Your
		24	Honor, was somewhere around 40,000 units; it's down to 36. W
24	A. Yes, but you're assuming that none of the remaining		
24 25	A. Yes, but you're assuming that none of the remaining dealers will pick up that revenue.  359	25	have successfully negotiated to place about 15,000 of those  361

1			
1	units so that they will be compensated. There are about 125	1	Q. My question is has Chrysler made any effort to quantify
2	dealers that have rejected our help in redistributing that.	2	the cost savings associated with specialty tools in rejecting
3	We've worked with the adjacent dealers to reach out and try to		the 789 dealerships' agreements?
4	employ	4	A. I'm not aware that we would look at, going forward, what
5	MS. BROWN: Your Honor, I would move to strike Mr		the cost of new tools would be that we would not have to incur
6	Nardelli's testimony.	6	with 789 less dealers.
7	THE COURT: You asked him what he's done to help	7	Q. So it's fair to say, then, that Chrysler has not
8	them.	8	quantified the cost savings that it believes it will achieve by
9	MS. BROWN: To help the employees of the dealers.	9	rejecting the 789 dealers with respect to specialty tools?
10	A. I was saying we've asked before I was	10	A. No, I said I wasn't aware of that. I would assume that
11	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, may I finish, Your Honor?	11	Jim Press and the marketing sales organization would have some
12	THE COURT: Go ahead and finish.	12	feel for that.
13	A. We've asked the adjacent dealers to certainly reach out	13	Q. But you don't know, sitting here today?
14	and try to employ the skill trades, the technicians, the	14	A. No. No, ma'am, I don't.
15	salesmen and women in those affected dealerships. So we're	15	Q. And how about service training? Are you aware of any
16	trying to do everything we can to help the 789 dealers.	16	efforts to quantify the cost that will be saved in service
17	Q. Are any of the retained dealers obligated to hire any of	17	training by rejecting the dealership agreements of the 789
18	the employees from the rejected dealers?	18	dealers?
19	A. No, they're not.	19	A. Not that I could provide you right now.
20	Q. Rejecting these 789 dealers will not save Chrysler any	20	Q. And what about dealer support? Have you made any has
21	direct expenses, will it?	21	Chrysler made any effort to quantify the cost savings it will
22	A. Yes, it will.	22	achieve by rejecting the 789 dealers with respect to dealer
23	Q. And what direct expenses will be saved?	23	support?
24	A. Well, every dealership there are specialty tools that	24	A. Yes, I'm sure that the advertising associated with dealer
25	the manufacturer have to supply. There is service training 362	25	support again, I don't have that number of what the average 364
	502		301
1	that we provide. There is dealer support in the local markets	1	would be for 789 dealers.
2	for advertising. There is incentive payments and spiffs that	2	Q. You testified that you're sure that's been done.
3	we run, those brochures. I mean, there's a host of expenses	3	A. Yes.
4	that go into supporting the dealers.	4	Q. Who did it?
5	Q. I would like for you to list every expense that will be	5	A. I would say the marketing and sales organization have done
6	saved by terminating the 789 dealers.	6	that.
7	A. I couldn't do	7	Q. Do you know for a fact it's been done?
8	MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor. I think he	8	A. No. I would assume that it would it had been done.
9	just did that.	9	Q. So you're assuming it's been done?
10	THE COURT: It's certainly excuse me. What do you	10	A. Yes.
11	want him to list in addition to what he just did?	11	Q. But sitting here today, you cannot testify with certainty
12	MS. BROWN: Mr. Nardelli testified that there are a	12	that it's been done?
	host of expenses, and I want to understand what that alleged	13	A. I have not seen those numbers.
13	nost of expenses, and I want to understand what that aneged	1 1	O WI + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1
13 14	host of expenses are. If there are none in addition to the	14	Q. What about incentive payments? Has Chrysler made any
		15	effort to quantify the cost savings with respect to incentive
14	host of expenses are. If there are none in addition to the		
14 15	host of expenses are. If there are none in addition to the four he's listed, that's fine.	15 16	effort to quantify the cost savings with respect to incentive
14 15 16	host of expenses are. If there are none in addition to the four he's listed, that's fine.  THE COURT: All right.	15 16	effort to quantify the cost savings with respect to incentive payments for rejecting the 789 dealers?
14 15 16 17	host of expenses are. If there are none in addition to the four he's listed, that's fine.  THE COURT: All right.  THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I'm sure there's more, and	15 16 17	effort to quantify the cost savings with respect to incentive payments for rejecting the 789 dealers?  A. Again, I'm sure that the amount of incentive payments that
14 15 16 17	host of expenses are. If there are none in addition to the four he's listed, that's fine.  THE COURT: All right.  THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I'm sure there's more, and to have a complete list we'd have to go back and either try to	15 16 17 18	effort to quantify the cost savings with respect to incentive payments for rejecting the 789 dealers?  A. Again, I'm sure that the amount of incentive payments that were made to those dealers can be calculated.
14 15 16 17 18	host of expenses are. If there are none in addition to the four he's listed, that's fine.  THE COURT: All right.  THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I'm sure there's more, and to have a complete list we'd have to go back and either try to find that or provide it.	15 16 17 18 19	effort to quantify the cost savings with respect to incentive payments for rejecting the 789 dealers?  A. Again, I'm sure that the amount of incentive payments that were made to those dealers can be calculated.  Q. And, again, you're assuming, correct?  A. Yes.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	host of expenses are. If there are none in addition to the four he's listed, that's fine.  THE COURT: All right.  THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I'm sure there's more, and to have a complete list we'd have to go back and either try to find that or provide it.  BY MS. BROWN:	15 16 17 18 19 20	effort to quantify the cost savings with respect to incentive payments for rejecting the 789 dealers?  A. Again, I'm sure that the amount of incentive payments that were made to those dealers can be calculated.  Q. And, again, you're assuming, correct?  A. Yes.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	host of expenses are. If there are none in addition to the four he's listed, that's fine.  THE COURT: All right.  THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I'm sure there's more, and to have a complete list we'd have to go back and either try to find that or provide it.  BY MS. BROWN:  Q. Mr. Nardelli, has Chrysler made any effort to quantify the	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	effort to quantify the cost savings with respect to incentive payments for rejecting the 789 dealers?  A. Again, I'm sure that the amount of incentive payments that were made to those dealers can be calculated.  Q. And, again, you're assuming, correct?  A. Yes.  Q. And you don't know for sure whether Chrysler has made any
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	host of expenses are. If there are none in addition to the four he's listed, that's fine.  THE COURT: All right.  THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I'm sure there's more, and to have a complete list we'd have to go back and either try to find that or provide it.  BY MS. BROWN:  Q. Mr. Nardelli, has Chrysler made any effort to quantify the cost savings related to specialty tools for rejecting the	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	effort to quantify the cost savings with respect to incentive payments for rejecting the 789 dealers?  A. Again, I'm sure that the amount of incentive payments that were made to those dealers can be calculated.  Q. And, again, you're assuming, correct?  A. Yes.  Q. And you don't know for sure whether Chrysler has made any effort to quantify the cost savings it will achieve by
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	host of expenses are. If there are none in addition to the four he's listed, that's fine.  THE COURT: All right.  THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I'm sure there's more, and to have a complete list we'd have to go back and either try to find that or provide it.  BY MS. BROWN:  Q. Mr. Nardelli, has Chrysler made any effort to quantify the cost savings related to specialty tools for rejecting the dealership agreements for the 789 dealers?	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	effort to quantify the cost savings with respect to incentive payments for rejecting the 789 dealers?  A. Again, I'm sure that the amount of incentive payments that were made to those dealers can be calculated.  Q. And, again, you're assuming, correct?  A. Yes.  Q. And you don't know for sure whether Chrysler has made any effort to quantify the cost savings it will achieve by rejecting the dealership agreements for the 789 dealers?

1			
1	Q. But I'm asking you if you know of it.	1	A. I don't know if there were performance letters in the mill
2	A. I have not seen that document.	2	or being contemplated to be sent to some of those dealers based
3	Q. Don't dealers pay for specialty tools?	3	upon, again, performance criteria.
4	A. In some cases they pay for tools and in some cases we	4	Q. So the answer is you don't know?
5	provide my understanding is we provide some specialty tools		A. I don't know of the 789 whether any had already been
6	I	6	identified as poor performers or redundant facilities in the
7	Q. And don't dealers pay for training?	7	marketing area.
8	A. In some cases they do and in some cases I know that we	8	Q. This idea of rejecting dealership agreements first came up
9	have a pretty extensive service agreement to provide training	9	when the idea of filing for bankruptcy was raised, correct?
10	to the dealerships.	10	A. No, there were discussions, again, as part of the overall
11	Q. And don't the dealers reimburse for that service training?	11	initiative as to again, as we looked at every area of
12	A. Not in total.	12	opportunity and cost and cost elimination. So it was not
13	Q. What percentage do they reimburse?	13	something that was decided after bankruptcy, if that's your
14	A. I don't know. I don't know.	14	question, after we filed.
15	Q. Prior to bankruptcy, Chrysler was not considering a mass	15	Q. But was it raised in connection with filing bankruptcy?
16	termination of its dealership agreements, was it?	16	A. Well, bankruptcy, certainly under the 363 in Bankruptcy
17	A. Well, prior to bankruptcy, one of the initiatives that was	17	Code, allowed us to accelerate the plan.
18	in place when I got there was a rationalization of the dealer	18	Q. Because there was not a plan to terminate the dealership
19	network, and Mr. Press advanced that under the plans called	19	agreements of the 789 dealers prior to June 9th, was there?
20	Genesis.	20	A. There may have been some, as I said, on an ongoing basis
21	Q. And under Project Genesis, the dealer network was to be	21	where there's a dealer review committee that looks at the
22	rationalized by 2011, correct?	22	performance of various dealers across the country.
23	A. I don't remember the exact date, but it was over a period	23	Q. Is it your understanding that if Chrysler sought to
24	of time through rationalization and elimination that the	24 25	terminate a dealership agreement outside of bankruptcy it would
25	Genesis program was in place.	25	have to comply with the state dealer laws?
	300		300
1	Q. So it was over a period of time, correct?	1	A. I'm not an expert. I understand there is state franchise
2	A. Yes, it was.	2	laws, which is why, again, you would have a, as I understand
3	Q. And there were no current plans prior to bankruptcy to	3	it, a performance letter issued to the dealership, and they
4	reject the dealership agreements of 789 dealers, was there?	4	would have a remedy period to try and correct that situation.
5	A. No, that 789 was the outgrowth of the Genesis plan;	5	Q. But you're not sure if that was in place for any of the
6	otherwise they would, you know, not have been able to determine	, 6	789 dealers, correct?
7	the appropriate dealership. So it would be incorrect to say	7	A. No.
8	that a lot of those dealers I can't attest to everyone, but	8	Q. How does the dealer network represent a liability on
9	a lot of those dealerships that were a single brand or may not	9	Chrysler's balance sheet?
10	have been achieving the performance in the MSA may have been	10	A. A liability? I'm not sure it does show as a liability.
11	part of the Genesis program.	11	Q. Is there any liabilities associated with the dealer
12	Q. But they were not scheduled to be terminated prior to June	12	network on Chrysler's balance sheet?
13	9th, were they?	13	A. Well, if you assume the incentive payments that we owe the
	A. No.	14	dealers, we carry those as a liability, as a forward-going
14	A. NO.		dealers, we early those as a hability, as a followard-going
14 15	Q. In fact, are you aware of whether there was any plan to	15	liability. And then there's the ongoing operating expense; the
15	Q. In fact, are you aware of whether there was any plan to	15	liability. And then there's the ongoing operating expense; the
15 16	Q. In fact, are you aware of whether there was any plan to terminate any one of the 789 dealers that Chrysler is now	15 16	liability. And then there's the ongoing operating expense; the marketing support; as I mentioned earlier, the training; the
15 16 17	Q. In fact, are you aware of whether there was any plan to terminate any one of the 789 dealers that Chrysler is now seeking to reject?	15 16 17 18	liability. And then there's the ongoing operating expense; the marketing support; as I mentioned earlier, the training; the inventory; the floor planning.
15 16 17 18	Q. In fact, are you aware of whether there was any plan to terminate any one of the 789 dealers that Chrysler is now seeking to reject?  A. No, I think the only thing I could is I believe there	15 16 17 18	liability. And then there's the ongoing operating expense; the marketing support; as I mentioned earlier, the training; the inventory; the floor planning.  Q. What do you mean by "the floor planning"?
15 16 17 18 19	Q. In fact, are you aware of whether there was any plan to terminate any one of the 789 dealers that Chrysler is now seeking to reject?  A. No, I think the only thing I could is I believe there was somewhere around 150 dealers had terminated through the	15 16 17 18	liability. And then there's the ongoing operating expense; the marketing support; as I mentioned earlier, the training; the inventory; the floor planning.  Q. What do you mean by "the floor planning"?  A. Well, there again, if you think of you know how the
15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. In fact, are you aware of whether there was any plan to terminate any one of the 789 dealers that Chrysler is now seeking to reject?  A. No, I think the only thing I could is I believe there was somewhere around 150 dealers had terminated through the first quarter of this year.	15 16 17 18 19 20	liability. And then there's the ongoing operating expense; the marketing support; as I mentioned earlier, the training; the inventory; the floor planning.  Q. What do you mean by "the floor planning"?  A. Well, there again, if you think of you know how the system is; we have to pay the sometimes we pay subvented
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. In fact, are you aware of whether there was any plan to terminate any one of the 789 dealers that Chrysler is now seeking to reject?</li> <li>A. No, I think the only thing I could is I believe there was somewhere around 150 dealers had terminated through the first quarter of this year.</li> <li>Q. But my question involved the 789 dealers that Chrysler is</li> </ul>	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	liability. And then there's the ongoing operating expense; the marketing support; as I mentioned earlier, the training; the inventory; the floor planning.  Q. What do you mean by "the floor planning"?  A. Well, there again, if you think of you know how the system is; we have to pay the sometimes we pay subvented rates on retailing of floor-planned items to support the dealer
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. In fact, are you aware of whether there was any plan to terminate any one of the 789 dealers that Chrysler is now seeking to reject?</li> <li>A. No, I think the only thing I could is I believe there was somewhere around 150 dealers had terminated through the first quarter of this year.</li> <li>Q. But my question involved the 789 dealers that Chrysler is now seeking to reject.</li> </ul>	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	liability. And then there's the ongoing operating expense; the marketing support; as I mentioned earlier, the training; the inventory; the floor planning.  Q. What do you mean by "the floor planning"?  A. Well, there again, if you think of you know how the system is; we have to pay the sometimes we pay subvented rates on retailing of floor-planned items to support the dealer in trying to get a retail transaction. So we would subsidize
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. In fact, are you aware of whether there was any plan to terminate any one of the 789 dealers that Chrysler is now seeking to reject?</li> <li>A. No, I think the only thing I could is I believe there was somewhere around 150 dealers had terminated through the first quarter of this year.</li> <li>Q. But my question involved the 789 dealers that Chrysler is now seeking to reject.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	liability. And then there's the ongoing operating expense; the marketing support; as I mentioned earlier, the training; the inventory; the floor planning.  Q. What do you mean by "the floor planning"?  A. Well, there again, if you think of you know how the system is; we have to pay the sometimes we pay subvented rates on retailing of floor-planned items to support the dealer in trying to get a retail transaction. So we would subsidize the dealer by paying FinCo a subvented rate.

1	A. Oh, sure. We would have been if they if the	1	to lay off and 8 6, 7, 8 factories we had to close. I mean,
2	customer took a subvented rate, then we would have subsidized	2	these are gut-wrenching decisions; nobody enjoys them. I
3	it to the finance companies.	3	certainly haven't enjoyed it.
4	Q. And how many dealers were involved with I believe it's	4	Q. So you really didn't save 3,000 dealers, because you're
5	Chrysler Financial?	5	seeking to reject 789 of them?
6	A. I think about I don't recall. I think somewhere around	6	A. Well, I think I don't know the exact number. I think
7	seventy-five to eighty percent of the dealers were either	7	there was 3,600. And so it's around 3,000 that are still
8	floor-planning or using some form of retail support from	8	retained.
9	Chrysler Financial. Some were using Chase; some were using	9	Q. Sitting here today, do you know how many dealers Chrysle
10	credit unions; and some were using local banks.	10	currently has?
11	Q. And has there been any effort by Chrysler to quantify the	11	A. It was somewhere around over 3,000 dealers.
12	cost associated with	12	Q. Currently, right now, they have over 3,000 dealers?
13	MS. BROWN: Strike that.	13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Is there has there been any effort by Chrysler to	14	Q. But you don't know specifically how many?
15	quantify the cost that it will save related to floor-planning	15	A. No, I don't because, you know, as I said, I think there
16	with respect to the 789 dealers?	16	was somewhere around 150 that terminated in the first quarter.
17	A. Well, it'd be more of the subvention that they would look	17	So it's a moving number.
18	at. But, again, I don't have that I don't have that number.	18	Q. And as I understood your testimony, then, you did not
19	Q. You don't know if that's been done?	19	specifically approach the auto task force regarding help with
20	A. No.	20	respect to the 789 dealers whose dealership agreements you're
21	Q. I believe you testified earlier that you met with the auto	21	now seeking to reject?
22	task force on March 9th of 2009, is that correct?	22	A. Correct.
23	A. Well, I don't what are you referencing a particular	23	Q. And why not?
24	meeting or	24	A. Well, as we looked at, again, all of the all the
25	Q. I believe you testified earlier that you discussed	25	various elements in trying to put together a viable enterprise,
	370		372
1	reducing the Chrysler dealer body with the auto task force in	1	unfortunately we it was determined that, you know, we would
1 -	reducing the emysici dedict body with the dato task force in		
2	March of 2009: is that correct?	2	
2	March of 2009; is that correct?	2	have to make some tough decisions in the dealer network; we
3	A. Well, I think what I said was that there were discussions.	3	have to make some tough decisions in the dealer network; we would have to make some tough decisions relative to the hourly
3 4	A. Well, I think what I said was that there were discussions. We looked at every operation, whether it was factories,	3 4	have to make some tough decisions in the dealer network; we would have to make some tough decisions relative to the hourly workforce, the salary workforce. We laid 5,000 people off
3 4 5	A. Well, I think what I said was that there were discussions.  We looked at every operation, whether it was factories, marketing, sales, et cetera. So I don't know if there was a	3 4 5	have to make some tough decisions in the dealer network; we would have to make some tough decisions relative to the hourly workforce, the salary workforce. We laid 5,000 people off 5,000 salaried people off the Wednesday before Thanksgiving in
3 4 5 6	A. Well, I think what I said was that there were discussions. We looked at every operation, whether it was factories, marketing, sales, et cetera. So I don't know if there was a specific if I referenced a specific date or not.	3 4 5 6	have to make some tough decisions in the dealer network; we would have to make some tough decisions relative to the hourly workforce, the salary workforce. We laid 5,000 people off 5,000 salaried people off the Wednesday before Thanksgiving in November. So these are all gut-wrenching decisions that we had
3 4 5 6 7	A. Well, I think what I said was that there were discussions.  We looked at every operation, whether it was factories, marketing, sales, et cetera. So I don't know if there was a specific if I referenced a specific date or not.  Q. Have you ever discussed reducing the dealer body with the	3 4 5 6 7	have to make some tough decisions in the dealer network; we would have to make some tough decisions relative to the hourly workforce, the salary workforce. We laid 5,000 people off 5,000 salaried people off the Wednesday before Thanksgiving in November. So these are all gut-wrenching decisions that we had to make.
3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Well, I think what I said was that there were discussions. We looked at every operation, whether it was factories, marketing, sales, et cetera. So I don't know if there was a specific if I referenced a specific date or not.  Q. Have you ever discussed reducing the dealer body with the auto task force?	3 4 5 6 7 8	have to make some tough decisions in the dealer network; we would have to make some tough decisions relative to the hourly workforce, the salary workforce. We laid 5,000 people off 5,000 salaried people off the Wednesday before Thanksgiving in November. So these are all gut-wrenching decisions that we had to make.  Q. But if you have not sought to quantify the costs of the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Well, I think what I said was that there were discussions.  We looked at every operation, whether it was factories, marketing, sales, et cetera. So I don't know if there was a specific if I referenced a specific date or not.  Q. Have you ever discussed reducing the dealer body with the auto task force?  A. Jim Press would have had that discussion with the auto	3 4 5 6 7 8	have to make some tough decisions in the dealer network; we would have to make some tough decisions relative to the hourly workforce, the salary workforce. We laid 5,000 people off 5,000 salaried people off the Wednesday before Thanksgiving in November. So these are all gut-wrenching decisions that we had to make.  Q. But if you have not sought to quantify the costs of the dealer network, why how is it a tough decision to reject
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Well, I think what I said was that there were discussions. We looked at every operation, whether it was factories, marketing, sales, et cetera. So I don't know if there was a specific if I referenced a specific date or not.  Q. Have you ever discussed reducing the dealer body with the auto task force?  A. Jim Press would have had that discussion with the auto task force.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	have to make some tough decisions in the dealer network; we would have to make some tough decisions relative to the hourly workforce, the salary workforce. We laid 5,000 people off 5,000 salaried people off the Wednesday before Thanksgiving in November. So these are all gut-wrenching decisions that we had to make.  Q. But if you have not sought to quantify the costs of the dealer network, why how is it a tough decision to reject 789?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Well, I think what I said was that there were discussions. We looked at every operation, whether it was factories, marketing, sales, et cetera. So I don't know if there was a specific if I referenced a specific date or not.  Q. Have you ever discussed reducing the dealer body with the auto task force?  A. Jim Press would have had that discussion with the auto task force.  Q. But were you involved at all in the discussion?	3 4 5 6 7 8	have to make some tough decisions in the dealer network; we would have to make some tough decisions relative to the hourly workforce, the salary workforce. We laid 5,000 people off 5,000 salaried people off the Wednesday before Thanksgiving in November. So these are all gut-wrenching decisions that we had to make.  Q. But if you have not sought to quantify the costs of the dealer network, why how is it a tough decision to reject 789?  A. Well, any time you have to terminate either a dealer or an
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Well, I think what I said was that there were discussions. We looked at every operation, whether it was factories, marketing, sales, et cetera. So I don't know if there was a specific if I referenced a specific date or not.  Q. Have you ever discussed reducing the dealer body with the auto task force?  A. Jim Press would have had that discussion with the auto task force.  Q. But were you involved at all in the discussion?  A. I don't recall directly being involved in it.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	have to make some tough decisions in the dealer network; we would have to make some tough decisions relative to the hourly workforce, the salary workforce. We laid 5,000 people off 5,000 salaried people off the Wednesday before Thanksgiving in November. So these are all gut-wrenching decisions that we had to make.  Q. But if you have not sought to quantify the costs of the dealer network, why how is it a tough decision to reject 789?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Well, I think what I said was that there were discussions. We looked at every operation, whether it was factories, marketing, sales, et cetera. So I don't know if there was a specific if I referenced a specific date or not.  Q. Have you ever discussed reducing the dealer body with the auto task force?  A. Jim Press would have had that discussion with the auto task force.  Q. But were you involved at all in the discussion?  A. I don't recall directly being involved in it.  Q. So it's fair to say that you never went to the auto task	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	have to make some tough decisions in the dealer network; we would have to make some tough decisions relative to the hourly workforce, the salary workforce. We laid 5,000 people off 5,000 salaried people off the Wednesday before Thanksgiving in November. So these are all gut-wrenching decisions that we had to make.  Q. But if you have not sought to quantify the costs of the dealer network, why how is it a tough decision to reject 789?  A. Well, any time you have to terminate either a dealer or an employee or a supplier, those are always tough decisions if you haven't had to do it before.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Well, I think what I said was that there were discussions. We looked at every operation, whether it was factories, marketing, sales, et cetera. So I don't know if there was a specific if I referenced a specific date or not.  Q. Have you ever discussed reducing the dealer body with the auto task force?  A. Jim Press would have had that discussion with the auto task force.  Q. But were you involved at all in the discussion?  A. I don't recall directly being involved in it.  Q. So it's fair to say that you never went to the auto task force seeking assistance with respect to Chrysler's dealers, is	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	have to make some tough decisions in the dealer network; we would have to make some tough decisions relative to the hourly workforce, the salary workforce. We laid 5,000 people off 5,000 salaried people off the Wednesday before Thanksgiving in November. So these are all gut-wrenching decisions that we had to make.  Q. But if you have not sought to quantify the costs of the dealer network, why how is it a tough decision to reject 789?  A. Well, any time you have to terminate either a dealer or an employee or a supplier, those are always tough decisions if you haven't had to do it before.  Q. But you don't even know what they were costing Chrysler,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Well, I think what I said was that there were discussions. We looked at every operation, whether it was factories, marketing, sales, et cetera. So I don't know if there was a specific if I referenced a specific date or not.  Q. Have you ever discussed reducing the dealer body with the auto task force?  A. Jim Press would have had that discussion with the auto task force.  Q. But were you involved at all in the discussion?  A. I don't recall directly being involved in it.  Q. So it's fair to say that you never went to the auto task force seeking assistance with respect to Chrysler's dealers, is that correct?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	have to make some tough decisions in the dealer network; we would have to make some tough decisions relative to the hourly workforce, the salary workforce. We laid 5,000 people off 5,000 salaried people off the Wednesday before Thanksgiving in November. So these are all gut-wrenching decisions that we had to make.  Q. But if you have not sought to quantify the costs of the dealer network, why how is it a tough decision to reject 789?  A. Well, any time you have to terminate either a dealer or an employee or a supplier, those are always tough decisions if you haven't had to do it before.  Q. But you don't even know what they were costing Chrysler, do you?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Well, I think what I said was that there were discussions. We looked at every operation, whether it was factories, marketing, sales, et cetera. So I don't know if there was a specific — if I referenced a specific date or not.  Q. Have you ever discussed reducing the dealer body with the auto task force?  A. Jim Press would have had that discussion with the auto task force.  Q. But were you involved at all in the discussion?  A. I don't recall directly being involved in it.  Q. So it's fair to say that you never went to the auto task force seeking assistance with respect to Chrysler's dealers, is that correct?  A. Well, certainly, in the broadest sense, by keeping the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	have to make some tough decisions in the dealer network; we would have to make some tough decisions relative to the hourly workforce, the salary workforce. We laid 5,000 people off 5,000 salaried people off the Wednesday before Thanksgiving in November. So these are all gut-wrenching decisions that we had to make.  Q. But if you have not sought to quantify the costs of the dealer network, why how is it a tough decision to reject 789?  A. Well, any time you have to terminate either a dealer or an employee or a supplier, those are always tough decisions if you haven't had to do it before.  Q. But you don't even know what they were costing Chrysler,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Well, I think what I said was that there were discussions. We looked at every operation, whether it was factories, marketing, sales, et cetera. So I don't know if there was a specific if I referenced a specific date or not.  Q. Have you ever discussed reducing the dealer body with the auto task force?  A. Jim Press would have had that discussion with the auto task force.  Q. But were you involved at all in the discussion?  A. I don't recall directly being involved in it.  Q. So it's fair to say that you never went to the auto task force seeking assistance with respect to Chrysler's dealers, is that correct?  A. Well, certainly, in the broadest sense, by keeping the business viable we did save over 3,000 dealers.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	have to make some tough decisions in the dealer network; we would have to make some tough decisions relative to the hourly workforce, the salary workforce. We laid 5,000 people off 5,000 salaried people off the Wednesday before Thanksgiving in November. So these are all gut-wrenching decisions that we had to make.  Q. But if you have not sought to quantify the costs of the dealer network, why how is it a tough decision to reject 789?  A. Well, any time you have to terminate either a dealer or an employee or a supplier, those are always tough decisions if you haven't had to do it before.  Q. But you don't even know what they were costing Chrysler, do you?  A. I said I didn't know the exact amount.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Well, I think what I said was that there were discussions. We looked at every operation, whether it was factories, marketing, sales, et cetera. So I don't know if there was a specific if I referenced a specific date or not.  Q. Have you ever discussed reducing the dealer body with the auto task force?  A. Jim Press would have had that discussion with the auto task force.  Q. But were you involved at all in the discussion?  A. I don't recall directly being involved in it.  Q. So it's fair to say that you never went to the auto task force seeking assistance with respect to Chrysler's dealers, is that correct?  A. Well, certainly, in the broadest sense, by keeping the business viable we did save over 3,000 dealers.  Q. I'm sorry, can you repeat your answer?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	have to make some tough decisions in the dealer network; we would have to make some tough decisions relative to the hourly workforce, the salary workforce. We laid 5,000 people off 5,000 salaried people off the Wednesday before Thanksgiving in November. So these are all gut-wrenching decisions that we had to make.  Q. But if you have not sought to quantify the costs of the dealer network, why how is it a tough decision to reject 789?  A. Well, any time you have to terminate either a dealer or an employee or a supplier, those are always tough decisions if you haven't had to do it before.  Q. But you don't even know what they were costing Chrysler, do you?  A. I said I didn't know the exact amount.  Q. Do you know approximately?  A. No, I wouldn't want to I wouldn't want to venture a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Well, I think what I said was that there were discussions. We looked at every operation, whether it was factories, marketing, sales, et cetera. So I don't know if there was a specific if I referenced a specific date or not.  Q. Have you ever discussed reducing the dealer body with the auto task force?  A. Jim Press would have had that discussion with the auto task force.  Q. But were you involved at all in the discussion?  A. I don't recall directly being involved in it.  Q. So it's fair to say that you never went to the auto task force seeking assistance with respect to Chrysler's dealers, is that correct?  A. Well, certainly, in the broadest sense, by keeping the business viable we did save over 3,000 dealers.  Q. I'm sorry, can you repeat your answer?  A. Yeah, I said certainly by keeping the business viable we	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have to make some tough decisions in the dealer network; we would have to make some tough decisions relative to the hourly workforce, the salary workforce. We laid 5,000 people off 5,000 salaried people off the Wednesday before Thanksgiving in November. So these are all gut-wrenching decisions that we had to make.  Q. But if you have not sought to quantify the costs of the dealer network, why how is it a tough decision to reject 789?  A. Well, any time you have to terminate either a dealer or an employee or a supplier, those are always tough decisions if you haven't had to do it before.  Q. But you don't even know what they were costing Chrysler, do you?  A. I said I didn't know the exact amount.  Q. Do you know approximately?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Well, I think what I said was that there were discussions. We looked at every operation, whether it was factories, marketing, sales, et cetera. So I don't know if there was a specific if I referenced a specific date or not.  Q. Have you ever discussed reducing the dealer body with the auto task force?  A. Jim Press would have had that discussion with the auto task force.  Q. But were you involved at all in the discussion?  A. I don't recall directly being involved in it.  Q. So it's fair to say that you never went to the auto task force seeking assistance with respect to Chrysler's dealers, is that correct?  A. Well, certainly, in the broadest sense, by keeping the business viable we did save over 3,000 dealers.  Q. I'm sorry, can you repeat your answer?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have to make some tough decisions in the dealer network; we would have to make some tough decisions relative to the hourly workforce, the salary workforce. We laid 5,000 people off 5,000 salaried people off the Wednesday before Thanksgiving in November. So these are all gut-wrenching decisions that we had to make.  Q. But if you have not sought to quantify the costs of the dealer network, why how is it a tough decision to reject 789?  A. Well, any time you have to terminate either a dealer or an employee or a supplier, those are always tough decisions if you haven't had to do it before.  Q. But you don't even know what they were costing Chrysler, do you?  A. I said I didn't know the exact amount.  Q. Do you know approximately?  A. No, I wouldn't want to I wouldn't want to venture a guess at this point.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Well, I think what I said was that there were discussions. We looked at every operation, whether it was factories, marketing, sales, et cetera. So I don't know if there was a specific if I referenced a specific date or not.  Q. Have you ever discussed reducing the dealer body with the auto task force?  A. Jim Press would have had that discussion with the auto task force.  Q. But were you involved at all in the discussion?  A. I don't recall directly being involved in it.  Q. So it's fair to say that you never went to the auto task force seeking assistance with respect to Chrysler's dealers, is that correct?  A. Well, certainly, in the broadest sense, by keeping the business viable we did save over 3,000 dealers.  Q. I'm sorry, can you repeat your answer?  A. Yeah, I said certainly by keeping the business viable we saved, you know, 3,000 dealers, or the remaining number of dealers.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	have to make some tough decisions in the dealer network; we would have to make some tough decisions relative to the hourly workforce, the salary workforce. We laid 5,000 people off 5,000 salaried people off the Wednesday before Thanksgiving in November. So these are all gut-wrenching decisions that we had to make.  Q. But if you have not sought to quantify the costs of the dealer network, why how is it a tough decision to reject 789?  A. Well, any time you have to terminate either a dealer or an employee or a supplier, those are always tough decisions if you haven't had to do it before.  Q. But you don't even know what they were costing Chrysler, do you?  A. I said I didn't know the exact amount.  Q. Do you know approximately?  A. No, I wouldn't want to I wouldn't want to venture a guess at this point.  Q. So then on what basis are you seeking to reject the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Well, I think what I said was that there were discussions. We looked at every operation, whether it was factories, marketing, sales, et cetera. So I don't know if there was a specific if I referenced a specific date or not.  Q. Have you ever discussed reducing the dealer body with the auto task force?  A. Jim Press would have had that discussion with the auto task force.  Q. But were you involved at all in the discussion?  A. I don't recall directly being involved in it.  Q. So it's fair to say that you never went to the auto task force seeking assistance with respect to Chrysler's dealers, is that correct?  A. Well, certainly, in the broadest sense, by keeping the business viable we did save over 3,000 dealers.  Q. I'm sorry, can you repeat your answer?  A. Yeah, I said certainly by keeping the business viable we saved, you know, 3,000 dealers, or the remaining number of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	have to make some tough decisions in the dealer network; we would have to make some tough decisions relative to the hourly workforce, the salary workforce. We laid 5,000 people off 5,000 salaried people off the Wednesday before Thanksgiving in November. So these are all gut-wrenching decisions that we had to make.  Q. But if you have not sought to quantify the costs of the dealer network, why how is it a tough decision to reject 789?  A. Well, any time you have to terminate either a dealer or an employee or a supplier, those are always tough decisions if you haven't had to do it before.  Q. But you don't even know what they were costing Chrysler, do you?  A. I said I didn't know the exact amount.  Q. Do you know approximately?  A. No, I wouldn't want to I wouldn't want to venture a guess at this point.  Q. So then on what basis are you seeking to reject the dealers?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Well, I think what I said was that there were discussions. We looked at every operation, whether it was factories, marketing, sales, et cetera. So I don't know if there was a specific — if I referenced a specific date or not.  Q. Have you ever discussed reducing the dealer body with the auto task force?  A. Jim Press would have had that discussion with the auto task force.  Q. But were you involved at all in the discussion?  A. I don't recall directly being involved in it.  Q. So it's fair to say that you never went to the auto task force seeking assistance with respect to Chrysler's dealers, is that correct?  A. Well, certainly, in the broadest sense, by keeping the business viable we did save over 3,000 dealers.  Q. I'm sorry, can you repeat your answer?  A. Yeah, I said certainly by keeping the business viable we saved, you know, 3,000 dealers, or the remaining number of dealers.  Q. Aren't the 789 dealers encompassed within the 3,000	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have to make some tough decisions in the dealer network; we would have to make some tough decisions relative to the hourly workforce, the salary workforce. We laid 5,000 people off 5,000 salaried people off the Wednesday before Thanksgiving in November. So these are all gut-wrenching decisions that we had to make.  Q. But if you have not sought to quantify the costs of the dealer network, why how is it a tough decision to reject 789?  A. Well, any time you have to terminate either a dealer or an employee or a supplier, those are always tough decisions if you haven't had to do it before.  Q. But you don't even know what they were costing Chrysler, do you?  A. I said I didn't know the exact amount.  Q. Do you know approximately?  A. No, I wouldn't want to I wouldn't want to venture a guess at this point.  Q. So then on what basis are you seeking to reject the dealers?  A. Because we knew that, first of all, we needed to downsize
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Well, I think what I said was that there were discussions. We looked at every operation, whether it was factories, marketing, sales, et cetera. So I don't know if there was a specific if I referenced a specific date or not.  Q. Have you ever discussed reducing the dealer body with the auto task force?  A. Jim Press would have had that discussion with the auto task force.  Q. But were you involved at all in the discussion?  A. I don't recall directly being involved in it.  Q. So it's fair to say that you never went to the auto task force seeking assistance with respect to Chrysler's dealers, is that correct?  A. Well, certainly, in the broadest sense, by keeping the business viable we did save over 3,000 dealers.  Q. I'm sorry, can you repeat your answer?  A. Yeah, I said certainly by keeping the business viable we saved, you know, 3,000 dealers, or the remaining number of dealers.  Q. Aren't the 789 dealers encompassed within the 3,000 dealers you saved?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	have to make some tough decisions in the dealer network; we would have to make some tough decisions relative to the hourly workforce, the salary workforce. We laid 5,000 people off 5,000 salaried people off the Wednesday before Thanksgiving in November. So these are all gut-wrenching decisions that we had to make.  Q. But if you have not sought to quantify the costs of the dealer network, why how is it a tough decision to reject 789?  A. Well, any time you have to terminate either a dealer or an employee or a supplier, those are always tough decisions if you haven't had to do it before.  Q. But you don't even know what they were costing Chrysler, do you?  A. I said I didn't know the exact amount.  Q. Do you know approximately?  A. No, I wouldn't want to I wouldn't want to venture a guess at this point.  Q. So then on what basis are you seeking to reject the dealers?  A. Because we knew that, first of all, we needed to downsize on the dealers so that the remaining dealers would be in a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Well, I think what I said was that there were discussions. We looked at every operation, whether it was factories, marketing, sales, et cetera. So I don't know if there was a specific if I referenced a specific date or not.  Q. Have you ever discussed reducing the dealer body with the auto task force?  A. Jim Press would have had that discussion with the auto task force.  Q. But were you involved at all in the discussion?  A. I don't recall directly being involved in it.  Q. So it's fair to say that you never went to the auto task force seeking assistance with respect to Chrysler's dealers, is that correct?  A. Well, certainly, in the broadest sense, by keeping the business viable we did save over 3,000 dealers.  Q. I'm sorry, can you repeat your answer?  A. Yeah, I said certainly by keeping the business viable we saved, you know, 3,000 dealers, or the remaining number of dealers.  Q. Aren't the 789 dealers encompassed within the 3,000 dealers you saved?  A. Yeah, they were part of the dealer network. But, again,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	have to make some tough decisions in the dealer network; we would have to make some tough decisions relative to the hourly workforce, the salary workforce. We laid 5,000 people off 5,000 salaried people off the Wednesday before Thanksgiving in November. So these are all gut-wrenching decisions that we had to make.  Q. But if you have not sought to quantify the costs of the dealer network, why how is it a tough decision to reject 789?  A. Well, any time you have to terminate either a dealer or an employee or a supplier, those are always tough decisions if you haven't had to do it before.  Q. But you don't even know what they were costing Chrysler, do you?  A. I said I didn't know the exact amount.  Q. Do you know approximately?  A. No, I wouldn't want to I wouldn't want to venture a guess at this point.  Q. So then on what basis are you seeking to reject the dealers?  A. Because we knew that, first of all, we needed to downsize on the dealers so that the remaining dealers would be in a position to be more profitable and therefore more viable so

a share: that the remaining dealers, it was fet, as I understand because they had liquidity and financial support to expand the wholesale units that we were taking on. So I can't equal the wholesale units that we were taking on. So I can't equal to a stack program, but for dealers that participated to an allocation they could get up to 500 dealers. 170, 1,000 dollars per vehicle.  7. O. Since 201), Chrysler's dealer body has been declining, correct!  8. A. Two only been there since U7, so I know since August of 17 Wir de dealers holy has been declining.  8. Correct!  9. A. Two only been there since U7, so I know since August of 17 Wir de dealers food has been declining.  10. Q. And the dealers aren't getting more—  11. Q. And Chrysler's market share is not increasing as a result, is it?  12. M. RROWN: Or strike that.  13. Q. And Chrysler's market share is not increasing as a result, is it?  14. A. I would say the contrary is our market share has not deteriorated to the same degree, you know, over forty percent of the dealers took on the additional inventory with the days available in the dealers around 10 to 10.6. 10.8 percent market share.  15. Since 201, Chrysler's market share has decreased, correct!  16. Q. Since amund —if you go all the way back, if I remember somewhere around 10 to 10.6. 10.8 percent market share.  17. Q. Since amund-fure try in memory that we've market share has decreased, correct!  18. A. I'recall the number, it's been a modest decrease.  19. Q. Since amund-fure try in memory and the way have, if I remember somewhere around a floy up a all the way back, if I remember somewhere around a floy up and II the way back, if I remember somewhere around 200, and two and thirty to forty percent share deciroration.  19. Q. Since amund-fure try in memory of acalliers, of 300. So, how the activation was done in the field.  20. A. Yeah, we were trying to even we were trying to be made remendous progress in redening the field should be somewhere amound 270,000 units, So I think We've made remendous pr				
because they had liquidity and financial support to expand their dealership to take on Chrysler. Dodge and Jeep under one roof, that it was determined to be the most efficient dealership as opposed to a single-brand dealership. Q. Since 2001, Chrysler's dealer body has been declining. The other body has been declining. Q. And Vero nly been there since '07, so I know since August of The dealers tody has been declining. Q. And the dealers aren't getting more— M. R. BOWN: Or strike that. Q. And the dealers aren't getting more— M. S. BOWN: Or strike that. Q. And Chrysler's market share is not increasing as a result, is it? A. I would say the contrary is our market share has not deteriorated to the same degree, you know, over forty percent deteriorated to the same degree, you know, over forty percent G. A. If I recall the number, it's been a modest decreased, comewhere around 10 to 10.6, 10.8 percent market share.  Q. Since 2001, Chrysler's market share has decreased, comewhere around eighteen percent in comparison to—again, thirty to forty percent share deterioration.  374  Q. So the answer to my question, then, is yes? A. Yes, I said that, Q. While you were talking to the auto task force in March about restructuring Chrysler, Chrysler was pressuring all dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? A. We were working very hard to try to balance the inventory levels. When I get there, the inventory was over the read of the dealers when were around eighteen percent in comparison to—again, the other manufacturers I mentioned, I think, are both around thirry to forty percent share deterioration.  374  Q. So the answer to my question, then, is yes? A. Yes, I said that, Q. While you were talking to the auto task force in March about restructuring Chrysler, Chrysler was pressuring all dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? A. We were working very hard to try to balance the inventory levels. When I get there, the inventory was over the caselership.  A. Yes, I said that, Q. And her a proparation the deal	1	share; that the remaining dealers, it was felt, as I understand	1	provided financial incentive to the dealers to help retail the
their dealership to take on Chrysler, Dodge and Jeep under one colf, that it was determined to be the most efficient dealership as opposed to a single-brand dealership.  O. Since 2001, Chrysler's dealer body has been declining.  A. I've only been there since '07, so I know since August of '07 the dealer body has been declining.  A. I've only been there since '07, so I know since August of '07 the dealer body has been declining.  M. Since 2001, Chrysler's urging, over seventy percent of the dealers early that they were asked to take on by Chrysler's urging, over seventy percent of the dealers took on the inventory with they were asked to take on by Chrysler's urging, over seventy percent of the dealers took on the inventory with the days available in the dealers took on the inventory with the days available in the dealers took on the inventory with the days available in the dealers took on the additional inventory they were asked by Chrysler to take on, correct?  A. If you als ay the contrary is our market share has not deteriorated to the same degree, you know, over forty percent dedecreased, or correct?  M. A. If would asy the contrary is our market share has not deteriorated to the same degree, you know, over forty percent dedecreased, or correct?  M. A. If would any the contrary is our market share has not deteriorated to the same degree, you know, over forty percent and deteriorated to the same degree, you know a was an allocation with the dealers took on the additional inventory, wasn't it?  A. Yes, I said that.  Q. Since 2001, Chrysler's market share has decreased, or correct?  A. Yes, I said that.  Q. Since 2001, Chrysler's market share has decreased, or correct?  A. Yes, I said that.  Q. Since 2001, Chrysler's market share has decreased, or correct?  A. Yes, I said that.  Q. Since 2001, Chrysler's market share has decreased, or comparing the field.  Q. While you were talking to the auto task force in March about restructuring Chrysler, Chrysler was urging the dealers to a program, an incentive program,	2	it, would have a higher prospect of becoming a Genesis dealer	2	wholesale units that we were taking on. So I can't explain the
roof, that it was determined to be the most efficient dealership as opposed to a single-brand dealership. Q. Since 2001, Chrysler's dealer body has been declining. Correct? A. I've only been there since '07, so I know since August of '07 the dealer body has been declining. Q. And the dealers aren't getting more — 11 A. The not sure, when you say seventy percent of the dealers took on the inventory that they were asked to take on by Chrysler, correct? A. I would say the contrary is our market share has not deteriorated to the same degree, you know, over forty percent of the dealers took on the additional inventory, was over forty percent of the dealers took on the additional inventory. A correct? A. If I recall the number, it's been a modest decrease, correct of the other manufacturers I mentioned, I think, are both around thirty to forty percent share deterioration.  A. Yes, I said that. Q. So the answer to my question, then, is yes? A. Yes, I said that. A. While you were talking to the auto task force in March about restructuring Chrysler, Chrysler was pressuring all dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? A. Wes, I said that. A. While you were talking to the auto task force in March about restructuring Chrysler, Chrysler was pressuring all progress in reducing the burden on the dealers who are paying floor-planning for that inventory that's out them.  A. Yes, be inventory in the field should be somewhere around 200, And when we emerge from baharvupty, the inventory in the field should be somewhere around 270,000 units, So I think we've made tremendous participate, there was a financial incentive to support their taking those units to assure that they would move those at the retail level. A. Yes, I said that.  Q. And over seventy percent of the dealers to the microtry of the support of the inventory of the support of the propertion to participate or not participate. If they elected to participate or not p	3	because they had liquidity and financial support to expand	3	exact program, but for dealers that participated to an
dealership as opposed to a single-brand dealership.  Q. Since 2001, Chrysler's dealer body has been declining.  Q. And the observed regetting more — 11	4	their dealership to take on Chrysler, Dodge and Jeep under one	4	allocation they could get up to 500 dollars, 750, 1,000 dollars
6 dealership as opposed to a single-brand dealership. 7 Q. Since 2001, Chrysler's dealer body has been declining. 8 correct? 9 A. I've only been there since '07, so I know since August of '07 the dealer body has been declining. 11 Q. And the dealers aren't getting more	5			
7 Q. Since 2001, Chrysler's dealer body has been declining. 8 correct? 9 A. I've only been there since '07, so I know since August of '07 the dealer body has been declining. 10 Q. And the dealers area't getting more — 11 MS. BROWN: Or strike that. 12 W. So Rows: Or strike that. 13 Q. And Chrysler's market share is not increasing as a result, is it? 15 A. I would say the contrary is our market share has not deteriorated to the same degree, you know, over forty percent of GM and, again, forty percent on Ford. Weve been hovering: 16 deteriorated to the same degree, you know, over forty percent of GM and, again, forty percent on Ford. Weve been hovering: 17 GM and, again, forty percent on Ford. Weve been hovering: 18 somewhere around in 10 to 10.6, 10.8 percent market share. 19 Q. Since 2001, Chrysler's market share has decreased, 20 correct? 21 A. If I recall the number, it's been a modest decrease, 21 somewhere around eighteen percent in comparison to — again, the other manufacturers I mentioned, I think, are both around thirty to forty percent share deterioration. 23 Q. While you were taiking to the auto task force in March about restructuring Chrysler, Chrysler was pressuring all deders to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? 24 A. Yes, I said that. 3 Q. While you were taiking to the auto task force in March about restructuring Chrysler, Chrysler was pressuring all deders to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? 4 A. We were working very hand to riy to blance the inventory in the field should be somewhere around eighteen on the dealers took on the inventory and to a grant land to restructuring Chrysler, Chrysler was pressuring all dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? 5 A. Yesh, we were trying to he wate we menge from banktuptey, the inventory in the field should be somewhere around eighteen on the dealers to work the exact number. 19 progress in reducing the burden on the dealers to work the exact number. 20 Q. In January, February, March, Chrysler was urging its dealers to take on additio	6		6	•
A. I ve only been there since '07, so I know since August of 10 '07 the dealer body has been declining.  A. I ve only been there since '07, so I know since August of 10 '07 the dealer body has been declining.  A. I we only been there since '07, so I know since August of 10 '07 the dealer body has been declining.  A. A five only been there since '07, so I know since August of 11 '02 '04 the dealer stook on the inventory that they were asked to '04 the dealers aren't getting more				-
4. I've only been there since 07, so I know since August of 07 the dealer body has been declining.  10. O'n the dealer sord has been declining.  11. Q. And Chrysler's market share is not increasing as a result, 1 is it?  12. M. I've only Servent of the dealers took on the additional inventory.  13. Q. And Chrysler's market share is not increasing as a result, 1 is it?  14. I've recall the number, it's been a modest decreased, 20. Cornect?  15. A. I're recall the number, it's been a modest decreased, 21. Cornect?  16. I're recall the number, it's been a modest decreased, 22. somewhere around -if you go all the way back, if I remember 23. somewhere around eighteen percent in comparison to - again, 24. It ofter manufacturers I mentioned, I think, are both around 24. It in the other manufacturers I mentioned, I think, are both around 25. It is the other manufacturers I mentioned, I think, are both around 26. A. Yes, I said that.  17. Q. So the answer to my question, then, is yes?  28. A. Yes, I said that.  29. While you were talking to the auto task force in March about restructuring Chrysler, Chrysler was pressuring all dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it?  29. A. We were working very hard to try to balance the inventory as over 600,000 units; we got it down to around 400. And when we emerge from a bankruptcy, the inventory as now efforced around 270,000 units. So I him kev's made termendous progress in reducting the burden on the dealers who are paying 15. A. Yeah, we were trying to eve we were trying to keep - we were trying to keep the company solvent.  20. Q. And, in fact, in February of this year Mr. Press held a conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional inventory, wasn't it?  21. A. Yeah, there was a program, an incentive program, that  22. Q. And, in fact, in February of this year Mr. Press held a conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional inventory. Were the dealers to accordence of the work of the were trying to keep - we were trying to keep the co				
10 07 the dealer body has been declining. 11 Q. And the dealers aren't getting more — 12 Ms. BROWN: 70 strike that. 13 Q. And Chrysler's market share is not increasing as a result, 14 is it? 15 A. I would say the contrary is our market share has not 16 deteriorated to the same degree, you know, over forty percent 16 deseriorated to the same degree, you know, over forty percent 17 GM and, again, forty percent on Ford. We've been hovering 18 somewhere around 10 to 10.6, 10.8 percent market share. 19 Q. Since 2001, Chrysler's market share has decreased, 20 correct? 21 A. If I recall the number, it's been a modest decrease, 22 somewhere around —if you go all the way back, if I remember 23 somewhere around—if you go all the way back, if I remember 24 the other manufacturers I mentioned, I think, are both around 25 thirty to forty percent share deterioration. 27 A. Yes, I said that. 28 Q. While you were talking to the auto task force in March 29 about restructuring Chrysler, Chrysler was pressuring all 29 dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? 20 A. We were working very hard to try to balance the inventory 21 levels. When I got there, the inventory was over 600,000 22 units; we got it down to around 400. And when we emerge from bankrupty, the inventory in the field should be somewhere 29 around 20,000 units. So I think we've made tremendous 21 Q. In January, February, March, Chrysler was urging its a dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? 22 Q. And, in fact, in February of this year Mr. Press held a conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional 22 quanty from the mix of what was out there at the dealership. 22 Q. And, in fact, in February of this year Mr. Press held a conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional 22 quanty from the mix of what was on the reid to accept the allocations give to them, correct?  4 A. We were working very hard to try to balance the inventory in the field should be somewhere  4 A. We were working very hard to try to balance the				
1.1   Q. And the dealers aren't getting more		· ·		
MS. BROWN: Or strike that.  Q. And Chrysler's market share is not increasing as a result, is is?  A. I would say the contrary is our market share has not deteriorated to the same degree, you know, over forty percent deteriorated to the same degree, you know, over forty percent for GM and, again, forty percent on Ford. Weve been hovering somewhere around 10 to 10.6, 10.8 percent market share.  Q. Since 2001, Chrysler's market share has decreased, correct?  A. If I recall the number, it's been a modest decrease, somewhere around —if you go all the way back, if I remember somewhere around eighteen percent in comparison to —again, the other manufacturers I mentioned, I think, are both around thirty to forty percent share deterioration.  374  Q. So the answer to my question, then, is yes?  A. Yes, I said that. Q. While you were talking to the auto task force in March about restructuring Chrysler, Chrysler was pressuring all dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? A. We were working very hard to try to balance the inventory levels. When I got there, the inventory in the field should be somewhere around 270,000 units. So I think we've made tremendous pagements. I think I had mentioned, in there. Q. In January, February, March, Chrysler was urging its dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? A. Yes, I we were trying to keep — we were trying to match, one for one, one retail/one wholesale so that we could keep our factories open, obviously with no factories open and no revenue generation. I think I had mentioned in my entiry in the mentioned in the mentioned in the were generation. I think I had mentioned in my entiry generation. I think I had mentioned in my entiry generation. I think I had mentioned in my entiry generation. I think I had mentioned in my entiry generation. I think I had mentioned in my entiry generation. I think I had mentioned in my entiry generation. I think I had mentioned in my entiry generation. I think I had mentioned in my entiry generation. I think I had mentioned in my e				
Q. And Chrysler's market share is not increasing as a result, is it?  A. I would say the contrary is our market share has not deteriorated to the same degree, you know, over forty percent of Mr. and, again, forty percent on Ford. We've been hovering to Mr. and again, forty percent on Ford. We've been hovering to Mr. and again, forty percent on Ford. We've been hovering to Mr. and again, forty percent on Ford. We've been hovering to Mr. and again, for we were trying to balance our inventory with the days available in the dealerships, and that's how the criteria — so we didn't ask them to take more wholesale units on fi, in fact, they already had inventory of Calibers, of 300's. So we tried to proportion the mix of what was out there at the dealership.  The allocation was done in the field.  Q. And the dealers were asked to accept the allocations give to them, correct?  A. The rew as an allocation issued and they had the option to participate or not participate. If they elected to accept the allocations give to them, correct?  A. Yes, I said that.  Q. So the answer to my question, then, is yes?  A. Yes, I said that.  Q. So the answer to my question, then, is yes?  A. Yes, I said that.  Q. So the answer to my question, then, is yes?  A. Yes, I said that.  Q. While you were talking to the auto task force in March about restructuring Chrysler, Chrysler was pressuring all dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it?  I levels. When I got there, the inventory was over 600,000  I mits; we got it down to around 400. And when we emerge from the bankingth, the inventory in the field should be somewhere to banking for that inventory that's out there.  Q. And chrysler was urging the dealers to participate in order for Chrysler to trawin a viable company, right?  A. Yes, we were trying to keep — we were trying to match, one for one, one retail/one wholesale so that we could keep our factories open, obviously with no factories open and no revenue the generation. I think I had mentioned in my earlier restimony that we w				
is it?  A. I would say the contrary is our market share has not deteriorated to the same degree, you know, over forty percent of deteriorated to the same degree, you know, over forty percent of GM and, again, forty percent on Ford. We've been hovering somewhere around 10 to 10.6, 10.8 percent market share.  By Q. Since 2001, Chrysler's market share has decreased, ordered?  A. If I recall the number, it's been a modest decrease, somewhere around -if you go all the way back, if I remember somewhere around -if you go all the way back, if I remember somewhere around -if you go all the way back, if I remember somewhere around -ighteen percent in comparison to again, thirty to forty percent share deterioration.  374  Q. So the answer to my question, then, is yes?  A. Yes, I said that.  Q. While you were talking to the auto task force in March about restructuring Chrysler, Chrysler was pressuring all dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it?  A. We were working very hard to try to balance the inventory levels. When I got there, the inventory wasn't it?  bankruptcy, the inventory in the field should be somewhere around -20,0000 units. So I think we've made tremendous progress in reducing the burden on the dealers who are paying from planning for that inventory that's out there.  G. In January, February, March, Chrysler was urging its dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't:?  A. Yesh, we were trying towe were trying to match, one generation. I think I had mentioned in my earlier testimony that we were very concerned about cash and liquidity, so we were trying to keep the company solven.  C. A. Yesh, we were trying to seep the company solven.  A. Yesh, we were very concerned about cash and liquidity, so we were trying to keep we were trying to keep the company solven.  C. A. Yesh, the reversal about as a strict them and additional inventory, darch, the reversal them and additional inventory, darch, chrysler was urging its dealers to require testimony that we were very concerned about cash and				•
A. I would say the contrary is our market share has not deteriorated to the same degree, you know, over forty percent of GM and, again, forty percent on Ford. We've been hovering to somewhere around 10 to 10.6, 10.8 percent market share. 20 correct? 21 A. If I recall the number, it's been a modest decrease, 22 somewhere around eighteen percent in comparison to—again, 23 somewhere around eighteen percent in comparison to—again, 24 the other manufacturers I mentioned, I think, are both around 25 thirty to forty percent share deterioration. 374  1 Q. So the answer to my question, then, is yes? 2 A. Yes, I said that. 3 Q. While you were talking to the auto task force in March 24 about restructuring Chrysler, Chrysler was pressuring all 25 dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? 3 dealers to take on additional inventory was over 600,000 units; we got it down to around 400. And when we emerge from 25 bankruptcy, the inventory in the field should be somewhere 26 around 270,000 units. So I think we've made tremendous 27 progress in reducing the burden on the dealers who are paying 10 for planning for that inventory that's out there. 28 generation. I think I had mentioned in my earlier testimony 19 that we were very concerned about cash and liquidity, so we were trying to keep — we were trying to seep no, obviously with no factories open and no revenue 20 were trying to keep — we were trying to keep no conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional inventory, didn't he? 3 conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional inventory, fidn't he? 3 conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional inventory, didn't he? 3 conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional inventory, didn't he? 3 conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional inventory, didn't he? 4 conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional inventory, didn't he? 4 conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional inventory, didn't he? 4 c		-		
deteriorated to the same degree, you know, over forty percent GM and, again, forty percent on Ford. We've been hovering Somewhere around 10 to 106, 10.8 percent market share.  GM and, again, forty percent on Ford. We've been hovering Correct?  A. If I recall the number, it's been a modest decrease, Somewhere around 10 to 106, 10.8 percent market share.  If I recall the number, it's been a modest decrease, Somewhere around 10 to 10, 10.8 percent market share.  A If I recall the number, it's been a modest decrease, Somewhere around eighteen percent in comparison to – again, the other manufacturers I mentioned, I think, are both around thirty to forty percent share deterioration.  374  Q. So the answer to my question, then, is yes? A. Yes, I said that. Q. While you were talking to the auto task force in March about restructuring Chrysler, Chrysler was pressuring all dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? A. We were working very hard to try to balance the inventory bankruptcy, the inventory in the field should be somewhere para around 270,000 units. So I think we've made tremendous progress in reducing the burden on the dealers who are paying floor-planning for that inventory that's out there. Q. In January, February, March, Chrysler was urging its dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? A. Yeah, we were trying to — we were trying to match, one for one, one retail/one wholesale so that we could keep our from the mix of what was out there at the dealership. The allocation was done in the field. To themetory of Calibers, of 300's. So we tried to proportion the mix of what was out there at the dealership. The allocation was done in the field. The allocation				
the dealerships, and that's how the criteria so we didn't ask them to take more wholesale units on if, in fact, they already had inventory of Calibers, of 300's. So we tried to proportion the mix of what was out there at the dealership.  A. If I recall the number, it's been a modest decrease, somewhere around if you go all the way back, if I remember a somewhere around eighteen percent in comparison to again, and they had inventory of Calibers, of 300's. So we tried to proportion the mix of what was out there at the dealership.  The allocation was done in the field.  Q. And the dealers were asked to accept the allocations give to them, correct?  A. There was an allocation issued and they had the option to participate or not participate. If they elected to participate or not participate or not participate. If they elected to participate or not participate or not participate. If they elected to participate, correct?  A. Yes, I said that.  Q. So the answer to my question, then, is yes?  A. Yes, I said that.  Q. So the answer to my question, then, is yes?  A. We were working very hard to try to balance the inventory levels. When I got there, the inventory was over 600,000  units; we got it down to around 400. And when we emerge from a round 270,000 units. So I think we've made tremendous 10 around 270,000 units. So I think we've made tremendous 11 floor-planning for that inventory that's out there.  Q. In January, February, March, Chrysler was urging its dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it?  A. Yesh, we were trying to — we were trying to match, one for one, one retail/one wholesale so that we could keep our factors open, obviously with no factories open and not revenue a generation. I think I had mentioned in my earlier testimony that we were very concerned about cash and liquidity, so we were trying to keep — we were trying to keep the company 20 were trying to keep — we were trying to keep the company 21 solvent.  Q. And, in fact, in February of this year Mr. Press held a conference call w				
somewhere around 10 to 10.6, 10.8 percent market share.  Q. Since 2001, Chrysler's market share has decreased, correct?  A. If I recall the number, it's been a modest decrease, somewhere around if you go all the way back, if I remember, somewhere around eighteen percent in comparison to again, the other manufacturers I mentioned, I think, are both around thirty to forty percent share deterioration.  374  Q. So the answer to my question, then, is yes? A. Yes, I said that. Q. While you were talking to the auto task force in March about restructuring Chrysler, Chrysler was pressuring all dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? A. We were working very hard to try to balance the inventory levels. When I got there, the inventory was over 600,000 units; we got it down to around 400. And when we emerge from bankruptcy, the inventory in the field should be somewhere company in the force, the inventory in the field should be somewhere log around 270,000 units. So I think we've made tremendous progress in reducing the burden on the dealers who are paying floor-planning for that inventory that's out there. Q. And over seventy percent elected to participate, correct? A. Yes. Q. And Chrysler was urging the dealers to participate in order for Chrysler to remain a viable company, right? A. Yes, I said that. Q. In January, February, March, Chrysler was urging its dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? A. Yes, we were trying to—we were trying to match, one for one, one retail/one wholesale so that we could keep our factories open, obviously with no factories open and no revenue generation. I think I had mentioned in my earlier testimony that we were very concerned about cash and liquidity, so we were trying to keep—we were trying to keep the company solvent. Q. And, in fact, in February of this year Mr. Press held a conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional inventory, didn't he? A. Yeah, there was a nfinancial incentive to support their taking those units to assure that they w				
19 Q. Since 2001, Chrysler's market share has decreased, correct? 21 A. If I recall the number, it's been a modest decrease, somewhere around — if you go all the way back, if I remember somewhere around eighteen percent in comparison to — again, the other manufacturers I mentioned, I think, are both around their thirty to forty percent share deterioration.  22 A. Yes, I said that. 23 Q. While you were talking to the auto task force in March about restructuring Chrysler, Chrysler was pressuring all dealers to take on additional inventory was over 600,000 units. We got it down to around 400. And when we emerge from bankruptcy, the inventory in the field should be somewhere around 270,000 units. So I think we've made tremendous progress in reducing the burden on the dealers who are paying floor-planning for that inventory that's out there. 20 Q. And now chrysler is not repurchasing — Q. And now Chrysler is not repurchasing — Q. Thankary, February, March, Chrysler was urging its dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? 21 Q. In January, February, March, Chrysler was urging its dealers to take on additional inventory hat's out there. 22 were trying to eve were trying to eve were trying to keep the company solvent. 23 Solvent. 24 A. There was an allocation issued and they had the option to participate or not participate. If they elected to participate or not participate or one trail inventory was ore 600,000  25 taking those units to assure that they would move those at the retath edealers show a repaying to them, correct?  26 A. Yes, I said that. 27 Lating those units to assure that they would move those at the retath edealers hip allocation susted and they had the option to participate or not participate o				•
20 correct? 21 A. If I recall the number, it's been a modest decrease, 22 somewhere around — if you go all the way back, if I remember 23 somewhere around eighteen percent in comparison to — again, 24 the other manufacturers I mentioned, I think, are both around 25 thirty to forty percent share deterioration.  374  2 Q. So the answer to my question, then, is yes? 2 A. Yes, I said that. 3 Q. While you were talking to the auto task force in March 4 about restructuring Chrysler, Chrysler was pressuring all 5 dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? 6 A. We were working very hard to try to balance the inventory 7 levels. When I got there, the inventory of bankruptcy, the inventory in the field should be somewhere 9 bankruptcy, the inventory in the field should be somewhere 10 around 270,000 units. So I think we've made tremendous 11 progress in reducing the burden on the dealers who are paying 12 floor-planning for that inventory that's out there. 13 Q. In January, February, March, Chrysler was urging its 14 dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? 15 A. Yeah, we were trying to — we were trying to mach, one 16 for one, one retail/one wholesale so that we could keep our 17 factories open, obviously with no factories open and no revenue 18 generation. I think I had mentioned in my earlier testimony 19 that we were very concerned about cash and liquidity, so we 19 were trying to keep — we were trying to keep he company 20 solvent. 21 Q. And, in fact, in February of this year Mr. Press held a 22 conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional 23 inventory, didn't he? 24 A. There was an allocation was done in the field. 25 to them, correct? 26 A. There was an allocation issued and they had the option to the them, correct? 27 A. There was an financial incentive to support their 28 taking those units to assure that they would move those at the retail level. 39 Q. And over seventy percent elected to participate or taking those units to assure that they would move those at the retail le		*		•
A. If I recall the number, it's been a modest decrease, somewhere around if you go all the way back, if I remember as somewhere around eighteen percent in comparison to again, the other manufacturers I mentioned, I think, are both around thirty to forty percent share deterioration.  374  1 Q. So the answer to my question, then, is yes? 2 A. Yes, I said that. 3 Q. While you were talking to the auto task force in March about restructuring Chrysler, Chrysler was pressuring all dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? 4 A. We were working very hard to try to balance the inventory levels. When I got there, the inventory was over 600,000 units; we got it down to around 400. And when we emerge from bankruptcy, the inventory in the field should be somewhere around 270,000 units. So I think we've made tremendous 12 floor-planning for that inventory that's out there. 3 Q. In January, February, March, Chrysler was urging its dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? 4 A. Yes. 5 A. Yesh, we were trying to we were trying to match, one for one, one retail/one wholesale so that we could keep our factories open, obviously with no factories open and no revenue generation. I think I had mentioned in my earlier testimony that we were very concerned about cash and liquidity, so we were trying to keep we were trying to keep he company 20 conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional inventory, idn't he? 4 A. The allocation was done in the field. 4 A. And the dealers were asked to accept the allocations gives to them, one, one, and to them, one of the other manufacturing the other on to the tentory and that the option to participate. Orrect? 5 A. Yesh, we were trying to -even were trying to match, one for one, one retail/one wholesale so that we could keep our factories open, obviously with no factories open and no revenue generation. I think I had mentioned in my earlier testimony that we were very concerned about cash and liquidity, so we were trying to keep we were trying to k				
somewhere around if you go all the way back, if I remember somewhere around eighteen percent in comparison to again, the other manufacturers I mentioned, I think, are both around theighteen percent in comparison to again, the other manufacturers I mentioned, I think, are both around their thirty to forty percent share deterioration.  374  1 Q. So the answer to my question, then, is yes?  A. Yes, I said that.  3 Q. While you were talking to the auto task force in March about restructuring Chrysler, Chrysler was pressuring all dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it?  6 A. We were working very hard to try to balance the inventory levels. When I got there, the inventory was over 600,000 units, we got it down to around 400. And when we emerge from bankruptey, the inventory in the field should be somewhere around 270,000 units. So I think we've made tremendous progress in reducing the burden on the dealers who are paying floor-planning for that inventory that's out there.  13 Q. In January, February, March, Chrysler was urging its dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it?  A. Yeah, we were trying to we were trying to match, one for one, one retail/one wholesale so that we could keep our factories open, obviously with no factories open and no revenue generation. I think I had mentioned in my earlier testimony were trying to keep we were trying to keep the company solvent.  20 And, in fact, in February of this year Mr. Press held a conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional inventory, didn't he?  3 A. Yeah, there was an financial incentive to support their taking those units to assure that they would move those at the retail level.  Q. And over seventy percent elected to participate, there was an financial incentive to support their taking those units to assure that they would move those at the retail level.  Q. And over seventy percent elected to participate or number.  A. Yesh, I said that.  Q. And Chrysler was urging the dealers to participate or number.  10				
the other manufacturers I mentioned, I think, are both around thirty to forty percent share deterioration.  374  Q. So the answer to my question, then, is yes? A. Yes, I said that. Q. While you were talking to the auto task force in March about restructuring Chrysler, Chrysler was pressuring all dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? A. We were working very hard to try to balance the inventory levels. When I got there, the inventory wasn't evels. When I got there, the inventory wasn't evels when the progress in reducing the burden on the dealers who are paying floor-planning for that inventory that's out there. Q. In January, February, March, Chrysler was urging its dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? A. Yeah, we were trying to we were trying to mere that inventory in the field should be somewhere group and the progress in reducing the burden on the dealers who are paying from that inventory that's out there. Q. In January, February, March, Chrysler was urging its dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? A. Yeah, we were trying to we were trying to match, one for one, one retail/one wholesale so that we could keep our factories open, obviously with no factories open and no revenue generation. I think I had mentioned in my earlier testimony that we were very concerned about cash and liquidity, so we were trying to keep we were trying to keep the company solvent. Q. And, in fact, in February of this year Mr. Press held a conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional inventory, didn't he? A. Yeah, there was an allocation issued and they had the option to participate or not participate or not participate. They was an financial incentive to support their taking those units to assure that they would move those at the retail level.  1 participate, there was an financial incentive to support their taking those units to assure that they would move those at the retail level.  2 A. Again, I you asked me that; I don't know the exact number.  3 A. Yes. 4 Yes. 4				
the other manufacturers I mentioned, I think, are both around thirty to forty percent share deterioration.  374  Q. So the answer to my question, then, is yes? A. Yes, I said that. Q. While you were talking to the auto task force in March about restructuring Chrysler, Chrysler was pressuring all dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? A. We were working very hard to try to balance the inventory levels. When I got there, the inventory wasn't evel. Bankruptcy, the inventory in the field should be somewhere around 270,000 units. So I think we've made tremendous progress in reducing the burden on the dealers who are paying floor-planning for that inventory that's out there. Q. In January, February, March, Chrysler was urging its dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? A. Yeah, we were trying to —we were trying to match, one generation. I think I had mentioned in my earlier testimony that we were very concerned about cash and liquidity, so we were trying to keep — we were trying to keep he company solvent. Q. And, in fact, in February of this year Mr. Press held a conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional inventory, didn't he?  A. Yeah, there was an allocation issued and they had the option to participate.  376  A. There was an allocation issued and they had the option to participate. If they elected to participate. If they elected to participate. If they elected to support their taking those units to assure that they would move those at the retail level.  Q. And over seventy percent elected to participate, correct?  A. A gain, I — you asked me that; I don't know the exact number.  Q. But you know a vast majority elected to participate on units. So I think we've made tremendous or order for Chrysler was urging the dealers to participate in order for Chrysler to remain a viable company, right?  A. Well, I would say since I've been there we've been working with dealers to take inventory and to, again, try to make sure we had adequate inventory and that we were pushing inve		, ,		
thirty to forty percent share deterioration.  374  Q. So the answer to my question, then, is yes? A. Yes, I said that. Q. While you were talking to the auto task force in March about restructuring Chrysler, Chrysler was pressuring all dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? A. We were working very hard to try to balance the inventory levels. When I got there, the inventory was over 600,000 units; we got it down to around 400. And when we emerge from bankruptcy, the inventory in the field should be somewhere around 270,000 units. So I think we've made tremendous floor-planning for that inventory that's out there. Q. In January, February, March, Chrysler was urging its dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? A. Yeah, we were trying to —we were trying to match, one for one, one retail/one wholesale so that we could keep our factories open, obviously with no factories open and no revenue generation. I think I had mentioned in my earlier testimony that we were very concerned about cash and liquidity, so we were trying to keep — we were trying to keep the company solvent.  25 A. Yeah, there was a program, an incentive program, that  26 taking those units to assure that they would move those at the retail level. Q. And over seventy percent elected to participate, ornect? A. Again, I — you asked me that; I don't know the exact number. Q. But you know a vast majority elected to participate? A. Yes. Q. And Chrysler was urging the dealers to participate in order for Chrysler to remain a viable company, right? A. Well, I would asy since I vee been there we've been working with dealers to take inventory and that we were pushing inventory so that they were available for retail customers. Q. In January, February, March, Chrysler was urging its that they were available for retail customers. Q. And now Chrysler is not repurchasing that inventory from the 789 dealers, correct? A. Well, we're not repurchasing — MR. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the witness? THE COURT: Go ahead. Thank you.  MR. ARMSTRON				·
2 A. Yes, I said that. 2 Q. While you were talking to the auto task force in March 4 about restructuring Chrysler, Chrysler was pressuring all 5 dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? 6 A. We were working very hard to try to balance the inventory 7 levels. When I got there, the inventory was over 600,000 8 units; we got it down to around 400. And when we emerge from 9 bankruptcy, the inventory in the field should be somewhere 10 around 270,000 units. So I think we've made tremendous 11 progress in reducing the burden on the dealers who are paying 12 floor-planning for that inventory that's out there. 13 Q. In January, February, March, Chrysler was urging its 4 dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? 14 A. Yeah, we were trying to wholesale so that we could keep our 17 factories open, obviously with no factories open and no revenue 18 generation. I think I had mentioned in my earlier testimony 19 that we were very concerned about cash and liquidity, so we were trying to keep we were trying to keep the company 20 solvent. 21 Solvent. 21 Thank you. 22 A. Yeah, there was a program, an incentive program, that 25 A. Yeah, there was a program, an incentive program, that 25 A. Yeah, there was a program, an incentive program, that 374  1 participate, there was an financial incentive to support their taking those units to assure that they would move those at the retail level. 24 taking those units to assure that they would move those at the retail level. 26 taking those units to assure that they would move those at the retail level. 26 taking those units to assure that they would move those at the retail level. 26 taking those units to assure that they would move those at the retail level. 26 A. Again, Ir- you asked me that; I don't know the exact number. 29. And Chrysler was urging the dealers to participate, correct? 20. And Chrysler was urging the dealers to participate. 20. And Chrysler was urging the dealers to participate. 20. And Chrysler was urging the dealers to take inventory and that				•
1 Q. So the answer to my question, then, is yes? 2 A. Yes, I said that. 3 Q. While you were talking to the auto task force in March 4 about restructuring Chrysler, Chrysler was pressuring all 5 dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? 6 A. We were working very hard to try to balance the inventory 7 levels. When I got there, the inventory was over 600,000 8 units; we got it down to around 400. And when we emerge from 9 bankruptcy, the inventory in the field should be somewhere 10 around 270,000 units. So I think we've made tremendous 11 progress in reducing the burden on the dealers who are paying 12 floor-planning for that inventory that's out there. 13 Q. In January, February, March, Chrysler was urging its 14 dealers to take on additional inventory was over 600,000 15 A. Yeah, we were trying to eve were trying to match, one 16 for one, one retail/one wholesale so that we could keep our 17 factories open, obviously with no factories open and no revenue 18 generation. I think I had mentioned in my earlier testimony 19 that we were very concerned about cash and liquidity, so we 10 were trying to keep we were trying to keep the company 21 solvent. 22 Q. And, in fact, in February of this year Mr. Press held a 22 conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional 23 conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional 24 inventory, didn't he? 25 A. Yeah, there was a program, an incentive program, that	25		25	
2 A. Yes, I said that. 3 Q. While you were talking to the auto task force in March 4 about restructuring Chrysler, Chrysler was pressuring all 5 dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? 6 A. We were working very hard to try to balance the inventory 7 levels. When I got there, the inventory was over 600,000 8 units; we got it down to around 400. And when we emerge from 9 bankruptcy, the inventory in the field should be somewhere 10 around 270,000 units. So I think we've made tremendous 11 progress in reducing the burden on the dealers who are paying 12 floor-planning for that inventory that's out there. 13 Q. In January, February, March, Chrysler was urging its 14 dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? 15 A. Yeah, we were trying tow ewere trying to match, one 16 for one, one retail/one wholesale so that we could keep our 17 factories open, obviously with no factories open and no revenue 18 generation. I think I had mentioned in my earlier testimony 19 that we were very concerned about cash and liquidity, so we 20 were trying to keep we were trying to keep the company 21 solvent. 22 Q. And, in fact, in February of this year Mr. Press held a 23 conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional 24 inventory, didn't he? 25 A. Yeah, there was a program, an incentive program, that  2 taking those units to assure that they were werentile lected to participate, correct?  A. Again, I you asked me that; I don't know the exact number.  Q. And over seventy percent elected to participate, correct?  A. Again, I you asked me that; I don't know the exact number.  Q. But you know a vast majority elected to participate; on number.  Q. And Chrysler was urging the dealers to participate?  A. Yea, Lyea was urging the dealers to participate in order for Chrysler to remain a viable company, right?  A. Well, I would say since I've been there we've been working with dealers to take inventory and to, again, try to make sure we had adequate inventory and to, again, try to make sure that th		3/4		370
2 A. Yes, I said that. 3 Q. While you were talking to the auto task force in March 4 about restructuring Chrysler, Chrysler was pressuring all 5 dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? 6 A. We were working very hard to try to balance the inventory 7 levels. When I got there, the inventory was over 600,000 8 units; we got it down to around 400. And when we emerge from 9 bankruptcy, the inventory in the field should be somewhere 10 around 270,000 units. So I think we've made tremendous 11 progress in reducing the burden on the dealers who are paying 12 floor-planning for that inventory that's out there. 13 Q. In January, February, March, Chrysler was urging its 14 dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? 15 A. Yeah, we were trying towe were trying to match, one 16 for one, one retail/one wholesale so that we could keep our 17 factories open, obviously with no factories open and no revenue 18 generation. I think I had mentioned in my earlier testimony 19 that we were very concerned about cash and liquidity, so we 19 were trying to keep we were trying to keep the company 20 conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional 21 about restructuring Chrysler was pressuring all 22 taking those units to assure that they were weenty percent elected to participate, correct? 24 A. Again, I you asked me that; I don't know the exact 25 number. 26 A. Again, I you asked me that; I don't know the exact 27 number. 29 Q. And Chrysler was urging the dealers to participate? 29 Q. And Chrysler was urging the dealers to participate? 30 A. Yea, Well, I would say since I've been there we've been working 31 with dealers to take inventory and to, again, try to make sure 32 whe had adequate inventory and to, again, try to make sure 33 whe adaloguate inventory and that we were pushing inventory so 44 that they were available for retail customers. 45 Q. And now Chrysler is not repurchasing that inventory from 46 the tree we've deen working 47 A. Well, I we're not repurchasing 48 BROWN: You	1	O. So the answer to my question then is yes?	1	participate there was an financial incentive to support their
3				
4 about restructuring Chrysler, Chrysler was pressuring all 5 dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? 6 A. We were working very hard to try to balance the inventory 7 levels. When I got there, the inventory was over 600,000 8 units; we got it down to around 400. And when we emerge from 9 bankruptcy, the inventory in the field should be somewhere 10 around 270,000 units. So I think we've made tremendous 11 progress in reducing the burden on the dealers who are paying 12 floor-planning for that inventory that's out there. 13 Q. In January, February, March, Chrysler was urging its 14 dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? 15 A. Yeah, we were trying to — we were trying to match, one 16 for one, one retail/one wholesale so that we could keep our 17 factories open, obviously with no factories open and no revenue 18 generation. I think I had mentioned in my earlier testimony 19 that we were very concerned about cash and liquidity, so we 20 were trying to keep — we were trying to keep the company 21 solvent. 22 Q. And, in fact, in February of this year Mr. Press held a 23 conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional 24 inventory, didn't he? 25 A. Yeah, down a vast majority elected to participate, number. 26 A. Again, I — you asked me that; I don't know the exact number. 27 A. Again, I — you asked me that; I don't know the exact number. 28 A. Again, I — you asked me that; I don't know the exact number. 29 A. Again, II — you asked me that; I don't know the exact number. 20 A. Yes. 30 Q. But you know a vast majority elected to participate? 4 A. Yes. 4 A. Yes. 4 A. Yes. 4 A. Yes. 4 A. Well, I would say since I've been there we've been working with dealers to take inventory and to, again, try to make sure we had adequate inventory and to, again, try to make sure we had adequate inventory and that we were pushing inventory so that they were available for retail customers. 15 Q. And now Chrysler is not repurchasing that inventory from the 789 dealers, correct? 18 Q. Thai's a yes or				
dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it?  A. We were working very hard to try to balance the inventory levels. When I got there, the inventory was over 600,000 units; we got it down to around 400. And when we emerge from bankruptcy, the inventory in the field should be somewhere around 270,000 units. So I think we've made tremendous progress in reducing the burden on the dealers who are paying floor-planning for that inventory that's out there.  Q. In January, February, March, Chrysler was urging its dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it?  A. Yeah, we were trying to — we were trying to match, one generation. I think I had mentioned in my earlier testimony that we were very concerned about cash and liquidity, so we were trying to keep — we were trying to keep the company solvent.  22 Q. And, in fact, in February of this year Mr. Press held a conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional inventory, didn't he?  5 A. Again, I — you asked me that; I don't know the exact number.  6 number.  7 Q. But you know a vast majority elected to participate?  8 A. Yes.  9 Q. And Chrysler was urging the dealers to participate in order for Chrysler to remain a viable company, right?  A. Well, I would say since I've been there we've been working with dealers to take inventory and that we were pushing inventory so that they were available for retail customers.  12 that they were available for retail customers.  13 Q. And now Chrysler is not repurchasing that inventory from the 789 dealers, correct?  14 A. Well, we're not repurchasing —  18 Q. That's a yes or no.  19 A. The answer is no, we are not.  19 MS. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?  19 A. The Amswer is no, we are not.  20 Mr. Nardelli, I've handed you a copy —  21 MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, I'm sorry. One moment please. Can I just have one second?				
A. We were working very hard to try to balance the inventory levels. When I got there, the inventory was over 600,000 units; we got it down to around 400. And when we emerge from bankruptcy, the inventory in the field should be somewhere around 270,000 units. So I think we've made tremendous progress in reducing the burden on the dealers who are paying floor-planning for that inventory that's out there.  Q. In January, February, March, Chrysler was urging its dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? A. Yeah, we were trying to we were trying to match, one for one, one retail/one wholesale so that we could keep our generation. I think I had mentioned in my earlier testimony that we were very concerned about cash and liquidity, so we were trying to keep we were trying to keep the company solvent.  Q. And, in fact, in February of this year Mr. Press held a conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional inventory, didn't he?  A. Yeah, there was a program, an incentive program, that  but you know a vast majority elected to participate?  A. Yes.  Q. But you know a vast majority elected to participate?  A. Yes.  Q. And Chrysler was urging the dealers to participate?  A. Yes.  Q. And Chrysler to remain a viable company, right?  A. Well, I would say since I've been there we've been working with dealers to take inventory and that we were very eavailable for retail customers.  Q. And now Chrysler is not repurchasing that inventory from the 789 dealers, correct?  A. Well, we're not repurchasing  Q. That's a yes or no.  A. The answer is no, we are not.  MS. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?  THE COURT: Go ahead.  Thank you.  Q. Mr. Nardelli, I've handed you a copy  MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, I'm sorry. One moment please. Can I just have one second?				
levels. When I got there, the inventory was over 600,000  units; we got it down to around 400. And when we emerge from bankruptcy, the inventory in the field should be somewhere  bankruptcy, the inventory in the field should be somewhere  around 270,000 units. So I think we've made tremendous  progress in reducing the burden on the dealers who are paying  floor-planning for that inventory that's out there.  Q. In January, February, March, Chrysler was urging its  dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it?  A. Yeah, we were trying to we were trying to match, one  for one, one retail/one wholesale so that we could keep our  factories open, obviously with no factories open and no revenue  generation. I think I had mentioned in my earlier testimony  that we were very concerned about cash and liquidity, so we  were trying to keep we were trying to keep the company  solvent.  Q. And, in fact, in February of this year Mr. Press held a  conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional  inventory, didn't he?  A. Yes.  Q. And Chrysler was urging the dealers to participate?  A. Well, I would say since I've been there we've been working  with dealers to take inventory and to, again, try to make sure  when ad adequate inventory and that we were pushing inventory so  that they were available for retail customers.  Q. And now Chrysler is not repurchasing that inventory from  the 789 dealers, correct?  A. Well, we're not repurchasing  Q. That's a yes or no.  A. The answer is no, we are not.  MS. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?  THE COURT: Go ahead.  Thank you.  Q. Mr. Nardelli, I've handed you a copy  MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, I'm sorry. One moment  please. Can I just have one second?				
8 units; we got it down to around 400. And when we emerge from bankruptcy, the inventory in the field should be somewhere around 270,000 units. So I think we've made tremendous 10 order for Chrysler to remain a viable company, right?  11 progress in reducing the burden on the dealers who are paying 11 floor-planning for that inventory that's out there. 12 with dealers to take inventory and to, again, try to make sure 13 Q. In January, February, March, Chrysler was urging its 14 dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? 15 A. Yeah, we were trying to we were trying to match, one 16 for one, one retail/one wholesale so that we could keep our 16 factories open, obviously with no factories open and no revenue 17 generation. I think I had mentioned in my earlier testimony 18 query 19 hat we were very concerned about cash and liquidity, so we 19 were trying to keep we were trying to keep the company 20 were trying to keep we were trying to keep the company 21 solvent. 21 THE COURT: Go ahead. 22 Thank you. 23 conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional 24 inventory, didn't he? 24 MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, I'm sorry. One moment 25 A. Yeah, there was a program, an incentive program, that 25 please. Can I just have one second?				
bankruptcy, the inventory in the field should be somewhere around 270,000 units. So I think we've made tremendous progress in reducing the burden on the dealers who are paying floor-planning for that inventory that's out there.  Q. In January, February, March, Chrysler was urging its dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it? A. Yeah, we were trying to we were trying to match, one for one, one retail/one wholesale so that we could keep our generation. I think I had mentioned in my earlier testimony that we were very concerned about cash and liquidity, so we were trying to keep we were trying to keep the company solvent.  Q. And Chrysler was urging the dealers to participate in order for Chrysler to remain a viable company, right?  A. Well, I would say since I've been there we've been working with dealers to take inventory and to, again, try to make sure we had adequate inventory and that we were pushing inventory so that they were available for retail customers.  Q. And now Chrysler is not repurchasing that inventory from the 789 dealers, correct?  A. Well, I would say since I've been there we've been working with dealers to take inventory and to, again, try to make sure we had adequate inventory and that we were pushing inventory so that they were available for retail customers.  Q. And now Chrysler is not repurchasing that inventory from the 789 dealers, correct?  A. Well, I would say since I've been there we've been working with dealers to take inventory and to, again, try to make sure we had adequate inventory and tha twe were pushing inventory so that they were available for retail customers.  Q. And now Chrysler is not repurchasing that inventory from the 789 dealers, correct?  A. Well, we're not repurchasing  B. Well, Yea not event were trying to keep the company  A. The answer is no, we are not.  MS. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?  THE COURT: Go ahead.  Thank you.  Q. Mr. Nardelli, I've handed you a copy  MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, I'm sorry. One moment of the 789 de		•		
around 270,000 units. So I think we've made tremendous progress in reducing the burden on the dealers who are paying floor-planning for that inventory that's out there.  A. Well, I would say since I've been there we've been working with dealers to take inventory and to, again, try to make sure we had adequate inventory and that we were pushing inventory so that they were available for retail customers.  A. Yeah, we were trying to we were trying to match, one for one, one retail/one wholesale so that we could keep our factories open, obviously with no factories open and no revenue generation. I think I had mentioned in my earlier testimony that we were very concerned about cash and liquidity, so we were trying to keep we were trying to keep the company solvent.  A. Well, I would say since I've been there we've been working with dealers to take inventory and that we were been working with dealers to take inventory and that we were pushing inventory so that they were available for retail customers.  Q. And now Chrysler is not repurchasing that inventory from the 789 dealers, correct?  A. Well, I would say since I've been there we've been working with dealers to take inventory and tho, again, try to make sure we had adequate inventory and that we were pushing inventory so that they were available for retail customers.  Q. And now Chrysler is not repurchasing that inventory from the 789 dealers, correct?  A. Well, We're not repurchasing  B. Well, I would say since I've been there we've been working with dealers to take inventory and to, again, try to make sure that they were available for retail customers.  Q. And now Chrysler is not repurchasing that inventory from the 789 dealers, correct?  A. Well, I'would say since I've been there we've been working with dealers to take inventory and to, again, try to make sure that they were available for retail customers.  Q. And now Chrysler is not repurchasing  B. Well, I'would say since I've been there we've been working that they were available for retail custo				
progress in reducing the burden on the dealers who are paying floor-planning for that inventory that's out there.  A. Well, I would say since I've been there we've been working with dealers to take inventory and to, again, try to make sure we had adequate inventory and that we were pushing inventory so that they were available for retail customers.  A. Yeah, we were trying to we were trying to match, one for one, one retail/one wholesale so that we could keep our factories open, obviously with no factories open and no revenue generation. I think I had mentioned in my earlier testimony that we were very concerned about cash and liquidity, so we were trying to keep we were trying to keep the company solvent.  A. Well, we're not repurchasing that inventory from the 789 dealers, correct?  A. Well, we're not repurchasing  A. Well, we're not repurchasing  A. The answer is no, we are not.  MS. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?  THE COURT: Go ahead.  Thank you.  A. The ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, I'm sorry. One moment inventory, didn't he?  A. Yeah, there was a program, an incentive program, that  D. Mr. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, I'm sorry. One moment please. Can I just have one second?				
floor-planning for that inventory that's out there.  12 with dealers to take inventory and to, again, try to make sure  13 Q. In January, February, March, Chrysler was urging its  14 dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it?  15 A. Yeah, we were trying to we were trying to match, one  16 for one, one retail/one wholesale so that we could keep our  17 factories open, obviously with no factories open and no revenue  18 generation. I think I had mentioned in my earlier testimony  19 that we were very concerned about cash and liquidity, so we  20 were trying to keep we were trying to keep the company  21 solvent.  22 Q. And, in fact, in February of this year Mr. Press held a  23 conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional  24 inventory, didn't he?  25 A. Yeah, there was a program, an incentive program, that  12 with dealers to take inventory and to, again, try to make sure  13 we had adequate inventory and that we were pushing inventory so  14 that they were available for retail customers.  15 Q. And now Chrysler is not repurchasing that inventory from  16 the 789 dealers, correct?  17 A. Well, we're not repurchasing  18 Q. That's a yes or no.  19 A. The answer is no, we are not.  20 MS. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?  21 THE COURT: Go ahead.  22 Thank you.  23 Q. Mr. Nardelli, I've handed you a copy  24 inventory, didn't he?  25 MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, I'm sorry. One moment  26 please. Can I just have one second?		,		* * * *
Q. In January, February, March, Chrysler was urging its dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it?  A. Yeah, we were trying to we were trying to match, one for one, one retail/one wholesale so that we could keep our factories open, obviously with no factories open and no revenue generation. I think I had mentioned in my earlier testimony that we were very concerned about cash and liquidity, so we were trying to keep we were trying to keep the company solvent.  Q. And, in fact, in February of this year Mr. Press held a conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional inventory, didn't he?  A. Yeah, there was a program, an incentive program, that  we had adequate inventory and that we were pushing inventory so that the head adequate inventory and that we were pushing inventory so that the head adequate inventory and that we were pushing inventory so that they were available for retail customers.  Q. And now Chrysler is not repurchasing that inventory from the 789 dealers, correct?  A. Well, we're not repurchasing  18 Q. That's a yes or no.  A. The answer is no, we are not.  MS. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?  THE COURT: Go ahead.  Thank you.  Q. Mr. Nardelli, I've handed you a copy  MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, I'm sorry. One moment, please. Can I just have one second?				
dealers to take on additional inventory, wasn't it?  14 that they were available for retail customers.  A. Yeah, we were trying to we were trying to match, one for one, one retail/one wholesale so that we could keep our factories open, obviously with no factories open and no revenue generation. I think I had mentioned in my earlier testimony that we were very concerned about cash and liquidity, so we were trying to keep we were trying to keep the company solvent.  Q. And, in fact, in February of this year Mr. Press held a conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional inventory, didn't he?  A. Yeah, there was a program, an incentive program, that  that they were available for retail customers.  Q. And now Chrysler is not repurchasing that inventory from the 789 dealers, correct?  A. Well, we're not repurchasing  A. Wel		•		
A. Yeah, we were trying to we were trying to match, one for one, one retail/one wholesale so that we could keep our factories open, obviously with no factories open and no revenue generation. I think I had mentioned in my earlier testimony that we were very concerned about cash and liquidity, so we were trying to keep we were trying to keep the company solvent.  Q. And, in fact, in February of this year Mr. Press held a conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional inventory, didn't he?  A. Yeah, there was a program, an incentive program, that  D. And now Chrysler is not repurchasing that inventory from the 789 dealers, correct?  A. Well, we're not repurchasing  A. Well, we're not repurchasing that inventory from the 789 dealers, correct?  A. Well, we're not repurchasing that inventory from the 789 dealers, correct?  A. Well, we're not repurchasing that inventory from the 789 dealers, correct?  A. Well, we're not repurchasing that inventory from the 789 dealers, correct?  A. Well, we're not repurchasing that inventory from 16 the 789 dealers, correct?  A. Well, we're not repurchasing that inventory from 16 the 789 dealers, correct?  A. Well, we're not repurchasing that inventory from 16 the 789 dealers, correct?  A. Well, we're not repurchasing that inventory from 16 the 789 dealers, correct?  A. Well, we're not repurchasing that inventory from 17 the 789 dealers, correct?  A. Well, we're not repurchasing that inventory from 18 the 789 dealers, correct?  A. Well, we're not repurchasing that inventory from 16 the 789 dealers, correct?  A. Well, we're not repurchasing that inventory from 18 to 780 dealers, correct?  A. Well, we're not repurchasing that inventory from 18 to 780 dealers, correct?  A. Well, we're not repurchasing that inventory from 19 the 780 dealers, correct?  A. Well, we're not repurchasing that inventory from 19 the 780 dealers, correct?  A. Well, we're not repurchasing that inventory from 19 the 780 dealers, correct?				
for one, one retail/one wholesale so that we could keep our factories open, obviously with no factories open and no revenue generation. I think I had mentioned in my earlier testimony that we were very concerned about cash and liquidity, so we were trying to keep we were trying to keep the company solvent.  Q. And, in fact, in February of this year Mr. Press held a conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional inventory, didn't he?  A. Well, we're not repurchasing  A. The answer is no, we are not.  MS. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?  THE COURT: Go ahead.  Thank you.  Q. Mr. Nardelli, I've handed you a copy  inventory, didn't he?  A. Yeah, there was a program, an incentive program, that  D. Well, we're not repurchasing  A. Well, we		·		-
factories open, obviously with no factories open and no revenue generation. I think I had mentioned in my earlier testimony that we were very concerned about cash and liquidity, so we were trying to keep we were trying to keep the company solvent.  Q. That's a yes or no.  A. The answer is no, we are not.  MS. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?  THE COURT: Go ahead.  Thank you.  A. Well, we're not repurchasing  MS. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?  THE COURT: Go ahead.  Thank you.  MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, I'm sorry. One moment please. Can I just have one second?				
generation. I think I had mentioned in my earlier testimony that we were very concerned about cash and liquidity, so we were trying to keep we were trying to keep the company solvent.  Q. And, in fact, in February of this year Mr. Press held a conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional inventory, didn't he?  A. Yeah, there was a program, an incentive program, that  20 Ms. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the witness? THE COURT: Go ahead. Thank you.  Q. Mr. Nardelli, I've handed you a copy MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, I'm sorry. One moment, please. Can I just have one second?				
that we were very concerned about cash and liquidity, so we were trying to keep we were trying to keep the company solvent.  20 MS. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?  THE COURT: Go ahead.  Thank you.  Conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional inventory, didn't he?  A. Yeah, there was a program, an incentive program, that  A. The answer is no, we are not.  MS. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?  THE COURT: Go ahead.  Conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional inventory, didn't he?  MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, I'm sorry. One moment please. Can I just have one second?		* '		•
were trying to keep we were trying to keep the company solvent.  21 MS. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the witness? 22 THE COURT: Go ahead. 23 Conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional inventory, didn't he? 24 MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, I'm sorry. One moment 25 A. Yeah, there was a program, an incentive program, that 26 MS. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the witness? 27 THE COURT: Go ahead. 28 Thank you. 29 MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, I'm sorry. One moment 29 MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, I'm sorry. One moment 20 MS. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the witness? 21 THE COURT: Go ahead. 22 Thank you. 23 Q. Mr. Nardelli, I've handed you a copy 24 inventory, didn't he? 25 Please. Can I just have one second?				
solvent. 21 THE COURT: Go ahead. 22 Thank you. 23 conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional 24 inventory, didn't he? 25 A. Yeah, there was a program, an incentive program, that 25 please. Can I just have one second?		•		·
Q. And, in fact, in February of this year Mr. Press held a conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional inventory, didn't he?  Q. Mr. Nardelli, I've handed you a copy				
conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional inventory, didn't he?  24 inventory, didn't he?  25 A. Yeah, there was a program, an incentive program, that  28 Q. Mr. Nardelli, I've handed you a copy  29 MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, I'm sorry. One moment, please. Can I just have one second?			21	THE COURT: Go ahead.
inventory, didn't he? 24 MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, I'm sorry. One moment A. Yeah, there was a program, an incentive program, that 25 please. Can I just have one second?		Q. And, in fact, in February of this year Mr. Press held a		Thank you.
25 A. Yeah, there was a program, an incentive program, that 25 please. Can I just have one second?		conference call with the dealers regarding taking on additional	23	Q. Mr. Nardelli, I've handed you a copy
	24	inventory, didn't he?	24	MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, I'm sorry. One moment
375   377	25		25	*
	I	375		377

1	THE COURT: All right.	1	dealers committed to their February allocation. These dealers
2	(Pause)	2	committed once again to the future as Chrysler, Dodge or Jeep
3	MS. BROWN: We would mark this document Dealer	3	dealers. Most of the participating dealers attended our
4	Committee Exhibit 1, please.	4	meeting with Jim Press and Steven Landry where we learned that
5	(Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 1, e-mail from Mr. Press	5	our franchise viability depends on February and March wholesale
6	to Messrs. Nardelli and LaSorda, was hereby marked for	6	productions being sold." Do you see that?
7	identification as of this date.)	7	A. Yes, I do.
8	MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, I understand from one o	f 8	Q. And it's your understanding that Chrysler was urging the
9	my colleagues that there's some sort of confidentiality	9	dealers to take on inventory because the viability of the
10	agreement that relates to certain information that has been	10	company depended on February and March wholesale production,
11	discussed with counsel. We don't have an objection to this	11	right?
12	exhibit. We just want to make it clear that we're not waiving	12	A. Yes, and this is not uncustomary, at least since the time
13	our rights to claim confidentiality.	13	I've been there, to work every month, to work with the dealers
14	MS. BROWN: Your Honor, every document, almost,	14	to take inventory.
15	that's been used today has been marked confidential.	15	Q. And so letters similar to this were probably sent out to
16	MR. ARMSTRONG: I don't have a problem marking it	16	all eight business centers, correct?
17	confidential; it's sort of the opposite. We want to make sure	17	A. This looks like it may have been I don't know if this
18	we're not waiving anything.	18	is I don't know if there's a similar letter that was sent to
19	MS. BROWN: I'm not sure I understand. May I proceed	19	every business center. I don't know
20	forward with using the document?	20	Q. But I would be customary to send something similar to this
21	MR. ARMSTRONG: Yes, the waiver I'm sorry, Your	21	in the normal practice of Chrysler, right?
22	Honor. The waiver relates to other documents. By letting this	22	A. Yeah, the business centers are business leaders who have
23	in, it doesn't mean that	23	large geographic area, and there's X number of dealers in their
24	THE COURT: All right.	24	responsibilities. So they communicate with those dealers
25	MR. ARMSTRONG: we are agreeing to a waiver as to	25	regularly.
	378		380
1	other de surrente. Dut	1	O And this would be one of the morales communications
2	other documents. But	2	<ul><li>Q. And this would be one of the regular communications</li><li>A. Sure.</li></ul>
3	THE COURT: All right.  Go ahead, counsel.	3	Q correct?
4	·	4	A. Sure.
5	MR. ARMSTRONG: Okay. BY MS. BROWN:	5	
6			<ul><li>Q. And you see a list attached to the letter?</li><li>A. Yes, I do.</li></ul>
7	Q. Mr. Nardelli, Dealer Committee Exhibit 1 is an e-mail from Jim Press to you and Mr. LaSorda, correct?	7	Q. And is it your understanding that all of the dealers on
8	A. Yes.	8	this list had committed to take the February allocations that
9	Q. And he's forwarding along an e-mail from Peter Fong,	9	•
10	correct?	10	Chrysler was urging them to take?  A. Well, according to the note, that's what it appears
11	A. Yes.	11	that that's what he is saying. Most of the participating
12		12	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
13	<ul><li>Q. And Peter Fong is a business center manager, correct?</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>	13	dealers committed to their February these dealers committed to their February allocation, yes.
14	Q. And if you and what is the date on this document?	14	Q. And he said committed to their future as Chrysler, Dodge
15	A. Looks like it was sent 1/31.	15	and Jeep dealers, didn't he?
16	Q. And if you could turn to the second page, please?	16	A. Yes, he did.
17	A. Yeah.	17	Q. Are you aware that fifty-two dealers on this list are
18	Q. Mr. Fong is sending a letter to the mid-Atlantic business	18	included in the 789 that Chrysler is seeking to reject?
19	center dealers, correct?	19	A. No, I'm not.
20	A. Yes.	20	Q. So the dealers on Exhibit 1 stepped up and helped Chrysler
21	Q. And the mid-Atlantic business center is one of eight	21	out when Chrysler was in need, but now Chrysler's turning its
22	business centers that Chrysler has, correct?	22	back on these dealers and seeking to reject their dealership
23	A. Yes.	23	agreements, isn't it?
24	Q. And Mr. Fong states, "I would like to offer my personal	24	MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor.
25	thanks and recognition to the dealerships listed below. These	25	Argumentative. No foundation.
	379		381
	5,7		301

THE COURT: All right, what's the foundation for the question?  A. Yeah, there's a mechanism in place sure if I recall this right, to make sure and the '09s, and I don't remember the end about 2,000 dollars or 4,000 dollars in the inventory to make their February and March wholesale numbers.  These dealers did it, and now Chrysler's seeking to reject them.  THE COURT: I understand, but your question was in the form of Chrysler turning their back. I mean, that's a the form of Chrysler turning their back. I mean, that's a the form of Chrysler turning their back. I mean, that's a the form of the agrees with that characterization?  MS. BROWN: I can rephrase the question, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right.  BY MS. BROWN:  THE COURT: All right.  THE COURT: All right.  A. Yeah, there's a mechanism in place and the '09s, and I don't remember the end about 2,000 dollars or 4,000 dollars in the objection.  MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. Exc Objection.  UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's the GMAC MAFA  THE COURT: You know, it would down next to each other.  THE COURT: You know, it would that  THE COURT: All right.  A. Yes.  MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry, You that  UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Exc MR. ARMSTRONG: this testing pursuant to an agreement. Sorry.  MR. ARMSTRONG: this testing pursuant to an agreement. Sorry.  MS. BROWN: No agreement I'm MR. ARMSTRONG: A second of the country pursuant to an agreement. Sorry.	where to make that on the '08s exact amount, it may the transfer of those stributed cuse me, Your Honor. s under seal pursuant to
MS. BROWN: The foundation is that Mr. Nardelli has testified that Chrysler needed these dealers to take on the inventory to make their February and March wholesale numbers These dealers did it, and now Chrysler's seeking to reject them. THE COURT: I understand, but your question was in the form of Chrysler turning their back. I mean, that's a characterization. You want to you're asking the witness whether or not he agrees with that characterization? MS. BROWN: I can rephrase the question, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right.  BY MS. BROWN:  Q. The dealers listed in Dealer Committee Exhibit 1, would you agree, stepped up and helped out Chrysler when they were  Response to take on the and the '09s, and I don't remember the end and the '09s, and I don't remember the end and the '09s, and I don't remember the end and the '09s, and I don't remember the end and the '09s, and I don't remember the end and the '09s, and I don't remember the end and the '09s, and I don't remember the end and the '09s, and I don't remember the end and the '09s, and I don't remember the end and the '09s, and I don't remember the end and the '09s, and I don't remember the end and the '09s, and I don't remember the end about 2,000 dollars or 4,000 dollars in the about 2,000 dollars or 4,000 dollars in the about 2,000 dollars or 4,000 dollars in the sub units to make sure that if they were redistance that if they were redistance that if they were redistance and the '09s, and I don't remember the end and the '09s, and I don't remember the end and the '09s, and I don't remember the end and the '09s, and I don't remember the end and the '09s, and I don't remember the end and the '09s, and I don't remember the end and the '09s, and I don't remember the end and the '09s, and I don't remember the end and the '09s, and I don't remember the end to the sub units to make sure that if they were redistance the units to make sure that if they were redistance the units to make sure that if they were redistance.  BY MS. BROWN: I don't an and the '09s, and I	e that on the '08s exact amount, it may the transfer of those stributed cuse me, Your Honor. s under seal pursuant to ald be helpful if you sat
testified that Chrysler needed these dealers to take on the inventory to make their February and March wholesale numbers. These dealers did it, and now Chrysler's seeking to reject them. THE COURT: I understand, but your question was in the form of Chrysler turning their back. I mean, that's a characterization. You want to you're asking the witness whether or not he agrees with that characterization? THE COURT: All right.  BY MS. BROWN: THE COURT: All right.  BY MS. BROWN:  Q. The dealers listed in Dealer Committee Exhibit 1, would you agree, stepped up and helped out Chrysler when they were asked to?  A. Yes.  And the '09s, and I don't remember the exabout 2,000 dollars or 4,000 dollars in the about 2,000 dollars or 4,000 dollars in the about 2,000 dollars or 4,000 dollars in the about 2,000 dollars or 4,000 dollars or 4,000 dollars in the about 2,000 dollars or 4,000 dollars in the set in the set in the ghout 2,000 dollars or 4,000 dollars or 4,000 dollars in the about 2,000 dollars or 4,000 dollars or 4,000 dollars in the about 2,000 dollars or 4,000 dollars in the set in the set in the set in the year about 2,000 dollars or 4,000 dollars in the about 2,000 dollars or 4,000 dollars in the set in the year about 2,000 dollars or 4,000 dollars in the set in the year about 2,000 dollars or 4,000 dollars in the set in the year about 2,000 dollars in the set in the year about 2,000 dollars or 4,000 dollars in the set in the year about 2,000 dollars or 4,000 dollars in the set in the year about 2,000 dollars in the set in the year about 2,000 dollars in the set in the year about 2,000 dollars in the set in the year about 2,000 dollars in the set in the year about 2,000 dollars in the set in the year about 2,000 dollars in the yea	exact amount, it may the transfer of those stributed cuse me, Your Honor. s under seal pursuant to ald be helpful if you sat
inventory to make their February and March wholesale numbers These dealers did it, and now Chrysler's seeking to reject them. THE COURT: I understand, but your question was in the form of Chrysler turning their back. I mean, that's a characterization. You want to you're asking the witness the MS. BROWN: I can rephrase the question, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right.  BY MS. BROWN:  GRAMSTRONG: Sorry. Exc.  UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's the GMAC MAFA THE COURT: You know, it wou down next to each other.  MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry, You the GMAC MAFA THE COURT: You know, it wou down next to each other.  MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry, You the GMAC MAFA THE COURT: You know, it wou down next to each other.  MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry, You that THE COURT: All right.  MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry, You that THE COURT: All right that THE	he transfer of those stributed cuse me, Your Honor. s under seal pursuant to ald be helpful if you sat
These dealers did it, and now Chrysler's seeking to reject them.  THE COURT: I understand, but your question was in the form of Chrysler turning their back. I mean, that's a characterization. You want to you're asking the witness the question, Your Honor.  MS. BROWN: I can rephrase the question, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right.  BY MS. BROWN:  Q. The dealers listed in Dealer Committee Exhibit 1, would you agree, stepped up and helped out Chrysler when they were asked to?  A. Yes.  units to make sure that if they were redis MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry, Exc.  MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry, Exc.  UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's the GMAC MAFA  11 THE COURT: You know, it would down next to each other.  12 down next to each other.  13 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry, You that  14 that  15 Q. The dealers listed in Dealer Committee Exhibit 1, would you agree, stepped up and helped out Chrysler when they were asked to?  16 MR. ARMSTRONG: this testing the witness that if they were redis MR. ARMSTRONG: this testing the witness are united to make sure that if they were redis MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry, Exc.  MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry, Exc.  MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry, Exc.  MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry, You down next to each other.  UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Exc.  MR. ARMSTRONG: this testing the witness are united to make sure that if they were rediscusted in the MR. ARMSTRONG: and the MR. ARMSTRONG: this testing the witness are united to make sure that if they were rediscusted in the MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry, Exc.  MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry, You the GMAC MAFA  12 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Exc.  13 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry, You the MR. ARMSTRONG: this testing the witness are united to make sure that if they were rediscusted in the MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry.  MS. BROWN: No agreement I'm.	stributed cuse me, Your Honor. s under seal pursuant to ald be helpful if you sat
them.  THE COURT: I understand, but your question was in the form of Chrysler turning their back. I mean, that's a characterization. You want to you're asking the witness whether or not he agrees with that characterization?  MS. BROWN: I can rephrase the question, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right.  BY MS. BROWN: Q. The dealers listed in Dealer Committee Exhibit 1, would you agree, stepped up and helped out Chrysler when they were asked to?  A. Yes.  MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. Exc.  BY MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry. Exc.  BY UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's the GMAC MAFA  THE COURT: You know, it would down next to each other.  MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry, You that  UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Exc.  MR. ARMSTRONG: this testing the witness of the GMAC MAFA  THE COURT: You know, it would down next to each other.  MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry, You that  UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Exc.  MR. ARMSTRONG: this testing the witness of the GMAC MAFA  UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Exc.  MR. ARMSTRONG: this testing the witness of the GMAC MAFA  UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Exc.  MR. ARMSTRONG: this testing the witness of the GMAC MAFA  UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Exc.  MR. ARMSTRONG: this testing the witness of the GMAC MAFA  UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Exc.  MR. ARMSTRONG: this testing the witness of the GMAC MAFA  UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's t	s under seal pursuant to
8 THE COURT: I understand, but your question was in 9 the form of Chrysler turning their back. I mean, that's a 10 characterization. You want to you're asking the witness 11 whether or not he agrees with that characterization? 12 MS. BROWN: I can rephrase the question, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: All right. 14 BY MS. BROWN: 15 Q. The dealers listed in Dealer Committee Exhibit 1, would 16 you agree, stepped up and helped out Chrysler when they were 17 asked to? 18 A. Yes. 18 Objection. 9 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's 10 the GMAC MAFA 11 THE COURT: You know, it would down next to each other. 12 down next to each other. 13 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry, You that 14 that 15 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Ex MR. ARMSTRONG: this testing the witness of the GMAC MAFA 16 MS. BROWN: 10 agreement I'm MS. BROWN: No agre	s under seal pursuant to
9 the form of Chrysler turning their back. I mean, that's a 10 characterization. You want to you're asking the witness 11 whether or not he agrees with that characterization? 12 MS. BROWN: I can rephrase the question, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: All right. 14 BY MS. BROWN: 15 Q. The dealers listed in Dealer Committee Exhibit 1, would 16 you agree, stepped up and helped out Chrysler when they were 17 asked to? 18 A. Yes. 19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's 10 the GMAC MAFA 11 THE COURT: You know, it would down next to each other. 12 down next to each other. 13 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry, You that 14 that 15 Q. The dealers listed in Dealer Committee Exhibit 1, would 15 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Export of the GMAC MAFA 14 the GMAC MAFA 15 Dealer Court of the GMAC MAFA 16 down next to each other. 17 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry, You that 17 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's 18 MR. ARMSTRONG: It's down next to each other. 19 Dealer Court of the GMAC MAFA 11 THE COURT: You know, it would that 12 down next to each other. 13 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry, You that 14 that 15 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's 15 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry, You that 16 MR. ARMSTRONG: this testing the witness in the GMAC MAFA 17 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's 18 MR. ARMSTRONG: It's accurate to each other. 19 Dealer Court of the GMAC MAFA 10 Dealer Court of the GMAC MAFA 11 THE COURT: You know, it would down next to each other. 11 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry, You that 12 Dealer Court of the GMAC MAFA 13 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry, You that 14 Dealer Court of the GMAC MAFA 15 Dealer Court of the GMAC MAFA 16 Dealer Court of the GMAC MAFA 17 Dealer Court of the GMAC MAFA 18 Dealer Court of the GMAC MAFA 19 Dealer Court of the GMAC MAFA 19 Dealer Court of the GMAC MAFA 11 Dealer Court of the GMAC MAFA 11 Dealer Court of the GMAC MAFA 11 Dealer Court of the GMAC MAFA 12 Dealer Court of the GMAC MAFA 13 Dealer Court of the GMAC MAFA 14 Dealer Court of the GMAC MAFA 15 Dealer Court of the GMAC MAFA 16 Dealer Court o	ıld be helpful if you sat
the form of Chrysler turning their back. I mean, that's a characterization. You want to you're asking the witness whether or not he agrees with that characterization?  MS. BROWN: I can rephrase the question, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right.  BY MS. BROWN:  BY MS. BROWN:  Q. The dealers listed in Dealer Committee Exhibit 1, would you agree, stepped up and helped out Chrysler when they were asked to?  MS. BROWN:  MS. BROWN:  UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's the GMAC MAFA THE COURT: You know, it would down next to each other.  MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry, You that UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Ex MR. ARMSTRONG: this testin pursuant to an agreement. Sorry.  MS. BROWN: No agreement I'm	ıld be helpful if you sat
characterization. You want to you're asking the witness whether or not he agrees with that characterization?  MS. BROWN: I can rephrase the question, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right.  BY MS. BROWN:  Q. The dealers listed in Dealer Committee Exhibit 1, would you agree, stepped up and helped out Chrysler when they were asked to?  A. Yes.  10 the GMAC MAFA THE COURT: You know, it would down next to each other.  MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry, You that UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Ex MR. ARMSTRONG: this testin pursuant to an agreement. Sorry.  MS. BROWN: No agreement I'm	ıld be helpful if you sat
whether or not he agrees with that characterization?  MS. BROWN: I can rephrase the question, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right.  BY MS. BROWN:  Q. The dealers listed in Dealer Committee Exhibit 1, would you agree, stepped up and helped out Chrysler when they were asked to?  A. Yes.  THE COURT: You know, it would down next to each other.  MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry, You that  UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Ex  MR. ARMSTRONG: this testing the pursuant to an agreement. Sorry.  MS. BROWN: No agreement I'm	
MS. BROWN: I can rephrase the question, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right.  BY MS. BROWN:  Q. The dealers listed in Dealer Committee Exhibit 1, would  you agree, stepped up and helped out Chrysler when they were  asked to?  A. Yes.  MS. BROWN:  UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Ex  MR. ARMSTRONG: this testing  pursuant to an agreement. Sorry.  MS. BROWN: No agreement I'm	
13 THE COURT: All right.  14 BY MS. BROWN:  15 Q. The dealers listed in Dealer Committee Exhibit 1, would  16 you agree, stepped up and helped out Chrysler when they were  17 asked to?  18 A. Yes.  19 MR. ARMSTRONG: Sorry, You that  19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Experiment is the strict of the pursuant to an agreement. Sorry.  19 MS. BROWN: No agreement I'm is the strict of the pursuant to an agreement I'm is the strict of the pursuant to an agreement. Sorry.	
14 BY MS. BROWN: 15 Q. The dealers listed in Dealer Committee Exhibit 1, would 16 you agree, stepped up and helped out Chrysler when they were 17 asked to? 18 A. Yes. 19 The dealers listed in Dealer Committee Exhibit 1, would 19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Ex 19 MR. ARMSTRONG: this testing 10 pursuant to an agreement. Sorry. 11 MS. BROWN: No agreement I'm	ir Honor Lunderstand
Q. The dealers listed in Dealer Committee Exhibit 1, would you agree, stepped up and helped out Chrysler when they were asked to?  16 WINDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Ex MR. ARMSTRONG: this testing the saked to?  17 pursuant to an agreement. Sorry.  18 A. Yes.  18 MS. BROWN: No agreement I'm	i Honor. I understand
you agree, stepped up and helped out Chrysler when they were asked to?  16 MR. ARMSTRONG: this testing the saked to?  17 pursuant to an agreement. Sorry.  18 A. Yes.  18 MS. BROWN: No agreement I'm	vousa ma Vous Hanos
17 asked to? 17 pursuant to an agreement. Sorry. 18 A. Yes. 18 MS. BROWN: No agreement I'm	
18 A. Yes. 18 MS. BROWN: No agreement I'm	mony is under sear
	•
19 Q. And would you also agree that, at least with respect to 19 THE WITNESS: Sorry if I missp	
the fifty-two of the dealers in Exhibit 1 that are also 20 MR. ARMSTRONG: I understan	
21 included as one of the 789 that Chrysler's seeking to reject, 21 already approved three agreements that	•
that Chrysler's now turning its back on them?  22 information is under seal. The three agr	
23 A. No. 23 MAFA, Chrysler Financial RSA, and the	
24 Q. And why not? 24 THE COURT: All right, the info	rmation is under seal,
25 A. Well, as I mentioned, the affected dealers had about 25 all right? So get around this with the wi	
382	384
1 40,000 % 1 14 700 1 44,000 64 1 1 36	
1 40,000 units when we announced the 789; about 4,000 of those 1 specific responses being necessary.	
2 have been sold off; about 15,000 have already been desig 2 BY MS. BROWN:	
3 alternate dealer designation. So we are making every effort. 3 Q. So, Mr. Nardelli, if I understand y	, ,
4 And I don't know of the 50 on here, whether they represent any 4 is at risk for certain losses associated v	with this inventory,
5 of the 120 that have refused help. 5 is that correct?	
6 Q. Now, you talk about making every effort, but Chrysler has 6 MR. ARMSTRONG: Same obj	
7 not agreed to repurchase the inventory, correct? 7 MS. BROWN: Your Honor, ho	Ü
8 A. We went through this, and we are not repurchasing it but 8 costs of this if we don't even know wh	ř
9 we are working closely with GMAC as a result of the 9 THE COURT: Well, you should	•
transaction. And GMAC is working very hard to pick up that 10 frankly, when these documents go und	
11 inventory. And, in fact, there is a cost-sharing should there 11 articulated an objection at that time. E	
be any loss on the '08s and '09s to make sure that we have 12 not hearing it. I mean, your point can	
every opportunity to redistribute that inventory and therefore 13 without going through this information	n.
pay those affected dealers the wholesale their wholesale   14 BY MS. BROWN:	
15 rate. 15 Q. Mr. Nardelli, a fund was created v	with respect to lending
16 Q. And that's just with respect to the inventory, correct? 16 by GMAC to the retained dealers, corr	rect?
17 A. That's correct. 17 A. Yes, it's my understanding that the	ere was a fund created.
18 Q. And there's no guarantee that all inventory will be sold, 18 Q. And Chrysler funded whatever ins	strument is used to
19 correct? 19 backstop these loans, correct?	
20 A. No, there's no guarantee. 20 A. Yeah, I believe there was a sharing	g of the funds.
Q. You mentioned the cost-sharing. Does this involve a 21 Q. Between Chrysler and whom?	
	nat, then.
22 special purpose vehicle? 22 A. I'm not sure I'm at liberty to say the	
<ul> <li>special purpose vehicle?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure I'm at liberty to say the</li> <li>A. I'm not sure of what the instrument is of how that was</li> <li>Q. I don't think your counsel's object</li> </ul>	Į.
23 A. I'm not sure of what the instrument is of how that was 23 Q. I don't think your counsel's object	nay answer that question
23 A. I'm not sure of what the instrument is of how that was 23 Q. I don't think your counsel's object	•

1	extent that he knows, he can share the existence but, my	1	MR. MCRORY: Yes, Your Honor. Russell McRory,
2	understanding is, not the mechanism.	2	Robinson Brog Leinwand Greene Genovese & Gluck, for Performance
3	THE COURT: All right.	3	Dodge LLC.
4	A. So it's a combination between Chrysler and the finance	4	CROSS-EXAMINATION
5	companies.	5	BY MR. MCRORY:
6	Q. And what finance companies?	6	Q. Good evening, Mr. Nardelli.
7	A. I think it's a combination of the transition from FinCo	7	A. Good evening.
8	into GMAC.	8	Q. I'll keep it short. I want to take you back to the days
9	Q. Where did Chrysler get the money to fund this vehicle?	9	before the filing for bankruptcy. And you testified earlier
10	A. All of our money has come from the government.	10	that
11	Q. Was this part of the DIP lending?	11	THE COURT: Would you extend the microphone, please?
12	A. I believe it probably was identified as something within	12	MR. MCRORY: Oh.
13	the DIP financing. I don't recall exactly.	13	THE COURT: Thank you.
14	Q. Did Chrysler ask the government to fund the repurchase of		Q. You testified earlier that you were almost there, and
15	the inventory as part of the DIP loan?	15	the you were very close except for a few of the first lien
16	A. Not to not I don't know. I don't know.	16	lenders, is that correct?
17	Q. Were you involved with the DIP budget at all?	17	A. Yes.
18	A. On and off, yes.	18 19	Q. If you had secured a deal with those remaining first lien
19 20	Q. Did you ever ask the government to fund the repurchase of	20	lenders, do you believe it would have been necessary for Chrysler to file for bankruptcy?
21	the inventory from the rejected dealers?  A. No, I did not.	21	A. Well, we were certainly hoping that if we got their
22	Q. I believe you testified that if the sale was approved you	22	agreement we may be able to avoid bankruptcy.
23	will not be an employee, director or officer of New Chrysler,	23	Q. What else would have caused Chrysler to file for
24	correct?	24	bankruptcy?
25	A. That's correct.	25	A. That was the biggest that was the biggest hurdle we had
	386		388
1	Q. And are you aware that, in connection with the sale,	1	to overcome at the time.
2	Chrysler has filed a motion that seeks to provide you and	2	Q. What other hurdles? Even the smaller ones.
3	others with releases?	3	A. Well, we had to make sure that we had all of the final
4	A. Yes, I am.	4	agreements, and we had to make sure that the master transaction
5	Q. And what does a release have to do with this sale motion?	5	agreement was agreeable to Fiat in this final deal.
6	A. With the sale motion?	6	Q. Were there any terms still up in the air?
7	Q. Yes.	7	A. We were working through the entire evening the 29th up to
8	A. I'm not exactly sure other than I know there are releases	8	the 30th, and we were finally able to reach resolution.
9	involved in the settlement agreements and for all of the	9	Q. Now, if Chrysler had not filed for bankruptcy and an
10	directors and the officers of Chrysler in consideration for	10	alliance with Fiat occurred outside of bankruptcy, isn't it
11	putting together what we believed was the best alternative	11	correct that Chrysler would have been bringing approximately
12	using our business judgment.	12	3,200 dealers into the alliance?
13	Q. And are those releases then contingent on the sale	13	A. Yes. As I mentioned earlier, we would have continued to
14 15	happening?  A. I would think so, and to my knowledge.	14 15	carry the dealers except for those that would have been on some performance letter.
16	MS. BROWN: No further questions.	16	Q. And approximately fifteen days later, on May 14th,
17	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	17	Chrysler filed a motion to reject approximately 800 dealers, is
18	THE COURT: All right, thank you.	18	that correct?
19	How many other counsel wish to ask questions?	19	A. That's correct.
20	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Not very many.	20	Q. So prior to the deal originally with Fiat would have
21	THE COURT: All right, you don't have to give me	21	been Chrysler bringing in 3,200 dealers into the alliance;
22	well, I take that back; I do want to know the time. But I just	22	however, now Chrysler is giving only 2,400 dealers to the Fiat
23	ask all of you try and if a number of parties are asking	23	alliance; is that correct?
24	questions from the dealer standpoint, just try not to be	24	A. That's correct.
25	repetitive. It's just been brought out by other counsel.	25	Q. Who asked for that change?
	387		389

1	A. Well, as we looked at filing bankruptcy, and knowing that	1	A. I'm I again, I would think it would have been. I
2	being over-dealered has been an issue for Chrysler for some	2	can't tell you that I've seen those documents.
3	time, and it has been a process that was there prior to me in	3	Q. Okay. And I just want to reiterate ask another point
4	trying to get dealer consolidation, not only in Chrysler but in	4	to what we discussed before that did Chrysler receive any
5	the industry, it presented an opportunity to accelerate the	5	concession or value for accelerating the rationalization
6	5 plan.	6	program by rejecting almost 800 dealers?
7	Q. Did the UAW ask for this dealer reduction?	7	A. Not to my knowledge.
8	B A. No.	8	MR. MCRORY: No further questions.
9	Q. Did the American government ask for this dealer reduction	9	THE COURT: All right.
10	A. No.	10	Next person.
11	Q. Did the Canadian government ask for this dealer reduction?	11	CROSS-EXAMINATION
12	A. No.	12	BY MR. SNYDER:
13	Q. Did Fiat ask for this dealer reduction?	13	Q. Mr. Nardelli, good evening. My name is Eric Snyder. I'm
14	A. No, I don't recall again, that I don't know if that	14	with Siller Wilk, and we represent thirty-one of the affected
15	was an item that was expressly indicated in the agreement or	15	dealers. There's been a lot of testimony this evening about
16	not.	16	the viability plan, is that correct?
17	Q. So is it fair to say that Chrysler just offered these	17	A. Yes.
18	dealers up as part of the deal for no extra consideration?	18	Q. And I believe you testified that many hours and a great
19	A. Well, no, I think it, again, was part of the a plan	19	deal of time and energy went into preparing that plan, is that
20	that was there that was going to be implemented over a period	20	correct?
21	of time, and this presented an opportunity to accelerate that	21	A. Yes.
22	rationalization, dealer rationalization.	22	Q. And that plan was submitted to the government during
23	Q. What did Chrysler get in return for the acceleration of	23	February 2009, is that correct?
24	this rationalization?	24	A. February 17th.
25	A. Chrysler didn't. It was really the value will be realized	25	Q. And that plan set forth both the benefits and the burdens
	390		392
1	in NewCo.	1	of going forward with Chrysler with different scenarios, is
_	III New Co.		of going for ward with Chryster with different section, is
	O But isn't your obligation to the debtors' estate?	2	that correct?
2	8	2	that correct?  A. Yes
3	3 A. Yes.	3	A. Yes.
3	A. Yes. Q. So then why are you worried about what NewCo gets?	3 4	A. Yes. Q. And is anywhere in that report, is there an analysis of
3 4	A. Yes. Q. So then why are you worried about what NewCo gets? A. Well, again, what we were trying to do is make sure that	3 4 5	A. Yes.  Q. And is anywhere in that report, is there an analysis of what the burden of the dealers were to Chrysler at that time?
3 4 5	A. Yes. Q. So then why are you worried about what NewCo gets? A. Well, again, what we were trying to do is make sure that the assets that we were transferring forward were as viable as	3 4 5 6	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And is anywhere in that report, is there an analysis of what the burden of the dealers were to Chrysler at that time?</li><li>A. No, not to my knowledge.</li></ul>
3 4 5 6	A. Yes. Q. So then why are you worried about what NewCo gets? A. Well, again, what we were trying to do is make sure that the assets that we were transferring forward were as viable as they could be going forward. I think that was part of our	3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And is anywhere in that report, is there an analysis of what the burden of the dealers were to Chrysler at that time?</li><li>A. No, not to my knowledge.</li><li>Q. I'm sorry?</li></ul>
3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes. Q. So then why are you worried about what NewCo gets? A. Well, again, what we were trying to do is make sure that the assets that we were transferring forward were as viable as they could be going forward. I think that was part of our responsibility: not to deliver a plan that didn't have	3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is anywhere in that report, is there an analysis of what the burden of the dealers were to Chrysler at that time?</li> <li>A. No, not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. No, not to my knowledge.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. Q. So then why are you worried about what NewCo gets? A. Well, again, what we were trying to do is make sure that the assets that we were transferring forward were as viable as they could be going forward. I think that was part of our responsibility: not to deliver a plan that didn't have viability to it.	3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is anywhere in that report, is there an analysis of what the burden of the dealers were to Chrysler at that time?</li> <li>A. No, not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. No, not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. And in March 2009 things changed, is that correct, with</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. Q. So then why are you worried about what NewCo gets? A. Well, again, what we were trying to do is make sure that the assets that we were transferring forward were as viable as they could be going forward. I think that was part of our responsibility: not to deliver a plan that didn't have viability to it. Q. But, again, you just testified none of the parties-in-	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is anywhere in that report, is there an analysis of what the burden of the dealers were to Chrysler at that time?</li> <li>A. No, not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. No, not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. And in March 2009 things changed, is that correct, with discussions regarding Fiat?</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. Q. So then why are you worried about what NewCo gets? A. Well, again, what we were trying to do is make sure that the assets that we were transferring forward were as viable as they could be going forward. I think that was part of our responsibility: not to deliver a plan that didn't have viability to it. Q. But, again, you just testified none of the parties-in-interest to NewCo asked for this.	3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is anywhere in that report, is there an analysis of what the burden of the dealers were to Chrysler at that time?</li> <li>A. No, not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. No, not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. And in March 2009 things changed, is that correct, with discussions regarding Fiat?</li> <li>A. Yes, a lot of things changed in March.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Yes. Q. So then why are you worried about what NewCo gets? A. Well, again, what we were trying to do is make sure that the assets that we were transferring forward were as viable as they could be going forward. I think that was part of our responsibility: not to deliver a plan that didn't have viability to it. Q. But, again, you just testified none of the parties-in-interest to NewCo asked for this. A. I'm not sure about the Fiat master transaction agreement.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is anywhere in that report, is there an analysis of what the burden of the dealers were to Chrysler at that time?</li> <li>A. No, not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. No, not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. And in March 2009 things changed, is that correct, with discussions regarding Fiat?</li> <li>A. Yes, a lot of things changed in March.</li> <li>Q. And it became clear, did it not, Mr. Nardelli, in March</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes. Q. So then why are you worried about what NewCo gets? A. Well, again, what we were trying to do is make sure that the assets that we were transferring forward were as viable as they could be going forward. I think that was part of our responsibility: not to deliver a plan that didn't have viability to it. Q. But, again, you just testified none of the parties-in-interest to NewCo asked for this. A. I'm not sure about the Fiat master transaction agreement. Q. Mr. Nardelli, have you ever seen any studies that address	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is anywhere in that report, is there an analysis of what the burden of the dealers were to Chrysler at that time?</li> <li>A. No, not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. No, not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. And in March 2009 things changed, is that correct, with discussions regarding Fiat?</li> <li>A. Yes, a lot of things changed in March.</li> <li>Q. And it became clear, did it not, Mr. Nardelli, in March that the Fiat deal had to be done through a bankruptcy</li> </ul>
33 44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133	A. Yes. Q. So then why are you worried about what NewCo gets? A. Well, again, what we were trying to do is make sure that the assets that we were transferring forward were as viable as they could be going forward. I think that was part of our responsibility: not to deliver a plan that didn't have viability to it. Q. But, again, you just testified none of the parties-in-interest to NewCo asked for this. A. I'm not sure about the Fiat master transaction agreement. Q. Mr. Nardelli, have you ever seen any studies that address how much it costs Chrysler to maintain its current dealer	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is anywhere in that report, is there an analysis of what the burden of the dealers were to Chrysler at that time?</li> <li>A. No, not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. No, not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. And in March 2009 things changed, is that correct, with discussions regarding Fiat?</li> <li>A. Yes, a lot of things changed in March.</li> <li>Q. And it became clear, did it not, Mr. Nardelli, in March that the Fiat deal had to be done through a bankruptcy proceeding? Is that correct?</li> </ul>
33 44 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. Q. So then why are you worried about what NewCo gets? A. Well, again, what we were trying to do is make sure that the assets that we were transferring forward were as viable as they could be going forward. I think that was part of our responsibility: not to deliver a plan that didn't have viability to it. Q. But, again, you just testified none of the parties-in-interest to NewCo asked for this. A. I'm not sure about the Fiat master transaction agreement. Q. Mr. Nardelli, have you ever seen any studies that address how much it costs Chrysler to maintain its current dealer network?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is anywhere in that report, is there an analysis of what the burden of the dealers were to Chrysler at that time?</li> <li>A. No, not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. No, not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. And in March 2009 things changed, is that correct, with discussions regarding Fiat?</li> <li>A. Yes, a lot of things changed in March.</li> <li>Q. And it became clear, did it not, Mr. Nardelli, in March that the Fiat deal had to be done through a bankruptcy proceeding? Is that correct?</li> <li>A. No. What I think what I testified to is that one of</li> </ul>
33 44 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. Q. So then why are you worried about what NewCo gets? A. Well, again, what we were trying to do is make sure that the assets that we were transferring forward were as viable as they could be going forward. I think that was part of our responsibility: not to deliver a plan that didn't have viability to it. Q. But, again, you just testified none of the parties-in-interest to NewCo asked for this. A. I'm not sure about the Fiat master transaction agreement. Q. Mr. Nardelli, have you ever seen any studies that address how much it costs Chrysler to maintain its current dealer network? A. Not in total.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is anywhere in that report, is there an analysis of what the burden of the dealers were to Chrysler at that time?</li> <li>A. No, not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. No, not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. And in March 2009 things changed, is that correct, with discussions regarding Fiat?</li> <li>A. Yes, a lot of things changed in March.</li> <li>Q. And it became clear, did it not, Mr. Nardelli, in March that the Fiat deal had to be done through a bankruptcy proceeding? Is that correct?</li> <li>A. No. What I think what I testified to is that one of the biggest hurdles to overcome was getting the first lien</li> </ul>
33 44 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. Q. So then why are you worried about what NewCo gets? A. Well, again, what we were trying to do is make sure that the assets that we were transferring forward were as viable as they could be going forward. I think that was part of our responsibility: not to deliver a plan that didn't have viability to it. Q. But, again, you just testified none of the parties-ininterest to NewCo asked for this. A. I'm not sure about the Fiat master transaction agreement. Q. Mr. Nardelli, have you ever seen any studies that address how much it costs Chrysler to maintain its current dealer network? A. Not in total. Q. Okay. Have you seen any studies that it would cost New	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is anywhere in that report, is there an analysis of what the burden of the dealers were to Chrysler at that time?</li> <li>A. No, not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. No, not to my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. And in March 2009 things changed, is that correct, with discussions regarding Fiat?</li> <li>A. Yes, a lot of things changed in March.</li> <li>Q. And it became clear, did it not, Mr. Nardelli, in March that the Fiat deal had to be done through a bankruptcy proceeding? Is that correct?</li> <li>A. No. What I think what I testified to is that one of</li> </ul>
33 44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177	A. Yes. Q. So then why are you worried about what NewCo gets? A. Well, again, what we were trying to do is make sure that the assets that we were transferring forward were as viable as they could be going forward. I think that was part of our responsibility: not to deliver a plan that didn't have viability to it. Q. But, again, you just testified none of the parties-ininterest to NewCo asked for this. A. I'm not sure about the Fiat master transaction agreement. Q. Mr. Nardelli, have you ever seen any studies that address how much it costs Chrysler to maintain its current dealer network? A. Not in total. Q. Okay. Have you seen any studies that it would cost New Chrysler to maintain a smaller dealer body?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes.  Q. And is anywhere in that report, is there an analysis of what the burden of the dealers were to Chrysler at that time?  A. No, not to my knowledge.  Q. I'm sorry?  A. No, not to my knowledge.  Q. And in March 2009 things changed, is that correct, with discussions regarding Fiat?  A. Yes, a lot of things changed in March.  Q. And it became clear, did it not, Mr. Nardelli, in March that the Fiat deal had to be done through a bankruptcy proceeding? Is that correct?  A. No. What I think what I testified to is that one of the biggest hurdles to overcome was getting the first lien lenders to agree, which they subsequently have now agreed to is the two billion dollar cash.
33 44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188	A. Yes. Q. So then why are you worried about what NewCo gets? A. Well, again, what we were trying to do is make sure that the assets that we were transferring forward were as viable as they could be going forward. I think that was part of our responsibility: not to deliver a plan that didn't have viability to it. Q. But, again, you just testified none of the parties-ininterest to NewCo asked for this. A. I'm not sure about the Fiat master transaction agreement. Q. Mr. Nardelli, have you ever seen any studies that address how much it costs Chrysler to maintain its current dealer network? A. Not in total. Q. Okay. Have you seen any studies that it would cost New Chrysler to maintain a smaller dealer body? A. No, the discussions that basically were around this was	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes.  Q. And is anywhere in that report, is there an analysis of what the burden of the dealers were to Chrysler at that time?  A. No, not to my knowledge.  Q. I'm sorry?  A. No, not to my knowledge.  Q. And in March 2009 things changed, is that correct, with discussions regarding Fiat?  A. Yes, a lot of things changed in March.  Q. And it became clear, did it not, Mr. Nardelli, in March that the Fiat deal had to be done through a bankruptcy proceeding? Is that correct?  A. No. What I think what I testified to is that one of the biggest hurdles to overcome was getting the first lien lenders to agree, which they subsequently have now agreed to is the two billion dollar cash.  Q. Okay, let me rephrase that. Isn't it true that a
33 44 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. So then why are you worried about what NewCo gets? A. Well, again, what we were trying to do is make sure that the assets that we were transferring forward were as viable as they could be going forward. I think that was part of our responsibility: not to deliver a plan that didn't have viability to it. Q. But, again, you just testified none of the parties-in-interest to NewCo asked for this. A. I'm not sure about the Fiat master transaction agreement. Q. Mr. Nardelli, have you ever seen any studies that address how much it costs Chrysler to maintain its current dealer network? A. Not in total. Q. Okay. Have you seen any studies that it would cost New Chrysler to maintain a smaller dealer body? A. No, the discussions that basically were around this was the ability to allow the remaining dealers to realize more	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Yes.  Q. And is anywhere in that report, is there an analysis of what the burden of the dealers were to Chrysler at that time?  A. No, not to my knowledge.  Q. I'm sorry?  A. No, not to my knowledge.  Q. And in March 2009 things changed, is that correct, with discussions regarding Fiat?  A. Yes, a lot of things changed in March.  Q. And it became clear, did it not, Mr. Nardelli, in March that the Fiat deal had to be done through a bankruptcy proceeding? Is that correct?  A. No. What I think what I testified to is that one of the biggest hurdles to overcome was getting the first lien lenders to agree, which they subsequently have now agreed to is the two billion dollar cash.  Q. Okay, let me rephrase that. Isn't it true that a condition of the Fiat deal for Fiat was there be a bankruptcy
33 44 5 66 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. Q. So then why are you worried about what NewCo gets? A. Well, again, what we were trying to do is make sure that the assets that we were transferring forward were as viable as they could be going forward. I think that was part of our responsibility: not to deliver a plan that didn't have viability to it. Q. But, again, you just testified none of the parties-in-interest to NewCo asked for this. A. I'm not sure about the Fiat master transaction agreement. Q. Mr. Nardelli, have you ever seen any studies that address how much it costs Chrysler to maintain its current dealer network? A. Not in total. Q. Okay. Have you seen any studies that it would cost New Chrysler to maintain a smaller dealer body? A. No, the discussions that basically were around this was the ability to allow the remaining dealers to realize more market share, higher volume and, therefore, become more	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes.  Q. And is anywhere in that report, is there an analysis of what the burden of the dealers were to Chrysler at that time?  A. No, not to my knowledge.  Q. I'm sorry?  A. No, not to my knowledge.  Q. And in March 2009 things changed, is that correct, with discussions regarding Fiat?  A. Yes, a lot of things changed in March.  Q. And it became clear, did it not, Mr. Nardelli, in March that the Fiat deal had to be done through a bankruptcy proceeding? Is that correct?  A. No. What I think what I testified to is that one of the biggest hurdles to overcome was getting the first lien lenders to agree, which they subsequently have now agreed to is the two billion dollar cash.  Q. Okay, let me rephrase that. Isn't it true that a condition of the Fiat deal for Fiat was there be a bankruptcy filing, correct?
33 44 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q. So then why are you worried about what NewCo gets? A. Well, again, what we were trying to do is make sure that the assets that we were transferring forward were as viable as they could be going forward. I think that was part of our responsibility: not to deliver a plan that didn't have viability to it. Q. But, again, you just testified none of the parties-ininterest to NewCo asked for this. A. I'm not sure about the Fiat master transaction agreement. Q. Mr. Nardelli, have you ever seen any studies that address how much it costs Chrysler to maintain its current dealer network? A. Not in total. Q. Okay. Have you seen any studies that it would cost New Chrysler to maintain a smaller dealer body? A. No, the discussions that basically were around this was the ability to allow the remaining dealers to realize more market share, higher volume and, therefore, become more profitable and also, consistent with the Genesis program,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes.  Q. And is anywhere in that report, is there an analysis of what the burden of the dealers were to Chrysler at that time?  A. No, not to my knowledge.  Q. I'm sorry?  A. No, not to my knowledge.  Q. And in March 2009 things changed, is that correct, with discussions regarding Fiat?  A. Yes, a lot of things changed in March.  Q. And it became clear, did it not, Mr. Nardelli, in March that the Fiat deal had to be done through a bankruptcy proceeding? Is that correct?  A. No. What I think what I testified to is that one of the biggest hurdles to overcome was getting the first lien lenders to agree, which they subsequently have now agreed to it the two billion dollar cash.  Q. Okay, let me rephrase that. Isn't it true that a condition of the Fiat deal for Fiat was there be a bankruptcy
33 44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200 211 221 222	A. Yes. Q. So then why are you worried about what NewCo gets? A. Well, again, what we were trying to do is make sure that the assets that we were transferring forward were as viable as they could be going forward. I think that was part of our responsibility: not to deliver a plan that didn't have viability to it. Q. But, again, you just testified none of the parties-ininterest to NewCo asked for this. A. I'm not sure about the Fiat master transaction agreement. Q. Mr. Nardelli, have you ever seen any studies that address how much it costs Chrysler to maintain its current dealer network? A. Not in total. Q. Okay. Have you seen any studies that it would cost New Chrysler to maintain a smaller dealer body? A. No, the discussions that basically were around this was the ability to allow the remaining dealers to realize more market share, higher volume and, therefore, become more profitable and also, consistent with the Genesis program, through brand consolidation.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes.  Q. And is anywhere in that report, is there an analysis of what the burden of the dealers were to Chrysler at that time?  A. No, not to my knowledge.  Q. I'm sorry?  A. No, not to my knowledge.  Q. And in March 2009 things changed, is that correct, with discussions regarding Fiat?  A. Yes, a lot of things changed in March.  Q. And it became clear, did it not, Mr. Nardelli, in March that the Fiat deal had to be done through a bankruptcy proceeding? Is that correct?  A. No. What I think what I testified to is that one of the biggest hurdles to overcome was getting the first lien lenders to agree, which they subsequently have now agreed to is the two billion dollar cash.  Q. Okay, let me rephrase that. Isn't it true that a condition of the Fiat deal for Fiat was there be a bankruptcy filing, correct?  A. They certainly I mean, I think they certainly saw some
33 44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200 211 222 233	A. Yes. Q. So then why are you worried about what NewCo gets? A. Well, again, what we were trying to do is make sure that the assets that we were transferring forward were as viable as they could be going forward. I think that was part of our responsibility: not to deliver a plan that didn't have viability to it. Q. But, again, you just testified none of the parties-ininterest to NewCo asked for this. A. I'm not sure about the Fiat master transaction agreement. Q. Mr. Nardelli, have you ever seen any studies that address how much it costs Chrysler to maintain its current dealer network? A. Not in total. Q. Okay. Have you seen any studies that it would cost New Chrysler to maintain a smaller dealer body? A. No, the discussions that basically were around this was the ability to allow the remaining dealers to realize more market share, higher volume and, therefore, become more profitable and also, consistent with the Genesis program, through brand consolidation. Q. Has that theory even been reduced to a quantifiable report	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes.  Q. And is anywhere in that report, is there an analysis of what the burden of the dealers were to Chrysler at that time?  A. No, not to my knowledge.  Q. I'm sorry?  A. No, not to my knowledge.  Q. And in March 2009 things changed, is that correct, with discussions regarding Fiat?  A. Yes, a lot of things changed in March.  Q. And it became clear, did it not, Mr. Nardelli, in March that the Fiat deal had to be done through a bankruptcy proceeding? Is that correct?  A. No. What I think what I testified to is that one of the biggest hurdles to overcome was getting the first lien lenders to agree, which they subsequently have now agreed to is the two billion dollar cash.  Q. Okay, let me rephrase that. Isn't it true that a condition of the Fiat deal for Fiat was there be a bankruptcy filing, correct?  A. They certainly I mean, I think they certainly saw some benefits of a bankruptcy, but it was not

1	Q. I apologize. Please finish.	1	a better answer.
2	A and I'm not aware that it was a condition precedent	2	Q. Do you have any knowledge that Fiat believed that cutting
3	before the term sheet could be executed.	3	the dealer network would be profitable to them?
4	Q. So it's your understanding that Fiat could have or would	4	A. I think that, again, they were aware of the in their
5	have done the deal that's contemplated today without a	5	due diligence, that we had a dealer rationalization program
6	bankruptcy?	6	called Genesis. So I would be assuming that, if they saw an
7	A. Yeah, I think so.	7	opportunity
8	Q. Okay. And when did how do you how did you reach	8	Q. Mr. Nardelli, excuse me, I'm not asking you to assume.
9	that understanding?	9	A. Yeah.
10	A. Well, I don't recall. Again, I'd have to look through the	10	Q. The question is do you believe that Fiat required the
11	master term agree master transaction agreement if there was	11	dealer network to be reduced?
12	a specific line item that said, you know, we won't do the deal	12	A. No, I don't believe they required it.
13	unless you go through bankruptcy.	13	Q. Okay. And do you believe they would have gone forward
14	Q. Well, do you understand the deal today to be free and	14	with the deal that's contemplated today without any of the
15	clear of liens, claims and interest?	15	dealers being rejected or terminated?
16	A. Uh	16	A. I don't know how to I don't know how to answer that
17	Q. Do you understand let me rephrase that.	17	Q. Okay.
18	MR. SNYDER: Strike that.	18	A hypothetical.
19	Q. Do you understand that Fiat is purchasing free of any of	19	Q. And do you understand that the amount of dealers that are
20	the claims and interests of Old Chrysler?	20	contemplated to be reduced here is approximately twenty-five
21	A. No, I believe some of the claims are transferring over to	21	percent?
22	NewCo.	22	A. Well, I heard fourteen percent.
23	Q. Okay. But do you understand that some of the claims are	23	Q. There are 789 dealers being rejected, and 31
24	staying in Old Chrysler, correct?	24	A. You're talking about the revenue or the percent of
25	A. Yes.	25	dealers?
	394		396
1	Q. And you understand that was at the assistance of Fiat,	1	Q. Percent of dealers is twenty-five percent, correct?
2	correct?	2	A. Twenty-five percent.
3	A. Well, I think there was a discussion with the United	3	Q. And the percent of the volume is fourteen percent,
4	States Treasury and NewCo about the liabilities that would stay	4	correct?
5	behind and those that would transfer over.	5	A. Correct.
6	Q. And was there an understanding in those discussions with	6	Q. And do you believe in your experience that this is a good
7	Treasury and Fiat that the only way that you could pick and	7	time to reduce fourteen percent of volume for Old Chrysler and
8	choose which liabilities would be going with New Chrysler and	8	New Chrysler?
9	which ones would be staying in Old Chrysler would be through a	9	A. Well, we had to take thirty-eight percent of our volume
10	bankruptcy?	10	out of our business. We took 1.3 million of its of capacity
11	A. Yeah, without bankruptcy, my understanding is the	11	out.
12	liabilities would have transferred.	12	Q. Okay.
13	Q. Okay. And in those conversations is that when the	13	A. So it's certainly fewer vehicles. It gives the dealers
14	conversations came up about, as you put it, rationalizing the	14	much fewer vehicles to retail and to try to be profitable.
15	dealer network through a bankruptcy proceeding?	15	Q. Okay, Mr. Nardelli, this is important; it's certainly
16	A. Yeah, those along with some other liabilities that would	16	important to the dealers.
17	be left behind.	17	A. Yeah.
18	Q. And that was during March 2009, correct?	18	Q. We'd like to know when is the first time the discussions
19	A. I can't recall exactly. It would have been either in	19	came up with Treasury that dealers were going to be terminated
20	March or during the final phases in April when we were forging	20	and rejected in a bankruptcy proceeding.
21	the final agreement.	21	A. I don't I couldn't give you the specific date.
22	Q. And as you, I believe, you testified, but quickly, that	22	Q. Well, do you remember when the conversations first
23	was not a requirement of Fiat, correct, that the dealer network	23	started?
23 24		23 24	started?  A. Somewhere between the March and April timeframe.
	was not a requirement of Fiat, correct, that the dealer network		

1			
1	conversations?	1	Q. Mr. Nardelli, the question was has there been any studies
2	A. Again, as I said, I think that part of the due diligence	2	in the last eighteen months with respect to how the
3	Fiat did saw that we had a dealer rationalization plan, which	3	rationalization of the dealer network affects profits?
4	was publicly communicated, about Genesis and the opportunity		A. Not that I've seen.
5	then to accelerate that.	5	Q. Okay. And one last question. You seem to equate the tens
6	Q. Okay, so it's your testimony that Fiat is the one that	6	of thousands of jobs that are going to be lost at the dealers
7	introduced the notion of reducing the number of dealers?	7	with the tens of thousands of jobs that are currently the
8	A. I don't know that.	8	tens of thousands of jobs with respect to the employees of
9	Q. Okay.	9	Chrysler. Do you recall that testimony?
10	A. I don't know whether I'm not sure who generated what.	10	A. Yes, I do.
11	I don't recall. I can't answer the question.	11	Q. Do you see one being contingent on the other?
12	Q. Okay. Chrysler came up did you direct Mr. Landry to	12	MR. SNYDER: Strike that.
13	prepare a list of dealers that were going to be rejected and	13	Q. Isn't it possible that those people, these employees of
14	terminated?	14	Chrysler, can still keep their jobs, and the employees of the
15	A. No. We asked, I think in the discussions that Jim Press	15	dealers that are being rejected could still keep their jobs,
16	had with Fiat and the counterparts, to take a look at the	16	and it wouldn't affect the profitability of Chrysler?
17	Genesis program and what opportunities that might present.	17	A. Absolutely not.
18	Q. And when you say "opportunities that might present"	18	Q. Why is that?
19	A. To accelerate the closure.	19	A. Well, we took again, one reduction was 5,000 salaried
20	Q. Is that because in bankruptcy you could do what you could		people, and there's no way they could have kept their jobs.
21	not otherwise do outside of bankruptcy?	21	And as the market fell from seventeen million units to a build
22	A. In bankruptcy you could accelerate the plan of the Genesis		rate of nine, the company was oversized for the volume just
23	program as opposed to outside of bankruptcy.	23	like they were over-dealered for that volume.
24	Q. And you testified a couple of minutes ago that the basis	24	Q. Okay. But, again, with respect to the over-dealered for
25	of speeding up the rationalization program, as you put it, is	25	the
	398		400
1	because Chrysler is over-dealered; that's the term you used,	1	A. The volume fell off forty-eight percent.
2	correct?	2	Q. I understand that, Mr. Nardelli, but you also testified
3	A. Yes.	3	there has been no analysis in the last eighteen months how
4	Q. And what do you mean by that?	4	reducing the
5	A. I think the analysis would show, if you looked at the	5	A. It doesn't mean just because I haven't seen it that it
6	dealer network for some of the most profitable dealers, i.e.,	6	71. It doesn't mean just because I haven't seen it that it
	•		doesn't exist
. /	Toyota, the volume of vehicles per dealer are significantly		doesn't exist.
7 8	Toyota, the volume of vehicles per dealer are significantly higher than the volume of vehicles for our dealerships	7	Q. Well, do you know it to exist?
8	higher than the volume of vehicles for our dealerships.	7 8	<ul><li>Q. Well, do you know it to exist?</li><li>A. No, I said I did not.</li></ul>
	higher than the volume of vehicles for our dealerships.  Q. What analysis would show that?	7 8 9	Q. Well, do you know it to exist?
8 9 10	higher than the volume of vehicles for our dealerships.  Q. What analysis would show that?  A. The marketing and sales team would be able to provide tha	7 8 9	<ul><li>Q. Well, do you know it to exist?</li><li>A. No, I said I did not.</li><li>Q. Okay. And is there anyone higher than you in Chrysler that would know whether it existed?</li></ul>
8 9 10 11	higher than the volume of vehicles for our dealerships.  Q. What analysis would show that?  A. The marketing and sales team would be able to provide tha for you.	7 8 9 10 11	<ul><li>Q. Well, do you know it to exist?</li><li>A. No, I said I did not.</li><li>Q. Okay. And is there anyone higher than you in Chrysler that would know whether it existed?</li><li>A. No.</li></ul>
8 9 10	higher than the volume of vehicles for our dealerships.  Q. What analysis would show that?  A. The marketing and sales team would be able to provide tha	7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Well, do you know it to exist?</li> <li>A. No, I said I did not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is there anyone higher than you in Chrysler that would know whether it existed?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12	higher than the volume of vehicles for our dealerships.  Q. What analysis would show that?  A. The marketing and sales team would be able to provide that for you.  Q. Okay. Did the marketing and sales team provide that to you?	7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Well, do you know it to exist?</li> <li>A. No, I said I did not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is there anyone higher than you in Chrysler that would know whether it existed?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. There's no one higher.</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13	higher than the volume of vehicles for our dealerships.  Q. What analysis would show that?  A. The marketing and sales team would be able to provide that for you.  Q. Okay. Did the marketing and sales team provide that to you?  A. I recall seeing a study of that at some point long before	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Well, do you know it to exist?</li> <li>A. No, I said I did not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is there anyone higher than you in Chrysler that would know whether it existed?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. There's no one higher.</li> <li>Q. All right.</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13	higher than the volume of vehicles for our dealerships.  Q. What analysis would show that?  A. The marketing and sales team would be able to provide that for you.  Q. Okay. Did the marketing and sales team provide that to you?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Well, do you know it to exist?</li> <li>A. No, I said I did not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is there anyone higher than you in Chrysler that would know whether it existed?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. There's no one higher.</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	higher than the volume of vehicles for our dealerships.  Q. What analysis would show that?  A. The marketing and sales team would be able to provide that for you.  Q. Okay. Did the marketing and sales team provide that to you?  A. I recall seeing a study of that at some point long before we got into this situation.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Well, do you know it to exist?</li> <li>A. No, I said I did not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is there anyone higher than you in Chrysler that would know whether it existed?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. There's no one higher.</li> <li>Q. All right.  MR. SNYDER: Thank you.</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	higher than the volume of vehicles for our dealerships.  Q. What analysis would show that?  A. The marketing and sales team would be able to provide that for you.  Q. Okay. Did the marketing and sales team provide that to you?  A. I recall seeing a study of that at some point long before we got into this situation.  Q. And when you say long before you got into this	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Well, do you know it to exist?</li> <li>A. No, I said I did not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is there anyone higher than you in Chrysler that would know whether it existed?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. There's no one higher.</li> <li>Q. All right.  MR. SNYDER: Thank you.  THE COURT: Thank you.</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	higher than the volume of vehicles for our dealerships.  Q. What analysis would show that?  A. The marketing and sales team would be able to provide that for you.  Q. Okay. Did the marketing and sales team provide that to you?  A. I recall seeing a study of that at some point long before we got into this situation.  Q. And when you say long before you got into this situation	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Well, do you know it to exist?</li> <li>A. No, I said I did not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is there anyone higher than you in Chrysler that would know whether it existed?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. There's no one higher.</li> <li>Q. All right.  MR. SNYDER: Thank you.  THE COURT: Thank you.  MR. BRESSLER: Good evening, Your Honor. Barry</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	higher than the volume of vehicles for our dealerships.  Q. What analysis would show that?  A. The marketing and sales team would be able to provide that for you.  Q. Okay. Did the marketing and sales team provide that to you?  A. I recall seeing a study of that at some point long before we got into this situation.  Q. And when you say long before you got into this situation  A. Probably '07 as part of my, probably, introduction to the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Well, do you know it to exist?</li> <li>A. No, I said I did not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is there anyone higher than you in Chrysler that would know whether it existed?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. There's no one higher.</li> <li>Q. All right.  MR. SNYDER: Thank you.  THE COURT: Thank you.  MR. BRESSLER: Good evening, Your Honor. Barry Bressler from Schnader Harrison, for the ad hoc committee of consumer victims of Chrysler.</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	higher than the volume of vehicles for our dealerships.  Q. What analysis would show that?  A. The marketing and sales team would be able to provide that for you.  Q. Okay. Did the marketing and sales team provide that to you?  A. I recall seeing a study of that at some point long before we got into this situation.  Q. And when you say long before you got into this situation  A. Probably '07 as part of my, probably, introduction to the business. It was pretty well known when I got there that	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Well, do you know it to exist?</li> <li>A. No, I said I did not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is there anyone higher than you in Chrysler that would know whether it existed?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. There's no one higher.</li> <li>Q. All right.  MR. SNYDER: Thank you.  THE COURT: Thank you.  MR. BRESSLER: Good evening, Your Honor. Barry Bressler from Schnader Harrison, for the ad hoc committee or</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	higher than the volume of vehicles for our dealerships.  Q. What analysis would show that?  A. The marketing and sales team would be able to provide that for you.  Q. Okay. Did the marketing and sales team provide that to you?  A. I recall seeing a study of that at some point long before we got into this situation.  Q. And when you say long before you got into this situation  A. Probably '07 as part of my, probably, introduction to the business. It was pretty well known when I got there that Chrysler was over-dealered.	7 8 9 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Well, do you know it to exist?</li> <li>A. No, I said I did not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is there anyone higher than you in Chrysler that would know whether it existed?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. There's no one higher.</li> <li>Q. All right.  MR. SNYDER: Thank you.  THE COURT: Thank you.  MR. BRESSLER: Good evening, Your Honor. Barry</li> <li>Bressler from Schnader Harrison, for the ad hoc committee of consumer victims of Chrysler.</li> <li>CROSS-EXAMINATION</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	higher than the volume of vehicles for our dealerships.  Q. What analysis would show that?  A. The marketing and sales team would be able to provide that for you.  Q. Okay. Did the marketing and sales team provide that to you?  A. I recall seeing a study of that at some point long before we got into this situation.  Q. And when you say long before you got into this situation  A. Probably '07 as part of my, probably, introduction to the business. It was pretty well known when I got there that Chrysler was over-dealered.  Q. Okay. But is it your testimony that in the last eighteen months there has been no study regarding the rationalization of	7 8 9 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Well, do you know it to exist?</li> <li>A. No, I said I did not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is there anyone higher than you in Chrysler that would know whether it existed?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. There's no one higher.</li> <li>Q. All right.  MR. SNYDER: Thank you.  THE COURT: Thank you.  MR. BRESSLER: Good evening, Your Honor. Barry Bressler from Schnader Harrison, for the ad hoc committee or consumer victims of Chrysler.</li> <li>CROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. BRESSLER:</li> <li>Q. Good evening, Mr. Nardelli.</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	higher than the volume of vehicles for our dealerships.  Q. What analysis would show that?  A. The marketing and sales team would be able to provide that for you.  Q. Okay. Did the marketing and sales team provide that to you?  A. I recall seeing a study of that at some point long before we got into this situation.  Q. And when you say long before you got into this situation  A. Probably '07 as part of my, probably, introduction to the business. It was pretty well known when I got there that Chrysler was over-dealered.  Q. Okay. But is it your testimony that in the last eighteen	7 8 9 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Well, do you know it to exist?</li> <li>A. No, I said I did not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is there anyone higher than you in Chrysler that would know whether it existed?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. There's no one higher.</li> <li>Q. All right.  MR. SNYDER: Thank you.  THE COURT: Thank you.  MR. BRESSLER: Good evening, Your Honor. Barry Bressler from Schnader Harrison, for the ad hoc committee o consumer victims of Chrysler.</li> <li>CROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. BRESSLER:</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	higher than the volume of vehicles for our dealerships.  Q. What analysis would show that?  A. The marketing and sales team would be able to provide that for you.  Q. Okay. Did the marketing and sales team provide that to you?  A. I recall seeing a study of that at some point long before we got into this situation.  Q. And when you say long before you got into this situation  A. Probably '07 as part of my, probably, introduction to the business. It was pretty well known when I got there that Chrysler was over-dealered.  Q. Okay. But is it your testimony that in the last eighteen months there has been no study regarding the rationalization of Chrysler's dealership network and how that affects profits?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Well, do you know it to exist?</li> <li>A. No, I said I did not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is there anyone higher than you in Chrysler that would know whether it existed?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. There's no one higher.</li> <li>Q. All right.  MR. SNYDER: Thank you.  THE COURT: Thank you.  MR. BRESSLER: Good evening, Your Honor. Barry</li> <li>Bressler from Schnader Harrison, for the ad hoc committee or consumer victims of Chrysler.</li> <li>CROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. BRESSLER:</li> <li>Q. Good evening, Mr. Nardelli.</li> <li>A. Good evening.</li> </ul>

1	that correct?	1	Q. And I think you said you had very aggressive discussions
2	A. Yes.	2	with Fiat?
3	Q. And in your view, the purchase of a Chrysler is the second	3	A. Yes.
4	most important purchase in most of these people's lives after	4	Q. And you attempted to reach out also to the first lien
5	only their homes?	5	lenders?
6	A. I would say that's true.	6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Do you believe that the consumers who have purchased the	7	Q. Did you have any discussions with any tort claimants or
8	Chrysler vehicles are important to Chrysler?	8	any representatives of tort claimants?
9	A. Yes.	9	A. No.
10	Q. In entering into this Section 363 sale transaction, did	10	Q. Did you have any discussions or attempt to have any
11	you consider the interests of those owners of Chrysler	11	discussions with any public interest groups that advocate for
12	vehicles?	12	tort claimants?
13	A. Yes.	13	A. No.
14	Q. In what way?	14	Q. When is the first time that the thought of leaving the
15	A. Well, we wanted to make sure that the warranty costs, the	15	product liability claims behind in Old Chrysler came up?
16	availability to get service and so forth would continue.	16	A. Again, I think those were discussions that took place
17	Q. Did you also seek to do anything to protect the rights of	17	between United States Treasury and Fiat.
18	owners of Chrysler vehicles who are also tort claimants?	18	Q. And whose idea was it?
19	A. I'm not sure what your question is.	19	A. I don't know.
20	Q. My question is those who own Chrysler vehicles or who hav	20	Q. Did Chrysler do any studies as to how much money will be
21	owned Chrysler vehicles, who have suffered injuries because of	21	saved by NewCo by leaving the product liability claims behind
22	a defect in the vehicle and brought suit, have you done	22	A. Not Chrysler LLC, to my knowledge.
23	anything to protect their rights?	23	Q. Based on reports from your risk department, do you have
24	A. If you're referring to, as I product liability will	24	any idea how much in product liability claims Chrysler pays ou
25	stay with OldCo.	25	per year?
	402		404
1	O And the definition of the second of the se	,	A. No, I do not.
1	Q. And what kind of recovery would you project for those	1 2	
2	people?  A. I have no idea.	3	Q. Do you have any idea how much in product liability claims Chrysler reserves for on its books?
4	Q. What kind of recovery would you project for the entire po	l .	A. No, I don't. I think Mr. Kolka would certainly know that.
5	for the creditors of OldCo?	5	Q. Under the proposed MTA, is it correct that millions of
6	A. I don't know how to answer the question. I'm not when	l .	owners of Chrysler vehicles may not have any recourse in the
7	you talk about creditors, are you talking about first lien	7	event that they suffer injuries, even devastating injuries, as
8	creditors and	8	a result of an accident caused by a defect of a Chrysler
9	Q. I'm talking about all the creditors of OldCo. How much	9	vehicle?
10	money do you think will be left in OldCo after it is	10	
10			A Well I'm not a I don't know how to answer that
11	• •		A. Well, I'm not a I don't know how to answer that
11 12	liquidated?	11	legally. I don't I'm sure they would have recourse.
12	liquidated?  A. I'm not sure how to answer the question, is that OldCo	11 12	legally. I don't I'm sure they would have recourse.  Q. To what?
12 13	liquidated?  A. I'm not sure how to answer the question, is that OldCo will pay two billion dollars out to the first lien and, as I	11 12 13	legally. I don't I'm sure they would have recourse.  Q. To what?  A. I'm not sure. I'm not sure what the tort system is.
12 13 14	liquidated?  A. I'm not sure how to answer the question, is that OldCo will pay two billion dollars out to the first lien and, as I understand it, the liquidation of the assets would then go to	11 12 13 14	legally. I don't I'm sure they would have recourse.  Q. To what?  A. I'm not sure. I'm not sure what the tort system is.  Q. Well, you just told us they have recourse to OldCo
12 13 14 15	liquidated?  A. I'm not sure how to answer the question, is that OldCo will pay two billion dollars out to the first lien and, as I understand it, the liquidation of the assets would then go to the government to offset the DIP funding, except for what	11 12 13 14 15	legally. I don't I'm sure they would have recourse.  Q. To what?  A. I'm not sure. I'm not sure what the tort system is.  Q. Well, you just told us they have recourse to OldCo  A. Yes.
12 13 14 15 16	liquidated?  A. I'm not sure how to answer the question, is that OldCo will pay two billion dollars out to the first lien and, as I understand it, the liquidation of the assets would then go to the government to offset the DIP funding, except for what remains for some liabilities. But I	11 12 13 14 15	legally. I don't I'm sure they would have recourse.  Q. To what?  A. I'm not sure. I'm not sure what the tort system is.  Q. Well, you just told us they have recourse to OldCo  A. Yes.  Q and that OldCo essentially won't have anything left to
12 13 14 15 16 17	liquidated?  A. I'm not sure how to answer the question, is that OldCo will pay two billion dollars out to the first lien and, as I understand it, the liquidation of the assets would then go to the government to offset the DIP funding, except for what remains for some liabilities. But I  Q. So, essentially there would be no value left?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	legally. I don't I'm sure they would have recourse.  Q. To what?  A. I'm not sure. I'm not sure what the tort system is.  Q. Well, you just told us they have recourse to OldCo  A. Yes.  Q and that OldCo essentially won't have anything left to pay them with, is that correct?
12 13 14 15 16 17	liquidated?  A. I'm not sure how to answer the question, is that OldCo will pay two billion dollars out to the first lien and, as I understand it, the liquidation of the assets would then go to the government to offset the DIP funding, except for what remains for some liabilities. But I  Q. So, essentially there would be no value left?  A. I think that's fairly correct.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	legally. I don't I'm sure they would have recourse.  Q. To what?  A. I'm not sure. I'm not sure what the tort system is.  Q. Well, you just told us they have recourse to OldCo  A. Yes.  Q and that OldCo essentially won't have anything left to pay them with, is that correct?  A. Correct.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	liquidated?  A. I'm not sure how to answer the question, is that OldCo will pay two billion dollars out to the first lien and, as I understand it, the liquidation of the assets would then go to the government to offset the DIP funding, except for what remains for some liabilities. But I  Q. So, essentially there would be no value left?  A. I think that's fairly correct.  Q. Is it true that Chrysler had negotiations with the U.S.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	legally. I don't I'm sure they would have recourse.  Q. To what?  A. I'm not sure. I'm not sure what the tort system is.  Q. Well, you just told us they have recourse to OldCo  A. Yes.  Q and that OldCo essentially won't have anything left to pay them with, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. Owners that bought Chrysler vehicles six weeks ago
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	liquidated?  A. I'm not sure how to answer the question, is that OldCo will pay two billion dollars out to the first lien and, as I understand it, the liquidation of the assets would then go to the government to offset the DIP funding, except for what remains for some liabilities. But I  Q. So, essentially there would be no value left?  A. I think that's fairly correct.  Q. Is it true that Chrysler had negotiations with the U.S. Treasury in structuring the 363 sale?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	legally. I don't I'm sure they would have recourse.  Q. To what?  A. I'm not sure. I'm not sure what the tort system is.  Q. Well, you just told us they have recourse to OldCo  A. Yes.  Q and that OldCo essentially won't have anything left to pay them with, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. Owners that bought Chrysler vehicles six weeks ago that'd be just before the bankruptcy and operated them and
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	liquidated?  A. I'm not sure how to answer the question, is that OldCo will pay two billion dollars out to the first lien and, as I understand it, the liquidation of the assets would then go to the government to offset the DIP funding, except for what remains for some liabilities. But I  Q. So, essentially there would be no value left?  A. I think that's fairly correct.  Q. Is it true that Chrysler had negotiations with the U.S. Treasury in structuring the 363 sale?  A. Had discussions with UST?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	legally. I don't I'm sure they would have recourse.  Q. To what?  A. I'm not sure. I'm not sure what the tort system is.  Q. Well, you just told us they have recourse to OldCo  A. Yes.  Q and that OldCo essentially won't have anything left to pay them with, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. Owners that bought Chrysler vehicles six weeks ago that'd be just before the bankruptcy and operated them and have had an accident, would they be able to collect from any
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	liquidated?  A. I'm not sure how to answer the question, is that OldCo will pay two billion dollars out to the first lien and, as I understand it, the liquidation of the assets would then go to the government to offset the DIP funding, except for what remains for some liabilities. But I  Q. So, essentially there would be no value left?  A. I think that's fairly correct.  Q. Is it true that Chrysler had negotiations with the U.S. Treasury in structuring the 363 sale?  A. Had discussions with UST?  Q. Yes.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	legally. I don't I'm sure they would have recourse.  Q. To what?  A. I'm not sure. I'm not sure what the tort system is.  Q. Well, you just told us they have recourse to OldCo  A. Yes.  Q and that OldCo essentially won't have anything left to pay them with, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. Owners that bought Chrysler vehicles six weeks ago that'd be just before the bankruptcy and operated them and have had an accident, would they be able to collect from any for that accident?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	liquidated?  A. I'm not sure how to answer the question, is that OldCo will pay two billion dollars out to the first lien and, as I understand it, the liquidation of the assets would then go to the government to offset the DIP funding, except for what remains for some liabilities. But I Q. So, essentially there would be no value left?  A. I think that's fairly correct. Q. Is it true that Chrysler had negotiations with the U.S. Treasury in structuring the 363 sale?  A. Had discussions with UST? Q. Yes. A. Yes.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	legally. I don't I'm sure they would have recourse.  Q. To what?  A. I'm not sure. I'm not sure what the tort system is.  Q. Well, you just told us they have recourse to OldCo A. Yes.  Q and that OldCo essentially won't have anything left to pay them with, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. Owners that bought Chrysler vehicles six weeks ago that'd be just before the bankruptcy and operated them and have had an accident, would they be able to collect from any for that accident?  A. I
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	liquidated?  A. I'm not sure how to answer the question, is that OldCo will pay two billion dollars out to the first lien and, as I understand it, the liquidation of the assets would then go to the government to offset the DIP funding, except for what remains for some liabilities. But I Q. So, essentially there would be no value left?  A. I think that's fairly correct. Q. Is it true that Chrysler had negotiations with the U.S. Treasury in structuring the 363 sale?  A. Had discussions with UST? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And discussions with the UAW?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	legally. I don't I'm sure they would have recourse.  Q. To what?  A. I'm not sure. I'm not sure what the tort system is.  Q. Well, you just told us they have recourse to OldCo  A. Yes.  Q and that OldCo essentially won't have anything left to pay them with, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. Owners that bought Chrysler vehicles six weeks ago that'd be just before the bankruptcy and operated them and have had an accident, would they be able to collect from any for that accident?  A. I  MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	liquidated?  A. I'm not sure how to answer the question, is that OldCo will pay two billion dollars out to the first lien and, as I understand it, the liquidation of the assets would then go to the government to offset the DIP funding, except for what remains for some liabilities. But I Q. So, essentially there would be no value left?  A. I think that's fairly correct. Q. Is it true that Chrysler had negotiations with the U.S. Treasury in structuring the 363 sale?  A. Had discussions with UST? Q. Yes. A. Yes.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	legally. I don't I'm sure they would have recourse.  Q. To what?  A. I'm not sure. I'm not sure what the tort system is.  Q. Well, you just told us they have recourse to OldCo A. Yes.  Q and that OldCo essentially won't have anything left to pay them with, is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. Owners that bought Chrysler vehicles six weeks ago that'd be just before the bankruptcy and operated them and have had an accident, would they be able to collect from any for that accident?  A. I

1	MR. BRESSLER: I'll rephrase it, Your Honor.	1	Q. Okay. Which two companies were those boards?
2	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.	2	A. Home Depot and Coke.
3	Q. Would an owner who bought a vehicle six weeks ago and had	3	Q. Okay. Were you also on the board of the New York Stoc
4	an accident two days before the bankruptcy be entitled to	4	Exchange?
5	collect under the 363 agreement from NewCo?	5	A. No, never.
6	A. I again, I don't know how to answer that.	6	Q. Okay. And what position do you have with Home Depot
7	THE COURT: I think it's a legal conclusion, I mean,	7	A. Did I have?
8	that you're asking the witness. All right.	8	Q. Yes.
9	MR. BRESSLER: All right, I'll move on, Your Honor.	9	A. Chairman and CEO.
10	Q. You're also here as part of the 363 sale, advocating for	10	Q. Okay. And Coke?
11	releases, I think you said?	11	A. I was just a director.
12	A. Yes.	12	Q. Okay. Are any of those companies related to service any
13	Q. And there would be a release for yourself	13	way?
14	THE COURT: One second. I think the motion for the	14	A. Not to my knowledge.
15	release of the directors and officer is not linked to the 363	15	Q. If this 363 transaction closes, has the board discussed
16	sale and, I believe, is on later. We're not in June.	16	any bonuses to be paid to any board members?
17	MR. BRESSLER: Or a separate	17	A. Not that I'm aware of.
18	THE COURT: We may be in June before this ends, but	18	Q. Do you have any expectation of receiving a bonus should
19	it's on in June.	19	the sale be concluded?
20	BY MR. BRESSLER:	20	A. None.
21	Q. And you believe that on Monday you'll go back to work for	21	Q. As far as you know, have all fees to be paid been
22	the same company that you worked for before you came to	22	disclosed to this Court, upon a successful completion of the
23	Chrysler and that put you in your position with Chrysler, is	23	sale?
24	that correct?	24	A. To my knowledge.
25	A. Well, if we in fact close.	25	Q. Let's talk about releases. There are releases being given
23	406	23	408
_			
1	MR. BRESSLER: No further questions.	1	in connection with this transaction, are there not, the sale
2	THE COURT: Thank you.	2	transaction?
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION	3	A. There's a
4	BY MR. ESSERMAN:	4	MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor. We're back
5	Q. Mr. Nardelli, my name is Sandy Esserman. I represent a	5	into it again.
6	member of the unsecured creditors' committee, Ms. Patricia	6	THE COURT: I think we need some clarification.
7	Pasquale, whose husband was a bright mechanic and died of	7	Which specific releases are you talking about?
8	mesothelioma, an asbestos-related condition. I don't know if	8	MR. ESSERMAN: Well, let me start at the beginning.
9	you're familiar with mesothelioma or not.	9	We may build up to it a little bit.
10	A. Yes, I am.	10	Q. You're generally familiar with the transaction that we're
11	Q. Okay. First I'd like to ask you a few questions about	11	here today on of the sale to Fiat, is that correct?
12	your background. Do you currently serve on any other boards	12	A. Yes.
13	besides Chrysler?	13	Q. Are you aware that, in connection with that sale, releases
14	A. I serve on one nonprofit board.	14	are going to be given by Chrysler?
15	Q. Okay. You served on boards in the past, however, isn't	15	THE COURT: No, we need some clarification; that's my
16	that correct	16	point. Releases to what kind of releases?
17	A. Yes.	17	MR. ESSERMAN: Well, I'm asking
18	Q on public company boards?	18	THE COURT: To whom?
19	A. Yes.	19	MR. ESSERMAN: I'm asking
20	Q. Approximately how many?	20	THE COURT: So I'm asking you. You're going to have
21	A. Two.	21	to
22	Q. Okay. Private company boards too	22	MR. ESSERMAN: Well, I'm
23	A. No.	23	THE COURT: We've had discussions of releases earlier
24	Q also?	24	today. There were discussion of releases that had to do with
	Q also? A. No.	24 25	today. There were discussion of releases that had to do with the Daimler, Cerberus and PBGC. Are you referring to those

			1
1	releases, or are you referring to the releases subject to the	1	releases?
2	motion that is scheduled to be heard in June?	2	A. I think, as Your Honor said, I think it's part of the
3	MR. ESSERMAN: I'm just referring to releases that	3	they would take place if in the sale trans if the sale is
4	would be executed in connection with the sale, and I'm asking	4	completed.
5	him an open question. Your Honor is correct; there's been some	5	Q. But they haven't even been negotiated.
6	discussion of those releases by previous witnesses. I'm asking	6	A. I don't know what's
7	if this witness is aware of the releases to be given.	7	MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor. Assumes facts
8	THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.	8	not in evidence.
9	MR. ESSERMAN: Okay.	9	THE COURT: All right. I still I'd like the
10	BY MR. ESSERMAN:	10	record to be clear. I the motion that was filed, I believe,
11	Q. Are you aware of releases	11	with respect to Daimler, Cerberus, I assume that there were
12	A. Yeah, I'm aware that, as part of the settlement agreement	12	releases; that's set forth in the motion. And parties can
13	that was negotiated between UST, Cerberus and Daimler, that	13	I'm not sure the objection deadline has passed or not, but, I
14	there were releases requested.	14	mean, that
15	Q. And there was some discussion previously in this court,	15	MR. ESSERMAN: Your Honor, we've had testimony that
16	you might not have been here, but those releases are still	16	those releases are still being negotiated.
17	being negotiated; are you aware of that?	17	THE COURT: No, I understand that, but whether
18	A. No, I'm not.	18	they're still being negotiated or not, we're not at the stage
19	Q. Okay. Have you ever reviewed drafts of those releases?	19	where it's even relevant to consider approving them. And at
20	A. No.	20	the time that they're approved, and the time that they're put
21	Q. Do you know if they exist?	21	forth to be approved, I assume that that's a very relevant
22	A. I know that there's a settlement document, but I have	22	objection that they haven't been finalized.
23	not I've not looked at the details of it.	23	MR. ESSERMAN: As long as the record's clear that
24	Q. You've never read those releases?	24	there are no releases being given in connection with the 363
25	A. No.	25	sale, I have no further questions on this area.
	410		412
1	O. Harrison and the state of th		THE COURT Will as formal brownish was a star
1 2	Q. Have you approved any releases in connection with the sale	2	THE COURT: Well, as far as I know with respect to
	to Fiat to be given by Chrysler, as a member of the board of directors?	3	the sale, but there are releases under consideration and that
3 4	A. Well, I don't a release to?	4	have been set forth in the motion with respect to at least one of the 9019 settlements, I believe, that's before me at the
5	Q. To anybody.	5	conclusion of the sale, if the sale were to be approved. I
6	A. I don't know. I'd have to go back. And you have a	6	believe that's the schedule. I've asked debtors' counsel to
7	document that would suggest that?	7	confirm that.
8	O. I don't know. I	8	MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, I understand they're
9	A. Yeah, I don't	9	separate motions, but they're being heard at the same time.
10	Q. I don't have it in front of me.	10	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Same day.
11	THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that, Your Honor. I	11	MR. ARMSTRONG: Same day.
12	don't	12	THE COURT: They're being heard the same day, but
13	Q. If you're not aware that any releases are being given,	13	they're argued after the sale motion.
14	that's fine.	14	MR. ARMSTRONG: Yes, that's right.
15	A. Yeah.	15	THE COURT: All right. And you'd have an opportunity
16	Q. That's an answer.	16	at that point to raise any objection to that settlement.
17	A. Yeah.	17	MR. ESSERMAN: Okay, just so I'm clear, the releases
18	Q. Is that correct?	18	are not being approved as part of this 363 transaction, then?
19	A. No, I'm aware that there are releases being discussed; I'm	19	They're a separate motion?
20	not sure where they are and what form or stage those are, and	20	THE COURT: There's a separate motion, yes.
21	that's for Daimler and Cerberus as part of a settlement	21	MR. ESSERMAN: But they're not being you're not
22	agreement in consideration for first lien and equity.	22	being asked to approve a release in connection with the sale
23	Q. Do you think that's important for this Court to consider,	23	today in connection with this hearing?
24	prior to the hearing having been commenced, as to whether or	24	THE COURT: To my knowledge, no. I don't see it I
25	not the releases are going to be given in the form of those	25	didn't see it in any of the papers.
1	411		413
			113

1	MR. ESSERMAN: Okay.	1	Association of Consumer Advocates; and Public Citizen; and
2	THE COURT: All right?	2	several tort claimants. Good evening, Your Honor.
3	BY MR. ESSERMAN:	3	THE COURT: Good evening.
4	Q. The prior questioner, Mr. Nardelli, Mr. Bressler, asked	4	MR. NEALEY: Thank you very much. I know it's late.
5	you about certain tort claims and how they are dealt with. I	5	I'll try to keep it short.
6	believe you testified on direct that as a board member you	6	CROSS-EXAMINATION
7	thought that it was important that Chrysler deal with its	7	BY MR. NEALEY:
8	contingent liabilities, tort claims being one of them; is that	8	Q. Chrysler is in the sales business, is that correct? You
9	correct?	9	sell cars?
10	A. Yeah, I think so.	10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Okay. And you're aware that asbestos that Chrysler has	11	Q. And New Chrysler is presumably going to sell cars,
12	asbestos claims filed against it, are you not?	12	correct, sir?
13	A. No, not specifically.	13	A. Correct.
14	Q. You're not aware of any personal injury lawsuits filed	14	Q. Okay. And part of your responsibility as the CEO of
15	against the Chrysler Corporation that assert damage as a result	15	Chrysler is to be knowledgeable on what it takes to sell cars
16	of exposure to asbestos on Chrysler brakes?	16	to consumers, right, sir?
17	A. I'm not aware of that specific	17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Are you aware of any general allegation of damage as a	18	Q. Okay. And would you agree with JD Powers (sic) that two
19	result of asbestos exposure in connection with a Chrysler	19	of the top attributes in causing people to purchase cars are
20	vehicle?	20	that the car be safe and that it have a high resale value?
21	A. No, I'm not.	21	A. Yeah, those are two of probably a dozen or more criteria
22	Q. Would Mr. Kolka know that?	22	that they issue in their reports
23	A. No, our general counsel would know that.	23	Q. Okay.
24	Q. Okay.	24	A on consumer
25	MR. ESSERMAN: Almost done, Your Honor.	25	Q. And so resale values and safety of cars are core
	414		416
1	Q. Have you been promised employment by Cerberus once the	1	attributes, the things that you need in order to successfully
2	sale of Chrysler has been completed, the 363 sale?	2	sell cars?
3	A. Yeah, I was offered employment to return to Cerberus as an		A. Well, they are among other core attributes.
4	advisor.	4	Q. Okay. And, now, current owners of Chrysler vehicles,
5	Q. And have you accepted that offer?	5	people who've bought Chrysler cars from this afternoon all the
6	A. That certainly is a viable opportunity for me. I mean,	6	way back in the past, that's going to be a core group of people
7	that's I announced when I was when I pre-announced that I		to sell cars to for New Chrysler, correct?
8	would be leaving at the end of the transaction, at the time,	8	A. Yes.
9	that I would return to Cerberus as an advisor.	9	Q. Okay. And, in fact, current owners of your vehicle in the
10	Q. Okay, so you have accepted that employment?	10	automobile business is actually your most important customer
11	A. Yes.	11	base because they're the people most likely to buy a new car
12	Q. Okay. But it hasn't officially started yet, is that	12	from you, right?
13	correct?	13	A. Yes.
14	A. No, it has not.	14	Q. Okay. And, in fact, Chrysler already has one of the worst
15	Q. Okay. And as I understand your testimony, you haven't	15	customer retention rates in the industry at 32.8 percent,
16	discussed compensation for that arrangement?	16	right?
17	A. No, absolutely not.	17	A. Something we're working very hard to improve upon.
18	Q. Okay.	18	Q. Okay. And because in order for Chrysler to have survived
19	MR. ESSERMAN: Thank you.	19	and in order for New Chrysler to survive, it's got to increase
20	THE COURT: Thank you.	20	the percentage of its current customers who buy a new Chrysler
21	Anyone else?	21	right?
22	MR. NEALEY: Yes, Your Honor. Scott Nealey from	22	A. Yes.
23	Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein, on behalf of limited	23	Q. Okay. And, in fact, Ford is in the fifties, right?
24	objectors: The Center for Auto Safety; Consumers Action;	24	A. I don't know what number they're in, but
		l	0.01 D. 11 1.
25	Consumers for Auto Reliability and Safety; the National	25	Q. Okay. But you do know that

```
A. But --
                                                                              I'm sure you obviously have studied the variety of red dots and
                                                                         1
 2
      Q. -- you're at 32.8 because that's an important figure?
                                                                         2
                                                                              black dots on JD Powers and Consumer Report (sic), you would
 3
                                                                         3
                                                                              notice that there's quite an expansive criteria by which
      A. I know we're below them, below the average.
 4
      Q. Okay. Now, I understood from your earlier testimony that
                                                                         4
                                                                              customer loyalty is measured in repeat customers.
                                                                         5
 5
      you do know for anyone who has a tort claim, who's been injured
                                                                              Q. Okay. But --
 6
      by a Chrysler vehicle, that under the proposed sale free and
                                                                         6
                                                                              A. One of the first things we did was, again, I said earlier
                                                                         7
 7
      clear in 363 they can't go after New Chrysler, they have to go
                                                                              in my testimony, we improved 400 line items to improve the
 8
      after the bankrupt estate which doesn't have any money in it,
                                                                         8
                                                                              interior, the quality and the perception. Last year we
 9
                                                                         9
                                                                              reduced -- we had the lowest warranty cost in the history of
10
            MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor. It's the same
                                                                       10
                                                                              the company, and we had the lowest recalls of any of the
11
      ground over and over again.
                                                                       11
                                                                              manufacturers in the U.S.
12
            THE COURT: All right. Restate your question because
                                                                       12
                                                                              Q. And, sir, as part of this transaction, you're telling
13
                                                                       13
                                                                              everyone who owns a current Chrysler car, and I've heard the
      you put a few --
14
            MR. NEALEY: Okay. Okay.
                                                                       14
                                                                              figure ten million Chrysler cars out on the roads, including
15
             THE COURT: -- legal conclusions in there.
                                                                       15
                                                                              people who buy it today, that if they have a problem that's not
16
      BY MR. NEALEY:
                                                                       16
                                                                              covered under warranty, if they get injured, if there's
17
      Q. Sir, you understand that people will need to pursue their
                                                                       17
                                                                              something not covered by warranty --
      claims against Old Chrysler, which is the bankruptcy estate
                                                                       18
                                                                              A. No, I didn't think I said warranty.
18
      that's not going to have any money in it, right? It's your
19
                                                                       19
                                                                              Q. Oh, okay. I'm saying that's not covered by warranty.
20
      understanding?
                                                                       20
                                                                              A. No, I believe warranty is covered.
21
      A. Yes.
                                                                       21
                                                                              Q. Oh, I realize that.
22
      Q. Okay. Now, and, of course, those people who won't have
                                                                       22
                                                                              A. Warranty costs are covered, going forward.
23
      any recourse for their injuries are precisely Chrysler
                                                                       23
                                                                              Q. I recognize -- the hour's late; I'll try to be a little
                                                                       24
24
      customers that you want in the future, right?
                                                                              clearer.
25
                                                                       25
                                                                              A. Sorry.
      A. Yes.
                                                               418
                                                                                                                                      420
 1
                                                                         1
                                                                              Q. And I'll try to be as simple as I can. There are millions
       Q. Okay. And, of course, you also know, sir, that Chrysler
 2
      is asking for this sale to be free and clear as to future
                                                                         2
                                                                              of Chrysler cars on the roads of the United States right now,
 3
      injuries so that somebody who, for instance, buys a Chrysler
                                                                         3
                                                                              and those are owned by people who are your best potential
                                                                         4
 4
      car today in a lot someplace in New York and has an injury six
                                                                              customers for New Chrysler?
      months from now, they can't go after New Chrysler, they also
                                                                         5
 5
                                                                              A. Yes.
      would have to go after the Old Chrysler which has no money,
 6
                                                                         6
                                                                              Q. And if you tell them all that if they're injured at this
 7
                                                                         7
                                                                              point they have no recompense against Chrysler, and once that
      right?
                                                                         8
 8
       A. It's my understanding.
                                                                              information gets out, is that going to cause the value of their
 9
       Q. Okay. Now, so, people who haven't been injured and people
                                                                        9
                                                                              cars to fall, in your opinion, given all of your experience in
10
       who have been injured, none of them will be able to go after,
                                                                       10
                                                                              the auto industry?
                                                                              A. Well, there's -- again, you'd have to make some
11
       under any kind of way you know of, the New Chrysler if the sale
                                                                       11
12
      is free and clear under 363, and they have to go after the Old
                                                                       12
                                                                              assumptions. I mean, that is a potential outcome. A number of
13
      Chrysler. Would you agree that once that information gets out
                                                                       13
                                                                              those cars are second and third owners of those vehicles. So I
14
       into the public, and our friends and the back row who are
                                                                       14
                                                                              don't know. I mean, it would have to trade off against some of
15
      blogging this and taking articles for the press, that that is
                                                                       15
                                                                              the other criteria as to whether that is enough of a negative
16
       going to make people less likely to want to buy cars, those
                                                                       16
                                                                              that might prevent a consumer from buying that vehicle.
17
                                                                       17
                                                                              Q. Okay. And if people decide that, if they get injured or
      cars?
18
             MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, objection. Calls for
                                                                       18
                                                                              they have a problem that is not covered by the warranty, that
19
       speculation and is extremely compound.
                                                                       19
                                                                              nobody stands behind a car because the assets have been sold
20
             THE COURT: No, the witness can answer, if you
                                                                       20
                                                                              free and clear under 363 --
21
      followed the question.
                                                                       21
                                                                              A. Yeah, I believe we --
             THE WITNESS: Yeah, well, I -- as I said before, Your
                                                                                    MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection.
22
                                                                       22
23
      Honor, I mean, certainly that is one criteria; annual operating
                                                                       23
                                                                                    I'm sorry.
24
      cost is another; safety ratings, five-star rating, crash test
                                                                       24
                                                                                    THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
25
       ratings, performance, drivability. I mean, if you look, and
                                                                       25
                                                                                    MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection. Foundation and
                                                               419
                                                                                                                                      421
```

1	misstatement of the record. Counsel keeps using the word	1	systems, over the next eighteen months at least, are going to
2	"warranty".	2	remain the same?
3	MR. NEALEY: I said not covered by warranty. And if	3	A. Fundamentally.
4	I can finish my question, Your Honor.	4	Q. Okay. So if Chrysler isn't willing to stand behind and
5	MR. ARMSTRONG: Oh, I apologize. I thought	5	by that I mean NewCo injured customers and people who have
6	MR. NEALEY: Yeah, no, I was not done. Thank you.	6	things that are not covered by the warranty on the old cars,
7	BY MR. NEALEY:	7	doesn't that send a signal to the market that the cars from
8	Q. Sir, you have millions of customers who own vehicles right	8	NewCo you should also avoid?
9	now made by Chrysler. And when those customers find out that	9	A. Well, again, it goes back to sorry to be redundant,
10	their vehicles may be worth less because if there is a problem	10	Your Honor, but it goes back to an individual consumer decision
11	with the vehicle, or an injury, something that is not covered	11	on the attributes and features that are important to them.
12	by the warranty which is being assumed, is that going to make	12	Q. But to ask my question again, because I don't think you
13	those people less likely to buy cars when they want to buy a	13	answered it, it does send a signal to the market that those
14	new car from NewCo?	14	vehicles are not safe because they're the same cars that
15	A. Well, I think I've answered it three times that	15	Chrysler and NewCo will not stand behind, right?
16	potentially that could have an impact against the other	16	MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection, Your Honor. That's five
17	criterias, that, you know, consumers might be willing to take	17	times now.
18	that risk if it has a very attractive price on it.	18	THE COURT: Well, he's introduced a new clause.
19	Q. Meaning, if it's cheaper, they might be willing to take	19	A. Now, you said "safe", and there's no deterioration; matter
20	the risk of no one standing behind the car, right, sir?	20	of fact, there's improvements ongoing in the product in the
21	A. If it has a market competitive price, they might be	21	vehicle safety area: new crash tests, new roof crush. You
22	willing to trade that off. If it has a lower annual operating	22	used the word "safety".
23	cost, if it has higher miles per gallon, that would be an	23	Q. Okay. And if the vehicles are safe, why won't Chrysler
24	individual consumer decision.	24	stand behind the same vehicle made and sold today as the
25	Q. Okay. And, sir, if I understand all the testimony that I	25	vehicle sold two weeks from now?
	422		424
1	heard, the cars that are going to be made by NewCo over the	1	A. That was just a decision that was made, among many
2	next couple years are going to be the exact same cars made by	2	decisions, as part of the sale transaction.
3	Chrysler in the past, right?	3	Q. And I take it that no study was done to see how that was
4	A. No, that's not exactly correct. Part of this certainly is	4	going to affect the brand equity or the willingness of people
5	the new government regulation to achieve 35.5 miles per gallon	5	to purchase vehicles?
6	Q. Let me I want to make my question clearer, which is, in	6	A. I can't attest that there was a study done.
7	the next year and a half	7	Q. Okay. And meaning that you're unaware of any study bein
8	MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, can the witness please		done?
9	finish his answer? It was an open-ended question.	9	A. Yes.
10	MR. NEALEY: I apologize.	10	O. Okay. And, of course, for anyone who's going to purchase
11	If you want to finish your answer	11	a car from the New Chrysler, safety and resale value are going
12	THE COURT: Complete your answer, please.	12	to be two core criteria they're going to be looking for, right?
13	THE WITNESS: I'm done.	13	A. Safety criteria, annual operating expense, drivability,
14	THE COURT: Thank you.	14	durability, customer preference, family fit, aesthetics.
15	MR. NEALEY: Okay. I'm trying to save us all a	15	Q. Okay.
16	little bit of time.	16	MR. NEALEY: No further questions.
17	BY MR. NEALEY:	17	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
18	Q. In the next eighteen months, before there's any new	18	MR. NEALEY: Thank you very much.
19	product, over the next eighteen months, all of the vehicles	19	THE COURT: Thank you. Redirect. I think that's
20	that are going to be made by NewCo are exactly the same	20	what we're up to.
21	vehicles made by I could call it OldCo or Chrysler, right?	21	MR. ARMSTRONG: No redirect, Your Honor.
22	A. To a certain degree, but there will be the ability to	22	THE COURT: Mr. Mayer, Mr. Bienenstock, I believe,
23	influence those vehicles in the short term with modifications	23	Mr. Bromley?
24	and technology from Fiat.	24	MR. MAYER: Your Honor, thanks to our discussions, I
25	Q. Okay. So but basically the platforms, the basic	25	have no need for redirect.
	423		425

1	THE COURT: That's very good. Mr. Bienenstock?	1	THE COURT: And who is saying yes? I heard one yes.
2	MR. BIENENSTOCK: Thank you, Your Honor.	2	MS. BROWN: I was, Your Honor. Amy Brown.
3	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	3	THE COURT: Oh, this is primarily someone testifying
4	BY MR. BIENENSTOCK:	4	about dealerships.
5	Q. Good evening, Mr. Nardelli. My name is Martin Bienenstock	5	MR. LERNER: Yes, Your Honor. The cross-examination
6	of Dewey & LeBoeuf. We represent Chrysler Financial, which I	6	of Mr. Grady will take many hours.
7	think you referred to during cross-examination as FinCo?	7	THE COURT: Many hours?
8	A. Yes, sir.	8	MR. ORR: Your Honor, if I may if I may speak to
9	Q. You have no knowledge, do you, sir, whether Chrysler	9	this issue?
10	Financial is working on for instance, is making new loans as	10	THE COURT: Go ahead.
11	we sit here today?	11	MR. ORR: Mr. Grady is going to be put up at this
12	A. I don't know about today. But my understanding is that	12	process for the sale hearing to support some of the company's
13	FinCo was continuing to support us through this transitional	13	business decisions and value. The dealer core, this witness
14	period, as GMAC was coming up.	14	here today, will have an opportunity to make whatever points
15	Q. Right. And you have no knowledge whether FinCo is working	, 15	they choose they want to make at the June 3rd rejection
16	on different types of M&A transactions that would continue its	16	hearing. And I would hope that to the extent we're putting him
17	business in various forms, do you?	17	up for a limited purpose on direct, that they would choose not
18	A. No.	18	to try to get two bites of the apple by trying to address their
19	Q. And you have no knowledge whether FinCo might simply	19	dealer issues today, and then coming back on June 3rd and doing
20	continue as it is, providing financing for another	20	it again.
21	manufacturer, do you?	21	So we're putting him up for a limited purpose. I
22	A. No, I have no knowledge of that.	22	don't know for the limited purpose we're putting him up why
23	Q. So therefore, Mr. Nardelli, when during cross-examination	23	cross would take many hours.
24	you observed, at one point you said FinCo would dissolve, that	24	THE COURT: Mr. Lerner?
25	was simply a guess or an assumption you were making without	25	MR. LERNER: Your Honor, the debtors have to
	426		428
1	personal knowledge. Is that correct?	1	establish, among other things, a sound business purpose for the
2	A. Sorry, I should have been more clear. It was no longer	2	363 sale. One of the core parts of the transaction is the
3	it was going to phase out of supporting Chrysler, would have	3	assumption of only a part of their agreements. We're entitled
4	been a more accurate	4	to elicit testimony related to the business purpose. We will
5	Q. And that's all you meant?	5	limit our questioning as much as we can, but without knowing
6	A yes, sir.	6	exactly what Mr. Grady will testify to, we're unable, at this
7	MR. BIENENSTOCK: No further questions, Your Honor		point, to limit what it is that we may ask. And we understand
8	THE WITNESS: Sorry.	8	that there also is a rejection hearing. But there are, for the
9	THE COURT: Thank you. Any recross of Mr.	9	reasons that we argue in our papers, reasons related to
10	Bienenstock's redirect?	10	rejection that we believe impact the sale. So it's not
11	MR. LAURIA: No, Your Honor.	11	confined simply to the rejection option.
12	THE COURT: I just wanted to give you an opportunity.	12	THE COURT: I'm not quite what are the if the
13	MR. LAURIA: I was trying to come up with something.	13	transaction what would happen if the transaction closes, if
14	THE COURT: I know you tried hard. You may step	14	it were approved and then closes after the rejection hearing,
15	down. Thank you.	15	and the debtors' requests to reject the dealerships were
16	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	16	denied? So if the dealer do you understand the question I'm
17	THE COURT: Who is the next witness:	17	asking?
18	MR. CULLEN: Peter Grady, Your Honor.	18	MR. ORR: I understand the question, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: How long do you think his direct will	19	THE COURT: So we have a sale hearing that the
20	take?	20	Court hasn't reviewed it and certainly, by that, hasn't
21	MR. CULLEN: Mr. Orr is going to half an hour to	21	approved it. But even if it were approved and you have a
22	forty minutes, one is told.	22	rejection hearing on June 3rd, if any/all/some of the
23	THE COURT: All right. And I assume he's going to be	23	dealerships were not rejected as a result of the Court's order,
24	cross examined? Is that would that be correct?	24	what would happen to those dealerships? Are they then assume
25	MS. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.	25	and assigned?
	427		429
	127		127

1	MR. ORR: Judge, we strongly believe that those	1	THE COURT: Well, the testimony with respect to the
2	dealerships only have agreements with OldCo. Those dealers	2	dealers is whether the debtor has exercised you have your
3	were if the rejection was not approved, those agreements	3	legal arguments and you objection to the sale and the sub rosa
4	remain with OldCo. There is no	4	plan you raise. And the rest is the debtor's business
5	THE COURT: So	5	judgment.
6	MR. ORR: law that we're aware of that can force -	6	MR. LERNER: Correct. And we believe that this
7	-	7	witness, frankly, through his deposition, was the witness who
8	THE COURT: okay.	8	was most directly involved with the decision as to assumption
9	MR. ORR: NewCo to take them.	9	and rejection. So if the debtors are not intending to offer
10	THE COURT: No, I understand that force thing, but	10	him with respect to all of the issues with rejection, then
11	I'm just trying to understand the language in the sale	11	we're being left without an adequate opportunity to explore the
12	MR. ORR: Yes.	12	issues that are relevant for rejection and sale orders.
13	THE COURT: the sale motion itself. To the extent	13	THE COURT: The relevance for the see that's
14	the debtor has moved to reject these contracts on these	14	the relevant issue for the sale is the debtors' the business
15	dealerships, and whether they're assumed or not, NewCo if	15	judgment of the debtors to sell substantially all its assets.
16	the sale to NewCo is approved, it only includes those	16	And you're challenging the debtors' business judgment that it's
17	dealerships that were not on the rejection list?	17	not selling all its dealerships and only selling a portion of
18	MR. ORR: Yes. Only those that are on the assumption	18	its dealerships and the business judgment to make that
19	notice, for which there have been no	19	determination. I can't imagine how that could take hours.
20	THE COURT: Assumption notice.	20	MR. LERNER: Your Honor, all I'm suggesting I
21	MR. ORR: objections filed.	21	don't know how long it will take all I'm suggesting is that
22	THE COURT: All right. Now I understand the dynamic	; 22	we should not be limited with respect to that issue. And if we
23	of it.	23	go through cross-examination, if the Court thinks we have
24	MR. ORR: Okay.	24	wandered afield, we understand that the Court will
25	MR. LERNER: And, Your Honor, this is precisely why	25	MR. ORR: Your Honor
	430		432
1	and believe deat the calculation of an area desired as the		
	we believe that the sale motion, if approved without the	1	MR. LERNER: tell us that. And we'll proceed
2	rejection issues having been heard and it's why we asked for	2	accordingly.
2	rejection issues having been heard and it's why we asked for a continuance, to among other things, have both motions heard	2	accordingly.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, if I may? The Federal Rule
2 3 4	rejection issues having been heard and it's why we asked for a continuance, to among other things, have both motions heard in the same day, it may moot out the dealers' rejection	2 3 4	accordingly.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, if I may? The Federal Rule of Evidence limit the scope of cross. It's cross to what I
2 3 4 5	rejection issues having been heard and it's why we asked for a continuance, to among other things, have both motions heard in the same day, it may moot out the dealers' rejection arguments. And we also believe that that's why the rejection	2 3 4 5	accordingly.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, if I may? The Federal Rules of Evidence limit the scope of cross. It's cross to what I bring out on direct. And if in our opinion, we feel the issues
2 3 4	rejection issues having been heard and it's why we asked for a continuance, to among other things, have both motions heard in the same day, it may moot out the dealers' rejection arguments. And we also believe that that's why the rejection issues are relevant to the sale hearing.	2 3 4	accordingly.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, if I may? The Federal Rule of Evidence limit the scope of cross. It's cross to what I
2 3 4 5	rejection issues having been heard and it's why we asked for a continuance, to among other things, have both motions heard in the same day, it may moot out the dealers' rejection arguments. And we also believe that that's why the rejection	2 3 4 5 6 7	accordingly.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, if I may? The Federal Rule of Evidence limit the scope of cross. It's cross to what I bring out on direct. And if in our opinion, we feel the issues necessary to disclose business judgment decisions for this hearing are sufficient, that's what limits the scope of cross.
2 3 4 5 6	rejection issues having been heard and it's why we asked for a continuance, to among other things, have both motions heard in the same day, it may moot out the dealers' rejection arguments. And we also believe that that's why the rejection issues are relevant to the sale hearing.	2 3 4 5 6	accordingly.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, if I may? The Federal Rules of Evidence limit the scope of cross. It's cross to what I bring out on direct. And if in our opinion, we feel the issues necessary to disclose business judgment decisions for this hearing are sufficient, that's what limits the scope of cross.  THE COURT: That is also an overlay. Then I guess
2 3 4 5 6 7	rejection issues having been heard and it's why we asked for a continuance, to among other things, have both motions heard in the same day, it may moot out the dealers' rejection arguments. And we also believe that that's why the rejection issues are relevant to the sale hearing.  THE COURT: It wouldn't necessarily moot out the argument.  MR. LERNER: Effectively, it leaves the dealers,	2 3 4 5 6 7	accordingly.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, if I may? The Federal Rule of Evidence limit the scope of cross. It's cross to what I bring out on direct. And if in our opinion, we feel the issues necessary to disclose business judgment decisions for this hearing are sufficient, that's what limits the scope of cross.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	rejection issues having been heard and it's why we asked for a continuance, to among other things, have both motions heard in the same day, it may moot out the dealers' rejection arguments. And we also believe that that's why the rejection issues are relevant to the sale hearing.  THE COURT: It wouldn't necessarily moot out the argument.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	accordingly.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, if I may? The Federal Rules of Evidence limit the scope of cross. It's cross to what I bring out on direct. And if in our opinion, we feel the issues necessary to disclose business judgment decisions for this hearing are sufficient, that's what limits the scope of cross.  THE COURT: That is also an overlay. Then I guess
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	rejection issues having been heard and it's why we asked for a continuance, to among other things, have both motions heard in the same day, it may moot out the dealers' rejection arguments. And we also believe that that's why the rejection issues are relevant to the sale hearing.  THE COURT: It wouldn't necessarily moot out the argument.  MR. LERNER: Effectively, it leaves the dealers, potentially, without any relief. So we believe that the assumption and rejection issues should properly be dealt with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	accordingly.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, if I may? The Federal Rules of Evidence limit the scope of cross. It's cross to what I bring out on direct. And if in our opinion, we feel the issues necessary to disclose business judgment decisions for this hearing are sufficient, that's what limits the scope of cross.  THE COURT: That is also an overlay. Then I guess we'll start it and see where it ends up.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	rejection issues having been heard and it's why we asked for a continuance, to among other things, have both motions heard in the same day, it may moot out the dealers' rejection arguments. And we also believe that that's why the rejection issues are relevant to the sale hearing.  THE COURT: It wouldn't necessarily moot out the argument.  MR. LERNER: Effectively, it leaves the dealers, potentially, without any relief. So we believe that the assumption and rejection issues should properly be dealt with by the Court in conjunction with the sale motion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	accordingly.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, if I may? The Federal Rules of Evidence limit the scope of cross. It's cross to what I bring out on direct. And if in our opinion, we feel the issues necessary to disclose business judgment decisions for this hearing are sufficient, that's what limits the scope of cross.  THE COURT: That is also an overlay. Then I guess we'll start it and see where it ends up.  MR. ORR: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	rejection issues having been heard and it's why we asked for a continuance, to among other things, have both motions heard in the same day, it may moot out the dealers' rejection arguments. And we also believe that that's why the rejection issues are relevant to the sale hearing.  THE COURT: It wouldn't necessarily moot out the argument.  MR. LERNER: Effectively, it leaves the dealers, potentially, without any relief. So we believe that the assumption and rejection issues should properly be dealt with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	accordingly.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, if I may? The Federal Rule of Evidence limit the scope of cross. It's cross to what I bring out on direct. And if in our opinion, we feel the issues necessary to disclose business judgment decisions for this hearing are sufficient, that's what limits the scope of cross.  THE COURT: That is also an overlay. Then I guess we'll start it and see where it ends up.  MR. ORR: Okay.  THE COURT: And just before I take a break for a few minutes, how many more witnesses does the debtor intend to call?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	rejection issues having been heard and it's why we asked for a continuance, to among other things, have both motions heard in the same day, it may moot out the dealers' rejection arguments. And we also believe that that's why the rejection issues are relevant to the sale hearing.  THE COURT: It wouldn't necessarily moot out the argument.  MR. LERNER: Effectively, it leaves the dealers, potentially, without any relief. So we believe that the assumption and rejection issues should properly be dealt with by the Court in conjunction with the sale motion.  THE COURT: Well, Mr. Lerner, I disagree with your analysis in one regard. And that is, under the terms as I just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	accordingly.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, if I may? The Federal Rules of Evidence limit the scope of cross. It's cross to what I bring out on direct. And if in our opinion, we feel the issues necessary to disclose business judgment decisions for this hearing are sufficient, that's what limits the scope of cross.  THE COURT: That is also an overlay. Then I guess we'll start it and see where it ends up.  MR. ORR: Okay.  THE COURT: And just before I take a break for a few minutes, how many more witnesses does the debtor intend to call?  MR. CULLEN: These are the witnesses that we intend
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	rejection issues having been heard and it's why we asked for a continuance, to among other things, have both motions heard in the same day, it may moot out the dealers' rejection arguments. And we also believe that that's why the rejection issues are relevant to the sale hearing.  THE COURT: It wouldn't necessarily moot out the argument.  MR. LERNER: Effectively, it leaves the dealers, potentially, without any relief. So we believe that the assumption and rejection issues should properly be dealt with by the Court in conjunction with the sale motion.  THE COURT: Well, Mr. Lerner, I disagree with your analysis in one regard. And that is, under the terms as I just understood, whether the sale hearing and a rejection hearing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	accordingly.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, if I may? The Federal Rules of Evidence limit the scope of cross. It's cross to what I bring out on direct. And if in our opinion, we feel the issues necessary to disclose business judgment decisions for this hearing are sufficient, that's what limits the scope of cross.  THE COURT: That is also an overlay. Then I guess we'll start it and see where it ends up.  MR. ORR: Okay.  THE COURT: And just before I take a break for a few minutes, how many more witnesses does the debtor intend to call?  MR. CULLEN: These are the witnesses that we intend to call. We have certain deposition designations, and we have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	rejection issues having been heard and it's why we asked for a continuance, to among other things, have both motions heard in the same day, it may moot out the dealers' rejection arguments. And we also believe that that's why the rejection issues are relevant to the sale hearing.  THE COURT: It wouldn't necessarily moot out the argument.  MR. LERNER: Effectively, it leaves the dealers, potentially, without any relief. So we believe that the assumption and rejection issues should properly be dealt with by the Court in conjunction with the sale motion.  THE COURT: Well, Mr. Lerner, I disagree with your analysis in one regard. And that is, under the terms as I just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	accordingly.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, if I may? The Federal Rules of Evidence limit the scope of cross. It's cross to what I bring out on direct. And if in our opinion, we feel the issues necessary to disclose business judgment decisions for this hearing are sufficient, that's what limits the scope of cross.  THE COURT: That is also an overlay. Then I guess we'll start it and see where it ends up.  MR. ORR: Okay.  THE COURT: And just before I take a break for a few minutes, how many more witnesses does the debtor intend to call?  MR. CULLEN: These are the witnesses that we intend
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	rejection issues having been heard and it's why we asked for a continuance, to among other things, have both motions heard in the same day, it may moot out the dealers' rejection arguments. And we also believe that that's why the rejection issues are relevant to the sale hearing.  THE COURT: It wouldn't necessarily moot out the argument.  MR. LERNER: Effectively, it leaves the dealers, potentially, without any relief. So we believe that the assumption and rejection issues should properly be dealt with by the Court in conjunction with the sale motion.  THE COURT: Well, Mr. Lerner, I disagree with your analysis in one regard. And that is, under the terms as I just understood, whether the sale hearing and a rejection hearing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	accordingly.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, if I may? The Federal Rules of Evidence limit the scope of cross. It's cross to what I bring out on direct. And if in our opinion, we feel the issues necessary to disclose business judgment decisions for this hearing are sufficient, that's what limits the scope of cross.  THE COURT: That is also an overlay. Then I guess we'll start it and see where it ends up.  MR. ORR: Okay.  THE COURT: And just before I take a break for a few minutes, how many more witnesses does the debtor intend to call?  MR. CULLEN: These are the witnesses that we intend to call. We have certain deposition designations, and we have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	rejection issues having been heard and it's why we asked for a continuance, to among other things, have both motions heard in the same day, it may moot out the dealers' rejection arguments. And we also believe that that's why the rejection issues are relevant to the sale hearing.  THE COURT: It wouldn't necessarily moot out the argument.  MR. LERNER: Effectively, it leaves the dealers, potentially, without any relief. So we believe that the assumption and rejection issues should properly be dealt with by the Court in conjunction with the sale motion.  THE COURT: Well, Mr. Lerner, I disagree with your analysis in one regard. And that is, under the terms as I just understood, whether the sale hearing and a rejection hearing were done at the same time, if the sale hearing were approved	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	accordingly.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, if I may? The Federal Rules of Evidence limit the scope of cross. It's cross to what I bring out on direct. And if in our opinion, we feel the issues necessary to disclose business judgment decisions for this hearing are sufficient, that's what limits the scope of cross.  THE COURT: That is also an overlay. Then I guess we'll start it and see where it ends up.  MR. ORR: Okay.  THE COURT: And just before I take a break for a few minutes, how many more witnesses does the debtor intend to call?  MR. CULLEN: These are the witnesses that we intend to call. We have certain deposition designations, and we have things that we're going to deal with the other issue here. But these are all the witnesses we want to call. I know Mr. Lauria continues to want to call Mr. Kolka.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	rejection issues having been heard and it's why we asked for a continuance, to among other things, have both motions heard in the same day, it may moot out the dealers' rejection arguments. And we also believe that that's why the rejection issues are relevant to the sale hearing.  THE COURT: It wouldn't necessarily moot out the argument.  MR. LERNER: Effectively, it leaves the dealers, potentially, without any relief. So we believe that the assumption and rejection issues should properly be dealt with by the Court in conjunction with the sale motion.  THE COURT: Well, Mr. Lerner, I disagree with your analysis in one regard. And that is, under the terms as I just understood, whether the sale hearing and a rejection hearing were done at the same time, if the sale hearing were approved and a rejection hearing request by the debtor was denied, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	accordingly.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, if I may? The Federal Rules of Evidence limit the scope of cross. It's cross to what I bring out on direct. And if in our opinion, we feel the issues necessary to disclose business judgment decisions for this hearing are sufficient, that's what limits the scope of cross.  THE COURT: That is also an overlay. Then I guess we'll start it and see where it ends up.  MR. ORR: Okay.  THE COURT: And just before I take a break for a few minutes, how many more witnesses does the debtor intend to call?  MR. CULLEN: These are the witnesses that we intend to call. We have certain deposition designations, and we have things that we're going to deal with the other issue here. But these are all the witnesses we want to call. I know Mr. Lauria
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	rejection issues having been heard — and it's why we asked for a continuance, to among other things, have both motions heard in the same day, it may moot out the dealers' rejection arguments. And we also believe that that's why the rejection issues are relevant to the sale hearing.  THE COURT: It wouldn't necessarily moot out the argument.  MR. LERNER: Effectively, it leaves the dealers, potentially, without any relief. So we believe that the assumption and rejection issues should properly be dealt with by the Court in conjunction with the sale motion.  THE COURT: Well, Mr. Lerner, I disagree with your analysis in one regard. And that is, under the terms as I just understood, whether the sale hearing and a rejection hearing were done at the same time, if the sale hearing were approved and a rejection hearing request by the debtor was denied, the consequences would be the same. You're really, again, coming	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	accordingly.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, if I may? The Federal Rules of Evidence limit the scope of cross. It's cross to what I bring out on direct. And if in our opinion, we feel the issues necessary to disclose business judgment decisions for this hearing are sufficient, that's what limits the scope of cross.  THE COURT: That is also an overlay. Then I guess we'll start it and see where it ends up.  MR. ORR: Okay.  THE COURT: And just before I take a break for a few minutes, how many more witnesses does the debtor intend to call?  MR. CULLEN: These are the witnesses that we intend to call. We have certain deposition designations, and we have things that we're going to deal with the other issue here. But these are all the witnesses we want to call. I know Mr. Lauria continues to want to call Mr. Kolka.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	rejection issues having been heard — and it's why we asked for a continuance, to among other things, have both motions heard in the same day, it may moot out the dealers' rejection arguments. And we also believe that that's why the rejection issues are relevant to the sale hearing.  THE COURT: It wouldn't necessarily moot out the argument.  MR. LERNER: Effectively, it leaves the dealers, potentially, without any relief. So we believe that the assumption and rejection issues should properly be dealt with by the Court in conjunction with the sale motion.  THE COURT: Well, Mr. Lerner, I disagree with your analysis in one regard. And that is, under the terms as I just understood, whether the sale hearing and a rejection hearing were done at the same time, if the sale hearing were approved and a rejection hearing request by the debtor was denied, the consequences would be the same. You're really, again, coming back to what many people are coming back to, is leverage and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	accordingly.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, if I may? The Federal Rule of Evidence limit the scope of cross. It's cross to what I bring out on direct. And if in our opinion, we feel the issues necessary to disclose business judgment decisions for this hearing are sufficient, that's what limits the scope of cross.  THE COURT: That is also an overlay. Then I guess we'll start it and see where it ends up.  MR. ORR: Okay.  THE COURT: And just before I take a break for a few minutes, how many more witnesses does the debtor intend to call?  MR. CULLEN: These are the witnesses that we intend to call. We have certain deposition designations, and we have things that we're going to deal with the other issue here. But these are all the witnesses we want to call. I know Mr. Lauria continues to want to call Mr. Kolka.  THE COURT: Well, he is going to call him. So let's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	rejection issues having been heard — and it's why we asked for a continuance, to among other things, have both motions heard in the same day, it may moot out the dealers' rejection arguments. And we also believe that that's why the rejection issues are relevant to the sale hearing.  THE COURT: It wouldn't necessarily moot out the argument.  MR. LERNER: Effectively, it leaves the dealers, potentially, without any relief. So we believe that the assumption and rejection issues should properly be dealt with by the Court in conjunction with the sale motion.  THE COURT: Well, Mr. Lerner, I disagree with your analysis in one regard. And that is, under the terms as I just understood, whether the sale hearing and a rejection hearing were done at the same time, if the sale hearing were approved and a rejection hearing request by the debtor was denied, the consequences would be the same. You're really, again, coming back to what many people are coming back to, is leverage and negotiation and not legal issues within the context of the bankruptcy.  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, I understand that. But then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	accordingly.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, if I may? The Federal Rule of Evidence limit the scope of cross. It's cross to what I bring out on direct. And if in our opinion, we feel the issues necessary to disclose business judgment decisions for this hearing are sufficient, that's what limits the scope of cross.  THE COURT: That is also an overlay. Then I guess we'll start it and see where it ends up.  MR. ORR: Okay.  THE COURT: And just before I take a break for a few minutes, how many more witnesses does the debtor intend to call?  MR. CULLEN: These are the witnesses that we intend to call. We have certain deposition designations, and we have things that we're going to deal with the other issue here. But these are all the witnesses we want to call. I know Mr. Lauria continues to want to call Mr. Kolka.  THE COURT: Well, he is going to call him. So let's stop this. I said it yesterday.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	rejection issues having been heard — and it's why we asked for a continuance, to among other things, have both motions heard in the same day, it may moot out the dealers' rejection arguments. And we also believe that that's why the rejection issues are relevant to the sale hearing.  THE COURT: It wouldn't necessarily moot out the argument.  MR. LERNER: Effectively, it leaves the dealers, potentially, without any relief. So we believe that the assumption and rejection issues should properly be dealt with by the Court in conjunction with the sale motion.  THE COURT: Well, Mr. Lerner, I disagree with your analysis in one regard. And that is, under the terms as I just understood, whether the sale hearing and a rejection hearing were done at the same time, if the sale hearing were approved and a rejection hearing request by the debtor was denied, the consequences would be the same. You're really, again, coming back to what many people are coming back to, is leverage and negotiation and not legal issues within the context of the bankruptcy.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	accordingly.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, if I may? The Federal Rules of Evidence limit the scope of cross. It's cross to what I bring out on direct. And if in our opinion, we feel the issues necessary to disclose business judgment decisions for this hearing are sufficient, that's what limits the scope of cross.  THE COURT: That is also an overlay. Then I guess we'll start it and see where it ends up.  MR. ORR: Okay.  THE COURT: And just before I take a break for a few minutes, how many more witnesses does the debtor intend to call?  MR. CULLEN: These are the witnesses that we intend to call. We have certain deposition designations, and we have things that we're going to deal with the other issue here. But these are all the witnesses we want to call. I know Mr. Lauria continues to want to call Mr. Kolka.  THE COURT: Well, he is going to call him. So let's stop this. I said it yesterday.  MR. CULLEN: Yes, I understand.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	rejection issues having been heard — and it's why we asked for a continuance, to among other things, have both motions heard in the same day, it may moot out the dealers' rejection arguments. And we also believe that that's why the rejection issues are relevant to the sale hearing.  THE COURT: It wouldn't necessarily moot out the argument.  MR. LERNER: Effectively, it leaves the dealers, potentially, without any relief. So we believe that the assumption and rejection issues should properly be dealt with by the Court in conjunction with the sale motion.  THE COURT: Well, Mr. Lerner, I disagree with your analysis in one regard. And that is, under the terms as I just understood, whether the sale hearing and a rejection hearing were done at the same time, if the sale hearing were approved and a rejection hearing request by the debtor was denied, the consequences would be the same. You're really, again, coming back to what many people are coming back to, is leverage and negotiation and not legal issues within the context of the bankruptcy.  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, I understand that. But then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	accordingly.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, if I may? The Federal Rules of Evidence limit the scope of cross. It's cross to what I bring out on direct. And if in our opinion, we feel the issues necessary to disclose business judgment decisions for this hearing are sufficient, that's what limits the scope of cross.  THE COURT: That is also an overlay. Then I guess we'll start it and see where it ends up.  MR. ORR: Okay.  THE COURT: And just before I take a break for a few minutes, how many more witnesses does the debtor intend to call?  MR. CULLEN: These are the witnesses that we intend to call. We have certain deposition designations, and we have things that we're going to deal with the other issue here. But these are all the witnesses we want to call. I know Mr. Lauria continues to want to call Mr. Kolka.  THE COURT: Well, he is going to call him. So let's stop this. I said it yesterday.  MR. CULLEN: Yes, I understand.  THE COURT: He's calling he needs to be available.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	rejection issues having been heard — and it's why we asked for a continuance, to among other things, have both motions heard in the same day, it may moot out the dealers' rejection arguments. And we also believe that that's why the rejection issues are relevant to the sale hearing.  THE COURT: It wouldn't necessarily moot out the argument.  MR. LERNER: Effectively, it leaves the dealers, potentially, without any relief. So we believe that the assumption and rejection issues should properly be dealt with by the Court in conjunction with the sale motion.  THE COURT: Well, Mr. Lerner, I disagree with your analysis in one regard. And that is, under the terms as I just understood, whether the sale hearing and a rejection hearing were done at the same time, if the sale hearing were approved and a rejection hearing request by the debtor was denied, the consequences would be the same. You're really, again, coming back to what many people are coming back to, is leverage and negotiation and not legal issues within the context of the bankruptcy.  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, I understand that. But then it's improper for the debtors to seek to limit our ability to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	accordingly.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, if I may? The Federal Rules of Evidence limit the scope of cross. It's cross to what I bring out on direct. And if in our opinion, we feel the issues necessary to disclose business judgment decisions for this hearing are sufficient, that's what limits the scope of cross.  THE COURT: That is also an overlay. Then I guess we'll start it and see where it ends up.  MR. ORR: Okay.  THE COURT: And just before I take a break for a few minutes, how many more witnesses does the debtor intend to call?  MR. CULLEN: These are the witnesses that we intend to call. We have certain deposition designations, and we have things that we're going to deal with the other issue here. But these are all the witnesses we want to call. I know Mr. Lauria continues to want to call Mr. Kolka.  THE COURT: Well, he is going to call him. So let's stop this. I said it yesterday.  MR. CULLEN: Yes, I understand.  THE COURT: He's calling he needs to be available. All right.

mr. CULINE. He's waiting for this part — we've going to port on M. Gridy. I brought that the deteins had witnesses of their own in their case-in-chief. I don't know when Mr. Lauria plass to put on his case. He's going to be available for Mr. Lauria's case.  THE COURT: Mr. Lauria, have you talked to any of the objectors about who's going after the debtor puts on their case?  Mr. LAURIA: No, Your Honor. We have assumed that we've going to cross examined mr. Kolka before this declaration goss into the record for purposes of this bearing. So whether we do it first or we do it later fall of bearing. So whether case into the record for purposes of this bearing. So whether the case into the record for purposes of this bearing. So whether case into the record for purposes of this bearing. So whether does into the record for a moment. I'm sorry, it's Hydee Fedshein from Sullivan & Commend on behalf of first and the purchaser.  THE COURT: All right. How many witnesses are you calling? I know you had a list.  Mr. KIERTZ- Hooft think—at this point, Your floor.  Honor, we don't intend to call any winness. We intend to put is some deposition designations. We're trying to worth through some documents. And I think that's all we would do.  THE COURT: Good. And the dealers?  Mr. LERNER: Your Honor, we have three witnesses, ach of which the direct is approximately twenty minutes.  Mr. HELENNER: Your Honor, we have three witnesses, ach of which the direct is approximately twenty minutes.  Mr. HELENNER: Your Honor, we have three witnesses, the of which the direct is approximately twenty minutes.  Mr. HELENNER: Your Honor, we have three witnesses, the of which the direct is approximately twenty minutes.  Mr. HELENNER Your Honor, we have three witnesses, the first the cross-examination. Because we really have to first history's bene a resolution of the here sturdey. I mean, it just has to come to an end.  Yee?  Mr. LERNER your Honor, tapologize for the interruption. The debtor has been requested to share with the Court. Ther's bean a				
witnesses of their own in their case-in-chief. I don't know when Mr. Lauria plans to put on his case. He's going to be available for Mr. Lauria's, case. He's going to be the Arthite COURT: Mr. Lauria, have you talked to any of the case?  MR. LAURIA: No, Your Honor. We have assumed that we're going to cross examine Mr. Kolka before his declaration goes into the record for purposes of this hearing. So whether the we do if first or we do it last really doesn't matter, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. How many witnesses are you calling? How you had a list.  MR. KURTZ: I don't think at this point, Your some document issues with the debtors counsel. We'd submit to some document issues with the debtors counsel. We'd submit to some document saces with that all we wanted do. THE COURT: All right. How when we we're going the dreet restimony fonight done for the witnesses, each of which the direct is approximately twenty minutes. THE COURT: All right. Why don't we get at least the direct testimony fonight done for the witness on the dealership to be here Sainthay. I mean, if just has in come to an end.  Ye're?  MR. LEXNER: Your Honor, Leading to the here Sainthay. I mean, if just has in come to an end.  Yee?  MR. BIENNENTOCK: Tannk you.  MR. PLENSTEIN: And. Your Honor, think in Ms.  MR. PLENSTEIN: And. Your Honor, I think in Ms.  MR. PLENSTEIN: And. Your Honor, I think in Ms.  MR. PLENSTEIN: And. Your Honor, I think in Ms.  MR. PLENSTEIN: And. Your Honor, I think in Ms.  MR. PLENSTEIN: And. Your Honor, I think in Ms.  MR. PLENSTEIN: And. Your Honor, I think in Ms.  MR. PLENSTEIN: And. Your Honor, I think in Ms.  MR. PLENSTEIN: And. Your Honor, I think in Ms.  MR. PLENSTEIN: And. Your Honor, I think in Ms.  MR. PLENSTEIN: And. Your Honor, I think in Ms.  MR. PLENSTEIN: And. Your Honor, I think in Ms.  MR. PLENSTEIN: And. Your Honor, I think in Ms.  MR. PLENSTEIN: And. Your Honor, I think in Ms.  MR. PLENSTEIN: And. Your Honor, I think in Ms.  MR. PLENSTEIN: Why do with the debrace have in the debrace have in the debra	1	MR. CULLEN: He's waiting for this part we're	1	twenty minutes.
when Mr. Lauria plans to put on his case. He's going to be available for Mr. Lauria's case.  THE COURT: Mr. Lauria, have you talked to any of the objectors about who's going after the debtor puts on their case?  MR. LAURIA: No, Your Honor. We have assumed that we're going to cross examine Mr. Kolika before his declaration to goes into the record for purposes of this hearing. So whether the doi: If first or we do it last really doesn't matter, Your the Ord.  Honor.  HIE COURT: All right. How many witnesses are you alling? Honor, and think that's all we would do. Some document issues with the debbors' counsel. We'd submit some deposition designations. We're trying to work through as some document. Sand It think that's all we would do. THE COURT: And I think that's all we would do. THE COURT: Mill, but why don't use gat a least the direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership to be here Saturday. I mean, it just has to come to an end. Yes?  MR. BALL: Your Honor, I apologize for the interruption. The debtor has been requested to share with the deb	2	going to put on Mr. Grady. I thought that the dealers had	2	THE COURT: All right.
available for Mr. Lauria's case.  THE COURT: Mr. Lauria's case.  MR. LAURIA: No, Your Honor. We have assumed that objections about who's going after the debtor puts on their case?  MR. LAURIA: No, Your Honor. We have assumed that we're going to cross examine Mr. Kolka before his declaration of calling? I know you had a list.  THE COURT: All right. How many witnesses are you tailsed to any of the foot first or we do it first or we do it fast really doesn't matter, Your calling? I know you had a list.  MR. KURTZ: I don't think — at this point, Your to calling? I know you had a list.  MR. KURTZ: I don't think — at this point, Your to calling? I know you had a list.  MR. KURTZ: I don't think — at this point, Your to calling? I know you had a list.  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, we don't intend to call any witness. We intend to put to some deposition designations. We're trying to work through it some deposition designations. We're trying to work through it some deposition designations. We're trying to work through it some deposition designations. We're trying to work through it some deposition designations. We're trying to work through it some deposition designations. We're trying to work through it some deposition designations. We're trying to work through it some deposition designations. We're trying to work through it some deposition designations. We're trying to work through it some deposition designations. We're trying to work through it some deposition designations. We're trying to work through it some deposition designations. We're trying to work through it some deposition designations. We're trying to work through it some deposition designations. We're trying to work through it some deposition designations. We're trying to work through it some deposition designations. We're trying to work through it some deposition designations. We're trying to work through it some deposition designations. We're trying to work through it some deposition designations. We're trying to work through it some deposition designations. We	3	witnesses of their own in their case-in-chief. I don't know	3	MR. BIENENSTOCK: Thank you.
THE COURT: Mr. Lauria, have you talked to any of the objectors about whis going after the debtor puts on their case?  MR. LAURIA: No, Your Honor. We have assumed that we're going to cross examine Mr. Kolka before his declaration goes into the record for purposes of this hearing. So whether the we'do it list really doean't matter, Your to do it last really doean't matter. Your the doesn't matter, Your the door that we're going to cross examine Mr. Kolka before his declaration goes into the record for purposes of this hearing. So whether the we'do it list really doean't matter, Your the door that we're still read that we're still read that we're still rying to a witness that would do.  THE COURT: All right. How many witnesses are you call in some deepstion designations. We're trying to work through it is nowned deposition designations. We're trying to work through some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit is more deposition designations. We're trying to work through some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit is more deposition designations. We're trying to work through the some documents. And I think than's all we would do.  THE COURT: Good. And the dealers' present of the state with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit is more devicemental trying to work through the work of which edirect is approximately twenty minutes.  THE COURT: All right. Why don't we get at least the offerent triping the done for the witness on the dealership the state of the work of the wor	4	when Mr. Lauria plans to put on his case. He's going to be	4	MS. FELDSTEIN: And, Your Honor, I think in Ms.
objectors about who's going after the debtor puts on their case?  MR. LAURIA: No, Your Honor. We have assumed that we're going to cross examine Mr. Kolka before his declaration goes into the record for purposes of this hearing. So whether we do it first or we do it last really doesn't matter, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. How many witnesses are you calling? I know you had a list.  MR. KURTZ: I don't think — at this point, Your Honor, we don't intend to call any witness. We intend to put some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit some document. And I think hat's all we would do. THE COURT: Good. And the dealers?  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, we have three witnesses, each of which the direct is approximately twenty minutes. THE COURT: All right. Why don't we get at least the direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership to here Saturday. I mean, it just has to come to an end. Yes?  MS. BALL: Your Honor, a pologize for the interruption. The debtor has been requested to share with the Court. There's been a resolution of all the Workmen's Comp solications. The debtor's comed the resolution of the three would ask the Court's indulgence to read the resolution of the would ask the Court's indulgence to read the resolution of the would ask the Court's indulgence to read the resolution of the worker's Compensation saturday. I mean, it just has to come to an end. Yes? Saturday. I mean, it just has to come to an end. Yes? Saturday. I mean, it just has to come to an end. Yes? THE COURT: All right. We will need to take a THE COURT: All right. We will need to take a THE COURT: All right. We will need to take a THE COURT: All right. We will need to take a THE COURT: All right. We will need to take a THE COURT: All right. We will need to take a THE COURT: All right. We will need to take a THE COURT: All right. We will need to take a THE COURT: All right. We will need to take a THE COURT: All right. We will need to take a THE COURT: Al	5	available for Mr. Lauria's case.	5	Ball's absence, the State Attorney General from the State of
MR. LAURIA: No, Your Honor. We have assumed that vergoing to cross examine Mr. Kolka before his declaration to evergoing to cross examine Mr. Kolka before his declaration to evergoing to cross examine Mr. Kolka before his declaration to evergoing to cross examine Mr. Kolka before his declaration to evergoing to cross examine Mr. Kolka before his declaration to evergoing to cross examine Mr. Kolka before his declaration to evergoing to cross examine Mr. Kolka before his declaration to evergoing to cross examine Mr. Kolka before his declaration to evergoing to cross examine Mr. Kolka before his declaration to the court and to the debtor his being said?  THE COURT: All right. How many witnesses are you form the debtor here to listen to what is being said?  UNIDENTIFIED ATTORNEY: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Why don't we don't with the debtor's coursel. We'd submit to the Court and to the State Autorney General that NewCo will come documents. And I think that's all we would do.  THE COURT: All right. Why don't we get at least the direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership  and maybe start the cross-examination. Because we really have to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to be here Saturday. I mean, it just has to come to an end.  Yes?  MS. BALL: Your Honor, I apologize for the interruption. The debtor has been requested to share with the Court. There's been a resolution of all the Workmen's Comp objections that we had from a number of state authorities. And they would ask the Court's indulgence to read the resolution into the record, because they are unable to be here tomorrow or to ake a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or to ake a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or to ake a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or to ake a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or to ake a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or to ake a representative of New Chrysler to read the resol	6	THE COURT: Mr. Lauria, have you talked to any of the	6	Michigan, among other states, is physically in the courtroom,
meson the free off or a moment. I'm sorry, it's Hydee we're going to cross examine Mr. Kolka before his declaration of goes into the record for purposes of this hearing. So whether the debt of the record for purposes of this hearing. So whether the debt of the record for purposes of this hearing. So whether the debt of the record for a moment. I'm sorry, it's Hydee Pédestein from Sullivan & Cromwell on behalf of Piat and the Potential of the purposes. The COURT: All right. How many witnesses are you the dolling? I know you had a list.  MR. KURTZ: I don't think — at this point, Your the Honor, we don't intend to call any witness. We intend to put it is some deposition designations. We're rying to work through some document issues with the debtors' councel. We'd submit some deposition designations. We're rying to work through some documents. And I think that's all we would do.  THE COURT: Good. And the dealers?  MR. ELENERS: Your Honor, we have three witnesses, each of which the direct is approximately twenty minutes. THE COURT: All right. Why don't we get at least the direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership at the cross-examination. Because we really have to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to be here salurday. I mean, it just has to come to an end.  Yes?  MS. BALL: Your Honor, I apologize for the interruption. The debtor has been requested to share with the court into the record, because they are unable to be here tomorrow or a sk a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or the fifteen-minute br	7	objectors about who's going after the debtor puts on their	7	and has been for two days. And I think we can resolve the
we're going to cross examine Mr. Kolka before his declaration goes into the record for purposes of this hearing. So whether we do if first or we do it hast really doesn't matter, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. How many witnesses are you calling? I know you had a list. MR. KURTZ: I don't think - at this point, Your honor, we don't intend to call any witness. We intend to put is some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit some documents. And I think that's all we would do. THE COURT: Good. And the dealers? MR. LERNER: Your Honor, we have three witnesses, cach of which the direct is approximately twenty minutes. THE COURT: Mell, do we have counsel - the right counsel from the debtor here to listen to what is being said? UNIDENTIFIED ATTORNEY: Yes, Your Honor.  MS. FELDSTEIN: Your Honor, mathorized to affirm to the Court and to the State Attorney General that NewCo wills rem sheets agreed upon between May 27, 2009 and the closing dare, in all applicable pirisdictions in which NewCo has employees after closing.  MS. CHECK-UPSHAW (ph.): Good evening, Your Honor, Waname is Susan Check-Upshaw. Lam here on behalf of Attorney direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership of the cross-examination. Because we really have to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to be here Saturday. I mean, it just has to come to an end.  Yes?  MS. BALL: Your Honor, I apologize for the interruption. The debtor has been requested to share with the count. There's been a resolution of all the Workmen's Comp objections that we had from a number of state authorities. And they would ask the Court's indilegence to read the resolution of to to ask a representative of New Chrysles to read the resolution of to the record, because they are unable to be here tomorrow or staturday. I don't think it will take very long. But it is - THE COURT: Honor, Thi chough the court is made depending upon it flist Court were to approve the proposed	8	case?	8	objections and have them withdrawn if I am permitted to read
goes into the record for purposes of this hearing. So whether we do it first or we do it last really doesn't matter, Your 12 Honor.  THE COURT: All right. How many witnesses are you 14 UNIDENTIFIED ATTORNEY: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. How many witnesses are you 15 UNIDENTIFIED ATTORNEY: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. How many witnesses are you 16 MR KURTIZ: I don't think - at this point, Your 16 MR KURTIZ: I don't think - at this point, Your 16 MR KURTIZ: I don't think debtors' course. We'd submit some deposition designations. We're trying to work through 18 some document issues with the debtors' coursel. We'd submit some deposition designations. We're trying to work through 18 some documents. And I think that's all we would do.  THE COURT: Good. And the dealers?  THE COURT: Good. And the dealers?  THE COURT: All right. Why don't we get at least the 24 diate the 18 state of think hew witness on the dealership. The Courties approximately twenty minutes.  THE COURT: All right. Why don't we get at least the 24 diate the cross-examination. Because we really have 15 direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership. 25 direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership. 25 direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership. 25 direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership. 25 direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership. 26 direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership. 26 direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership. 27 direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership. 26 direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership. 26 direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership. 27 direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership. 27 direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership. 27 direct testimony tonight done for the witnesses. 29 direct testimony tonight done for the witnesses. 20 direct testimony tonight d	9		9	something into the record for a moment. I'm sorry, it's Hydee
Here we do it first or we do it last really doesn't matter, Your Honor.  Honor.  THE COURT: All right. How many witnesses are you calling? I know you had a list.  MR. KURTZ: I don't think — at this point, Your Honor, we don't intend to all any witness. We intend to put in some deposition designations. We're trying to work through in some deposition designations. We're trying to work through in some deposition designations. We're trying to work through in some deposition designations. We're trying to work through in some deposition designations. We're trying to work through in some deposition designations. We're trying to work through in some deposition designations. We're trying to work through in some deposition designations. We're trying to work through in some deposition designations. We're trying to work through in some deposition designations. We're trying to work through in some deposition designations. We're trying to work through in some deposition designations. We're trying to work through in some deposition designations. We're trying to work through in some deposition designations. We're trying to work through in some deposition designations. We're trying to work through in some deposition designations. We're trying to work through in some deposition designations. We're trying to work through in some deposition designations. We're trying to work through in some deposition designations. We're trying to real with the debtor of the with the debtor in the wing trying to work through it is a trying to work through it is some document is sues with the debtor in the with the debtor in the wing trying trying to work through it is a trying trying trying trying to work through it is a trying trying to work through it is a trying trying to work through it is a trying trying trying to work through it is a trying t	10		10	Feldstein from Sullivan & Cromwell on behalf of Fiat and the
THE COURT: All right. How many witnesses are you calling? I know you had a list.  MR. KURTZ: I don't think — at this point, Your Honor, we don't intend to call any witness. We intend to put in some deposition designations. We're trying to work through some documents, And I think that's all we would do.  THE COURT: Good. And the debtors' counsel. We'd submit some documents. And I think that's all we would do.  THE COURT: Good. And the dealers?  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, we have three witnesses, each of which the direct is approximately twenty minutes.  THE COURT: All right. Why don't we get at least the direct testimony tonight done for the winess on the dealership to be here sturday. I mean, it just has to come to an end.  Yes?  MS. BALL: Your Honor, I apologize for the fourt There's been a resolution of all the Workmen's Compensation statutory obligations as employers in those whealf from a number of state authorities. And they would ask the Court's indugence to read the resolution to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution of the tere of the court and to the state Authority. All right. Why on't we get at least the direct is approximately twenty minutes.  All and maybe start the cross-examination. Because we really have to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to he here sturday. I mean, it just has to come to an end.  Yes?  MS. BALL: Your Honor, I apologize for the interruption. The debtor has been requested to share with the court. There's been a resolution of all the Workmen's Compensation Agency. Your Honor, Michigan needed to file this objection when the pleadings did not reflect that either Chrysler or the yet-to-be-named purchers woll commit to the Worker's Compensation Agency. Your Honor, Michigan seem proposes in Michigan, we wanted to assure that the Michigan workers of Michigan we wanted to submit to the court and the lossing data to a firm and maybe start the Michigan workers of My name is Susan Chro-Lypakuw. I am here on behalf of Altorres objection when the p	11	goes into the record for purposes of this hearing. So whether	11	purchaser.
THE COURT: All right. How many witnesses are you calling? I know you had a list.  MR. KURTZ: I don't think at this point, Your  Honor, we don't intend to call any witness. We intend to put in some deposition designations. We're trying to work through some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit on some documents. And I think than's all we would do.  THE COURT: Good. And the dealers?  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, we have three witnesses, and of which the direct is approximately twenty minutes.  THE COURT: All right. Why don't we get at least the direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership or to be here Saturday. I mean, it just has to come to an end.  Yes?  MS. BALL: Your Honor, I apologize for the interruption. The debtor has been requested to share with the Court. There's been a resolution of all the Workmen's Comp objections that we had from a number of state authorities. And they would ask the Court's indulgence to read the resolution in to the record, because they are unable to be here tomorrow or a many of the court in the record, because they are unable to be here tomorrow or a many of the court. Ther's been a resolution or a lithe Workmen's Comp objections that we had from a number of state authorities. And they would ask the Court's indulgence to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resol	12	we do it first or we do it last really doesn't matter, Your	12	THE COURT: Well, do we have counsel the right
calling? I know you had a list.  MR. KURTZ: I don't think — at this point, Your Honor, we don't intend to call any witness. We intend to put in some deposition designations. We're trying to work through some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit some document issues with the debtors' count fail the workers of the submit subm		Honor.	13	counsel from the debtor here to listen to what is being said?
MR. KURTZ: I don't think — at this point, Your Honor, we don't intend to call any witness. We intend to put in some deposition designations. We're trying to work through some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit some document issues with the debtors' counsel.  THE COURT: Good. And the dealers' MR. LERNER: Your Honor, we have three witnesses, ach of which the direct is approximately twenty minutes.  THE COURT: All right. Why don't we get at least the direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership and maybe start the cross-examination. Because we really have to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to be here Saturday. I mean, it just has to come to an end.  Yes?  MS. BALL: Your Honor, I apologize for the interruption. The debtor has been requested to share with the count. There's been a resolution of all the Workmen's Comp objections that we had from a number of state authorities. And they would ask the Court's indulgence to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or to ask a r	14	THE COURT: All right. How many witnesses are you	14	UNIDENTIFIED ATTORNEY: Yes, Your Honor.
Honor, we don't intend to call any witness. We intend to put in some deposition designations. We're trying to work through some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit 19 some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit 19 some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit 19 some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit 19 some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit 19 some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit 19 some document issues with the debtor has been read to which the direct is approximately twenty minutes.  22	15	calling? I know you had a list.	15	THE COURT: All right.
in some deposition designations. We're trying to work through some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit to some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit to some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit to some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit to some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit to some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit to some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit to some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit to some document issues with the debtors with the some document issues with the debtors with the workment's and the dealers?  THE COURT: All right. Why don't we get at least the direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to finish these witnes	16	MR. KURTZ: I don't think at this point, Your	16	
some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit some documents. And I think that's all we would do.  THE COURT: Good. And the dealers?  RR. LERNER: Your Honor, we have three witnesses, and incert estimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership to direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership to be here Saturday. I mean, it just has to come to an end.  Yes?  MS. BALL: Your Honor, I apologize for the interruption. The debtor has been requested to share with the objections that we had from a number of state authorities. And the beywould ask the Court's indulgence to read the resolution into the record, because they are unable to be here tomorrow or ast are presentative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or THE COURT: All right. We still need to take a fifteen-minute break.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Your Honor, just before the recess.  Iffe COURT: All right. Thank you, Your Honor was taking a count of additional witnesses.  THE COURT: Oh, I forgot that you had mentioned - MR. BIENENSTOCK: Your Honor, gust before the recess.  If your Honor was taking a count of additional witnesses.  If your Honor was taking a count of additional witnesses.  THE COURT: Oh, I forgot that you had mentioned - MR. BIENENSTOCK: Your Honor, But with the debtor. But the were still trying to resolve this with the debtor. But the were still trying to resolve this with the debtor. But the work of the dealership with the work of the weeks a greed upon between May 27, 2009 and the closing date, in all applicable jurisdictions in which NewCo has employees after closing.  MR. CHECK-UPSHAW (ph.): Good evening, Your Honor.  Compensation Agency. Your Honor, Michigan needed to file this objection when the pleadings did not reflect that either objection when the pleadings did not reflect that either objection when the pleadings did not reflect that either objection when the pleadings did not reflect that either objection when the pleadings did not reflect that either objection when the pleadings did not reflect that e	17	Honor, we don't intend to call any witness. We intend to put	17	to the Court and to the State Attorney General that NewCo will
some documents. And I think that's all we would do.  THE COURT: Good. And the dealers?  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, we have three witnesses, and of which the direct is approximately twenty minutes.  THE COURT: All right. Why don't we get at least the direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership 434  THE COURT: All right. Why don't we get at least the direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership 434  and maybe start the cross-examination. Because we really have to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to finish these witnesses by tomorrow of the properties of the interruption. The debtor has been requested to share with the finiterruption. The debtor has been requested to share with the very would ask the Court's indulgence to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or to to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or 12 Saturday. I don't think it will take very long. But it is — 12 Saturday. I don't think it will take very long. But it is — 13 THE COURT: All right. We still need to take a fifteen-minute break. 14 Interpretation of the COURT: All right. Thank you. 16 MR. BENENSTOCK: Your Honor. 17 One thank the still tright. Thank you. 16 MR. BIENENSTOCK: Your Honor, just before the recess. 19 MR. BIENENSTOCK: 1 Just wanted to remind the Court who have a witness that would probably take 12 depending upon if this Court were to approve the proposed sale of the were taken in these last few weeks. Number one, Chrysler is popaying its Workers' Compensation obligations in Michigan, and is committed to pay such obligations until such time that 22 depending upon if this Court were to approve the proposed sale of the properties as an employer in Michigan, and	18	in some deposition designations. We're trying to work through		comply with Workers' Compensation laws and any applicable self-
THE COURT: Good. And the dealers?  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, we have three witnesses, and his which the direct is approximately twenty minutes.  THE COURT: All right. Why don't we get at least the direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership and the testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership direct testimony tonight done for the witness and the dealership direct testimony tonight done for the witness and the dealership direct testimony tonight done for the witness and the dealership direct testimony tonight done for the witness and the dealership direct testimony tonight done for the witness and the dealership direct testimony tonight done for the witness and the dealership direct testimony tonight done for the witness and the dealership direct testimon to all the workers' Compensation Ac		some document issues with the debtors' counsel. We'd submit		
mr. LERNER: Your Honor, we have three witnesses.  mr. CHECK-UPSHAW (ph.): Good evening, Your Honor.  mr. THE COURT: All right. Why don't we get at least the direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership ad 34  mr. CHECK-UPSHAW (ph.): Good evening, Your Honor.  mr. My name is Susan Check-Upshaw. I am here on behalf of Attorney General Mike Cox who represents the Michigan Workers'  mr. Ad 36  mr. CHECK-UPSHAW (ph.): Good evening, Your Honor.  mr. My name is Susan Check-Upshaw. I am here on behalf of Attorney General Mike Cox who represents the Michigan Workers'  mr. Ad 36  mr. CHECK-UPSHAW (ph.): Good evening, Your Honor.  mr. My name is Susan Check-Upshaw. I am here on behalf of Attorney General Mike Cox who represents the Michigan Workers'  mr. Ad 36  mr. CHECK-UPSHAW (ph.): Good evening, Your Honor.  mr. Ad 36  mr. CHECK-UPSHAW (ph.): Good evening, Your Honor.  mr. Ad 36  mr. CHECK-UPSHAW (ph.): Good evening, Your Honor.  mr. Ad 36  mr. CHECK-UPSHAW (ph.): Good evening, Your Honor, My name is Susan Check-Upshaw. I am here on behalf of Attorney General Mike Cox who represents the Michigan Workers'  mr. Ad 36  mr. CHECK-UPSHAW (ph.): Good evening, Your Honor, My name is Susan Check-Upshaw. I am here on behalf of Attorney General Mike Cox who represents the Michigan Workers'  mr. Ad 36  mr. CHECK-UPSHAW (ph.): Good evening, Your Honor, My name is Susan Check-Upshaw. I am here on behalf of Attorney General Mike Cox who represents the Michigan Workers' Ad 36  mr. Ad 36  mr. Ad 36  mr. Ad 36  mr. CHECK-Upshaw. I am here on behalf of Attorney General Mike Cox who represents the Michigan Workers' Compensation Agency. Your Honor, Michigan weater the Michigan workers objection when the pleadings did not reflect that either  mr. Ad 36  mr. Ad 3				
23 each of which the direct is approximately twenty minutes. 24 THE COURT: All right. Why don't we get at least the 25 direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership 26 to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going 27 to be here Saturday. I mean, it just has to come to an end. 28 Yes? 29 MS. BALL: Your Honor, I apologize for the 29 interruption. The debtor has been requested to share with the 20 Court. There's been a resolution of all the Workmen's Comp 21 objections that we had from a number of state authorities. And 22 that we're still trying to resolve this. 20 MS. BALL: Thank you, Your Honor. 21 Saturday. I don't think it will take very long. But it is — 23 MS. CHECK-UPSHAW (ph.): Good evening, Your Honor, Why and is Susan Check-Upshaw. I am here on behalf of Attorney 24 My name is Susan Check-Upshaw. I am here on behalf of Attorney 25 General Mike Cox who represents the Michigan Workers' 26 General Mike Cox who represents the Michigan needed to file this 27 objection when the pleadings did not reflect that either 28 Chrysler or the yet-to-be-named purchaser would commit to the 29 Workers' Compensation statutory obligations as employers in 29 Michigan. We wanted to assure that the Michigan workers of 20 Chrysler and New Car Co. and Michigan's other self-insurance 20 millowing more of the sending and the Workmen's Compensation of the Michigan Workers' Compensation Agency. Your Honor, Michigan workers of 20 Chrysler and New Car Co. and Michigan's other self-insurance 21 the Workers' Compensation statutory obligations as employers in 22 Michigan workers' Compensation statutory obligations will be met, 23 considering the time — the expedited time constraints and 24 depending upon if this Court were to approve the proposed sale 25 order. And if New Car Co. tarts to operate as soon as we hear 26 in these proceedings that it intends to do in Michigan, we 27 wanted to be prepared. 28 MR. BIENENSTOCK: Your Honor, just before the recess, 29 MR. BIENENSTOCK: Your Honor, just before the				**
THE COURT: All right. Why don't we get at least the direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership 434  1 and maybe start the cross-examination. Because we really have to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to be here Saturday. I mean, it just has to come to an end.  4 Yes?  5 MS. BALL: Your Honor, I apologize for the interruption. The debtor has been requested to share with the Court. There's been a resolution of all the Workmen's Comp objections that we had from a number of state authorities. And they would ask the Court's indulgence to read the resolution of 10 to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution into the record, because they are unable to be here tomorrow or Saturday. I don't think it will take very long. But it is —  13 THE COURT: All right. We still need to take a fifteen-minute break.  15 MS. BALL: Thank you, Your Honor.  16 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.  17 MR. LERNER: Thank you, Your Honor.  18 (Recess from 9:00 pm. to 9:14 pm.)  19 THE COURT: Please be seated. Mr. Bienenstock, yes?  20 MR. BIENENSTOCK: Your Honor, just before the recess of the court of additional witnesses.  21 THE COURT: Oh, I forgot that you had mentioned —  32 MR. BIENENSTOCK: I just wanted to remind the Court at we're still trying to resolve this with the debtor. But if we don't we do have a witness that would probably take				
direct testimony tonight done for the witness on the dealership 434  and maybe start the cross-examination. Because we really have to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to be here Saturday. I mean, it just has to come to an end. Yes?  MS. BALL: Your Honor, I apologize for the interruption. The debtor has been requested to share with the objections that we had from a number of state authorities. And they would ask the Court's indulgence to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution or into the record, because they are unable to be here tomorrow or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution THE COURT: All right. We still need to take a fifteen-minute break.  MS. BALL: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Thank you, MR. LERNER: Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Your Honor, just before the recess if Your Honor was taking a count of additional witnesses.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: 1 just wanted to remind the Court fif we don't we do have a witness that would probably take  The COURT: Oh, I forgot that you had mentioned— MR. BIENENSTOCK: 1 just wanted to remind the Court fif we don't we do have a witness that would probably take  The Court we do have a witness that would probably take  The Court we do have a witness that would probably take  The Court we do have a witness that would probably take  The Court we do have a witness that would probably take  The Court we do have a witness that would probably take  The Court we do have a witness that workers that workers the first the witness that workers that we're still trying to resolve this with the debtor. But  The Court we do have a witness that would probably take  The Court we do have a witness that workers that we're still trying to resolve this with the debtor. But  The Court we do have a witness that workers that we're still trying to resolve this with the debtor. But  The Court we do have a witness that would probably take  The Court was that the cross-examination and th		** * *		
and maybe start the cross-examination. Because we really have to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to be here Saturday. I mean, it just has to come to an end.  Yes?  MS. BALL: Your Honor, I apologize for the interruption. The debtor has been requested to share with the Court. There's been a resolution of all the Workmen's Comp objections that we had from a number of state authorities. And they would ask the Court's indulgence to read the resolution into the record, because they are unable to be here tomorrow or 12 Saturday. I don't think it will take very long. But it is—14 fifteen-minute break.  MS. BALL: Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. LERNER: Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. LERNER: Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. LERNER: Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Your Honor, just before the recess.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: I just wanted to remind the Court with we do have a witness that would probably take  THE COURT: Oh, I forgot that you had mentioned—  MR. BIENENSTOCK: I just wanted to remind the Court with we do have a witness that would probably take  A 3 Chrysler on the yet-to-be-named purchaser would commit to the objection when the pleadings did not reflect that either  Dispections when the pleadings did not reflect that either  Dispections when the pleadings did not reflect that either  Dispections when the pleadings did not reflect that either  Dispections when the pleadings did not reflect that either  Dispections when the pleadings did not reflect that either  Dispections when the pleadings did not reflect that either  Dispections vhen the pet-to-be-named purchaser would commit to the  Workers' Compensation statutory obligations as employers in  Michigan. We wanted to assure that the Michigan were entitled to under the Michigan Workers' Compensation Act.  Moreover, Michigan wants to promote a seamless transition to assure that these obligations will be met, considering the time — the expedited				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
and maybe start the cross-examination. Because we really have to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to be here Saturday. I mean, it just has to come to an end.  Yes?  MS. BALL: Your Honor, I apologize for the interruption. The debtor has been requested to share with the Court. There's been a resolution of all the Workmen's Comp objections that we had from a number of state authorities. And they would ask the Court's indulgence to read the resolution to to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution into the record, because they are unable to be here tomorrow or Saturday. I don't think it will take very long. But it is — Saturday. I don't think it will take very long. Saturday it	25		25	
to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to be here Saturday. I mean, it just has to come to an end.  Yes?  MS. BALL: Your Honor, I apologize for the interruption. The debtor has been requested to share with the Court. There's been a resolution of all the Workmen's Comp objections that we had from a number of state authorities. And they would ask the Court's indulgence to read the resolution into the record, because they are unable to be here tomorrow or Saturday. I don't think it will take very long. But it is THE COURT: All right. We still need to take a  MS. BALL: Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. BERNER: Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Your Honor, just before the recess THE COURT: Oh, I forgot that you had mentioned MR. BIENENSTOCK: I just wanted to remind the Court  Michigan when the pleadings did not reflect that either Chrysler or the yet-to-be-named purchaser would commit to the Workers' Compensation statutory obligations as employers in Michigan. We wanted to assure that the Michigan workers of Chrysler and New Car Co. and Michigan's other self-insurance employers, received the benefits they were entitled to under the Michigan wants to promote a seamless transition to assure that these obligations will be met, considering the time the expedited time constraints and depending upon if this Court were to approve the proposed sale order. And if New Car Co. starts to operate as soon as we hear in these proceedings that it intends to do in Michigan's objections can be withdrawn because of three actions that had been taken in these last few weeks. Number one, Chrysler is paying its Workers' Compensation obligations until such time that Chrysler no longer operates as an employer in Michigan, New Car Co. has agreed to an amendment to the master transaction agreement to reflect New Car Co.'s agreement to meet its Michigan statutory obligations. And finally, New Car Co. entered a binding term sheet reflecting its agreement to act as		434		436
to finish these witnesses by tomorrow. Otherwise we're going to be here Saturday. I mean, it just has to come to an end.  Yes?  MS. BALL: Your Honor, I apologize for the interruption. The debtor has been requested to share with the Court. There's been a resolution of all the Workmen's Comp objections that we had from a number of state authorities. And they would ask the Court's indulgence to read the resolution into the record, because they are unable to be here tomorrow or Saturday. I don't think it will take very long. But it is THE COURT: All right. We still need to take a  MS. BALL: Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. BERNER: Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Your Honor, just before the recess THE COURT: Oh, I forgot that you had mentioned MR. BIENENSTOCK: I just wanted to remind the Court  Michigan when the pleadings did not reflect that either Chrysler or the yet-to-be-named purchaser would commit to the Workers' Compensation statutory obligations as employers in Michigan. We wanted to assure that the Michigan workers of Chrysler and New Car Co. and Michigan's other self-insurance employers, received the benefits they were entitled to under the Michigan wants to promote a seamless transition to assure that these obligations will be met, considering the time the expedited time constraints and depending upon if this Court were to approve the proposed sale order. And if New Car Co. starts to operate as soon as we hear in these proceedings that it intends to do in Michigan's objections can be withdrawn because of three actions that had been taken in these last few weeks. Number one, Chrysler is paying its Workers' Compensation obligations until such time that Chrysler no longer operates as an employer in Michigan, New Car Co. has agreed to an amendment to the master transaction agreement to reflect New Car Co.'s agreement to meet its Michigan statutory obligations. And finally, New Car Co. entered a binding term sheet reflecting its agreement to act as	1	and maybe start the cross-examination. Because we really have	1	Compensation Agency Your Honor Michigan needed to file this
to be here Saturday. I mean, it just has to come to an end.  Yes?  MS. BALL: Your Honor, I apologize for the interruption. The debtor has been requested to share with the Court. There's been a resolution of all the Workmen's Comp objections that we had from a number of state authorities. And they would ask the Court's indulgence to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution into the record, because they are unable to be here tomorrow or Saturday. I don't think it will take very long. But it is THE COURT: All right. We still need to take a MS. BALL: Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. LERNER: Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. LERNER: Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Your Honor, just before the recess if Your Honor was taking a count of additional witnesses.  MR. BIENENSTOCK: I just wanted to remind the Court that we're still trying to resolve this with the debtor. But if we don't we do have a witness that would probably take  The court is just wanted to an end.  MChrysler or the yet-to-be-named purchaser would commit to the Workers' Compensation statutory obligations as employers in Michigan. We wanted to assure that the Michigan workers of Chrysler and New Car Co. and Michigan's other self-insurance employers, received the benefits they were entitled to under the Michigan Workers' Compensation Act.  Moreover, Michigan wants to promote a seamless transition to assure that these obligations will be met, considering the time the expedited time constraints and depending upon if this Court were to approve the proposed sale order. And if New Car Co. starts to operate as soon as we hear in these proceedings that it intends to do in Michigan, we wanted to be prepared.  Michigan's objections we agree that Michigan's objections can be withdrawn because of three actions that had been taken in these last few weeks. Number one, Chrysler is paying its Workers' Compensation obligations until such time that Chrysler no longer operates as an employer in Michigan. New Car Co. has agr		•		
4 Yes?  MS. BALL: Your Honor, I apologize for the 5 MS. BALL: Your Honor, I apologize for the 6 interruption. The debtor has been requested to share with the 7 Court. There's been a resolution of all the Workmen's Comp 8 objections that we had from a number of state authorities. And 9 they would ask the Court's indulgence to read the resolution 10 to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution 11 into the record, because they are unable to be here tomorrow or 12 Saturday. I don't think it will take very long. But it is 13 THE COURT: All right. We still need to take a 14 fifteen-minute break. 15 MS. BALL: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 17 MR. LERNER: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 (Recess from 9:00 p.m. to 9:14 p.m.) 19 THE COURT: Please be seated. Mr. Bienenstock, yes? 10 MR. BIENENSTOCK: Your Honor, just before the recess. 11 if Your Honor was taking a count of additional witnesses. 12 THE COURT: Oh, I forgot that you had mentioned 14 Moreover, Michigan. We wanted to assure that the Michigan workers of Chrysler rank New Car Co. and Michigan's other self-insurance employers, received the benefits they were entitled to under the Michigan Workers' Compensation Act. 18 Michigan Workers' Compensation Act. 19 Moreover, Michigan wants to promote a seamless transition to assure that thee Michigan wants to promote a seamless transition to assure that these obligations will be met, considering the time the expedited time constraints and depending upon if this Court were to approve the proposed sale order. And if New Car Co. starts to operate as soon as we hear in these proceedings that it intends to do in Michigan, we wanted to be prepared.  Michigan's objections we agree that Michigan's objections can be withdrawn because of three actions that had been taken in these last few weeks. Number one, Chrysler is paying its Workers' Compensation obligations in Michigan. New Car Co. has agreed to an amendment to the master transaction agreement to reflect New Car Co.'s agreeme				
MS. BALL: Your Honor, I apologize for the interruption. The debtor has been requested to share with the Court. There's been a resolution of all the Workmen's Comp bejections that we had from a number of state authorities. And they would ask the Court's indulgence to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution into the record, because they are unable to be here tomorrow or Saturday. I don't think it will take very long. But it is - THE COURT: All right. We still need to take a fifteen-minute break.  MS. BALL: Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. LERNER: Thank you, Your Honor.  (Recess from 9:00 p.m. to 9:14 p.m.)  THE COURT: Please be seated. Mr. Bienenstock, yes?  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Your Honor, just before the recess, if Your Honor was taking a count of additional witnesses.  THE COURT: Oh, I forgot that you had mentioned - MR. BIENENSTOCK: I just wanted to remind the Court that we're still trying to resolve this with the debtor. But  Michigan. We wanted to assure that the Michigan workers of Chrysler and New Car Co. and Michigan's other self-insurance employers, received the benefits they were entitled to under the Michigan workers' Compensation Act.  Moreover, Michigan workers' Compensation Act.  Moreover, Michigan wants to promote a seamless transition to assure that these obligations will be met, considering the time the expedited time constraints and depending upon if this Court were to approve the proposed sale order. And if New Car Co. starts to operate as soon as we hear in these proceedings that it intends to do in Michigan, we material to be prepared.  Michigan's objections we agree that Michigan's other self-insurance employers in Michigan, and is committed to pay such obligations in Michigan, and is committed to pay such obligations until such time that if Your Honor was taking a count of additional witnesses.  THE COURT: Oh, I forgot that you had mentioned MR. BIENENSTOCK: I just wanted to remind the Court that we're still trying to resolve this with the debt		· ·		
6 interruption. The debtor has been requested to share with the 7 Court. There's been a resolution of all the Workmen's Comp 8 objections that we had from a number of state authorities. And 9 they would ask the Court's indulgence to read the resolution or 10 to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution into the record, because they are unable to be here tomorrow or 11 into the record, because they are unable to be here tomorrow or 12 Saturday. I don't think it will take very long. But it is 13 THE COURT: All right. We still need to take a 14 fifteen-minute break. 15 MS. BALL: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 17 MR. LERNER: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 (Recess from 9:00 p.m. to 9:14 p.m.) 19 THE COURT: Please be seated. Mr. Bienenstock, yes? 10 MR. BIENENSTOCK: Your Honor, just before the recess if Your Honor was taking a count of additional witnesses. 21 THE COURT: Oh, I forgot that you had mentioned 22 MR. BIENENSTOCK: I just wanted to remind the Court that we're still trying to resolve this with the debtor. But if we don't we do have a witness that would probably take  10 Chrysler and New Car Co. and Michigan's other self-insurance employers, received the benefits they were entitled to under the Michigan Workers' Compensation Act.  10 Moreover, Michigan wants to promote a seamless transition to assure that these obligations will be met, considering the time the expedited time constraints and depending upon if this Court were to approve the proposed sale order. And if New Car Co. starts to operate as soon as we hear in these proceedings that it intends to do in Michigan, we wanted to be prepared.  14 Michigan's objections we agree that Michigan's objections can be withdrawn because of three actions that had been taken in these last few weeks. Number one, Chrysler is paying its Workers' Compensation obligations in Michigan, and is committed to pay such obligations until such time that Chrysler no longer operates as an employer in Michigan. New Car Co. has agr				
Court. There's been a resolution of all the Workmen's Comp objections that we had from a number of state authorities. And they would ask the Court's indulgence to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution into the record, because they are unable to be here tomorrow or Saturday. I don't think it will take very long. But it is THE COURT: All right. We still need to take a fifteen-minute break.  MS. BALL: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. MR. LERNER: Thank you, Your Honor.  Recess from 9:00 p.m. to 9:14 p.m.) THE COURT: Please be seated. Mr. Bienenstock, yes? MR. BIENENSTOCK: Your Honor, just before the recess. THE COURT: Oh, I forgot that you had mentioned MR. BIENENSTOCK: I just wanted to remind the Court that we're still trying to resolve this with the debtor. But if we don't we do have a witness that would probably take  THE COURT in the weath of the master transaction and the Michigan statutory obligations. And finally, New Car Co.  employers, received the benefits they were entitled to under the Michigan Workers' Compensation Act.  Moreover, Michigan wants to promote a seamless the Michigan wants to promote a seamless to employers, received the benefits they were entitled to under the Michigan wants to promote a seamless to employers, received the benefits they were entitled to act and the Michigan wants to promote a seamless the Michigan wants to promote a seamless to considering the time the expedited time constraints and depending upon if this Court were to approve the proposed sale order. And if New Car Co. starts to operate as soon as we hear in these proceedings that it intends to do in Michigan, we wanted to be prepared.  Michigan's objections we agree that Michigan's objections on be withdrawn because of three actions that		. 1 6		· ·
by objections that we had from a number of state authorities. And they would ask the Court's indulgence to read the resolution to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution into the record, because they are unable to be here tomorrow or 1 considering the time the expedited time constraints and depending upon if this Court were to approve the proposed sale order. And if New Car Co. starts to operate as soon as we hear in these proceedings that it intends to do in Michigan, we wanted to be prepared.  MS. BALL: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Thank you.  MR. LERNER: Thank you, Your Honor.  (Recess from 9:00 p.m. to 9:14 p.m.)  THE COURT: Please be seated. Mr. Bienenstock, yes?  THE COURT: Oh, I forgot that you had mentioned will first that we're still trying to resolve this with the debtor. But that we're still trying to resolve this with the debtor. But if we don't we do have a witness that would probably take  The court is made to be prepared.  Moreover, Michigan wants to promote a seamless transition to assure that these obligations will be met, considering the time the expedited time constraints and depending upon if this Court these proposed sale order. And if New Car Co. starts to operate as soon as we hear in these proceedings that it intends to do in Michigan, we wanted to be prepared.  Michigan's objections we agree that Michigan's objections can be withdrawn because of three actions that had been taken in these last few weeks. Number one, Chrysler is paying its Workers' Compensation obligations in Michigan, and is committed to pay such obligations until such time that Chrysler no longer operates as an employer in Michigan. New Car Co. has agreed to an amendment to the master transaction agreement to reflect New Car Co.'s agreement to meet its Michigan statutory obligations. And finally, New Car Co. entered a binding term sheet reflecting		î î		
they would ask the Court's indulgence to read the resolution or to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution into the record, because they are unable to be here tomorrow or Saturday. I don't think it will take very long. But it is  Saturday. I don't think it will take very long. But it is  THE COURT: All right. We still need to take a Saturday. I don't think it will take very long. But it is  MS. BALL: Thank you, Your Honor. Saturday. I don't Michigan wants to promote a seamless transition to assure that these obligations will be met, considering the time the expedited time constraints and depending upon if this Court were to approve the proposed sale order. And if New Car Co. starts to operate as soon as we hear in these proceedings that it intends to do in Michigan, we wanted to be prepared.  Michigan's objections we agree that Michigan's objections can be withdrawn because of three actions that had been taken in these last few weeks. Number one, Chrysler is paying its Workers' Compensation obligations in Michigan, and if Your Honor was taking a count of additional witnesses.  THE COURT: Oh, I forgot that you had mentioned  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Your Honor, just before the recess of the Court were to approve the proposed sale order. And if New Car Co. The same time the expedited time constraints and depending upon if this Court were to approve the proposed sale order. And if New Car Co. The same time the expedited time constraints and depending upon if this Court were to approve the proposed sale order. And if New Car Co. The same time the expedited time constraints and depending upon if this Court were to approve the proposed sale order. And if New Car Co. The same time the expedited time constraints and to expedited time constraints and				
to ask a representative of New Chrysler to read the resolution into the record, because they are unable to be here tomorrow or Saturday. I don't think it will take very long. But it is  Saturday. I don't think it will take very long. But it is  THE COURT: All right. We still need to take a  MS. BALL: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Thank you.  MR. LERNER: Thank you, Your Honor.  (Recess from 9:00 p.m. to 9:14 p.m.)  THE COURT: Please be seated. Mr. Bienenstock, yes?  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Your Honor, just before the recess of the count of additional witnesses.  THE COURT: Oh, I forgot that you had mentioned  MR. BIENENSTOCK: I just wanted to remind the Court that we're still trying to resolve this with the debtor. But  into the second, because that these obligations will be met,  considering the time the expedited time constraints and  depending upon if this Court were to approve the proposed sale order. And if New Car Co. starts to operate as soon as we hear in these proceedings that it intends to do in Michigan, we wanted to be prepared.  Michigan's objections we agree that Michigan's objections we agree that Michigan's objections can be withdrawn because of three actions that had been taken in these proceedings that it intends to do in Michigan, we wanted to be prepared.  Michigan's objections we agree that Michigan's objections we agree that Michigan's objections can be withdrawn because of three actions that had been taken in these proceedings that it intends to do in Michigan or the proposed sale objections on the proposed sale or depending upon if this Court were to approve the proposed sale or depending upon if this Court were to approve the proposed sale or depending upon if this Court were to approve the proposed sale or depending upon if this Court were to approve the proposed sale or depending upon if this Court were to approve the proposed sale or depending upon if this Court were to approve the proposed sale or depending upon if this Court were to approve the propo		-		
11 into the record, because they are unable to be here tomorrow or 12 Saturday. I don't think it will take very long. But it is 13 THE COURT: All right. We still need to take a 14 fifteen-minute break. 15 MS. BALL: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 17 MR. LERNER: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 (Recess from 9:00 p.m. to 9:14 p.m.) 19 THE COURT: Please be seated. Mr. Bienenstock, yes? 19 THE COURT: Please be seated. Mr. Bienenstock, yes? 20 MR. BIENENSTOCK: Your Honor, just before the recess. 21 if Your Honor was taking a count of additional witnesses. 22 THE COURT: Oh, I forgot that you had mentioned 23 MR. BIENENSTOCK: I just wanted to remind the Court 24 that we're still trying to resolve this with the debtor. But 25 if we don't we do have a witness that would probably take 26 considering the time the expedited time constraints and 27 depending upon if this Court were to approve the proposed sale order. And if New Car Co. starts to operate as soon as we hear 12 depending upon if this Court were to approve the proposed sale order. And if New Car Co. starts to operate as soon as we hear 13 order. And if New Car Co. starts to operate as soon as we hear 14 in these proceedings that it intends to do in Michigan, we 15 wanted to be prepared. 16 Michigan's objections we agree that Michigan's objections can be withdrawn because of three actions that had 18 been taken in these last few weeks. Number one, Chrysler is 19 paying its Workers' Compensation obligations in Michigan, and 20 is committed to pay such obligations until such time that 21 Chrysler no longer operates as an employer in Michigan. New 22 Car Co. has agreed to an amendment to the master transaction 23 agreement to reflect New Car Co.'s agreement to meet its 24 Michigan statutory obligations. And finally, New Car Co. 25 entered a binding term sheet reflecting its agreement to act as		-	10	
Saturday. I don't think it will take very long. But it is  THE COURT: All right. We still need to take a  THE COURT: All right. We still need to take a  fifteen-minute break.  MS. BALL: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Thank you.  MR. LERNER: Thank you, Your Honor.  (Recess from 9:00 p.m. to 9:14 p.m.)  THE COURT: Please be seated. Mr. Bienenstock, yes?  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Your Honor, just before the recess if Your Honor was taking a count of additional witnesses.  THE COURT: Oh, I forgot that you had mentioned  MR. BIENENSTOCK: I just wanted to remind the Court that we're still trying to resolve this with the debtor. But the first order of the action in the paper of this Court were to approve the proposed sale order. And if New Car Co. starts to operate as soon as we hear in these proceedings that it intends to do in Michigan, we wanted to be prepared.  Michigan's objections we agree that Michigan's objections can be withdrawn because of three actions that had been taken in these last few weeks. Number one, Chrysler is paying its Workers' Compensation obligations in Michigan, and is committed to pay such obligations until such time that Chrysler no longer operates as an employer in Michigan. New Car Co. has agreed to an amendment to the master transaction agreement to reflect New Car Co.'s agreement to meet its  Michigan statutory obligations. And finally, New Car Co. entered a binding term sheet reflecting its agreement to act as		1		
THE COURT: All right. We still need to take a  13 order. And if New Car Co. starts to operate as soon as we hear  14 in these proceedings that it intends to do in Michigan, we  15 MS. BALL: Thank you, Your Honor.  16 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.  16 Michigan's objections we agree that Michigan's  17 MR. LERNER: Thank you, Your Honor.  18 (Recess from 9:00 p.m. to 9:14 p.m.)  19 THE COURT: Please be seated. Mr. Bienenstock, yes?  10 MR. BIENENSTOCK: Your Honor, just before the recess,  21 if Your Honor was taking a count of additional witnesses.  22 THE COURT: Oh, I forgot that you had mentioned  23 MR. BIENENSTOCK: I just wanted to remind the Court  24 that we're still trying to resolve this with the debtor. But  25 if we don't we do have a witness that would probably take  13 order. And if New Car Co. starts to operate as soon as we hear  14 in these proceedings that it intends to do in Michigan, we wanted to be prepared.  15 Michigan's objections we agree that Michigan's objections can be withdrawn because of three actions that had been taken in these last few weeks. Number one, Chrysler is paying its Workers' Compensation obligations in Michigan, and is committed to pay such obligations until such time that  26 Chrysler no longer operates as an employer in Michigan. New  27 Car Co. has agreed to an amendment to the master transaction agreement to reflect New Car Co.'s agreement to meet its  28 Michigan statutory obligations. And finally, New Car Co.  29 entered a binding term sheet reflecting its agreement to act as		-		
14 fifteen-minute break.  15 MS. BALL: Thank you, Your Honor.  16 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.  17 MR. LERNER: Thank you, Your Honor.  18 (Recess from 9:00 p.m. to 9:14 p.m.)  19 THE COURT: Please be seated. Mr. Bienenstock, yes?  20 MR. BIENENSTOCK: Your Honor, just before the recess.  21 if Your Honor was taking a count of additional witnesses.  22 THE COURT: Oh, I forgot that you had mentioned  23 MR. BIENENSTOCK: I just wanted to remind the Court  24 that we're still trying to resolve this with the debtor. But  25 if we don't we do have a witness that would probably take  16 Michigan's objections we agree that Michigan, we objections can be withdrawn because of three actions that had been taken in these last few weeks. Number one, Chrysler is paying its Workers' Compensation obligations in Michigan, and is committed to pay such obligations until such time that  20 Chrysler no longer operates as an employer in Michigan. New 22 Car Co. has agreed to an amendment to the master transaction agreement to reflect New Car Co.'s agreement to meet its  24 Michigan statutory obligations. And finally, New Car Co.  25 if we don't we do have a witness that would probably take  26 in these proceedings that it intends to do in Michigan, we wanted to be prepared.  26 Michigan's objections we agree that Michigan's objection	13		13	
MS. BALL: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. Thank you.  MR. LERNER: Thank you, Your Honor.  (Recess from 9:00 p.m. to 9:14 p.m.)  THE COURT: Please be seated. Mr. Bienenstock, yes?  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Your Honor, just before the recess, if Your Honor was taking a count of additional witnesses.  THE COURT: Oh, I forgot that you had mentioned  MR. BIENENSTOCK: I just wanted to remind the Court that we're still trying to resolve this with the debtor. But if we don't we do have a witness that would probably take  Michigan's objections we agree that Michigan's objections can be withdrawn because of three actions that had been taken in these last few weeks. Number one, Chrysler is paying its Workers' Compensation obligations in Michigan, and is committed to pay such obligations until such time that Chrysler no longer operates as an employer in Michigan. New 22 Car Co. has agreed to an amendment to the master transaction agreement to reflect New Car Co.'s agreement to meet its  Michigan statutory obligations. And finally, New Car Co.  Michigan statutory obligations. And finally, New Car Co. entered a binding term sheet reflecting its agreement to act as		-		·
THE COURT: All right. Thank you.  MR. LERNER: Thank you, Your Honor.  (Recess from 9:00 p.m. to 9:14 p.m.)  THE COURT: Please be seated. Mr. Bienenstock, yes?  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Your Honor, just before the recess, if Your Honor was taking a count of additional witnesses.  THE COURT: Oh, I forgot that you had mentioned			15	
MR. LERNER: Thank you, Your Honor.  (Recess from 9:00 p.m. to 9:14 p.m.)  THE COURT: Please be seated. Mr. Bienenstock, yes?  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Your Honor, just before the recess, if Your Honor was taking a count of additional witnesses.  THE COURT: Oh, I forgot that you had mentioned	16	The state of the s	16	
18 (Recess from 9:00 p.m. to 9:14 p.m.)  19 THE COURT: Please be seated. Mr. Bienenstock, yes?  20 MR. BIENENSTOCK: Your Honor, just before the recess,  21 if Your Honor was taking a count of additional witnesses.  22 THE COURT: Oh, I forgot that you had mentioned  23 MR. BIENENSTOCK: I just wanted to remind the Court  24 that we're still trying to resolve this with the debtor. But  25 if we don't we do have a witness that would probably take  18 been taken in these last few weeks. Number one, Chrysler is  29 paying its Workers' Compensation obligations until such time that  20 Chrysler no longer operates as an employer in Michigan. New  22 Car Co. has agreed to an amendment to the master transaction  23 agreement to reflect New Car Co.'s agreement to meet its  24 Michigan statutory obligations. And finally, New Car Co.  25 entered a binding term sheet reflecting its agreement to act as	17		17	
THE COURT: Please be seated. Mr. Bienenstock, yes?  MR. BIENENSTOCK: Your Honor, just before the recess if Your Honor was taking a count of additional witnesses.  THE COURT: Oh, I forgot that you had mentioned  MR. BIENENSTOCK: I just wanted to remind the Court that we're still trying to resolve this with the debtor. But if we don't we do have a witness that would probably take  THE COURT: Oh, I forgot that you had mentioned  MR. BIENENSTOCK: I just wanted to remind the Court that we're still trying to resolve this with the debtor. But that we're still trying to resolve this with the debtor. But the don't we do have a witness that would probably take the reflecting its agreement to act as	18		18	·
MR. BIENENSTOCK: Your Honor, just before the recess, is committed to pay such obligations until such time that if Your Honor was taking a count of additional witnesses.  THE COURT: Oh, I forgot that you had mentioned Car Co. has agreed to an amendment to the master transaction master transaction agreement to reflect New Car Co.'s agreement to meet its that we're still trying to resolve this with the debtor. But if we don't we do have a witness that would probably take is committed to pay such obligations until such time that Chrysler no longer operates as an employer in Michigan. New Car Co. has agreed to an amendment to the master transaction agreement to reflect New Car Co.'s agreement to meet its Michigan statutory obligations. And finally, New Car Co. entered a binding term sheet reflecting its agreement to act as				
if Your Honor was taking a count of additional witnesses.  THE COURT: Oh, I forgot that you had mentioned  MR. BIENENSTOCK: I just wanted to remind the Court  that we're still trying to resolve this with the debtor. But  if we don't we do have a witness that would probably take  Chrysler no longer operates as an employer in Michigan. New  Car Co. has agreed to an amendment to the master transaction  agreement to reflect New Car Co.'s agreement to meet its  Michigan statutory obligations. And finally, New Car Co.  entered a binding term sheet reflecting its agreement to act as		-		
THE COURT: Oh, I forgot that you had mentioned  MR. BIENENSTOCK: I just wanted to remind the Court  that we're still trying to resolve this with the debtor. But  if we don't we do have a witness that would probably take  22 Car Co. has agreed to an amendment to the master transaction  agreement to reflect New Car Co.'s agreement to meet its  Michigan statutory obligations. And finally, New Car Co.  entered a binding term sheet reflecting its agreement to act as		_	ľ	
MR. BIENENSTOCK: I just wanted to remind the Court that we're still trying to resolve this with the debtor. But if we don't we do have a witness that would probably take 23 agreement to reflect New Car Co.'s agreement to meet its Michigan statutory obligations. And finally, New Car Co. 25 entered a binding term sheet reflecting its agreement to act as		-	22	
that we're still trying to resolve this with the debtor. But if we don't we do have a witness that would probably take  24 Michigan statutory obligations. And finally, New Car Co. 25 entered a binding term sheet reflecting its agreement to act as	23		23	·
25 if we don't we do have a witness that would probably take 25 entered a binding term sheet reflecting its agreement to act as	24	-	24	
· · ·	25		25	
		40=		127

1	a self-insured employer while operating in Michigan, and comply	1	MR. LAURIA: We just said we were filing
2	with the statutory obligations associated with that status.	2	THE COURT: I understand. That's fine.
3	And with that, Your Honor, we will withdraw	3	MR. CULLEN: That's helpful, Your Honor. I
4	Michigan's objections on this matter.	4	appreciate that.
5	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.	5	THE COURT: All right. So we'll get started at 9:00
6	MS. CHECK-UPSHAW: Thank you, Your Honor.	6	tomorrow morning, deal with the stipulation, address the
7	THE COURT: Anyone else wish to be heard on this	7	witnesses and then
8	matter? All right. Mr. Lauria?	8	MR. CULLEN: We are going to move other depositions
9	MR. LAURIA: Thank you, Your Honor.	9	in as well, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: You're welcome.	10	THE COURT: All right. Well
11	MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, if I may, before we get	11	MR. CULLEN: designations.
12	started? It's our understanding that the only thing that's	12	THE COURT: hopefully, we'll get it all done by
13	going to be done this evening is the direct examination of Mr.	13	9:30 and then start with the witnesses.
14	Grady. As a consequence, Mr. Kurtz and I would like to ask	14	MR. CULLEN: All right.
15	permission to be excused.	15	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
16	MR. CULLEN: Your Honor, there's one other thing that	16	MR. CULLEN: Thank you.
17	we'd like to do. We'd like to move in the deposition	17	THE COURT: Mr. Orr?
18	designations and get some of the document work done so that	18	MR. ORR: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, I take
19	part of the case is done. We were going to	19	it Mr. Grady is still under oath?
20	MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, I thought we would be	20	THE COURT: Yes. Yes. He was sworn in one other
21	stipulating to this and we would address it not while our	21	time.
22	witness is sitting on the stand. I'm happy to sit here and	22	MR. ORR: Your Honor, there is one housekeeping
23	work through that, but I was under the impression that we might	23	matter, if you don't mind. I'd like to take care of an
24	want to move through the evidence before hearing a stip on what		additional one. We'd just like to if we can confirm that
25	will amount to the introduction of designations as exhibits.	25	the cure objections are reserved for the cure hearing, then
	438		440
1	MD CHILIDA OL THAI HALLAY III	-	tion of the state
1	MR. CULLEN: Okay. That's all right, Your Honor. I	1 2	many objecting parties regarding cure can leave as well.
2	just wanted to be sure I got it done before we rested. If we		THE COURT: All right. Any cure objections are
3	do that tomorrow	3	reserved for the cure hearing.
4	THE COURT: All right. We'll do that, I guess very	4 5	MR. ORR: Thank you, Your Honor.
5	early we're going to start at 9:00 tomorrow.	6	THE COURT: Okay.
6	MR. CULLEN: Okay.		MR. ORR: Your Honor, apparently Fiat's counsel wants
7	THE COURT: So we'll get by and what I didn't ask	7	to read something in the record about this as well tomorrow
8	you, Mr. Lauria and you are certainly more than welcome to	8	morning. They're just not here right now.
9			I add D'I I
	leave I wish I could leave. But in all seriousness, how	9	Just the messenger, Your Honor. Did you have an
10	long do you believe the cross-examination of Mr. Kolka may be	10	administrative matter, sir?
10 11	long do you believe the cross-examination of Mr. Kolka may be tomorrow?	10	administrative matter, sir?  MR. COUSINS: Yes, I do. I want to withdraw an
10 11 12	long do you believe the cross-examination of Mr. Kolka may be tomorrow?  MR. LAURIA: I'm trying to work that out	10 11 12	administrative matter, sir?  MR. COUSINS: Yes, I do. I want to withdraw an objection.
10 11 12 13	long do you believe the cross-examination of Mr. Kolka may be tomorrow?  MR. LAURIA: I'm trying to work that out THE COURT: Because there's something	10 11 12 13	administrative matter, sir?  MR. COUSINS: Yes, I do. I want to withdraw an objection.  THE COURT: Would you just go to a microphone,
10 11 12 13 14	long do you believe the cross-examination of Mr. Kolka may be tomorrow?  MR. LAURIA: I'm trying to work that out THE COURT: Because there's something MR. LAURIA: but I think it's probably between a	10 11 12 13 14	administrative matter, sir?  MR. COUSINS: Yes, I do. I want to withdraw an objection.  THE COURT: Would you just go to a microphone, please?
10 11 12 13 14 15	long do you believe the cross-examination of Mr. Kolka may be tomorrow?  MR. LAURIA: I'm trying to work that out THE COURT: Because there's something MR. LAURIA: but I think it's probably between a half hour and forty-five minutes.	10 11 12 13 14 15	administrative matter, sir?  MR. COUSINS: Yes, I do. I want to withdraw an objection.  THE COURT: Would you just go to a microphone, please?  MR. COUSINS: Thank you very much.
10 11 12 13 14 15	long do you believe the cross-examination of Mr. Kolka may be tomorrow?  MR. LAURIA: I'm trying to work that out THE COURT: Because there's something MR. LAURIA: but I think it's probably between a half hour and forty-five minutes. THE COURT: And then it's the effort by the debtor to	10 11 12 13 14 15	administrative matter, sir?  MR. COUSINS: Yes, I do. I want to withdraw an objection.  THE COURT: Would you just go to a microphone, please?  MR. COUSINS: Thank you very much.  THE COURT: And just state your name for the record?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	long do you believe the cross-examination of Mr. Kolka may be tomorrow?  MR. LAURIA: I'm trying to work that out THE COURT: Because there's something MR. LAURIA: but I think it's probably between a half hour and forty-five minutes.  THE COURT: And then it's the effort by the debtor to move in the deposition and whatever argument you make about	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	administrative matter, sir?  MR. COUSINS: Yes, I do. I want to withdraw an objection.  THE COURT: Would you just go to a microphone, please?  MR. COUSINS: Thank you very much.  THE COURT: And just state your name for the record?  MR. COUSINS: Thank you very much, Your Honor. My
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	long do you believe the cross-examination of Mr. Kolka may be tomorrow?  MR. LAURIA: I'm trying to work that out THE COURT: Because there's something MR. LAURIA: but I think it's probably between a half hour and forty-five minutes.  THE COURT: And then it's the effort by the debtor to move in the deposition and whatever argument you make about that?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	administrative matter, sir?  MR. COUSINS: Yes, I do. I want to withdraw an objection.  THE COURT: Would you just go to a microphone, please?  MR. COUSINS: Thank you very much.  THE COURT: And just state your name for the record?  MR. COUSINS: Thank you very much, Your Honor. My name is Steven Cousins with Armstrong Teasdale, counsel for the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	long do you believe the cross-examination of Mr. Kolka may be tomorrow?  MR. LAURIA: I'm trying to work that out THE COURT: Because there's something MR. LAURIA: but I think it's probably between a half hour and forty-five minutes. THE COURT: And then it's the effort by the debtor to move in the deposition and whatever argument you make about that?  MR. LAURIA: I	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	administrative matter, sir?  MR. COUSINS: Yes, I do. I want to withdraw an objection.  THE COURT: Would you just go to a microphone, please?  MR. COUSINS: Thank you very much.  THE COURT: And just state your name for the record?  MR. COUSINS: Thank you very much, Your Honor. My name is Steven Cousins with Armstrong Teasdale, counsel for the County of St. Louis, for the taxing authority. Your Honor,
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	long do you believe the cross-examination of Mr. Kolka may be tomorrow?  MR. LAURIA: I'm trying to work that out THE COURT: Because there's something MR. LAURIA: but I think it's probably between a half hour and forty-five minutes.  THE COURT: And then it's the effort by the debtor to move in the deposition and whatever argument you make about that?  MR. LAURIA: I THE COURT: Or is that part of this stipulation?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	administrative matter, sir?  MR. COUSINS: Yes, I do. I want to withdraw an objection.  THE COURT: Would you just go to a microphone, please?  MR. COUSINS: Thank you very much.  THE COURT: And just state your name for the record?  MR. COUSINS: Thank you very much, Your Honor. My name is Steven Cousins with Armstrong Teasdale, counsel for the County of St. Louis, for the taxing authority. Your Honor, we've been negotiating with counsel for the debtor and the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	long do you believe the cross-examination of Mr. Kolka may be tomorrow?  MR. LAURIA: I'm trying to work that out THE COURT: Because there's something MR. LAURIA: but I think it's probably between a half hour and forty-five minutes.  THE COURT: And then it's the effort by the debtor to move in the deposition and whatever argument you make about that?  MR. LAURIA: I THE COURT: Or is that part of this stipulation? MR. LAURIA: I think after we get him crossed,	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	administrative matter, sir?  MR. COUSINS: Yes, I do. I want to withdraw an objection.  THE COURT: Would you just go to a microphone, please?  MR. COUSINS: Thank you very much.  THE COURT: And just state your name for the record?  MR. COUSINS: Thank you very much, Your Honor. My name is Steven Cousins with Armstrong Teasdale, counsel for the County of St. Louis, for the taxing authority. Your Honor, we've been negotiating with counsel for the debtor and the language that they have agreed to include in that proposed
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	long do you believe the cross-examination of Mr. Kolka may be tomorrow?  MR. LAURIA: I'm trying to work that out THE COURT: Because there's something MR. LAURIA: but I think it's probably between a half hour and forty-five minutes.  THE COURT: And then it's the effort by the debtor to move in the deposition and whatever argument you make about that?  MR. LAURIA: I THE COURT: Or is that part of this stipulation? MR. LAURIA: I think after we get him crossed, Your Honor, I don't think we're going to have a problem with	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	administrative matter, sir?  MR. COUSINS: Yes, I do. I want to withdraw an objection.  THE COURT: Would you just go to a microphone, please?  MR. COUSINS: Thank you very much.  THE COURT: And just state your name for the record?  MR. COUSINS: Thank you very much, Your Honor. My name is Steven Cousins with Armstrong Teasdale, counsel for the County of St. Louis, for the taxing authority. Your Honor, we've been negotiating with counsel for the debtor and the language that they have agreed to include in that proposed order makes it clear that the equipment and the personalty
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	long do you believe the cross-examination of Mr. Kolka may be tomorrow?  MR. LAURIA: I'm trying to work that out THE COURT: Because there's something MR. LAURIA: but I think it's probably between a half hour and forty-five minutes.  THE COURT: And then it's the effort by the debtor to move in the deposition and whatever argument you make about that?  MR. LAURIA: I THE COURT: Or is that part of this stipulation? MR. LAURIA: I think after we get him crossed, Your Honor, I don't think we're going to have a problem with moving his affidavit.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	administrative matter, sir?  MR. COUSINS: Yes, I do. I want to withdraw an objection.  THE COURT: Would you just go to a microphone, please?  MR. COUSINS: Thank you very much.  THE COURT: And just state your name for the record?  MR. COUSINS: Thank you very much, Your Honor. My name is Steven Cousins with Armstrong Teasdale, counsel for the County of St. Louis, for the taxing authority. Your Honor, we've been negotiating with counsel for the debtor and the language that they have agreed to include in that proposed order makes it clear that the equipment and the personalty (sic) leased at the Fenton plant in Missouri, subject to
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	long do you believe the cross-examination of Mr. Kolka may be tomorrow?  MR. LAURIA: I'm trying to work that out THE COURT: Because there's something MR. LAURIA: but I think it's probably between a half hour and forty-five minutes.  THE COURT: And then it's the effort by the debtor to move in the deposition and whatever argument you make about that?  MR. LAURIA: I THE COURT: Or is that part of this stipulation? MR. LAURIA: I think after we get him crossed, Your Honor, I don't think we're going to have a problem with moving his affidavit. THE COURT: Oh, all right. I understand. When I	110 111 1213 1415 1617 1819 2021 2122 2324	administrative matter, sir?  MR. COUSINS: Yes, I do. I want to withdraw an objection.  THE COURT: Would you just go to a microphone, please?  MR. COUSINS: Thank you very much.  THE COURT: And just state your name for the record?  MR. COUSINS: Thank you very much, Your Honor. My name is Steven Cousins with Armstrong Teasdale, counsel for the County of St. Louis, for the taxing authority. Your Honor, we've been negotiating with counsel for the debtor and the language that they have agreed to include in that proposed order makes it clear that the equipment and the personalty (sic) leased at the Fenton plant in Missouri, subject to 2004/2005 bond transactions are not affected by the sale the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	long do you believe the cross-examination of Mr. Kolka may be tomorrow?  MR. LAURIA: I'm trying to work that out THE COURT: Because there's something MR. LAURIA: but I think it's probably between a half hour and forty-five minutes.  THE COURT: And then it's the effort by the debtor to move in the deposition and whatever argument you make about that?  MR. LAURIA: I THE COURT: Or is that part of this stipulation? MR. LAURIA: I think after we get him crossed, Your Honor, I don't think we're going to have a problem with moving his affidavit.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	administrative matter, sir?  MR. COUSINS: Yes, I do. I want to withdraw an objection.  THE COURT: Would you just go to a microphone, please?  MR. COUSINS: Thank you very much.  THE COURT: And just state your name for the record?  MR. COUSINS: Thank you very much, Your Honor. My name is Steven Cousins with Armstrong Teasdale, counsel for the County of St. Louis, for the taxing authority. Your Honor, we've been negotiating with counsel for the debtor and the language that they have agreed to include in that proposed order makes it clear that the equipment and the personalty (sic) leased at the Fenton plant in Missouri, subject to

clear. So we would like to withdraw our objection to the sale and thanky ou — thank the debtor very much for its cooperation that it's shown throughout this entire process.  THE COURT: All right, thank you.  BY AIR. ORR:  Q. Am. Mr. Grady, you previously gave a declaration in this case. I believe two, actually: one on April 30th and one on Am. Mr. Grady, you previously gave a declaration in this case. I believe two, actually: one on April 30th and one on MR. ORR: Your Honor, may I approach?  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, may I approach?  A. Yes, they are.  A. Well, generally, if the two declares were able to agree the encouragement, but we would ago inhove an approach of the origination of the witness?  MR. ORR: Your Honor, I would move those into evidence.  THE COURT: Thank you.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, I would move those into evidence.  THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations to admitted in overdence subject to cross-examination of the witness?  MR. SROWN: No, Your Honor, I would move those into evidence.  THE COURT: Yes, that's fine.  MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, are so admitted.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, I would move those into the witness?  MR. SROWN: No, Your Honor, when yo objection to the subject of the other winness; if that's acceptable to the Court?  THE COURT: Yes, that's fine.  MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, when yo objection to the subject of the other winness; if that's acceptable to the Court?  MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, when yo objection to the subject of the other winness; if that's acceptable to the Court?  THE COURT: Yes, that's fine.  MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, when we no objection to the subject of the other winness; if that's acceptable to the Court?  THE COURT: Yes, that's fine.  MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, when we no objection to the subject of the other winness; if that's acceptable to the Court?  THE COURT: Yes, that's fine.  MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, who previously testified that you've a director of dealer operations, are you also received into evidence subject to cross-examination of the subject of the o				1
clear. So we would like to withdraw our objection to the sale and thank you — thank the debtor very much for its cooperation that it's shown throughout this entire process.  THE COURT: All right, thank you.  Part of the mumber of dealers and consolidate all of our brands under that it's shown throughout this entire process.  Well, it's very important, because the consolidation under — of no brand — of all brands under one or of gives us the opportunity to have a more prolitable dealer network.  Proof to bankruptey, how did the company?  A. Peter Grady.  O, Dank (Grady, you previously gave a declaration in this case, I believe two, actually: one on April 30th and one on  May I Shi?  A. That's correct.  O, Do you recall those declarations?  A. Yes, 16.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, may I approach?  THE COURT: Thank you.  O, What were those costs, generally speaking?  A. Yes, they are.  A Yes	1	concern about whether or not taxes would be paid for the year	1	A. Network rationalization is the process by which we have a
and thank you – thank the debtor very much for its cooperation that it's shown throughout this entire process.  THE COURT: All right, thank you.  MR. COUSINS: Thank you.  BY MR. ORR:  O J. Sir, would you please state your name for the record?  A. Peer Cindy.  O And, Mr. Grady, you previously gave a declaration in this case, believe two, actually: one on April 30th and one on May 13th?  A. That's correct.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, may I approach?  THE COURT: Thank you.  O Mr. Grady, if you would, please, just take a moment and colored colorism that those are me and correct copies of the declarations that you've previously given in this action?  A. Yes, they are.  THE COURT: Any objections to the declaration of the witness?  MR. ORR: Your Honor, I would move those into evidence subject to cross-examination of the witness?  MR. DRR: Your Honor, I would move those into evidence wilder. A when no objection to this fast may be written or winess?  MR. DRR: Your Honor, I would move those into evidence wilder. They are so admitted.  O Chotsor's chibit, declaration of Mr. Grady, was bereby received into evidence subject to cross-examination of the witness?  MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, I would move those into evidence.  MR. ZAKIA: Thank you.  O Chays Now, Mo, you previously stiffed that you're a director of dealer operations for Chrysler?  THE COURT: All right. They are so admitted.  O Chotsor's chibit, declaration of Mr. Grady, was bereby received into evidence subject to cross-examination of the witness?  MR. ZAKIA: Thank you.  O Colorism for Mr. Grady, you previously estified that you're a director of dealer operations for Chrysler?  THE COURT: All right. They are so admitted.  O Chotsor's chibit, declaration of Mr. Grady, was bereby received into evidence subject to cross-examination of the witnesses, if that's acceptable to the Court?  THE COURT: All right. They are so admitted.  O Chay an annual basis, what did that expense amount of the witness?  A. No., we do not.  THE COURT: All right. They are so admitted.  O Chay are a	2	2009. And the language that's been included now makes that	2	plan, which we call Project Genesis, to rationalize or reduce
that it's shown throughout his entire process.  THE COURT: All right, thank you.  7 MR, COUSINS: Thank you.  8 DIRECT EXAMINATION  8 DIRECT EXAMINATION  8 DIRECT EXAMINATION  9 RY MR ORR:  10 Q. Sir, would you please state your name for the record?  11 A. Peter Grady.  12 Q. And, Mr. Grady, you previously gave a declaration in this case, I believe two, actually: one on April 30th and one on 14 May 13th?  13 case, I believe two, actually: one on April 30th and one on 14 May 13th?  14 A. Yes, I do.  15 Q. Do you recall those declarations?  16 Q. Do you recall those declarations?  17 A. Yes, I do.  18 MR, ORR: Your Honor, may I approach?  19 THE COURT: Thank you.  20 (Mr. Grady, if you would, please, just take a moment and confirment that those are true and correct copies of the declarations that you've previously given in this action?  21 Q. Okay. Now, do you swear and affirm to the matters as a stested to therein as still true and accurate?  31 A. I do.  42 Q. Okay. Now, do you swear and affirm to the matters as a statested to therein as still true and accurate?  32 A. I do.  44 MR, ORR: Your Honor, I would move those into evidence as of this date.)  33 A. I do.  44 MR, ORR: Your Honor, I would move those into evidence as of this date.)  442 Was approximately 200 million dollars that we spent since the beginning of Project Genesis.  442 Was approximately 200 million dollars that we spent since the beginning of Project Genesis.  442 Was approximately 200 million dollars from the enteror as a dimitted into evidence as of this date.)  444 Was Direct and this evidence as of this date.)  445 Was approximately 200 million dollars for the enteror calcular for the enteror calc	3	clear. So we would like to withdraw our objection to the sale	3	the number of dealers and consolidate all of our brands under
THE COURT. All right, thank you.  MR. COURSINS: Thank you.  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MR. ORR:  Q. Sir, would you please state your name for the record?  A. Peter Gridy.  A. Peter Gridy.  Q. And, Mr. Grady, you previously gave a declaration in this case, believe two, actually: one on April 30th and one on May 13th?  A. Peter Gridy.  Q. And, Mr. Grady, you previously gave a declaration in this case, believe two, actually: one on April 30th and one on May 13th?  A. Pest Gridy.  Q. Do you recall those declarations?  A. Yes, I do.  M. Post Ob bankruptcy we encouraged – dealer by dealer, we encouraged dealers to work together to – for consolidation through the control of the previously gave and a declaration in this state of the control of the previously gave and a firm to the matters as attemption of the previously given in this case of the declarations that you've previously given in this action?  A. Yes, they are.  A. Yes, they are with the control of the properties of the cell of the previously given in this action?  MR. ORR: Your Honor, I would move those into evidence.  THE COURT: All right, They are so admitted.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, I would move those into evidence and the vidence subject to cross-examination of the strings?  MR. SROWN: No, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. They are so admitted.  MR. CARIA: Your Honor, I would move those into evidence and the collection to this date.  MR. CARIA: Your Honor, whave no objection to this particular winness depth and the collection to the delivent winness of the collection of the particular winness declaration, but the failure to object to these would be without prejudice to our objections to all the other winnesses, it that's acceptable to the Court?  THE COURT: All right. They are so admitted.  MR. CARIA: Your Honor, whave no objection to this particular winness declaration, but the failure to object to these would be without prejudice to our objections to all the other	4	and thank you thank the debtor very much for its cooperation	4	one roof.
DIRECT EXAMINATION  DIRECT	5	that it's shown throughout this entire process.	5	Q. Why is that important to the company?
B DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ORR: O. S. S. Yould you please state your name for the record? A. Peter Grady. O. And, Mr. Grady, you previously gave a declaration in this case. Believe two, actually: one on April 30th and one on May 13th? A. Peter Grady. A. That's correct. O. Do you recall those declarations? A. Yes, I do. MR. ORR: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: Thank you. C. Q. Mr. Grady, if you would, please, just take a moment and confirm that those are true and correct copies of the declarations that you've previously given in this action? A. Yes, they are.  442  O. Okay. Now, do you swear and affirm to the matters as attested to therein as still true and accurate? A. Yes, they are.  442  O. Okay. Now, do you swear and affirm to the matters as attested to therein as still true and accurate?  MR. ORR: Your Honor, I would move those into evidence. THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being admitted into evidence subject to cross-examination of the winess?  MR. ORR: Your Honor, I would move those into evidence. THE COURT: All right. They are so admitted. Debtors' exhibit, declaration, but the failure to object to these would be witnout prejudice to our objections to the failure to object to these would be witnout prejudice to our objections to the failure to object to these would be witnout prejudice to our objections to the failure to object to these would be witnout prejudice to our objections to the director of dealer operations for Chrysler?  A. That's correct.  MR. ZAKIA: Thank you.  MR. LERNER: I don't see any, Your Honor, actually, they may be in the surface and the mount of correct and involved the sequestration rule. I just wanted to confirm whether the courter and the mount of correct and director of dealer operations, are you also responsible for handling the dealer network?	6	THE COURT: All right, thank you.	6	A. Well, it's very important, because the consolidation
BY MR. ORE:  O Sir, would you please state your name for the record?  A Peter Grady.  O And, Mr. Grady, you previously gave a declaration in this case, I believe two, actually: one on April 30th and one on May 13th?  A That's correct.  O Do you recall those declarations?  A That's correct.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, may I approach?  THE COURT: Thank you.  THE COURT: Thank you.  O Mr. Grady, if you would, please, just take a moment and confirmation of the declarations that you've previously given in this action?  A Yes, hey are.  A Yes, a time throw are true and accurate?  MR. ORR: Your Honor, I would move those into evidence.  THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being admitted into evidence so of this date.  THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being admitted into evidence so of this date.  THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being admitted into evidence as of this date.  THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being admitted into evidence as of this date.  THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being admitted into evidence as of this date.  THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being admitted into evidence as of this date.  THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being admitted into evidence as of this date.  THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being admitted into evidence as of this date.  THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being admitted into evidence as of this date.  THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being admitted into evidence as of this date.  THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being admitted into evidence as of this date.  THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being admitted.  THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being admitted into evidence as of this date.  THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being admitted.  THE COURT: Any objections to the declaration of the other winesses, if that's acceptable to the Court?  THE COURT: Yes, that's fine.  THE COURT: Yes, that's fine.  THE COURT:	7	MR. COUSINS: Thank you.	7	under of one brand of all brands under one roof gives us
10 Q. Sir, would you please state your name for the record? 11 A. Peter Grady. 2 Q. And, Mr. Grady, you previously gave a declaration in this 3 case, I believe two, actually: one on April 30th and one on 14 May 13th? 15 A. That's correct. 16 Q. Do you recall those declarations? 17 A. Yes, I do. 18 Mc ORR: Your Honor, may I approach? 19 THE COURT: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Thank you. 20 Q. Mr. Grady, if you would, please, just take a moment and declarations better declarations that you've previously given in this action? 21 Q. Okay. Now, do you swear and affirm to the matters as attested to therein as still true and accurate? 22 A. Yes, they are. 24 Q. Okay. Now, do you swear and affirm to the matters as attested to therein as still true and accurate? 25 A. I do. 26 THE COURT: All right. They are so admitted. 27 (Debror's exhibit, declaration of Mr. Grady, was hereby received into evidence as of this date.) 28 Mr. RAKIA: Your Honor. 29 THE COURT: All right. They are so admitted. 30 Q. Okay. Now, you previously testified that you're a director of dealer operations for Chrysler? 31 Mr. ZAKIA: Thank you. 32 Q. And as director of dealer operations, are you also responsible for handling the dealer network? 33 A. That's correct. 442 Mr. COURT: Ayo, polipections are you also 444 Mr. COURT: Yes, that's fine. 445 Mr. CAKIA: Thank you. 446 Mr. CAKIA: Thank you. 447 Mr. CAKIA: Thank you. 448 Mr. CAKIA: Thank you. 449 Mr. CAKIA: Thank you. 440 Mr. CAKIA: Thank you. 440 Mr. CAKIA: Thank you. 441 Mr. COURT: Yes, that's fine. 441 Mr. CAKIA: Thank you. 442 Mr. CAKIA: Thank you. 444 Mr. CAKIA: Thank yo	8	DIRECT EXAMINATION	8	the opportunity to have a more profitable dealer network.
11 A. Peter Grady. 2 Q. And, Mr. Grady, you previously gave a declaration in this case, I believe two, actually: one on April 30th and one on May 13th? 3 case, I believe two, actually: one on April 30th and one on May 13th? 4 May 13th? 5 A. That's correct. 6 Q. Doy or recall those declarations? 7 A. Yes, I do. 8 MR. ORR: Your Honor, may I approach? 9 THE COURT: Yes. 9 (Pause) 9 THE COURT: Thank you. 9 Q. Where there costs associated with that process? 10 A. Yes, I do. 11 MR. ORR: Your Honor, may I approach? 12 THE COURT: Thank you. 13 to declarations that you've previously given in this action? 14 Q. Okay. Now, do you swear and affirm to the matters as attested to therein as still true and accurate? 15 A. I do. 16 Q. Boy Now, Mo you swear and affirm to the matters as attested to therein as still true and accurate? 16 Q. Boy MR. ORR: Your Honor, I would move those into evidence. 17 THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being admitted into evidence subject to cross-examination of the witness? 18 MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, we have no objection to this assess of this date.) 19 Gebtors' exhibit, declaration of Mr. Grady, was hereby received into evidence as of this date.) 19 Q. Now, Mr. Grady, you previously testified that you're a director of dealer operations for Chrysler? 20 Q. And as director of dealer operations, are you also responsible for handling the dealer network? 21 A. That's correct. 22 Q. And as director of dealer operations, are you also responsible for handling the dealer network? 23 A. Yes, Iam. 24 A. Yes, Iam. 25 Q. What is dealer network rationalization? 26 Q. What is dealer network rationalization? 27 A. That's correct. 28 A. Yes, Iam. 29 Q. Now, Mr. Grady, you previously testified that you're a director of dealer operations, are you also responsible for handling the dealer network? 29 Q. And as director of dealer operations, are you also responsible for handling the dealer network? 30 Q. What is dealer network rationalization? 31 MR. LERNER: Your Honor, I just have one other housekeeping mat	9	BY MR. ORR:	9	Q. Prior to bankruptcy, how did the company conduct dealer
Q. And, Mr. Grady, you previously gave a declaration in this case, I believe two, actually: one on April 30th and one on May 13th?  A. That's correct.  D. Do you recall those declarations?  MR. ORR: Your Honor, may I approach?  THE COURT: Yes.  THE COURT: Thank you.  Q. Mr. Grady, if you would, please, just take a moment and cartacters are true and correct copies of the declarations that you've previously given in this action?  A. Yes, they are.  THE Q. Okay. Now, do you swear and affirm to the matters as attested to therein as still true and accurate?  A. That COURT: Any objections to the declarations being admitted into evidence subject to cross-examination of the winess?  MR. DRR: Your Honor, I would move those into evidence.  THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being admitted into evidence subject to cross-examination of the winess?  MR. JAKIA: Your Honor, we have no objection to this these would be without per light of the court?  THE COURT: Any objections to admitted.  Debtors' exhibit, declaration of Mr. Grady, was hereby received into evidence subject to prose captally that it for the return of dealer operations for Chrysler?  THE COURT: Any objections to all the other winesses; if that's acceptable to the Courr?  THE COURT: Any objections to all the other winesses; if that's acceptable to the Courr?  THE COURT: Any objections for Chrysler?  MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, we have no objection to this these would be without periodic to cure objections to all the other winesses; if that's acceptable to the Courr?  THE COURT: Repaired to the courry of dealer operations for Chrysler?  MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, we have no objection to this these would be without periodic to cure objections to all the other winesses; if that's acceptable to the Courr?  THE COURT: Are fine.  MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, we have no objection to this these would be without periodic to cure objections to all the other winesses; if that's acceptable to the Courr?  THE COURT: Are fine: Are fine: A would be approached to the courry objecti	10	Q. Sir, would you please state your name for the record?	10	network rationalization?
case, I believe two, actually: one on April 30th and one on May 13th?  A. Yes, 14c.  A. Yes, 14c.  B. M. ORR: Your Honor, may I approach?  THE COURT: Thank you.  C. M. Wes, they are.  A. Yes, they are.  A. Wes, they are.  A. Wes, they are.  B. M. Wo, Now, do you swear and affirm to the matters as a tested to therein as still true and accurate?  A. A. A. On an annual basis, what did that expense amount to?  A. A. On an annual basis, what did that expense amount to?  A. Yes, they are.  A. On an annual basis, what did that expense amount to?  A. On an annual basis, it varied depending on the amount of network activity. But in my declaration, I had said that it was approximately 200 million dollars that we spent since the beginning of Project Genesis.  B. Manual M. On the matters as a did that the spense of the said that t	11	A. Peter Grady.	11	A. Prior to bankruptcy we encouraged dealer by dealer, we
case, I believe two, actually: one on April 30th and one on May 13th?  Any 13th?  Any 13th?  A. Yes, 1 do.  A. Yes, 1 do.  Cause)  Q. What were those costs, generally speaking?  A. Well, generally, if the two dealers were able to agree the one dealer would have a buy-yell and purchase the assets of the other. Many times we would get involved, not just with the encouragement, but we would also have some expresse that we would aso have a weak some expresses that we have a would aso have a	12	Q. And, Mr. Grady, you previously gave a declaration in this	12	encouraged dealers to work together to for consolidation
14 May 13th? 15 A. That's correct. 16 Q. Do you recall those declarations? 17 A. Yes, I.do. 18 MR. ORR: Your Honor, may I approach? 19 THE COURT: Thank you. 20 Q. Mr. Grady, if you would, please, just take a moment and correct copies of the declarations that you've previously given in this action? 21 A. Yes, they are. 22 Q. Nath we are true and correct copies of the declarations that you've previously given in this action? 23 A. I do. 24 Q. Okay, Now, do you swear and affirm to the matters as a tatested to therein as still true and accurate? 25 A. I do. 26 MR. ORR: Your Honor, I would move those into evidence. 27 a dimitted into evidence subject to cross-examination of the witness? 28 MS. BROWN: No, Your Honor. 29 MS. BROWN: No, Your Honor. 20 MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, we have no objection to this these would be without prejudice to our objections to all the other witnesses; if that's acceptable to the Court? 29 Q. Now, Mr. Grady, you previously testified that you're a director of dealer operations, are you also responsible for handling the dealer network? 20 Q. And as director of dealer operations, are you also responsible for handling the dealer network; a functional ization? 20 Q. What is dealer metwork attionalization? 21 A. Yes, I am. 22 Q. What is dealer network readled to a gree the once dealer would have a buysell and purchase the assets of the other. Many times we would get involved, not just with the encouragement, but we would asso have some expense that we would contribute into the transaction to help definy costs of facilities or renovations and things of tha nature? 24 A. Yes, they are. 25 A. Take. 26 Q. Okay, Now, do you swear and affirm to the matters as a title to remove the assets of the other. Many times we would attend to a would contribute into the transaction to help definy costs of facilities or renovations and things of tha nature of the court. 27 A. No. and anamual basis, it varied depending on the amount of network activity. But in my declaration, has a manual basis, it varied depending o	13		13	-
15 A. That's correct. 16 Q. Do you recall those declarations? 17 A. Yes, I do. 18 MR. ORR: Your Honor, may I approach? 19 THE COURT: Yes. 20 (Pause) 21 THE COURT: Thank you. 22 Q. Mr. Grady, if you would, please, just take a moment and confirm that those are true and correct copies of the declarations that you've previously given in this action? 23 confirm that those are true and correct copies of the declarations that you've previously given in this action? 24 declarations that you've previously given in this action? 25 A. Yes, they are.  442  1 Q. Okay. Now, do you swear and affirm to the matters as attested to therein as still true and accurate? 3 A. I do. 4 MR. ORR: Your Honor, I would move those into evidence. 4 THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being admitted into evidence subject to cross-examination of the witness?  9 MS. BROWN: No, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: All right. They are so admitted. 11 (Debtors' exhibit, declaration of Mr. Grady, was hereby received into evidence as of this date.) 12 particular winess' declaration, but the failure to object to these would be without prejudice to our objections to all the other witnesses; if that's acceptable to the Court? 16 MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, we have no objection to this particular witness' declaration, but the failure to object to these would be without prejudice to our objections to all the other witnesses; if that's acceptable to the Court? 16 MR. ZAKIA: Thank you. 17 THE COURT: Yes, that's fine. 18 MR. ZAKIA: Thank you. 19 Q. Now, Mr. Grady, you previously testified that you're a director of dealer operations, are you also each of the dealer network. 20 A. That's correct. 21 A. That's correct. 22 Q. And as director of dealer operations, are you also each of the court of the	14	• •	14	
16 Q. Do you recall those declarations? 17 A. Yes, I do. 18 MR. ORR: Your Honor, may I approach? 18 the none dealer would have a buy/sell and purchase the assets 19 THE COURT: Yes. 20 Q. Mr. Grady, if you would, please, just take a moment and 21 confirm that those are true and correct copies of the 22 declarations that you've previously given in this action? 23 A. Yes, they are. 24 declarations that you've previously given in this action? 24 A. Yes, they are. 25 A. Yes, they are. 26 attested to therein as still true and accurate? 27 a A. I do. 28 attested to therein as still true and accurate? 29 definition of the witness? 20 mR. BROWN: No, Your Honor, I would move those into 20 evidence. 21 THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being 22 attested into evidence subject to cross-examination of the 23 witness? 24 attested into evidence as of this date.) 25 mR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, we have no objection to this 26 other witness' if that's acceptable to the Court? 27 mr. COURT: Yes. 28 mR. ZAKIA: Thank you. 29 Q. Now, Mr. Grady, you previously testified that you're a 20 director of dealer operations, are you also 29 q. A da sa director of dealer operations, are you also 20 q. What is dealer network rationalization? 20 q. What is dealer network rationalization? 21 mR. LERNER: Your Honor, I just have one other 22 hand a director of dealer operations, are you also 23 responsible for handling the dealer network; 24 many timesses were still in the room? 25 metwork activity. But in my declaration, but the failure of object to 26 beginning of Project Genesis. 30 q. Does the debtor have that kind of money now to do dealer 31 network rationalization? 32 p. A. Absolutely. 33 p. A. Absolutely. 34 p. Yes, I am. 44 p. A. Tes, I a	15	•	15	_
A. Yes, I do.  MR, ORR: Your Honor, may I approach?  THE COURT: Thank you.  Okary. Now, do you swear and affirm to the matters as attested to therein as still true and accurate?  A. Yes, they are.  A. Yes, they are would also have some expense that we would contribute into the transaction to help definy costs of network activity. But in my declaration, I had said that it are approximately 200 million dollars that we spent since the beginning of Project Genesis.  A. Ido.  A. On an annual basis, it varied depending on the amount of network activity. But in my declaration, I had said that it a tested to therein as still true and accurate?  A. Yes, they are would also have some expense that we would also have some expense that we would also have some expense that we would also have some of the would be would also have some expense that we would entransaction to help definy costs of facilities or renovations and things of that nature.  A. On an annual basis, it varied depending on the amount of network activity. But in my declaration, I had said that it a tested to therein as still true and accurate?  A. Yes, they are.  A. Yes, they are.  A. Yes, they are would so would also have a buyled land that expense amount to?  A. No, an annual basis, it varied depending on the amount of network activity. But in my declaration, but the activity and advise	16		16	Q. What were those costs, generally speaking?
then one dealer would have a buy/sell and purchase the assets of the other. Many times we would get involved, not just with the encouragement, but we would also have some expense that we would confirm that those are true and correct copies of the declarations that you've previously given in this action?  THE COURT: Thank you.  Q. Mr. Grady, if you would, please, just take a moment and confirm that those are true and correct copies of the declarations that you've previously given in this action?  A. Yes, they are.  Q. Okay. Now, do you swear and affirm to the matters as attested to therein as still true and accurate?  A. I do.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, I would move those into evidence.  THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being admitted into evidence subject to cross-examination of the witness?  MS. BROWN: No, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. They are so admitted.  (Debtors' exhibit, declaration of Mr. Grady, was hereby received into evidence as of this date.)  MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, we have no objection to this other witnesses if that's acceptable to the Court?  MR. ZAKIA: Thank you.  Q. Now, Mr. Grady, you previously testified that you're a director of dealer operations for Chrysler?  A. That's correct.  Q. Now, Mr. Grady, you previously testified that you're a director of dealer operations, are you also  Q. Now, Mr. LERNER: I don't see any. Your Honor, what had invoked the sequestration rule. I just wanted to confirm whether any dealer network rationalization?  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, actually, they may be in the standard process.  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, actually, they may be in the supplementation of the part of the and invoked the sequestration rule. I just wanted to confirm whether any dealer metwork are any winesses?  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, actually, they may be in the supplementation of the part of the supplementation of the confirm whether any dealer network and things of that nature.  22 do had a director of dealer operations for Chrysler?  23 do had a director of dealer operations, are you a		-		
THE COURT: Yes.  (Pause)  THE COURT: Thank you.  Q. Mr. Grady, if you would, please, just take a moment and confirm that those are true and correct copies of the declarations that you've previously given in this action?  A. Yes, they are.  Q. Okay. Now, do you swear and affirm to the matters as attested to therein as still true and accurate?  A. I do.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, I would move those into evidence.  THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being admitted into evidence subject to cross-examination of the witness?  M. BROWN: No, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. They are so admitted.  (Debtors' exhibit, declaration of Mr. Grady, was hereby received into evidence as of this date.)  MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, we have no objection to this other witnesses; if that's acceptable to the Court?  THE COURT: Yes, that's fine.  MR. ZAKIA: Thank you.  Q. Now, Mr. Grady, you previously testified that you're a director of dealer operations, are you also Q. What is dealer network rationalization?  THE COURT: Any objections are you also Q. What is dealer network rationalization?  MR. ZAKIA: Thene currently, the network is under some pretty significant distress. And in 2008 the network activity. But in my declaration, that as ad that it we spent since the beginning of Project Genesis.  Q. Does the debtor have that kind of money now to do dealer network rationalization?  A. No, we do not.  Q. Osi, is it your business judgment that going forward with this 363 transaction, it is necessary to pass on a rationalized dealer network?  A. Absolutely.  Q. Why is that?  A. The – currently, the network is under some pretty significant distress. And in 2008 the network average dealer actually lost of the entire calendary ear.  That's something that is unacceptable for our dealer network, in the market, invest in his facilities, in order to energetically promote our products.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, Just have one other housekeeping matter. I forgot before I started to ask that we had invoked the sequestration rule. I just wanted to co		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
20 (Pause) 21 THE COURT: Thank you. 22 Q. Mr. Grady, if you would, please, just take a moment and 22 confirm that those are true and correct copies of the 23 confirm that those are true and correct copies of the 24 declarations that you've previously given in this action? 23 A. Yes, they are.  442  1 Q. Okay. Now, do you swear and affirm to the matters as 2 attested to therein as still true and accurate? 3 A. I do. 4 MR. ORR: Your Honor, I would move those into 2 evidence. 4 THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being 3 dealer network. 4 witness? 9 MS. BROWN: No, Your Honor. 10 (Debtors' exhibit, declaration of Mr. Grady, was hereby 2 received into evidence as of this date.) 11 (Debtors' exhibit, declaration, but the failure to object to 4 these would be without prejudice to our objections to all the 6 other witnesses, if that's acceptable to the Court? 15 these would be without prejudice to our objections to all the 6 other witnesses, if that's acceptable to the Court? 16 Q. Now, Mr. Grady, you previously testified that you're a director of dealer operations for Chrysler? 21 A. That's correct. 22 Q. And as director of dealer operations, are you also 2 responsible for handling the dealer network? activity. But in my declaration, I had said that it 4. 24 A. On an annual basis, it varied depending on the amount of network activity. But in my declaration, I had said that it 4. 24 A. On an annual basis, it varied depending on the amount of network activity. But in my declaration, I had said that it 4. 25 A. No an annual basis, it varied depending on the amount of network activity. But in my declaration, I had said that it 4. 26 A. No an annual basis, it varied depending on the amount of network activity. But in my declaration, I had said that it 4. 27 A. No an annual basis, tvaried depending on the amount of network activity. But in my declaration, I had said that it 4. 28 A. No an annual basis, tvaried depending on the amount of network activity. But in my declaration, I had said that it 4. 29 C. Does the				
THE COURT: Thank you.  Q. Mr. Grady, if you would, please, just take a moment and confirmed those are true and correct copies of the declarations that you've previously given in this action?  A. Yes, they are.  442  Q. Okay. Now, do you swear and affirm to the matters as attested to therein as still true and accurate?  A. I do.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, I would move those into evidence.  THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being admitted into evidence subject to cross-examination of the witness?  MS. BROWN: No, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. They are so admitted.  (Debtors' exhibit, declaration, but the failure to object to the witnesses, if that's acceptable to the Court?  MR. ZAKIA: Thank you.  MR. ZAKIA: Thank you.  Q. Mas a director of dealer operations, are you also quantity.  THE COURT: All right the dealer network?  A. As a das director of dealer operations, are you also quantity.  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, actually, they may be in the wither any witnesses?  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, actually, they may be in the search of the dealer network rationalization?  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, actually, they may be in the search of the dealer network rationalization and things of that nature.  Q. On an annual basis, what did that expense amount to?  A. On an annual basis, what did that expense amount to?  A. On an annual basis, what did that expense amount to?  A. On an annual basis, what did that expense amount to?  A. On an annual basis, what did that expense amount to?  A. On an annual basis, what did that expense amount to?  A. On an annual basis, what did that expense amount to?  A. On an annual basis, what did that expense amount to?  A. On an annual basis, what did that expense amount to?  A. On an annual basis, what did that expense amount to?  A. On an annual basis, wat did that expense amount to?  A. On an annual basis, wat did that expense amount to?  A. On an annual basis, varied depending on the amount of network activity. But in the declaring on the amount of network activity. But in the expense				
22 Q. Mr. Grady, if you would, please, just take a moment and confirm that those are true and correct copies of the declarations that you've previously given in this action?  24 A. Yes, they are.  442  1 Q. Okay. Now, do you swear and affirm to the matters as attested to therein as still true and accurate?  3 A. I do.  4 MR. ORR: Your Honor, I would move those into evidence.  5 THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being admitted into evidence subject to cross-examination of the witness?  9 MS. BROWN: No, Your Honor.  10 (Debtors' exhibit, declaration of Mr. Grady, was hereby received into evidence as of this date.)  11 (Debtors' exhibit, declaration, but the failure to object to these would be without prejudice to our objections to all the other witnesses; if that's acceptable to the Court?  10 Q. Now, Mr. Grady, you previously testified that you're a director of dealer operations, are you also responsible for handling the dealer network?  21 A. Yes, I am.  22 facilities or renovations and things of tha nature.  Q. On an annual basis, it varied depending on the amount of network activity. But in my declaration, the anetwork at all that it it 444  A. On an annual basis, it varied depending on the amount of network activity. But in my declaration, It has add that it it 444  1 was approximately 200 million dollars that we spent since the beginning of Project Genesis.  3 Q. Does the debtor have that kind of money now to do dealer network rationalization?  4 A. No, we do not.  Q. Sir, is it your business judgment that going forward with this 363 transaction, it is necessary to pass on a rationalized dealer network?  A. Absolutely.  Q. Why is that?  10 Q. Why is that?  11 A. The currently, the network is under some pretty significant distress. And in 2008 the network average dealer actually lost 3,000 dollars for the entire calendar year.  11 That's something that is unacceptable for our dealer network, in that the investment required by the dealer requires a return. Also, a profitable dealer is able to i		` '	21	-
23  Confirm that those are true and correct copies of the declarations that you've previously given in this action? 24  declarations that you've previously given in this action? 25  A. Yes, they are.  26  A. Yes, they are.  27  A. On an annual basis, what did that expense amount to? 28  A. On an annual basis, it varied depending on the amount of network activity. But in my declaration, I had said that it  28  44  44  44  44  44  44  44  44  44		·		* *
24 declarations that you've previously given in this action? A. Yes, they are.  442  25 network activity. But in my declaration, I had said that it  444  1 Q. Okay. Now, do you swear and affirm to the matters as attested to therein as still true and accurate? A. I do.  4 MR. ORR: Your Honor, I would move those into evidence. 6 THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being admitted into evidence subject to cross-examination of the witness? 9 MS. BROWN: No, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: All right. They are so admitted. 11 (Debtors' exhibit, declaration of Mr. Grady, was hereby received into evidence as of this date.) 12 MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, we have no objection to this these would be without prejudice to our objections to all the other witnesses' declaration, but the failure to object to these would be without prejudice to our objections to all the other witnesses, if that's acceptable to the Court? 17 THE COURT: Yes, that's fine. 18 MR. ZAKIA: Thank you. 19 Q. Now, Mr. Grady, you previously testified that you're a director of dealer operations for Chrysler? 20 A. That's correct. 21 A. That's correct. 22 Q. And as director of dealer operations, are you also responsible for handling the dealer network? 23 MR. LERNER: Your Honor, actually, they may be in the search of the manual basis, it varied depending on the amount of network activity. But in my declaration, I had said that it 4 44  4 44  4 A. On. an annual basis, it varied depending on the amount of network activity. But in my declaration, I had said that it  4 44  4 A. On. an annual basis, it varied depending on the amount of network activity. But in my declaration, I had said that it  4 44  4 A. 4. On an annual basis, it varied depending on the activity. But in my declaration, I had the investment sequived by method of manual particular was approximately 200 million dollars that we spent since the beginning of Project Genesis.  2 D. Does the debtor have that kind of money now to do dealer network? in this 363 transaction, it is necessary to pass on a rat				-
25 A. Yes, they are.  442  1 Q. Okay. Now, do you swear and affirm to the matters as attested to therein as still true and accurate?  3 A. I do.  4 MR. ORR: Your Honor, I would move those into evidence.  5 THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being witness?  9 MS. BROWN: No, Your Honor.  10 THE COURT: All right. They are so admitted.  11 (Debtors' exhibit, declaration of Mr. Grady, was hereby received into evidence as of this date.)  12 MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, we have no objection to this particular witness' declaration, but the failure to object to these would be without prejudice to our objections to all the other witnesses, if that's acceptable to the Court?  15 THE COURT: Yes, that's fine.  16 O. Now, Mr. Grady, you previously testified that you're a director of dealer operations, are you also responsible for handling the dealer network?  20 What is dealer network activity. But in my declaration, I had said that it 444  444  444   was approximately 200 million dollars that we spent since the beginning of Project Genesis.  2 beginning of Project Genesis.  3 Q. Does the debtor have that kind of money now to do dealer network rationalization?  4 network rationalization?  4 network rationalization?  5 A. No, we do not.  6 Q. Sir, is it your business judgment that going forward with this 363 transaction, it is necessary to pass on a rationalized dealer network?  9 A. Absolutely.  10 Q. Why is that?  11 A. The currently, the network is under some pretty significant distress. And in 2008 the network average dealer actually lost 3,000 dollars for the entire calendar year.  11 That's something that is unacceptable for our dealer network, in that the investment required by the dealer requires a return. Also, a profitable dealer is able to invest in his facilities, in order to energetically promote our products.  18 MR. ZAKIA: Thank you.  19 Q. Now, Mr. Grady, you previously testified that you're a director of dealer operations, are you also  20 And as director of dealer operations, are you also  31 THE COU				*
442  Q. Okay. Now, do you swear and affirm to the matters as attested to therein as still true and accurate?  A. I do.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, I would move those into evidence.  THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being admitted into evidence subject to cross-examination of the witness?  MS. BROWN: No, Your Honor.  MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, Grady, was hereby received into evidence as of this date.)  MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, we have no objection to this these would be without prejudice to our objections to all the other witnesses, if that's acceptable to the Court?  THE COURT: Yes, that's fine.  MR. ZAKIA: Thank you.  Q. Now, Mr. Grady, you previously testified that you're a director of dealer operations for Chrysler?  A. That's correct.  Q. Now, Mr. Grady, you previously testified that you're a director of dealer operations for Chrysler?  A. That's correct.  Q. What is dealer network rationalization?  HE COURT: Yes, tam.  A. That's correct.  MR. CAKIA: Thank you.  A. That's correct.  A. T				• -
2 attested to therein as still true and accurate? 3 A. I do. 4 MR. ORR: Your Honor, I would move those into 5 evidence. 6 THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being 7 admitted into evidence subject to cross-examination of the 8 witness? 9 MS. BROWN: No, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: All right. They are so admitted. 11 (Debtors' exhibit, declaration of Mr. Grady, was hereby 12 received into evidence as of this date.) 13 MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, we have no objection to this 14 particular witness' declaration, but the failure to object to 15 these would be without prejudice to our objections to all the 16 other witnesses, if that's acceptable to the Court? 17 THE COURT: Yes, that's fine. 18 MR. ZAKIA: Thank you. 19 Q. Now, Mr. Grady, you previously testified that you're a 20 director of dealer operations for Chrysler? 21 A. That's correct. 22 Q. And as director of dealer operations, are you also 23 responsible for handling the dealer network? 24 A. Yes, I am. 25 Q. What is dealer network rationalization? 2 beginning of Project Genesis. 3 Q. Does the debtor have that kind of money now to do dealer network rationalization? 4 network rationalization? 5 A. No, we do not. 6 Q. Sir, is it your business judgment that going forward with this 363 transaction, it is necessary to pass on a rationalized dealer network? 9 A. Absolutely. 10 Q. Why is that? 11 A. The currently, the network is under some pretty significant distress. And in 2008 the network average dealer actually lost 3,000 dollars for the entire calendar year. 14 That's something that is unacceptable for our dealer network, in that the investment required by the dealer requires a return. Also, a profitable dealer is able to invest in his people, invest in the market, invest in his facilities, in order to energetically promote our products. 16 mthat the investment required by the dealer requires a return. Also, a profitable dealer is able to invest in his arciterural required by the dealer requires a return. Also, a profitable dealer is able to invest in hi		•		
2 attested to therein as still true and accurate? 3 A. I do. 4 MR. ORR: Your Honor, I would move those into 5 evidence. 6 THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being 7 admitted into evidence subject to cross-examination of the 8 witness? 9 MS. BROWN: No, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: All right. They are so admitted. 11 (Debtors' exhibit, declaration of Mr. Grady, was hereby 12 received into evidence as of this date.) 13 MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, we have no objection to this 14 particular witness' declaration, but the failure to object to 15 these would be without prejudice to our objections to all the 16 other witnesses, if that's acceptable to the Court? 17 THE COURT: Yes, that's fine. 18 MR. ZAKIA: Thank you. 19 Q. Now, Mr. Grady, you previously testified that you're a 20 director of dealer operations for Chrysler? 21 A. That's correct. 22 Q. And as director of dealer operations, are you also 23 responsible for handling the dealer network? 24 A. Yes, I am. 25 Q. What is dealer network rationalization? 2 beginning of Project Genesis. 3 Q. Does the debtor have that kind of money now to do dealer network rationalization? 4 network rationalization? 5 A. No, we do not. 6 Q. Sir, is it your business judgment that going forward with this 363 transaction, it is necessary to pass on a rationalized dealer network? 9 A. Absolutely. 10 Q. Why is that? 11 A. The currently, the network is under some pretty significant distress. And in 2008 the network average dealer actually lost 3,000 dollars for the entire calendar year. 14 That's something that is unacceptable for our dealer network, in that the investment required by the dealer requires a return. Also, a profitable dealer is able to invest in his people, invest in the market, invest in his facilities, in order to energetically promote our products. 16 mthat the investment required by the dealer requires a return. Also, a profitable dealer is able to invest in his arciterural required by the dealer requires a return. Also, a profitable dealer is able to invest in hi				
A. I do.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, I would move those into evidence.  THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being admitted into evidence subject to cross-examination of the witness?  MS. BROWN: No, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. They are so admitted.  Chebtors' exhibit, declaration of Mr. Grady, was hereby received into evidence as of this date.)  MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, we have no objection to this particular witness' declaration, but the failure to object to these would be without prejudice to our objections to all the other witnesses, if that's acceptable to the Court?  MR. ZAKIA: Thank you.  Now, Mr. Grady, you previously testified that you're a director of dealer operations for Chrysler?  Now, Mr. Grady, you previously testified that you're a director of dealer operations, are you also responsible for handling the dealer network?  NR. Jam.  A. The COURT: Are there any witnesses?  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, actually, they may be in the	1	Q. Okay. Now, do you swear and affirm to the matters as	1	was approximately 200 million dollars that we spent since the
4 MR. ORR: Your Honor, I would move those into 5 evidence. 6 THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being admitted into evidence subject to cross-examination of the witness? 9 MS. BROWN: No, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: All right. They are so admitted. 11 (Debtors' exhibit, declaration of Mr. Grady, was hereby received into evidence as of this date.) 12 Particular witness' declaration, but the failure to object to these would be without prejudice to our objections to all the other witnesses, if that's acceptable to the Court? 15 THE COURT: Yes, that's fine. 16 OND, Wo, Mr. Grady, you previously testified that you're a director of dealer operations for Chrysler? 17 Q. And as director of dealer operations, are you also responsible for handling the dealer network? 18 Q. What is dealer network rationalization? 19 Q. What is dealer network rationalization? once the declarations being admitted into evidence as of this date.) 10 Q. Sir, is it your business judgment that going forward with this 363 transaction, it is necessary to pass on a rationalized dealer network? 10 Q. Why is that? 11 Q. Why is that? 12 Q. Why is that? 13 A. The currently, the network is under some pretty significant distress. And in 2008 the network average dealer actually lost 3,000 dollars for the entire calendar year. 14 That's something that is unacceptable for our dealer network, in that the investment required by the dealer network, in that the investment required by the dealer network in the market, invest in his facilities, in order to energetically promote our products. 15 MR. ORR: Your Honor, I just have one other housekeeping matter. I forgot before I started to ask that we had invoked the sequestration rule. I just wanted to confirm whether any dealer witnesses were still in the room? 18 MR. LERNER: I don't see any, Your Honor. 29 MR. LERNER: I don't see any, Your Honor. 20 MR. LERNER: Your Honor, actually, they may be in the market in the point in th	2	attested to therein as still true and accurate?	2	beginning of Project Genesis.
evidence.  THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being admitted into evidence subject to cross-examination of the witness?  MS. BROWN: No, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. They are so admitted.  (Debtors' exhibit, declaration of Mr. Grady, was hereby received into evidence as of this date.)  MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, we have no objection to this particular witness' declaration, but the failure to object to these would be without prejudice to our objections to all the other witnesses, if that's acceptable to the Court?  MR. ZAKIA: Thank you.  MR. ZAKIA: Thom, Grady, wo previously testified that you're a director of dealer operations for Chrysler?  Q. And as director of dealer operations, are you also responsible for handling the dealer network?  A. Yes, I am.  A. No, we do not.  Q. Sir, is it your business judgment that going forward with this 363 transaction, it is necessary to pass on a rationalized dealer network?  A. Absolutely.  A. Absolutely.  A. The—currently, the network is under some pretty significant distress. And in 2008 the network average dealer actually lost 3,000 dollars for the entire calendar year.  That's something that is unacceptable for our dealer network, in that the investment required by the dealer retwork, in that the investment required by the dealer retwork in his return. Also, a profitable dealer is able to invest in his order to energetically promote our products.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, I just have one other housekeeping matter. I forgot before I started to ask that we had invoked the sequestration rule. I just wanted to confirm whether any dealer witnesses were still in the room?  MR. LERNER: I don't see any, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Are there any witnesses?  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, actually, they may be in the this 363 transaction, it is necessary to pass on a rationalized dealer network?  A. No, we do not.  Call That's 363 transaction, it is necessary to pass on a rationalized dealer	3	A. I do.	3	Q. Does the debtor have that kind of money now to do dealer
THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being admitted into evidence subject to cross-examination of the witness?  MS. BROWN: No, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. They are so admitted.  (Debtors' exhibit, declaration of Mr. Grady, was hereby received into evidence as of this date.)  MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, we have no objection to this particular witness' declaration, but the failure to object to these would be without prejudice to our objections to all the other witnesses, if that's acceptable to the Court?  MR. ZAKIA: Thank you.  MR. Correct.  A. That's correct.  Q. And as director of dealer operations, are you also responsible for handling the dealer network?  A. Yes, I am.  Q. What is dealer network rationalization?  MR. LERNER: I don't see any, Your Honor, actually, they may be in the market into a particular, it is necessary to pass on a rationalized dealer network?  A. Absolutely.  A. Absolutely.  A. The — currently, the network is under some pretty significant distress. And in 2008 the network average dealer actually lost 3,000 dollars for the entire calendar year.  That's something that is unacceptable for our dealer network, in that the investment required by the dealer requires a return. Also, a profitable dealer is able to invest in his people, invest in the market, invest in his facilities, in order to energetically promote our products.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, I just have one other housekeeping matter. I forgot before I started to ask that we had invoked the sequestration rule. I just wanted to confirm whether any dealer witnesses were still in the room?  MR. LERNER: I don't see any, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Are there any witnesses?  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, actually, they may be in the dealer network.	4	MR. ORR: Your Honor, I would move those into	4	network rationalization?
admitted into evidence subject to cross-examination of the witness?  MS. BROWN: No, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. They are so admitted.  MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, we have no objection to this mathematical other witnesses, if that's acceptable to the Court?  MR. ZAKIA: Thank you.  MR. ZAKIA: Thank you.  MR. ZAKIA: Thank you.  Q. Now, Mr. Grady, you previously testified that you're a director of dealer operations for Chrysler?  Q. And as director of dealer operations, are you also responsible for handling the dealer network?  MS. BROWN: No, Your Honor.  MS. BROWN: No, Your Honor.  MR. CAKIA: Thank you.  MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, we have no objection to this actually lost 3,000 dollars for the entire calendar year.  That's something that is unacceptable for our dealer network, in that the investment required by the dealer requires a return. Also, a profitable dealer is able to invest in his facilities, in order to energetically promote our products.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, I just have one other housekeeping matter. I forgot before I started to ask that we had invoked the sequestration rule. I just wanted to confirm whether any dealer witnesses were still in the room?  MR. LERNER: I don't see any, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. They are so admitted.  D. What is dealer network?  A. Absolutely.  A. Absolutely.  A. The currently, the network is under some pretty significant distress. And in 2008 the network average dealer actually lost 3,000 dollars for the entire calendar year.  That's something that is unacceptable for our dealer network, in that the investment required by the dealer network, in that the investment required by the dealer network, in that the investment required by the dealer network in his order to energetically promote our products.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, I just have one other housekeeping matter. I forgot before I started to ask that we had invoked the sequestration rule. I just wanted to confirm whether any dealer witnesses were still in the room?  MR. LERNER: I don't see any, Your Honor.  THE	5	evidence.	5	A. No, we do not.
witness?  MS. BROWN: No, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. They are so admitted.  MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, we have no object to these would be without prejudice to our objections to all the other witnesses, if that's acceptable to the Court?  THE COURT: Yes, that's fine.  MR. ZAKIA: Thank you.  Q. Now, Mr. Grady, you previously testified that you're a director of dealer operations for Chrysler?  A. That's correct.  Q. And as director of dealer operations, are you also responsible for handling the dealer network?  MR. Dark: Your Honor, actually, the network is under some pretty significant distress. And in 2008 the network average dealer actually lost 3,000 dollars for the entire calendar year.  That's something that is unacceptable for our dealer network, in that the investment required by the dealer requires a return. Also, a profitable dealer is able to invest in his people, invest in the market, invest in his facilities, in order to energetically promote our products.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, I just have one other housekeeping matter. I forgot before I started to ask that we had invoked the sequestration rule. I just wanted to confirm whether any dealer witnesses were still in the room?  MR. LERNER: I don't see any, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Are there any witnesses?  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, actually, they may be in the start of the court.	6	THE COURT: Any objections to the declarations being	6	Q. Sir, is it your business judgment that going forward with
MS. BROWN: No, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. They are so admitted.  MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, we have no objection to this these would be without prejudice to our objections to all the other witnesses, if that's acceptable to the Court?  THE COURT: Yes, that's fine.  MR. ZAKIA: Thank you.  Now, Mr. Grady, you previously testified that you're a director of dealer operations for Chrysler?  A. That's correct.  A. Absolutely.  Q. Why is that?  A. The currently, the network is under some pretty significant distress. And in 2008 the network average dealer actually lost 3,000 dollars for the entire calendar year.  That's something that is unacceptable for our dealer network, in that the investment required by the dealer requires a return. Also, a profitable dealer is able to invest in his people, invest in the market, invest in his facilities, in order to energetically promote our products.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, I just have one other housekeeping matter. I forgot before I started to ask that we had invoked the sequestration rule. I just wanted to confirm whether any dealer witnesses were still in the room?  A. Yes, I am.  Q. What is dealer network rationalization?  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, actually, they may be in the	7	admitted into evidence subject to cross-examination of the	7	this 363 transaction, it is necessary to pass on a rationalized
THE COURT: All right. They are so admitted.  11 (Debtors' exhibit, declaration of Mr. Grady, was hereby 12 received into evidence as of this date.) 13 MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, we have no objection to this 14 particular witness' declaration, but the failure to object to 15 these would be without prejudice to our objections to all the 16 other witnesses, if that's acceptable to the Court? 17 THE COURT: Yes, that's fine. 18 MR. ZAKIA: Thank you. 19 Q. Why is that? 11 A. The currently, the network is under some pretty 12 significant distress. And in 2008 the network average dealer 13 actually lost 3,000 dollars for the entire calendar year. 14 That's something that is unacceptable for our dealer network, 15 in that the investment required by the dealer requires a 16 return. Also, a profitable dealer is able to invest in his 17 people, invest in the market, invest in his facilities, in 18 order to energetically promote our products. 19 Q. Now, Mr. Grady, you previously testified that you're a 20 director of dealer operations for Chrysler? 21 A. That's correct. 22 Q. And as director of dealer operations, are you also 23 responsible for handling the dealer network? 24 A. Yes, I am. 25 Q. What is dealer network rationalization? 26 What is dealer network rationalization? 27 MR. LERNER: I don't see any, Your Honor. 28 MR. LERNER: Your Honor, actually, they may be in the	8	witness?	8	dealer network?
11 (Debtors' exhibit, declaration of Mr. Grady, was hereby 12 received into evidence as of this date.) 13 MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, we have no objection to this 14 particular witness' declaration, but the failure to object to 15 these would be without prejudice to our objections to all the 16 other witnesses, if that's acceptable to the Court? 17 THE COURT: Yes, that's fine. 18 MR. ZAKIA: Thank you. 19 Q. Now, Mr. Grady, you previously testified that you're a 20 director of dealer operations for Chrysler? 21 A. That's correct. 22 Q. And as director of dealer operations, are you also 23 responsible for handling the dealer network? 24 A. Yes, I am. 25 Q. What is dealer network rationalization? 26 A. Cakina into 2008 the network is under some pretty 27 significant distress. And in 2008 the network average dealer actually lost 3,000 dollars for the entire calendar year. 26 That's something that is unacceptable for our dealer network, in that the investment required by the dealer network, in that the investment required by the dealer requires a return. Also, a profitable dealer is able to invest in his people, invest in the market, invest in his facilities, in order to energetically promote our products. 19 MR. ORR: Your Honor, I just have one other housekeeping matter. I forgot before I started to ask that we had invoked the sequestration rule. I just wanted to confirm whether any dealer witnesses were still in the room? 27 MR. LERNER: I don't see any, Your Honor. 28 MR. LERNER: I don't see any, Your Honor. 29 MR. LERNER: Your Honor, actually, they may be in the	9	MS. BROWN: No, Your Honor.	9	A. Absolutely.
received into evidence as of this date.)  MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, we have no objection to this particular witness' declaration, but the failure to object to these would be without prejudice to our objections to all the other witnesses, if that's acceptable to the Court?  That's something that is unacceptable for our dealer network, in that the investment required by the dealer requires a return. Also, a profitable dealer is able to invest in his people, invest in the market, invest in his facilities, in order to energetically promote our products.  NR. ZAKIA: Thank you.  Now, Mr. Grady, you previously testified that you're a director of dealer operations for Chrysler?  A. That's correct.  Q. And as director of dealer operations, are you also responsible for handling the dealer network?  A. Yes, I am.  Q. What is dealer network rationalization?  12 significant distress. And in 2008 the network average dealer actually lost 3,000 dollars for the entire calendar year.  14 That's something that is unacceptable for our dealer network, in that the investment required by the dealer network, in that the investment required by the dealer requires a return. Also, a profitable dealer is able to invest in his people, invest in the market, invest in his facilities, in order to energetically promote our products.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, I just have one other housekeeping matter. I forgot before I started to ask that we had invoked the sequestration rule. I just wanted to confirm whether any dealer witnesses were still in the room?  MR. LERNER: I don't see any, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Are there any witnesses?  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, actually, they may be in the	10	THE COURT: All right. They are so admitted.	10	Q. Why is that?
MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, we have no objection to this particular witness' declaration, but the failure to object to these would be without prejudice to our objections to all the other witnesses, if that's acceptable to the Court?  That's something that is unacceptable for our dealer network, in that the investment required by the dealer requires a return. Also, a profitable dealer is able to invest in his people, invest in the market, invest in his facilities, in order to energetically promote our products.  Now, Mr. Grady, you previously testified that you're a director of dealer operations for Chrysler?  A. That's correct.  Q. And as director of dealer operations, are you also responsible for handling the dealer network?  A. Yes, I am.  Q. What is dealer network rationalization?  MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, we have no object to the court of the entire calendar year.  That's something that is unacceptable for our dealer network, in that the investment required by the dealer network, in that the investment required by the dealer network in his return. Also, a profitable dealer is able to invest in his people, invest in the market, invest in his facilities, in order to energetically promote our products.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, I just have one other housekeeping matter. I forgot before I started to ask that we had invoked the sequestration rule. I just wanted to confirm whether any dealer witnesses were still in the room?  MR. LERNER: I don't see any, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Are there any witnesses?  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, actually, they may be in the	11	(Debtors' exhibit, declaration of Mr. Grady, was hereby	11	A. The currently, the network is under some pretty
particular witness' declaration, but the failure to object to these would be without prejudice to our objections to all the other witnesses, if that's acceptable to the Court?  THE COURT: Yes, that's fine.  MR. ZAKIA: Thank you.  One witnesses, if carries and in the director of dealer operations for Chrysler?  A. That's correct.  Q. And as director of dealer operations, are you also responsible for handling the dealer network?  A. Yes, I am.  That's something that is unacceptable for our dealer network, in that the investment required by the dealer network, in that the investment required by the dealer network, in that the investment required by the dealer network, in that the investment required by the dealer network, in that the investment required by the dealer network, in that the investment required by the dealer network, in that the investment required by the dealer network, in that the investment required by the dealer network in that the invest	12	received into evidence as of this date.)	12	significant distress. And in 2008 the network average dealer
these would be without prejudice to our objections to all the other witnesses, if that's acceptable to the Court?  THE COURT: Yes, that's fine.  MR. ZAKIA: Thank you.  One of dealer operations for Chrysler?  A. That's correct.  Q. And as director of dealer operations, are you also responsible for handling the dealer network?  A. Yes, I am.  the the investment required by the dealer requires a return. Also, a profitable dealer is able to invest in his people, invest in the market, invest in his facilities, in order to energetically promote our products.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, I just have one other housekeeping matter. I forgot before I started to ask that we had invoked the sequestration rule. I just wanted to confirm whether any dealer witnesses were still in the room?  MR. LERNER: I don't see any, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Are there any witnesses?  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, actually, they may be in the	13	MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, we have no objection to this	13	actually lost 3,000 dollars for the entire calendar year.
other witnesses, if that's acceptable to the Court?  THE COURT: Yes, that's fine.  MR. ZAKIA: Thank you.  One of dealer operations for Chrysler?  A. That's correct.  Q. And as director of dealer operations, are you also responsible for handling the dealer network?  A. Yes, I am.  Other witnesses, if that's acceptable to the Court?  16 return. Also, a profitable dealer is able to invest in his people, invest in the market, invest in his facilities, in order to energetically promote our products.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, I just have one other housekeeping matter. I forgot before I started to ask that we had invoked the sequestration rule. I just wanted to confirm whether any dealer witnesses were still in the room?  MR. LERNER: I don't see any, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Are there any witnesses?  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, actually, they may be in the other housekeeping matter.  MR. DRE: Your Honor, actually, they may be in the return. Also, a profitable dealer is able to invest in his people, invest in the market, invest in his people, invest in his people, invest in his	14	particular witness' declaration, but the failure to object to	14	That's something that is unacceptable for our dealer network,
THE COURT: Yes, that's fine.  MR. ZAKIA: Thank you.  18 order to energetically promote our products.  19 Q. Now, Mr. Grady, you previously testified that you're a director of dealer operations for Chrysler?  A. That's correct.  Q. And as director of dealer operations, are you also responsible for handling the dealer network?  A. Yes, I am.  17 people, invest in the market, invest in his facilities, in order to energetically promote our products.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, I just have one other housekeeping matter. I forgot before I started to ask that we had invoked the sequestration rule. I just wanted to confirm whether any dealer witnesses were still in the room?  MR. LERNER: I don't see any, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Are there any witnesses?  Q. What is dealer network rationalization?  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, actually, they may be in the	15	these would be without prejudice to our objections to all the	15	in that the investment required by the dealer requires a
MR. ZAKIA: Thank you.  18 order to energetically promote our products.  19 Q. Now, Mr. Grady, you previously testified that you're a 20 director of dealer operations for Chrysler?  21 A. That's correct.  22 Q. And as director of dealer operations, are you also 23 responsible for handling the dealer network?  24 A. Yes, I am.  25 Q. What is dealer network rationalization?  18 order to energetically promote our products.  MR. ORR: Your Honor, I just have one other  housekeeping matter. I forgot before I started to ask that we had invoked the sequestration rule. I just wanted to confirm whether any dealer witnesses were still in the room?  MR. LERNER: I don't see any, Your Honor.  24 THE COURT: Are there any witnesses?  MR. LERNER: Your Honor, actually, they may be in the	16	other witnesses, if that's acceptable to the Court?	16	return. Also, a profitable dealer is able to invest in his
Q. Now, Mr. Grady, you previously testified that you're a director of dealer operations for Chrysler?  A. That's correct.  Q. And as director of dealer operations, are you also responsible for handling the dealer network?  A. Yes, I am.  Q. What is dealer network rationalization?  19 MR. ORR: Your Honor, I just have one other housekeeping matter. I forgot before I started to ask that we had invoked the sequestration rule. I just wanted to confirm whether any dealer witnesses were still in the room?  22 MR. LERNER: I don't see any, Your Honor.  23 THE COURT: Are there any witnesses?  24 MR. LERNER: Your Honor, actually, they may be in the	17	THE COURT: Yes, that's fine.	17	people, invest in the market, invest in his facilities, in
director of dealer operations for Chrysler?  A. That's correct.  Q. And as director of dealer operations, are you also responsible for handling the dealer network?  A. Yes, I am.  Q. What is dealer network rationalization?  20 housekeeping matter. I forgot before I started to ask that we had invoked the sequestration rule. I just wanted to confirm whether any dealer witnesses were still in the room?  23 MR. LERNER: I don't see any, Your Honor.  24 THE COURT: Are there any witnesses?  25 MR. LERNER: Your Honor, actually, they may be in the	18	MR. ZAKIA: Thank you.	18	order to energetically promote our products.
A. That's correct.  21 had invoked the sequestration rule. I just wanted to confirm  22 Q. And as director of dealer operations, are you also  23 responsible for handling the dealer network?  24 A. Yes, I am.  25 Q. What is dealer network rationalization?  21 had invoked the sequestration rule. I just wanted to confirm  22 whether any dealer witnesses were still in the room?  23 MR. LERNER: I don't see any, Your Honor.  24 THE COURT: Are there any witnesses?  25 MR. LERNER: Your Honor, actually, they may be in the	19	Q. Now, Mr. Grady, you previously testified that you're a	19	MR. ORR: Your Honor, I just have one other
A. That's correct.  21 had invoked the sequestration rule. I just wanted to confirm  22 Q. And as director of dealer operations, are you also  23 responsible for handling the dealer network?  24 A. Yes, I am.  25 Q. What is dealer network rationalization?  21 had invoked the sequestration rule. I just wanted to confirm  22 whether any dealer witnesses were still in the room?  23 MR. LERNER: I don't see any, Your Honor.  24 THE COURT: Are there any witnesses?  25 MR. LERNER: Your Honor, actually, they may be in the	20	director of dealer operations for Chrysler?	20	housekeeping matter. I forgot before I started to ask that we
responsible for handling the dealer network?  23 MR. LERNER: I don't see any, Your Honor.  24 A. Yes, I am.  25 Q. What is dealer network rationalization?  26 MR. LERNER: Your Honor, actually, they may be in the	21	A. That's correct.	21	
A. Yes, I am. 24 THE COURT: Are there any witnesses? 25 Q. What is dealer network rationalization? 25 MR. LERNER: Your Honor, actually, they may be in the	22	Q. And as director of dealer operations, are you also	22	whether any dealer witnesses were still in the room?
25 Q. What is dealer network rationalization? 25 MR. LERNER: Your Honor, actually, they may be in the	23	responsible for handling the dealer network?	23	MR. LERNER: I don't see any, Your Honor.
	2.4	A. Yes, I am.	24	THE COURT: Are there any witnesses?
443	24			
		Q. What is dealer network rationalization?	25	MR. LERNER: Your Honor, actually, they may be in the

1	overflow room. I don't know.	1	that correct?
2	THE COURT: Well, someone needs to find out. I'm	2	A. That's correct.
3	going to announce it. If there are any witnesses in the	3	Q. As part of dealer network rationalization this is an
4	overflow rooms or either overflow room, they need to leave.	4	overall strategic plan for the benefit of the company?
5	And counsel for the affected dealers will show them where they	5	A. Yes. This has been a plan that has been ongoing for
6	can locate themselves during this testimony.	6	several years.
7	MS. BROWN: Your Honor, we were under the	7	Q. So is it correct to say that the company's not looking at
8	understanding that you had not invoked the sequestration rule,	8	this as any individual dealer, but looking at this is as
9	that White & Case did on behalf of your witnesses. But you	9	overall value both to the company and to the dealer network?
10	never approached us about invoking the sequestration rule. But	10	A. Yeah, that's correct.
11	we're happy to have the witnesses leave the overflow room if	11	MS. BROWN: Objection, leading.
12	they're in there.	12	THE COURT: It is leading.
13	MR. ORR: Your Honor, I'm sorry if I wasn't clear.	13	MR. ORR: Okay.
14	We had invoked that as a matter of course in these matters	14	THE COURT: All right?
15	in these proceedings	15	MR. ORR: That's fine, Your Honor. I'll move on.
16	THE COURT: Well	16	Q. Mr. Grady, has the company taken any steps to assist even
17	MR. ORR: and we would stand by that.	17	the rejected dealers in this process?
18	THE COURT: whatever, we'll just do it now.	18	A. Yes. What we've we've taken several steps to assist
19	MR. ORR: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, if you	19	the rejected dealers. Primary among those has been an effort
20	don't mind, maybe we could take a minute or two just to confirn		to redistribute the inventory that each one of the rejected
21	that they have in fact left the room?	21	dealers has.
22	THE COURT: I thought someone went to do that?	22	Q. Please explain to me what you mean by redistribute the
23	MR. ORR: Yes.	23	inventory?
24	THE COURT: Well, all right.	24	A. Redistribution is our we have eight business centers
25	(Pause)	25	around the country, and those eight business centers have a
	446		448
1	MR. ORR: I think that's all right.	1	field force that on behalf of each of the rejected dealers,
2	BY MR. ORR:	2	once we get their permission to do so, we have begun to solicit
3	Q. Mr. Grady we were talking about dealer network	3	the current assumed dealers, the ones we're assigning to the
4	rationalization?	4	new company, to take that inventory from the rejected dealers
5	A. Yes.	5	as soon as the transaction is complete.
6	Q. Now, is the debtor also proposing in these actions to	6	Q. Are there financial arrangements in place to assist in
7	assume a certain number of dealers?	7	that process?
8	A. Yes.	8	A. Yes. The process is set up so that the all of the
9	Q. How many dealers?	9	
	. 2.202		financial transactions will happen between the two floor plan
10	A. 2,392.	10	sources.
11	Q. Approximately what percentage of the dealer core does that	10 11	sources.  Q. Sir, in your opinion, is this in the best interest of the
11 12	Q. Approximately what percentage of the dealer core does that represent?	10 11 12	sources.  Q. Sir, in your opinion, is this in the best interest of the debtors' estates to rationalize the dealer network?
11 12 13	<ul><li>Q. Approximately what percentage of the dealer core does that represent?</li><li>A. That's approximately seventy-five percent of the existing</li></ul>	10 11 12 13	sources.  Q. Sir, in your opinion, is this in the best interest of the debtors' estates to rationalize the dealer network?  A. Yes, absolutely.
11 12 13 14	<ul><li>Q. Approximately what percentage of the dealer core does that represent?</li><li>A. That's approximately seventy-five percent of the existing dealer network.</li></ul>	10 11 12 13 14	sources. Q. Sir, in your opinion, is this in the best interest of the debtors' estates to rationalize the dealer network? A. Yes, absolutely. MR. ORR: No further questions, Your Honor. I pass
11 12 13 14 15	<ul><li>Q. Approximately what percentage of the dealer core does that represent?</li><li>A. That's approximately seventy-five percent of the existing dealer network.</li><li>Q. Why is it important for the assumed dealers to be part of</li></ul>	10 11 12 13 14 15	sources.  Q. Sir, in your opinion, is this in the best interest of the debtors' estates to rationalize the dealer network?  A. Yes, absolutely.  MR. ORR: No further questions, Your Honor. I pass the witness.
11 12 13 14 15	<ul><li>Q. Approximately what percentage of the dealer core does that represent?</li><li>A. That's approximately seventy-five percent of the existing dealer network.</li><li>Q. Why is it important for the assumed dealers to be part of a rationalized dealer network?</li></ul>	10 11 12 13 14 15	sources. Q. Sir, in your opinion, is this in the best interest of the debtors' estates to rationalize the dealer network? A. Yes, absolutely. MR. ORR: No further questions, Your Honor. I pass the witness. THE COURT: All right.
11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Approximately what percentage of the dealer core does that represent?</li> <li>A. That's approximately seventy-five percent of the existing dealer network.</li> <li>Q. Why is it important for the assumed dealers to be part of a rationalized dealer network?</li> <li>A. Well, the assumed dealers are they're, in effect, our</li> </ul>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	sources. Q. Sir, in your opinion, is this in the best interest of the debtors' estates to rationalize the dealer network? A. Yes, absolutely. MR. ORR: No further questions, Your Honor. I pass the witness. THE COURT: All right. (Pause)
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Approximately what percentage of the dealer core does that represent?</li> <li>A. That's approximately seventy-five percent of the existing dealer network.</li> <li>Q. Why is it important for the assumed dealers to be part of a rationalized dealer network?</li> <li>A. Well, the assumed dealers are they're, in effect, our strongest dealers. They provide us the a best opportunity</li> </ul>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	sources. Q. Sir, in your opinion, is this in the best interest of the debtors' estates to rationalize the dealer network? A. Yes, absolutely. MR. ORR: No further questions, Your Honor. I pass the witness. THE COURT: All right. (Pause) MS. BROWN: Your Honor, Amy Brown on behalf of the
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Approximately what percentage of the dealer core does that represent?</li> <li>A. That's approximately seventy-five percent of the existing dealer network.</li> <li>Q. Why is it important for the assumed dealers to be part of a rationalized dealer network?</li> <li>A. Well, the assumed dealers are they're, in effect, our strongest dealers. They provide us the a best opportunity to have a consolidated Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge network that</li> </ul>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	sources. Q. Sir, in your opinion, is this in the best interest of the debtors' estates to rationalize the dealer network? A. Yes, absolutely. MR. ORR: No further questions, Your Honor. I pass the witness. THE COURT: All right. (Pause) MS. BROWN: Your Honor, Amy Brown on behalf of the Committee of Chrysler Affected Dealers.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Approximately what percentage of the dealer core does that represent?</li> <li>A. That's approximately seventy-five percent of the existing dealer network.</li> <li>Q. Why is it important for the assumed dealers to be part of a rationalized dealer network?</li> <li>A. Well, the assumed dealers are they're, in effect, our strongest dealers. They provide us the a best opportunity to have a consolidated Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge network that can provide good value to the new company.</li> </ul>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	sources. Q. Sir, in your opinion, is this in the best interest of the debtors' estates to rationalize the dealer network? A. Yes, absolutely. MR. ORR: No further questions, Your Honor. I pass the witness. THE COURT: All right. (Pause) MS. BROWN: Your Honor, Amy Brown on behalf of the Committee of Chrysler Affected Dealers. CROSS-EXAMINATION
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Approximately what percentage of the dealer core does that represent?</li> <li>A. That's approximately seventy-five percent of the existing dealer network.</li> <li>Q. Why is it important for the assumed dealers to be part of a rationalized dealer network?</li> <li>A. Well, the assumed dealers are they're, in effect, our strongest dealers. They provide us the a best opportunity to have a consolidated Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge network that can provide good value to the new company.</li> <li>Q. Now much has been made about the decision of the debtor to</li> </ul>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	sources. Q. Sir, in your opinion, is this in the best interest of the debtors' estates to rationalize the dealer network? A. Yes, absolutely. MR. ORR: No further questions, Your Honor. I pass the witness. THE COURT: All right. (Pause) MS. BROWN: Your Honor, Amy Brown on behalf of the Committee of Chrysler Affected Dealers. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. BROWN:
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Approximately what percentage of the dealer core does that represent?</li> <li>A. That's approximately seventy-five percent of the existing dealer network.</li> <li>Q. Why is it important for the assumed dealers to be part of a rationalized dealer network?</li> <li>A. Well, the assumed dealers are they're, in effect, our strongest dealers. They provide us the a best opportunity to have a consolidated Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge network that can provide good value to the new company.</li> <li>Q. Now much has been made about the decision of the debtor to reject approximately twenty-five percent of the dealer network.</li> </ul>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sources. Q. Sir, in your opinion, is this in the best interest of the debtors' estates to rationalize the dealer network? A. Yes, absolutely. MR. ORR: No further questions, Your Honor. I pass the witness. THE COURT: All right. (Pause) MS. BROWN: Your Honor, Amy Brown on behalf of the Committee of Chrysler Affected Dealers. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. BROWN: Q. Good evening, Mr. Grady.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Approximately what percentage of the dealer core does that represent?</li> <li>A. That's approximately seventy-five percent of the existing dealer network.</li> <li>Q. Why is it important for the assumed dealers to be part of a rationalized dealer network?</li> <li>A. Well, the assumed dealers are they're, in effect, our strongest dealers. They provide us the a best opportunity to have a consolidated Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge network that can provide good value to the new company.</li> <li>Q. Now much has been made about the decision of the debtor to reject approximately twenty-five percent of the dealer network.</li> <li>Is that correct?</li> </ul>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	sources. Q. Sir, in your opinion, is this in the best interest of the debtors' estates to rationalize the dealer network? A. Yes, absolutely. MR. ORR: No further questions, Your Honor. I pass the witness. THE COURT: All right. (Pause) MS. BROWN: Your Honor, Amy Brown on behalf of the Committee of Chrysler Affected Dealers. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. BROWN: Q. Good evening, Mr. Grady. A. Good evening.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. Approximately what percentage of the dealer core does that represent?</li> <li>A. That's approximately seventy-five percent of the existing dealer network.</li> <li>Q. Why is it important for the assumed dealers to be part of a rationalized dealer network?</li> <li>A. Well, the assumed dealers are they're, in effect, our strongest dealers. They provide us the a best opportunity to have a consolidated Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge network that can provide good value to the new company.</li> <li>Q. Now much has been made about the decision of the debtor to reject approximately twenty-five percent of the dealer network. Is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> </ul>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	sources. Q. Sir, in your opinion, is this in the best interest of the debtors' estates to rationalize the dealer network? A. Yes, absolutely. MR. ORR: No further questions, Your Honor. I pass the witness. THE COURT: All right. (Pause) MS. BROWN: Your Honor, Amy Brown on behalf of the Committee of Chrysler Affected Dealers. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. BROWN: Q. Good evening, Mr. Grady. A. Good evening. Q. The 789 dealers whose dealership agreements Chrysler seeks
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Approximately what percentage of the dealer core does that represent?</li> <li>A. That's approximately seventy-five percent of the existing dealer network.</li> <li>Q. Why is it important for the assumed dealers to be part of a rationalized dealer network?</li> <li>A. Well, the assumed dealers are they're, in effect, our strongest dealers. They provide us the a best opportunity to have a consolidated Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge network that can provide good value to the new company.</li> <li>Q. Now much has been made about the decision of the debtor to reject approximately twenty-five percent of the dealer network.</li> <li>Is that correct?</li> </ul>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	sources. Q. Sir, in your opinion, is this in the best interest of the debtors' estates to rationalize the dealer network? A. Yes, absolutely. MR. ORR: No further questions, Your Honor. I pass the witness. THE COURT: All right. (Pause) MS. BROWN: Your Honor, Amy Brown on behalf of the Committee of Chrysler Affected Dealers. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. BROWN: Q. Good evening, Mr. Grady. A. Good evening.

1 A. Yes, they are a source of cevenue. 2 Q. And 4 3 A. They've also a source of cost. 3 A. They've also a source of cost. 4 Q. — the 789 dealers whose deulership agreements Chrysler seeks to reject represent financial presents of they sales last year, cornect? 5 seeks to reject represent financial presents of they sales last year, cornect? 6 Q. And whether it's cornect. 7 A. This's cornect. 9 Q. And whether it's called Project Genesis or its preferences, Project Alphia, the process of consolidating the Jeeps Chrysler and Dodge brands under one roof has been 11 underway since the early 2000s, cornect? 10 Jeep, Chrysler and Dodge brands under one roof has been 12 A. This to cornect. 11 underway since the early 2000s, cornect? 12 A. Yes, it has. 13 Q. And briveen 2001 and the present, the number of Chrysler dealerships has declined, right? 14 A. Yes, it has. 15 Q. And during that time period, the number of cars sold by 16 Chrysler has do declined, correct? 16 Q. Mis' a yes or no answer. 17 A. Okay. 18 A. Yes, it has. 19 Q. And Chrysler lost market share during that time, didn't it? 19 A. Yes, it evaluating the impact of the loss of revenue from 450 10 Q. And the selection of the control of the loss sales in intwelve months, correct? 11 the 789 dealers, the worst-case scenario predicted by Chrysler was that it would recover a hundred percent of the lost sales in intwelve months, correct? 19 A. That's correct, because what we have is a higher performing at page commit. 19 Q. And the return dealership agreements. Cornect? 19 A. This formet. It's a factor of the condition of whether to assume or reject the dealership agreement, cornect? 20 Q. And these lost sales, Chrysler institute, didn't it's an evolution of the lost sales in intwelve months, correct? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. And the return dealership agreement in the sales in just in twelve months, correct? 23 A. This formet. 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. And the returned dealers, Chrysler insticipates will be received by the retained dealers, they agreement in the cornect of the los				
A. They're also a source of cost.  Q. — the 789 dealers whose dealership agreements Chrysler's sales to reject represent fourness percent of Chrysler's sales to reject represent course percent of Chrysler's sales as year, correct?  Read Q. And whether it's called Project Genesis or its prodecessor, Project Alpha, the process of consolidating the Jeep, Chrysler and Dodge brands under one roof has been underway since the early 2006s, correct?  A. That is correct.  Q. And she she dealership has declined, right?  A. Yes, it has.  Q. And between 2001 and the present, the number of Chrysler's dealerships has declined, right?  A. Yes, it has.  Q. And during that time period, the number of cars sold by Chrysler has also declined, correct?  A. Okay.  Q. It's a yes or no answer.  A. Yea, thank correct, It's a factor of the economy and economic environment.  Q. It's a yes or no answer.  A. Yea, the worst-case scenario predicted by Chrysler of the worst-case scenario predicted by Chrysler what this would recover a hundred percent of the lost sales in twelve months, correct?  A. Yea, Yea,  A. That's correct, examed dealers, right?  A. Yea, Chrysler sold market share during that time, didn't was that it would recover a hundred percent of the lost sales in twelve months, correct?  A. Yea, Yea,  Q. And they correct, Peas what we have is a higher performing group of dealers.  Q. Of the retained dealers, right?  A. That's correct, exame what we have is a higher performing group of dealers.  Q. Of the retained dealers, have is a higher performing group of dealers.  Q. And these lost sales, Chrysler anticipates are going to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the retained dealers are financially distressed, correct?  A. That's correct.  A. That's correct.  A. That's correct.  A. That's correct the dealers are already performing at a pretty highler velve months, right?  A. That's forevect.  A. A. That is one of the elements.  Q. And these lost sales, Chrysler anticipates are going to go out and recover the fourteen percent o		A. Yes, they are a source of revenue.		their dealership agreements are also performing at high levels,
4 Q. — the 789 dealers whose dealership agreements Chrysler's sales best so reject represent fourteen percent of Chrysler's sales last year, cornect?  A. That's correct.  5 Q. And whother it's called Project Genesis or its producessor, Project Alpha, the process of consolidating the member of cars sold by producessor, Project Alpha, the process of consolidating the dealerships has declined, right?  5 A. That is correct.  6 Q. And during that time period, the number of Chrysler's dealerships has declined, fight?  6 Q. And during that time period, the number of cars sold by for Chrysler has also declined. Correct?  7 A. Yea, it has.  7 Chrysler has also declined, correct?  8 A. Yea, that so correct.  8 A. Yea, that so correct.  9 Q. And during that time period, the number of cars sold by for correct it's a factor of the economy and economic environment.  10 Q. It's a yes or no answer.  11 A. Okay.  12 Q. And Chrysler lost market share during that time, didn't it?  12 A. That is correct.  13 Q. Yet, in evaluating the impact of the loss of revenue from experiment properties of the first was that it would recover a hundred percent of the loss of revenue from experiment group of dealers.  14 A. Yes.  15 Q. And these lost sales, Chrysler inself has categorized over reveny percent of these dealers sa financially distressed, cover every percent of these dealers as financially distressed, cover every percent of these dealers as financially distressed, cover every percent of these dealers as a financially distressed, cover every percent of the dealers ship, are dealers, from the count of the cover the precent of the retained dealers have and opportance which was to look at each market and try to dealers have had significant losses at their dealerships, and the factor shart the dealers have and opportance which was to look at each market of the cover theory percent of the retained dealers, free which was to look at each market and try to dealers have had significant losses at their dealerships, and the factor of the cover				
seeks to reject represent fourteen percent of Chrysler's sales hat year, correct?  A. That's correct.  A. A that's correct.  C. A and whether it's called Project Genesis or its predecessor, Project Alpha, the process of consolidating the lege, Chrysler and Dodge brands under one roof has been underway since the early 2008; correct?  A. That's correct.  A. Yes, that's correct. It's a factor of the economy and corroomic environment.  A. Yes, that's correct. It's a factor of the economy and corroomic environment.  A. Yes, a yes or no answer.  A. Ves, a yet, in a sequence of the loss of revenue from the loss of revenue from the loss of revenue from the performing group of dealers.  A. Yes, a A. That's correct, because what we have is a higher performing group of dealers.  A. That's correct, because what we have is a higher performing group of dealers.  A. That's correct.  A. That's correct of these dealers as financially distressed, correct?  A. That's correct.  A. That's cor		•		
last year, correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. And whether it's called Project Genesis or its predecessor, Project Alpha, the process of consolidating the underway since the early 2000s, correct?  A. That is correct.  A. Yes, i lob.  A. A that's correct. It's a factor of the economy and economic environment.  A. Yes, i lob.  A. A that's correct.  A. Nes, i lob.  A. Yes, i lob.  A. A that's correct.  A. A Yes, i lob.  A. A that's correct.  A. A Yes, i lob.  A. Yes, i lob.  A. A that's correct.  A. A Yes, i lob.  A. Yes, i lob.  A. A that's correct.  A. A that's correct is a factor of the economy and economic environment.  A that's correct is a factor of the economy and economic environment.  A. This correct is a factor of the economy and economic environment.  A. Yes, i lob.  A. Yes, i lob.  A. Yes, i lob.  A. Yes, i lob.  A. A that's correct.  A. A Yes, i lob.  A. Yes, i lob.  A		• • •		• • • •
A. That's correct.  Q. And whether it's called Project Genesis or its prodecessor, Project Alpha, the process of consolidating the processor, Project Alpha, the process of consolidating the underway since the early 2000s, correct?  A. That is correct.  A. Yes, it has.  A. Yesh, that's correct. It's a factor of the economy and conomic environment.  A. Yesh, that's correct. It's a factor of the economy and conomic environment.  A. Yesh, that's correct. It's a factor of the economy and it's a correct?  A. Okay.  A. Yes, it has.  A. Yes, it has.  A. Yes, it has.  A. Yes, thas.  A. Yes, it has.  A. Yes, thas, also declined, correct?  A. Now, and the factors that Chrysler's dealers and the factors that Chrysler considered in its determination of whether to assume or reject the dealership agreement, correct?  A. This provides a snapshot of many of the factors that the dealers have.  A. Yes, Yes, in evaluating the impact of the loss of revenue from the properties of the properties of the factors that the dealers have.  A. Yes, Yes, in evaluating the impact of the loss of revenue from the properties of the factors that the dealers have.  A. Yes, Yes, Yes, In a factor of the loss of revenue from the properties of the factors that the dealers have.  A. Yes, Yes, Yes, In a factor of the loss of revenue from the properties of the factors that the dealers have.  A. Yes, Yes, Yes, In a factor of the loss of revenue from the properties of the factors that the dealers have.  A. Yes, Yes, Yes, In a factor of the loss of revenue from the properties of the factors that the dealers have.  A. Yes, Yes, Yes, In a factor of the loss of revenue from the properties of the factors that the dealers have.  A. Yes, Yes, Yes, Yes, Yes, Yes, Yes, Yes,		• • •		
8 Q. And whether it's called Project Genesis or its 9 predecessor, Project Alpha, the process of consolidating the 10 seep, Chrysler and Dodge brands under one for his been 11 underway since the early 2000s, correct? 12 A. That is correct. 13 Q. And between 2001 and the present, the number of Chrysler 14 dealerships has declined, right? 15 A. Yes, it has. 16 Q. And during that time period, the number of cars sold by 17 Chrysler has also declined, correct? 18 A. Yesh, that's correct, It's a factor of the economy and 19 economic environment. 10 Q. It's a yes or no answer. 21 Q. And Chrysler lost marker share during that time, didn't 22 in't. 23 in't. 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Yet, in evaluating the impact of the loss of revenue from 25 Q. Yet, in evaluating the impact of the loss of revenue from 26 Project by the retained dealers, fight? 27 A. That's correct, because what we have is a higher 28 performing group of dealers. 29 Q. Of the retained dealers, Chrysler isself has categorized 20 over twenty percent of these dealers as financially distressed, right? 21 A. That is one of the elements. 22 Q. And it's these dealers that Chrysler anticipates are going to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the retained dealers, even though they are under some financial duress. 21 Q. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject the dealership agreement, correct? 23 A. Well, what this spreadsheet dealers have. 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. And these dealers as financially distressed, right? 26 A. That's correct, because what we have is a higher 27 A. That is one of the elements. 28 Q. And the weed to dealers, Chrysler anticipates are going to your term of the elements. 29 Q. And the weed to you the province of the		•		
predecessor, Project Alpha, the process of consolidating the loop. Geryster and Dodge brands under one roof has been under one you have been 2001 and the present, the number of Chryster deductships has declined, right?  A. That is correct.  A. That is correct.  A. That is correct, and deliberations, all the discussions that we did in order to prepare the list of assumed dealers.  A. Yes, it has.  A. Yes, than so declined, correct?  A. A. Yes, than so correct. It's a factor of the economy and economic environment.  D. Q. It's a yes or no answer.  A. Okay.  A. Yes.  Q. And Chrysler lost market share during that time, didn't it'?  A. Yes.  Q. Yet, in evaluating the impact of the loss of revenue from the roof of the elements.  In twelve months, correct, and the solent sales, Chrysler anticipates will be recovered by the retained dealers, right?  A. That's correct, because what we have is a higher performing group of dealers.  Q. Of the retained dealers, Chrysler anticipates are going to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the retained deduces have had significant losses at their dealerships, correct?  A. That is one of the elements.  Q. And it's these dealers that Chrysler anticipates are going to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the sales in just twelve months, right?  A. That is one of the dements.  Q. And it's these dealers are already performing at a pretty twelve months, right?  A. Many of those dealers are already performing at a pretty twelve months, right?  A. That is no not the dements.  Q. And many of the dealers that Chrysler anticipates are going to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the sales in just twelve months, right?  A. That is no not the dements.  Q. And what is this document?  A. Well, what this spreadsheet deletifies and delibers. In the file under seal, the the dealers have had a significant losses at their dealerships, correct?  A. That is one of the elements.  Q. And what is this document?  A. T				
10   Jeep, Chrysler and Dodge brands under one roof has been underway since the early 2000s, correct?   12   A. That is correct.   13   Q. And between 2001 and the present, the number of Chrysler is A. Yes, it has.   15   Q. And during that time period, the number of cars sold by dealerships has declined, right?   16   Q. And during that time period, the number of cars sold by considered in its determination and deliberations, all the discussions that we did in order to prepare the list of assumed dealers.   16   Q. And thirs preadsheet identifies each of Chrysler's dealers and the factors that Chrysler considered in its determination of whether to assume or reject the dealership agreement, correct?   17   Q. And Chrysler lost market share during that time, didn't   18   Q. And Chrysler lost market share during that time, didn't   18   Q. Yet, in evaluating the impact of the loss of revenue from   450   Q. Yet, in evaluating the impact of the loss of revenue from   450   Q. Yet, in evaluating the impact of the loss of revenue from   450   Q. Yet, in evaluating the impact of the loss of revenue from   450   Q. Yet, in evaluating the impact of the loss alses in invelve months, correct?   4   A. Yes.   4   A. That's correct, because what we have is a higher   4   Performing group of dealers.   4   A. That's correct, because what we have is a higher   4   A. That's correct, because what we have is a higher   4   A. That's correct, because what we have is a higher   5   A. That is one of the elements.   4   A. Mish means that over twenty percent of the set dealers high in the verve willing to which the many fail and dealers have had significant losses at their dealerships, in the verve months, right?   4   A. Mish is measured. That is this document?   4   A. That is one of the e		· ·		
11 underway since the early 2000s, correct? 12 A. That is correct. 13 Q. And between 2001 and the present, the number of Chrysler of dealerships has declined, right? 14 dealerships has declined, right? 15 A. Yes, it has. 15 Q. And during that time period, the number of cars sold by 17 Chrysler has also declined, correct? 18 A. Yeah, that's correct. It's a factor of the economy and economic environment. 19 Q. It's a yes or no answer. 20 Q. It's a yes or no answer. 21 A. Okay. 22 Q. And Chrysler lost market share during that time, didn't is? 23 ir? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Yet, in evaluating the impact of the loss of revenue from 450 26 Yet, in evaluating the impact of the loss of revenue from 450 27 the 789 dealers, the worst-case scenario predicted by Chrysler was that it would recover a hundred percent of the lost sales in intelled more than the dealers have had significant losses at their dealerships, correct? 29 Q. Of the retained dealers, right? 20 A. That's correct, because what we have is a higher performing group of dealers. 21 C. A. That's correct because what we have is a higher performing group of dealers. 22 Q. Of the retained dealers, chrysler anticipates are going to wart to part in place safeguards for iright? 23 Q. And these lost sales, Chrysler anticipates are going to wart to part in place safeguards for iright? 24 A. That's correct, because what we have is a higher performing group of dealers. 25 Q. Of the retained dealers, they leave the foundation of the retained dealers have had significant losses at their dealerships, correct? 31 Q. Which means that over twenty percent of the retained dealers have had significant losses at their dealerships, correct? 32 Q. And it's these dealers are already performing at a pretty high level, even though they are under some financial duress. 33 Q. Which means that over twenty percent of them are financially distressed, 19 Q. And it's these dealers are already performing at a pretty 19 high level, even though they are under some financial duress. 34 Q. And it's thes	9			
A. That is correct.  Q. And between 2001 and the present, the number of Chrysler of declerships has declined, right?  A. Yes, it has.  Q. And during that time period, the number of cars sold by Chrysler has also declined, correct?  A. Yes, it has.  A. Yes, it has.  A. Yes, it has.  A. Yes, it has.  A. Yes, it has also declined, correct?  A. Ayes, that's correct. It's a factor of the economy and economic environment.  A. Yes, it has also declined, correct?  A. Okay.  Q. It's a yes or no answer.  A. Okay.  Q. And Chrysler lost market share during that time, didn't it?  A. Yes.  Q. Yet, in evaluating the impact of the loss of revenue from 450  A. Yes.  Q. And these lost sales, Chrysler anticipates, will be recovered by the retained dealers, right?  A. That's correct, because what we have is a higher performing group of dealers.  Q. Which means that over twenty percent of the retained dealers, correct?  A. That's correct.  A. That's correct.  A. That's correct.  A. That's correct because what we have is a higher reforming group of dealers.  A. That's correct because what we have is a higher over twenty percent of these dealers hape, and the dealerships, correct?  A. That's correct.  A. That's correct.  A. That's correct because what we have is a higher reforming group of dealers.  A. That's correct because what we have is a higher over twenty percent of these dealers as financially distressed, correct?  A. That is one of the elements.  A. That is one of the elements.  A. That is one of the elements.  A. Many of those dealers are already performing at a pretty lipit hevel, even though they are under some financial dures.  A. Wes.  A. Wes.  A. Ayes.  A. Ayes.  A. Ayes.  A. That is one of the dealers are already performing at a pretty lipit hevel, even though they are under some financial dures.  A. Many of those dealers are already performing at a pretty lipit hevel, even though they are under some financial dures.  A. That is a network.—U.S. dealer network review	10			
Q. And between 2001 and the present, the number of Chrysler dealerships has declined, right?  A. Yes, it has.  Q. And during that time period, the number of cars sold by Chrysler has also declined, correct?  A. Ay each, that's correct. It's a factor of the economy and economic environment.  Q. It's a yes or no answer.  A. Okay.  Q. And Chrysler lost market share during that time, didn't like the content of the lost sold in it'.  The transport of the elaership agreement, correct?  A. Yes.  A. Yes.  A. Yes, in a was that it would recover a hundred percent of the lost sales in invelve months, correct?  A. Yes.  Q. And these lost sales, Chrysler anticipates, will be recovered by the retained dealers, Chrysler itself has categorized over twenty percent of these dealers as financially distressed, for correct?  A. That's correct.  A. That's correct.  Q. And it's these dealers that Chrysler osasume or reject the dealership agreement, correct?  A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 450  450  A. Yes.  A. Yes.  Q. And what is missing from this spreadsheet?  A. Yes.  Q. And these lost sales, Chrysler anticipates, will be recovered by the retained dealers, right?  A. That's correct, because what we have is a higher performing group of dealers.  Q. Which means that over twenty percent of the retained dealers as financially distressed, for correct?  A. That is one of the elements.  Q. And it's these dealers that Chrysler anticipates are going to want to put in place safeguards for purposes of the recovaried here dealers as financially distressed, for open and recover the fourteen percent of the sales in just twelve months, right?  A. That is one of the elements.  Q. And it's these dealers are already performing at a pretty where the fourteen percent of them are financially distressed, for purposes of the recovaried them are financially distressed, for purposes of the recovaried them are financially distressed, for purposes of the recovaried them are financially distressed, for purpose of the recovaried t		•		
dealerships has declined, right?  A. Yes, it has.  A. Yesh, that's correct.  A. Yes, it has.  A. Yesh, that's correct.  A. That'				· -
15 A. Yes, it has. 16 Q. And during that time period, the number of cars sold by 17 Chrysler has also declined, correct? 18 A. Yeah, that's correct. It's a factor of the economy and 29 economic environment. 20 Q. It's a yes or no answer. 21 A. Okay. 22 Q. And Chrysler lost market share during that time, didn't 21 assume or reject the dealership agreement, correct? 23 it? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Yet, in evaluating the impact of the loss of revenue from 450  1 the 789 dealers, the worst-case scenario predicted by Chrysler 2 was that it would recover a hundred percent of the lost sales 3 in twelve months, correct? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. And these lost sales, Chrysler anticipates, will be 2 recovered by the retained dealers, right? 26 A. That's correct because what we have is a higher 2 over twenty percent of these dealers as financially distressed, 4 A. That's correct. 26 Q. And these dealers as financially distressed, 2 Q. But twenty percent of the mare financially distressed, 2 Q. But twenty percent of the mare financially distressed, 2 Q. But twenty percent of the mare financially distressed, 2 Q. But twenty percent of the mare financially distressed, 2 Q. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject 26 Q. And can you tell me what page 6 is, please? 27 Q. And can you tell me what page 6 is, please? 28 Q. And can you tell me what page 6 is, please?		•		·
16 Q. And during that time period, the number of cars sold by 17 Chrysler has also declined, correct? 18 A. Yeah, that's correct. It's a factor of the economy and 29 conomic environment. 20 Q. It's a yes or no answer. 21 A. Okay. 22 Q. And Chrysler lost market share during that time, didn't 29 assume or reject the dealers have. 23 it? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Yet, in evaluating the impact of the loss of revenue from 450  1 the 789 dealers, the worst-case scenario predicted by Chrysler 29 was that it would recover a hundred percent of the lost sales 3 in itwelve months, correct? 3 in itwelve months, correct? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. And thase lost sales, Chrysler anticipates, will be 20 performing group of dealers. 9 Q. Of the retained dealers, right? 1 A. That's correct, because what we have is a higher 20 performing group of dealers. 9 Q. Of the retained dealers as financially distressed, 15 correct? 1 Q. And that so of the elements. 1 Q. Which means that over twenty percent of the retained 4 dealers have had significant losses at their dealerships, 15 to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the sales in just 16 twelve months, right? 2 A. That's correct these dealers are already performing at a pretty 16 high level, even though they are under some financial duress. 2 Q. But twenty percent of them are financially distressed, 29 Q. But twenty percent of them are financially distressed, 29 Q. But twenty percent of them are financially distressed, 29 Q. But wenty percent of them are financially distressed, 29 Q. But twenty percent of them are financially distressed, 29 Q. But twenty percent of them are financially distressed, 29 Q. And in the factors that Chrysler is seeking to reject 20 Q. And can you tell me what page 6 is, please? 2 A. Yes. 2 Q. And many of the dealers hat Chrysler is seeking to reject 25 Q. And can you tell me what page 6 is, please? 3 A. That's own on answer. 3 and the factors that Chrysler is adapting the dealers have. 4 D. A. That the dealers have. 4 D. A. That the dealers have. 4 Q. And what is missin				• •
17 Chrysler has also declined, correct? 18 A. Yesh, that's correct. It's a factor of the economy and economic environment. 19 economic environment. 20 Q. It's a yes or no answer. 21 A. Okay. 22 Q. And Chrysler lost market share during that time, didn't 23 it? 23 it? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Yet, in evaluating the impact of the loss of revenue from 450  1 the 789 dealers, the worst-case scenario predicted by Chrysler 2 was that it would recover a hundred percent of the lost sales in intellements, correct? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. And these lost sales, Chrysler anticipates, will be recovered by the retained dealers, right? 26 A. That's correct, because what we have is a higher performing group of dealers. 27 Q. Of the retained dealers, Chrysler itself has categorized over tentypercent of these dealers as financially distressed, 19 Q. And if when we have had significant losses at their dealerships, to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the sales in just twelve months, right? 30 A. That's correct. 31 G. Which means that over twenty percent of the sales in just twelve months, right? 41 A. That's correct for these dealers are already performing at a pretty high level, even though they are under some financial duress. 42 Q. And that were used by your team in determining whether to assume or reject the dealershipa an apsend of many of the dealers have. 42 Q. And that were used by your team in determining whether to assume or reject the dealership a percenter. 43 A. Right. Not complete, but it is part of it. 44 A. Yes. 45 Q. And that were used by your team in determining whether to assume or reject the dealership a percenter. 46 A. Right. Not complete, but it is part of it. 48 A. Right. Not complete, but it is part of it. 49 A. Well, what this spreadsheet dealership agreement, correct? 40 A. Yes. 41 A. Yes. 42 A. Yes. 43 A. Well, what this dealership agreement, the dealers have. 44 A. Okay. 45 A. Thai roor dealers and the cover a hundred percent of the lost sales and the sales potential of each market. 44 Q. And there is also p	15	A. Yes, it has.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
18 A. Yeah, that's correct. It's a factor of the economy and economic environment. 19 economic environment. 20 Q. It's a yes or no answer. 21 A. Okay. 22 Q. And Chrysler lost market share during that time, didn't it? 23 it? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Yet, in evaluating the impact of the loss of revenue from 450  The Table of The Table of Table	16		16	
19 economic environment. 20 Q. It's a yes or no answer. 21 A. Okay. 22 Q. And Chrysler lost market share during that time, didn't it? 23 it? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Yet, in evaluating the impact of the loss of revenue from 450  15 the 789 dealers, the worst-case scenario predicted by Chrysler what it would recover a hundred percent of the lost sales in twelve months, correct?  4 A. Yes. 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. And that would recover a hundred percent of the lost sales in just twelve months, correct. 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. And these lost sales, Chrysler anticipates, will be recovered by the retained dealers, right? 6 Q. And what is missing from this spreadsheet? 7 A. That's correct, because what we have is a higher performing group of dealers. 8 performing group of dealers. 9 Q. Of the retained dealers, Chrysler itself has categorized over twenty percent of these dealers as financially distressed, fright? 10 Q. Which means that over twenty percent of the retained dealers have had significant losses at their dealerships, correct? 1 Q. And if you would turn to page 6, please? 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. And what is missing from this spreadsheet? 2 A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first assume or reject the dealership is perdoming in the time, didn't is part of it. 2 A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 4. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 4. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 4. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 4. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 4. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 4. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 4. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 4. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 4. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 4. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 4. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 4. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the firs		•		
20 Q. It's a yes or no answer. 21 A. Okay. 22 Q. And Chrysler lost market share during that time, didn't 23 it'? 23 it? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Yet, in evaluating the impact of the loss of revenue from 450  15 the 789 dealers, the worst-case scenario predicted by Chrysler was that it would recover a hundred percent of the lost sales in twelve months, correct?  26 A. Yes. 27 Q. And what is missing from this spreadsheet? 28 was that it would recover a hundred percent of the lost sales in twelve months, correct? 29 Q. And where is a fight over twenty percent of the sed ealers as financially distressed, 29 Q. Of the retained dealers, Chrysler itself has categorized over twenty percent of these dealers as financially distressed, 29 Q. And it's these dealers that Chrysler anticipates are going to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the sales in just twelve months, right? 20 A. That's orrect. 31 Q. Which means that over twenty percent of the retained dealers have had significant losses at their dealerships, 19 twelve months, right? 42 Q. And what is missing from this spreadsheet? 43 A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 452 44 A. Yes. 45 Q. And where is that information one of these situations, which was to look at each market and try to determine the sales potential of each market. 46 Q. And where is that information conveyed? 47 A. That's correct, because what we have is a higher performing group of dealers. 48 Q. Of the retained dealers, Chrysler itself has categorized over twenty percent of these dealers as financially distressed, 10 information. And while were willing to allow some inquiry into it, we are going to want to put in place safeguards for purposes of the record with regard to this data. 49 Q. Mich means that over twenty percent of the retained dealers have had significant losses at their dealerships, 11 into it, we are going to want to put in place safeguards for purposes of the record with regard to this data. 40 Q. More the dealers have had significant losses at their dealership		•		
21 A. Okay. 22 Q. And Chrysler lost market share during that time, didn't 23 it? 23 it? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Yet, in evaluating the impact of the loss of revenue from 450  15 the 789 dealers, the worst-case scenario predicted by Chrysler 25 was that it would recover a hundred percent of the lost sales 26 in twelve months, correct?  26 Q. And these lost sales, Chrysler anticipates, will be 27 recovered by the retained dealers, right?  27 A. That's correct, because what we have is a higher 28 performing group of dealers. 28 Q. Of the retained dealers, Chrysler itself has categorized 29 over twenty percent of these dealers as financially distressed, 29 Q. Mid the means that over twenty percent of the retained dealers have had significant losses at their dealerships, 21 to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the sales in just twelve months, right?  29 A. That is one of the elements. 20 And it's these dealers are already performing at a pretty 19 high level, even though they are under some financially distressed, 29 Q. But twenty percent of them are financially distressed, 29 Q. But twenty percent of them are financially distressed, 20 And any out tell me what page 6 is, please?	19	economic environment.	19	A. This provides a snapshot of many of the factors that the
22 Q. And Chrysler lost market share during that time, didn't it? 23 it? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Yet, in evaluating the impact of the loss of revenue from 450  1 the 789 dealers, the worst-case scenario predicted by Chrysler was that it would recover a hundred percent of the lost sales in twelve months, correct?  4 A. Yes. 26 Q. And these lost sales, Chrysler anticipates, will be recovered by the retained dealers, right?  A. That's correct, because what we have is a higher performing group of dealers. Q. Of the retained dealers, Chrysler itself has categorized over twenty percent of these dealers as financially distressed, right?  A. That's correct. Q. Which means that over twenty percent of the retained dealers have had significant losses at their dealerships, correct? A. That is one of the elements. Q. And it's these dealers that Chrysler anticipates are going twelve months, right? A. That is one of the elements. Q. And what is missing from this spreadsheet? A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 452 A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 452 A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 452 A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 452 A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 452 A. Well, what this spreadsheet? A. Well, what this spreadsheet? A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 452 A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 452 A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 452 A. Well, what this infismalisy is that we did on each one of these situations, which was to look at each market and try to determine the sales potential of each market. A. Yes.  4 A. That information is readily available on maps that we have available to us.  5 A. That information - this exhibit contains confidential information. And while we're willing to allow some inquiry into it, we are going to want to put in place safeguards for purposes of the record with regard to this data.  4 MS. BROWN:	20	Q. It's a yes or no answer.	20	
23 it? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Yet, in evaluating the impact of the loss of revenue from 450  1 the 789 dealers, the worst-case scenario predicted by Chrysler was that it would recover a hundred percent of the lost sales in twelve months, correct?  2 A. Yes. 3 in twelve months, correct? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. And these lost sales, Chrysler anticipates, will be recovered by the retained dealers, right? A. That's correct, because what we have is a higher over twenty percent of these dealers as financially distressed, delers have had significant losses at their dealerships, correct? 4 A. That is one of the elements. 5 Q. And it's these dealers are already performing at a pretty high level, even though they are under some financial duress. 6 Q. But twenty percent of them are financially distressed, thing hevel, even though they are under some financial duress. 6 Q. And what is missing from this spreadsheet? 9 A. Well, what it is missing from this spreadsheet? 9 A. Well, what is missing from this spreadsheet? 9 A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 450  4 A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 452  4 A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 452  4 A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 452  4 A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 452  4 A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 452  4 A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 452  4 A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 452  4 A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 452  4 A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 452  4 A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 452  4 A. That is of loca the all was the defended the deposition. The set with two did no each one of these estimatory to determine the sales potential of each market and try to determine the sales potential of each market and try to attention the sales potential of each market and try to attention to the s	21	A. Okay.	21	
24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Yet, in evaluating the impact of the loss of revenue from 450  1 the 789 dealers, the worst-case scenario predicted by Chrysler 2 was that it would recover a hundred percent of the lost sales in twelve months, correct?  2 was that it would recover a hundred percent of the lost sales in twelve months, correct?  3 determine the sales potential of each market and try to determine the sales potential of each market.  4 A. Yes.  5 Q. And these lost sales, Chrysler anticipates, will be recovered by the retained dealers, right?  6 A. That's correct, because what we have is a higher performing group of dealers.  9 Q. Of the retained dealers, Chrysler itself has categorized over twenty percent of these dealers as financially distressed, dealers have had significant losses at their dealerships, correct?  12 A. That's correct.  13 Q. Which means that over twenty percent of the retained dealers have had significant losses at their dealerships, to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the sales in the velve months, right?  14 A. That's correct.  15 C. A. That is one of the elements.  16 A. That is one of the elements.  17 Q. And it's these dealers that Chrysler anticipates are going to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the sales in the velve months, right?  18 to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the sales in the velve months, right?  20 A. Many of those dealers are already performing at a pretty high level, even though they are under some financial duress.  21 Q. But twenty percent of them are financially distressed, correct?  22 Q. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject to the what is missing from this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 452  23 A. Wes.  24 Q. And what is missing from this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 452  25 A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 452  26 A. That information is readily available on maps that we have available to us.  27 MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor. I did want to confirm with my partner who	22	Q. And Chrysler lost market share during that time, didn't	22	
25 Q. Yet, in evaluating the impact of the loss of revenue from 450  1 the 789 dealers, the worst-case scenario predicted by Chrysler was that it would recover a hundred percent of the lost sales in twelve months, correct?  2 A. Yes.  3 in twelve months, correct?  4 A. Yes.  5 Q. And these lost sales, Chrysler anticipates, will be recovered by the retained dealers, right?  5 A. That's correct, because what we have is a higher performing group of dealers.  9 Q. Of the retained dealers, Chrysler itself has categorized over twenty percent of these dealers as financially distressed, dealers have had significant losses at their dealerships, to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the sales in just to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the sales in just to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the sales in just the well and the sales in just to go. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject 24. A. Yes.  2 Q. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject 25. Q. And can you tell me what page 6 is, please?  4 A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 452  A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 452  A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 452  A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 452  A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 452  A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 452  A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 452  A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 452  A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not convey is the first 452  A. Well, what this spreadsheet does not one of these situations, which was to look at each market and try to determine the sales in part of the analysis that we did on each one of these ach anarket and try to determine the sales in part of the analysis that we did on each one of these ach and market.  4 Q. And where is that information conveyed?  A. That is formation - this exhibit contains con	23	it?	23	• • •
the 789 dealers, the worst-case scenario predicted by Chrysler was that it would recover a hundred percent of the lost sales in twelve months, correct?  A. Yes. Q. And these lost sales, Chrysler anticipates, will be recovered by the retained dealers, right? A. That's correct, because what we have is a higher performing group of dealers. Q. Of the retained dealers, Chrysler itself has categorized over twenty percent of these dealers as financially distressed, right? A. That's correct. Q. Which means that over twenty percent of the retained dealers have had significant losses at their dealerships, to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the sales in just twelve months, right? A. Many of those dealers are already performing at a pretty high level, even though they are under some financiall distressed, Q. But twenty percent of them are financially distressed, Q. But twenty percent of them are financially distressed, Q. And in the these dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject Q. On the retained dealers, Chrysler is a higher performing group of dealers.  MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor. I did want to confirm with my partner who defended the deposition. I believe this information — this exhibit contains confidential information. And while we're willing to allow some inquiry into it, we are going to want to put in place safeguards for purposes of the record with regard to this data.  MS. BROWN: We don't have any objection to that.  MR. ORR: We would ask that it be filed under seal, then, subsequently, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right.  MS. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the witness' THE COURT: Go ahead.  Very Indicate the country of the dealers this document?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. And what is this document?  A. This is a network — U.S. dealer network review.  Q. And if you would turn to page 6, please?  A. Nes.  Q. And can you tell me what page 6 is, please?	24		24	
the 789 dealers, the worst-case scenario predicted by Chrysler was that it would recover a hundred percent of the lost sales in twelve months, correct?  4 A. Yes.  5 Q. And these lost sales, Chrysler anticipates, will be recovered by the retained dealers, right?  6 A. That's correct, because what we have is a higher performing group of dealers.  9 Q. Of the retained dealers, Chrysler itself has categorized over twenty percent of these dealers as financially distressed, right?  1 A. That's correct.  1 Q. Which means that over twenty percent of the retained dealers have had significant losses at their dealerships, to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the sales in just twelve months, right?  2 A. Many of those dealers are already performing at a pretty high level, even though they are under some financiall distressed, correct?  2 A. Many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject  2 p. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject  2 p. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject  2 p. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject  2 p. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject  2 p. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject  2 p. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject  2 p. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject  2 p. And can you tell me what page 6 is, please?	25		25	•
was that it would recover a hundred percent of the lost sales in twelve months, correct?  4 A. Yes.  5 Q. And these lost sales, Chrysler anticipates, will be recovered by the retained dealers, right?  6 A. That's correct, because what we have is a higher performing group of dealers.  9 Q. Of the retained dealers, Chrysler itself has categorized over twenty percent of these dealers as financially distressed, right?  10 A. That's correct.  11 Q. Which means that over twenty percent of the retained dealers have had significant losses at their dealerships, correct?  12 A. That is one of the elements.  13 Q. And where is that information is readily available on maps that we have available to us.  7 MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor. I did want to confirm with my partner who defended the deposition. I believe this information — this exhibit contains confidential information. And while we're willing to allow some inquiry into it, we are going to want to put in place safeguards for purposes of the record with regard to this data.  13 MS. BROWN: We don't have any objection to that.  14 MR. ORR: We would ask that it be filed under seal, then, subsequently, Your Honor.  15 THE COURT: All right.  16 MS. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the witness THE COURT: Go ahead.  17 MS. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the witness THE COURT: Go ahead.  18 Q. Mand with is these dealers are already performing at a pretty high level, even though they are under some financial duress.  20 Q. But twenty percent of them are financially distressed, correct?  21 A. Yes.  22 Q. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject.  23 Q. And if you would turn to page 6, please?  24 A. Yes.  25 Q. And can you tell me what page 6 is, please?		450		452
was that it would recover a hundred percent of the lost sales in twelve months, correct?  4 A. Yes.  5 Q. And these lost sales, Chrysler anticipates, will be recovered by the retained dealers, right?  6 A. That's correct, because what we have is a higher performing group of dealers.  9 Q. Of the retained dealers, Chrysler itself has categorized over twenty percent of these dealers as financially distressed, right?  10 A. That's correct.  11 Q. Which means that over twenty percent of the retained dealers have had significant losses at their dealerships, correct?  12 A. That is one of the elements.  13 Q. And where is that information is readily available on maps that we have available to us.  7 MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor. I did want to confirm with my partner who defended the deposition. I believe this information — this exhibit contains confidential information. And while we're willing to allow some inquiry into it, we are going to want to put in place safeguards for purposes of the record with regard to this data.  13 MS. BROWN: We don't have any objection to that.  14 MR. ORR: We would ask that it be filed under seal, then, subsequently, Your Honor.  15 THE COURT: All right.  16 MS. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the witness THE COURT: Go ahead.  17 MS. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the witness THE COURT: Go ahead.  18 Q. Mand with is these dealers are already performing at a pretty high level, even though they are under some financial duress.  20 Q. But twenty percent of them are financially distressed, correct?  21 A. Yes.  22 Q. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject.  23 Q. And if you would turn to page 6, please?  24 A. Yes.  25 Q. And can you tell me what page 6 is, please?	1	the 789 dealers, the worst-case scenario predicted by Chrysler	1	part of the analysis that we did on each one of these
3 determine the sales potential of each market. 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. And these lost sales, Chrysler anticipates, will be 6 recovered by the retained dealers, right? 6 A. That's correct, because what we have is a higher 9 Q. Of the retained dealers, Chrysler itself has categorized 10 over twenty percent of these dealers as financially distressed, 11 right? 11 right? 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. Which means that over twenty percent of the retained dealers have had significant losses at their dealerships, 15 correct? 16 A. That is one of the elements. 17 Q. And it's these dealers that Chrysler anticipates are going 18 to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the sales in just 19 twelve months, right? 10 A. Many of those dealers are already performing at a pretty 1 high level, even though they are under some financially distressed, 20 And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject 20 Q. And can you tell me what page 6 is, please?		• • •		•
4 A. Yes. 5 Q. And these lost sales, Chrysler anticipates, will be 6 recovered by the retained dealers, right? 6 A. That's correct, because what we have is a higher 7 A. That's correct, because what we have is a higher 8 performing group of dealers. 9 Q. Of the retained dealers, Chrysler itself has categorized 10 over twenty percent of these dealers as financially distressed, 11 right? 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. Which means that over twenty percent of the retained 14 dealers have had significant losses at their dealerships, 15 correct? 16 A. That is one of the elements. 17 Q. And it's these dealers that Chrysler anticipates are going 18 to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the sales in just 19 twelve months, right? 20 A. Many of those dealers are already performing at a pretty 21 high level, even though they are under some financially distressed, 22 Q. But twenty percent of the aleers that Chrysler is seeking to reject 24 A. Yes. 26 Q. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject 27 Q. And can you tell me what page 6 is, please?		•		
Q. And these lost sales, Chrysler anticipates, will be recovered by the retained dealers, right?  A. That's correct, because what we have is a higher performing group of dealers.  Q. Of the retained dealers, Chrysler itself has categorized over twenty percent of these dealers as financially distressed, right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Which means that over twenty percent of the retained dealers have had significant losses at their dealerships, correct?  A. That is one of the elements.  Q. And it's these dealers that Chrysler anticipates are going to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the sales in just twelve months, right?  A. Many of those dealers are already performing at a pretty high level, even though they are under some financially distressed, correct?  Q. But twenty percent of them are financially distressed, correct?  Q. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject  Q. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject  Q. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject  A. Yes.  Q. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject  A. Yes.  Q. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject  A. Yes.  Q. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject  A. Yes.  Q. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject  A. Yes.  Q. And can you tell me what page 6 is, please?		·		-
6 recovered by the retained dealers, right? 7 A. That's correct, because what we have is a higher 8 performing group of dealers. 9 Q. Of the retained dealers, Chrysler itself has categorized 10 over twenty percent of these dealers as financially distressed, 11 right? 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. Which means that over twenty percent of the retained 14 dealers have had significant losses at their dealerships, 15 correct? 16 A. That is one of the elements. 17 Q. And it's these dealers that Chrysler anticipates are going to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the sales in just twelve months, right? 18 to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the sales in just high level, even though they are under some financially distressed, 20 Q. But twenty percent of them are financially distressed, 21 Q. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject 22 Q. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject 26 A. Man any of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject 27 Q. And can you tell me what page 6 is, please?				-
A. That's correct, because what we have is a higher performing group of dealers.  Q. Of the retained dealers, Chrysler itself has categorized over twenty percent of these dealers as financially distressed, right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Which means that over twenty percent of the retained dealers have had significant losses at their dealerships, correct?  A. That is one of the elements.  Q. And it's these dealers that Chrysler anticipates are going to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the sales in just twelve months, right?  A. Many of those dealers are already performing at a pretty high level, even though they are under some financially distressed, COTRECT?  Q. But twenty percent of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject  A. Yes.  Q. And any of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject  Q. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject  A. Yes.  Q. And can you tell me what page 6 is, please?				•
performing group of dealers.  Q. Of the retained dealers, Chrysler itself has categorized over twenty percent of these dealers as financially distressed, right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Which means that over twenty percent of the retained dealers have had significant losses at their dealerships, to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the sales in just twelve months, right?  A. Many of those dealers are already performing at a pretty high level, even though they are under some financially distressed, and yes.  Q. But twenty percent of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject to go. And anny of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject to go. And anny of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject to go. And anny of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject to go. And anny of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject to go. Of the retained dealers, Chrysler itself has categorized this information. And while we're willing to allow some inquiry into it, we are going to want to put in place safeguards for purposes of the record with regard to this data.  MS. BROWN: We don't have any objection to that.  MR. ORR: We would ask that it be filed under seal, then, subsequently, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right.  MS. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the witness' THE COURT: Go ahead.  Q. Mr. Grady, do you recognize this document?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. And what is this document?  A. This is a network U.S. dealer network review.  Q. And if you would turn to page 6, please?  A. Okay.  Q. And can you tell me what page 6 is, please?		•	7	
9 Q. Of the retained dealers, Chrysler itself has categorized 10 over twenty percent of these dealers as financially distressed, 11 right? 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. Which means that over twenty percent of the retained 14 dealers have had significant losses at their dealerships, 15 correct? 16 A. That is one of the elements. 17 Q. And it's these dealers that Chrysler anticipates are going 18 to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the sales in just 19 twelve months, right? 10 information. And while we're willing to allow some inquiry 11 into it, we are going to want to put in place safeguards for 12 purposes of the record with regard to this data. 13 MS. BROWN: We don't have any objection to that. 14 MR. ORR: We would ask that it be filed under seal, 15 then, subsequently, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: All right. 17 MS. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the witness' 18 THE COURT: Go ahead. 19 Q. Mr. Grady, do you recognize this document? 20 A. Yes, I do. 21 Q. And what is this document? 22 Q. But twenty percent of them are financially distressed, 23 correct? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject 26 Q. And can you tell me what page 6 is, please?		-	8	-
over twenty percent of these dealers as financially distressed, right?  10 information. And while we're willing to allow some inquiry into it, we are going to want to put in place safeguards for purposes of the record with regard to this data.  13 Q. Which means that over twenty percent of the retained dealers have had significant losses at their dealerships, to correct?  14 MR. ORR: We would ask that it be filed under seal, then, subsequently, Your Honor.  15 then, subsequently, Your Honor.  16 A. That is one of the elements.  17 Q. And it's these dealers that Chrysler anticipates are going to want to put in place safeguards for purposes of the record with regard to this data.  18 MS. BROWN: We don't have any objection to that.  19 MR. ORR: We would ask that it be filed under seal, then, subsequently, Your Honor.  10 MS. BROWN: Your Honor.  11 THE COURT: All right.  12 MS. BROWN: Your Honor.  13 MS. BROWN: Your Honor.  14 MR. ORR: We would ask that it be filed under seal, then, subsequently, Your Honor.  16 MS. BROWN: Your Honor.  17 MS. BROWN: Your Honor.  18 THE COURT: Go ahead.  19 Q. Mr. Grady, do you recognize this document?  20 A. Yes, I do.  21 Q. And what is this document?  22 Q. And what is this document?  23 Q. And what is this document?  24 A. This is a network U.S. dealer network review.  25 Q. And if you would turn to page 6, please?  26 A. Okay.  27 Q. And can you tell me what page 6 is, please?				* *
right?  11 into it, we are going to want to put in place safeguards for purposes of the record with regard to this data.  13 Q. Which means that over twenty percent of the retained dealers have had significant losses at their dealerships, 14 MR. ORR: We would ask that it be filed under seal, 15 correct? 16 A. That is one of the elements. 17 Q. And it's these dealers that Chrysler anticipates are going to want to put in place safeguards for purposes of the record with regard to this data.  18 MS. BROWN: We don't have any objection to that. 19 MR. ORR: We would ask that it be filed under seal, 19 then, subsequently, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: All right. 11 MS. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the witness of the good under the witness of the record with regard to this data. 12 MS. BROWN: We don't have any objection to that. 13 MS. BROWN: We don't have any objection to that. 14 MR. ORR: We would ask that it be filed under seal, 15 then, subsequently, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: All right. 17 MS. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the witness of THE COURT: Go ahead. 19 Q. Mr. Grady, do you recognize this document? 20 A. Yes, I do. 21 Q. And what is this document? 22 Q. But twenty percent of them are financially distressed, 23 correct? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. And if you would turn to page 6, please? 26 A. Okay. 27 Q. And can you tell me what page 6 is, please?		-		
12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. Which means that over twenty percent of the retained 14 dealers have had significant losses at their dealerships, 15 correct? 16 A. That is one of the elements. 17 Q. And it's these dealers that Chrysler anticipates are going 18 to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the sales in just 19 twelve months, right? 20 A. Many of those dealers are already performing at a pretty 21 high level, even though they are under some financial duress. 22 Q. But twenty percent of them are financially distressed, 23 correct? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject 26 Q. And can you tell me what page 6 is, please? 27 Q. And can you tell me what page 6 is, please?		* *		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Q. Which means that over twenty percent of the retained dealers have had significant losses at their dealerships,  Correct?  A. That is one of the elements.  Q. And it's these dealers that Chrysler anticipates are going to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the sales in just twelve months, right?  A. Many of those dealers are already performing at a pretty high level, even though they are under some financial duress.  Q. But twenty percent of them are financially distressed, correct?  Q. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject to the retained many objection to that.  MS. BROWN: We don't have any objection to that.  MR. ORR: We would ask that it be filed under seal, then, subsequently, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right.  MS. BROWN: Your Honor.  THE COURT: Go ahead.  19 Q. Mr. Grady, do you recognize this document?  A. Yes, I do.  21 Q. And what is this document?  22 A. This is a network U.S. dealer network review.  23 Q. And if you would turn to page 6, please?  A. Okay.  24 A. Okay.  25 Q. And can you tell me what page 6 is, please?				
dealers have had significant losses at their dealerships, correct?  A. That is one of the elements.  Q. And it's these dealers that Chrysler anticipates are going to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the sales in just twelve months, right?  A. Many of those dealers are already performing at a pretty high level, even though they are under some financial duress.  Q. But twenty percent of them are financially distressed, correct?  A. Yes.  Q. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject to them, subsequently, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right.  MS. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the witness THE COURT: Go ahead.  P. Mr. Grady, do you recognize this document?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. And what is this document?  Q. And what is this document?  Q. And if you would turn to page 6, please?  A. Okay.  Q. And can you tell me what page 6 is, please?				1 1
then, subsequently, Your Honor.  16 A. That is one of the elements.  17 Q. And it's these dealers that Chrysler anticipates are going to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the sales in just twelve months, right?  18 twelve months, right?  19 Q. Mr. Grady, do you recognize this document?  20 A. Many of those dealers are already performing at a pretty high level, even though they are under some financial duress.  21 Q. But twenty percent of them are financially distressed, correct?  22 Q. But twenty percent of them are financially distressed, and if you would turn to page 6, please?  23 Q. And if you would turn to page 6, please?  24 A. Yes.  25 Q. And can you tell me what page 6 is, please?		• •		
A. That is one of the elements.  Q. And it's these dealers that Chrysler anticipates are going to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the sales in just twelve months, right?  A. Many of those dealers are already performing at a pretty high level, even though they are under some financial duress.  Q. But twenty percent of them are financially distressed, correct?  A. Yes.  Q. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the sales in just the COURT: All right.  MS. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the witness THE COURT: Go ahead.  19 Q. Mr. Grady, do you recognize this document?  20 A. Yes, I do.  21 Q. And what is this document?  22 A. This is a network U.S. dealer network review.  23 Q. And if you would turn to page 6, please?  24 A. Okay.  25 Q. And can you tell me what page 6 is, please?		-		
Q. And it's these dealers that Chrysler anticipates are going to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the sales in just twelve months, right?  A. Many of those dealers are already performing at a pretty high level, even though they are under some financial duress.  Q. But twenty percent of them are financially distressed, correct?  A. Yes.  Q. And it's these dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the sales in just the COURT: Go ahead.  THE COURT: Go ahead.  A. Yes, I do.  Q. And what is this document?  A. This is a network U.S. dealer network review.  Q. And if you would turn to page 6, please?  A. Okay.  Q. And can you tell me what page 6 is, please?			16	THE COURT: All right.
to go out and recover the fourteen percent of the sales in just twelve months, right?  19				
twelve months, right?  20 A. Many of those dealers are already performing at a pretty 21 high level, even though they are under some financial duress. 22 Q. But twenty percent of them are financially distressed, 23 correct? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject 26 Mr. Grady, do you recognize this document? 27 A. Yes, I do. 28 A. Yes, I do. 29 A. This is a network U.S. dealer network review. 29 A. Okay. 20 And if you would turn to page 6, please? 20 A. Okay. 21 Q. And can you tell me what page 6 is, please?				• • • •
A. Many of those dealers are already performing at a pretty high level, even though they are under some financial duress.  Q. But twenty percent of them are financially distressed, correct?  A. Yes.  Q. And what is this document?  A. This is a network U.S. dealer network review.  Q. And if you would turn to page 6, please?  A. Yes.  Q. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject  Q. And can you tell me what page 6 is, please?				
high level, even though they are under some financial duress.  Q. But twenty percent of them are financially distressed, correct?  A. This is a network U.S. dealer network review.  Q. And if you would turn to page 6, please?  A. Yes.  Q. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject  Q. And can you tell me what page 6 is, please?		. 0		
Q. But twenty percent of them are financially distressed, correct?  A. This is a network U.S. dealer network review.  Q. And if you would turn to page 6, please?  A. Yes.  Q. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject  Q. And can you tell me what page 6 is, please?				·
23 correct? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject Q. And can you tell me what page 6 is, please? 26 Q. And can you tell me what page 6 is, please?	22		22	-
A. Yes. 24 A. Okay.  25 Q. And many of the dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject 25 Q. And can you tell me what page 6 is, please?		Q. But twellty percent of them are illiancially distressed,		
	23		23	Q. And if you would turn to page 6, please?
451 453		correct?		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	24	correct? A. Yes.	24	A. Okay.

A. Page 6 is a map of the Pittsburgh Metro market. 1 A. No, this is one input, one source of information into the Q. And is this an example of the first part of your analysis 2 2 entire process. 3 that you just testified about? 3 Q. And if you can turn back to the spreadsheet now. Is there A. Yes, that's correct. 4 any other information that is not -- other than the maps that 5 5 Q. And what exactly is the information conveyed on this we just discussed, is there any other information that the 6 document? 6 debtor considered in reaching its decision that's not included 7 7 MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor. On the same basis. on this spreadsheet? 8 This is another confidential document. We will allow them some 8 A. Well, part of the process was a recommendation by each one 9 inquiry, but we will want to protect the source, Your Honor. 9 of the eight business centers who provided their recommendation 10 THE COURT: I'm not sure what the objection is to. 10 as to what the market actions. Subsequent to that, we had 11 MR. ORR: The objection is, Your Honor, as part of 11 significant amounts of discussion about not only these 12 the discovery process and depositions, we had entered into a 12 different factors -- because this was a multifactor test that 13 13 series with any party that wanted to participate, into a series we used, but it was also to understand, again, beginning with 14 of confidentiality agreements regarding the data. We allowed 14 the market, how many dealers we did in fact need in that market 15 the parties to inquire about it, and we don't mind having some 15 in order to cover the market and provide enough of a sales 16 inquiry here, but some of this data is proprietary to the 16 opportunity. 17 debtor going forward regarding market analysis. And what we 17 Q. And neither the recommendations made by the business would not want to see happen is that this data make its way 18 center managers nor the discussions are contained within any 18 19 19 into the public domain. writing, correct? 2.0 2.0 A. That's correct. So we're trying to be cooperative here with the 21 21 inquisitor, the dealer committee, so they have an opportunity Q. Looking at the spreadsheet and the heading titles. I just 22 to inquire as to the business judgment of the debtor and the 22 want to ask you a couple of questions about some of the heading 23 analysis that they went through, which you will see is quite 23 titles. The very far left column, that identifies the business 24 24 fulsome. But we do want to protect this data from getting out center where the dealership is located, correct? 25 25 A. That's correct. there. 454 456 1 MS. BROWN: I object Mr. Orr's testifying as to what 1 Q. And then if you look about four columns over, it says 2 2 analysis the debtor did. "sales locality description." What is that? 3 THE COURT: Well, let's move on. I mean, come on. 3 A. Oh, the sales locality description is the -- depending on 4 4 Let's focus on the issue. The issue is confidentiality. How the market type, it is a descriptor that tells us what is the 5 are you going to use this? It was provided to you and it's 5 location, the market in which the dealer is operating. 6 strictly confidential. So what you're going to have to do is 6 Q. And then if you look about three columns over, there's a 7 examine this witness without making specific references, I 7 heading entitled "12/2008 percentage of MSR." What does tha 8 8 imagine, to certain data here. 9 MS. BROWN: Your Honor, and I don't intend to do 9 A. Percent MSR is the percent of the minimum sales 10 10 that. I just want to make the record as to what the debtor did responsibility that the dealer achieved. Minimum sales 11 in its purported analysis. 11 responsibility being an index where a hundred -- a hundred 12 THE COURT: That's fine. Okay. 12 percent, is considered average. And that meets the agreement 13 MR. ORR: Your Honor, we can go on and on about what 13 that we have with the dealer to attain his proper sales 14 it is. But as long as the inquiry is generally speaking and 14 achievement. 15 the data is kept out of the record, I think we can live with 15 Q. And the column next to that is "12/2008 sales"? 16 it. 16 A. Yes. That's actual sales. 17 THE COURT: All right. 17 Q. What does -- that's actual sales? 18 BY MS. BROWN: 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. Mr. Grady, generally, this map shows locations in 19 O. For 2008? 20 Pittsburgh and the various dealerships that are located in 20 A. Correct. 21 Pittsburgh, correct? 21 Q. And then "2009 adjusted sales"? 22 22 A. Yeah, that's correct. A. That's annualized sales. And those annualized sales are 23 Q. But there's no comparison on these maps as between the 23 annualized through the month of March. 24 dealerships in Chrysler's network in the Pittsburgh area, 24 Q. And if you go over to the second yellow column, it says 25 correct? 25 "final rejection indication." What does that column convey? 455 457

VERITEXT REPORTING COMPANY

1 A. That's the column that indicates the dealers that would 2 be that we would be proposing for rejection of their 3 contracts. 4 Q. And next to that is a comments column? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Correct? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. And in terms of just some of the general comments that are 9 made, what does "add line to facing dealer" mean? 10 A. That's correct. 2 A. That's correct. 3 Q. And if it's successful in assi and rejecting the contracts it does and rejecting the contracts it does and rejecting the contracts it does are dealers. 7 A. Yes. 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. And in terms of just some of the general comments that are man and many points have you for? 9 Q. How many points have you for?	uming the contracts it wants
3 contracts.  4 Q. And next to that is a comments column?  5 A. Yes.  6 Q. Correct?  7 A. Yes.  8 Q. And in terms of just some of the general comments that are  9 made, what does "add line to facing dealer" mean?  9 Q. And if it's successful in assignments it does and rejecting the contracts it does are rejecting the contracts it does are rejecting the contracts it does and rejecting the contracts it does are rejecting the contracts are rejecting to the contracts are rejecting the contracts are rejecting to the contracts are rejecti	es not want, it would then go
4 Q. And next to that is a comments column? 4 and rejecting the contracts it does 5 A. Yes. 5 out into the market and expand 6 Q. Correct? 6 dealers. 7 A. Yes. 7 A. No. Your use of the word of the general comments that are 9 made, what does "add line to facing dealer" mean? 9 Q. How many points have you	es not want, it would then go
5 A. Yes. 5 out into the market and expand 6 Q. Correct? 6 dealers. 7 A. Yes. 7 A. No. Your use of the word of the general comments that are 8 What we're trying to do. 9 made, what does "add line to facing dealer" mean? 9 Q. How many points have you	-
6 Q. Correct? 6 dealers. 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. And in terms of just some of the general comments that are 9 made, what does "add line to facing dealer" mean? 6 dealers. 7 A. No. Your use of the word of what we're trying to do. 9 Q. How many points have you	to additional locations with new
7 A. Yes. 7 A. No. Your use of the word of Q. And in terms of just some of the general comments that are 9 made, what does "add line to facing dealer" mean? 9 Q. How many points have you	
8 Q. And in terms of just some of the general comments that are 9 made, what does "add line to facing dealer" mean? 9 Q. How many points have you	
9 made, what does "add line to facing dealer" mean? 9 Q. How many points have you	expand is incorrect. That's not
10 A. The add line to facing dealer means that in if that's   10 for?	i identified third-party candidate
11 the comment, then that means that we would be, for example, if 11 A. I don't have that. I'd have to	to count them up. I don't
12 we're choosing to proposing to reject a Chrysler Jeep 12 know that number.	
13 contract, then we would be trying to pick up the lost sales	ould be contained in the
14 pretty quickly, we need representation in the market. So our 14 comments?	
15 intention would be to go to the Dodge dealer who we have 15 A. I believe most of it would,	*
16 selected to be assumed by the new company and appoint him as 16 Q. If you keep looking to the r	right, do you see the red,
17 the Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge dealer in that marketplace. 17 yellow and green columns?	
18 Q. And then what does "excess point" mean?  18 A. Yes.	1:146.
19 A. Excess point means that that's a pretty good 19 Q. Can you explain what I th	hink the first one is "overall
20 description the way it is. It's a location where we don't feel 20 trend RVG (sic)"?	
21 that we need a dealer any longer. It's excess in the 21 A. Yeah, that's an internal trac	· ·
22 marketplace. 22 measurement to try to understar	
23 Q. And "third party candidate"?  23 capabilities, both in his earnings	**
24 A. Third party candidate is when we do the comparative 24 net earnings on a monthly and a	· ·
25 analysis between all of the dealers in the marketplace, 458	us current working capital 460
430	400
1 sometimes the choices that we have among the existing dealers 1 and overall capitalization position	on
2 are insufficient in their performance, and so we're choosing to 2 Q. And green would be the bes	
3 search for a third party to be the representative in that 3 unacceptable?	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
4 market. 4 A. Yeah, red yeah, that's con	rect.
5 Q. So you actually anticipate on expanding the dealer 5 Q. And then what's "overall sta	
6 network? 6 the column next to it?	, , , ,
7 A. No. We don't anticipate. We anticipate in that market to 7 A. Well, that's the one I just ex	plained as the working
8 reject the contracts, probably of both the Chrysler, Jeep and 8 capital and overall financial posi-	•
9 the Dodge dealer, and then seek a single candidate, third party 9 Q. And again, you used the red	
10 to come in, invest in brand new facilities and be properly 10 A. Yeah, that's what RYG mea	ans, is red, yellow, green. And
11 capitalized and be our representative in that market.	
	gement department. They're use
13 you if you're successful in rejecting the 789 dealership 13 to track the financial health of or	
agreements that Chrysler is seeking to reject, then you would 14 Q. And the next column I'd like	
15 go out and identify new candidates and expand the dealer body, 15 status". Do you see that column	
16 correct? 16 A. Yes.	
17 A. We wouldn't be necessarily expanding the dealer body. We 17 Q. And what does "health" o	or one of the comments is
would be rejecting the contract of two, and then reappointing, 18 "healthy and stable." What does	s that mean?
19 or I should say appointing, one third-party candidate. So the 19 A. Well healthy and stable just	
	partment, they've categorized that
21 would be a consolidation of Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge all 21 particular dealer who says "heal	thy and stable" that he's not
22 together in one dealership. 22 on a serious trend, although we	probably should be keeping an
23 Q. So but in terms of Chrysler has moved to assume certain 23 eye on him. He's one of the o	other categories is healthy and
24 contracts, correct? 24 growing, which means that there	e's solid profitability and
	working capital and net worth.
25 A. Correct. 25 there's also some growth in his v	

1	Q. And then there's also what does "distressed" mean?	1	your network.
2	A. Distressed means that the dealer has a number of elements	2	Q. And in looking at this chart, though, we can't tell the
3	such as his earnings trend has been negative for a significant	3	weight that's given to any particular factor for any specific
4	amount of time. Also his working capital is below or	4	dealer, can we?
5	dangerously starting to breach his working capital guide	5	A. No, because there was no particular weight applied except
6	levels.	6	
		7	for in the in our discussions and in our business judgment,
7	Q. And you testified that the dealers that Chrysler is		what we decided to try to move forward on the contracts that
8	seeking to assume are the strongest dealers, correct?	8	would be assigned.
9	A. They are our strongest performers. There are a number of	9	Q. But your business judgment isn't reflected on Exhibit 2,
10	different factors. In other words, we've looked at this and we	10	is it?
11	deliberated on which of the dealers that we would want to	11	A. Exhibit 2 is a spreadsheet of factors and elements
12	recommend going forward for assignment and assumption. Tha		Q. It's a yes or no question. It's a yes or no question.
13	was one of the elements.	13	You said that the decision was based on your business judgment.
14	Q. But earlier in your testimony on direct you testified that	14	And looking at Exhibit 2, I can't see your business judgment,
15	the dealers' contracts that you are seeking to assume are the	15	can I?
16	strongest dealers, correct?	16	A. No, because one of the elements
17	A. Yeah, strongest in performance, strongest in location,	17	Q. No is good it was a yes or no. Chrysler has not sought
18	strongest in financial as well.	18	to quantify any direct expenses that would be saved by
19	Q. And some of these dealers have a minimum sales	19	rejecting the 789 dealership agreements that it is seeking to
20	responsibility score of less than a hundred percent, correct?	20	reject, correct?
21	A. Yes, some of them do.	21	A. That's not entirely correct, no.
22	Q. And some of them are even less than fifty percent,	22	Q. And what cost what direct expenses has Chrysler
23	correct?	23	quantified?
24	A. I don't know about that. Possibly.	24	A. Well, we've as I testified in the direct and it's in my
25	Q. And I think you had testified that over twenty percent of	25	declaration as well, we do have a cost of consolidating the
	462		464
1	the contracts you're seeking to assume are categorized as	1	market of Project Genesis that we've already incurred. And we
2	financially distressed, correct?	2	would expect that we would have that cost again to do this
3	A. That could be, yes.	3	consolidation that we're proposing.
4	Q. I think it was, yes, before, correct?	4	Q. And that cost going forw you're going to have that cost
5	A. Oh, okay.	5	going forward regardless, correct?
6	Q. So in looking at Exhibit 2, there's no one factor that	6	A. It'll be mitigated quite a bit by rejecting these 789
7	determines whether the dealer will be assumed or rejected,	7	contracts.
8	correct?	8	Q. But in many cases, you're seeking to consolidate different
9	A. That's correct.	9	dealers, correct?
10	Q. And there are dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject	10	A. In some cases we'll be consolidated different dealers, but
11	that are financially healthy and growing, right?	11	most of what we're doing is when we reject the contracts, it'll
12	A. Yes, some of them are.	12	allow us to award the franchise, whether it's Chrysler, Jeep or
13	Q. There are dealers that Chrysler is seeking to reject that	13	Dodge, to the facing dealer who we've chosen to assign to the
14	are overall trending green categorized green on the chart,	14	new company.
15	right?	15	Q. And would you financially assist that facing dealer in
16	A. For their earnings, yes, or their working capital, yes.	16	renovating and adding the new franchise?
17	Q. And sometimes both?	17	A. At this point, no, because we don't have the money to do
18	A. And sometimes both.	18	it.
19	Q. And likewise, there are dealers on the spreadsheet that	19	Q. And so you wouldn't have done it even if you don't reject
20	Chrysler is seeking to assume their contract even though they	20	the dealers?
21		21	A. Say that again?
۱	are not trending their working capital or their overall		
22		22	Q. You wouldn't have incurred that cost then, even if you
22	financial trend is not green?  A. Yes. That's the essence of a multifactor test, is when	22 23	Q. You wouldn't have incurred that cost then, even if you don't reject the dealers?
	financial trend is not green?  A. Yes. That's the essence of a multifactor test, is when		don't reject the dealers?
23	financial trend is not green?  A. Yes. That's the essence of a multifactor test, is when you look at a number of different factors and try to determine	23	
23 24	financial trend is not green?  A. Yes. That's the essence of a multifactor test, is when	23 24	don't reject the dealers?  A. Not in the initial term until we until the new company

1	Q. What about	1	A. I said I thought that
2	MS. BROWN: strike that.	2	MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor.
3	Q. Chrysler's recommendation which was shared with the U.S	3	THE COURT: What's your objection?
4	Treasury was to repurchase the inventory of the rejected	4	MR. ORR: It's the same objection I made before, Your
5	dealers, correct?	5	Honor. We can discuss generally what the terms and facts that
6	A. That is correct.	6	are provided by the various agreements in place, but we cannot
7	Q. And one of the ramifications of not repurchasing the	7	get into the details due to the overlapping third party
8	inventory that Chrysler identified is the risk of devaluing the	8	confidentially agreements.
9	inventory of the assigned dealers, correct?	9	THE COURT: All right.
10	A. That is correct.	10	Q. Where did you get this understanding about financing from
11	Q. But Chrysler did not agree to purchase the inventory from	11	U.S. Treasury?
12	the dealers it is seeking to reject, did it?	12	A. Just in the public news reports.
13	A. Well, that's not entirely accurate.	13	Q. And can you tell me what your understanding is, then?
14	Q. It agreed to purchase the inventory from the dealers it's	14	A. Just my understanding was that I had I had seen that
15	seeking to reject?	15	there was a certain amount of money that was provided.
16	A. Our recommendation was not granted.	16	Q. And do you know what that amount of money was?
17	Q. You're not repurchasing the inventory from the dealers	17	A. I don't recall the exact number.
18	you're seeking to reject, correct?	18	Q. Do you know generally?
19	A. No, we're not. We're redistributing the product, as I	19	A. Over 100 million dollars.
20	had	20	Q. In early 2009, Chrysler urged all of its dealers to take
21 22	Q. A yes or no question, please. Under this redistribution	21 22	on more inventory, didn't it?
23	plan that you described in your direct testimony, the retained dealers are not obligated to purchase the inventory of the	23	A. Yes, that's an ongoing business.     Q. And in fact, James Press had a call with the dealers in
24	rejected dealers, are they?	24	February of 2009 regarding the inventory, correct?
25	A. No they're not.	25	A. Mr. Press had many calls with the dealers.
23	466	23	468
1	Q. And the program does not cover all cars, does it?	1	Q. On February 5, 2009, did Mr. Press have a call with the
2	A. The program does cover all of the cars will attempt to	2	dealers regarding taking on additional inventory?
3	redistribute all of the cars, trucks, minivans, whatever, that	3	A. He probably did, yes. I can't argue that.
4	each one of the dealers has.	4	Q. Did you participate in that call?
5	Q. It doesn't cover cars that have more than 125 miles, does	5	A. No, I did not.
6	it?	6	Q. And are you aware of efforts by business center managers
7	A. No, you're correct.	7	to encourage and pressure dealers to take on additional
8	Q. So then it doesn't cover all the cars, does it?	8	inventory in January and February so that Chrysler would mee
9	A. No.	9	its February and March production numbers?
10	Q. And as of today, you do not have commitments from the	10	A. Yeah. They're a sales organization, so they would
11	retained dealers to purchase all of the inventory of the	11	naturally be encouraging the dealers to purchase vehicles.
12	rejected dealers, do you?	12	Q. And are you aware that, in fact, over seventy percent took
13	A. No, we've just only recently begun soliciting the retained	13	on the additional inventory that they were requested to take
14	dealers.	14	on?
15	Q. It's a yes or no question. Are you aware that Chrysler	15	A. I don't know what that number is.
16	has placed money in a special purpose vehicle to backstop	16	Q. Are you aware that a significant number of dealers in the
17	losses associated with GMAC's financing to the retained	17	winter of 2009 took on more inventory than they needed, at the
18	dealers?	18	request of Chrysler?
19	A. Can you repeat that?	19	A. I know that we were able to solicit what our production
20	Q. Are you aware that Chrysler has placed money in a specia		plan was during that period of time in order to meet the
21	purpose vehicle to backstop losses associated with GMAC's	21	business plan.
22	financing to the retained dealers?	22	Q. And Chrysler is now seeking to reject many of the dealers
23	A. No, I'm not aware of that. I thought the U.S. Treasury	23	who took on this additional inventory earlier this year,
24	would have provided that backstop as part of the financing.	24	correct?
25	Q. I'm sorry, can you explain? 467	25	A. That's correct.

the business judgment has applied.  MR. ORR: The term cure is a legal term. It's a term of art in businessy, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Right. Well, first of all, your question posses that since there's nothing due and owing under these contracts, it would cost nothing to assume them?  A. No.  THE COURT: Right. Well, first of all, your question posses that since there's nothing due and owing under these contracts, it would cost nothing to assume them?  MS. BROWN. Correct.  MS. BROWN. Correct.  MS. BROWN. Correct.  A. That's correct.  THE COURT: What is the relevance of that?  MS. BROWN. In the fact that they're saying — the debtors are argining that in their bissiness judgment, they're defined to a propose that since there's nothing due and owing under these contracts, it would cost nothing to assume them?  MS. BROWN. Correct.  THE COURT: What is the relevance of that?  MS. BROWN. Correct.  THE COURT: What is the relevance of that?  MS. BROWN. In the fact that they're saying — the debtors are argining that in their bissiness judgment, they're deforms the selection of all. your question posses that since there's nothing due and owing under thee contracts, the well done then they was under the same them?  MS. BROWN. Ent. The fact that they're saying — the debtors are argining that in their bissines judgment, they're deforming to assume them?  MS. BROWN. Ent. The fact that they're saying — the debtors are argining that in their bissines judgment, they're deforming to assume them?  MS. BROWN. Ent. The fact that they're saying — the debtors are argining that in their bissines judgment, they're doing this to reduce costs and to narrow their dealer body and lower their costs. And this sart a cost that they would have to say and they are the dealer body and lower their costs. And this sart a cost that they would have to pay?  THE COURT: Yell of the fact that they're saying — the debto				
A Thar's correct. We've agreed to redistribute.  Q. There's no guarantee that the inventory will be redistributed, is there?  Q. The decision to seek rejection of the 789 dealership agreements was not at the insistence of Piat, was it?  A. No, it was not.  Q. And Fiat did not participate in identifying the number of the dealership agreements to seek rejection of, correct?  A. No, it was not.  Q. And Fiat did not participate in the selection of any dealership agreements to seek rejection of, correct?  A. Thar's correct.  Q. Prior to filing for bankurptcy, there was no plan by the control for this year, was there?  Py June of this year, was there?  A. Prior to the bankruptcy, there was no plan by the state franchise laws?  A. Prior to the bankruptcy.  A. Well, because the cost would be well beyond what Chrysler are available to it in funds in order to get legal fees and everything else that is associated with trying to pursue it through state courts.  A. Decause of how long it takes to litigane.  A. Thar's correct.  Q. As a result, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 780 dealers the debtors are and the by New Chrysler.  A. Thar's correct.  A. Well, because the cost would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 780 dealers the debtors are and the debtors analysis of its business pagement they're debtors are arguing all the incentives and warranty claims that they would have to pay?  A. Well, because the cost would be well beyond what Chrysler are the contract, there would he no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 780 dealers the debtors are anything the secontracts and they've already agreed to pay all of the pre-closing obligations, there's no consection with the assumption of all 780 dealers the debtors are anything the secontracts and they well ready agreed to pay all of the pre-closing obligations owed to dealers, right?  A. Thar's correct.  Q. As a result, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in con	1	Q. And Chrysler has not agreed to repurchase this inventory,	1	the business judgment he applied.
Q. There's no guarantee that the inventory will be redistributed, is there? A. No, Q. The decision to seek rejection of the 789 dealership agreements was not at the insistence of Fiat, was it? A. No, it was not. Q. And Fiat did not participate in identifying the number of dealership agreements to seek rejection of, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And Fiat did not participate in the selection of any dealership agreements to reject, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Parior to filing for hankruptcy, there was no plan by Chryster to terminate the dealership agreements to reject, correct? A. That's correct. Q. In or to the bankruptcy, there was no plan by Chryster to terminate the dealership agreements of 789 dealers franchise laws for us to go ahead and terminate. We can only do not mailysis and scenarios, but there — you know, there clearly was not gring to — three couldn'th ea plan under the state franchise laws?  470  A. Well, because the cost would be well beyond what Chrystele had available to it in funds in order to get legal fees and everything else that is associated with trying to pursue it through state courts. A. Because of how long it takes to liftgate. A. Because of how long it takes to liftgate. A. That's correct.  Q. C. And why would the cost be high? A. The scorrect.  Q. C. And why would the cost be high? A. The scorrect.  Q. C. And why would the cost be high? A. The scorrect.  A. Well, because the cost would be well beyond what Chrystele had available to it in funds in order to get legal fees and everything else that is associated with trying to pursue it through is associated with trying to pursue it through state courts.  A. Well, because the cost would be well beyond what Chrystele had available to it in funds in order to get legal fees and everything else that is associated with trying to pursue it through state courts.  A. Well, because the cost would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 780 dealers the debtors was keepen paying all of the pre-closing obligations	2	correct?	2	MR. ORR: The term cure is a legal term. It's a term
redistributed, is there? 6 A. No. 7 Q. The decision to seek rejection of the 789 dealership a agreements was not at the insistence of Fiat, was it? 9 A. No., it was not. 10 Q. And Fiat did not participate in identifying the number of 11 dealership agreements to seek rejection of, correct? 11 dealership agreements to seek rejection of, correct? 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. And Fiat did not participate in the selection of any 14 dealership agreements to reject, correct? 14 dealership agreements to reject, correct? 15 A. That's correct. 16 Q. Prior to filing for bankruptcy, there was no plan by 16 by June of this year, was there? 17 A. Prior to the bankruptcy filing we were doing a lot of 20 analysis and sceararios, but there — you know, there clearly 21 was not going to — there couldn't be a plan under the state franchise laws? 25 or analysis and sceararios, but there — you know, there clearly 22 was not going to — there couldn't be a plan under the state franchise laws? 26 A. Well, because the cost would be well beyond what Chrysle be that is associated with trying to pursue it through state counts. 26 Q. And why would the cost be high? 27 A. That's correct. 28 Q. And why would the cost be high? 29 A. That's correct. 29 Q. And why would the cost be high? 30 A. That's correct. 31 Q. And where are notice and protest rights for dealers, correct? 32 Q. And why would the cost be high? 33 A. That's correct. 34 Q. And why would the cost be high? 34 A. That's correct. 35 Q. And why would the cost be high? 36 A. Beacuse of how long it takes to hit; gate. 37 Q. The debtors have been paying and expect to pay all precious of the preciosing obligations, there's an ocost associated with trying to pursue it through state counts. 36 Q. And why would the cost be high? 37 A. That's correct. 38 Q. And why would the cost be high? 39 A. That's correct. 31 Q. As a result fine the cost would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 780 dealers the debtors on word to the dealers, frepture of the preciosing	3	A. That's correct. We've agreed to redistribute.	3	of art in bankruptcy, Your Honor.
6 A. No. 7 Q. The decision to seek rejection of the 789 dealership 8 agreements was not at the insistence of Fiat, was it? 9 A. No, it was not. 10 Q. And Flait did not participate in identifying the number of 10 dealership agreements to seek rejection of, correct? 11 dealership agreements to seek rejection of, correct? 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. And Flait did not participate in the selection of any 14 dealership agreements to reject, correct? 14 A. That's correct. 15 Q. Prior to filing for bankruptcy, there was no plan by 17 Chrysler to terminate the dealership agreements of 789 dealership by June of this year, was there? 19 A. Prior to the bankruptcy filing we were doing a lot of 20 analysis and scenarios, but there—you know, there clearly 21 was not going to—there couldn't be a plan under the state 22 dranchise laws? 24 Q. And why couldn't there be a plan to terminate under the state franchise laws? 25 do that under the bankruptcy. 26 Q. And why couldn't there be a plan to terminate under the state franchise laws? 27 do that under the bankruptcy. 28 A. Well, because the cost would be well beyond what Chrysle 24 had available to it in funds in order to get legal fees and 25 correct? 29 A. Mell, abstate cours. 30 Q. And why would the cost be high? 31 A. Well, because the cost would be well beyond what Chrysle 24 had available to it in funds in order to get legal fees and 25 correct? 32 Q. And why would the cost be high? 33 C. A. Franchise laws for a seek to reject, correct? 34 Q. And why would he cost be high? 35 A. That's correct. 36 Q. The debtors have been paying and expect to pay all pre-closing obligations owed to dealers, right? 36 A. That's correct. 37 Q. The debtors have been paying and expect to pay all pre-closing obligations owed to dealers, right? 38 Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations owed to dealers, right? 39 A. That's correct. 30 Q. And why would the cost be high? 31 A. If the own paying and expect to pay all pre-closing obligations owed to dealers, right? 31 C. In the d	4	Q. There's no guarantee that the inventory will be	4	THE COURT: Right. Well, first of all, your question
9. The decision to seek rejection of the 789 dealership agreements was not at the insistence of Fiat, was it? 9. A. No, it was not. 10. Q. And Fiat did not participate in identifying the number of dealership agreements to seek rejection of, correct? 11. dealership agreements to seek rejection of, correct? 12. A. That's correct. 13. Q. And Fiat did not participate in the selection of any dealership agreements to reject, correct? 14. Country to filing for bankruptey, there was no plan by Chryster to terminate the dealership agreements of 789 dealership agreement of 789 dealership agreements of 789 dealership agreements of 789 dealership agreements	5	redistributed, is there?	5	poses that since there's nothing due and owing under these
agreements was not at the insistence of Fiat, was it?  A. No, it was not.  Q. And Tail did not participate in identifying the number of dealership agreements to seek rejection of, correct?  A. Thar's correct.  The COURT: What is the relevance of that?  MS. BROWN: In the fact that they're saying — the dealership agreements to seek rejection of, correct?  In dealership agreements to seek rejection of any of a large dealership agreements to reject, correct?  A. Thar's correct.  The COURT: What is the relevance of that?  MS. BROWN: In the fact that they're saying — the dealership agreements to seek to reject, correct?  In dealership agreements to seek rejection of any of dealership agreements to reject, dorner.  The COURT: What is the relevance of that?  MS. BROWN: In the fact that they're saying — the debtors assume these costs and to narrow their dealer body and lower their costs. And this sin't a cost that they would have, are arguing that in their business indigement, they're doing this to reduce costs and to narrow their dealer body and lower their costs. And this is n'a cost that they would have the remains a term of art in backruptcy.  The COURT: What is the fact that they're saying — the debtors assume these contracts and they wall have.  In the COURT: of the fact that they're saying — the debtors assume there are arguing that in their business in displacent, they're doing this to reduce costs and to narrow their dealer body and lower their costs. And this sin't a cost at the they would have, are array to meet the extent — I'm not sure there are not art in backruptcy.  In the COURT: What is the rejeviou debtors assume the fact that they're saying — the debtors assume there costs and to narrow their dealer body and lower the extent — I'm not sure there are not art in the dealers, factor in their costs. And this sin't account the costs associated with assuming the costs associated with right of the present of a saying and the	6	A. No.	6	contracts, it would cost nothing to assume them?
MS. BROWN: In the fact that they're saying the debtors are arguing that in their business indeptment, they're debtors and to narrow their dealer body and debtors are arguing that in their business indeptment, they're saying the debtors are arguing that in their business indeptment, they're saying the debtors are arguing that in their business indeptment, and their business indeptment of debtors are arguing that in their business indeptment of debtors are arguing that in their business indeptment of debtors are arguing that in their business indeptment of debtors and to narrow their dealer body and lower their costs. And this isn't a cost that they would have.  THE COURT: To the extent I'm not sure there are not are in bankruptcy. But you can ask if I just don't know how he's going to answer that.  By June of this year, was there?  A. Prior to the bankruptcy filing we were doing a lot of analysis and scenarios, but there you know, there clearly was not going to - there couldn't be a plan under the state franchise laws for us to go ahead and terminate. We can only do that under the bankruptcy.  Q. And why couldn't there be a plan to terminate under the state franchise laws?  ATO  1 A. Well, because the cost would be well beyond what Chrysle had available to it in funds in order to get legal fees and everything else that is associated with trying to pursue it that through state courts.  A Because of how long it takes to lifigate.  A. Because of how long it takes to lifigate.  A. That's correct.  Q. And why would the cost be high?  A. That's correct.  A. That's correct.  Q. And why would the cost be high?  A. That's correct.  A. That's correct.  Q. And why would the cost be not use, though. If you could repeat that?  A. That's correct.  Q. And why would the cost be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 780 dealers the debtors seek to reject.—  A. That's correct.  A. That's correct.  A. Tha	7	Q. The decision to seek rejection of the 789 dealership	7	MS. BROWN: Correct.
Q. And Fiat did not participate in identifying the number of leadership agreements to seek rejection of, correct?   12   A. That's correct.   13   Q. And Fiat did not participate in the selection of any   13   THE COURT: To the extent — I'm not sure there are aren't any cure costs, assuming cure is a term of art in be dealership agreements to reject, correct?   14   Arch scorrect.   15   Arch scorrect.   16   Q. Prior to filing for bankruptey, there was no plan by   16   D. Prior to filing for bankruptey, there was no plan by   16   D. Prior to filing for bankruptey, there was no plan by   16   D. Prior to filing for bankruptey, there was no plan by   16   D. Prior to filing for bankruptey, there was no plan by   16   D. Prior to filing for bankruptey, there was no plan by   16   D. Prior to filing for bankruptey, there was no plan by   16   D. Prior to filing for bankruptey, there was no plan by   16   D. Prior to filing for bankruptey, there was no plan by   16   D. Prior to filing for bankruptey, there was no plan by   16   D. Prior to filing for bankruptey filing we were doing a lot of   17   D. Prior to the bankruptey filing we were doing a lot of   18   D. Prior to the bankruptey filing we were doing a lot of   18   D. Prior to the bankruptey filing we were doing a lot of   18   D. Prior to filing that be even with the debtors assume these contracts and the event where clearly   18   D. Prior to filing that be debtors and the event which were a plan to terminate under the late that franchise laws?   18   D. Prior to filing that be debtors assume these contracts and they we already   18   D. Prior to filing that be debtors assumed that through state courts.   18   D. Prior to filing that be debtors assumed that through state courts.   19   D. Prior to filing that be debtors assumed that through state courts.   19   D. Prior to filing that be debtors associated with trying to pursue it through state courts.   19   D. Prior to filing the pre-closing obligations were to associated with assuming these contra	8	agreements was not at the insistence of Fiat, was it?	8	THE COURT: What is the relevance of that?
doing this to reduce costs and to narrow their dealer body and lower their costs. And this isn't a cost that they would have.  THE COURT: To the extent — The most sure there aren't any cure costs, and this isn't a cost that they would have.  THE COURT: To the test the thing to the concept of the concept o	9	A. No, it was not.	9	MS. BROWN: In the fact that they're saying the
12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. And First did not participate in the selection of any dedeatership agreements to reject, correct? 14 dealership agreements to reject, correct? 15 A. That's correct. 16 Q. Prior to filing for bankruptcy, there was no plan by by June of this year, was there? 17 Chrysler to terminate the dealership agreements of 789 dealers by June of this year, was there? 18 by June of this year, was there? 19 A. Prior to the bankruptcy filing we were doing a lot of analysis and scenarios, but there — you know, there clearly was not going to — there couldn't be a plan under the state franchise laws for us to go ahead and terminate. We can only a do that under the bankruptcy and there the bankruptcy are costs, sassing are use in the debtors assume these contracts, there will be no additional pre-closing obligations that they would have to pay? 14 A. Well, secause the cost would be well beyond what Chrysler that franchise laws? 15 Q. And why couldn't there be a plan to terminate under the state franchise laws? 16 Q. And why would the cost be high? 17 A. Well, because the cost would be well beyond what Chrysler that they would have to pay all of the pre-closing obligations, there's no cost associated with trying to pursue it through state courts. 18 through state courts. 19 Q. And why would the cost be high? 20 Q. And why would the cost be high? 21 A. That's correct. 22 (a) Q. And there are notice and protest rights for dealers, correct? 23 (b) And why would the cost be high? 24 (c) And there are notice and protest rights for dealers, right? 25 (c) And there are notice and protest rights for dealers, right? 26 (a) A. I believe so. I'm not sure, though. If you could repeat that? 27 (a) A. That's correct. 28 (a) A. I believe so. I'm not sure, though. If you could repeat that? 29 (a) A. Drie debtors have been paying and expect to pay all pre-closing obligations owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 780 dealers the debtors are the received of t	10	Q. And Fiat did not participate in identifying the number of	10	debtors are arguing that in their business judgment, they're
13 Q. And Fiat did not participate in the selection of any dealership agreements to reject, correct? 14 a dealership agreements to reject, correct? 15 A. That's correct. 16 Q. Prior to filing for bankruptcy, there was no plan by 17 Chrysler to terminate the dealership agreements of 789 dealers and your coan ask if — I just don't know how he's going to answer that. 17 Chrysler to terminate the dealership agreements of 789 dealers and your coan ask if — I just don't know how he's going to answer that. 18 by June of this year, was there? 19 A. Prior to the bankruptcy filing we were doing a lot of analysis and scenarios, but there — you know, there clearly analysis and scenarios, but there — you know, there clearly analysis and scenarios, but there — you know, there clearly analysis and scenarios, but there — you know, there clearly analysis and scenarios, but there — you know, there clearly analysis and scenarios, but there — you know, there clearly analysis and scenarios, but there — you know, there clearly analysis of the debtors assume these contracts in the debtors assume these contracts and they've already agreed to pay all of the pre-closing obligations that they would have to pay? 16 A. Well, because the cost would be well beyond what Chrysler that a sasociated with trying to pursue it through state courts. 17 A. Well, because the cost would be well beyond what Chrysler through state courts. 18 correct? 29 A. That's correct. 20 Q. And why would the cost be high? 30 Q. And why would the cost be high? 40 Q. And why would the cost be high? 51 A. That's correct. 52 A. That's correct. 53 Q. And seasue of how long it takes to litigate. 54 A. Different three are notice and protest rights for dealers, right? 55 yeak for reject, correct? 56 Q. And seasue of how long it takes to litigate. 57 Q. The debtors have been paying and expect to pay all pre- 19 Q. The debtors have been paying and expect to pay all pre- 19 Q. The debtors have been paying and expect to pay all pre- 19 Q. As a result, there would be no cure amou	11	dealership agreements to seek rejection of, correct?	11	doing this to reduce costs and to narrow their dealer body and
dealership agreements to reject, correct?  A. That's correct.  C. Prior to filing for bankruptcy, there was no plan by Chrysler to terminate the dealership agreements of 789 dealers by June of this year, was there?  By M. Prior to the bankruptcy filing we were doing a lot of analysis and scenarios, but there—you know, there clearly was not going to—there couldn't be a plan under the state franchise laws for us to go ahead and terminate. We can only do that under the bankruptcy.  Q. And why couldn't there be a plan to terminate under the state franchise laws?  470  A. Well, because the cost would be well beyond what Chrysle had available to it in funds in order to get legal fees and everything else that is associated with trying to pursue it through state courts.  Q. And why would the cost be high? A. Because of how long it takes to litigate. Q. And why would the cost be high? A. Because of how long it takes to litigate. Q. That's correct. Q. And there are notice and protest rights for dealers, correct? A. That's correct. Q. The debtors have been paying and expect to pay all pre- closing obligations owed to dealers, right? A. That's correct. Q. A result, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in that? Q. As a result, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in that? Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in to connection with the assumption of all 789 dealers the debtors seek to reject, correct? A. Nea, he savings of the new retained network going owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in to connection with the assumption of all 789 dealers the debtors seek to reject, correct? A. No, we did not.  Q. And in connection with the debtors' analysis of its uncertainty and the control of the pre-closing obligations oned to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in to conclusion.  A. Well, all can say is that, you know, we've already committed to paying all the incentives and warranty claims th	12	A. That's correct.	12	lower their costs. And this isn't a cost that they would have.
15 A. That's correct. 16 Q. Prior to filing for bankruptcy, there was no plan by 17 Chrysler to terminate the dealership agreements of 789 dealers 18 by June of this year, was there? 19 A. Prior to the bankruptcy filing we were doing a lot of 20 analysis and scenarios, but there you know, there clearly 21 was not going to there couldn't be a plan under the state 22 franchise laws for us to go ahead and terminate. We can only 23 do that under the bankruptcy. 24 Q. And why couldn't there be a plan to terminate under the 25 state franchise laws?  470  1 A. Well, because the cost would be well beyond what Chrysle 2 had available to it in funds in order to get legal fees and 3 everything else that is associated with trying to pursue it 4 through state courts. 4 through state courts. 5 Q. And why would the cost be high? 5 A. Because of how long it takes to litigate. 6 Q. And there are notice and protest rights for dealers, 8 correct? 9 A. That's correct. 10 Q. The debtors have been paying and expect to pay all pre- 11 closing obligations owed to dealers, right? 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. As a result, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 780 dealers the debtors 18 BY MS. BROWN: 19 BNS. BROWN: 19 Contracts, there would have to pay? 20 If the debtors sassume these contracts and they to already agreed to pay all of the pre-closing obligations that they would have to pay? 21 A. Well, all I can say is that, you know, we've already agreed to pay all of the pre-closing obligations owed to the 789 dealers, towo, we've already contacts in terms of 25 cost associated with assuming these contracts in terms of 26 cost associated with assuming these contracts and they we already agreed to pay all of the pre-closing obligations owed to the 789 dealers, those, we've already 27 committed to paying all the incentives and warranty claims that the 789 as well as the 2,392 are going to incur during 28 bankruptcy. And I believe – it's my understanding that we've secured the funding to d	13	Q. And Fiat did not participate in the selection of any	13	THE COURT: To the extent I'm not sure there
16 Q. Prior to filing for bankruptcy, there was no plan by 17 Chrysler to terminate the dealership agreements of 789 dealers 18 by June of this year, was there? 19 A. Prior to the bankruptcy filing we were doing a lot of 20 analysis and scenarios, but there you know, there clearly 21 was not going to there couldn't be a plan under the state 22 franchise laws for us to go ahead and terminate. We can only 23 do that under the bankruptcy. 24 Q. And why couldn't there be a plan to terminate under the 25 state franchise laws?  470  1 A. Well, because the cost would be well beyond what Chrysle 2 had available to it in funds in order to get legal fees and 3 everything else that is associated with trying to pursue it 4 through state courts. 5 Q. And why would the cost be high? 6 A. Because of how long it takes to litigate. 7 Q. And there are notice and protest rights for dealers, 8 correct? 9 A. That's correct. 10 Q. The debtors have been paying and expect to pay all pre- 11 closing obligations owed to dealers, right? 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. As a result, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 789 dealers the debtors 15 seek to reject, correct? 16 A. I believe so. I'm not sure, though. If you could repeat that? 17 that? 18 P.W. MS. BROWN: I'm just asking for his understanding and analysis of its understanding and analysis of its understanding and analysis of its understanding and surpless claims factor into the debtors' analysis of its business purpose in deciding which dealer agreements to seek to assume or reject, did the rejection damage claims may constitute administrative expense claims factor into the debtors' analysis of its business purpose in deciding which dealer agreements to seek to assume or reject, did the rejection damage claims may constitute administrative expense claims factor into the debtors' analysis of its paralysis of its paralysis of its paralysis of its paralysis?  1 A. That COURT: Yes. 1 A. Delieve so. I'm not sure, though. If you could repe	14	dealership agreements to reject, correct?	14	aren't any cure costs, assuming cure is a term of art in
Chryster to terminate the dealership agreements of 789 dealers by June of this year, was there?  A. Prior to the bankruptcy filing we were doing a lot of analysis and scenarios, but there—you know, there clearly analysis of the state franchise laws for us to go ahead and terminate. We can only a do that under the bankruptcy.  4 Q. And why couldn't there be a plan to terminate under the state franchise laws?  4 70  A. Well, because the cost would be well beyond what Chrysle?  had available to it in funds in order to get legal fees and everything else that is associated with trying to pursue it through state courts.  Q. And why would the cost be high?  A. Because of how long it takes to litigate.  Q. And there are notice and protest rights for dealers, correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. To the extent there are any cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, tonse cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, tonse cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, tonse cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, tonse cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, tonse cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, tonse cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, tonse cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, tonse cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, tonse cost savings associated with the 789 as well as the 2,392 are going to incur during bankruptcy. And I believe — it's my understanding that we've searched be funding to do so and the Court's approval to do that.  Q. To the extent there are any cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, tonse cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, tonse cost savings associated with rejecting these	15	A. That's correct.	15	* * * *
18 by June of this year, was there? 19 A. Prior to the bankruptcy filing we were doing a lot of analysis and scenarios, but there – you know, there clearly was not going to – there couldn't be a plan under the state franchise laws for us to go ahead and terminate. We can only 2d Q. And why couldn't there be a plan to terminate under the 2state franchise laws?  470  1 A. Well, because the cost would be well beyond what Chrysler had available to it in funds in order to get legal fees and 2 everything else that is associated with trying to pursue it through state courts. 4 through state courts. 5 Q. And why would the cost be high? 6 A. Because of how long it takes to litigate. 7 Q. And there are notice and protest rights for dealers, correct? 8 correct? 9 A. That's correct. 10 Q. The debtors have been paying and expect to pay all preciosing obligations owed to the 789 dealers the debtors seek to reject, correct? 11 closing obligations owed to dealers, fight? 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. As a result, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 780 dealers the debtors seek to reject. — 19 Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations oved to the dealers, those cost savings are going to be 3 award by New Chrysler, not the debtors' analysis of its business purpose in deciding which dealer agreements to seek to assume or reject, did the rejection damage claims may constitute administrative expense claims factor into the debtors' analysis of its business purpose in deciding which dealer agreements to seek to assume or reject, did the rejection damage claims may constitute administrative expense claims factor into the debtors' analysis of its pusiness purpose in deciding which dealer agreements to seek to assume or reject, did the rejection damage claims may constitute administrative expense claims factor into the debtors' analysis of its pusiness purpose in deciding which dealer agreements to seek to assume or reject, did the rejection damage claims may constitute admi	16			going to answer that.
A. Prior to the bankruptcy filing we were doing a lot of analysis and scenarios, but there — you know, there clearly was not going to — there couldn't be a plan under the state franchise laws for us to go ahead and terminate. We can only 3 do that under the bankruptcy.  Q. And why couldn't there be a plan to terminate under the state franchise laws?  A. Well, because the cost would be well beyond what Chrysle had available to it in funds in order to get legal fees and everything else that is associated with trying to pursue it through state courts.  Q. And why would the cost be high?  A. Because of how long it takes to litigate. Q. And there are notice and protest rights for dealers, correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. The debtors have been paying and expect to pay all preclosing obligations owed to the 789 dealers, correct?  A. Well, all I can say is that, you know, we've already committed to paying all the incentives and warranty claims that the 789 as well as the 2,392 are going to incur during bankruptcy. And I believe — it's my understanding that we've secured the funding to do so and the Court's approval to do that.  Q. The debtors have been paying and expect to pay all preclosing obligations owed to the 789 dealers, correct?  A. Well, all I can say is that, you know, we've already committed to paying all the incentives and warranty claims that the 789 as well as the 2,392 are going to incur during bankruptcy. And I believe — it's my understanding that we've secured the funding to do so and the Court's approval to do that.  Q. The debtors have been paying and expect to pay all preciosing obligations owed to the dealers, right?  A. That's correct.  Q. And there are notice and protest rights for dealers.  Q. As a result, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 780 dealers the debtors seek to reject, correct?  A. Veah, the savings of the new retained network going forward —  Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations over the debtors assume these contr	17	1 0	17	
analysis and scenarios, but there — you know, there clearly was not going to — there couldn't be a plan under the state franchise laws for us to go ahead and terminate. We can only do that under the bankruptcy.  Q. And why couldn't there be a plan to terminate under the state franchise laws?  470  1 A. Well, because the cost would be well beyond what Chrysle had available to it in funds in order to get legal fees and everything else that is associated with trying to pursue it through state courts.  Q. And why would the cost be high?  A. Because of how long it takes to litigate. Q. And there are notice and protest rights for dealers, correct?  A. That's correct. Q. The debtors have been paying and expect to pay all pre- closing obligations owed to dealers, right? A. That's correct. Q. As a result, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 789 dealers the debtors seek to reject, —  MR. ORS: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a legal  The COURT: Yes.  20 that they would have to pay? A. If the new company were to assume — I mean, forgive me. I'm having trouble following your question. Q. If the debtors assume these contracts and they've already agreed to pay all of the pre-closing obligations, where's no cost associated with assuming these contracts in terms of 470  472  A. Well, all I can say is that, you know, we've already committed to paying all the incentives and warranty claims that the 789 as well as the 2,392 are going to incur during bankruptcy. And I believe — it's my understanding that we've secured the funding to do so and the Court's approval to do that.  4 That's correct.  9 The debtors have been paying and expect to pay all pre- closing obligations owed to dealers, right?  10 Q. As a result, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 780 dealers the debtors  11 A. Veah, the savings of the new retained network going 12 forward —  13 Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations owed to the dealers, there would	18	•		
21 was not going to — there couldn't be a plan under the state 22 franchise laws for us to go ahead and terminate. We can only 23 do that under the bankruptcy. 24 Q. And why couldn't there be a plan to terminate under the 25 state franchise laws?  470  1 A. Well, because the cost would be well beyond what Chrysle 26 had available to it in funds in order to get legal fees and 3 everything else that is associated with trying to pursue it 4 through state courts. 4 D. And why would the cost be high? 5 Q. And why would the cost be high? 6 A. Because of how long it takes to litigate. 7 Q. And there are notice and protest rights for dealers, 8 correct? 9 A. That's correct. 10 Q. The debtors have been paying and expect to pay all pre- 11 closing obligations owed to dealers, right? 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. As a result, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in 14 connection with the assumption of all 780 dealers the debtors 15 seek to reject, correct? 16 A. I believe so. I'm not sure, though. If you could repeat 17 that? 18 Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations owed to the 789 dealers, correct? 19 A. That's correct. 10 Q. The debtors have been paying and expect to pay all pre- 11 closing obligations owed to dealers, right? 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. As a result, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in 14 connection with the assumption of all 780 dealers the debtors 15 seek to reject, correct? 16 A. I believe so. I'm not sure, though. If you could repeat 17 that? 18 Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations 19 owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid 20 in connection with the assumption of all 789 dealers the 21 debtors seek to reject.  22 M. R.O.R. Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a legal 23 conclusion.  24 That was associated with assuming these contracts and they've already 25 associated with assuming these contracts and they've already 26 contact on a plan in the resolution in contact on with the debtors' analysis of its business purpose in dec				
franchise laws for us to go ahead and terminate. We can only do that under the bankruptcy.  Q. And why couldn't there be a plan to terminate under the state franchise laws?  470  1 A. Well, because the cost would be well beyond what Chrysle had available to it in funds in order to get legal fees and everything else that is associated with trying to pursue it through state courts.  Q. And why would the cost be high?  A. Because of how long it takes to litigate.  Q. And there are notice and protest rights for dealers, correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. The debtors have been paying and expect to pay all precioning obligations owed to dealers, right?  A. That's correct.  Q. As a result, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 789 dealers the debtors seek to reject, correct?  M. Vell, all can say is that, you know, we've already committed to paying all the incentives and warranty claims that the 789 as well as the 2,392 are going to incur during bankruptcy. And I believe — it's my understanding that we've secured the funding to do so and the Court's approval to do that.  B. Q. To the extent there are any cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, those cost savings associated with rejection gobligations owed to dealers, right?  A. That's correct.  Q. As a result, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in that?  A. Teah, the savings of the new retained network going forward—  Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations oved to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 789 dealers the debtors seek to reject, correct?  M. Vell, all I can say is that, you know, we've already committed to paying all the incentives and warranty claims that the 789 as well as the 2,392 are going to incur during bankruptcy. And I believe — it's my understanding that we've secured the funding to do so and the Court's approval to do that.  A. That's correct.  Q. The debtors have been paying and expect to gay all pre				
do that under the bankruptcy.  Q. And why couldn't there be a plan to terminate under the state franchise laws?  470  A. Well, because the cost would be well beyond what Chrysler had available to it in funds in order to get legal fees and everything else that is associated with trying to pursue it through state courts.  Q. And why would the cost be high?  A. Because of how long it takes to litigate.  Q. And there are notice and protest rights for dealers, correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. The debtors have been paying and expect to pay all preciosing obligations owed to the 789 dealers, correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. The debtors assume these contracts and they've already agreed to pay all of the pre-closing obligations, there's no cost associated with assuming these contracts in terms of 472  Description of the pre-closing obligations owed to the 789 dealers, correct?  A. Well, all I can say is that, you know, we've already committed to paying all the incentives and warranty claims that the 789 as well as the 2,392 are going to incur during bankruptcy. And I believe it's my understanding that we've secured the funding to do so and the Court's approval to do that.  Q. To the extent there are any cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, those cost savings are going to be saved by New Chrysler, not the debtors, correct?  A. Yeah, the savings of the new retained network going forward  13 Q. It's a yes or no. Yes or no?  4. Yeah, they will be by New Chrysler.  5 Q. In connection with the debtors' analysis of its business purpose in deciding which dealer agreements to seek to assume or eject, did the debtors consider the amount of the rejection damage claims that would be incurred?  A. No, we did not.  C. And there are any cost savings are going to be saved by New Chrysler, ont the debtors' analysis of its business purpose in deciding which dealer agreements to seek to assume or reject, did the debtors on an advanced to the 789 dealers, correct?  A. Nell, all I can say is that, you know, we've				1 2
24 Q. And why couldn't there be a plan to terminate under the 25 state franchise laws?  470  1 A. Well, because the cost would be well beyond what Chrysle 2 had available to it in funds in order to get legal fees and 3 everything else that is associated with trying to pursue it 4 through state courts. 5 Q. And why would the cost be high? 6 A. Because of how long it takes to litigate. 7 Q. And there are notice and protest rights for dealers, 8 correct? 9 A. That's correct. 10 Q. The debtors have been paying and expect to pay all pre- 11 closing obligations owed to dealers, right? 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. As a result, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 780 dealers the debtors seek to reject, correct? 14 Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations, there's no 472  25 dost associated with assuming these contracts in terms of 472  26 A. Well, all I can say is that, you know, we've already committed to paying all the incentives and warranty claims that the 789 as well as the 2,392 are going to incur during bankruptcy. And I believe – it's my understanding that we've secured the funding to do so and the Court's approval to do that.  Q. To the extent there are any cost savings associated with assumbtion of all 780 dealers the debtors as aved by New Chrysler, not the debtors, correct?  12 A. Yeah, the savings of the new retained network going forward –  13 Q. Is' a yes or no. Yes or no?  14 A. Yeah, they will be by New Chrysler.  15 Q. In connection with the debtors' analysis of its business purpose in deciding which dealer agreements to seek to assume or reject, did the debtors consider the amount of the rejection damage claims that would be incurred?  16 A. No, we did not.  27 A. Well, all I can say is that, you know, we've already committed to paying all the incentives and warranty claims that we've secured the funding to do so and the Court's approval to do that.  28 Q. To the extent there are any cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 deal				
state franchise laws?  470  A. Well, because the cost would be well beyond what Chrysle had available to it in funds in order to get legal fees and everything else that is associated with trying to pursue it through state courts.  Q. And why would the cost be high? A. Because of how long it takes to litigate. Q. And there are notice and protest rights for dealers, correct? A. That's correct. Q. The debtors have been paying and expect to pay all pre- closing obligations owed to dealers, right? A. That's correct. Q. As a result, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 780 dealers the debtors seek to reject, correct? A. I believe so. I'm not sure, though. If you could repeat that? Connection with the assumption of all 789 dealers the debtors seek to reject.  MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a legal conclusion.  25 cost associated with assuming these contracts in terms of d770 A. Well, all I can say is that, you know, we've already committed to paying all the incentives and warranty claims that through state courts.  A. Well, all I can say is that, you know, we've already committed to paying all the incentives and warranty claims that the 789 as well as the 2,392 are going to incur during bankruptcy. And I believe — it's my understanding that we've secured the funding to do so and the Court's approval to do the 79 saved bankruptcy. And I believe — it's my understanding that we've secured the funding to do so and the Court's approval to do the 79 saved bankruptcy. And I believe — it's my understanding that we've secured the funding to do so and the Court's approval to do saved by New Chrysler, not the debtors, correct?  10 Q. I's a yes or no. Yes or no?  11 A. Yeah, the savings of the new retained network going 12 forward — 13 Q. It's a yes or no. Yes or no? 14 A. Yeah, they will be by New Chrysler. 15 Q. In connection with the debtors' analysis of its business purpose in deciding which dealer agreements to seek to assume 16 or reject, did the debtors consider the		* · •		•
470  A. Well, because the cost would be well beyond what Chrysle had available to it in funds in order to get legal fees and everything else that is associated with trying to pursue it through state courts.  Q. And why would the cost be high? 5 Dead why would the cost be high? 6 A. Because of how long it takes to litigate. 7 Q. And there are notice and protest rights for dealers, correct? 8 Q. To the extent there are any cost savings associated with prejecting these 789 dealers, those cost savings are going to be saved by New Chrysler, not the debtors, correct? 10 Q. The debtors have been paying and expect to pay all pre- closing obligations owed to dealers, right? 11 Can say is that, you know, we've already bankruptcy. And I believe it's my understanding that we've secured the funding to do so and the Court's approval to do that. 9 Q. To the extent there are any cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, those cost savings are going to be saved by New Chrysler, not the debtors, correct? 11 A. Yeah, the savings of the new retained network going 12 forward 13 Q. It's a yes or no. Yes or no? 14 Connection with the assumption of all 780 dealers the debtors 15 seek to reject, correct? 16 A. I believe so. I'm not sure, though. If you could repeat 16 that? 17 that? 18 Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations 19 owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid 10 in connection with the assumption of all 789 dealers the 11 debtors seek to reject. 12 MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a legal 22 conclusion. 23 Can in connection damage claims may constitute 24 MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a legal 25 MS. BROWN: I'm just asking for his understanding and 26 MS. BROWN: I'm just asking for his understanding and 27 malysis? 28 A. Well, all I can say is that, you know, we've already 29 A. Well, all I can say is that, you know, we'te already 29 and it be capsed and warranty claims that the rejection damage claims may constitute 29 administrative expense cl		•		
1 A. Well, because the cost would be well beyond what Chrysle had available to it in funds in order to get legal fees and everything else that is associated with trying to pursue it through state courts.  4 through state courts.  5 Q. And why would the cost be high?  6 A. Because of how long it takes to litigate.  7 Q. And there are notice and protest rights for dealers, correct?  8 Q. To the extent there are any cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, those cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, those cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, those cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, those cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, those cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, those cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, those cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, those cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, those cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, those cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, those cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, those cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, those cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, those cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, those cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, those cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, those cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, those cost savings associated with rejection with the assumption of all 780 dealers the debtors assume or reject, did the debtors analysis of its business purpose in deciding which dealer agreements to seek to assume or reject, did the debtors consider the amount of the rejection damage claims that would be incurred?  1 A. No, we did not.  1 A. No, we did not.  2 A. No, we did not.  2 A. No, we did not.  2 A. No, we did not.  3 D. A. No, we did not.  4 D. A. No, we did not.  5 D	25		25	~
2 had available to it in funds in order to get legal fees and 3 everything else that is associated with trying to pursue it 4 through state courts. 4 through state courts. 5 Q. And why would the cost be high? 6 A. Because of how long it takes to litigate. 7 Q. And there are notice and protest rights for dealers, 8 correct? 9 A. That's correct. 9 A. That's correct. 10 Q. The debtors have been paying and expect to pay all pre- 11 closing obligations owed to dealers, right? 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. As a result, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in 14 connection with the assumption of all 780 dealers the 15 Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations 16 Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations 17 owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in 18 Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations 19 owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 789 dealers the 10 Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations 19 owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 789 dealers the 10 Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations 10 owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 789 dealers the 10 Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations 10 owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 789 dealers the 11 debtors seek to reject. 12 MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a legal 13 conclusion. 14 THE COURT: Yes. 15 MS. BROWN: I'm just asking for his understanding and 16 A. Well, all I can say is that, the the capsing all the incentives and warranty claims that the rejection damage claims may constitute 17 that. 18 (a. That's correct. 19 A. Yeah, they will be by New Chrysler. 20 A. Yeah, they will be by New Chrysler. 21 A. Yeah, they will be by New Chrysler. 22 A. No, we did not.		4 / 0		4/2
2 had available to it in funds in order to get legal fees and 3 everything else that is associated with trying to pursue it 4 through state courts. 4 through state courts. 5 Q. And why would the cost be high? 6 A. Because of how long it takes to litigate. 7 Q. And there are notice and protest rights for dealers, 8 correct? 9 A. That's correct. 9 A. That's correct. 10 Q. The debtors have been paying and expect to pay all pre- 11 closing obligations owed to dealers, right? 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. As a result, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in 14 connection with the assumption of all 780 dealers the 15 Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations 16 Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations 17 owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in 18 Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations 19 owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 789 dealers the 20 debtors seek to reject. 21 MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a legal 22 conclusion. 23 M. Well, all I can say is that, you know, we've already 24 committed to paying all the incentives and warranty claims that the 789 as well as the 2,392 are going to incur during 25 bankruptcy. And I believe it's my understanding that the 789 as well as the 2,392 are going to incur during 26 bankruptcy. And I believe it's my understanding that the 789 as well as the 2,392 are going to incur during 26 bankruptcy. And I believe it's my understanding that the 789 as well as the 2,392 are going to be secured the funding to do so and the Court's approval to do that the 789 as well as the 2,392 are going to incur during bankruptcy. And I believe it's my understanding that the 789 as well as the 2,392 are going to be secured the funding to do so and the Court's approval to do that.  Q. To the extent there are any cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, those cost savings are going to be saved by New Chrysler, not	1	A Well because the cost would be well beyond what Chrysle	. 1	obligations awad to the 780 dealers, correct?
severything else that is associated with trying to pursue it through state courts.  Q. And why would the cost be high?  A. Because of how long it takes to litigate.  Q. And there are notice and protest rights for dealers, correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. The debtors have been paying and expect to pay all preclosing obligations owed to dealers, right?  A. That's correct.  Q. As a result, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in that?  A. I believe so. I'm not sure, though. If you could repeat that?  Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid that?  Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid that?  A. I believe so. I'm not sure, though. If you could repeat that?  A. No, we did not.  Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid that?  A. No, we did not.  Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid that?  A. No, we did not.  Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid that?  A. No, we did not.  Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid that?  A. No, we did not.  Q. And in connection with the debtors' analysis of its business purpose in deciding which dealer agreements to seek to assume or reject, did the rejection damage claims that would be rejection damage claims may constitute assume or reject, did the rejection damage claims may constitute administrative expense claims factor into the debtors' analysis?  THE COURT: Yes.  MS. BROWN: I'm just asking for his understanding and the court did the rejection damage claims may constitute analysis?		•		-
through state courts.  Q. And why would the cost be high?  A. Because of how long it takes to litigate.  Q. And there are notice and protest rights for dealers, correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. To the extent there are any cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, those cost savings are going to be saved by New Chrysler, not the debtors, correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. As a result, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 780 dealers the debtors  A. I believe so. I'm not sure, though. If you could repeat that?  Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 789 dealers the debtors seek to reject.  MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a legal  THE COURT: Yes.  MS. BROWN: I'm just asking for his understanding and				
Q. And why would the cost be high? A. Because of how long it takes to litigate. Q. And there are notice and protest rights for dealers, correct? B. Correct? C. And That's correct. C. The debtors have been paying and expect to pay all pre-closing obligations owed to dealers, right? C. That's correct. C. A. Yeah, the savings of the new retained network going forward C. A. Yeah, they will be by New Chrysler. C. A. Yeah, they w				
6 A. Because of how long it takes to litigate. 7 Q. And there are notice and protest rights for dealers, 8 correct? 9 A. That's correct. 9 Prejecting these 789 dealers, those cost savings are going to be 10 Q. The debtors have been paying and expect to pay all pre- 11 closing obligations owed to dealers, right? 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. As a result, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in 14 connection with the assumption of all 780 dealers the debtors 15 seek to reject, correct? 16 A. I believe so. I'm not sure, though. If you could repeat that? 17 dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in that? 16 Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 789 dealers the debtors debtors seek to reject 20 MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a legal conclusion. 21 Connection with the assumption of all 789 dealers the debtors seek to reject. 22 MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a legal conclusion. 23 Gardin in connection damage claims may constitute administrative expense claims factor into the debtors' analysis?		~		
Q. And there are notice and protest rights for dealers, correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. The debtors have been paying and expect to pay all pre- closing obligations owed to dealers, right?  A. That's correct.  Q. As a result, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 780 dealers the debtors  A. I believe so. I'm not sure, though. If you could repeat that?  Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 789 dealers the debtors seek to reject, correct?  MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a legal conclusion.  MS. BROWN: I'm just asking for his understanding and  That a.  Y that.  Q. To the extent there are any cost savings associated with rejecting these 789 dealers, those cost savings are going to be saved by New Chrysler, not the debtors, correct?  10 saved by New Chrysler, not the debtors, correct?  11 A. Yeah, the savings of the new retained network going forward  12 forward  13 Q. It's a yes or no. Yes or no?  4 A. Yeah, they will be by New Chrysler.  5 Q. In connection with the debtors' analysis of its business purpose in deciding which dealer agreements to seek to admage claims that would be incurred?  A. No, we did not.  Q. And in connection with the debtors' analysis of its business purpose in deciding which dealer agreements to seek to according to the rejection damage claims and samples of its business purpose in deciding which dealer agreements to seek to according to the rejection damage claims and connection damage claims and constitute administrative expense claims factor into the debtors' analysis?				
8 correct? 9 A. That's correct. 9 Q. The debtors have been paying and expect to pay all pre- 11 closing obligations owed to dealers, right? 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. As a result, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 780 dealers the debtors 15 seek to reject, correct? 16 A. I believe so. I'm not sure, though. If you could repeat that? 17 that? 18 Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in that? 19 owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in that? 20 in connection with the assumption of all 789 dealers the debtors seek to reject. 21 debtors seek to reject 22 MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a legal conclusion. 24 THE COURT: Yes. 25 MS. BROWN: I'm just asking for his understanding and conclusion. 26 A. That's correct. 27 A. Yeah, the savings of the new retained network going forward 28 Q. As a result, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in the debtors, correct? 30 Q. It's a yes or no. Yes or no? 31 A. Yeah, they will be by New Chrysler. 31 Q. It's a yes or no. Yes or no? 32 Q. In connection with the debtors' analysis of its business purpose in deciding which dealer agreements to seek to assume or reject, did the debtors' analysis of its business purpose in deciding which dealer agreements to seek to assume or reject, did the rejection damage claims did the assume or reject, did the rejection damage claims may constitute administrative expense claims factor into the debtors' analysis?				
9 A. That's correct. 10 Q. The debtors have been paying and expect to pay all pre- 11 closing obligations owed to dealers, right? 11 A. Yeah, the savings of the new retained network going 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. As a result, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in 14 connection with the assumption of all 780 dealers the debtors 15 seek to reject, correct? 16 A. I believe so. I'm not sure, though. If you could repeat 17 that? 18 Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations 19 owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid 20 in connection with the assumption of all 789 dealers the 21 debtors seek to reject 22 MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a legal 23 conclusion. 24 THE COURT: Yes. 25 MS. BROWN: I'm just asking for his understanding and			8	
Q. The debtors have been paying and expect to pay all pre-  closing obligations owed to dealers, right?  A. That's correct.  Q. As a result, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 780 dealers the debtors seek to reject, correct?  A. I believe so. I'm not sure, though. If you could repeat that?  Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in that?  Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 789 dealers the debtors seek to reject.  MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a legal conclusion.  MS. BROWN: I'm just asking for his understanding and captured in the assumption of all 789 dealers the administrative expense claims factor into the debtors' analysis?  10				•
closing obligations owed to dealers, right?  11 A. Yeah, the savings of the new retained network going 12 forward 13 Q. As a result, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in 14 connection with the assumption of all 780 dealers the debtors 15 seek to reject, correct? 16 A. I believe so. I'm not sure, though. If you could repeat 17 that? 18 Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations 19 owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid 20 in connection with the assumption of all 789 dealers the 21 debtors seek to reject 22 MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a legal 23 conclusion. 24 THE COURT: Yes. 25 MS. BROWN: I'm just asking for his understanding and 26 It's a yes or no. Yes or no? 16 A. Yeah, they will be by New Chrysler. 17 D. In connection with the debtors' analysis of its business purpose in deciding which dealer agreements to seek to assume damage claims that would be incurred? 19 A. No, we did not. 20 Q. And in connection with the debtors' analysis of its business purpose in deciding which dealer agreements to seek to assume or reject, did the rejection damage claims did the debtors' assume or reject, did the rejection damage claims may constitute administrative expense claims factor into the debtors' analysis?			10	
A. That's correct.  12 forward  13 Q. As a result, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in  14 connection with the assumption of all 780 dealers the debtors  15 seek to reject, correct?  16 A. I believe so. I'm not sure, though. If you could repeat  17 that?  18 Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations  19 owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid  20 in connection with the assumption of all 789 dealers the  21 debtors seek to reject  22 MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a legal  23 conclusion.  24 THE COURT: Yes.  25 MS. BROWN: I'm just asking for his understanding and	11		11	
Q. As a result, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 780 dealers the debtors seek to reject, correct?  A. I believe so. I'm not sure, though. If you could repeat that?  Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 789 dealers the debtors seek to reject  MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a legal conclusion.  MS. BROWN: I'm just asking for his understanding and conclusions  12	12			
connection with the assumption of all 780 dealers the debtors seek to reject, correct?  A. I believe so. I'm not sure, though. If you could repeat that?  Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 789 dealers the debtors seek to reject  MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a legal conclusion.  MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a legal conclusion.  MS. BROWN: I'm just asking for his understanding and	13		13	Q. It's a yes or no. Yes or no?
seek to reject, correct?  A. I believe so. I'm not sure, though. If you could repeat that?  D. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 789 dealers the debtors seek to reject  MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a legal conclusion.  MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a legal conclusion.  MR. ORR: Yes.  MS. BROWN: I'm just asking for his understanding and conclusion.  D. In connection with the debtors' analysis of its business purpose in deciding which dealer agreements to seek to assume or reject, did the debtors' analysis of its business purpose in deciding which dealer agreements to seek to assume or reject, did the rejection damage claims did the assume or reject, did the rejection damage claims may constitute administrative expense claims factor into the debtors' analysis?		•		
A. I believe so. I'm not sure, though. If you could repeat that?  16 purpose in deciding which dealer agreements to seek to assume or reject, did the debtors consider the amount of the rejection damage claims that would be incurred?  18 Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 789 dealers the debtors seek to reject  20 MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a legal conclusion.  21 debtors seek to reject  22 MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a legal conclusion.  23 fact that the rejection damage claims may constitute  24 THE COURT: Yes.  25 MS. BROWN: I'm just asking for his understanding and conclusions.  26 purpose in deciding which dealer agreements to seek to assume or reject, did the debtors consider the amount of the rejection damage claims that would be incurred?  26 A. No, we did not.  27 Q. And in connection with the debtors' analysis of its debtors seek to reject, did the rejection damage claims did the rejection damage claims may constitute administrative expense claims factor into the debtors' analysis?		*		
that?  Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 789 dealers the debtors seek to reject  MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a legal conclusion.  THE COURT: Yes.  MS. BROWN: I'm just asking for his understanding and  To reject, did the debtors consider the amount of the rejection damage claims that would be incurred?  A. No, we did not.  Q. And in connection with the debtors' analysis of its business purpose in deciding which dealer agreements to seek to assume or reject, did the rejection damage claims did the fact that the rejection damage claims may constitute administrative expense claims factor into the debtors' analysis?		*		·
Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 789 dealers the debtors seek to reject  MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a legal conclusion.  THE COURT: Yes.  MS. BROWN: I'm just asking for his understanding and damage claims that would be incurred?  A. No, we did not.  Q. And in connection with the debtors' analysis of its business purpose in deciding which dealer agreements to seek to assume or reject, did the rejection damage claims did the fact that the rejection damage claims may constitute administrative expense claims factor into the debtors' analysis?	17		17	
owed to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid in connection with the assumption of all 789 dealers the debtors seek to reject  MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a legal conclusion.  THE COURT: Yes.  MS. BROWN: I'm just asking for his understanding and condended to the dealers, there would be no cure amounts to be paid 20 Q. And in connection with the debtors' analysis of its 21 business purpose in deciding which dealer agreements to seek to 22 assume or reject, did the rejection damage claims did the 23 fact that the rejection damage claims may constitute 24 administrative expense claims factor into the debtors' analysis?	18	Q. As a result of paying all of the pre-closing obligations	18	
debtors seek to reject  MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a legal  conclusion.  THE COURT: Yes.  MS. BROWN: I'm just asking for his understanding and  business purpose in deciding which dealer agreements to seek to assume or reject, did the rejection damage claims did the fact that the rejection damage claims may constitute  administrative expense claims factor into the debtors'  analysis?	19		19	A. No, we did not.
debtors seek to reject  MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a legal  conclusion.  THE COURT: Yes.  MS. BROWN: I'm just asking for his understanding and  business purpose in deciding which dealer agreements to seek to assume or reject, did the rejection damage claims did the fact that the rejection damage claims may constitute  administrative expense claims factor into the debtors'  analysis?	20	•	20	
MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a legal 22 assume or reject, did the rejection damage claims did the conclusion. 23 fact that the rejection damage claims may constitute THE COURT: Yes. 24 administrative expense claims factor into the debtors' Analysis? 25 MS. BROWN: I'm just asking for his understanding and 26 analysis?	21	•	21	•
THE COURT: Yes.  24 administrative expense claims factor into the debtors' 25 MS. BROWN: I'm just asking for his understanding and 25 analysis?	22	-	22	
MS. BROWN: I'm just asking for his understanding and 25 analysis?	23	conclusion.	23	fact that the rejection damage claims may constitute
MS. BROWN: I'm just asking for his understanding and 25 analysis?				
471   473	24	THE COURT: Yes.	24	administrative expense claims factor into the debtors'

1	MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor. Same objection as	1	A. They're in the process of learning that now. And there's
2	the previous one.	2	an expectation that if your business is going to increase
3	THE COURT: Right. You're really asking him for a	3	dramatically, that you will expand your business, including
4	legal conclusion of the administrative	4	taking on more employees, taking on qualified technicians to
5	Q. In connect Mr. Grady, in connection with the debtors'	5	service the product.
6	analysis of its business purpose in deciding which dealer	6	Q. How can dealers, twenty percent of which are considered
7	agreements to seek to assume or reject, did the debtor ever	7	financially distressed, go out now and take on additional
8	look at how the claims could be characterized for purposes of	8	employees, renovations, add a franchise? You never looked at
9	damage claims or non-damage claims in bankruptcy?	9	the possibility of that actually being achieved by these
10	MR. ORR: Objection, Your Honor.	10	dealers, did you?
11	THE COURT: You can ask him if he looked at it.	11	A. Yeah, we looked at we looked at the entire dealer
12	A. No, we didn't look at any claims like that and never	12	network to see what is possible in each one of the markets for
13	contemplated that.	13	our representation.
14	Q. You never looked at how the claims would be treated by the	14	Q. But you didn't even talk to the dealers that you are
15	bankruptcy court?	15	expecting are going to expand, correct?
16	A. No.	16	A. That's correct.
17	Q. And in connection with the debtors' analysis of its	17	Q. Can you identify any benefit at all to Old Chrysler's
18	business purpose in deciding which dealer agreements to seek to		estate as compared with New Chrysler, as a result of rejecting
19	assume or reject, did the debtors consider the harm to the	19	the 789 dealer agreements?
20	employees of the dealers and the communities served by the	20	A. I think the benefit of rejecting the contracts is to to
21	dealers?	21	the new company, who is that's part of the asset sale from
22	A. That's not a simple yes or no answer. The consider	22	the new companies from the old company to the new company
23	Q. It's a yes or no question. You either considered it or	23	So part of the requirement is the transfer of the robust dealer
24	you didn't.	24	network. And that's part of the, presumably, the sale price
25	A we considered everything on behalf of trying to	25	and the value that the new company is paying, if you will, for
	474		476
1	transfer a robust dealer network to the new company.	1	the assets.
2	Q. And so the harm to the employees, the dealers and the	2	Q. But Fiat did not require the rejection of the 789
3	communities was outweighed, in your mind, by transferring what		agreements, did it?
4	you considered to be a robust dealer network to New Chrysler?	4	A. No. But Fiat did encourage and buys into a restructuring
5	MR. ORR: Objection. Mischaracterizes the witness'	5	of the dealer network which includes rationalization.
6	testimony, Your Honor.	6	Q. And this was not done at their insistence, though, was it?
7	THE COURT: He can answer that.	7	A. It was not done at their insistence, but it was part of
8	A. No, we did not we did not contemplate what the impact	8	what we looked at as an opportunity
9	on employment would be with the various rejected dealers for	9	Q. It's a yes or no question.
10			
	various reasons. One of them being, if we consolidate if we	10	A it's an opportunity to accelerate Genesis.
11	reject a Dodge agreement and we consolidate that Dodge into a	10 11	•
11 12	-		A it's an opportunity to accelerate Genesis.
	reject a Dodge agreement and we consolidate that Dodge into a	11	A it's an opportunity to accelerate Genesis.     MS. BROWN: I move to strike the rest of his answer.
12	reject a Dodge agreement and we consolidate that Dodge into a Chrysler Jeep, the business expansion may be able to take on	11 12	A it's an opportunity to accelerate Genesis.     MS. BROWN: I move to strike the rest of his answer.     THE COURT: It's granted. Go ahead.
12 13	reject a Dodge agreement and we consolidate that Dodge into a Chrysler Jeep, the business expansion may be able to take on some of those employees, if not all of them.	11 12 13	A it's an opportunity to accelerate Genesis.  MS. BROWN: I move to strike the rest of his answer.  THE COURT: It's granted. Go ahead.  MS. BROWN: Your Honor, I'd like to move Dealer
12 13 14	reject a Dodge agreement and we consolidate that Dodge into a Chrysler Jeep, the business expansion may be able to take on some of those employees, if not all of them.  Q. Did you do any analysis to see whether that was going to	11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A it's an opportunity to accelerate Genesis.</li> <li>MS. BROWN: I move to strike the rest of his answer.</li> <li>THE COURT: It's granted. Go ahead.</li> <li>MS. BROWN: Your Honor, I'd like to move Dealer</li> <li>Committee Exhibit 2 and 3, which were shown and identified by</li> </ul>
12 13 14 15	reject a Dodge agreement and we consolidate that Dodge into a Chrysler Jeep, the business expansion may be able to take on some of those employees, if not all of them.  Q. Did you do any analysis to see whether that was going to happen?  A. No, we did not.  Q. Did any of the dealerships which you are testifying are	11 12 13 14 15	A it's an opportunity to accelerate Genesis.  MS. BROWN: I move to strike the rest of his answer.  THE COURT: It's granted. Go ahead.  MS. BROWN: Your Honor, I'd like to move Dealer  Committee Exhibit 2 and 3, which were shown and identified by  Mr. Grady into evidence. And I understand that the debtor
12 13 14 15 16	reject a Dodge agreement and we consolidate that Dodge into a Chrysler Jeep, the business expansion may be able to take on some of those employees, if not all of them.  Q. Did you do any analysis to see whether that was going to happen?  A. No, we did not.	11 12 13 14 15	A it's an opportunity to accelerate Genesis.  MS. BROWN: I move to strike the rest of his answer.  THE COURT: It's granted. Go ahead.  MS. BROWN: Your Honor, I'd like to move Dealer  Committee Exhibit 2 and 3, which were shown and identified by  Mr. Grady into evidence. And I understand that the debtor  would like them filed under seal.
12 13 14 15 16 17	reject a Dodge agreement and we consolidate that Dodge into a Chrysler Jeep, the business expansion may be able to take on some of those employees, if not all of them.  Q. Did you do any analysis to see whether that was going to happen?  A. No, we did not.  Q. Did any of the dealerships which you are testifying are	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A it's an opportunity to accelerate Genesis.  MS. BROWN: I move to strike the rest of his answer.  THE COURT: It's granted. Go ahead.  MS. BROWN: Your Honor, I'd like to move Dealer  Committee Exhibit 2 and 3, which were shown and identified by  Mr. Grady into evidence. And I understand that the debtor  would like them filed under seal.  THE COURT: All right.  MR. ORR: Actually, Your Honor, we object to having them moved into evidence. We allowed them to be identified,
12 13 14 15 16 17	reject a Dodge agreement and we consolidate that Dodge into a Chrysler Jeep, the business expansion may be able to take on some of those employees, if not all of them.  Q. Did you do any analysis to see whether that was going to happen?  A. No, we did not.  Q. Did any of the dealerships which you are testifying are going to expand, did they agree to take on additional	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A it's an opportunity to accelerate Genesis.  MS. BROWN: I move to strike the rest of his answer.  THE COURT: It's granted. Go ahead.  MS. BROWN: Your Honor, I'd like to move Dealer  Committee Exhibit 2 and 3, which were shown and identified by  Mr. Grady into evidence. And I understand that the debtor  would like them filed under seal.  THE COURT: All right.  MR. ORR: Actually, Your Honor, we object to having them moved into evidence. We allowed them to be identified, but they are subject to a confidentiality agreement that
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	reject a Dodge agreement and we consolidate that Dodge into a Chrysler Jeep, the business expansion may be able to take on some of those employees, if not all of them.  Q. Did you do any analysis to see whether that was going to happen?  A. No, we did not.  Q. Did any of the dealerships which you are testifying are going to expand, did they agree to take on additional employees?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A it's an opportunity to accelerate Genesis.  MS. BROWN: I move to strike the rest of his answer.  THE COURT: It's granted. Go ahead.  MS. BROWN: Your Honor, I'd like to move Dealer  Committee Exhibit 2 and 3, which were shown and identified by Mr. Grady into evidence. And I understand that the debtor would like them filed under seal.  THE COURT: All right.  MR. ORR: Actually, Your Honor, we object to having them moved into evidence. We allowed them to be identified, but they are subject to a confidentiality agreement that provides for advisors' eyes-only information. We allowed the
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	reject a Dodge agreement and we consolidate that Dodge into a Chrysler Jeep, the business expansion may be able to take on some of those employees, if not all of them.  Q. Did you do any analysis to see whether that was going to happen?  A. No, we did not.  Q. Did any of the dealerships which you are testifying are going to expand, did they agree to take on additional employees?  A. No, because we did not approach them on this yet.  Q. So these dealerships are MS. BROWN: Strike that.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A it's an opportunity to accelerate Genesis.  MS. BROWN: I move to strike the rest of his answer.  THE COURT: It's granted. Go ahead.  MS. BROWN: Your Honor, I'd like to move Dealer  Committee Exhibit 2 and 3, which were shown and identified by  Mr. Grady into evidence. And I understand that the debtor  would like them filed under seal.  THE COURT: All right.  MR. ORR: Actually, Your Honor, we object to having them moved into evidence. We allowed them to be identified, but they are subject to a confidentiality agreement that provides for advisors' eyes-only information. We allowed the inquirer some latitude here today, Your Honor, so they could
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	reject a Dodge agreement and we consolidate that Dodge into a Chrysler Jeep, the business expansion may be able to take on some of those employees, if not all of them.  Q. Did you do any analysis to see whether that was going to happen?  A. No, we did not.  Q. Did any of the dealerships which you are testifying are going to expand, did they agree to take on additional employees?  A. No, because we did not approach them on this yet.  Q. So these dealerships are  MS. BROWN: Strike that.  Q. These dealers don't even know that you're expecting that	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A it's an opportunity to accelerate Genesis.  MS. BROWN: I move to strike the rest of his answer.  THE COURT: It's granted. Go ahead.  MS. BROWN: Your Honor, I'd like to move Dealer  Committee Exhibit 2 and 3, which were shown and identified by  Mr. Grady into evidence. And I understand that the debtor  would like them filed under seal.  THE COURT: All right.  MR. ORR: Actually, Your Honor, we object to having them moved into evidence. We allowed them to be identified, but they are subject to a confidentiality agreement that provides for advisors' eyes-only information. We allowed the inquirer some latitude here today, Your Honor, so they could  THE COURT: You've got wait a minute. You're
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	reject a Dodge agreement and we consolidate that Dodge into a Chrysler Jeep, the business expansion may be able to take on some of those employees, if not all of them.  Q. Did you do any analysis to see whether that was going to happen?  A. No, we did not.  Q. Did any of the dealerships which you are testifying are going to expand, did they agree to take on additional employees?  A. No, because we did not approach them on this yet.  Q. So these dealerships are  MS. BROWN: Strike that.  Q. These dealers don't even know that you're expecting that they're going to expand and renovate their facilities, and that	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A it's an opportunity to accelerate Genesis.  MS. BROWN: I move to strike the rest of his answer.  THE COURT: It's granted. Go ahead.  MS. BROWN: Your Honor, I'd like to move Dealer  Committee Exhibit 2 and 3, which were shown and identified by  Mr. Grady into evidence. And I understand that the debtor  would like them filed under seal.  THE COURT: All right.  MR. ORR: Actually, Your Honor, we object to having them moved into evidence. We allowed them to be identified, but they are subject to a confidentiality agreement that provides for advisors' eyes-only information. We allowed the inquirer some latitude here today, Your Honor, so they could  THE COURT: You've got wait a minute. You're talking too fast.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	reject a Dodge agreement and we consolidate that Dodge into a Chrysler Jeep, the business expansion may be able to take on some of those employees, if not all of them.  Q. Did you do any analysis to see whether that was going to happen?  A. No, we did not.  Q. Did any of the dealerships which you are testifying are going to expand, did they agree to take on additional employees?  A. No, because we did not approach them on this yet.  Q. So these dealerships are  MS. BROWN: Strike that.  Q. These dealers don't even know that you're expecting that	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A it's an opportunity to accelerate Genesis.  MS. BROWN: I move to strike the rest of his answer.  THE COURT: It's granted. Go ahead.  MS. BROWN: Your Honor, I'd like to move Dealer  Committee Exhibit 2 and 3, which were shown and identified by  Mr. Grady into evidence. And I understand that the debtor  would like them filed under seal.  THE COURT: All right.  MR. ORR: Actually, Your Honor, we object to having them moved into evidence. We allowed them to be identified, but they are subject to a confidentiality agreement that provides for advisors' eyes-only information. We allowed the inquirer some latitude here today, Your Honor, so they could  THE COURT: You've got wait a minute. You're

5 else? 6 MR. ORR: That is correct. 7 THE COURT: All right. So you want them under seal but not only that, you're objecting to their admission on what 9 grounds? 10 MR. ORR: Your Honor, I'm objecting on the grounds 11 that they are confidential for advisors' eyes-only. That was 12 the agreement that we had with regard to this confidential information. And we have not waived that agreement. 14 MS. BROWN: Can I respond, Your Honor? 15 THE COURT: No. Not yet. Wait a minute. But I'm 16 not quite sure and understand what your objection is. If 17 they're admitted under seal, there's no one going to see them 18 other than me and my staff. So what I'm not quite sure as 19 to what the issue is? 10 MR. ORR: Well, if you're admitting them under seal, then I think we might be okay with that. The problem 22 done, then I think we might be okay with that. The problem 24 that we have a series of dealers who are going to be coming 24 that we have a series of dealers who are going to be coming 24 agreement that these documents remain under seal, only for your 24 eyes and for others, perhaps that's something we can live with. 4 (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 2, spreadsheet on 4 inhat regard.  5 information. There's been no motion to relieve people of any liability, to my knowledge, that an order has been entered in that regard.  6 MR. SUNSHINE: Well, I've been told by				
MR. ORR: Yes, that is correct.  THE COURT: You don't want them shared with anyone else?  MR. ORR: That is correct.  THE COURT: All right. So you want them under seal but not only that, you're objecting to their admission on what grounds?  MR. ORR: Your Honor, I'm objecting on the grounds that they are confidential for advisors' eyes-only. That was the agreement that we had with regard to this confidential information. And we have not waived that agreement.  MS. BROWN: Can I respond, Your Honor?  THE COURT: No. Not yet. Wait a minute. But I'm not quite sure and understand what your objection is. If they're admitted under seal, there's no no going to see them other than me and my staff. So what — I'm not quite sure as to what the issue is?  MR. ORR: Well, if you're admitting them under seal, your Honor, as with some of the other provisions that we've done, then I think we might be okay with that. The problem is that we have a series of dealers who are going to be coming agreement that these documents remain under seal, only for your eyes and for others, perhaps that; something we can live with.  A (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 2, spreadsheet on deleaship performance, was hereby received into evidence undes seal as of this date.)  A was a true to speak, have the courtesy to stop. All right. What you're talking about is incomplete information. There's been no motion to relieve people of any liability, to my knowledge, that an order has been entered in that regard.  MR. SUNSHINE: Well, I've been told by —  THE COURT: You shouldn't even be —  MR. SUNSHINE: Well, I've been told by —  THE COURT: That may be.  MR. SUNSHINE: But let's not depart from the issue in question at the present time. I have a dealer who's being wrongfully terminated, in my opinine, cut off from any dama of the present time. I have a dealer who's being wrongfully terminated, in my opinine, cut off from any dama of the present time. I have a dealer who's being wrongfully terminated, in my opinine, cut off from any dama of the present time	1	THE COURT: And you're not talking into a microphone	1	MR. SUNSHINE: and many
THE COURT: You don't want them shared with anyone else?  MR. ORR: That is correct. THE COURT: All right. So you want them under seal but not only that, you're objecting to their admission on what grounds?  MR. ORR: Your Honor, I'm objecting on the grounds that they are confidential for advisors' eyes-only. That was the agreement that we had with regard to this confidential information. And we have not waived that agreement.  MS. BROWN: Can I respond, Your Honor?  THE COURT: No. Not yet. Wait a minute. But I'm not quite sure and understand what your objection is. If they're admitted under seal, there's no one going to see them other than me and my staff. So what — I'm not quite sure as to what the issue is?  MR. ORR: Well, if you're admitting them under seal, Your Honor, as with some of the other provisions that we've done, then I think we might be okay with that. The problem that we have is we do have an agreement for advisors' eyes-only that we have a series of dealers who are going to be coming agreement that these documents remain under seal, only for your eyes and for others, perhaps that's something we can live with. (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 2, spreadsheet on dealership performance, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this date.)  THE COURT: No. Not yet. Wait a minute. But I'm not quite sure as to what the issue is?  THE COURT: That may be.  MR. SUNSHINE: But let's not depart from the issue in question at the present time. I have a dealer who's being wrongfully terminated, in my opinion; cut off from any dama If the sale is approved, his objection to the rejection will be moot, based on what Your Honor said about an hour ago. At Chrysler will be successful in cutting off the rights, if any, that my client has under state law and otherwise. And no off the rights, if any, that my client has under state law and otherwise. And no off the rights, if any, and the present index of the sale is approved, his objection to the rejection will be moot, based on what Your Honor said about an	2	These are authentic documents. Is that correct?	2	THE COURT: Just a minute, sir. Excuse me. If I
5 else?  MR. ORR: That is correct.  THE COURT: All right. So you want them under seal that they are confidential for advisors' eyes-only. That was one quite sure and understand what your objections. If they're admitted under seal, there's no ne going to see them one there is that we have a series of dealers who are going to be dealership performance, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this date.)  MR. ORR: That is correct.  THE COURT: All right. So you want them under seal of the frame and making objections. If we have an order seal as of this date.)  5 information. There's been no motion to relieve people of any liability, to my knowledge, that an order has been entered in that regard.  4 MR. SUNSHINE: Well, I've been told by	3	MR. ORR: Yes, that is correct.	3	start to speak, have the courtesy to stop. That's the
MR. ORR: That is correct.  THE COURT: All right. So you want them under seal but not only that, you're objecting to their admission on what grounds?  MR. ORR: Your Honor, I'm objecting on the grounds that they are confidential for advisors' eyes-only. That was the agreement that we had with regard to this confidential information. And we have not waived that agreement.  MS. BROWN: Can I respond, Your Honor?  THE COURT: No. Not yet. Wait a minute. But I'm not quite sure and understand what your objection is. If they're admitted under seal, there's no one going to see them other than me and my staff. So what — I'm not quite sure as to what the issue is?  MR. ORR: Well, if you're admitting them under seal, Your Honor, as with some of the other provisions that we've done, then I think we might be okay with that. The problem that we have is we do have an agreement for advisors' eyes-only at that we have as series of dealers who are going to be coming agreement that these documents remain under seal, only for your eyes and for others, perhaps that's something we can live with.  (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 2, spreadsheet on dealership performance, was hereby received into evidence under seal so of this date.)  Iiability, to my knowledge, that an order has been entered in that regard.  MR. SUNSHINE: Well, I've been told by — THE COURT: You shouldn't even be — MR. SUNSHINE: -counsel sitting to my left that such a motion was made and the time to object has already passed.  THE COURT: That may be.  MR. SUNSHINE: -counsel sitting to my left that such a motion was made and the time to object has already passed.  THE COURT: That may be.  Chrysler will be successful in cutting off the rights, if any, that my client has under state law and otherwise. And now I' told that because of a confidentiality agreement between othe parties, I'm not even going to be able to see these documents.  THE COURT: All right, well —  MR. SUNSHINE: Well, I've been told by —  MR. SUNSHINE: will be successful in cutting off the rights, if	4	THE COURT: You don't want them shared with anyone	4	protocol. All right? What you're talking about is incomplete
THE COURT: All right. So you want them under seal but not only that, you're objecting to their admission on what grounds?  MR. ORR: Your Honor, I'm objecting on the grounds that they are confidential for advisors' eyes-only. That was that they are confidential for advisors' eyes-only. That was the agreement that we had with regard to this confidential information. And we have not waived that agreement.  MS. BROWN: Can I respond, Your Honor?  THE COURT: No. Not yet. Wait a minute. But I'm to quite sure and understand what your objection is. If they're admitted under seal, there's no one going to see them other than me and my staff. So what I'm not quite sure as to what the issue is?  MR. ORR: Well, if you're admitting them under seal, to what the issue is?  MR. ORR: Well, if you're admitting them under seal, that we have as with some of the other provisions that we've done, then I think we might be okay with that. The problem that we have is we do have an agreement for advisors' eyes-only that we do want to stand by. And what we are concerned about is that we have a series of dealers who are going to be coming agreement that these documents remain under seal, only for your eyers and for others, perhaps that's something we can live with. (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 2, spreadsheet on dealership performance, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this date.)  THE COURT: You shouldn't even be - MR. SUNSHINE: Well, I've been told by - THE COURT: You shouldn't even be - MR. SUNSHINE: Well, I've been told by - THE COURT: You shouldn't even be - MR. SUNSHINE: Under that me to object has already passed.  THE COURT: You shouldn't even be - MR. SUNSHINE: That may be.  MR. SUNSHINE: But let's not depart from the issue in the soul in the top object on is. If the sale is approved, his objection is the rejection will be moot, based on what Your Honor said about an hour ago. Are Chrysler will be successful in cutting off the rights, if any, that my client has under stale law and otherwise. And nor I'm	5	else?	5	information. There's been no motion to relieve people of any
8 but not only that, you're objecting to their admission on what 9 grounds?  10 MR. ORR: Your Honor, I'm objecting on the grounds 11 that they are confidential for advisors' eyes-only. That was 12 the agreement that we had with regard to this confidential 13 information. And we have not waived that agreement.  14 MS. BROWN: Can I respond, Your Honor? 15 THE COURT: No. Not yet. Wait a minute. But I'm 16 they're admitted under seal, there's no one going to see them 17 to what the issue is? 18 ow hat the issue is? 20 MR. ORR: Well, if you're admitting them under seal, to what the issue of 22 done, then I think we might be okay with that. The problem 18 that we have a series of dealers who are going to be coming 19 agreement that these documents remain under seal, only for your 29 eyes and for others, perhaps that's something we can live with. 14 (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 3, dealer network 29 date.)  15 THE COURT: You shouldn't even be	6	MR. ORR: That is correct.	6	liability, to my knowledge, that an order has been entered in
9 grounds? 10 MR. ORR: Your Honor, I'm objecting on the grounds that they are confidential for advisors' eyes-only. That was the agreement that we had with regard to this confidential information. And we have not waived that agreement. 14 MS. BROWN: Can I respond, Your Honor? 15 THE COURT: No. Not yet. Wait a minute. But I'm not quite sure and understand what your objection is. If they're admitted under seal, there's no one going to see them other than me and my staff. So what I'm not quite sure as to what the issue is? 19 MR. ORR: Well, if you're admitting them under seal, 21 Your Honor, as with some of the other provisions that we've done, then I think we might be okay with that. The problem that we have is we do have an agreement for advisors' eyes-only is that we have a series of dealers who are going to be coming agreement that these documents remain under seal, only for your eyes and for others, perhaps that's something we can live with. 10 MR. SUNSHINE: But let's not depart from the issue in question at the present time. I have a dealer who's being wrongfully terminated, in my opinion; cut off from any dama brother than me and my staff. So what I'm not quite sure as to what the issue is? 10 MR. ORR: Well, if you're admitting them under seal, 21 Your Honor, as with some of the other provisions that we've done, then I think we might be okay with that. The problem that we have is we do have an agreement for advisors' eyes-only that we do want to stand by. And what we are concerned about a that we have a series of dealers who are going to be coming agreement that these documents remain under seal, only for your eyes and for others, perhaps that's something we can live with. 10 MR. SUNSHINE: mot eye going to be able to see these documents. THE COURT: All right. First of all, these documents under seal at this point have been introduced because of confidentiality agreement, I assume. 11 THE COURT: All right. First of all, these documents under seal at this point have been introduced because of confidenti	7	THE COURT: All right. So you want them under seal	7	that regard.
MR. ORR: Your Honor, I'm objecting on the grounds that they are confidential for advisors' eyes-only. That was the agreement that we had with regard to this confidential information. And we have not waived that agreement.  MS. BROWN: Can I respond, Your Honor?  THE COURT: No. Not yet. Wait a minute. But I'm not quite sure and understand what your objection is. If they're admitted under seal, there's no one going to see them other than me and my staff. So what I'm not quite sure as to what the issue is?  MR. ORR: Well, if you're admitting them under seal, one, then I think we might be okay with that. The problem done, then I think we might be okay with that. The problem is that we have is we do have an agreement for advisors' eyes-only that we have a series of dealers who are going to be coming agreement that these documents remain under seal, only for your geys and for others, perhaps that's something we can live with. (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 2, spreadsheet on dealership performance, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this date.)  MR. SUNSHINE: counsel sitting to my left that such a motion was made and the time to object has already passed.  THE COURT: That may be.  MR. SUNSHINE: But let's not depart from the issue in question at the present time. I have a dealer who's being wortoffully terminated, in my opinion; cut off from any dama of the present time. I have a dealer who's being wortoffully terminated, in my opinion; cut off from any dama of the sale is approved, his objection to the rejection will be moot, based on what Your Honor said about an hour ago. Are Chrysler will be successful in cutting off the rights, if any, that my client has under state law and otherwise. And now I'm told that because of a confidentiality agreement between othe parties, I'm not even going to be able to see these documents.  THE COURT: All right. First of all, these documents under seal at this point have been introduced because of confidentiality concerns. Counsel who's been using them has	8	but not only that, you're objecting to their admission on what	8	MR. SUNSHINE: Well, I've been told by
that they are confidential for advisors' eyes-only. That was the agreement that we had with regard to this confidential information. And we have not waived that agreement.  MS. BROWN: Can I respond, Your Honor?  THE COURT: No. Not yet. Wait a minute. But I'm not quite sure and understand what your objection is. If they're admitted under seal, there's no one going to see them other than me and my staff. So what — I'm not quite sure as to what the issue is?  MR. ORR: Well, if you're admitting them under seal, Your Honor, as with some of the other provisions that we've done, then I think we might be okay with that. The problem that we have is we do have an agreement for advisors' eyes-only that we have a series of dealers who are going to be coming agreement that these documents remain under seal, only for your eyes and for others, perhaps that's something we can live with. (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 2, spreadsheet on dealership performance, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this date.)  that they are confidential for advisors' eyes-only. That was but have and the time to object has already passed.  THE COURT: That may be.  MR. SUNSHINE: But let's not depart from the issue in question at the present time. I have a dealer who's being wrongfully terminated, in my opinion; cut off from any dama If the sale is approved, his objection to the rejection will be moot, based on what Your Honor said about an hour ago. Ar Chrysler will be successful in cutting off the rights, if any, that my client has under state law and otherwise. And now I' to do that because of a confidentiality agreement between othe parties, I'm not even going to be able to see these documents, because they're going to be under seal. And I object.  THE COURT: All right. First of all, these documents apparently signed a confidentiality concerns. Counsel who's been using them has apparently signed a confidentiality agreement, I assume.  (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 3, dealer network review, was hereby received into	9	grounds?	9	THE COURT: You shouldn't even be
that they are confidential for advisors' eyes-only. That was the agreement that we had with regard to this confidential information. And we have not waived that agreement.  MS. BROWN: Can I respond, Your Honor? THE COURT: No. Not yet. Wait a minute. But I'm not quite sure and understand what your objection is. If they're admitted under seal, there's no one going to see them other than me and my staff. So what — I'm not quite sure as to what the issue is?  MR. ORR: Well, if you're admitting them under seal, Your Honor, as with some of the other provisions that we've done, then I think we might be okay with that. The problem that we have is we do have an agreement for advisors' eyes-only that we have a series of dealers who are going to be coming agreement that these documents remain under seal, only for your geys and for others, perhaps that's something we can live with. (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 2, spreadsheet on dealership performance, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this date.)	10	MR. ORR: Your Honor, I'm objecting on the grounds	10	MR. SUNSHINE: counsel sitting to my left that
the agreement that we had with regard to this confidential information. And we have not waived that agreement.  MS. BROWN: Can I respond, Your Honor? THE COURT: No. Not yet. Wait a minute. But I'm not quite sure and understand what your objection is. If they're admitted under seal, there's no one going to see them other than me and my staff. So what I'm not quite sure as to what the issue is?  MR. ORR: Well, if you're admitting them under seal, Your Honor, as with some of the other provisions that we've done, then I think we might be okay with that. The problem that we have is we do have an agreement for advisors' eyes-only that we have a series of dealers who are going to be coming agreement that these documents remain under seal, only for your ges and for others, perhaps that's something we can live with.  (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 2, spreadsheet on dealership performance, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this date.)  THE COURT: That may be.  MR. SUNSHINE: But let's not depart from the issue in question at the present time. I have a dealer who's being wrongfully terminated, in my opinion; cut off from any dama If the sale is approved, his objection to the rejection will be moot, based on what Your Honor said about an hour ago. Ar Chrysler will be successful in cutting off the rights, if any, that my client has under state law and otherwise. And now I' told that because of a confidentiality agreement between othe parties, I'm not even going to be able to see these documents, because they're going to be under seal. And I object.  THE COURT: All right. First of all, these documents under seal at this point have been introduced because of confidentiality concerns. Counsel who's been using them has apparently signed a confidentiality agreement, I assume.  MS. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. And I don't know if you'd consider signing the agreement or not, which addresses one	11	v -	11	such a motion was made and the time to object has already
13 information. And we have not waived that agreement. 14 MS. BROWN: Can I respond, Your Honor? 15 THE COURT: No. Not yet. Wait a minute. But I'm 16 not quite sure and understand what your objection is. If 17 they're admitted under seal, there's no one going to see them 18 other than me and my staff. So what I'm not quite sure as 19 to what the issue is? 20 MR. ORR: Well, if you're admitting them under seal, 21 Your Honor, as with some of the other provisions that we've 22 done, then I think we might be okay with that. The problem 23 that we have is we do have an agreement for advisors' eyes-only 24 that we do want to stand by. And what we are concerned about 25 in here and making objections. If we have an operating 26 agreement that these documents remain under seal, only for your 27 eyes and for others, perhaps that's something we can live with. 28 (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 2, spreadsheet on 29 date.) 21 THE COURT: That may be.  MR. SUNSHINE: But let's not depart from the issue in question at the present time. I have a dealer who's being wrongfully terminated, in my opinion; cut off from any dama If the sale is approved, his objection to the rejection will be moot, based on what Your Honor said about an hour ago. Ar Chrysler will be successful in cutting off the rights, if any, that my client has under state law and otherwise. And now I' told that because of a confidentiality agreement between othe parties, I'm not even going to be under seal. And I object.  THE COURT: All right. First of all, these documents under seal at this pointing agreement, and I object.  THE COURT: All right. First of all, these documents under seal at this pointing agreement, I assume.  ARS. SUNSHINE: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. First of all, these documents under seal at this pointing agreement, I assume.  ARS. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. And I don't know if you'd consider signing the agreement or not, which addresses one	12	the agreement that we had with regard to this confidential	12	passed.
MS. BROWN: Can I respond, Your Honor?  THE COURT: No. Not yet. Wait a minute. But I'm  they're admitted under seal, there's no one going to see them other than me and my staff. So what I'm not quite sure as to what the issue is?  MR. ORR: Well, if you're admitting them under seal, Your Honor, as with some of the other provisions that we've done, then I think we might be okay with that. The problem that we have is we do have an agreement for advisors' eyes-only that we have a series of dealers who are going to be coming  tis that we have a series of dealers who are going to be coming  agreement that these documents remain under seal, only for your eyes and for others, perhaps that's something we can live with.  (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 2, spreadsheet on dealership performance, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this date.)  MR. SUNSHINE: But let's not depart from the issue in question at the present time. I have a dealer who's being wrongfully terminated, in my opinion; cut off from any dama If the sale is approved, his objection to the rejection will be moot, based on what Your Honor said about an hour ago. Ar Chrysler will be successful in cutting off the rights, if any, that my client has under state law and otherwise. And now I' told that because of a confidentiality agreement between othe parties, I'm not even going to be able to see these documents, because they're going to be under seal. And I object.  THE COURT: All right. First of all, these documents under seal at this point have been introduced because of confidentiality oncerns. Counsel who's been using them has apparently signed a confidentiality agreement, I assume.  MS. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. And I don't know if you'd consider signing the agreement or not, which addresses one	13		13	THE COURT: That may be.
THE COURT: No. Not yet. Wait a minute. But I'm they're admitted under seal, there's no one going to see them they're admitted under seal, there's no one going to see them to other than me and my staff. So what I'm not quite sure as to what the issue is?  MR. ORR: Well, if you're admitting them under seal, Your Honor, as with some of the other provisions that we've done, then I think we might be okay with that. The problem that we have is we do have an agreement for advisors' eyes-only that we have a series of dealers who are going to be coming tis that we have a series of dealers who are going to be coming agreement that these documents remain under seal, only for your eyes and for others, perhaps that's something we can live with.  (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 2, spreadsheet on dealership performance, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this date.)  THE COURT: All right. First of all, these documents apparently signed a confidentiality agreement, I assume.  MR. SUNSHINE: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. First of all, these documents apparently signed a confidentiality agreement, I assume.  MR. SUNSHINE: Thank you your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. First of all, these documents under seal at this point have been introduced because of confidentiality concerns. Counsel who's been using them has apparently signed a confidentiality agreement, I assume.  MR. SUNSHINE: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. First of all, these documents under seal at this point have been introduced because of confidentiality concerns. Counsel who's been using them has apparently signed a confidentiality agreement, I assume.  MS. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. And I don't know if you'd consider signing the agreement or not, which addresses one	14	_	14	•
not quite sure and understand what your objection is. If they're admitted under seal, there's no one going to see them other than me and my staff. So what I'm not quite sure as to what the issue is?  MR. ORR: Well, if you're admitting them under seal, Your Honor, as with some of the other provisions that we've done, then I think we might be okay with that. The problem that we have is we do have an agreement for advisors' eyes-only is that we have a series of dealers who are going to be coming in here and making objections. If we have an operating agreement that these documents remain under seal, only for your eyes and for others, perhaps that's something we can live with. (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 2, spreadsheet on dealership performance, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this date.)  not quite sure and understand what your objection is. If the yire admitted under seal, there's no one going to see them that they're admitted under seal, there's no one going to see them that the sale is approved, his objection to the rejection will be moot, based on what Your Honor said about an hour ago. Ar Chrysler will be successful in cutting off the rights, if any, that my client has under state law and otherwise. And now I' told that because of a confidentiality agreement between othe parties, I'm not even going to be able to see these documents, because they're going to be under seal. And I object.  THE COURT: All right. First of all, these documents  MR. SUNSHINE: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. First of all, these documents under seal at this point have been introduced because of confidentiality concerns. Counsel who's been using them has apparently signed a confidentiality agreement, I assume.  MS. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. And I don't know if you'd consider signing the agreement or not, which addresses one	15	•	15	•
they're admitted under seal, there's no one going to see them other than me and my staff. So what I'm not quite sure as to what the issue is?  MR. ORR: Well, if you're admitting them under seal, Your Honor, as with some of the other provisions that we've done, then I think we might be okay with that. The problem that we have is we do have an agreement for advisors' eyes-only that we have a series of dealers who are going to be coming tis that we have a series of dealers who are going to be coming agreement that these documents remain under seal, only for your geys and for others, perhaps that's something we can live with. (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 2, spreadsheet on dealership performance, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this date.)  (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 3, dealer network review, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this date.)  The court: If the sale is approved, his objection to the rejection will be moot, based on what Your Honor said about an hour ago. Are Chrysler will be successful in cutting off the rights, if any, that my client has under state law and otherwise. And now I' told that because of a confidentiality agreement between othe parties, I'm not even going to be able to see these documents, because they're going to be under seal. And I object.  THE COURT: All right, well MR. SUNSHINE: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. First of all, these documents under seal at this point have been introduced because of confidentiality concerns. Counsel who's been using them has apparently signed a confidentiality agreement, I assume.  MS. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. And I don't know if you'd consider signing the agreement or not, which addresses one		·	16	
other than me and my staff. So what I'm not quite sure as to what the issue is?  MR. ORR: Well, if you're admitting them under seal, Your Honor, as with some of the other provisions that we've done, then I think we might be okay with that. The problem that we have is we do have an agreement for advisors' eyes-only is that we have a series of dealers who are going to be coming tis that we have a series of dealers who are going to be coming agreement that these documents remain under seal, only for your geys and for others, perhaps that's something we can live with.  (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 2, spreadsheet on dealership performance, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this date.)  (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 3, dealer network review, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this date.)  (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 3, dealer network review, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this date.)  (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 3, dealer network review, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this date.)  (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 3, dealer network review, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this date.)  (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 3, dealer network review, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this date.)		•		
to what the issue is?  MR. ORR: Well, if you're admitting them under seal, Your Honor, as with some of the other provisions that we've done, then I think we might be okay with that. The problem that we have is we do have an agreement for advisors' eyes-only that we do want to stand by. And what we are concerned about is that we have a series of dealers who are going to be coming to the them that these documents remain under seal, only for your geyes and for others, perhaps that's something we can live with.  (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 2, spreadsheet on dealership performance, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this date.)  Chrysler will be successful in cutting off the rights, if any, that my client has under state law and otherwise. And now I' told that because of a confidentiality agreement between othe parties, I'm not even going to be under seal. And I object.  THE COURT: All right, well		•		**
MR. ORR: Well, if you're admitting them under seal, Your Honor, as with some of the other provisions that we've done, then I think we might be okay with that. The problem that we have is we do have an agreement for advisors' eyes-only that we do want to stand by. And what we are concerned about is that we have a series of dealers who are going to be coming to have a series of dealers who are going to be coming agreement that these documents remain under seal, only for your geys and for others, perhaps that's something we can live with.  (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 2, spreadsheet on dealership performance, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this dealership performance, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this dealership performance, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this dealership performance, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this does that my client has under state law and otherwise. And now I' told that my client has under state law and otherwise. And now I' told that my client has under state law and otherwise. And now I' told that my client has under state law and otherwise. And now I' told that my client has under state law and otherwise. And now I' told that my client has under state law and otherwise. And now I' told that my client has under state law and otherwise. And now I' told that my client has under state law and otherwise. And now I' told that my client has under state law and otherwise. And now I' told that my client has under state law and otherwise. And now I' told that because of a confidentiality agreement between othe parties, I'm not even going to be under seal at his poing to be under seal.  THE COURT: All right. First of all, these documents under seal at this		•		_
Your Honor, as with some of the other provisions that we've done, then I think we might be okay with that. The problem that we have is we do have an agreement for advisors' eyes-only that we have is we do have an agreement for advisors' eyes-only is that we have a series of dealers who are going to be coming agreement that these documents remain under seal, only for your seyes and for others, perhaps that's something we can live with.  (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 2, spreadsheet on dealership performance, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this date.)  Your Honor, as with some of the other provisions that we've done, then I think we might be okay with that. The problem 22 parties, I'm not even going to be able to see these documents, because they're going to be under seal. And I object.  THE COURT: All right, well MR. SUNSHINE: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: not at all.  MR. SUNSHINE: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. First of all, these documents under seal at this point have been introduced because of confidentiality concerns. Counsel who's been using them has apparently signed a confidentiality agreement, I assume.  (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 3, dealer network review, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this date.)  (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 3, dealer network review, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this date.)  MR. SUNSHINE: To not at all.  MR. SUNSHINE: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. First of all, these documents apparently signed a confidentiality agreement, I assume.  MS. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. And I don't know if you'd consider signing the agreement or not, which addresses one				
done, then I think we might be okay with that. The problem that we have is we do have an agreement for advisors' eyes-only that we have is we do have an agreement for advisors' eyes-only that we do want to stand by. And what we are concerned about is that we have a series of dealers who are going to be coming agreement that these documents remain under seal, only for your geys and for others, perhaps that's something we can live with.  (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 2, spreadsheet on dealership performance, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this date.)  THE COURT: not at all.  MR. SUNSHINE: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. First of all, these documents under seal at this point have been introduced because of confidentiality concerns. Counsel who's been using them has apparently signed a confidentiality agreement, I assume.  MS. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right. And I don't know if you'd consider signing the agreement or not, which addresses one		•		•
that we have is we do have an agreement for advisors' eyes-only that we do want to stand by. And what we are concerned about is that we have a series of dealers who are going to be coming is that we have a series of dealers who are going to be coming ad 478.  THE COURT: All right, well  MR. SUNSHINE: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: not at all.  MR. SUNSHINE: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right, well  MR. SUNSHINE: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: not at all.  MR. SUNSHINE: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right, well  MR. SUNSHINE: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: not at all.  MR. SUNSHINE: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right have documents under seal at this point have been introduced because of confidentiality concerns. Counsel who's been using them has apparently signed a confidentiality agreement, I assume.  (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 3, dealer network review, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this date.)  MR. SUNSHINE: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right have decouments under seal at this point have been introduced because of confidentiality concerns. Counsel who's been using them has apparently signed a confidentiality agreement, I assume.  MS. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.  MR. SUNSHINE: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right have decouments under seal as of this apparently signed a confidentiality agreement, I assume.  MS. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right have decouments apparently signed a confidentiality agreement, I assume.  MS. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right have decouments apparently signed a confidentiality agreement or not, which addresses one		•		
that we do want to stand by. And what we are concerned about is that we have a series of dealers who are going to be coming 478  THE COURT: All right, well  MR. SUNSHINE: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: not at all.  THE COURT: not at all.  MR. SUNSHINE: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right, well  MR. SUNSHINE: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: not at all.  MR. SUNSHINE: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right, well  MR. SUNSHINE: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: not at all.  MR. SUNSHINE: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right, well  MR. SUNSHINE: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: not at all.  MR. SUNSHINE: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right, well  MR. SUNSHINE: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: not at all.  MR. SUNSHINE: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right, well  MR. SUNSHINE: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: not at all.  MR. SUNSHINE: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right, well  MR. SUNSHINE: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: not at all.  MR. SUNSHINE: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: not at all.  MR. SUNSHINE: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: not at all.  MR. SUNSHINE: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: not at all.  MR. SUNSHINE: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: not at all.  MR. SUNSHINE: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: not at all.  MR. SUNSHINE: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: All right, And I don't know if you'd consider signing the agreement or not, which addresses one				
is that we have a series of dealers who are going to be coming 478  In here and making objections. If we have an operating agreement that these documents remain under seal, only for your eyes and for others, perhaps that's something we can live with.  (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 2, spreadsheet on dealership performance, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this date.)  (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 3, dealer network review, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this date.)  (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 3, dealer network review, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this date.)  (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 3, dealer network review, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this date.)  (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 3, dealer network review, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this date.)  (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 3, dealer network review, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this date.)  (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 3, dealer network review, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this date.)  (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 3, dealer network review, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this date.)		- 1		
1 in here and making objections. If we have an operating 2 agreement that these documents remain under seal, only for your 3 eyes and for others, perhaps that's something we can live with. 4 (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 2, spreadsheet on 5 dealership performance, was hereby received into evidence under 6 seal as of this date.) 6 apparently signed a confidentiality agreement, I assume. 7 (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 3, dealer network 7 ms. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. 8 review, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this 9 date.) 9 consider signing the agreement or not, which addresses one		•		-
2 agreement that these documents remain under seal, only for your 3 eyes and for others, perhaps that's something we can live with. 4 (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 2, spreadsheet on 5 dealership performance, was hereby received into evidence under 6 seal as of this date.) 7 (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 3, dealer network 8 review, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this 9 date.) 2 MR. SUNSHINE: Yes, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: All right. First of all, these documents 4 under seal at this point have been introduced because of 5 confidentiality concerns. Counsel who's been using them has 6 apparently signed a confidentiality agreement, I assume. 7 MS. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: All right. And I don't know if you'd 9 consider signing the agreement or not, which addresses one				480
2 agreement that these documents remain under seal, only for your 3 eyes and for others, perhaps that's something we can live with. 4 (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 2, spreadsheet on 5 dealership performance, was hereby received into evidence under 6 seal as of this date.) 7 (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 3, dealer network 8 review, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this 9 date.) 2 MR. SUNSHINE: Yes, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: All right. First of all, these documents 4 under seal at this point have been introduced because of 5 confidentiality concerns. Counsel who's been using them has 6 apparently signed a confidentiality agreement, I assume. 7 MS. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: All right. And I don't know if you'd 9 consider signing the agreement or not, which addresses one				
<ul> <li>eyes and for others, perhaps that's something we can live with.</li> <li>(Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 2, spreadsheet on</li> <li>dealership performance, was hereby received into evidence under</li> <li>seal as of this date.)</li> <li>(Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 3, dealer network</li> <li>review, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this</li> <li>THE COURT: All right. First of all, these documents</li> <li>under seal at this point have been introduced because of</li> <li>confidentiality concerns. Counsel who's been using them has</li> <li>apparently signed a confidentiality agreement, I assume.</li> <li>MS. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.</li> <li>THE COURT: All right. And I don't know if you'd</li> <li>consider signing the agreement or not, which addresses one</li> </ul>	1	in here and making objections. If we have an operating	1	THE COURT: not at all.
4 (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 2, spreadsheet on 5 dealership performance, was hereby received into evidence under 6 seal as of this date.) 7 (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 3, dealer network 8 review, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this 9 date.) 4 under seal at this point have been introduced because of 5 confidentiality concerns. Counsel who's been using them has 6 apparently signed a confidentiality agreement, I assume. 7 MS. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: All right. And I don't know if you'd 9 consider signing the agreement or not, which addresses one	2	agreement that these documents remain under seal, only for your	2	MR. SUNSHINE: Yes, Your Honor.
5 dealership performance, was hereby received into evidence under 6 seal as of this date.) 5 confidentiality concerns. Counsel who's been using them has 6 seal as of this date.) 6 apparently signed a confidentiality agreement, I assume. 7 MS. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. 8 review, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this 9 date.) 9 consider signing the agreement or not, which addresses one	3	eyes and for others, perhaps that's something we can live with.	3	THE COURT: All right. First of all, these documents
6 seal as of this date.) 6 apparently signed a confidentiality agreement, I assume. 7 (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 3, dealer network 8 review, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this 9 date.) 6 apparently signed a confidentiality agreement, I assume. 7 MS. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: All right. And I don't know if you'd 9 consider signing the agreement or not, which addresses one	4	(Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 2, spreadsheet on	4	under seal at this point have been introduced because of
7 (Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 3, dealer network 8 review, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this 9 date.) 7 MS. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: All right. And I don't know if you'd 9 consider signing the agreement or not, which addresses one	5	dealership performance, was hereby received into evidence unde	5	confidentiality concerns. Counsel who's been using them has
8 review, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this 9 date.) 8 THE COURT: All right. And I don't know if you'd 9 consider signing the agreement or not, which addresses one	6	seal as of this date.)	6	apparently signed a confidentiality agreement, I assume.
9 date.) 9 consider signing the agreement or not, which addresses one	7	(Affected Dealers' Committee's Exhibit 3, dealer network	7	MS. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
	8	review, was hereby received into evidence under seal as of this	8	THE COURT: All right. And I don't know if you'd
10 MR. SUNSHINE: Your Honor, may I be heard? I 10 issue.	9	date.)	9	consider signing the agreement or not, which addresses one
	10	MR. SUNSHINE: Your Honor, may I be heard? I	10	issue.
11 represent a dealer. Would you let me come up to the 11 MR. SUNSHINE: I would consider signing the agreen	11	represent a dealer. Would you let me come up to the	11	MR. SUNSHINE: I would consider signing the agreement
12 microphone? 12 if I knew what was in the agreement and what I'm signing aw	12	microphone?	12	if I knew what was in the agreement and what I'm signing away
13 THE COURT: Yes. 13 I have not seen the document.	13	THE COURT: Yes.	13	I have not seen the document.
MR. SUNSHINE: Thank you, Your Honor. My name is 14 THE COURT: Sir?	14	MR. SUNSHINE: Thank you, Your Honor. My name is	14	THE COURT: Sir?
Donald M. Sunshine. I represent a dealer in Arkansas who has 15 MR. SUNSHINE: I'm an attorney at law. I think I'm	15	Donald M. Sunshine. I represent a dealer in Arkansas who has	15	MR. SUNSHINE: I'm an attorney at law. I think I'm
been received a notice of termination. The name of the left entitled to know what I'm signing a confidentiality agreement	16	been received a notice of termination. The name of the	16	entitled to know what I'm signing a confidentiality agreement
17 dealer is Crane C.D.J. LLC. And I find this very disturbing 17 in regard to.	17	dealer is Crane C.D.J. LLC. And I find this very disturbing	17	in regard to.
that I, on behalf of my client, based on what's now being  18 THE COURT: I don't know if you ever signed a	18	that I, on behalf of my client, based on what's now being	18	THE COURT: I don't know if you ever signed a
19 discussed, will be deprived of the ability to review documents 19 confidentiality agreement, but to my knowledge, the agreement	19	discussed, will be deprived of the ability to review documents	19	confidentiality agreement, but to my knowledge, the agreement
20 which are germane to its objection. In fact, I am very upset 20 gets signed first, and then you get an opportunity to see the	20	which are germane to its objection. In fact, I am very upset	20	gets signed first, and then you get an opportunity to see the
21 about the entire proceedings before this Court, because various 21 document.	21	about the entire proceedings before this Court, because various	21	document.
22 motions have been made without notice to my client, way before 22 MR. SUNSHINE: Right now, I don't know exactly wh	22	motions have been made without notice to my client, way before	22	MR. SUNSHINE: Right now, I don't know exactly what
23 they receive the notice of rejection, including motions that 23 in the document. I guess, Your Honor, on reflection I will	23	they receive the notice of rejection, including motions that	23	in the document. I guess, Your Honor, on reflection I will
24 would relieve officers and directors of responsibility 24 sign the confidentiality agreement.	24	would relieve officers and directors of responsibility	24	sign the confidentiality agreement.
	0.5	THE COURT: Just a minute sir	25	THE COURT: All right What these I'm not so sure
25 THE COURT: Just a minute, sir. 25 THE COURT: All right. What these I'm not so sure	25	THE COCKT. Sust a minute, Sir.		THE COCKT. THI TIGHT. What these This hot so sure

1	in the first place. These documents are being introduced or at	1	e-mail.
2	least being used to demonstrate what procedures the debtor went	2	MR. CULLEN: I don't mean to break the Court's rule,
3	through to make a determination as to what dealers would be on	3	but Mr. Armstrong is gone. So I'll just take a quick look. I
4	the list to be rejected. That's the analysis. The criticism	4	can deal with it in the morning. I find it unlikely that I'll
5	of whether their business judgment has been exercised or not	5	have an objection.
6	and those issues, seem to have been made on the record already,	6	THE COURT: All right. Would you describe what it
7	as counsel has brought the witness through the points of what	7	is?
8	she believes raises the issue about the business judgment.	8	MS. BROWN: It's the e-mail from Mr it's from Mr.
9	And all these documents show are the factors that	9	Press to
10	were considered and how particular dealerships fell on either	10	THE COURT: Oh, I know what it is. It's the e-mails
11	side of the ledger according to these factors. That's what	11	from the Mid
12	these documents are. And another one is a network review of, I	12	MS. BROWN: Yes.
13	guess, the debtors' view of the sales and opportunities in	13	THE COURT: Mid-Atlantic region
14	certain geographical areas.	14	MS. BROWN: Yes.
15	MR. SUNSHINE: I still have a problem, Your Honor,	15	THE COURT: to encourage them to purchase cars?
16	because how am I going to know whether someone in Chrysler	16	MS. BROWN: Exactly. Exactly.
17	doesn't like my client. My client recently, I am told, found a	17	MR. CULLEN: No objection, Your Honor. No objection
18	new facility to expand. He has all three brands. How do I	18	I just didn't remember what the document was.
19	know that a deal hasn't been made with somebody else who's more	19	THE COURT: All right. I have it here. Do you want
20	friendly with Chrysler, unless I get into some documents and	20	to look at it? Here it is.
21	see the factors that were involved? And frankly, I think that	21	MR. CULLEN: Can I approach, Your Honor?
22	this procedure with the short time frameworks and the lack of	22	THE COURT: Yes.
23	knowledge, has deprived my client of due process and all the	23	MR. CULLEN: No objection, Your Honor. Thank you.
24	rights that it should have to be protected by this Court.	24	THE COURT: All right. So admitted. So 1 is
25	THE COURT: All right, well, if you want to see the	25	admitted. 2 and 3, at least for now are under seal subject to
	482		484
1	documents, at this point, you enter into a confidentiality	1	confidentiality agreements.
2	agreement, I think the then you can see the documents.	2	(Affected Dealers' Committee Exhibit 1, e-mail from Mr. Press,
3	MR. SUNSHINE: All right. Who is going to give me	3	was hereby received into evidence as of this date.)
4	that confidentiality agreement?	4	MS. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: You'll have to	5	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
6	MR. SUNSHINE: And when will I get it?	6	MR. BELLAVIA: Your Honor, Leonard Bellavia, Bellavi
7	MR. ORR: Your Honor, if I may? I will give the	7	Gentile & Associates on behalf of thirty-one of the affected
8	gentleman my card and he can correspond directly with me.	8	dealers we filed objections for.
9	MR. ORR: That's going to be difficult, Your Honor.	9	CROSS-EXAMINATION
10	Because we're going to go till ten-what. We start 9:00 in the	10	BY MR. BELLAVIA:
11	morning. I'd like that document to be produced here in court	11	Q. Mr. Grady, good evening.
12	tomorrow so I can sign it before we start at 9:00, and then	12	A. Good evening.
13	produce copies of these documents. Is that agreeable?	13	Q. We met the other day, did we not?
14	MR. ORR: Your Honor, that's fine. We'll have a	14	A. Yes, we did?
15	confidentiality agreement here.	15	Q. At a deposition?
16	MR. SUNSHINE: Thank you, Your Honor.	16	A. Yes.
17	THE COURT: You're welcome.	17	Q. Now, you understand, you're here today in part to discuss
18	MS. BROWN: Your Honor, can I just address a couple	18	whether the sale of the assets to New Chrysler are in the best
19	housekeeping matters?	19	interests of the estate, given the fact that the transfer will
20	THE COURT: Go ahead.	20	include approximately 2,400 dealerships as opposed to 3,200
21	MS. BROWN: I realize that I do not believe that I	21	dealerships, correct?
22	moved in Dealers' Committee Exhibit 1, which I used with Mr		A. That's correct.
23	Nardelli. And I'd just like to move that into evidence.	23	Q. As a preliminary matter, the president of the company, Jim
24	THE COURT: All right.	24	Press, has a long history with Toyota Motor Corporation, does
- 4	THE COURT. All light.	27	1 1033, mas a rong mistory with Toyota Motor Corporation, does
	MS RROWN: Danlare! Committee Evhibit 1 was the	25	he not?
25	MS. BROWN: Dealers' Committee Exhibit 1 was the 483	25	he not? 485

1	A. Yes, he did.	1	to the public?
2	Q. He worked both with Toyota and its Lexus brand?	2	A. That's correct. All through the dealers.
3	A. Yes.	3	Q. Right? And in fact, the dealers represent the only source
4	Q. And to a large extent, a lot of what the domestic	4	of revenue to Chrysler?
5	manufacturers, and in particular Chrysler, are attempting to	5	A. Largely, yes.
6	accomplish, is to model their dealer network after the imports,	6	Q. Right? I mean, there's a small percentage, I understand,
7	because they're much smaller, right?	7	of products you sell directly to the government?
8	A. We're attempting to model the network because it seems to		A. That's right.
9	be pretty successful and a pretty good model.	9	Q. But by and large?
10	Q. Okay. And the imports the mainstream imports, by the	10	A. Everything else is delivered through the dealers.
11	way, are Toyota, Honda and Nissan. Is that right?	11	Q. So the dealers, for all practical purposes, are your
12	A. Correct.	12	customers?
13	Q. And you do, in your role of your position, follow the	13	A. That's correct.
14	progress, the success of all of the competitors of yours,	14	Q. So in connection with a reorganization, particularly in
15	including the imports?	15	the context of a bankruptcy, one of the objectives should be to
16	A. Yes, to a degree.	16	save money. Fair?
17	Q. And inasmuch as you're involved in dealer placement and	17	A. One of the objectives is to save cash and another reduce
18	dealer operations, you look to see how the dealerization is	18	expenses.
19	done with respect to other manufacturers?	19	Q. I agree. But certainly not eliminate your customers. Do
20	A. Yes.	20	you agree with that?
21	Q. And you're aware that Toyota, as successful as it has been	21	A. Certainly to not eliminate revenue.
22	in this country, is suffering just like every other	22	Q. Well, in fact, the reduction of twenty-five percent of the
23	manufacturer right now?	23	dealer body represents a fourteen percent diminution in your
24	A. Yeah, I mean, everybody is when the annual selling rate	24	revenue, doesn't it?
25	goes from 17 million to below 10 million. Everyone's going to 486	25	A. In the immediate near term, yes. 488
	65		
1 2	suffer.	1 2	Q. Even in good times, that would not be a recommended cours
3	Q. Indeed, this is probably one of the worst automotive	3	of strategy, would it?  A. It depends on how quickly you can recover it. And your
4	markets in memory. Is that fair to say.  A. I think that's fair to say.	4	anticipation, like at our case, is that with Project Genesis,
5	Q. In fact, Toyota has lost 8 billion dollars just in the	5	once we're able to consolidate all of our brands under one
6	last three months, right?	6	roof, we'll get a growth that will exceed that.
7	A. I don't know what the number is, but.	7	Q. In fact, I believe you testified the other day that your
8	Q. But it wouldn't surprise you to know, like everyone else,	8	expectation or Chrysler's expectation is that even in the face
9	they're losing a lot of money?	9	of this market, you expect to start regaining the lost sales
10	A. It wouldn't surprise me. No.	10	within three months?
11	Q. So to the extent that manufacturers are having problems	11	A. I said we would begin to regain the lost sales in 90 to
12	right now, is it fair to say it's not in large part due to the	12	120 days.
13	number of dealers that they have but rather it's a product of	13	Q. Right. And if I remember
14	the economy?	14	A. And twelve months following
15	A. It's definitely a product of the economy at this moment in	15	Q I apologize.
16	time.	16	A recover that.
17	Q. And if things are as bad as they have been over the past	17	Q. And in fact, you felt that you would recover 100 percent
18	year, this is not the time that a manufacturer wants to cut	18	of the lost revenue within a year?
19	revenues, right?	19	A. Yes, within a year. At the end of 2010. We feel very
20	A. That's correct. You want to grow revenue.	20	confident we're going to be able to recover that.
21	Q. Right. And in connection with the bankruptcy process, one		Q. Did you take into consideration any variables as to the
22	of the things that Chrysler has been focusing on to a large	22	volatile state of the economy and what impact that might have
23	extent is reducing expenses, not revenues?	23	on your projection?
24	A. That's correct.	24	A. We, as part of our projection, we used a steady state for
25	Q. Right? And Chrysler does not sell its products directly	25	the current industry performance.
	487	-	489
Ц	20,		

c climate, to expect that Chrysler is going to recogno hundred percent of its lost revenue within twelve months?  A. No, I really don't, because of the dealers that we're rejecting, they perform an a minimum sales responsibility level, that is, at 73 percent, which is well below average.  And the dealers that we're tensing are performing at 122 percent of their minimum sales responsibility. So the percent of their minimum sales to a simple reformance of the retained dealers far exceeds anything that the other dealers as a group perform at.  O. Now, you don't have the benefit of any historical data as to how you could recapture this market share, because there has be now you done an amalysis yet of precisely the delay that may be occasioned by these relocations of the framethies. The province within a matter of two or three weeks, right?  A. That's correct.  Or, where a manufacturer would climinate twenty-five percent of its estonew within a matter of two or three weeks, right?  A. That's correct.  They of its actions within a matter of two or three weeks, right?  A. We took into consideration when we did the analysis of our considerations with the rispect didealers. So they would be able to recover sales pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing pretty quickly. And then subsequ				
a Percent of its lost rovenue within rovelve months?  4 A. No, I really don't, because of the dealers that we're rejecting, they perform at a minimum sales responsibility level, that is, ar 73 percent, which is well below average.  5 A and the dealers that we're retaining are performing at 122  6 Percent of their minimum sales responsibility. So the performance of the retaining dealers from the performance of the retaining are performing at 122  8 Percent of their minimum sales responsibility. So the performance of the retaining dealers for exceeds anything that 10 the other dealers as a group perform at. 11 Q. Now, you didn't have the benefit of any historical data as 12 12 to how you could recapture this market share, because there has 2 13 never been a similar circumstance in the automotive industry, 14 has there? 15 A. No, there hasn't. 16 Q. Where a manufacturer would eliminate twenty-five percent 16 of its ostsomers within a matter of two or three weeks, right? 17 A. That's correct. 18 i., of or example, the Dodge into the Chysler Jeep dealer, 19 or first ostsomers with a matter of two or three weeks, right? 19 Q. Have you factored in the impact that might have on 20 Chrysler customers, consumers? 21 A. We took into consideration when we did the analysis of our 22 Chrysler, leep and Dodge outlets on shop at 24 23 retained dealers. So they would be able to recover sales perture quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 24 of lines being added in. So that the customers would have 25 retained dealers. So they would be able to recover sales per dealer, that they are all the performance of the ready top oright now. 26 Q. But Mr. Grady, didn't you testify that before you could great that the same prove it in some way? 27 A. Helms, And some are ready to go right now. 38 Q. But Mr. Grady, didn't you testify that before you could great the may have to improve it in some way? 39 A. Yes, the panal Dodge on commodate the new of the performance of the family performance of the ready to performance of the r		-		
A. No, I really don't, because of the dealers that we're rejecting, they perform at a minimum sales responsibility level, that is, at 73 percent, which is well below average.  And the dealers that we're retaining are performing at 122 percent of their minimum sales responsibility. So the performance of the retained dealers for exceeds anything that 10 the other dealers as a group perform at.  Q. Now, und didn't have the benefit of any historical data as to how you could recapture this market share, because there has 12 to how you could recapture this market share, because there has 14 to how you could recapture this market share. because there has 15 to how you could recapture this market share, because there has 16 Q. Where a manufacture would eliminate twenty-five percent 17 of its customers within a matter of two or three weeks, right? 18 A. Not, there hasn't.  19 Q. Have you factored in the impact that might have on 18 Chrysler customers, consumers? 19 Chrysler customers, consumers? 20 Chrysler customers, consumers? 21 other thanks are required. 22 to the retained dealers. So they would be able to recover sales 24 pertury quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 24 pertury quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 25 pertury quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 25 pertury quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 25 pertury quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 25 pertury quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 26 pertury quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 26 pertury quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 27 pertury quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 27 pertury quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 28 pertury quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 29 pertury quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 29 pertury quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 29 pertury quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 29	2	climate, to expect that Chrysler is going to recoup one hundred		_
rejecting, they perform at a minimum sales responsibility level, that is, at 73 percent, which is well below average. And the dealers that we're retaining are performing at 122 percent of their minimum sales responsibility. So the performance of the retained dealers far exceeds anything that the other dealers as a group perform at. Q. Now, you didn't have the benefit of any historical data as to how you could recapture this market share, because there has to how you could recapture this market share, because there has to how you could recapture this market share, because there has a similar circumstance in the automotive industry. A has there?  A. No, there hasn't.  Q. Where a manufacturer would eliminate twenty-five percent of sic austomers within a matter of two or three weeks, right? A. That's correct. Q. Have you factored in the impact that might have on Chrysler customers, consumers? A. We took into consideration when we did the analysis of out the retained dealers. So they would be able to recover sales pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing pretty quickly. And so me are ready to go right now. Q. So you're prepared to go to the assumed dealers in the worst economy in fifty years and ask them to bidlind we how room you for your form with the image for the showrooms? A. He may. And some are ready to go right now. Q. So you're prepared to go to the assumed dealers in the worst economy in fifty years and ask them to bidlind we have to improve the properties dealers in the own the properties of the properties dealers in the own the properties of the properties dealers in the own the properties of the properties dealers in the own to comony in fifty years and ask them to bidlind we have to	3	percent of its lost revenue within twelve months?		previous exhibit that had been noted to be financially
level, that is, at 73 percent, which is well below average.  And the dealers that we're retaining are performing at 122 percent of their minimum sales responsibility. So the performance of the retained dealers far exceeds anything that the other dealers as a group perform at.  Q. Now, you didn't have the benefit of any historical data as to how you could recapture this market share, because there has 12 to how you could recapture this market share, because there has 12 to how you could recapture this market share, because there has 12 to how you could recapture this market share, because there has 12 to how you could recapture this market share, because there has 14 to how you could recapture this market share, because there has 15 to how you could recapture this market share, because there has 16 to how you could recapture this market share, because there has 17 to how you could recapture this market share, because there has 18 to how you could recapture this market share, because there has 19 to how you could recapture this market share, because there has 19 to how you could recapture this market share, because there has 19 to how you could recapture this market share, because there has 19 to how you could recapture which was 19 to how you of any 19 to 19	4	-		
And the dealers that we're retaining are performing at 122 percent of their minimum sales responsibility. So the performance of the retained dealers after exceeds anything that to the other dealers as a group perform at.  Q. Now, you could incapture this market share, because there has 12 to how you could incapture this market share, because there has 12 never been a similar circumstance in the automotive industry, has there?  A. No, there hasn't.  Q. Where a manufacturer would eliminate twenty-five percent of its cisomers within a matter of two or three weeks, right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Where a manufacturer would eliminate twenty-five percent of the from the share of two or three weeks, right?  A. That's correct.  Q. Where a manufacturer would eliminate twenty-five percent of the from the share of two or three weeks, right?  A. We took into consideration when we did the analysis of our trained dealers. So they would be able to recover asles pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing that that dealer may have to refurbish his facility, expand it? He may have to improve it in some way?  A. He may. And some are ready to go right now.  Q. So you're prepared to go to the assumed dealers in the worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new showrooms so they can accommodate the new lines?  Q. Have you proposed that to a single dealer yet in this country?  A. We alway that of response have you gotten?  THE COURT: Slow down. Slow down. Ask the questions. Just slow it down.  MR, BELLAVIA: The sorry, Your Honor.  MR, BELLAVIA: The sorry your ten percent of the project of the project of censes is program is that dealers who are going to have to do substantial request to a dealer in this economy to expand his facility?  A. We already have had many dealers across the country who have been as minimum safety	5	rejecting, they perform at a minimum sales responsibility	5	A. But not all of those dealers would be expected, until
be there dedelers as a group performance of the retained dealers far exceeds anything that the other dedelers as a group performance of the retained dealers far exceeds anything that the other dedelers as a group performance of the retained dealers far exceeds anything that the other dealers as group performance of the retained dealers far exceeds anything that the obovy our could dealers as a group performance of the retained dealers for any bistorical data as to how you could recapture this market share, because there has 12 to how you could recapture this market share, because there has 12 to how you could recapture this market share, because there has 12 to how you could recapture this market share, because there has 12 to how you could recapture this market share, because there has 12 to how you could recapture this market share, because there has 12 to how you could recapture this market share, because there has 12 to how you could recapture this market share, because there has 12 to how you could recapture this market share, because there has 12 to how you could recapture this market share, because there has 12 to how you could eliminate twenty-five percent of 14 to how you could eliminate twenty-five percent 15 to how you for fail to how you go factored in the automotive industry. 15 to freezies the ded in any 15 to how you go factored in the impact that might have on 15 to how you factored in the impact that might have on 16 to first cause, the positioning, as you call 16 to for frample, the Dodge into the Chrysler Jeep dealer, 19 to first cause in the rejected dealers. 19 to which into constitution the 19 to stake, is that there's going to be a certain element of 19 to stake, is that there's going to be a certain element of 19 to stake, is that there's going to be a certain element of 19 to stake, is that there's going to be a certain element of 19 to stake, is that there's going to be a certain element of 19 to rejected dealers. Not how would be able to recover stakes 19 to going to be a certain elem	6	level, that is, at 73 percent, which is well below average.	6	they're able to cure their they would have to cure their
performance of the retained dealers far exceeds anything that the other dealers as a group perform at.  Q. Now, you didn't have the benefit of any historical data as to how you could recapture this market share, because there has to how you could recapture this market share, because there has to how you could recapture this market share, because there has to how you could recapture this market share, because there has to how you could recapture this market share, because there has to how you could recapture this market share, because there has to how you could recapture this market share, because there has to how you could recapture this market share, because there has the work of the transcription of the continuation of the country.  A. No, there hasn't.  Q. Where a manufacturer would eliminate twenty-five percent of the transcription was the country of the transcription of the cases, the repositioning, as you call it, of for example, the Dodge into the Chrysler Jeep dealer, may take as long as twelve to eighten months, depending on the scope of the facility renovation. Some of them may be as quick as 90 to 120 days if there is some very simple renovations that are required.  The perty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing the retained dealers. So they would be able to recover sales prety quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing the retained dealers. So they would be able to recover sales prety quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing the retained dealers. So they would be able to recover sales prety quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing the retained dealers. So they would be able to recover sales prety quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing the retained dealers. So they would be able to recover sales prety quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing the retained dealers in the required dealers. So they would be able to recover sales prety quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing the retained dealers in the required	7	And the dealers that we're retaining are performing at 122	7	facility as well as cure their working capital position. They
the other dealers as a group perform at.  1 Q. Now, you didn't have the benefit of any historical data as to bow you could recapture this market share, because there has a rover been a similar circumstance in the automotive industry.  1 has there?  2 A. Wo there a manufacturer would climinate twenty-five percent of its customers within a matter of two or three weeks, right?  3 A. That's correct.  4 Q. Have you factored in the impact that might have on Chrysler customers, consumers?  2 A. We took into consideration when we did the analysis of our classes, is that there's going to be a certain element of the rejected dealers. So they would be able to recover sales pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing a give a Dodge point, as an example, to a Chrysler lede padeler, that that dealer may have to refurbish his facility, expand it?  4 G. But Mr. Grady, didn't you testify that before you could give a Dodge point, as an example, to a Chrysler lede dealers in the worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new questions. Just slow it down.  4 A. Yes, we have.  5 Q. And do you have any - have you done an analysis yet of pretive give the dealty that may be occasioned by these relocations of the franchises?  4 A. We already have to impact that might have on the fragility in the rejected dealers from the rejected dealers. So they out and the rejected dealers from the rejected dealers from the rejected dealers from the rejected dealers. So they would be able to recover sales to the accommendate to the there's a timing and the rejected dealers. So they would be able to recover sales the rejected dealers, so they would be able to recover sales.  5 pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing and the rejected dealers, so they would be able to recover sales.  5 pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing	8	percent of their minimum sales responsibility. So the	8	would not get the add line until that time.
these franchises from the rejected dealers to the assumed dealers. It is now you could recapture this market share, because there has 12 dealers?  12 or how you could recapture this market share, because there has 12 dealers?  13 never been a similar circumstance in the automotive industry.  14 has there?  15 A. No, there hasn't.  16 Q. Mere a manufacturer would eliminate twenty-five percent 16 or fits customers within a matter of two or three weeks, right?  17 of its customers within a matter of two or three weeks, right?  18 A. That's correct.  19 Q. Have you factored in the impact that might have on 12 to the rejected dealers. So they would be able to recover sales proty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 49 or fits proty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 49 or fits proty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 49 or fits proty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 49 or fits proty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 49 or fits proty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 49 or fits proty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 49 or fits proty quickly. And then subsequent to the fits proty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 49 or fits proty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 49 or fits proty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 49 or fits proty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 49 or fits proty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 49 or fits proty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 49 or fits proty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 49 or fits proty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 49 or fits proty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 49 or fits proty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 49 or fits proty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 49 or fits proty quickly. And then subsequent to the th	9	performance of the retained dealers far exceeds anything that	9	Q. All right. So if I understand your testimony, there may
to how you could recapture this market share, because there has lawer been a similar circumstance in the automotive industry.  has there?  A. No, there hasn't.  Q. Where a manufacturer would eliminate twenty-five percent in the automotive industry.  That's correct.  Q. Where a manufacturer would eliminate twenty-five percent in the incommental to the precisely the delay that may be occasioned by these relocations of the franchises?  A. That's correct.  Q. Have you factored in the impact that might have on Chrysler customers, consumers?  A. We took into consideration when we did the analysis of our could retained dealers. So they would be able to recover sales pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 490  To flines being added in. So that the customers would have Chrysler, leep and Dodge outlets to shop at.  Q. But Mr. Grady, didn't you testify that before you could give a Dodge point, as an example, to a Chrysler, leep dealer, and that dealer may have to refurbish his facility, expand it?  That that dealer may have to refurbish his facility, expand it?  A. He may. And some are ready to go right now.  Q. So you're prepared to go to the assumed dealers in the worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new worst economy in fifty ye	10	the other dealers as a group perform at.	10	be some inherent delays in the process of repositioning some of
never been a similar circumstance in the automotive industry.  has there?  A. No, there hasan'.  Q. And do you have any - have you done an analysis yet of precisely the delay that may be occasioned by these relocations of the transchises. The first correct.  G. Where a manufacturer would eliminate twenty-five percent of its customers within a matter of two or three weeks, right?  A. That's correct.  G. Where a manufacturer would eliminate twenty-five percent of its customers within a matter of two or three weeks, right?  A. That's correct.  G. Where a manufacturer would eliminate twenty-five percent of its customers within a matter of two or three weeks, right?  A. Well, in some of the cases, the repositioning, as you call its for example, the Dodge into the Chrysler Jeep dealer.  Chrysler customers, consumers?  A. Well, in some of the cases, the repositioning, as you call its for example, the Dodge into the Chrysler Jeep dealer.  The rejected dealers is lines that will be added rapidly into the rejected dealers. So they would be able to recover sales are required.  G. So how many circumstances are there, if you know, where dealers. So they would be able to recover sales are required.  G. So how many circumstances are there, if you know, where dealers. So they would be able to recover sales are required.  G. So how many circumstances are there, if you know, where dealers. So they only one to shop at.  The rejected dealers, and the rejected dealers, a	11	Q. Now, you didn't have the benefit of any historical data as	11	these franchises from the rejected dealers to the assumed
14 has there? 15 A. No, there hasn't. 2 Q. Where a manufacturer would eliminate twenty-five percent of the sustemers within a matter of two or three weeks, right? 18 A. That's correct. 19 Q. Have you factored in the impact that might have on Chrysler customers, consumers? 20 Lost sales, is that there's going to be a certain element of the rejected dealers lines that will be added rapidly into the retained dealers. So they would be able to recover sales pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing a give a Dodge point, as an example, to a Chrysler, Leep dealer, that that dealer may have to improve it in some way? 2 A. He may, And some are ready to go right now. 3 Q. So you're prepared to go to the assumed dealers in the worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new showrooms so they can accommodate the new lines? 10 Q. Have you proposed that to a single dealer yet in this country? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Have you proposed that to a single dealer yet in this questions. Just slow it down. 13 Q. What type of a response have you gotten? 14 A. Yes, we have. 15 Q. And what kind of response have you gotten? 16 THE COURT: Slow down. Slow down. Ask the model of the cases, the repositioning, as you call to franchises of the franchises? 16 Q. And what kind of response have you gotten? 19 Q. What type of a response have you gotten? 20 And what kind of response have you gotten? 21 A. Yes, we have. 22 A we already have had many dealers across the country who have committed to us that they will be expanding their cases. 23 Fight of franchises? 24 a building. They'll be slow expanding their cases. 25 Profession the repositioning, as you call it, of for example, the Dodge into the Chrysler headeding on the scope of the facility renovation. Some of the may be a squick as long as take as long as twelve to eighteen months, repeding on the scope of the facility renovations one of the may be a squick as long as take as long as take as long as twelve to eighteen months, reposition of the franchises? 24 The facilities	12	to how you could recapture this market share, because there has	12	dealers?
15 A. No, there hasn't. 16 Q. Where a manufacturer would eliminate twenty-five percent of its customers within a matter of two or three weeks, right? 17 of its customers within a matter of two or three weeks, right? 18 A. That's correct. 19 Q. Have you factored in the impact that might have on 20 Chrysler customers, consumers? 21 A. We do kinto consideration when we did the analysis of our 22 lost sales, is that there's going to be a certain element of 22 the retained dealers. So they would be able to recover sales 24 retained dealers. So they would be able to recover sales 25 pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 26 three pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 27 three pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 28 pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 29 three pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 29 three pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 29 three pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 29 three pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 29 three pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 29 treating and Dodge outlets to shop at. 20 Q. But Mr. Grady, didn't you testify that before you could 29 time and particular three pretty quickly. And then subsequent to a compact of the frachity upgrades or 29 three pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 29 treating part of Project Genesis is to put the right 29 three pretty quickly. And the substantial 29 three pretty	13	never been a similar circumstance in the automotive industry,	13	A. That's right. We factored that in.
16 Q. Where a manufacturer would eliminate twenty-five percent of fits customers within a matter of two or three weeks, right?  18 A. That's correct.  19 Q. Have you factored in the impact that might have on Chrysler customers, consumers?  21 A. We took into consideration when we did the analysis of our loss tales, is that there's going to be a certain element of the rejected dealers' lines that will be added rapidly into the retained dealers. So they would be able to recover sales pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 490  10 of lines being added in. So that the customers would have 2 Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge outlets to shop at.  21 of lines being added in. So that the customers would have 2 Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge outlets to shop at.  22 Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge outlets to shop at.  23 Q. But Mr. Grady, didn't you testify that before you could 4 give a Dodge point, as an example, the observable as one of the cases, the repositioning, as you call it, of for example, the Dodge into the Chrysler Jeep dealer, may take as long as twelve to eighteen months, depending on the scope of the facility renovation. Some of them may be as quick as 500 as twelve to eighteen months, depending on the scope of the facility renovation. Some of the may be as quick as 500 as twelve to eighteen months, depending on the scope of the facility into the required.  23 dealers who are going to be receiving franchises from the rejected dealers. So they was retained dealers, need to undertake facility upgrades or 492  24 reconstruction?  25 Pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 490  26 Unit are required.  27 A. He may have to improve it in some way?  28 A. He may have to improve it in some way?  29 A. He may. And some are ready to go right now.  20 So you're prepared to go to the assumed dealers in the worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new 490  29 A. He may. And some are ready to go right now.  20 So you're prepared to go to the assumed dealers in the economical to a substanti	14	has there?	14	Q. And do you have any have you done an analysis yet of
17 of its customers within a matter of two or three weeks, right? 18 A. That's correct. 19 Q. Have you factored in the impact that might have on 20 Chrysler customers, consumers? 21 A. We took into consideration when we did the analysis of our 22 lost sales, is that there's going to be a certain element of 23 the rejected dealers' incest hat will be added rapidly into the 24 retained dealers. So they would be able to recover sales 25 pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 26 Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge outlets to shop at. 27 Q. But Mr. Grady, didn't you testify that before you could 28 give a Dodge point, as an example, to a Chrysler Jeep dealer, 29 that that dealer may have to improve it in some way? 20 A. He may, And some are ready to go right now. 31 Q. So you're prepared to go to the assumed dealers in the 32 worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new 33 country? 34 A. Yes. 35 Q. Have you proposed that to a single dealer yet in this 36 country? 49 O. And what kind of response have you gotten in the face of a request to a dealer in this economy to expand his facility? 40 A. Yes. 41 A. Yes, we have. 41 A. Wes, we have, and what kind of response have you gotten in the face of a request to a dealer in this economy to expand his facility? 41 A. We already have had many dealers across the country whe had committed to us that they will be expanding their 42 A. We already have had many dealers across the country whe had been to recover subset and the cases, the repositioning, as you values dealers, in the scope of the facility encovation. Some of them may be as quick as 90 to 120 days if there is some very simple renovations had seo for the facility renovation. Some of them may be as quick as 90 to 120 days if there is some very simple renovations had are required. 42 Chrysler, even dealers in some very simple renovations had are required. 42 Chrysler, even dealers, inches facility encovation. Some of them may be a squick as 90 to 120 days if there is some very simple renovations had	15	A. No, there hasn't.	15	precisely the delay that may be occasioned by these relocations
18 A. That's correct. 19 Q. Have you factored in the impact that might have on 20 Chrysler customers, consumers? 21 A. We took into consideration when we did the analysis of out 22 lost sales, is that there's going to be a certain element of 23 the rejected dealers' lines that will be added rapidly into the 24 retained dealers. So they would be able to recover sales 25 pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 26 chrysler, Jeep and Dodge outlets to shop at. 27 Q. But Mr. Grady, didn't you testify that before you could 28 give a Dodge point, as an example, to a Chrysler Jeep dealer, 29 that that dealer may have to refurbish his facility, expand it? 20 He may have to improve it in some way? 21 A. He may. And some are ready to go right now. 22 Q. But would be assumed dealers in the 23 wost economy in fifty years and ask them to build new 24 showrooms so they can accommodate the new lines? 25 Q. Have you proposed that to a single dealer yet in this 26 country? 27 A. That's correct. 28 Q. Have you proposed that to a single dealer yet in this 29 cannot will be added rapidly into the 20 cannot will be added rapidly into the 21 reciance dealers. So they wond the propertion of the 22 chrysler, Jeep and Dodge outlets to shop at. 29 dealers who are going to be receiving franchises from the 29 reciented dealers. In the right dealers, in the right dealers in the right facilities, right? 20 A. He may, And some are ready to go right now. 30 Q. So ure prepared to go to the assumed dealers in the 31 woot economy in fifty years and ask them to build new 32 showrooms so they can accommodate the new lines? 33 country? 44 A. Yes. 45 Q. And what kind of response have you gotten? 46 A. Yes. 47 A. That is correct. 48 Q. And most dealers are going to have to do substantial 49 request to a dealer in this coromy to expand his facility? 40 A. We already have had many dealers across the country who have to dealer in this coromy to expand his facility? 41 A. We already have had many dealers across the country who have com	16	Q. Where a manufacturer would eliminate twenty-five percent	16	of the franchises?
Description of the subsequent to that there's poing to be a certain element of the rejected dealers. So they would be able to recover sales perty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing are required.  1 of lines being added in. So that the customers would have a necessary in the face of a purp to the facility reposation that a per quickly. And then it perty dealers who are going to be receiving franchises from the rejected dealers, who are going to be receiving franchises from	17	of its customers within a matter of two or three weeks, right?	17	A. Well, in some of the cases, the repositioning, as you call
Chrysler customers, consumers?  A. We took into consideration when we did the analysis of our lost sales, is that there's going to be a certain element of 21 the rejected dealers' lines that will be added rapidly into the 22 treatined dealers. So they would be able to recover sales 24 treatined dealers. So they would be able to recover sales 25 pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 25 pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 26 pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 27 pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 28 pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 29 pretty quickly. And the subsequent to that there's a timing 29 pretty quickly. And the subsequent 29 pretty quickly. And the subsequent 29 pretty of line and 29 pr	18	A. That's correct.	18	it, of for example, the Dodge into the Chrysler Jeep dealer,
A. We took into consideration when we did the analysis of our lost sales, is that there's going to be a certain element of the rejected dealers' lines that will be added rapidly into the rejected dealers' lines that will be added rapidly into the rejected dealers' lines that will be added rapidly into the rejected dealers' lines that will be added rapidly into the rejected dealers. So they would be able to recover sales pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing a dealer such or a going to be receiving franchises from the rejected dealers, need to undertake facility upgrades or 492  1 of lines being added in. So that the customers would have Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge outlets to shop at.  2 Q. But Mr. Grady, didn't you testify that before you could give a Dodge point, as an example, to a Chrysler Jeep dealer, that that dealer may have to refurbish his facility, expand it?  4 He may have to improve it in some way?  5 A. He may. And some are ready to go right now.  9 Q. So you're prepared to go to the assumed dealers in the worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new showrooms so they can accommodate the new lines?  10 A. Yes.  11 A. Yes.  12 Q. Have you proposed that to a single dealer yet in this country?  13 Q. Have you proposed that to a single dealer yet in this questions. Just slow it down.  14 A. Yes, we have.  15 Q. And what kind of response have you gotten?  16 THE COURT: Slow down. Slow down. Ask the questions. Just slow it down.  17 Roman down. Slow down. Ask the questions. Just slow it down.  18 MR. BELLAVIA: I'm sorry, Your Honor.  19 Q. What type of a response have you gotten in the face of a request to a dealer in this economy to expand his facility?  21 A. We already have had many dealers across the country whe have committed to us that they will be expanding their have committed to us that they will be expanding their facilities, putting the necessary imaging on the front of the building. They'll be also expanding service where necessary, in order to take on the increme	19	Q. Have you factored in the impact that might have on	19	may take as long as twelve to eighteen months, depending on the
the rejected dealers' lines that will be added rapidly into the retained dealers. So they would be able to recover sales pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 490  1 of lines being added in. So that the customers would have Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge outlets to shop at. 3 Q. But Mr. Grady, didn't you testify that before you could give a Dodge point, as an example, to a Chrysler Jeep dealer, that that dealer may have to refurbish his facility, expand it? 4 He may have to improve it in some way? 5 A. He may. And some are ready to go right now. 8 Q. So you're prepared to go to the assumed dealers in the worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new showrooms so they can accommodate the new lines? 10 A. Yes. 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Have you proposed that to a single dealer yet in this country? 13 Q. But were an advantable facilities, sou're going to stand by that dealers on the roject. 14 A. Yes, we have. 15 Q. And what kind of response have you gotten? 16 THE COURT: Slow down. Slow down. Ask the questions. Just slow it down. 17 A. We already have had many dealers across the country who have committed to us that they will be expanding their facilities, putting the necessary imaging on the front of the building. They'll be also expanding service where necessary, in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize it;  22 are required. 23 Q. So how many circumstances are there, if you know, where dealers on ore going to be receiving franchises from the rejected dealers, need to undertake facility upgrades or dealer in the rejected dealers, need to undertake facility upgrades or rejected dealers, need to undertake facility upgrades or dealers in the rejected dealers, need to undertake facility upgrades or dealer in the rejected dealers, need to undertake facility upgrades or Project Genesis is to put the right line makes in the right locations with the right dealers in the rejected dealers in the rejected dealers in the rejected dealers, need to undertake facility upgrades or Project Ge	20	Chrysler customers, consumers?	20	scope of the facility renovation. Some of them may be as quick
the rejected dealers' lines that will be added rapidly into the retained dealers. So they would be able to recover sales pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 490 492  1 of lines being added in. So that the customers would have Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge outlets to shop at. 2 Q. But Mr. Grady, didn't you testify that before you could give a Dodge point, as an example, to a Chrysler Jeep dealer, that that dealer may have to refurbish his facility, expand it? He may have to improve it in some way? 4 Q. So you're prepared to go to the assumed dealers in the worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new showrooms so they can accommodate the new lines? 10 A. Yes. 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Have you proposed that to a single dealer yet in this country? 12 A. That is correct. 13 Q. So part and parcel of the Project Genesis program is that dealers conform with the image for the showrooms? 14 A. Yes, we have. 15 Q. And what kind of response have you gotten? 15 MR. BELLAVIA: I'm sorry, Your Honor. 16 Q. What type of a response have you gotten in the face of a request to a dealer in this economy to expand his facility? 16 A. We already have had many dealers across the country who building. They'll be also expanding service where necessary, 15 in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize it.	21	A. We took into consideration when we did the analysis of our	21	as 90 to 120 days if there is some very simple renovations that
retained dealers. So they would be able to recover sales pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 490  of lines being added in. So that the customers would have Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge outlets to shop at.  Q. But Mr. Grady, didn't you testify that before you could give a Dodge point, as an example, to a Chrysler Jeep dealer, that that dealer may have to refurbish his facility, expand it? that that dealer may have to refurbish his facility, expand it? that that dealer may have to refurbish his facility, expand it? A. He may. And some are ready to go right now. Q. So you're prepared to go to the assumed dealers in the worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new showrooms so they can accommodate the new lines? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. — makes under one roof? 12 A. That is correct. 13 Q. And what kind of response have you gotten? 14 dealers conform with the image for the showrooms? 15 A. That's correct. 16 THE COURT: Slow down. Slow down. Ask the questions. Just slow it down. 17 questions. Just slow it down. 18 MR. BELLAVIA: I'm sorry, Your Honor. 19 Q. What type of a response have you gotten in the face of a request to a dealer in this economy to expand his facility? A. We already have had many dealers across the country who have committed to us that they will be expanding service where necessary, in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize 19 in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize 25 in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize 25 in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize 25 in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize 25 in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize 25 in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize 25 in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize 25 in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize 25 in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize 25 in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize 25 in	22	lost sales, is that there's going to be a certain element of	22	are required.
25 pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing 490  1 of lines being added in. So that the customers would have 2 Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge outlets to shop at. 3 Q. But Mr. Grady, didn't you testify that before you could 4 give a Dodge point, as an example, to a Chrysler Jeep dealer, 5 that that dealer may have to refurbish his facility, expand it? 6 He may have to improve it in some way? 7 A. He may. And some are ready to go right now. 8 Q. So you're prepared to go to the assumed dealers in the 9 worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new showrooms so they can accommodate the new lines? 10 A. Yes. 11 A. Yes. 11 A. Yes, we have. 12 Q. Have you proposed that to a single dealer yet in this 13 country? 14 A. Yes, we have. 15 Q. And what kind of response have you gotten? 16 THE COURT: Slow down. Slow down. Ask the 17 questions. Just slow it down. 18 MR. BELLAVIA: I'm sorry, Your Honor. 19 Q. What type of a response have you gotten in the face of a request to a dealer in this economy to expand his facility? 21 A. We already have had many dealers across the country whe building. They'll be also expanding service where necessary, in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize 2 bin order to take on the incremental business. They recognize 2 Indicate the number off the top of my 2 A. Idon't have the number off the top of my 2 A. Idon't have the number off the top of my 2 A. Idon't have the number off the top of my 2 A. Idon't have the number off the top of my 2 A. Idon't have the number off the top of my 2 A. Idon't have the number off the top of my 3 Q. But certainly, part of Project Genesis is to put the right facilities, right? 4 In makes in the right locations with the right dealers in the right locations with the right dealers in the right locations with the right leaders. 4 In dealers careet. 5 A. That's correct. 6 Q. And most dealers are going to have to do substantial number that will have to do the renovations. 6 Q. So the expectation that you test	23	the rejected dealers' lines that will be added rapidly into the	23	Q. So how many circumstances are there, if you know, where
1 of lines being added in. So that the customers would have 2 Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge outlets to shop at. 3 Q. But Mr. Grady, didn't you testify that before you could 4 give a Dodge point, as an example, to a Chrysler Jeep dealer, 5 that that dealer may have to refurbish his facility, expand it? 6 He may have to improve it in some way? 7 A. He may. And some are ready to go right now. 8 Q. So you're prepared to go to the assumed dealers in the 9 worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new 10 showrooms so they can accommodate the new lines? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Have you proposed that to a single dealer yet in this 13 country? 14 A. Yes, we have. 15 Q. And what kind of response have you gotten? 16 THE COURT: Slow down. Slow down. Ask the 17 questions. Just slow it down. 18 MR. BELLAVIA: I'm sorry, Your Honor. 19 Q. What type of a response have you gotten in the face of a request to a dealer in this economy to expand his facility? 21 have committed to us that they will be expanding their 22 facilities, putting the necessary in aging on the front of the building. They'll be also expanding service where necessary, in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize  1 reconstruction? 2 A. I don't have the number off the top of my 2 A. I don't have the number off the top of my 3 Q. But certainly, part of Project Genesis to put the right locations with the right dealers in the right locations with the right locations with the right locations with the right dealers in the right locations with the right locations with the right locations with the right dealers in the right facilities, right?  A. That's correct.  Q. And the right facilities, you're going to stand by that demand that dealers have suitable facilities to accommodate all three line 4 A. Yes.  10 A. Yes.  11 Q makes under one roof?  A. That is correct.  Q. So part and parcel of the Project Genesis program is that dealers conform with the image for the showrooms?  A. That's correct.  Q. And most dealers are going to have to do subst	24	retained dealers. So they would be able to recover sales	24	dealers who are going to be receiving franchises from the
of lines being added in. So that the customers would have Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge outlets to shop at. Q. But Mr. Grady, didn't you testify that before you could give a Dodge point, as an example, to a Chrysler Jeep dealer, that that dealer may have to refurbish his facility, expand it? He may have to improve it in some way? A. He may. And some are ready to go right now. Q. So you're prepared to go to the assumed dealers in the worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new showrooms so they can accommodate the new lines? A. Yes. Q. Have you proposed that to a single dealer yet in this country? A. Yes, we have. Q. And what kind of response have you gotten? THE COURT: Slow down. Slow down. Ask the questions. Just slow it down. MR. BELLAVIA: I'm sorry, Your Honor. MR. BELLAVIA: I'm sorry, Your Honor. MR. BELLAVIA: I'm sorry, Your Honor. A. We already have had many dealers across the country who have committed to us that they will be expanding their have committed to us that they will be expanding their facilities, putting the necessary imaging on the front of the building. They'll be also expanding service where necessary, in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize  1 reconstruction? A. I don't have the number off the top of my A. Q. But certainly, part of Project Genesis is to put the right dialities, in the right locations with the right dealers in the right facilities, right? A. That's correct.  A. That's correct.  A. Yes.  Q. And the right locations with the right dealers in the right dealers in the right facilities, putting the necessary imaging on the front of the building. They'll be also expanding service where necessary, in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize  1 reconstruction?  A. I don't have the number of the top for opical Genesis is to put the right dealers in the right dealers in the right dealers and the right dealers	25	pretty quickly. And then subsequent to that there's a timing	25	rejected dealers, need to undertake facility upgrades or
2 Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge outlets to shop at. 3 Q. But Mr. Grady, didn't you testify that before you could 4 give a Dodge point, as an example, to a Chrysler Jeep dealer, 5 that that dealer may have to refurbish his facility, expand it? 6 He may have to improve it in some way? 7 A. He may. And some are ready to go right now. 8 Q. So you're prepared to go to the assumed dealers in the 9 worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new 9 showrooms so they can accommodate the new lines? 10 A. Yes. 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Have you proposed that to a single dealer yet in this 13 country? 14 A. Yes, we have. 15 Q. And what kind of response have you gotten? 16 THE COURT: Slow down. Slow down. Ask the 17 questions. Just slow it down. 18 MR. BELLAVIA: I'm sorry, Your Honor. 19 Q. What type of a response have you gotten in the face of a 20 request to a dealer in this economy to expand his facility? 21 A. I don't have the number off the top of my 3 Q. But certainly, part of Project Genesis is to put the right line makes in the right locations with the right dealers in the right facilities, right? 4 Line makes in the right locations with the right dealers in the right locations with the right line makes in the right locations with the right line makes in the right locations with the right dealers in the right locations with the right line makes in the right locations with the right line makes in the right locations with the right line makes in the right locations with the right line makes in the right locations with locations with locations with le right locations with locations with left scillings.		490		492
2 Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge outlets to shop at. 3 Q. But Mr. Grady, didn't you testify that before you could 4 give a Dodge point, as an example, to a Chrysler Jeep dealer, 5 that that dealer may have to refurbish his facility, expand it? 6 He may have to improve it in some way? 7 A. He may. And some are ready to go right now. 8 Q. So you're prepared to go to the assumed dealers in the 9 worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new 9 showrooms so they can accommodate the new lines? 10 A. Yes. 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Have you proposed that to a single dealer yet in this 13 country? 14 A. Yes, we have. 15 Q. And what kind of response have you gotten? 16 THE COURT: Slow down. Slow down. Ask the 17 questions. Just slow it down. 18 MR. BELLAVIA: I'm sorry, Your Honor. 19 Q. What type of a response have you gotten in the face of a 20 request to a dealer in this economy to expand his facility? 21 A. I don't have the number off the top of my 3 Q. But certainly, part of Project Genesis is to put the right line makes in the right locations with the right dealers in the right facilities, right? 4 Line makes in the right locations with the right dealers in the right locations with the right line makes in the right locations with the right line makes in the right locations with the right dealers in the right locations with the right line makes in the right locations with the right line makes in the right locations with the right line makes in the right locations with the right line makes in the right locations with locations with locations with le right locations with locations with left scillings.				
Q. But Mr. Grady, didn't you testify that before you could give a Dodge point, as an example, to a Chrysler Jeep dealer, that that dealer may have to refurbish his facility, expand it? He may have to improve it in some way? A. He may. And some are ready to go right now. Q. So you're prepared to go to the assumed dealers in the worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new showrooms so they can accommodate the new lines? A. Yes. Q. Have you proposed that to a single dealer yet in this country? A. Yes, we have. Q. And what kind of response have you gotten? THE COURT: Slow down. Slow down. Ask the questions. Just slow it down. MR. BELLAVIA: I'm sorry, Your Honor. MR. BELLAVIA: I'm sorry, Your Honor. MR. We already have had many dealers across the country who can be dealer in this economy to expand his facility? A. We already have had many dealers across the country who can be dealer to take on the incremental business. They recognize  3 Q. But certainly, part of Project Genesis is to put the right line makes in the right locations with the right line makes in the right locations with the right dealers in the right facilities, right?  4 Line makes in the right locations with the right line makes in the right locations with the right line makes in the right locations with the right line makes in the right locations with the right line makes in the right locations with the right line makes in the right locations with the right line makes in the right locations with the right locations had believe right locations with the right locations had locations with the right locations with le right locations with the right locations had locations with the right locations with the right locations with the right locations had locations with the right locations had locations with the right locations with le right locations with	1	of lines being added in. So that the customers would have		reconstruction?
4 give a Dodge point, as an example, to a Chrysler Jeep dealer, that that dealer may have to refurbish his facility, expand it? 5 He may have to improve it in some way? 6 A. He may. And some are ready to go right now. 8 Q. So you're prepared to go to the assumed dealers in the worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new showrooms so they can accommodate the new lines? 10 showrooms so they can accommodate the new lines? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Have you proposed that to a single dealer yet in this country? 13 country? 14 A. Yes, we have. 15 Q. And what kind of response have you gotten? 16 THE COURT: Slow down. Slow down. Ask the questions. Just slow it down. 17 RB ELLAVIA: I'm sorry, Your Honor. 19 Q. What type of a response have you gotten in the face of a request to a dealer in this economy to expand his facility? 10 A. We already have had many dealers across the country who have committed to us that they will be expanding their facilities, putting the necessary imaging on the front of the building. They'll be also expanding service where necessary, in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize	2	Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge outlets to shop at.	2	A. I don't have the number off the top of my
that that dealer may have to refurbish his facility, expand it?  He may have to improve it in some way?  A. He may. And some are ready to go right now.  Q. So you're prepared to go to the assumed dealers in the worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new showrooms so they can accommodate the new lines?  A. Yes.  Q. Have you proposed that to a single dealer yet in this country?  Q. Have you proposed that to a single dealer yet in this country?  A. Yes, we have.  Q. And what kind of response have you gotten?  THE COURT: Slow down. Slow down. Ask the questions. Just slow it down.  MR. BELLAVIA: I'm sorry, Your Honor.  MR. BELLAVIA: I'm sorry, Your Honor.  Q. What type of a response have you gotten in the face of a request to a dealer in this economy to expand his facility?  A. We already have had many dealers across the country who have committed to us that they will be expanding their have committed to us that they will be expanding their have committed to us that they will be expanding service where necessary, in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize in the recovant on the correct.  A. That's correct.  Q. And the right facilities, you're going to stand by that demand that dealers have suitable facilities to accommodate al theright facilities, you're going to stand by that demand that dealers have suitable facilities to accommodate al theright facilities, you're going to stand by that demand that dealers have suitable facilities to accommodate al theright facilities, you're going to stand by that demand that dealers have suitable facilities to accommodate al theright facilities, you're going to stand by that demand that dealers have suitable facilities to accommodate al theright facilities, you're going to stand by that demand that dealers have suitable facilities, pour're poing to stand by that demand that dealers have suitable facilities, pour're going to stand by the femand that dealers have suitable facilities, pour're pour source.  A. That's correct.  A. That's correct.  A. Tha	3			
He may have to improve it in some way?  A. He may. And some are ready to go right now.  Q. So you're prepared to go to the assumed dealers in the worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new showrooms so they can accommodate the new lines?  A. Yes.  1 A. Yes.  1 Q. — makes under one roof?  1 A. Yes, we have.  1 Q. And what kind of response have you gotten?  1 A. Yes, we have.  1 A. Yes, we have.  1 A. Yes, we have.  1 A. That is correct.  2 A. T	4	give a Dodge point, as an example, to a Chrysler Jeep dealer,	4	line makes in the right locations with the right dealers in the
A. He may. And some are ready to go right now.  Q. So you're prepared to go to the assumed dealers in the worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new showrooms so they can accommodate the new lines?  A. Yes.  Q. Have you proposed that to a single dealer yet in this country?  A. Yes, we have.  Q. And what kind of response have you gotten?  THE COURT: Slow down. Slow down. Ask the questions. Just slow it down.  MR. BELLAVIA: I'm sorry, Your Honor.  Q. What type of a response have you gotten in the face of a request to a dealer in this economy to expand his facility?  A. We already have had many dealers across the country who building. They'll be also expanding service where necessary, in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize it?  Q. And the right facilities, you're going to stand by that demand that dealers have suitable facilities to accommodate al three line  A. Yes.  A. Yes.  11 Q makes under one roof?  A. That is correct.  12 A. That is correct.  13 Q. So part and parcel of the Project Genesis program is that dealers conform with the image for the showrooms?  A. That's correct.  Q. And most dealers are going to have to do substantial renovation to accommodate that goal, aren't they?  A. I don't know about most. But it will be a substantial number that will have to do the renovations.  Q. So the expectation that you testified to earlier that  Chrysler, even though it's going to be losing fourteen percent of its revenues immediately, and that it expects to recapture a hundred percent within twelve months, really doesn't apply to those dealers that need to undertake facility renovations, does it?	5	that that dealer may have to refurbish his facility, expand it?	5	right facilities, right?
Q. So you're prepared to go to the assumed dealers in the worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new showrooms so they can accommodate the new lines?  10 A. Yes.  11 A. Yes.  12 Q. Have you proposed that to a single dealer yet in this country?  13 country?  14 A. Yes, we have.  15 Q. And what kind of response have you gotten?  16 THE COURT: Slow down. Slow down. Ask the questions. Just slow it down.  17 questions. Just slow it down.  18 MR. BELLAVIA: I'm sorry, Your Honor.  19 Q. What type of a response have you gotten in the face of a request to a dealer in this economy to expand his facility?  20 A. That is correct.  11 Q. — makes under one roof?  12 A. That is correct.  13 Q. So part and parcel of the Project Genesis program is that dealers conform with the image for the showrooms?  15 A. That's correct.  16 Q. And most dealers are going to have to do substantial renovation to accommodate that goal, aren't they?  18 A. I don't know about most. But it will be a substantial number that will have to do the renovations.  20 request to a dealer in this economy to expand his facility?  21 A. We already have had many dealers across the country who have committed to us that they will be expanding their have committed to us that they will be expanding their have committed to us that they will be expanding their facilities, putting the necessary imaging on the front of the building. They'll be also expanding service where necessary, in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize it?	6	He may have to improve it in some way?	6	A. That's correct.
worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new showrooms so they can accommodate the new lines?  A. Yes.  11 Q makes under one roof?  12 Q. Have you proposed that to a single dealer yet in this country?  13 Q. So part and parcel of the Project Genesis program is that dealers conform with the image for the showrooms?  14 A. Yes, we have.  15 Q. And what kind of response have you gotten?  16 THE COURT: Slow down. Slow down. Ask the  17 questions. Just slow it down.  18 MR. BELLAVIA: I'm sorry, Your Honor.  19 Q. What type of a response have you gotten in the face of a request to a dealer in this economy to expand his facility?  20 A. That's correct.  11 Q makes under one roof?  12 A. That is correct.  13 Q. So part and parcel of the Project Genesis program is that dealers conform with the image for the showrooms?  15 A. That's correct.  16 Q. And most dealers are going to have to do substantial renovation to accommodate that goal, aren't they?  18 A. I don't know about most. But it will be a substantial number that will have to do the renovations.  20 request to a dealer in this economy to expand his facility?  21 A. We already have had many dealers across the country who have committed to us that they will be expanding their  22 facilities, putting the necessary imaging on the front of the building. They'll be also expanding service where necessary, in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize  25 in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize	7	A. He may. And some are ready to go right now.	7	Q. And the right facilities, you're going to stand by that
showrooms so they can accommodate the new lines?  A. Yes.  Q. Have you proposed that to a single dealer yet in this country?  12 A. That is correct.  13 Q. So part and parcel of the Project Genesis program is that 14 A. Yes, we have.  14 dealers conform with the image for the showrooms?  15 Q. And what kind of response have you gotten?  16 THE COURT: Slow down. Slow down. Ask the 17 questions. Just slow it down.  18 MR. BELLAVIA: I'm sorry, Your Honor.  19 Q. What type of a response have you gotten in the face of a 19 request to a dealer in this economy to expand his facility?  10 A. Yes.  11 Q. — makes under one roof?  12 A. That is correct.  13 Q. So part and parcel of the Project Genesis program is that 14 dealers conform with the image for the showrooms?  15 A. That's correct.  Q. And most dealers are going to have to do substantial 17 renovation to accommodate that goal, aren't they?  18 A. I don't know about most. But it will be a substantial 19 number that will have to do the renovations.  20 Q. So the expectation that you testified to earlier that 21 A. We already have had many dealers across the country who 22 have committed to us that they will be expanding their 23 facilities, putting the necessary imaging on the front of the 24 building. They'll be also expanding service where necessary, 25 in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize 26 it?	8	Q. So you're prepared to go to the assumed dealers in the	8	demand that dealers have suitable facilities to accommodate al
A. Yes.  Q. Have you proposed that to a single dealer yet in this country?  13 Q. So part and parcel of the Project Genesis program is that dealers conform with the image for the showrooms?  14 A. Yes, we have. 15 Q. And what kind of response have you gotten? 16 THE COURT: Slow down. Slow down. Ask the 17 questions. Just slow it down. 18 MR. BELLAVIA: I'm sorry, Your Honor. 19 Q. What type of a response have you gotten in the face of a request to a dealer in this economy to expand his facility? 20 Ro part and parcel of the Project Genesis program is that dealers conform with the image for the showrooms?  A. That's correct. Q. And most dealers are going to have to do substantial renovation to accommodate that goal, aren't they? A. I don't know about most. But it will be a substantial number that will have to do the renovations. Q. So the expectation that you testified to earlier that Chrysler, even though it's going to be losing fourteen percent of its revenues immediately, and that it expects to recapture a hundred percent within twelve months, really doesn't apply to building. They'll be also expanding service where necessary, in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize  11 Q. — makes under one roof?  A. That is correct.  Q. And most dealers are going to have to do substantial renovation to accommodate that goal, aren't they?  A. I don't know about most. But it will be a substantial number that will have to do the renovations.  Q. So the expectation that you testified to earlier that  Chrysler, even though it's going to be losing fourteen percent of its revenues immediately, and that it expects to recapture a hundred percent within twelve months, really doesn't apply to those dealers that need to undertake facility renovations, does it?	9	worst economy in fifty years and ask them to build new	9	three line
Q. Have you proposed that to a single dealer yet in this country?  A. Yes, we have.  Q. And what kind of response have you gotten?  THE COURT: Slow down. Slow down. Ask the questions. Just slow it down.  MR. BELLAVIA: I'm sorry, Your Honor.  Q. What type of a response have you gotten in the face of a request to a dealer in this economy to expand his facility?  A. We already have had many dealers across the country who building. They'll be also expanding service where necessary, in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize  12 A. That is correct.  Q. So part and parcel of the Project Genesis program is that dealers conform with the image for the showrooms?  A. That's correct.  Q. And most dealers are going to have to do substantial renovation to accommodate that goal, aren't they?  A. I don't know about most. But it will be a substantial number that will have to do the renovations.  Q. So the expectation that you testified to earlier that  Chrysler, even though it's going to be losing fourteen percent of its revenues immediately, and that it expects to recapture a hundred percent within twelve months, really doesn't apply to those dealers that need to undertake facility renovations, does it?	10	showrooms so they can accommodate the new lines?	10	A. Yes.
country?  A. Yes, we have.  Q. And what kind of response have you gotten?  THE COURT: Slow down. Slow down. Ask the questions. Just slow it down.  MR. BELLAVIA: I'm sorry, Your Honor.  Q. What type of a response have you gotten in the face of a request to a dealer in this economy to expand his facility?  A. We already have had many dealers across the country who have committed to us that they will be expanding their have committed to us that they will be expanding service where necessary, in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize it?  13 Q. So part and parcel of the Project Genesis program is that dealers conform with the image for the showrooms?  A. That's correct.  Q. And most dealers are going to have to do substantial renovation to accommodate that goal, aren't they?  A. I don't know about most. But it will be a substantial number that will have to do the renovations.  Q. So the expectation that you testified to earlier that  Chrysler, even though it's going to be losing fourteen percent of its revenues immediately, and that it expects to recapture a hundred percent within twelve months, really doesn't apply to those dealers that need to undertake facility renovations, does it?	11	A. Yes.	11	Q makes under one roof?
A. Yes, we have.  Q. And what kind of response have you gotten?  THE COURT: Slow down. Slow down. Ask the questions. Just slow it down.  MR. BELLAVIA: I'm sorry, Your Honor.  Q. What type of a response have you gotten in the face of a request to a dealer in this economy to expand his facility?  A. We already have had many dealers across the country who have committed to us that they will be expanding their facilities, putting the necessary imaging on the front of the building. They'll be also expanding service where necessary, in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize is it?  A. Yes, we have.  14 dealers conform with the image for the showrooms?  A. That's correct.  Q. And most dealers are going to have to do substantial renovation to accommodate that goal, aren't they?  A. I don't know about most. But it will be a substantial number that will have to do the renovations.  Q. So the expectation that you testified to earlier that  Chrysler, even though it's going to be losing fourteen percent of its revenues immediately, and that it expects to recapture a hundred percent within twelve months, really doesn't apply to those dealers that need to undertake facility renovations, does it?	12	Q. Have you proposed that to a single dealer yet in this	12	A. That is correct.
Q. And what kind of response have you gotten?  THE COURT: Slow down. Slow down. Ask the questions. Just slow it down.  MR. BELLAVIA: I'm sorry, Your Honor.  Q. What type of a response have you gotten in the face of a request to a dealer in this economy to expand his facility?  A. We already have had many dealers across the country who have committed to us that they will be expanding their facilities, putting the necessary imaging on the front of the building. They'll be also expanding service where necessary, in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize is it?	13	country?	13	Q. So part and parcel of the Project Genesis program is that
THE COURT: Slow down. Slow down. Ask the questions. Just slow it down.  MR. BELLAVIA: I'm sorry, Your Honor.  Q. What type of a response have you gotten in the face of a request to a dealer in this economy to expand his facility?  A. We already have had many dealers across the country who have committed to us that they will be expanding their have committed to us that they will be expanding their have committed to us that they will be expanding service where necessary, in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize it?  Q. And most dealers are going to have to do substantial renovation to accommodate that goal, aren't they?  A. I don't know about most. But it will be a substantial number that will have to do the renovations.  Q. So the expectation that you testified to earlier that  Chrysler, even though it's going to be losing fourteen percent of its revenues immediately, and that it expects to recapture a hundred percent within twelve months, really doesn't apply to those dealers that need to undertake facility renovations, does it?	14	A. Yes, we have.	14	dealers conform with the image for the showrooms?
questions. Just slow it down.  MR. BELLAVIA: I'm sorry, Your Honor.  Q. What type of a response have you gotten in the face of a request to a dealer in this economy to expand his facility?  A. We already have had many dealers across the country who have committed to us that they will be expanding their have committed to us that they will be expanding their productions.  A. We already have had many dealers across the country who have committed to us that they will be expanding their productions and the front of the building. They'll be also expanding service where necessary, in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize it?	15	Q. And what kind of response have you gotten?	15	A. That's correct.
MR. BELLAVIA: I'm sorry, Your Honor.  Q. What type of a response have you gotten in the face of a request to a dealer in this economy to expand his facility?  A. We already have had many dealers across the country who have committed to us that they will be expanding their have committed to us that they will be expanding their pacific facilities, putting the necessary imaging on the front of the building. They'll be also expanding service where necessary, in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize it?  A. I don't know about most. But it will be a substantial number that will have to do the renovations.  Q. So the expectation that you testified to earlier that Chrysler, even though it's going to be losing fourteen percent of its revenues immediately, and that it expects to recapture a hundred percent within twelve months, really doesn't apply to those dealers that need to undertake facility renovations, does it?	16	THE COURT: Slow down. Slow down. Ask the	16	Q. And most dealers are going to have to do substantial
Q. What type of a response have you gotten in the face of a request to a dealer in this economy to expand his facility?  A. We already have had many dealers across the country who have committed to us that they will be expanding their facilities, putting the necessary imaging on the front of the building. They'll be also expanding service where necessary, in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize lit?  number that will have to do the renovations.  Q. So the expectation that you testified to earlier that  Chrysler, even though it's going to be losing fourteen percent of its revenues immediately, and that it expects to recapture a hundred percent within twelve months, really doesn't apply to those dealers that need to undertake facility renovations, does it?	17	questions. Just slow it down.	17	renovation to accommodate that goal, aren't they?
request to a dealer in this economy to expand his facility?  20 Q. So the expectation that you testified to earlier that  21 A. We already have had many dealers across the country who 22 have committed to us that they will be expanding their 23 facilities, putting the necessary imaging on the front of the 24 building. They'll be also expanding service where necessary, 25 in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize 20 Q. So the expectation that you testified to earlier that 21 Chrysler, even though it's going to be losing fourteen percent 22 of its revenues immediately, and that it expects to recapture a 23 hundred percent within twelve months, really doesn't apply to 24 those dealers that need to undertake facility renovations, does 25 it?	18	MR. BELLAVIA: I'm sorry, Your Honor.	18	A. I don't know about most. But it will be a substantial
A. We already have had many dealers across the country who 21 Chrysler, even though it's going to be losing fourteen percent 422 have committed to us that they will be expanding their 423 facilities, putting the necessary imaging on the front of the 424 building. They'll be also expanding service where necessary, 424 those dealers that need to undertake facility renovations, does 425 in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize 425 it?	19	Q. What type of a response have you gotten in the face of a	19	number that will have to do the renovations.
have committed to us that they will be expanding their facilities, putting the necessary imaging on the front of the building. They'll be also expanding service where necessary, in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize  in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize  of its revenues immediately, and that it expects to recapture a hundred percent within twelve months, really doesn't apply to those dealers that need to undertake facility renovations, does it?	20	request to a dealer in this economy to expand his facility?	20	Q. So the expectation that you testified to earlier that
facilities, putting the necessary imaging on the front of the building. They'll be also expanding service where necessary, in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize it?	21	A. We already have had many dealers across the country who	21	Chrysler, even though it's going to be losing fourteen percent
building. They'll be also expanding service where necessary, in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize it?	22	have committed to us that they will be expanding their	22	of its revenues immediately, and that it expects to recapture a
25 in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize 25 it?	23	facilities, putting the necessary imaging on the front of the	23	hundred percent within twelve months, really doesn't apply to
, ,	24	building. They'll be also expanding service where necessary,	24	those dealers that need to undertake facility renovations, does
491   493	25	in order to take on the incremental business. They recognize	25	it?
		491		493

1	A. No. That's correct.	1	A. Well, if it benefits the purchaser, who's paying a price
2	Q. Except	2	for the assets of the estate, I would assume that that would
3	A. But the lost sales will also be picked up by not just the	3	meet that test.
4	dealers who are picking up the additional lines, but by giving	4	Q. So if this
5	enough elbow room into the other dealers who are remaining, who	5	A. So if we're delivering a dealer network that the
6	are currently Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge. They should be able to	6	purchaser, the new company, wants to have, and is believing i
7	absorb it in the market as well.	7	to be the proper network, then that's part of the value that
8	Q. Well, doesn't that presume when you say absorb, do you	8	was negotiated between all the parties.
9	mean that the lost business will absorbed by neighboring	9	Q. Mr. Grady, were you present yesterday for Mr. Altavilla's
10	dealers?	10	testimony?
11	A. Yes.	11	A. No, I was not.
12	Q. But doesn't	12	Q. Were you present for Mr. Nardelli's testimony?
13	A. The entire network will be able to overcome the lost	13	THE COURT: He wasn't present.
14	sales. Those fourteen percent lost sales will be overcome by	14	MR. BELLAVIA: All right.
15	the entire dealer network, not just on a one-to-one basis.	15	THE COURT: He wasn't supposed to be present for
16	Q. Aren't the customers a component of this goal? Don't you	16	anyone's testimony.
17	need to have the customers buy the cars for that to happen?	17	MR. BELLAVI: That's correct. I apologize.
18	A. Yes.	18	Q. The fact is, Mr. Grady, Fiat didn't demand and didn't
19	Q. Have you considered the apprehension that is going to be	19	require 789 dealers to be rejected, did it?
20	created by virtue of consumers seeing 789 dealers go out of	20	A. No, not that number. They never said what kind of a
21	business over the next couple of weeks?	21	•
22	A. Well, we believe that if we've communicated with the		number they were looking for, whether it was 1 or 1,000.
	, and the second	22	Q. Well, they never demanded that any single dealer be
23	customers, the owners out there, they want to do business and	23	rejected in this transaction, did it?
24	shop at dealers that have all the lines under one roof, and	24	A. I'm only aware of that we were taking the opportunity to
25	they want to move forward with a dealer who's investing in the	25	accelerate Project Genesis as a result of the bankruptcy. And
	494		496
1	market.	1	Fiat and their dealer development people, who were advised of
2		2	• • • •
	Q. Mr. Grady, would you concede that the public right now is		the process, signed off on the process. They agreed with it.
3	very confused about what's going on in the automobile industry	, 3	And they actually have used, you know, similar factors when
4	particularly as it relates to Chrysler and General Motors?	4	they've done their own restructuring over in Europe and maybe
5	A. Yes.	5	some of the other countries around the world that they do
6	Q. So to expect that these consumers are going to come back	6	business in.
7	within ninety days, some of them, and all of them are going to	7	Q. Mr. Grady, Jim Press, when he came over from Toyota,
8	come back within a year, isn't that wishful thinking?	8	impacted the way Chrysler does business with respect to the
9	A. No, I don't believe so.	9	dealer network, didn't he?
10	Q. Isn't it a risk?	10	A. Could you explain further?
11	A. There's certainly a risk.	11	Q. Sure. He espoused the virtues of the Toyota dealership
12	Q. Is this really the economic climate to be undertaking that	12	model to Chrysler, didn't he?
13	type of a risk?	13	A. Well, he talked about the benefits. I mean, you would
14	A. This is the opportunity that's presented us at this moment	14	expect that from somebody who'd spent his entire career with
15	in time.	15	Toyota, and seeing the benefits and the success Toyota has, I
16	Q. But you understand there are certain standards that have	16	think that was part of the reason why Mr. Press was brought or
17	to be met in order to successfully accomplish this, given	17	board with Chrysler.
18	certain rules of the Court and the 363 sale?	18	Q. Does Toyota enjoy good success with respect to customer
19	certain raises of the court and the bob saic.		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
19	A. I'm not familiar with all those.	19	satisfaction?
20			
	A. I'm not familiar with all those.	19	satisfaction?
20	A. I'm not familiar with all those.     Q. All right. But you do understand that you need to	19 20	satisfaction? A. I believe so, yes.
20 21	A. I'm not familiar with all those.  Q. All right. But you do understand that you need to establish that the proposed transaction meets a certain test,	19 20 21	satisfaction? A. I believe so, yes. Q. And does Honda?
20 21 22	A. I'm not familiar with all those.  Q. All right. But you do understand that you need to establish that the proposed transaction meets a certain test, that it be in the best interest of the estate?	19 20 21 22	satisfaction?  A. I believe so, yes.  Q. And does Honda?  A. I believe so, yes.
20 21 22 23	<ul><li>A. I'm not familiar with all those.</li><li>Q. All right. But you do understand that you need to establish that the proposed transaction meets a certain test, that it be in the best interest of the estate?</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>	19 20 21 22 23	satisfaction? A. I believe so, yes. Q. And does Honda? A. I believe so, yes. Q. And
20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. I'm not familiar with all those.</li> <li>Q. All right. But you do understand that you need to establish that the proposed transaction meets a certain test, that it be in the best interest of the estate?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But isn't this initiative really designed to serve the</li> </ul>	19 20 21 22 23 24	satisfaction?  A. I believe so, yes.  Q. And does Honda?  A. I believe so, yes.  Q. And  A. They have a reputation for it.

1	A. I believe so.	1	ha wants a placeing anvironment. He wants a number of
1 2	A. I believe so.  Q. And does Chrysler aspire to enjoy the same customer	1 2	he wants a pleasing environment. He wants a number of different things that would for example, he would like to
3	satisfaction scores that those three manufacturers have?	3	have all the technicians trained, have enough capacity in the
4		4	service department so that there's a convenience level that's
5	A. Yes, we do.  MR. BELLAVIA: Your Honor, may I approach the	5	involved with the customer being able to have his car serviced
6	witness, please?	6	within his required amount of time
7	THE COURT: Go ahead. Thank you.	7	Q. All right, so
8	MR. ORR: Your Honor?	8	A things of that nature.
9	THE COURT: Yes.	9	Q consistent with that, would it be fair to say that
10	MR. ORR: Thank you.	10	Chrysler wants to reduce the number of dealers so that the
11	Q. Mr. Grady, can we start by asking you to identify the name		dealers' customers can enjoy a better sales satisfaction
12	J.D. Power and Associates?	12	experience?
13	A. Yes. They're a firm that does research on sales and	13	A. We're looking to consolidated all of the brands so that
14	customer satisfaction for many industries, not just cars.	14	the dealer can make the investment in the facilities in order
15	Q. Okay. And they're highly respected in the industry?	15	to provide that experience.
16	A. Yes.	16	Q. Just as Honda, Toyota and Nissan have done, correct?
17	Q. By both manufacturers, dealers and consumers?	17	A. That's correct.
18	A. Yes.	18	Q. Because you'd like to aspire to achieve the same results
19	Q. Can you identify the document that I just if you take a	19	that Honda, Toyota and Nissan has done?
20	look at page 3, I'm sorry, of the document that I just handed	20	A. The same customer satisfaction.
21	to you?	21	Q. And that was one of the driving forces behind the decision
22	A. Okay.	22	to reject the contracts of twenty-five percent of Chrysler's
23	Q. Do you see that?	23	dealers, correct?
24	A. Yes.	24	A. That's one of the driving factors behind Project Genesis.
25	Q. Please read the title of the document?	25	Q. All right. Well, let's take a look at this document, if
	498		500
1	A Well this is the 2008 sales satisfaction index	1 1	you would? Do you see in the center of the page sir a darker
1 2	A. Well, this is the 2008 sales satisfaction index.  O. Now before I'm going to address this next question to	1 2	you would? Do you see in the center of the page, sir, a darker colored har reflecting industry average?
2	Q. Now, before I'm going to address this next question to	2	colored bar reflecting industry average?
2	Q. Now, before I'm going to address this next question to your specific actions. Before the dealers in this case had	2	colored bar reflecting industry average?  A. Yes.
2 3 4	Q. Now, before I'm going to address this next question to your specific actions. Before the dealers in this case had received rejection notices from Chrysler, did you undertake to	2 3 4	colored bar reflecting industry average?  A. Yes.  Q. Now, is that the exhibit?
2	Q. Now, before I'm going to address this next question to your specific actions. Before the dealers in this case had received rejection notices from Chrysler, did you undertake to find out what the 2008 J.D. Power and Associates sales	2	colored bar reflecting industry average?  A. Yes. Q. Now, is that the exhibit? A. Sorry, no.
2 3 4 5	Q. Now, before I'm going to address this next question to your specific actions. Before the dealers in this case had received rejection notices from Chrysler, did you undertake to find out what the 2008 J.D. Power and Associates sales satisfaction index was for last year, for '08?	2 3 4 5	colored bar reflecting industry average? A. Yes. Q. Now, is that the exhibit? A. Sorry, no. Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6	Q. Now, before I'm going to address this next question to your specific actions. Before the dealers in this case had received rejection notices from Chrysler, did you undertake to find out what the 2008 J.D. Power and Associates sales satisfaction index was for last year, for '08?  A. No, we did not.	2 3 4 5 6 7	colored bar reflecting industry average?  A. Yes. Q. Now, is that the exhibit? A. Sorry, no. Q. Okay. A. That was the previous exhibits.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Now, before I'm going to address this next question to your specific actions. Before the dealers in this case had received rejection notices from Chrysler, did you undertake to find out what the 2008 J.D. Power and Associates sales satisfaction index was for last year, for '08?  A. No, we did not.  Q. But you testified a moment ago that one of the reasons for	2 3 4 5 6 7	colored bar reflecting industry average? A. Yes. Q. Now, is that the exhibit? A. Sorry, no. Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Now, before I'm going to address this next question to your specific actions. Before the dealers in this case had received rejection notices from Chrysler, did you undertake to find out what the 2008 J.D. Power and Associates sales satisfaction index was for last year, for '08?  A. No, we did not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	colored bar reflecting industry average?  A. Yes. Q. Now, is that the exhibit? A. Sorry, no. Q. Okay. A. That was the previous exhibits. Q. All right. We don't need that anymore.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Now, before I'm going to address this next question to your specific actions. Before the dealers in this case had received rejection notices from Chrysler, did you undertake to find out what the 2008 J.D. Power and Associates sales satisfaction index was for last year, for '08?  A. No, we did not.  Q. But you testified a moment ago that one of the reasons for the Project Genesis initiative is to reduce the number of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	colored bar reflecting industry average?  A. Yes. Q. Now, is that the exhibit? A. Sorry, no. Q. Okay. A. That was the previous exhibits. Q. All right. We don't need that anymore. A. We don't need those.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Now, before I'm going to address this next question to your specific actions. Before the dealers in this case had received rejection notices from Chrysler, did you undertake to find out what the 2008 J.D. Power and Associates sales satisfaction index was for last year, for '08?  A. No, we did not.  Q. But you testified a moment ago that one of the reasons for the Project Genesis initiative is to reduce the number of dealers so that each dealership would have a better what's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	colored bar reflecting industry average?  A. Yes. Q. Now, is that the exhibit? A. Sorry, no. Q. Okay. A. That was the previous exhibits. Q. All right. We don't need that anymore. A. We don't need those. Q. You testified a few moments ago, before I handed you the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Now, before I'm going to address this next question to your specific actions. Before the dealers in this case had received rejection notices from Chrysler, did you undertake to find out what the 2008 J.D. Power and Associates sales satisfaction index was for last year, for '08?  A. No, we did not.  Q. But you testified a moment ago that one of the reasons for the Project Genesis initiative is to reduce the number of dealers so that each dealership would have a better what's the term, throughput? You used the term throughput at your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	colored bar reflecting industry average?  A. Yes. Q. Now, is that the exhibit? A. Sorry, no. Q. Okay. A. That was the previous exhibits. Q. All right. We don't need that anymore. A. We don't need those. Q. You testified a few moments ago, before I handed you the document, that one of the purposes of this rejection is so that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Now, before I'm going to address this next question to your specific actions. Before the dealers in this case had received rejection notices from Chrysler, did you undertake to find out what the 2008 J.D. Power and Associates sales satisfaction index was for last year, for '08?  A. No, we did not.  Q. But you testified a moment ago that one of the reasons for the Project Genesis initiative is to reduce the number of dealers so that each dealership would have a better what's the term, throughput? You used the term throughput at your deposition?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	colored bar reflecting industry average?  A. Yes. Q. Now, is that the exhibit? A. Sorry, no. Q. Okay. A. That was the previous exhibits. Q. All right. We don't need that anymore. A. We don't need those. Q. You testified a few moments ago, before I handed you the document, that one of the purposes of this rejection is so that Chrysler can accomplish the successful sales satisfaction
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Now, before I'm going to address this next question to your specific actions. Before the dealers in this case had received rejection notices from Chrysler, did you undertake to find out what the 2008 J.D. Power and Associates sales satisfaction index was for last year, for '08?  A. No, we did not.  Q. But you testified a moment ago that one of the reasons for the Project Genesis initiative is to reduce the number of dealers so that each dealership would have a better what's the term, throughput? You used the term throughput at your deposition?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	colored bar reflecting industry average?  A. Yes. Q. Now, is that the exhibit? A. Sorry, no. Q. Okay. A. That was the previous exhibits. Q. All right. We don't need that anymore. A. We don't need those. Q. You testified a few moments ago, before I handed you the document, that one of the purposes of this rejection is so that Chrysler can accomplish the successful sales satisfaction results of Honda, Toyota and Nissan, right? Do you remember
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Now, before I'm going to address this next question to your specific actions. Before the dealers in this case had received rejection notices from Chrysler, did you undertake to find out what the 2008 J.D. Power and Associates sales satisfaction index was for last year, for '08?  A. No, we did not.  Q. But you testified a moment ago that one of the reasons for the Project Genesis initiative is to reduce the number of dealers so that each dealership would have a better what's the term, throughput? You used the term throughput at your deposition?  A. Yes.  Q. What is throughput?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	colored bar reflecting industry average?  A. Yes. Q. Now, is that the exhibit? A. Sorry, no. Q. Okay. A. That was the previous exhibits. Q. All right. We don't need that anymore. A. We don't need those. Q. You testified a few moments ago, before I handed you the document, that one of the purposes of this rejection is so that Chrysler can accomplish the successful sales satisfaction results of Honda, Toyota and Nissan, right? Do you remember that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Now, before I'm going to address this next question to your specific actions. Before the dealers in this case had received rejection notices from Chrysler, did you undertake to find out what the 2008 J.D. Power and Associates sales satisfaction index was for last year, for '08?  A. No, we did not.  Q. But you testified a moment ago that one of the reasons for the Project Genesis initiative is to reduce the number of dealers so that each dealership would have a better what's the term, throughput? You used the term throughput at your deposition?  A. Yes.  Q. What is throughput?  A. Dealerships with greater throughput, which is annual sales	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	colored bar reflecting industry average?  A. Yes. Q. Now, is that the exhibit? A. Sorry, no. Q. Okay. A. That was the previous exhibits. Q. All right. We don't need that anymore. A. We don't need those. Q. You testified a few moments ago, before I handed you the document, that one of the purposes of this rejection is so that Chrysler can accomplish the successful sales satisfaction results of Honda, Toyota and Nissan, right? Do you remember that?  A. Actually, I said customer satisfaction.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Now, before I'm going to address this next question to your specific actions. Before the dealers in this case had received rejection notices from Chrysler, did you undertake to find out what the 2008 J.D. Power and Associates sales satisfaction index was for last year, for '08?  A. No, we did not.  Q. But you testified a moment ago that one of the reasons for the Project Genesis initiative is to reduce the number of dealers so that each dealership would have a better what's the term, throughput? You used the term throughput at your deposition?  A. Yes.  Q. What is throughput?  A. Dealerships with greater throughput, which is annual sales through the dealership.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	colored bar reflecting industry average?  A. Yes. Q. Now, is that the exhibit? A. Sorry, no. Q. Okay. A. That was the previous exhibits. Q. All right. We don't need that anymore. A. We don't need those. Q. You testified a few moments ago, before I handed you the document, that one of the purposes of this rejection is so that Chrysler can accomplish the successful sales satisfaction results of Honda, Toyota and Nissan, right? Do you remember that?  A. Actually, I said customer satisfaction. Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Now, before I'm going to address this next question to your specific actions. Before the dealers in this case had received rejection notices from Chrysler, did you undertake to find out what the 2008 J.D. Power and Associates sales satisfaction index was for last year, for '08?  A. No, we did not.  Q. But you testified a moment ago that one of the reasons for the Project Genesis initiative is to reduce the number of dealers so that each dealership would have a better what's the term, throughput? You used the term throughput at your deposition?  A. Yes.  Q. What is throughput?  A. Dealerships with greater throughput, which is annual sales through the dealership.  Q. And if I understood your testimony correctly, the idea is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	colored bar reflecting industry average?  A. Yes. Q. Now, is that the exhibit? A. Sorry, no. Q. Okay. A. That was the previous exhibits. Q. All right. We don't need that anymore. A. We don't need those. Q. You testified a few moments ago, before I handed you the document, that one of the purposes of this rejection is so that Chrysler can accomplish the successful sales satisfaction results of Honda, Toyota and Nissan, right? Do you remember that?  A. Actually, I said customer satisfaction. Q. Okay. A. Which is a little bit different of a service measure than
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Now, before I'm going to address this next question to your specific actions. Before the dealers in this case had received rejection notices from Chrysler, did you undertake to find out what the 2008 J.D. Power and Associates sales satisfaction index was for last year, for '08?  A. No, we did not.  Q. But you testified a moment ago that one of the reasons for the Project Genesis initiative is to reduce the number of dealers so that each dealership would have a better what's the term, throughput? You used the term throughput at your deposition?  A. Yes.  Q. What is throughput?  A. Dealerships with greater throughput, which is annual sales through the dealership.  Q. And if I understood your testimony correctly, the idea is that if there are less dealers and they're more profitable,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	colored bar reflecting industry average?  A. Yes. Q. Now, is that the exhibit? A. Sorry, no. Q. Okay. A. That was the previous exhibits. Q. All right. We don't need that anymore. A. We don't need those. Q. You testified a few moments ago, before I handed you the document, that one of the purposes of this rejection is so that Chrysler can accomplish the successful sales satisfaction results of Honda, Toyota and Nissan, right? Do you remember that?  A. Actually, I said customer satisfaction. Q. Okay. A. Which is a little bit different of a service measure than a sales sales satisfaction is a measure of the sales
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Now, before I'm going to address this next question to your specific actions. Before the dealers in this case had received rejection notices from Chrysler, did you undertake to find out what the 2008 J.D. Power and Associates sales satisfaction index was for last year, for '08?  A. No, we did not.  Q. But you testified a moment ago that one of the reasons for the Project Genesis initiative is to reduce the number of dealers so that each dealership would have a better what's the term, throughput? You used the term throughput at your deposition?  A. Yes.  Q. What is throughput?  A. Dealerships with greater throughput, which is annual sales through the dealership.  Q. And if I understood your testimony correctly, the idea is that if there are less dealers and they're more profitable, then they can, I believe to use your term, can afford to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	colored bar reflecting industry average?  A. Yes. Q. Now, is that the exhibit? A. Sorry, no. Q. Okay. A. That was the previous exhibits. Q. All right. We don't need that anymore. A. We don't need those. Q. You testified a few moments ago, before I handed you the document, that one of the purposes of this rejection is so that Chrysler can accomplish the successful sales satisfaction results of Honda, Toyota and Nissan, right? Do you remember that? A. Actually, I said customer satisfaction. Q. Okay. A. Which is a little bit different of a service measure than a sales sales satisfaction is a measure of the sales transaction as opposed to customer satisfaction, which is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Now, before I'm going to address this next question to your specific actions. Before the dealers in this case had received rejection notices from Chrysler, did you undertake to find out what the 2008 J.D. Power and Associates sales satisfaction index was for last year, for '08?  A. No, we did not.  Q. But you testified a moment ago that one of the reasons for the Project Genesis initiative is to reduce the number of dealers so that each dealership would have a better what's the term, throughput? You used the term throughput at your deposition?  A. Yes.  Q. What is throughput?  A. Dealerships with greater throughput, which is annual sales through the dealership.  Q. And if I understood your testimony correctly, the idea is that if there are less dealers and they're more profitable, then they can, I believe to use your term, can afford to enhance the consumer experience at the dealership. Is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	colored bar reflecting industry average?  A. Yes. Q. Now, is that the exhibit? A. Sorry, no. Q. Okay. A. That was the previous exhibits. Q. All right. We don't need that anymore. A. We don't need those. Q. You testified a few moments ago, before I handed you the document, that one of the purposes of this rejection is so that Chrysler can accomplish the successful sales satisfaction results of Honda, Toyota and Nissan, right? Do you remember that?  A. Actually, I said customer satisfaction. Q. Okay. A. Which is a little bit different of a service measure than a sales sales satisfaction is a measure of the sales transaction as opposed to customer satisfaction, which is a measure of the service experience.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Now, before I'm going to address this next question to your specific actions. Before the dealers in this case had received rejection notices from Chrysler, did you undertake to find out what the 2008 J.D. Power and Associates sales satisfaction index was for last year, for '08?  A. No, we did not.  Q. But you testified a moment ago that one of the reasons for the Project Genesis initiative is to reduce the number of dealers so that each dealership would have a better what's the term, throughput? You used the term throughput at your deposition?  A. Yes.  Q. What is throughput?  A. Dealerships with greater throughput, which is annual sales through the dealership.  Q. And if I understood your testimony correctly, the idea is that if there are less dealers and they're more profitable, then they can, I believe to use your term, can afford to enhance the consumer experience at the dealership. Is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	colored bar reflecting industry average?  A. Yes. Q. Now, is that the exhibit? A. Sorry, no. Q. Okay. A. That was the previous exhibits. Q. All right. We don't need that anymore. A. We don't need those. Q. You testified a few moments ago, before I handed you the document, that one of the purposes of this rejection is so that Chrysler can accomplish the successful sales satisfaction results of Honda, Toyota and Nissan, right? Do you remember that?  A. Actually, I said customer satisfaction. Q. Okay. A. Which is a little bit different of a service measure than a sales sales satisfaction is a measure of the sales transaction as opposed to customer satisfaction, which is a measure of the service experience. Q. All right. So we should not count this at all, then?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Now, before I'm going to address this next question to your specific actions. Before the dealers in this case had received rejection notices from Chrysler, did you undertake to find out what the 2008 J.D. Power and Associates sales satisfaction index was for last year, for '08?  A. No, we did not.  Q. But you testified a moment ago that one of the reasons for the Project Genesis initiative is to reduce the number of dealers so that each dealership would have a better what's the term, throughput? You used the term throughput at your deposition?  A. Yes.  Q. What is throughput?  A. Dealerships with greater throughput, which is annual sales through the dealership.  Q. And if I understood your testimony correctly, the idea is that if there are less dealers and they're more profitable, then they can, I believe to use your term, can afford to enhance the consumer experience at the dealership. Is that right?  A. That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	colored bar reflecting industry average?  A. Yes. Q. Now, is that the exhibit? A. Sorry, no. Q. Okay. A. That was the previous exhibits. Q. All right. We don't need that anymore. A. We don't need those. Q. You testified a few moments ago, before I handed you the document, that one of the purposes of this rejection is so that Chrysler can accomplish the successful sales satisfaction results of Honda, Toyota and Nissan, right? Do you remember that? A. Actually, I said customer satisfaction. Q. Okay. A. Which is a little bit different of a service measure than a sales sales satisfaction is a measure of the sales transaction as opposed to customer satisfaction, which is a measure of the service experience. Q. All right. So we should not count this at all, then? A. No, go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Now, before I'm going to address this next question to your specific actions. Before the dealers in this case had received rejection notices from Chrysler, did you undertake to find out what the 2008 J.D. Power and Associates sales satisfaction index was for last year, for '08?  A. No, we did not.  Q. But you testified a moment ago that one of the reasons for the Project Genesis initiative is to reduce the number of dealers so that each dealership would have a better what's the term, throughput? You used the term throughput at your deposition?  A. Yes.  Q. What is throughput?  A. Dealerships with greater throughput, which is annual sales through the dealership.  Q. And if I understood your testimony correctly, the idea is that if there are less dealers and they're more profitable, then they can, I believe to use your term, can afford to enhance the consumer experience at the dealership. Is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. And what does that mean "to enhance the consumer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	colored bar reflecting industry average?  A. Yes. Q. Now, is that the exhibit? A. Sorry, no. Q. Okay. A. That was the previous exhibits. Q. All right. We don't need that anymore. A. We don't need those. Q. You testified a few moments ago, before I handed you the document, that one of the purposes of this rejection is so that Chrysler can accomplish the successful sales satisfaction results of Honda, Toyota and Nissan, right? Do you remember that?  A. Actually, I said customer satisfaction. Q. Okay. A. Which is a little bit different of a service measure than a sales sales satisfaction is a measure of the sales transaction as opposed to customer satisfaction, which is a measure of the service experience. Q. All right. So we should not count this at all, then? A. No, go ahead. Q. Okay.

1	A different terms.	1	how they did last year in comparison to Honda, Toyota and
2	Q. I take it you looked at the document just now, didn't you?	2	Nissan, the manufacturers with very low number of dealerships.
3	A. Well, yeah. I mean, you're asking me to look at it. I'm	3	General Motors has even more dealerships than Chrysler, doesn'
4	looking at it.	4	it?
5	Q. Okay, well, let's see what you found.	5	MR. ORR: Your Honor? We're getting far afield of
6	A. Okay.	6	direct.
7	Q. Sir, where does Honda appear on this document which	7	THE COURT: Um
8	reflects the 2008 sales satisfaction index study?	8	MR. BELLAVIA: May I be heard, Your Honor?
9	A. A score of 852.	9	THE COURT: Go ahead.
10	Q. Well, is that above or below industry average?	10	MR. BELLAVIA: Your Honor, I think this is central to
11	A. That's below industry average.	11	what the testimony was on direct, and that is that this
12	Q. And where does Toyota stand on this chart?	12	initiative is supportive of their motion that this is in the
13	A. Even with Honda.	13	best interests. Obviously, this testimony is to draw the
14	Q. Still below industry average?	14	distinction between what's best for this manufacturer and what
15	A. Yes.	15	is not. They obviously are following a model that we would
16	Q. And where does Nissan stand?	16	suggest is way in the wrong direction. I think it's relevant
17	A. Below industry average.	17	that we be permitted to point this out to the Court.
18	Q. Way below, right?	18	THE COURT: Go ahead.
19	A. That's correct.	19	Q. At the top of the list, sir, do you see Cadillac?
20	Q. In fact, it's the second from the bottom just above	20	A. Yes.
21	Mitsubishi?	21	Q. Look down. Is Buick above average?
22	A. Um-hum.	22	A. Buick is above average.
23	Q. And tell us, if you would, Mr. Grady, where Chrysler is?	23	Q. How about Saturn?
24	A. Chrysler sales satisfaction is just above.	24	A. They are also.
25	Q. Well, it's more than just above, right?	25	Q. Pontiac?
	502		504
1	A. It's 866. It's only 9 points over the industry average.	1	A. Yes.
2	Q. So really, if you look at this chart, Honda, Toyota and	2	Q. Chevrolet?
3	Nissan should take a page from Chrysler's book, shouldn't it?	3	A. Yes.
4	A. Well, down at the bottom, there's also Dodge and Jeep,	4	Q. Ford?
5	which are the other two brands that are being measured, and	5	A. Yes.
6	they're down near the bottom just above Nissan, but well below	6	Q. GMC Truck?
7	Toyota and Honda.	7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Okay. But aren't you happy? You work for Chrysler,	8	Q. Chrysler? We already talked about Chrysler.
9	right?	9	A. We already talked about that.
10	A. Well	10	Q. Okay. So does that surprise you, sir, that the domestics
11	Q. You did very well last year?	11	with such an excess of dealers are doing so much better than
12	A. No, I'm not happy. It should be higher and I would like	12	the manufacturers that everybody praises?
13	to have Dodge and Jeep above industry average as well.	13	A. No, it really doesn't. Because this is just one element
14	Q. Sure. But in view of the fact that you just testified	14	of it. And this is just the sales satisfaction piece of the
15	that one of the reasons that Chrysler is rejecting almost 800	15	total experience, correct?
16	dealers is because it wants to aspire to achieve the same	16	Q. Well, have the dealers, since they got these rejection
17	success as Honda, Toyota and Nissan right?	17	notices, contacted you, Mr. Grady?
18	A. Yes, that's one of the elements.	18	A. Some have, yes.
19	Q. And in the worst year of Chrysler's eighty-something year	19	Q. And many have expressed their displeasure with the
20	history, it's still doing better than the three imports, right?	20	decision that Chrysler made?
21	A. One of the brands.	21	A. Yes.
22	Q. All right.	22	Q. And hasn't there been a common refrain to the effect that
23	A. One of the three brands.	23	the dealer network does not cost Chrysler, or any manufacturer
24	Q. Fair enough. Fair enough. Now, how about the other	24	for that matter, any additional expense or any meaningful
25	company that's also suffering and may be here soon. Let's see	25	expense?
1	503		505

1	A. Yeah, that's an assertion that I keep hearing.	1	the transportation?
2	Q. It's commonly, regularly debated, particularly lately,	2	A. Dealers pay for transportation.
3	correct?	3	Q. Okay. How about their advertising? Don't they pay their
4	A. That's correct.	4	own advertising?
5	Q. And that's because the dealers maintain that there is no	5	A. They do pay for advertising in their markets.
6	additional expense to having extra dealers. Even if these 789	6	Q. And they pay their own mortgages?
7	dealers were surplus, the point is, in their mind, as they've	7	A. That's correct.
8	expressed to you, it doesn't cost you anything, right?	8	Q. Or rent?
9	A. Well, but that's not entirely true.	9	A. Right.
10	Q. Well	10	Q. And they pay their employees?
11	A. I mean, the cost to Chrysler is there's a number of	11	A. That's right.
12	different costs. One of the costs that we articulated back in	12	Q. And the brochures that you weren't here. Do they pay
13	January of 2008 when we announced to the dealers that	13	for their own brochures?
14	Genesis the reason behind Genesis was that we were going to		A. They pay for brochures.
15	eliminate overlapping models as part of the ongoing product	15	Q. Okay. So to the extent there was any testimony to suggest
16	plan. So for us, part of the cost is we can save money by not	16	that it cost the manufacturer to print brochures, they sell
17	having duplicate minivans or duplicate D-segment cars.	17	those brochures to the dealers, don't they?
18	Q. Mr. Grady, wouldn't it be fair to analogize dealers as	18	A. Yes.
19	passengers on an airplane, where it doesn't cost any more to	19	Q. Is there anything you can think of, Mr. Grady, that
20	fly a hundred passengers than it does seventy-five?	20	actually reflects and expense to the dealers? Meaningful
21	A. I don't know if I follow that analogy	21	expense, I don't mean postage. Any meaningful expenses that
22	Q. All right.	22	the manufacturer incurs to keep dealers on board?
23	A or agree with it.	23	A. Well, there's costs that's involved with monitoring the
24	Q. Well, this isn't similar to closing company stores like	24	dealer network. It's we have a field force out there. We
25	Circuit City or Starbucks where the manufacturer or the parent	25	have eight business centers with the employees. We have
	506		508
1	owns the individual retail outlets right? This is much	1	systems that are used our Dealer Connect system is an expense
1 2	owns the individual retail outlets, right? This is much	1 2	systems that are used, our Dealer Connect system is an expense There's auditing that happens. We have a team of auditors that
2	different?	2	There's auditing that happens. We have a team of auditors that
2	different? A. This is different, yes.	2	There's auditing that happens. We have a team of auditors that tries to keep an eye on warranty expense that the dealers are
2 3 4	different?  A. This is different, yes.  Q. Your dealers are private capital dealers?	2 3 4	There's auditing that happens. We have a team of auditors that tries to keep an eye on warranty expense that the dealers are spending on behalf.
2 3 4 5	different? A. This is different, yes. Q. Your dealers are private capital dealers? A. That's right.	2 3 4 5	There's auditing that happens. We have a team of auditors that tries to keep an eye on warranty expense that the dealers are spending on behalf.  Q. Don't all of those expenses, Mr. Grady, pale in comparison
2 3 4	different? A. This is different, yes. Q. Your dealers are private capital dealers? A. That's right. Q. And they pay for their own transportation of the vehicles	2 3 4 5	There's auditing that happens. We have a team of auditors that tries to keep an eye on warranty expense that the dealers are spending on behalf.  Q. Don't all of those expenses, Mr. Grady, pale in comparison to the revenue that these dealers bring into Chrysler?
2 3 4 5 6	different? A. This is different, yes. Q. Your dealers are private capital dealers? A. That's right. Q. And they pay for their own transportation of the vehicles from your manufacturing plants to the dealership property,	2 3 4 5 6	There's auditing that happens. We have a team of auditors that tries to keep an eye on warranty expense that the dealers are spending on behalf.  Q. Don't all of those expenses, Mr. Grady, pale in comparison
2 3 4 5 6 7	different?  A. This is different, yes.  Q. Your dealers are private capital dealers?  A. That's right.  Q. And they pay for their own transportation of the vehicles from your manufacturing plants to the dealership property, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7	There's auditing that happens. We have a team of auditors that tries to keep an eye on warranty expense that the dealers are spending on behalf.  Q. Don't all of those expenses, Mr. Grady, pale in comparison to the revenue that these dealers bring into Chrysler?  A. Again, the assertion that it's a simple debits and credits
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	different? A. This is different, yes. Q. Your dealers are private capital dealers? A. That's right. Q. And they pay for their own transportation of the vehicles from your manufacturing plants to the dealership property,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	There's auditing that happens. We have a team of auditors that tries to keep an eye on warranty expense that the dealers are spending on behalf.  Q. Don't all of those expenses, Mr. Grady, pale in comparison to the revenue that these dealers bring into Chrysler?  A. Again, the assertion that it's a simple debits and credits is missing the point on what Project Genesis is. Project Genesis is to combine all the lines under one roof so that we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	different?  A. This is different, yes.  Q. Your dealers are private capital dealers?  A. That's right.  Q. And they pay for their own transportation of the vehicles from your manufacturing plants to the dealership property, right?  A. They contribute to that, yes.  Q. Well, they don't contribute, they pay all of it, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	There's auditing that happens. We have a team of auditors that tries to keep an eye on warranty expense that the dealers are spending on behalf.  Q. Don't all of those expenses, Mr. Grady, pale in comparison to the revenue that these dealers bring into Chrysler?  A. Again, the assertion that it's a simple debits and credits is missing the point on what Project Genesis is. Project
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	different?  A. This is different, yes.  Q. Your dealers are private capital dealers?  A. That's right.  Q. And they pay for their own transportation of the vehicles from your manufacturing plants to the dealership property, right?  A. They contribute to that, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	There's auditing that happens. We have a team of auditors that tries to keep an eye on warranty expense that the dealers are spending on behalf.  Q. Don't all of those expenses, Mr. Grady, pale in comparison to the revenue that these dealers bring into Chrysler?  A. Again, the assertion that it's a simple debits and credits is missing the point on what Project Genesis is. Project Genesis is to combine all the lines under one roof so that we can gain the efficiencies of first of all, the dealer gain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	different?  A. This is different, yes.  Q. Your dealers are private capital dealers?  A. That's right.  Q. And they pay for their own transportation of the vehicles from your manufacturing plants to the dealership property, right?  A. They contribute to that, yes.  Q. Well, they don't contribute, they pay all of it, right?  A. Well, not necessarily.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	There's auditing that happens. We have a team of auditors that tries to keep an eye on warranty expense that the dealers are spending on behalf.  Q. Don't all of those expenses, Mr. Grady, pale in comparison to the revenue that these dealers bring into Chrysler?  A. Again, the assertion that it's a simple debits and credits is missing the point on what Project Genesis is. Project Genesis is to combine all the lines under one roof so that we can gain the efficiencies of first of all, the dealer gain the efficiencies of increased throughput, and so he can gain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	different?  A. This is different, yes. Q. Your dealers are private capital dealers? A. That's right. Q. And they pay for their own transportation of the vehicles from your manufacturing plants to the dealership property, right? A. They contribute to that, yes. Q. Well, they don't contribute, they pay all of it, right? A. Well, not necessarily. Q. Isn't it right on your invoice? A. It is on the invoice. There is a transportation charge.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	There's auditing that happens. We have a team of auditors that tries to keep an eye on warranty expense that the dealers are spending on behalf.  Q. Don't all of those expenses, Mr. Grady, pale in comparison to the revenue that these dealers bring into Chrysler?  A. Again, the assertion that it's a simple debits and credits is missing the point on what Project Genesis is. Project Genesis is to combine all the lines under one roof so that we can gain the efficiencies of first of all, the dealer gain the efficiencies of increased throughput, and so he can gain the revenue. But it's also so that we can eliminate the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	different?  A. This is different, yes.  Q. Your dealers are private capital dealers?  A. That's right.  Q. And they pay for their own transportation of the vehicles from your manufacturing plants to the dealership property, right?  A. They contribute to that, yes.  Q. Well, they don't contribute, they pay all of it, right?  A. Well, not necessarily.  Q. Isn't it right on your invoice?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	There's auditing that happens. We have a team of auditors that tries to keep an eye on warranty expense that the dealers are spending on behalf.  Q. Don't all of those expenses, Mr. Grady, pale in comparison to the revenue that these dealers bring into Chrysler?  A. Again, the assertion that it's a simple debits and credits is missing the point on what Project Genesis is. Project Genesis is to combine all the lines under one roof so that we can gain the efficiencies of first of all, the dealer gain the efficiencies of increased throughput, and so he can gain the revenue. But it's also so that we can eliminate the overlapping models that we have and streamline our product
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	different?  A. This is different, yes.  Q. Your dealers are private capital dealers?  A. That's right.  Q. And they pay for their own transportation of the vehicles from your manufacturing plants to the dealership property, right?  A. They contribute to that, yes.  Q. Well, they don't contribute, they pay all of it, right?  A. Well, not necessarily.  Q. Isn't it right on your invoice?  A. It is on the invoice. There is a transportation charge.  But that doesn't necessarily mean that every last cent of it is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	There's auditing that happens. We have a team of auditors that tries to keep an eye on warranty expense that the dealers are spending on behalf.  Q. Don't all of those expenses, Mr. Grady, pale in comparison to the revenue that these dealers bring into Chrysler?  A. Again, the assertion that it's a simple debits and credits is missing the point on what Project Genesis is. Project Genesis is to combine all the lines under one roof so that we can gain the efficiencies of first of all, the dealer gain the efficiencies of increased throughput, and so he can gain the revenue. But it's also so that we can eliminate the overlapping models that we have and streamline our product development costs.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	different?  A. This is different, yes.  Q. Your dealers are private capital dealers?  A. That's right.  Q. And they pay for their own transportation of the vehicles from your manufacturing plants to the dealership property, right?  A. They contribute to that, yes.  Q. Well, they don't contribute, they pay all of it, right?  A. Well, not necessarily.  Q. Isn't it right on your invoice?  A. It is on the invoice. There is a transportation charge.  But that doesn't necessarily mean that every last cent of it is collected.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	There's auditing that happens. We have a team of auditors that tries to keep an eye on warranty expense that the dealers are spending on behalf.  Q. Don't all of those expenses, Mr. Grady, pale in comparison to the revenue that these dealers bring into Chrysler?  A. Again, the assertion that it's a simple debits and credits is missing the point on what Project Genesis is. Project Genesis is to combine all the lines under one roof so that we can gain the efficiencies of first of all, the dealer gain the efficiencies of increased throughput, and so he can gain the revenue. But it's also so that we can eliminate the overlapping models that we have and streamline our product development costs.  Q. But isn't it bad for the customer? Isn't it bad for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	different?  A. This is different, yes.  Q. Your dealers are private capital dealers?  A. That's right.  Q. And they pay for their own transportation of the vehicles from your manufacturing plants to the dealership property, right?  A. They contribute to that, yes.  Q. Well, they don't contribute, they pay all of it, right?  A. Well, not necessarily.  Q. Isn't it right on your invoice?  A. It is on the invoice. There is a transportation charge.  But that doesn't necessarily mean that every last cent of it is collected.  Q. Oh, so you have some bad debts from the dealers on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	There's auditing that happens. We have a team of auditors that tries to keep an eye on warranty expense that the dealers are spending on behalf.  Q. Don't all of those expenses, Mr. Grady, pale in comparison to the revenue that these dealers bring into Chrysler?  A. Again, the assertion that it's a simple debits and credits is missing the point on what Project Genesis is. Project Genesis is to combine all the lines under one roof so that we can gain the efficiencies of first of all, the dealer gain the efficiencies of increased throughput, and so he can gain the revenue. But it's also so that we can eliminate the overlapping models that we have and streamline our product development costs.  Q. But isn't it bad for the customer? Isn't it bad for the customer to have less dealerships?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	different?  A. This is different, yes.  Q. Your dealers are private capital dealers?  A. That's right.  Q. And they pay for their own transportation of the vehicles from your manufacturing plants to the dealership property, right?  A. They contribute to that, yes.  Q. Well, they don't contribute, they pay all of it, right?  A. Well, not necessarily.  Q. Isn't it right on your invoice?  A. It is on the invoice. There is a transportation charge.  But that doesn't necessarily mean that every last cent of it is collected.  Q. Oh, so you have some bad debts from the dealers on transportation charges?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	There's auditing that happens. We have a team of auditors that tries to keep an eye on warranty expense that the dealers are spending on behalf.  Q. Don't all of those expenses, Mr. Grady, pale in comparison to the revenue that these dealers bring into Chrysler?  A. Again, the assertion that it's a simple debits and credits is missing the point on what Project Genesis is. Project Genesis is to combine all the lines under one roof so that we can gain the efficiencies of first of all, the dealer gain the efficiencies of increased throughput, and so he can gain the revenue. But it's also so that we can eliminate the overlapping models that we have and streamline our product development costs.  Q. But isn't it bad for the customer? Isn't it bad for the customer to have less dealerships?  A. No. I mean, it's not necessarily why would it be bad
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	different?  A. This is different, yes.  Q. Your dealers are private capital dealers?  A. That's right.  Q. And they pay for their own transportation of the vehicles from your manufacturing plants to the dealership property, right?  A. They contribute to that, yes.  Q. Well, they don't contribute, they pay all of it, right?  A. Well, not necessarily.  Q. Isn't it right on your invoice?  A. It is on the invoice. There is a transportation charge.  But that doesn't necessarily mean that every last cent of it is collected.  Q. Oh, so you have some bad debts from the dealers on transportation charges?  A. No. But we have some excess costs in transportation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	There's auditing that happens. We have a team of auditors that tries to keep an eye on warranty expense that the dealers are spending on behalf.  Q. Don't all of those expenses, Mr. Grady, pale in comparison to the revenue that these dealers bring into Chrysler?  A. Again, the assertion that it's a simple debits and credits is missing the point on what Project Genesis is. Project Genesis is to combine all the lines under one roof so that we can gain the efficiencies of first of all, the dealer gain the efficiencies of increased throughput, and so he can gain the revenue. But it's also so that we can eliminate the overlapping models that we have and streamline our product development costs.  Q. But isn't it bad for the customer? Isn't it bad for the customer to have less dealerships?  A. No. I mean, it's not necessarily why would it be bad for the customer?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	different?  A. This is different, yes.  Q. Your dealers are private capital dealers?  A. That's right.  Q. And they pay for their own transportation of the vehicles from your manufacturing plants to the dealership property, right?  A. They contribute to that, yes.  Q. Well, they don't contribute, they pay all of it, right?  A. Well, not necessarily.  Q. Isn't it right on your invoice?  A. It is on the invoice. There is a transportation charge.  But that doesn't necessarily mean that every last cent of it is collected.  Q. Oh, so you have some bad debts from the dealers on transportation charges?  A. No. But we have some excess costs in transportation sometimes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	There's auditing that happens. We have a team of auditors that tries to keep an eye on warranty expense that the dealers are spending on behalf.  Q. Don't all of those expenses, Mr. Grady, pale in comparison to the revenue that these dealers bring into Chrysler?  A. Again, the assertion that it's a simple debits and credits is missing the point on what Project Genesis is. Project Genesis is to combine all the lines under one roof so that we can gain the efficiencies of first of all, the dealer gain the efficiencies of increased throughput, and so he can gain the revenue. But it's also so that we can eliminate the overlapping models that we have and streamline our product development costs.  Q. But isn't it bad for the customer? Isn't it bad for the customer to have less dealerships?  A. No. I mean, it's not necessarily why would it be bad for the customer?  Q. Well, you shouldn't ask me a question. I might answer it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	different?  A. This is different, yes. Q. Your dealers are private capital dealers? A. That's right. Q. And they pay for their own transportation of the vehicles from your manufacturing plants to the dealership property, right? A. They contribute to that, yes. Q. Well, they don't contribute, they pay all of it, right? A. Well, not necessarily. Q. Isn't it right on your invoice? A. It is on the invoice. There is a transportation charge. But that doesn't necessarily mean that every last cent of it is collected. Q. Oh, so you have some bad debts from the dealers on transportation charges? A. No. But we have some excess costs in transportation sometimes. Q. So if I understand you correctly, you're not charging the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	There's auditing that happens. We have a team of auditors that tries to keep an eye on warranty expense that the dealers are spending on behalf.  Q. Don't all of those expenses, Mr. Grady, pale in comparison to the revenue that these dealers bring into Chrysler?  A. Again, the assertion that it's a simple debits and credits is missing the point on what Project Genesis is. Project Genesis is to combine all the lines under one roof so that we can gain the efficiencies of first of all, the dealer gain the efficiencies of increased throughput, and so he can gain the revenue. But it's also so that we can eliminate the overlapping models that we have and streamline our product development costs.  Q. But isn't it bad for the customer? Isn't it bad for the customer to have less dealerships?  A. No. I mean, it's not necessarily why would it be bad for the customer?  Q. Well, you shouldn't ask me a question. I might answer it. Let's talk about my Starbucks example. If there are a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	different?  A. This is different, yes. Q. Your dealers are private capital dealers? A. That's right. Q. And they pay for their own transportation of the vehicles from your manufacturing plants to the dealership property, right? A. They contribute to that, yes. Q. Well, they don't contribute, they pay all of it, right? A. Well, not necessarily. Q. Isn't it right on your invoice? A. It is on the invoice. There is a transportation charge. But that doesn't necessarily mean that every last cent of it is collected. Q. Oh, so you have some bad debts from the dealers on transportation charges? A. No. But we have some excess costs in transportation sometimes. Q. So if I understand you correctly, you're not charging the dealers the entirety of the transportation charges?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	There's auditing that happens. We have a team of auditors that tries to keep an eye on warranty expense that the dealers are spending on behalf.  Q. Don't all of those expenses, Mr. Grady, pale in comparison to the revenue that these dealers bring into Chrysler?  A. Again, the assertion that it's a simple debits and credits is missing the point on what Project Genesis is. Project Genesis is to combine all the lines under one roof so that we can gain the efficiencies of first of all, the dealer gain the efficiencies of increased throughput, and so he can gain the revenue. But it's also so that we can eliminate the overlapping models that we have and streamline our product development costs.  Q. But isn't it bad for the customer? Isn't it bad for the customer to have less dealerships?  A. No. I mean, it's not necessarily why would it be bad for the customer?  Q. Well, you shouldn't ask me a question. I might answer it. Let's talk about my Starbucks example. If there are a million
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	different?  A. This is different, yes.  Q. Your dealers are private capital dealers?  A. That's right.  Q. And they pay for their own transportation of the vehicles from your manufacturing plants to the dealership property, right?  A. They contribute to that, yes.  Q. Well, they don't contribute, they pay all of it, right?  A. Well, not necessarily.  Q. Isn't it right on your invoice?  A. It is on the invoice. There is a transportation charge.  But that doesn't necessarily mean that every last cent of it is collected.  Q. Oh, so you have some bad debts from the dealers on transportation charges?  A. No. But we have some excess costs in transportation sometimes.  Q. So if I understand you correctly, you're not charging the dealers the entirety of the transportation charges?  A. I don't believe so, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	There's auditing that happens. We have a team of auditors that tries to keep an eye on warranty expense that the dealers are spending on behalf.  Q. Don't all of those expenses, Mr. Grady, pale in comparison to the revenue that these dealers bring into Chrysler?  A. Again, the assertion that it's a simple debits and credits is missing the point on what Project Genesis is. Project Genesis is to combine all the lines under one roof so that we can gain the efficiencies of first of all, the dealer gain the efficiencies of increased throughput, and so he can gain the revenue. But it's also so that we can eliminate the overlapping models that we have and streamline our product development costs.  Q. But isn't it bad for the customer? Isn't it bad for the customer to have less dealerships?  A. No. I mean, it's not necessarily why would it be bad for the customer?  Q. Well, you shouldn't ask me a question. I might answer it. Let's talk about my Starbucks example. If there are a million  THE COURT: Well, before you do it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	different?  A. This is different, yes.  Q. Your dealers are private capital dealers?  A. That's right.  Q. And they pay for their own transportation of the vehicles from your manufacturing plants to the dealership property, right?  A. They contribute to that, yes.  Q. Well, they don't contribute, they pay all of it, right?  A. Well, not necessarily.  Q. Isn't it right on your invoice?  A. It is on the invoice. There is a transportation charge.  But that doesn't necessarily mean that every last cent of it is collected.  Q. Oh, so you have some bad debts from the dealers on transportation charges?  A. No. But we have some excess costs in transportation sometimes.  Q. So if I understand you correctly, you're not charging the dealers the entirety of the transportation charges?  A. I don't believe so, no.  Q. You're discounting the charge?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	There's auditing that happens. We have a team of auditors that tries to keep an eye on warranty expense that the dealers are spending on behalf.  Q. Don't all of those expenses, Mr. Grady, pale in comparison to the revenue that these dealers bring into Chrysler?  A. Again, the assertion that it's a simple debits and credits is missing the point on what Project Genesis is. Project Genesis is to combine all the lines under one roof so that we can gain the efficiencies of first of all, the dealer gain the efficiencies of increased throughput, and so he can gain the revenue. But it's also so that we can eliminate the overlapping models that we have and streamline our product development costs.  Q. But isn't it bad for the customer? Isn't it bad for the customer to have less dealerships?  A. No. I mean, it's not necessarily why would it be bad for the customer?  Q. Well, you shouldn't ask me a question. I might answer it. Let's talk about my Starbucks example. If there are a million  THE COURT: Well, before you do it.  MR. BELLAVIA: Yes?

1	commitment of fifteen minutes and no longer?	1	suggested by Your Honor. I will need five minutes.
2	THE COURT: Well, how many other parties wish to	2	THE COURT: Five minutes to do what?
3	cross examine this witness? I assume that no one spoke up,	3	MR. SUNSHINE: With the witness, to ask him some
4	because I didn't hear anyone. I can't hear you.	4	questions.
5	MR. BELLAVIA: They're going to yield their time to	5	THE COURT: All right.
6	me, they said. No, I can stick with the fifteen minutes, Your	6	MR. ORR: Your Honor, may I speak just for the
7	Honor.	7	record?
8	THE COURT: All right. Then we'll I'm going to	8	THE COURT: Go ahead.
9	need a couple minute break to make some arrangements for	9	MR. ORR: We had e-mailed the gentleman's co-counsel,
10	things. But I think if we could wrap and then after that we	10	I believe, documents including the depos and a protective
11	have redirect?	11	order. So we will bring a hard copy for him as well. But I
12	MR. ORR: Just very briefly, Your Honor.	12	believe he may actually have the documents back at his office.
13	THE COURT: Maybe we can finish by 11:30. I'll	13	THE COURT: I can't hear what you're saying.
14	return by 11:00, and we'll aim to finish by 11:30.	14	MR. ORR: I believe he may actually have the
15	(Recess from 10:55 p.m. to 11:00 p.m.)	15	documents back in his office. We e-mailed them to them earlie
16	THE COURT: Please be seated. Sorry. I just wanted	16	today.
17	to straighten out one thing I realized as left the bench. The	17	MR. SUNSHINE: That's not my office. That's in
18	gentleman who appeared earlier from, I believe, his client was	18	Arkansas. My office is in New York. And there's no way,
19	a dealership in Arkansas?	19	staying here till 11:30 and being here at 9 in the morning that
20	MR. SUNSHINE: That's me, Your Honor. Donald	20	I'm going to be able to get those from Arkansas. I do
21	Sunshine.	21	appreciate the courtesy.
22	THE COURT: I just want to clarify. If we conclude	22	MR. ORR: Okay. Okay, Your Honor.
23	this tonight, it doesn't change that you signed a	23	THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
24	confidentiality agreement and you get the document. But	24	BY MR. BELLAVIA:
25	MR. SUNSHINE: I'll have a better chance to stand up	25	Q. Okay. Mr. Grady, I believe we left off, we were talking
	510		512
_		-	
1	in court and raise appropriate objections	1	about the reduction the proposed reduction in the number of
2	THE COURT: All right.	2	dealers. And I think actually you asked me a question. But
3	MR. SUNSHINE: and to understand what happened.	3 4	I'll move on. I'll ask you a different question. I believe I
4	THE COURT: Okay. I'm just letting you know.	5	said to you that, wouldn't it be true that a reduction in the
5 6	Because if we conclude tonight, this witness won't be here tomorrow.	6	number of dealers actually would negatively impact the custome experience. Remember? And you said no, it wouldn't?
7		. 7	A. That's correct.
8	MR. SUNSHINE: I don't care so much about examining	8	Q. Let me ask you this. Can you tell me if you accept or
9	THE COURT: All right. No, I just	9	reject this proposition, that if there I'll use an example.
10	MR. SUNSHINE: the witness, because	10	If there were a million people that wanted Starbucks in the
11	THE COURT: No, you don't have to explain.	11	morning and there were 100 stores versus 1,000 stores,
12	MR. SUNSHINE: can do it. It's another instance	12	wouldn't if there were 1,000 stores, wouldn't those people
13	of a proceeding being railroaded through without due notice,	13	potentially get better service because the lines would be
14	due process	14	shorter?
15	THE COURT: That argument sir	15	A. If the Starbucks stores were located in the proper places,
16	MR. SUNSHINE: to represent	16	they were big enough, had enough people trained people in
17	THE COURT: excuse me.	17	order to make the coffee that they wanted, then they would get.
			But if the incremental 900 stores were just located in the
1.8		1.8	
18 19	MR. SUNSHINE: my client.	18 19	
19	MR. SUNSHINE: my client.  THE COURT: Excuse me. You can make that argument	19	wrong spots and didn't have trained people, I would argue that
19 20	MR. SUNSHINE: my client.  THE COURT: Excuse me. You can make that argument tomorrow at the appropriate time.	19 20	wrong spots and didn't have trained people, I would argue that there'd be higher dissatisfaction caused by those incremental
19 20 21	MR. SUNSHINE: my client.  THE COURT: Excuse me. You can make that argument tomorrow at the appropriate time.  MR. SUNSHINE: Thank you, Your Honor.	19 20 21	wrong spots and didn't have trained people, I would argue that there'd be higher dissatisfaction caused by those incremental stores.
19 20 21 22	MR. SUNSHINE: my client.  THE COURT: Excuse me. You can make that argument tomorrow at the appropriate time.  MR. SUNSHINE: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: I extended the courtesy to you to ask you	19 20 21 22	wrong spots and didn't have trained people, I would argue that there'd be higher dissatisfaction caused by those incremental stores.  Q. Fair enough. Then, can you tell us why Toyota, Nissan and
19 20 21 22 23	MR. SUNSHINE: my client.  THE COURT: Excuse me. You can make that argument tomorrow at the appropriate time.  MR. SUNSHINE: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: I extended the courtesy to you to ask you the question. So don't turn it into a platform to make an	19 20 21 22 23	wrong spots and didn't have trained people, I would argue that there'd be higher dissatisfaction caused by those incremental stores.  Q. Fair enough. Then, can you tell us why Toyota, Nissan and Honda, then have below average scores? Do you know?
19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. SUNSHINE: my client.  THE COURT: Excuse me. You can make that argument tomorrow at the appropriate time.  MR. SUNSHINE: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: I extended the courtesy to you to ask you the question. So don't turn it into a platform to make an argument that should be properly made at the right time.	19 20 21 22 23 24	wrong spots and didn't have trained people, I would argue that there'd be higher dissatisfaction caused by those incremental stores.  Q. Fair enough. Then, can you tell us why Toyota, Nissan and Honda, then have below average scores? Do you know?  A. Well, again, these are sales satisfaction scores, not
19 20 21 22 23	MR. SUNSHINE: my client.  THE COURT: Excuse me. You can make that argument tomorrow at the appropriate time.  MR. SUNSHINE: Thank you, Your Honor.  THE COURT: I extended the courtesy to you to ask you the question. So don't turn it into a platform to make an	19 20 21 22 23	wrong spots and didn't have trained people, I would argue that there'd be higher dissatisfaction caused by those incremental stores.  Q. Fair enough. Then, can you tell us why Toyota, Nissan and Honda, then have below average scores? Do you know?

VERITEXT REPORTING COMPANY 516-608-2400

212-267-6868

1	Q. Well, aren't the customers the ones that complete those	1	what's actually happening in the market place.
2	questionnaires?	2	Q. I see. Why is Chrysler even undertaking this exercise?
3	A. Yes. But different processes.	3	MR. BELLAVIA: Withdrawn.
4	Q. You mean different questions?	4	Q. Do you acknowledge, Mr. Grady, that many of the 789
5	A. Well, yeah, they are different questions.	5	dealers that received rejection notices have been in the
6	Q. Okay. But they're still a reflection of the customer	6	Chrysler family, in many cases, for three generations and some
7	experience, aren't they?	7	four generations? You're aware of that?
8	A. They're a reflection of the customer sales experience.	8	A. Yes.
9	It's one element of it.	9	Q. So I would assume, even though you haven't been with the
10	Q. It's a sales satisfaction index, but it's a customer	10	company that long, that you took very seriously the impact of
11	satisfaction rating, right?	11	taking away businesses from people who'd been around that long?
12	A. Yeah, with customer satisfaction with the sales process.	12	A. This this whole process was not taken lightly.
13	Q. So in your view, as long as a fewer number of outlets are	13	Q. But I'm sorry.
14	located correctly, it doesn't really matter with respect to	14	A. But all in the specter of what's happening with Chrysler
15	customer satisfaction?	15	in total and the bankruptcy filing, and all 3,181 dealers that
16	A. Yeah, I mean, on page 1 of your exhibit, the first bullet	16	we have, plus all of the employees, that was taken into
17	point actually says "enhanced dealership facilities and an	17	consideration as well.
18	improved new vehicle delivery process help raise overall	18	Q. But whether it helps or it hurts, wouldn't you agree that
19	customer satisfaction scores."	19	the outcome of the project will either help or hurt not
20	Q. In any event, Mr. Grady, this analysis was not reviewed b		Chrysler, the existing Chrysler, but New Chrysler?
21	you before the rejection decision-making process was	21	
	, , ,	22	A. That's correct. It helps the New Chrysler with a better
22	undertaken, right?		dealer network, a higher performing dealer network which on the
23	A. That's correct.	23	old company has the value built in on the transaction.
24	Q. Now that you've seen it, do you wish you had looked at it		Q. Didn't you find it odd that you were being asked to
25	A. It would have been another input.	25	undertake this type of a project not for Chrysler but for the
	514		516
1	Q. Well, might it not have impacted the overall initiative to	1	potential buyer of Chrysler?
2	reduce the number of dealers if you found out that Chrysler was	2	A. You've got to remember that this an acceleration of
3	actually Chrysler the model, not Chrysler the company	3	Project Genesis. So this isn't this isn't something that
4	Chrysler model at least, was doing better than the three that	4	was a separate complete separate project. This was the
5	you're aspiring to accomplish?	5	
6	A. No, this wouldn't have	6	normal course of business for us. We've been given the
7	Q. It wouldn't have impacted you at all?	7	opportunity in the framework of bankruptcy to reject some
			contracts and accelerate Genesis.
8	A been an impact.	8	Q. At whose request was this done?
9	Q. Okay. You used a term before, MSR. What does that mean	9	A. At whose request what was done?
10	A. Minimum sales responsibility.	10	Q. The rejection? The idea to reject all these dealers?
11	Q. Who sets that minimum sales responsibility?	11	A. Well, it's after consultation with our attorneys. And we
12	A. The minimum sales responsibility is the average market	12	had an opportunity to restructure the network.
13	share that is the market share that's attained in the state	13	Q. Was this brought up in connection with any meetings I
13 14	in which the dealer is operating. And he has to attain that	14	believe you testified that you attended some meetings with the
13	in which the dealer is operating. And he has to attain that market share as part of the dealer agreement.		
13 14	in which the dealer is operating. And he has to attain that	14	believe you testified that you attended some meetings with the Treasury Department?  A. I was on some conference calls and one meeting with the
13 14 15	in which the dealer is operating. And he has to attain that market share as part of the dealer agreement.	14 15	believe you testified that you attended some meetings with the Treasury Department?
13 14 15 16	in which the dealer is operating. And he has to attain that market share as part of the dealer agreement.  Q. Is that similar to planning volume?	14 15 16	believe you testified that you attended some meetings with the Treasury Department?  A. I was on some conference calls and one meeting with the
13 14 15 16 17	in which the dealer is operating. And he has to attain that market share as part of the dealer agreement.  Q. Is that similar to planning volume?  A. No.	14 15 16 17	believe you testified that you attended some meetings with the Treasury Department?  A. I was on some conference calls and one meeting with the U.S. Treasury the auto task force.
13 14 15 16 17	in which the dealer is operating. And he has to attain that market share as part of the dealer agreement.  Q. Is that similar to planning volume?  A. No.  Q. Planning volume is a number of units that a manufacturer	14 15 16 17 18	believe you testified that you attended some meetings with the Treasury Department?  A. I was on some conference calls and one meeting with the U.S. Treasury the auto task force.  Q. Now, did they insist that this process be carried out?
13 14 15 16 17 18	in which the dealer is operating. And he has to attain that market share as part of the dealer agreement.  Q. Is that similar to planning volume?  A. No.  Q. Planning volume is a number of units that a manufacturer expects him to sell?	14 15 16 17 18	believe you testified that you attended some meetings with the Treasury Department?  A. I was on some conference calls and one meeting with the U.S. Treasury the auto task force.  Q. Now, did they insist that this process be carried out?  A. What they insisted was that we restructure our business in
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in which the dealer is operating. And he has to attain that market share as part of the dealer agreement.  Q. Is that similar to planning volume?  A. No.  Q. Planning volume is a number of units that a manufacturer expects him to sell?  A. Planning volume is the number of units that we're	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	believe you testified that you attended some meetings with the Treasury Department?  A. I was on some conference calls and one meeting with the U.S. Treasury the auto task force.  Q. Now, did they insist that this process be carried out?  A. What they insisted was that we restructure our business in total back in December or February when we originally put forth.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in which the dealer is operating. And he has to attain that market share as part of the dealer agreement.  Q. Is that similar to planning volume?  A. No.  Q. Planning volume is a number of units that a manufacturer expects him to sell?  A. Planning volume is the number of units that we're expecting to build, and that is then allocated out to the	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	believe you testified that you attended some meetings with the Treasury Department?  A. I was on some conference calls and one meeting with the U.S. Treasury the auto task force.  Q. Now, did they insist that this process be carried out?  A. What they insisted was that we restructure our business in total back in December or February when we originally put forth our viability plan.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in which the dealer is operating. And he has to attain that market share as part of the dealer agreement.  Q. Is that similar to planning volume?  A. No.  Q. Planning volume is a number of units that a manufacturer expects him to sell?  A. Planning volume is the number of units that we're expecting to build, and that is then allocated out to the dealers based on market size.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	believe you testified that you attended some meetings with the Treasury Department?  A. I was on some conference calls and one meeting with the U.S. Treasury the auto task force.  Q. Now, did they insist that this process be carried out?  A. What they insisted was that we restructure our business in total back in December or February when we originally put fortlour viability plan.  Q. Well, my question goes to the more specific point of
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in which the dealer is operating. And he has to attain that market share as part of the dealer agreement.  Q. Is that similar to planning volume?  A. No.  Q. Planning volume is a number of units that a manufacturer expects him to sell?  A. Planning volume is the number of units that we're expecting to build, and that is then allocated out to the dealers based on market size.  Q. Then this	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	believe you testified that you attended some meetings with the Treasury Department?  A. I was on some conference calls and one meeting with the U.S. Treasury the auto task force.  Q. Now, did they insist that this process be carried out?  A. What they insisted was that we restructure our business in total back in December or February when we originally put fortl our viability plan.  Q. Well, my question goes to the more specific point of whether or not the task force instructed Chrysler in your

1	Q immediately in this Chapter 11 proceeding?	1	stopping New Chrysler from continuing the Project Genesis
2	A. No, they didn't they didn't instruct us to reduce in my	2	initiative, right?
3	presence.	3	A. That's correct.
4	Q. But you don't know what happened in any other meetings?	4	Q. If they so chose to follow the program that Old Chrysler
5	A. No, I don't. It's part of our viability plan is to reduce	5	implemented, they're free to do that if they see fit, right?
6	the dealer the dealer count and restructure the entire	6	A. That's correct.
7	dealer network.	7	Q. And there's no reason to think they wouldn't have enjoyed
8	Q. Well, Chrysler's been very successful doing that over the	8	the same success that you've achieved since 2001 through 2009
9	past, haven't they?	9	right?
10	A. Not meeting our targets and goals.	10	A. We would hope to achieve our plan.
11	Q. Well, in	11	Q. Okay. So they weren't barred from doing that?
12	A. We are making progress.	12	A. No.
13	Q in your declaration you pointed out that from the mid	13	Q. You just thought it would be a good idea to accelerate it?
14	1960s, I believe you said, and through now, Chrysler went from	14	A. Well, as I testified earlier, if we accelerate it, we
15	6,500 dealers to a little bit over 3,100 dealers. Do you	15	also we would expect to not spend the similar 200 million
16	remember that?	16	dollars that we had already spent on Project Genesis through
17	A. In total number, yes.	17	consolidations.
18	Q. In total. So that's over fifty percent reduction over	18	Q. Is it your opinion, Mr. Grady, that if you proceed if
19	that long period of time, right?	19	New Chrysler proceeds with the 789 dealers in their dealer
20	A. That's correct.	20	network that they're not viable economically going forward?
21	Q. And I believe you also said that from 2001 through 2009	21	A. Restate that. I'm not
22	another twenty-five percent not another, but twenty-five	22	Q. Sure. Assuming you're doing this in furtherance of
23	percent was reduced from 2001 to 2009?	23	Project Genesis as you said?
24	A. That sounds close, yeah.	24	A. Right.
25	Q. So, by the way, from 2001 through 2009, did Chrysler sales	25	Q. Is it your belief that if New Chrysler does not get rid of
	518		520
1	go up or down?	1	these approximately 800 dealers, that that's going to present a
1 2	go up or down?  A. They went down.	1 2	these approximately 800 dealers, that that's going to present a real problem for them in terms of their success going forward
	-		
2	A. They went down.	2	real problem for them in terms of their success going forward
2	A. They went down. Q. All right. So to that extent, that program really didn't	2	real problem for them in terms of their success going forward A. Yeah, there's a there's definitely an element that says
2 3 4	A. They went down. Q. All right. So to that extent, that program really didn't work if the goal was to reduce the number of dealers and get	2 3 4	real problem for them in terms of their success going forward A. Yeah, there's a there's definitely an element that says that if the dealer network does not get restructured, that it
2 3 4 5	A. They went down.  Q. All right. So to that extent, that program really didn't work if the goal was to reduce the number of dealers and get higher sales. In those eight years, it didn't work, did it?	2 3 4 5	real problem for them in terms of their success going forward.  A. Yeah, there's a there's definitely an element that says that if the dealer network does not get restructured, that it could have a significant impact on the amount of money we're
2 3 4 5 6	A. They went down.  Q. All right. So to that extent, that program really didn't work if the goal was to reduce the number of dealers and get higher sales. In those eight years, it didn't work, did it?  A. Well, there's two different things at work here. One is,	2 3 4 5	real problem for them in terms of their success going forward A. Yeah, there's a there's definitely an element that says that if the dealer network does not get restructured, that it could have a significant impact on the amount of money we're spending on product development; unable to eliminate
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. They went down.  Q. All right. So to that extent, that program really didn't work if the goal was to reduce the number of dealers and get higher sales. In those eight years, it didn't work, did it?  A. Well, there's two different things at work here. One is, is what's happened in the marketplace with the economy, more	2 3 4 5 6 7	real problem for them in terms of their success going forward A. Yeah, there's a there's definitely an element that says that if the dealer network does not get restructured, that it could have a significant impact on the amount of money we're spending on product development; unable to eliminate overlapping models, things of that nature.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. They went down.  Q. All right. So to that extent, that program really didn't work if the goal was to reduce the number of dealers and get higher sales. In those eight years, it didn't work, did it?  A. Well, there's two different things at work here. One is, is what's happened in the marketplace with the economy, more recently; but also the dealer count reduction was not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	real problem for them in terms of their success going forward A. Yeah, there's a there's definitely an element that says that if the dealer network does not get restructured, that it could have a significant impact on the amount of money we're spending on product development; unable to eliminate overlapping models, things of that nature.  Q. You testified the other day, didn't you, that you read the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. They went down.  Q. All right. So to that extent, that program really didn't work if the goal was to reduce the number of dealers and get higher sales. In those eight years, it didn't work, did it?  A. Well, there's two different things at work here. One is, is what's happened in the marketplace with the economy, more recently; but also the dealer count reduction was not necessarily a consolidation of the brands, which is what,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	real problem for them in terms of their success going forward A. Yeah, there's a there's definitely an element that says that if the dealer network does not get restructured, that it could have a significant impact on the amount of money we're spending on product development; unable to eliminate overlapping models, things of that nature.  Q. You testified the other day, didn't you, that you read the viability plan that was submitted to the U.S. government in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. They went down.  Q. All right. So to that extent, that program really didn't work if the goal was to reduce the number of dealers and get higher sales. In those eight years, it didn't work, did it?  A. Well, there's two different things at work here. One is, is what's happened in the marketplace with the economy, more recently; but also the dealer count reduction was not necessarily a consolidation of the brands, which is what, again, what we're accomplishing with Project Genesis. So we've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	real problem for them in terms of their success going forward. A. Yeah, there's a there's definitely an element that says that if the dealer network does not get restructured, that it could have a significant impact on the amount of money we're spending on product development; unable to eliminate overlapping models, things of that nature.  Q. You testified the other day, didn't you, that you read the viability plan that was submitted to the U.S. government in February?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. They went down.  Q. All right. So to that extent, that program really didn't work if the goal was to reduce the number of dealers and get higher sales. In those eight years, it didn't work, did it?  A. Well, there's two different things at work here. One is, is what's happened in the marketplace with the economy, more recently; but also the dealer count reduction was not necessarily a consolidation of the brands, which is what, again, what we're accomplishing with Project Genesis. So we've had a number of success stories with dealers who have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	real problem for them in terms of their success going forward. A. Yeah, there's a there's definitely an element that says that if the dealer network does not get restructured, that it could have a significant impact on the amount of money we're spending on product development; unable to eliminate overlapping models, things of that nature.  Q. You testified the other day, didn't you, that you read the viability plan that was submitted to the U.S. government in February?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. They went down.  Q. All right. So to that extent, that program really didn't work if the goal was to reduce the number of dealers and get higher sales. In those eight years, it didn't work, did it?  A. Well, there's two different things at work here. One is, is what's happened in the marketplace with the economy, more recently; but also the dealer count reduction was not necessarily a consolidation of the brands, which is what, again, what we're accomplishing with Project Genesis. So we've had a number of success stories with dealers who have consolidated Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge and have accomplished	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	real problem for them in terms of their success going forward.  A. Yeah, there's a there's definitely an element that says that if the dealer network does not get restructured, that it could have a significant impact on the amount of money we're spending on product development; unable to eliminate overlapping models, things of that nature.  Q. You testified the other day, didn't you, that you read the viability plan that was submitted to the U.S. government in February?  A. Yes.  Q. Now, Mr. Grady, if you read that plan and you felt, as you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. They went down.  Q. All right. So to that extent, that program really didn't work if the goal was to reduce the number of dealers and get higher sales. In those eight years, it didn't work, did it?  A. Well, there's two different things at work here. One is, is what's happened in the marketplace with the economy, more recently; but also the dealer count reduction was not necessarily a consolidation of the brands, which is what, again, what we're accomplishing with Project Genesis. So we've had a number of success stories with dealers who have consolidated Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge and have accomplished Project Genesis, and it's benefited the corporation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	real problem for them in terms of their success going forward.  A. Yeah, there's a there's definitely an element that says that if the dealer network does not get restructured, that it could have a significant impact on the amount of money we're spending on product development; unable to eliminate overlapping models, things of that nature.  Q. You testified the other day, didn't you, that you read the viability plan that was submitted to the U.S. government in February?  A. Yes.  Q. Now, Mr. Grady, if you read that plan and you felt, as you just testify that you feel, why didn't you speak up in view of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. They went down.  Q. All right. So to that extent, that program really didn't work if the goal was to reduce the number of dealers and get higher sales. In those eight years, it didn't work, did it?  A. Well, there's two different things at work here. One is, is what's happened in the marketplace with the economy, more recently; but also the dealer count reduction was not necessarily a consolidation of the brands, which is what, again, what we're accomplishing with Project Genesis. So we've had a number of success stories with dealers who have consolidated Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge and have accomplished Project Genesis, and it's benefited the corporation.  Q. For whatever reason, Mr. Grady, from '01 to '09, Chrysler	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	real problem for them in terms of their success going forward A. Yeah, there's a there's definitely an element that says that if the dealer network does not get restructured, that it could have a significant impact on the amount of money we're spending on product development; unable to eliminate overlapping models, things of that nature.  Q. You testified the other day, didn't you, that you read the viability plan that was submitted to the U.S. government in February?  A. Yes.  Q. Now, Mr. Grady, if you read that plan and you felt, as you just testify that you feel, why didn't you speak up in view of the absence of any reference in that viability plan to the need
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. They went down.  Q. All right. So to that extent, that program really didn't work if the goal was to reduce the number of dealers and get higher sales. In those eight years, it didn't work, did it?  A. Well, there's two different things at work here. One is, is what's happened in the marketplace with the economy, more recently; but also the dealer count reduction was not necessarily a consolidation of the brands, which is what, again, what we're accomplishing with Project Genesis. So we've had a number of success stories with dealers who have consolidated Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge and have accomplished Project Genesis, and it's benefited the corporation.  Q. For whatever reason, Mr. Grady, from '01 to '09, Chrysler did not recapture those sales, did they?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	real problem for them in terms of their success going forward A. Yeah, there's a there's definitely an element that says that if the dealer network does not get restructured, that it could have a significant impact on the amount of money we're spending on product development; unable to eliminate overlapping models, things of that nature.  Q. You testified the other day, didn't you, that you read the viability plan that was submitted to the U.S. government in February?  A. Yes.  Q. Now, Mr. Grady, if you read that plan and you felt, as you just testify that you feel, why didn't you speak up in view of the absence of any reference in that viability plan to the need to immediately get rid of 789 dealers?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. They went down.  Q. All right. So to that extent, that program really didn't work if the goal was to reduce the number of dealers and get higher sales. In those eight years, it didn't work, did it?  A. Well, there's two different things at work here. One is, is what's happened in the marketplace with the economy, more recently; but also the dealer count reduction was not necessarily a consolidation of the brands, which is what, again, what we're accomplishing with Project Genesis. So we've had a number of success stories with dealers who have consolidated Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge and have accomplished Project Genesis, and it's benefited the corporation.  Q. For whatever reason, Mr. Grady, from '01 to '09, Chrysler did not recapture those sales, did they?  A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	real problem for them in terms of their success going forward.  A. Yeah, there's a there's definitely an element that says that if the dealer network does not get restructured, that it could have a significant impact on the amount of money we're spending on product development; unable to eliminate overlapping models, things of that nature.  Q. You testified the other day, didn't you, that you read the viability plan that was submitted to the U.S. government in February?  A. Yes.  Q. Now, Mr. Grady, if you read that plan and you felt, as you just testify that you feel, why didn't you speak up in view of the absence of any reference in that viability plan to the need to immediately get rid of 789 dealers?  A. Well, because at that time, the possibility of taking this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. They went down.  Q. All right. So to that extent, that program really didn't work if the goal was to reduce the number of dealers and get higher sales. In those eight years, it didn't work, did it?  A. Well, there's two different things at work here. One is, is what's happened in the marketplace with the economy, more recently; but also the dealer count reduction was not necessarily a consolidation of the brands, which is what, again, what we're accomplishing with Project Genesis. So we've had a number of success stories with dealers who have consolidated Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge and have accomplished Project Genesis, and it's benefited the corporation.  Q. For whatever reason, Mr. Grady, from '01 to '09, Chrysler did not recapture those sales, did they?  A. No.  Q. Yet, in the worst economy in fifty years or more, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	real problem for them in terms of their success going forward. A. Yeah, there's a there's definitely an element that says that if the dealer network does not get restructured, that it could have a significant impact on the amount of money we're spending on product development; unable to eliminate overlapping models, things of that nature.  Q. You testified the other day, didn't you, that you read the viability plan that was submitted to the U.S. government in February?  A. Yes.  Q. Now, Mr. Grady, if you read that plan and you felt, as you just testify that you feel, why didn't you speak up in view of the absence of any reference in that viability plan to the need to immediately get rid of 789 dealers?  A. Well, because at that time, the possibility of taking this action under bankruptcy proceedings was not contemplated.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. They went down.  Q. All right. So to that extent, that program really didn't work if the goal was to reduce the number of dealers and get higher sales. In those eight years, it didn't work, did it?  A. Well, there's two different things at work here. One is, is what's happened in the marketplace with the economy, more recently; but also the dealer count reduction was not necessarily a consolidation of the brands, which is what, again, what we're accomplishing with Project Genesis. So we've had a number of success stories with dealers who have consolidated Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge and have accomplished Project Genesis, and it's benefited the corporation.  Q. For whatever reason, Mr. Grady, from '01 to '09, Chrysler did not recapture those sales, did they?  A. No.  Q. Yet, in the worst economy in fifty years or more, you expect to recapture the sales from further downsizing by no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	real problem for them in terms of their success going forward. A. Yeah, there's a there's definitely an element that says that if the dealer network does not get restructured, that it could have a significant impact on the amount of money we're spending on product development; unable to eliminate overlapping models, things of that nature.  Q. You testified the other day, didn't you, that you read the viability plan that was submitted to the U.S. government in February?  A. Yes.  Q. Now, Mr. Grady, if you read that plan and you felt, as you just testify that you feel, why didn't you speak up in view of the absence of any reference in that viability plan to the need to immediately get rid of 789 dealers?  A. Well, because at that time, the possibility of taking this action under bankruptcy proceedings was not contemplated.  Q. Well, that was going to be a proposed structured
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. They went down.  Q. All right. So to that extent, that program really didn't work if the goal was to reduce the number of dealers and get higher sales. In those eight years, it didn't work, did it?  A. Well, there's two different things at work here. One is, is what's happened in the marketplace with the economy, more recently; but also the dealer count reduction was not necessarily a consolidation of the brands, which is what, again, what we're accomplishing with Project Genesis. So we've had a number of success stories with dealers who have consolidated Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge and have accomplished Project Genesis, and it's benefited the corporation.  Q. For whatever reason, Mr. Grady, from '01 to '09, Chrysler did not recapture those sales, did they?  A. No.  Q. Yet, in the worst economy in fifty years or more, you expect to recapture the sales from further downsizing by no less than one hundred percent. That's your testimony, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	real problem for them in terms of their success going forward.  A. Yeah, there's a there's definitely an element that says that if the dealer network does not get restructured, that it could have a significant impact on the amount of money we're spending on product development; unable to eliminate overlapping models, things of that nature.  Q. You testified the other day, didn't you, that you read the viability plan that was submitted to the U.S. government in February?  A. Yes.  Q. Now, Mr. Grady, if you read that plan and you felt, as you just testify that you feel, why didn't you speak up in view of the absence of any reference in that viability plan to the need to immediately get rid of 789 dealers?  A. Well, because at that time, the possibility of taking this action under bankruptcy proceedings was not contemplated.  Q. Well, that was going to be a proposed structured resolution outside of bankruptcy, right? At that time, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. They went down.  Q. All right. So to that extent, that program really didn't work if the goal was to reduce the number of dealers and get higher sales. In those eight years, it didn't work, did it?  A. Well, there's two different things at work here. One is, is what's happened in the marketplace with the economy, more recently; but also the dealer count reduction was not necessarily a consolidation of the brands, which is what, again, what we're accomplishing with Project Genesis. So we've had a number of success stories with dealers who have consolidated Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge and have accomplished Project Genesis, and it's benefited the corporation.  Q. For whatever reason, Mr. Grady, from '01 to '09, Chrysler did not recapture those sales, did they?  A. No.  Q. Yet, in the worst economy in fifty years or more, you expect to recapture the sales from further downsizing by no less than one hundred percent. That's your testimony, right?  A. Right. As I said before, the reason is, is because we're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	real problem for them in terms of their success going forward A. Yeah, there's a there's definitely an element that says that if the dealer network does not get restructured, that it could have a significant impact on the amount of money we're spending on product development; unable to eliminate overlapping models, things of that nature.  Q. You testified the other day, didn't you, that you read the viability plan that was submitted to the U.S. government in February?  A. Yes.  Q. Now, Mr. Grady, if you read that plan and you felt, as you just testify that you feel, why didn't you speak up in view of the absence of any reference in that viability plan to the need to immediately get rid of 789 dealers?  A. Well, because at that time, the possibility of taking this action under bankruptcy proceedings was not contemplated.  Q. Well, that was going to be a proposed structured resolution outside of bankruptcy, right? At that time, you didn't know for sure that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. They went down.  Q. All right. So to that extent, that program really didn't work if the goal was to reduce the number of dealers and get higher sales. In those eight years, it didn't work, did it?  A. Well, there's two different things at work here. One is, is what's happened in the marketplace with the economy, more recently; but also the dealer count reduction was not necessarily a consolidation of the brands, which is what, again, what we're accomplishing with Project Genesis. So we've had a number of success stories with dealers who have consolidated Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge and have accomplished Project Genesis, and it's benefited the corporation.  Q. For whatever reason, Mr. Grady, from '01 to '09, Chrysler did not recapture those sales, did they?  A. No.  Q. Yet, in the worst economy in fifty years or more, you expect to recapture the sales from further downsizing by no less than one hundred percent. That's your testimony, right?  A. Right. As I said before, the reason is, is because we're going to have a higher performing group of dealers that we're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	real problem for them in terms of their success going forward. A. Yeah, there's a there's definitely an element that says that if the dealer network does not get restructured, that it could have a significant impact on the amount of money we're spending on product development; unable to eliminate overlapping models, things of that nature.  Q. You testified the other day, didn't you, that you read the viability plan that was submitted to the U.S. government in February?  A. Yes.  Q. Now, Mr. Grady, if you read that plan and you felt, as you just testify that you feel, why didn't you speak up in view of the absence of any reference in that viability plan to the need to immediately get rid of 789 dealers?  A. Well, because at that time, the possibility of taking this action under bankruptcy proceedings was not contemplated.  Q. Well, that was going to be a proposed structured resolution outside of bankruptcy, right? At that time, you didn't know for sure that  A. That's correct.  Q there would be a filing?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. They went down.  Q. All right. So to that extent, that program really didn't work if the goal was to reduce the number of dealers and get higher sales. In those eight years, it didn't work, did it?  A. Well, there's two different things at work here. One is, is what's happened in the marketplace with the economy, more recently; but also the dealer count reduction was not necessarily a consolidation of the brands, which is what, again, what we're accomplishing with Project Genesis. So we've had a number of success stories with dealers who have consolidated Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge and have accomplished Project Genesis, and it's benefited the corporation.  Q. For whatever reason, Mr. Grady, from '01 to '09, Chrysler did not recapture those sales, did they?  A. No.  Q. Yet, in the worst economy in fifty years or more, you expect to recapture the sales from further downsizing by no less than one hundred percent. That's your testimony, right?  A. Right. As I said before, the reason is, is because we're going to have a higher performing group of dealers that we're retaining.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	real problem for them in terms of their success going forward.  A. Yeah, there's a there's definitely an element that says that if the dealer network does not get restructured, that it could have a significant impact on the amount of money we're spending on product development; unable to eliminate overlapping models, things of that nature.  Q. You testified the other day, didn't you, that you read the viability plan that was submitted to the U.S. government in February?  A. Yes.  Q. Now, Mr. Grady, if you read that plan and you felt, as you just testify that you feel, why didn't you speak up in view of the absence of any reference in that viability plan to the need to immediately get rid of 789 dealers?  A. Well, because at that time, the possibility of taking this action under bankruptcy proceedings was not contemplated.  Q. Well, that was going to be a proposed structured resolution outside of bankruptcy, right? At that time, you didn't know for sure that  A. That's correct.  Q there would be a filing?  A. The viability plan was as a standalone company.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. They went down.  Q. All right. So to that extent, that program really didn't work if the goal was to reduce the number of dealers and get higher sales. In those eight years, it didn't work, did it?  A. Well, there's two different things at work here. One is, is what's happened in the marketplace with the economy, more recently; but also the dealer count reduction was not necessarily a consolidation of the brands, which is what, again, what we're accomplishing with Project Genesis. So we've had a number of success stories with dealers who have consolidated Chrysler, Jeep and Dodge and have accomplished Project Genesis, and it's benefited the corporation.  Q. For whatever reason, Mr. Grady, from '01 to '09, Chrysler did not recapture those sales, did they?  A. No.  Q. Yet, in the worst economy in fifty years or more, you expect to recapture the sales from further downsizing by no less than one hundred percent. That's your testimony, right?  A. Right. As I said before, the reason is, is because we're going to have a higher performing group of dealers that we're retaining.  Q. If you were to continue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	real problem for them in terms of their success going forward. A. Yeah, there's a there's definitely an element that says that if the dealer network does not get restructured, that it could have a significant impact on the amount of money we're spending on product development; unable to eliminate overlapping models, things of that nature.  Q. You testified the other day, didn't you, that you read the viability plan that was submitted to the U.S. government in February?  A. Yes.  Q. Now, Mr. Grady, if you read that plan and you felt, as you just testify that you feel, why didn't you speak up in view of the absence of any reference in that viability plan to the need to immediately get rid of 789 dealers?  A. Well, because at that time, the possibility of taking this action under bankruptcy proceedings was not contemplated.  Q. Well, that was going to be a proposed structured resolution outside of bankruptcy, right? At that time, you didn't know for sure that  A. That's correct.  Q there would be a filing?

1	A. Well, would have been we would have had to continue	1	Go ahead.
2	with the Project Genesis plan as best we could.	2	MR. BELLAVIA: Thank you, Your Honor.
3	Q. Well, my question is, there was no mention in the	3	BY MR. BELLAVIA:
4	viability plan of the immediate need to get rid of twenty-five	4	Q. Mr. Grady, would you take a look at the one the multi-
5	percent of your dealers, was there?	5	page one. It says Jessica Montoya's name at the top?
6	A. No, there wasn't.	6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Yet, suddenly today in your testimony, it's necessary so	7	Q. Okay. Very generally, before I call your attention to the
8	that New Chrysler can become economically viable?	8	language in here, there are without asking you about
9	A. Well, things have changed since then, as relative to our	9	specific legal points, you recognize that there are franchise
10	financial position. We had made some assumptions with our	10	laws that protect dealers in all fifty states. Is that right?
11	viability plan early on which included our ability to continue	11	A. That's correct.
12	the Project Genesis and all the funding that went along with	12	Q. And dealers have certain rights under those statutes to,
13	it.	13	in some cases, object to the relocation or the addition of a
14	Q. Mr. Grady, over the past few weeks, haven't you had to	14	point in a market area?
15	defend allegations by the Chrysler dealers that this was an	15	A. Yes.
16	arbitrary, unfair, retaliatory process?	16	Q. And oftentimes dealers use their rights, invoke their
17	A. Yes.	17	rights, correct?
18	Q. You heard that, right?	18	A. That's correct.
19	A. Yes.	19	Q. Let's take a look at the first exhibit. Can you tell us
20		20	what this is, who sent it, who received it?
21	Q. That this was political? You've heard that?	21	
22	A. Yep.	22	A. This is an e-mail from Jessica Montoya from our Washington
	Q. You've heard that dealers are claiming that this was an		office with a copy to Dena Ellis Rutchkind (ph.), who is also
23	opportunity to retaliate? You've heard that?	23	in our Washington affairs office, with a copy to John Bozzella
24	A. I've heard that.	24	from our Washington office and Phil Scroggin, who is our
25	Q. You heard it was a popularity contest?  522	25	northeast business center manager. I'm not on the copy.
	522		524
_			
	A I've heard that I don't agree with any of it	1	O Is that your under the co doesn't it say Peter M
1	A. I've heard that. I don't agree with any of it.	1	Q. Is that your under the cc, doesn't it say Peter M.
2	Q. Okay.	2	Grady?
2	Q. Okay.  MR. BELLAVIA: May I approach the witness, Your	2	Grady?  A. Further down. But not the final reply.
2 3 4	Q. Okay.  MR. BELLAVIA: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?	2 3 4	Grady?  A. Further down. But not the final reply.  Q. All right. But you've seen this before, right?
2 3 4 5	Q. Okay.  MR. BELLAVIA: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?  THE COURT: Go ahead. I assume you're drawing to a	2 3 4 5	Grady?  A. Further down. But not the final reply.  Q. All right. But you've seen this before, right?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	Q. Okay.  MR. BELLAVIA: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?  THE COURT: Go ahead. I assume you're drawing to a close?	2 3 4 5	Grady? A. Further down. But not the final reply. Q. All right. But you've seen this before, right? A. Yes. Q. Would you I'm going to just, for the sake of
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Okay.  MR. BELLAVIA: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?  THE COURT: Go ahead. I assume you're drawing to a close?  MR. BELLAVIA: I am, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Grady?  A. Further down. But not the final reply.  Q. All right. But you've seen this before, right?  A. Yes.  Q. Would you I'm going to just, for the sake of expedience, I'm just going to read a portion of it and ask you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Okay.  MR. BELLAVIA: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?  THE COURT: Go ahead. I assume you're drawing to a close?  MR. BELLAVIA: I am, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Grady?  A. Further down. But not the final reply.  Q. All right. But you've seen this before, right?  A. Yes.  Q. Would you I'm going to just, for the sake of expedience, I'm just going to read a portion of it and ask you a question, okay?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Okay.  MR. BELLAVIA: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?  THE COURT: Go ahead. I assume you're drawing to a close?  MR. BELLAVIA: I am, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.  MR. ORR: Your Honor?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Grady?  A. Further down. But not the final reply.  Q. All right. But you've seen this before, right?  A. Yes.  Q. Would you I'm going to just, for the sake of expedience, I'm just going to read a portion of it and ask you a question, okay?  A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Okay.  MR. BELLAVIA: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?  THE COURT: Go ahead. I assume you're drawing to a close?  MR. BELLAVIA: I am, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.  MR. ORR: Your Honor?  THE COURT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Grady?  A. Further down. But not the final reply.  Q. All right. But you've seen this before, right?  A. Yes.  Q. Would you I'm going to just, for the sake of expedience, I'm just going to read a portion of it and ask you a question, okay?  A. Okay.  Q. It says, "John and Dena, I spoke with Phil Scroggin." Who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Okay.  MR. BELLAVIA: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?  THE COURT: Go ahead. I assume you're drawing to a close?  MR. BELLAVIA: I am, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.  MR. ORR: Your Honor?  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. ORR: I'm going to object to these on the basis	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Grady?  A. Further down. But not the final reply.  Q. All right. But you've seen this before, right?  A. Yes.  Q. Would you I'm going to just, for the sake of expedience, I'm just going to read a portion of it and ask you a question, okay?  A. Okay.  Q. It says, "John and Dena, I spoke with Phil Scroggin." Who is Phil Scroggin?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Okay.  MR. BELLAVIA: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?  THE COURT: Go ahead. I assume you're drawing to a close?  MR. BELLAVIA: I am, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.  MR. ORR: Your Honor?  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. ORR: I'm going to object to these on the basis of relevance.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Grady?  A. Further down. But not the final reply.  Q. All right. But you've seen this before, right?  A. Yes.  Q. Would you I'm going to just, for the sake of expedience, I'm just going to read a portion of it and ask you a question, okay?  A. Okay.  Q. It says, "John and Dena, I spoke with Phil Scroggin." Who is Phil Scroggin?  A. As I said, he's our northeast business center manager.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Okay.  MR. BELLAVIA: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?  THE COURT: Go ahead. I assume you're drawing to a close?  MR. BELLAVIA: I am, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.  MR. ORR: Your Honor?  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. ORR: I'm going to object to these on the basis of relevance.  THE COURT: What is the relevance of these documents?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Grady?  A. Further down. But not the final reply. Q. All right. But you've seen this before, right? A. Yes. Q. Would you I'm going to just, for the sake of expedience, I'm just going to read a portion of it and ask you a question, okay? A. Okay. Q. It says, "John and Dena, I spoke with Phil Scroggin." Who is Phil Scroggin? A. As I said, he's our northeast business center manager. Q. Okay. "At our New York business center on this just now.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Okay.  MR. BELLAVIA: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?  THE COURT: Go ahead. I assume you're drawing to a close?  MR. BELLAVIA: I am, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.  MR. ORR: Your Honor?  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. ORR: I'm going to object to these on the basis of relevance.  THE COURT: What is the relevance of these documents?  MR. BELLAVIA: Your Honor, these are e-mails in which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Grady?  A. Further down. But not the final reply.  Q. All right. But you've seen this before, right?  A. Yes.  Q. Would you I'm going to just, for the sake of expedience, I'm just going to read a portion of it and ask you a question, okay?  A. Okay.  Q. It says, "John and Dena, I spoke with Phil Scroggin." Who is Phil Scroggin?  A. As I said, he's our northeast business center manager.  Q. Okay. "At our New York business center on this just now. This is going to be a tough one. His dealerships are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Okay.  MR. BELLAVIA: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?  THE COURT: Go ahead. I assume you're drawing to a close?  MR. BELLAVIA: I am, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.  MR. ORR: Your Honor?  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. ORR: I'm going to object to these on the basis of relevance.  THE COURT: What is the relevance of these documents?  MR. BELLAVIA: Your Honor, these are e-mails in which the witness has either written, received or well, been cc'd	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Grady?  A. Further down. But not the final reply.  Q. All right. But you've seen this before, right?  A. Yes.  Q. Would you I'm going to just, for the sake of expedience, I'm just going to read a portion of it and ask you a question, okay?  A. Okay.  Q. It says, "John and Dena, I spoke with Phil Scroggin." Who is Phil Scroggin?  A. As I said, he's our northeast business center manager.  Q. Okay. "At our New York business center on this just now. This is going to be a tough one. His dealerships are performing fine, I take it, Rhode Island and Massachusetts, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Okay.  MR. BELLAVIA: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?  THE COURT: Go ahead. I assume you're drawing to a close?  MR. BELLAVIA: I am, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.  MR. ORR: Your Honor?  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. ORR: I'm going to object to these on the basis of relevance.  THE COURT: What is the relevance of these documents?  MR. BELLAVIA: Your Honor, these are e-mails in which the witness has either written, received or well, been cc'd on, relating to the rejection process.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Grady?  A. Further down. But not the final reply.  Q. All right. But you've seen this before, right?  A. Yes.  Q. Would you I'm going to just, for the sake of expedience, I'm just going to read a portion of it and ask you a question, okay?  A. Okay.  Q. It says, "John and Dena, I spoke with Phil Scroggin." Who is Phil Scroggin?  A. As I said, he's our northeast business center manager.  Q. Okay. "At our New York business center on this just now. This is going to be a tough one. His dealerships are performing fine, I take it, Rhode Island and Massachusetts, and he has a good score card." All right. That's the end of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Okay.  MR. BELLAVIA: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?  THE COURT: Go ahead. I assume you're drawing to a close?  MR. BELLAVIA: I am, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.  MR. ORR: Your Honor?  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. ORR: I'm going to object to these on the basis of relevance.  THE COURT: What is the relevance of these documents?  MR. BELLAVIA: Your Honor, these are e-mails in which the witness has either written, received or well, been cc'd	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Grady?  A. Further down. But not the final reply.  Q. All right. But you've seen this before, right?  A. Yes.  Q. Would you I'm going to just, for the sake of expedience, I'm just going to read a portion of it and ask you a question, okay?  A. Okay.  Q. It says, "John and Dena, I spoke with Phil Scroggin." Who is Phil Scroggin?  A. As I said, he's our northeast business center manager.  Q. Okay. "At our New York business center on this just now. This is going to be a tough one. His dealerships are performing fine, I take it, Rhode Island and Massachusetts, and he has a good score card." All right. That's the end of the first sentence. What is the subject of this e-mail, next to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Okay.  MR. BELLAVIA: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?  THE COURT: Go ahead. I assume you're drawing to a close?  MR. BELLAVIA: I am, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.  MR. ORR: Your Honor?  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. ORR: I'm going to object to these on the basis of relevance.  THE COURT: What is the relevance of these documents?  MR. BELLAVIA: Your Honor, these are e-mails in which the witness has either written, received or well, been cc'd on, relating to the rejection process.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Grady?  A. Further down. But not the final reply.  Q. All right. But you've seen this before, right?  A. Yes.  Q. Would you I'm going to just, for the sake of expedience, I'm just going to read a portion of it and ask you a question, okay?  A. Okay.  Q. It says, "John and Dena, I spoke with Phil Scroggin." Who is Phil Scroggin?  A. As I said, he's our northeast business center manager.  Q. Okay. "At our New York business center on this just now. This is going to be a tough one. His dealerships are performing fine, I take it, Rhode Island and Massachusetts, and he has a good score card." All right. That's the end of the first sentence. What is the subject of this e-mail, next to the subject line?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Okay.  MR. BELLAVIA: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?  THE COURT: Go ahead. I assume you're drawing to a close?  MR. BELLAVIA: I am, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.  MR. ORR: Your Honor?  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. ORR: I'm going to object to these on the basis of relevance.  THE COURT: What is the relevance of these documents?  MR. BELLAVIA: Your Honor, these are e-mails in which the witness has either written, received or well, been cc'd on, relating to the rejection process.  MR. ORR: Yes, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Grady?  A. Further down. But not the final reply.  Q. All right. But you've seen this before, right?  A. Yes.  Q. Would you I'm going to just, for the sake of expedience, I'm just going to read a portion of it and ask you a question, okay?  A. Okay.  Q. It says, "John and Dena, I spoke with Phil Scroggin." Who is Phil Scroggin?  A. As I said, he's our northeast business center manager.  Q. Okay. "At our New York business center on this just now. This is going to be a tough one. His dealerships are performing fine, I take it, Rhode Island and Massachusetts, and he has a good score card." All right. That's the end of the first sentence. What is the subject of this e-mail, next to the subject line?  A. Senator Reed Dealer Inquiry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Okay.  MR. BELLAVIA: May I approach the witness, Your  Honor?  THE COURT: Go ahead. I assume you're drawing to a close?  MR. BELLAVIA: I am, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.  MR. ORR: Your Honor?  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. ORR: I'm going to object to these on the basis of relevance.  THE COURT: What is the relevance of these documents?  MR. BELLAVIA: Your Honor, these are e-mails in which the witness has either written, received or well, been cc'd on, relating to the rejection process.  MR. ORR: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: I can't hear you. Go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Grady?  A. Further down. But not the final reply.  Q. All right. But you've seen this before, right?  A. Yes.  Q. Would you I'm going to just, for the sake of expedience, I'm just going to read a portion of it and ask you a question, okay?  A. Okay.  Q. It says, "John and Dena, I spoke with Phil Scroggin." Who is Phil Scroggin?  A. As I said, he's our northeast business center manager.  Q. Okay. "At our New York business center on this just now. This is going to be a tough one. His dealerships are performing fine, I take it, Rhode Island and Massachusetts, and he has a good score card." All right. That's the end of the first sentence. What is the subject of this e-mail, next to the subject line?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Okay.  MR. BELLAVIA: May I approach the witness, Your  Honor?  THE COURT: Go ahead. I assume you're drawing to a close?  MR. BELLAVIA: I am, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.  MR. ORR: Your Honor?  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. ORR: I'm going to object to these on the basis of relevance.  THE COURT: What is the relevance of these documents?  MR. BELLAVIA: Your Honor, these are e-mails in which the witness has either written, received or well, been cc'd on, relating to the rejection process.  MR. ORR: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: I can't hear you. Go ahead.  MR. ORR: Yes. That's precisely right, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Grady?  A. Further down. But not the final reply.  Q. All right. But you've seen this before, right?  A. Yes.  Q. Would you I'm going to just, for the sake of expedience, I'm just going to read a portion of it and ask you a question, okay?  A. Okay.  Q. It says, "John and Dena, I spoke with Phil Scroggin." Who is Phil Scroggin?  A. As I said, he's our northeast business center manager.  Q. Okay. "At our New York business center on this just now. This is going to be a tough one. His dealerships are performing fine, I take it, Rhode Island and Massachusetts, and he has a good score card." All right. That's the end of the first sentence. What is the subject of this e-mail, next to the subject line?  A. Senator Reed Dealer Inquiry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Okay.  MR. BELLAVIA: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?  THE COURT: Go ahead. I assume you're drawing to a close?  MR. BELLAVIA: I am, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.  MR. ORR: Your Honor?  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. ORR: I'm going to object to these on the basis of relevance.  THE COURT: What is the relevance of these documents?  MR. BELLAVIA: Your Honor, these are e-mails in which the witness has either written, received or well, been cc'd on, relating to the rejection process.  MR. ORR: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: I can't hear you. Go ahead.  MR. ORR: Yes. That's precisely right, Your Honor.  They speak to rejection, not assumption or the sale. I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Grady?  A. Further down. But not the final reply. Q. All right. But you've seen this before, right? A. Yes. Q. Would you I'm going to just, for the sake of expedience, I'm just going to read a portion of it and ask you a question, okay? A. Okay. Q. It says, "John and Dena, I spoke with Phil Scroggin." Who is Phil Scroggin? A. As I said, he's our northeast business center manager. Q. Okay. "At our New York business center on this just now. This is going to be a tough one. His dealerships are performing fine, I take it, Rhode Island and Massachusetts, and he has a good score card." All right. That's the end of the first sentence. What is the subject of this e-mail, next to the subject line? A. Senator Reed Dealer Inquiry. Q. All right, that's a United States Senator?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Okay.  MR. BELLAVIA: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?  THE COURT: Go ahead. I assume you're drawing to a close?  MR. BELLAVIA: I am, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.  MR. ORR: Your Honor?  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. ORR: I'm going to object to these on the basis of relevance.  THE COURT: What is the relevance of these documents?  MR. BELLAVIA: Your Honor, these are e-mails in which the witness has either written, received or well, been cc'd on, relating to the rejection process.  MR. ORR: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: I can't hear you. Go ahead.  MR. ORR: Yes. That's precisely right, Your Honor.  They speak to rejection, not assumption or the sale. I don't think they're relevant to this process.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Grady?  A. Further down. But not the final reply.  Q. All right. But you've seen this before, right?  A. Yes.  Q. Would you I'm going to just, for the sake of expedience, I'm just going to read a portion of it and ask you a question, okay?  A. Okay.  Q. It says, "John and Dena, I spoke with Phil Scroggin." Who is Phil Scroggin?  A. As I said, he's our northeast business center manager.  Q. Okay. "At our New York business center on this just now. This is going to be a tough one. His dealerships are performing fine, I take it, Rhode Island and Massachusetts, and he has a good score card." All right. That's the end of the first sentence. What is the subject of this e-mail, next to the subject line?  A. Senator Reed Dealer Inquiry.  Q. All right, that's a United States Senator?  A. I presume so, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Okay.  MR. BELLAVIA: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?  THE COURT: Go ahead. I assume you're drawing to a close?  MR. BELLAVIA: I am, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.  MR. ORR: Your Honor?  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. ORR: I'm going to object to these on the basis of relevance.  THE COURT: What is the relevance of these documents?  MR. BELLAVIA: Your Honor, these are e-mails in which the witness has either written, received or well, been cc'd on, relating to the rejection process.  MR. ORR: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: I can't hear you. Go ahead.  MR. ORR: Yes. That's precisely right, Your Honor.  They speak to rejection, not assumption or the sale. I don't think they're relevant to this process.  THE COURT: I understand the distinction you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Grady?  A. Further down. But not the final reply.  Q. All right. But you've seen this before, right?  A. Yes.  Q. Would you I'm going to just, for the sake of expedience, I'm just going to read a portion of it and ask you a question, okay?  A. Okay.  Q. It says, "John and Dena, I spoke with Phil Scroggin." Who is Phil Scroggin?  A. As I said, he's our northeast business center manager.  Q. Okay. "At our New York business center on this just now. This is going to be a tough one. His dealerships are performing fine, I take it, Rhode Island and Massachusetts, and he has a good score card." All right. That's the end of the first sentence. What is the subject of this e-mail, next to the subject line?  A. Senator Reed Dealer Inquiry.  Q. All right, that's a United States Senator?  A. I presume so, yes.  Q. Of Rhode Island? Okay. And this is in reference to which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Okay.  MR. BELLAVIA: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?  THE COURT: Go ahead. I assume you're drawing to a close?  MR. BELLAVIA: I am, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.  MR. ORR: Your Honor?  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. ORR: I'm going to object to these on the basis of relevance.  THE COURT: What is the relevance of these documents?  MR. BELLAVIA: Your Honor, these are e-mails in which the witness has either written, received or well, been cc'd on, relating to the rejection process.  MR. ORR: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: I can't hear you. Go ahead.  MR. ORR: Yes. That's precisely right, Your Honor. They speak to rejection, not assumption or the sale. I don't think they're relevant to this process.  THE COURT: I understand the distinction you're drawing, but I think they probably address the feelings of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Grady?  A. Further down. But not the final reply.  Q. All right. But you've seen this before, right?  A. Yes.  Q. Would you I'm going to just, for the sake of expedience, I'm just going to read a portion of it and ask you a question, okay?  A. Okay.  Q. It says, "John and Dena, I spoke with Phil Scroggin." Who is Phil Scroggin?  A. As I said, he's our northeast business center manager.  Q. Okay. "At our New York business center on this just now. This is going to be a tough one. His dealerships are performing fine, I take it, Rhode Island and Massachusetts, and he has a good score card." All right. That's the end of the first sentence. What is the subject of this e-mail, next to the subject line?  A. Senator Reed Dealer Inquiry.  Q. All right, that's a United States Senator?  A. I presume so, yes.  Q. Of Rhode Island? Okay. And this is in reference to which dealership, which Chrysler dealership?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Okay.  MR. BELLAVIA: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?  THE COURT: Go ahead. I assume you're drawing to a close?  MR. BELLAVIA: I am, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.  MR. ORR: Your Honor?  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. ORR: I'm going to object to these on the basis of relevance.  THE COURT: What is the relevance of these documents?  MR. BELLAVIA: Your Honor, these are e-mails in which the witness has either written, received or well, been cc'd on, relating to the rejection process.  MR. ORR: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: I can't hear you. Go ahead.  MR. ORR: Yes. That's precisely right, Your Honor. They speak to rejection, not assumption or the sale. I don't think they're relevant to this process.  THE COURT: I understand the distinction you're drawing, but I think they probably address the feelings of people with respect to issues raised in the testimony that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Grady?  A. Further down. But not the final reply. Q. All right. But you've seen this before, right? A. Yes. Q. Would you I'm going to just, for the sake of expedience, I'm just going to read a portion of it and ask you a question, okay? A. Okay. Q. It says, "John and Dena, I spoke with Phil Scroggin." Who is Phil Scroggin? A. As I said, he's our northeast business center manager. Q. Okay. "At our New York business center on this just now. This is going to be a tough one. His dealerships are performing fine, I take it, Rhode Island and Massachusetts, and he has a good score card." All right. That's the end of the first sentence. What is the subject of this e-mail, next to the subject line? A. Senator Reed Dealer Inquiry. Q. All right, that's a United States Senator? A. I presume so, yes. Q. Of Rhode Island? Okay. And this is in reference to which dealership, which Chrysler dealership? A. It's in reference to Tarbox.

1	A. That's right.	1	Q. Would you and this is an e-mail from William Dusett
2	Q. One in Massachusetts and one in Rhode Island?	2	(ph.) to Phil Scroggin, right?
3	A. That's correct.	3	A. Right.
4	Q. And Mr. Tarbox interposed a protest objection to either a	4	Q. And it starts out by saying, "Just talked with Pete"
5	relocation or an add point, didn't he?	5	that's you, right?
6	A. Yes.	6	A. I would assume so, yes.
7	Q. He did. And he won that case, didn't he?	7	Q. Do you remember getting this e-mail?
8	A. No, he didn't win the case. We withdrew the action.	8	A. I didn't get this e-mail.
9	Q. So in response to his protest, you decided not to go	9	Q. Okay.
10	forward with the market activity?	10	A. I didn't see it until my deposition on Monday.
11	A. That's correct.	11	Q. Okay. "Just talked with Pete." All right. Why don't you
12	Q. It wasn't that he won the case and you withdrew his	12	read the rest?
13	request for attorneys' fees?	13	A. "He simply said that the dealer has to go, too litigious,
14	A. I don't know specifically what it was. It was when I was	14	etcetera. It's not a performance issue."
15	just joining the group, so	15	Q. Well, dealers have millions of dollars invested in their
16	Q. Is what I just said, does that refresh your recollection	16	facilities, don't they typically?
17	that he won the case and what was withdrawn was the request for		A. Yes.
18 19	attorneys' fees?	18 19	Q. Do you think any dealer should be on the rejection list because he's too litigious?
20	A. I don't think the case every went	20	A. Well, I don't know if that's necessarily the criteria that
21	Q. Okay. A to the dealer board.	21	was used here.
22	Q. Okay. Would you read the next sentence into the record?	22	Q. Well
23	A. "He is a belligerent combative dealer who litigates and	23	A. He's I can't characterize. I don't know how Mr. Dusett
24	protests any new Jeep franchises in Provo, Rhode Island area.	24	would characterize our conversation. But in this particular
25	The management made decision to cut him. He has not operated		instance, Eagle Auto Mall is a competitive dual in a market or
	526		528
1	in and City	1	I I-ldh fi D-d dlh hia
1	in good faith.	1	Long Island where we have a facing Dodge dealer who's been with
2	Q. All right. So without going into the details of this	2	us a long time, also a good performing exclusive dealership.
2	Q. All right. So without going into the details of this case, Mr. Grady, you would agree that the decision to reject a	2	us a long time, also a good performing exclusive dealership.  Q. Okay. And all those reasons you just said are valid
2 3 4	Q. All right. So without going into the details of this case, Mr. Grady, you would agree that the decision to reject a dealer should only be on the merits?	2 3 4	us a long time, also a good performing exclusive dealership.  Q. Okay. And all those reasons you just said are valid reasons, right?
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. All right. So without going into the details of this case, Mr. Grady, you would agree that the decision to reject a dealer should only be on the merits?</li><li>A. Yeah, like this one was.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	us a long time, also a good performing exclusive dealership.  Q. Okay. And all those reasons you just said are valid reasons, right?  A. I believe so, yes.
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>Q. All right. So without going into the details of this case, Mr. Grady, you would agree that the decision to reject a dealer should only be on the merits?</li><li>A. Yeah, like this one was.</li><li>Q. This one was on the merits? Is it one of the criteria</li></ul>	2 3 4	us a long time, also a good performing exclusive dealership.  Q. Okay. And all those reasons you just said are valid reasons, right?  A. I believe so, yes.  Q. But that's not what this e-mail says, right? It says
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. All right. So without going into the details of this case, Mr. Grady, you would agree that the decision to reject a dealer should only be on the merits?</li> <li>A. Yeah, like this one was.</li> <li>Q. This one was on the merits? Is it one of the criteria that a belligerent combative dealer should not stay with the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	us a long time, also a good performing exclusive dealership.  Q. Okay. And all those reasons you just said are valid reasons, right?  A. I believe so, yes.  Q. But that's not what this e-mail says, right? It says "It's not a performance issue."
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>Q. All right. So without going into the details of this case, Mr. Grady, you would agree that the decision to reject a dealer should only be on the merits?</li><li>A. Yeah, like this one was.</li><li>Q. This one was on the merits? Is it one of the criteria that a belligerent combative dealer should not stay with the dealer network?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	us a long time, also a good performing exclusive dealership.  Q. Okay. And all those reasons you just said are valid reasons, right?  A. I believe so, yes.  Q. But that's not what this e-mail says, right? It says  "It's not a performance issue."  A. Well, yeah
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. All right. So without going into the details of this case, Mr. Grady, you would agree that the decision to reject a dealer should only be on the merits?</li> <li>A. Yeah, like this one was.</li> <li>Q. This one was on the merits? Is it one of the criteria that a belligerent combative dealer should not stay with the dealer network?</li> <li>A. Well, I can't speak to what was here, but I can tell you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	us a long time, also a good performing exclusive dealership.  Q. Okay. And all those reasons you just said are valid reasons, right?  A. I believe so, yes.  Q. But that's not what this e-mail says, right? It says "It's not a performance issue."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>Q. All right. So without going into the details of this case, Mr. Grady, you would agree that the decision to reject a dealer should only be on the merits?</li><li>A. Yeah, like this one was.</li><li>Q. This one was on the merits? Is it one of the criteria that a belligerent combative dealer should not stay with the dealer network?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	us a long time, also a good performing exclusive dealership.  Q. Okay. And all those reasons you just said are valid reasons, right?  A. I believe so, yes.  Q. But that's not what this e-mail says, right? It says  "It's not a performance issue."  A. Well, yeah  Q. Right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. All right. So without going into the details of this case, Mr. Grady, you would agree that the decision to reject a dealer should only be on the merits?</li> <li>A. Yeah, like this one was.</li> <li>Q. This one was on the merits? Is it one of the criteria that a belligerent combative dealer should not stay with the dealer network?</li> <li>A. Well, I can't speak to what was here, but I can tell you that the decision to reject was based on the fact that Mr.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	us a long time, also a good performing exclusive dealership.  Q. Okay. And all those reasons you just said are valid reasons, right?  A. I believe so, yes.  Q. But that's not what this e-mail says, right? It says  "It's not a performance issue."  A. Well, yeah  Q. Right?  A I mean, that's what this e-mail says.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. All right. So without going into the details of this case, Mr. Grady, you would agree that the decision to reject a dealer should only be on the merits?</li> <li>A. Yeah, like this one was.</li> <li>Q. This one was on the merits? Is it one of the criteria that a belligerent combative dealer should not stay with the dealer network?</li> <li>A. Well, I can't speak to what was here, but I can tell you that the decision to reject was based on the fact that Mr.</li> <li>Tarbox in Rhode Island is a Jeep only dealer with a high</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	us a long time, also a good performing exclusive dealership.  Q. Okay. And all those reasons you just said are valid reasons, right?  A. I believe so, yes.  Q. But that's not what this e-mail says, right? It says  "It's not a performance issue."  A. Well, yeah  Q. Right?  A I mean, that's what this e-mail says.  Q. All right. Now, I believe in your declaration you said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. All right. So without going into the details of this case, Mr. Grady, you would agree that the decision to reject a dealer should only be on the merits?</li> <li>A. Yeah, like this one was.</li> <li>Q. This one was on the merits? Is it one of the criteria that a belligerent combative dealer should not stay with the dealer network?</li> <li>A. Well, I can't speak to what was here, but I can tell you that the decision to reject was based on the fact that Mr.</li> <li>Tarbox in Rhode Island is a Jeep only dealer with a high performing Chrysler Dodge dealer facing him.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	us a long time, also a good performing exclusive dealership.  Q. Okay. And all those reasons you just said are valid reasons, right?  A. I believe so, yes.  Q. But that's not what this e-mail says, right? It says "It's not a performance issue."  A. Well, yeah  Q. Right?  A I mean, that's what this e-mail says.  Q. All right. Now, I believe in your declaration you said that fifty percent of the dealer network accounts for ninety
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. All right. So without going into the details of this case, Mr. Grady, you would agree that the decision to reject a dealer should only be on the merits?</li> <li>A. Yeah, like this one was.</li> <li>Q. This one was on the merits? Is it one of the criteria that a belligerent combative dealer should not stay with the dealer network?</li> <li>A. Well, I can't speak to what was here, but I can tell you that the decision to reject was based on the fact that Mr.</li> <li>Tarbox in Rhode Island is a Jeep only dealer with a high performing Chrysler Dodge dealer facing him.</li> <li>Q. So wouldn't you expect an e-mail about whether he should</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	us a long time, also a good performing exclusive dealership.  Q. Okay. And all those reasons you just said are valid reasons, right?  A. I believe so, yes.  Q. But that's not what this e-mail says, right? It says "It's not a performance issue."  A. Well, yeah  Q. Right?  A I mean, that's what this e-mail says.  Q. All right. Now, I believe in your declaration you said that fifty percent of the dealer network accounts for ninety percent of sales?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. All right. So without going into the details of this case, Mr. Grady, you would agree that the decision to reject a dealer should only be on the merits?</li> <li>A. Yeah, like this one was.</li> <li>Q. This one was on the merits? Is it one of the criteria that a belligerent combative dealer should not stay with the dealer network?</li> <li>A. Well, I can't speak to what was here, but I can tell you that the decision to reject was based on the fact that Mr.</li> <li>Tarbox in Rhode Island is a Jeep only dealer with a high performing Chrysler Dodge dealer facing him.</li> <li>Q. So wouldn't you expect an e-mail about whether he should stay or go to reflect exactly what you just said instead of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	us a long time, also a good performing exclusive dealership.  Q. Okay. And all those reasons you just said are valid reasons, right?  A. I believe so, yes.  Q. But that's not what this e-mail says, right? It says  "It's not a performance issue."  A. Well, yeah  Q. Right?  A I mean, that's what this e-mail says.  Q. All right. Now, I believe in your declaration you said that fifty percent of the dealer network accounts for ninety percent of sales?  A. I believe that's correct, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. All right. So without going into the details of this case, Mr. Grady, you would agree that the decision to reject a dealer should only be on the merits?</li> <li>A. Yeah, like this one was.</li> <li>Q. This one was on the merits? Is it one of the criteria that a belligerent combative dealer should not stay with the dealer network?</li> <li>A. Well, I can't speak to what was here, but I can tell you that the decision to reject was based on the fact that Mr.</li> <li>Tarbox in Rhode Island is a Jeep only dealer with a high performing Chrysler Dodge dealer facing him.</li> <li>Q. So wouldn't you expect an e-mail about whether he should stay or go to reflect exactly what you just said instead of that he's a belligerent combative dealer?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	us a long time, also a good performing exclusive dealership.  Q. Okay. And all those reasons you just said are valid reasons, right?  A. I believe so, yes.  Q. But that's not what this e-mail says, right? It says  "It's not a performance issue."  A. Well, yeah  Q. Right?  A I mean, that's what this e-mail says.  Q. All right. Now, I believe in your declaration you said that fifty percent of the dealer network accounts for ninety percent of sales?  A. I believe that's correct, yes.  Q. Right? Yet many of the dealers in that fifty percent that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. All right. So without going into the details of this case, Mr. Grady, you would agree that the decision to reject a dealer should only be on the merits?</li> <li>A. Yeah, like this one was.</li> <li>Q. This one was on the merits? Is it one of the criteria that a belligerent combative dealer should not stay with the dealer network?</li> <li>A. Well, I can't speak to what was here, but I can tell you that the decision to reject was based on the fact that Mr.</li> <li>Tarbox in Rhode Island is a Jeep only dealer with a high performing Chrysler Dodge dealer facing him.</li> <li>Q. So wouldn't you expect an e-mail about whether he should stay or go to reflect exactly what you just said instead of that he's a belligerent combative dealer?</li> <li>A. I would expect that.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	us a long time, also a good performing exclusive dealership.  Q. Okay. And all those reasons you just said are valid reasons, right?  A. I believe so, yes.  Q. But that's not what this e-mail says, right? It says  "It's not a performance issue."  A. Well, yeah  Q. Right?  A I mean, that's what this e-mail says.  Q. All right. Now, I believe in your declaration you said that fifty percent of the dealer network accounts for ninety percent of sales?  A. I believe that's correct, yes.  Q. Right? Yet many of the dealers in that fifty percent that produce ninety percent of the sales were rejected? Right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. All right. So without going into the details of this case, Mr. Grady, you would agree that the decision to reject a dealer should only be on the merits?</li> <li>A. Yeah, like this one was.</li> <li>Q. This one was on the merits? Is it one of the criteria that a belligerent combative dealer should not stay with the dealer network?</li> <li>A. Well, I can't speak to what was here, but I can tell you that the decision to reject was based on the fact that Mr.</li> <li>Tarbox in Rhode Island is a Jeep only dealer with a high performing Chrysler Dodge dealer facing him.</li> <li>Q. So wouldn't you expect an e-mail about whether he should stay or go to reflect exactly what you just said instead of that he's a belligerent combative dealer?</li> <li>A. I would expect that.</li> <li>Q. But that's not what this says, does it?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	us a long time, also a good performing exclusive dealership.  Q. Okay. And all those reasons you just said are valid reasons, right?  A. I believe so, yes.  Q. But that's not what this e-mail says, right? It says  "It's not a performance issue."  A. Well, yeah  Q. Right?  A I mean, that's what this e-mail says.  Q. All right. Now, I believe in your declaration you said that fifty percent of the dealer network accounts for ninety percent of sales?  A. I believe that's correct, yes.  Q. Right? Yet many of the dealers in that fifty percent that produce ninety percent of the sales were rejected? Right?  A. Could be.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. All right. So without going into the details of this case, Mr. Grady, you would agree that the decision to reject a dealer should only be on the merits?</li> <li>A. Yeah, like this one was.</li> <li>Q. This one was on the merits? Is it one of the criteria that a belligerent combative dealer should not stay with the dealer network?</li> <li>A. Well, I can't speak to what was here, but I can tell you that the decision to reject was based on the fact that Mr.</li> <li>Tarbox in Rhode Island is a Jeep only dealer with a high performing Chrysler Dodge dealer facing him.</li> <li>Q. So wouldn't you expect an e-mail about whether he should stay or go to reflect exactly what you just said instead of that he's a belligerent combative dealer?</li> <li>A. I would expect that.</li> <li>Q. But that's not what this says, does it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	us a long time, also a good performing exclusive dealership.  Q. Okay. And all those reasons you just said are valid reasons, right?  A. I believe so, yes.  Q. But that's not what this e-mail says, right? It says  "It's not a performance issue."  A. Well, yeah  Q. Right?  A I mean, that's what this e-mail says.  Q. All right. Now, I believe in your declaration you said that fifty percent of the dealer network accounts for ninety percent of sales?  A. I believe that's correct, yes.  Q. Right? Yet many of the dealers in that fifty percent that produce ninety percent of the sales were rejected? Right?  A. Could be.  Q. And correspondingly, the other fifty percent that only
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. All right. So without going into the details of this case, Mr. Grady, you would agree that the decision to reject a dealer should only be on the merits?</li> <li>A. Yeah, like this one was.</li> <li>Q. This one was on the merits? Is it one of the criteria that a belligerent combative dealer should not stay with the dealer network?</li> <li>A. Well, I can't speak to what was here, but I can tell you that the decision to reject was based on the fact that Mr.</li> <li>Tarbox in Rhode Island is a Jeep only dealer with a high performing Chrysler Dodge dealer facing him.</li> <li>Q. So wouldn't you expect an e-mail about whether he should stay or go to reflect exactly what you just said instead of that he's a belligerent combative dealer?</li> <li>A. I would expect that.</li> <li>Q. But that's not what this says, does it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Let's take a look at the other one. Which dealership does this e-mail pertain to?</li> <li>A. Eagle.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	us a long time, also a good performing exclusive dealership.  Q. Okay. And all those reasons you just said are valid reasons, right?  A. I believe so, yes.  Q. But that's not what this e-mail says, right? It says "It's not a performance issue."  A. Well, yeah  Q. Right?  A I mean, that's what this e-mail says.  Q. All right. Now, I believe in your declaration you said that fifty percent of the dealer network accounts for ninety percent of sales?  A. I believe that's correct, yes.  Q. Right? Yet many of the dealers in that fifty percent that produce ninety percent of the sales were rejected? Right?  A. Could be.  Q. And correspondingly, the other fifty percent that only produce ten percent of the sales, many of them were assumed,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. All right. So without going into the details of this case, Mr. Grady, you would agree that the decision to reject a dealer should only be on the merits?  A. Yeah, like this one was. Q. This one was on the merits? Is it one of the criteria that a belligerent combative dealer should not stay with the dealer network?  A. Well, I can't speak to what was here, but I can tell you that the decision to reject was based on the fact that Mr.  Tarbox in Rhode Island is a Jeep only dealer with a high performing Chrysler Dodge dealer facing him. Q. So wouldn't you expect an e-mail about whether he should stay or go to reflect exactly what you just said instead of that he's a belligerent combative dealer?  A. I would expect that. Q. But that's not what this says, does it? A. No. Q. Let's take a look at the other one. Which dealership does this e-mail pertain to? A. Eagle. Q. Where is Eagle?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	us a long time, also a good performing exclusive dealership.  Q. Okay. And all those reasons you just said are valid reasons, right?  A. I believe so, yes.  Q. But that's not what this e-mail says, right? It says "It's not a performance issue."  A. Well, yeah  Q. Right?  A I mean, that's what this e-mail says.  Q. All right. Now, I believe in your declaration you said that fifty percent of the dealer network accounts for ninety percent of sales?  A. I believe that's correct, yes.  Q. Right? Yet many of the dealers in that fifty percent that produce ninety percent of the sales were rejected? Right?  A. Could be.  Q. And correspondingly, the other fifty percent that only produce ten percent of the sales, many of them were assumed, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. All right. So without going into the details of this case, Mr. Grady, you would agree that the decision to reject a dealer should only be on the merits?</li> <li>A. Yeah, like this one was.</li> <li>Q. This one was on the merits? Is it one of the criteria that a belligerent combative dealer should not stay with the dealer network?</li> <li>A. Well, I can't speak to what was here, but I can tell you that the decision to reject was based on the fact that Mr.</li> <li>Tarbox in Rhode Island is a Jeep only dealer with a high performing Chrysler Dodge dealer facing him.</li> <li>Q. So wouldn't you expect an e-mail about whether he should stay or go to reflect exactly what you just said instead of that he's a belligerent combative dealer?</li> <li>A. I would expect that.</li> <li>Q. But that's not what this says, does it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Let's take a look at the other one. Which dealership does this e-mail pertain to?</li> <li>A. Eagle.</li> <li>Q. Where is Eagle?</li> <li>A. On Long Island.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	us a long time, also a good performing exclusive dealership.  Q. Okay. And all those reasons you just said are valid reasons, right?  A. I believe so, yes.  Q. But that's not what this e-mail says, right? It says "It's not a performance issue."  A. Well, yeah  Q. Right?  A I mean, that's what this e-mail says.  Q. All right. Now, I believe in your declaration you said that fifty percent of the dealer network accounts for ninety percent of sales?  A. I believe that's correct, yes.  Q. Right? Yet many of the dealers in that fifty percent that produce ninety percent of the sales were rejected? Right?  A. Could be.  Q. And correspondingly, the other fifty percent that only produce ten percent of the sales, many of them were assumed, right?  A. Could be. I mean, without looking at the detail. I mean, I get the math.  Q. We looked at the detail pretty extensively the other day,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. All right. So without going into the details of this case, Mr. Grady, you would agree that the decision to reject a dealer should only be on the merits?</li> <li>A. Yeah, like this one was.</li> <li>Q. This one was on the merits? Is it one of the criteria that a belligerent combative dealer should not stay with the dealer network?</li> <li>A. Well, I can't speak to what was here, but I can tell you that the decision to reject was based on the fact that Mr.</li> <li>Tarbox in Rhode Island is a Jeep only dealer with a high performing Chrysler Dodge dealer facing him.</li> <li>Q. So wouldn't you expect an e-mail about whether he should stay or go to reflect exactly what you just said instead of that he's a belligerent combative dealer?</li> <li>A. I would expect that.</li> <li>Q. But that's not what this says, does it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Let's take a look at the other one. Which dealership does this e-mail pertain to?</li> <li>A. Eagle.</li> <li>Q. Where is Eagle?</li> <li>A. On Long Island.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what's the date of this e-mail?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	us a long time, also a good performing exclusive dealership.  Q. Okay. And all those reasons you just said are valid reasons, right?  A. I believe so, yes.  Q. But that's not what this e-mail says, right? It says  "It's not a performance issue."  A. Well, yeah  Q. Right?  A I mean, that's what this e-mail says.  Q. All right. Now, I believe in your declaration you said that fifty percent of the dealer network accounts for ninety percent of sales?  A. I believe that's correct, yes.  Q. Right? Yet many of the dealers in that fifty percent that produce ninety percent of the sales were rejected? Right?  A. Could be.  Q. And correspondingly, the other fifty percent that only produce ten percent of the sales, many of them were assumed, right?  A. Could be. I mean, without looking at the detail. I mean, I get the math.  Q. We looked at the detail pretty extensively the other day, didn't we?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. All right. So without going into the details of this case, Mr. Grady, you would agree that the decision to reject a dealer should only be on the merits?</li> <li>A. Yeah, like this one was.</li> <li>Q. This one was on the merits? Is it one of the criteria that a belligerent combative dealer should not stay with the dealer network?</li> <li>A. Well, I can't speak to what was here, but I can tell you that the decision to reject was based on the fact that Mr.</li> <li>Tarbox in Rhode Island is a Jeep only dealer with a high performing Chrysler Dodge dealer facing him.</li> <li>Q. So wouldn't you expect an e-mail about whether he should stay or go to reflect exactly what you just said instead of that he's a belligerent combative dealer?</li> <li>A. I would expect that.</li> <li>Q. But that's not what this says, does it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Let's take a look at the other one. Which dealership does this e-mail pertain to?</li> <li>A. Eagle.</li> <li>Q. Where is Eagle?</li> <li>A. On Long Island.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	us a long time, also a good performing exclusive dealership.  Q. Okay. And all those reasons you just said are valid reasons, right?  A. I believe so, yes.  Q. But that's not what this e-mail says, right? It says "It's not a performance issue."  A. Well, yeah  Q. Right?  A I mean, that's what this e-mail says.  Q. All right. Now, I believe in your declaration you said that fifty percent of the dealer network accounts for ninety percent of sales?  A. I believe that's correct, yes.  Q. Right? Yet many of the dealers in that fifty percent that produce ninety percent of the sales were rejected? Right?  A. Could be.  Q. And correspondingly, the other fifty percent that only produce ten percent of the sales, many of them were assumed, right?  A. Could be. I mean, without looking at the detail. I mean, I get the math.  Q. We looked at the detail pretty extensively the other day,

1	Q. Five hours, six hours?	1	abandoning the market, we're merely putting the lines all
2	A. Seven.	2	together into one dealership facility.
3	Q. Seven?	3	Q. Mr. Grady, many of these 800 approximately 800 dealers
4	A. But who's counting?	4	are going to go out of business, right?
5	THE COURT: I am. Are you almost concluded?	5	A. I don't agree with that.
6	MR. BELLAVIA: I have just one more area, Judge. Two		Q. No?
7	minutes.	7	A. Almost half of them are dualed with competitive lines
8	THE COURT: Area?	8	right now. So their business will continue on.
9	MR. BELLAVIA: Well, two minutes. I promise.	9	Q. And
10	THE COURT: Okay.	10	A. Over eighty percent of them do far more used cars than new
11	Q. If you'd look at page 12 of your declaration? I'm sorry,	11	cars. So I would assume that they'll continue doing the used
12	the amended declaration. I believe you have it in front of	12	car business.
13	you?	13	Q. You'll assume they'll continue doing used cars?
14	A. I don't have it any longer.	14	A. Yep.
15	Q. All right.	15	Q. Don't you need a floor plan to do used cars?
16	A. I have it. Page 12?	16	A. Possibly, yeah.
17	Q. Please? Of the second declaration, okay? It's paragraph	17	Q. Well, do you know any of the 800 dealers who are being
18	30.	18	welcomed by their floor plan lenders anymore?
19	A. Yes.	19	A. Well, I mean, it's right now with Chrysler financial
20	Q. And just before we talk about the sentence I'm going to	20	exiting the market. That opens up an opportunity for other
21	call your attention to. Do you recall in your declaration you	21	lenders to step in. I mean, it's a credit decision that a
22	indicated that Chrysler felt it was urgent to let the dealers	22	lender, a bank or someone else would have to take on.
23	know where they stood, both the assumed dealers and the	23	Q. Mr. Grady, in your declaration, aren't you saying that
24	rejected dealers? Right?	24	your competition is seeking to seize upon these turnkey
25	A. Correct.	25	facilities that will soon be ready in the event these
	530		532
1	Q. And the reason for that was you didn't want the assumed	1	dealerships close? Isn't that the import of what you wrote
2	dealers not to continue doing business as usual and investing	2	here?
3	in their business, right?	3	A. The import of what I wrote is the fact they're approaching
4	A. Yeah. There's quite a bit of value in certainty.	4	all of them, whether it's the rejected or the assumed dealers.
5	Q. So because if dealers were kind of unaware of where they	5	Q. So the natural offshoot of that might necessarily be that
6	stood, they might precipitously do things against the interests	6	not only are you closing these dealerships and potentially
7	of Chrysler?	7	losing these customers, but you're teeing up the facilities so
8	A. That's correct.	8	the competition can open them up, right?
9	Q. And in paragraph 30 you said, in connection with that	9	A. In some of the cases, that would be true.
10	concern, "The best" this is from your declaration, right	10	O. Okay. Wouldn't that have a negative impact on your
11	"The best dealers with the best facilities and locations are	11	forecast that you're going to recapture one hundred percent of
12			
	being approached regularly by other OEMs. What does OEM mean	/: 12	the market share in twelve months. That works against it.
13	being approached regularly by other OEMs." What does OEM mean A. That's a manufacturer.	13	the market share in twelve months. That works against it, doesn't it?
13	A. That's a manufacturer.	13	doesn't it?
	A. That's a manufacturer.     Q. All right. So you testified the other day that import		doesn't it?  A. No, because we're not abandoning these markets as you were
13 14	A. That's a manufacturer.     Q. All right. So you testified the other day that import manufacturers, upon hearing of the news that dealers were going	13 14	doesn't it?  A. No, because we're not abandoning these markets as you wer asserting. We're not abandoning the markets, we're
13 14 15	A. That's a manufacturer.     Q. All right. So you testified the other day that import	13 14 15	doesn't it?  A. No, because we're not abandoning these markets as you were asserting. We're not abandoning the markets, we're consolidating the lines.
13 14 15 16	A. That's a manufacturer.  Q. All right. So you testified the other day that import manufacturers, upon hearing of the news that dealers were going to be rejected, before they were even rejected, were reaching	13 14 15 16	doesn't it?  A. No, because we're not abandoning these markets as you were asserting. We're not abandoning the markets, we're consolidating the lines.  Q. Well, if you close a dealership in a rural market, which
13 14 15 16 17	A. That's a manufacturer.  Q. All right. So you testified the other day that import manufacturers, upon hearing of the news that dealers were going to be rejected, before they were even rejected, were reaching out to Chrysler dealers to try and take over their facilities?  A. That's correct.	13 14 15 16 17	doesn't it?  A. No, because we're not abandoning these markets as you were asserting. We're not abandoning the markets, we're consolidating the lines.  Q. Well, if you close a dealership in a rural market, which is happening in many cases, right?
13 14 15 16 17 18	A. That's a manufacturer.  Q. All right. So you testified the other day that import manufacturers, upon hearing of the news that dealers were going to be rejected, before they were even rejected, were reaching out to Chrysler dealers to try and take over their facilities?	13 14 15 16 17	doesn't it?  A. No, because we're not abandoning these markets as you wer asserting. We're not abandoning the markets, we're consolidating the lines.  Q. Well, if you close a dealership in a rural market, which is happening in many cases, right?  A. Yes.
13 14 15 16 17 18	A. That's a manufacturer.  Q. All right. So you testified the other day that import manufacturers, upon hearing of the news that dealers were going to be rejected, before they were even rejected, were reaching out to Chrysler dealers to try and take over their facilities?  A. That's correct.  Q. So the markets that you are proposing potentially to abandon in the rejection process, your competition was already	13 14 15 16 17 18	doesn't it?  A. No, because we're not abandoning these markets as you wer asserting. We're not abandoning the markets, we're consolidating the lines.  Q. Well, if you close a dealership in a rural market, which is happening in many cases, right?  A. Yes.  Q. Those customers have to drive, in these rural communities,
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. That's a manufacturer.  Q. All right. So you testified the other day that import manufacturers, upon hearing of the news that dealers were going to be rejected, before they were even rejected, were reaching out to Chrysler dealers to try and take over their facilities?  A. That's correct.  Q. So the markets that you are proposing potentially to abandon in the rejection process, your competition was already attempting to cannibalize these abandoned markets, right?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	doesn't it?  A. No, because we're not abandoning these markets as you wer asserting. We're not abandoning the markets, we're consolidating the lines.  Q. Well, if you close a dealership in a rural market, which is happening in many cases, right?  A. Yes.  Q. Those customers have to drive, in these rural communities, a very long distance to get to the next Chrysler dealer, right?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. That's a manufacturer.  Q. All right. So you testified the other day that import manufacturers, upon hearing of the news that dealers were going to be rejected, before they were even rejected, were reaching out to Chrysler dealers to try and take over their facilities?  A. That's correct.  Q. So the markets that you are proposing potentially to abandon in the rejection process, your competition was already attempting to cannibalize these abandoned markets, right?  A. We're not abandoning the markets.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	doesn't it?  A. No, because we're not abandoning these markets as you wer asserting. We're not abandoning the markets, we're consolidating the lines.  Q. Well, if you close a dealership in a rural market, which is happening in many cases, right?  A. Yes.  Q. Those customers have to drive, in these rural communities, a very long distance to get to the next Chrysler dealer, right?  A. Right.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. That's a manufacturer.  Q. All right. So you testified the other day that import manufacturers, upon hearing of the news that dealers were going to be rejected, before they were even rejected, were reaching out to Chrysler dealers to try and take over their facilities?  A. That's correct.  Q. So the markets that you are proposing potentially to abandon in the rejection process, your competition was already attempting to cannibalize these abandoned markets, right?  A. We're not abandoning the markets.  Q. Well, some markets you are, right?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	doesn't it?  A. No, because we're not abandoning these markets as you were asserting. We're not abandoning the markets, we're consolidating the lines.  Q. Well, if you close a dealership in a rural market, which is happening in many cases, right?  A. Yes.  Q. Those customers have to drive, in these rural communities, a very long distance to get to the next Chrysler dealer, right?  A. Right.  Q. So you can't assure anyone that those customers are going
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. That's a manufacturer.  Q. All right. So you testified the other day that import manufacturers, upon hearing of the news that dealers were going to be rejected, before they were even rejected, were reaching out to Chrysler dealers to try and take over their facilities?  A. That's correct.  Q. So the markets that you are proposing potentially to abandon in the rejection process, your competition was already attempting to cannibalize these abandoned markets, right?  A. We're not abandoning the markets.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	doesn't it?  A. No, because we're not abandoning these markets as you were asserting. We're not abandoning the markets, we're consolidating the lines.  Q. Well, if you close a dealership in a rural market, which is happening in many cases, right?  A. Yes.  Q. Those customers have to drive, in these rural communities, a very long distance to get to the next Chrysler dealer, right?  A. Right.

1	dealer will provide them the convenience that they desire.	1	Q. And are they being rejected also?
2	Q. Well	2	A. No, they're not.
3	A. And convenience is not just drive time. Convenience is	3	Q. Are those dealers handling only one line such as Jeep, or
4	the ability to get the service the car serviced properly, to	4	do any of them handle more than one line of vehicles?
5	get it serviced on time, and provide some of the other	5	A. No, they don't.
6	conveniences.	6	Q. Do you have any information as to the request made to
7	Q. But you can't guarantee that a hundred percent, as you	7	Crane C.D.J. to erect a new facility in Little Rock, Arkansas?
8	said earlier, especially in those rural areas, are going to	8	A. I'm not aware of that. I would need more information.
9	stay loyal to Chrysler. Especially if those customers have to	9	Q. Was that put in your chart as one of the items that might
10	drive more than a half an hour to the next dealership, right?	10	be considered in rejecting them?
11	A. Well, but you're also ignoring the fact that we would hope	11 12	A. That we've had discussions with the dealer about possibly
12 13	that a stronger dealer network would be able to conquest new	13	building a facility?  Q. I am so advised that my client has already committed
14	customers from the other brands.  Q. Mr. Grady, it's a risk isn't it? We could debate this all	14	100,000 dollars at the end of last year in an effort to have a
15	night. It's a risk, right?	15	new facility.
16	A. We can debate it. It is a risk.	16	A. I'm not aware of that commitment, so this is different
17	Q. And this is not the economy to take those risks, right?	17	information. It would have been part of the discussion out of
18	A. I don't agree.	18	the recommendation from the southwest business center.
19	Q. But you're doing this as a courtesy to the buyer?	19	Q. Shouldn't the southwest business center advise you so you
20	MR. BELLAVIA: I have no further questions, Your	20	can make an intelligent business decision?
21	Honor.	21	A. Yeah, that was part of the discussion was they made a
22	THE COURT: Thank you. Any further cross? All	22	recommendation and then we would have the discussion over time
23	right, come forward, please.	23	about each one of these markets.
24	MR. SUNSHINE: I'll repeat, I'm Donald M. Sunshine.	24	Q. Now, who in the southwest business center made the
25	I'm co-counsel with the Davidson law firm to a Little Rock,	25	recommendation?
	534		536
1	Arkansas dealership. The name of that dealership is Crane	1	A. That comes from our business center manager.
2	C.D.J. LLC.	2	Q. Who is he?
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION	3	A. Todd Stewart.
4	BY MR. SUNSHINE:	4	Q. Bob Stewart?
5	Q. Are you familiar with that dealership?	5	A. Todd.
6	A. No, I'm not.	6	Q. Todd. How do you rate the performance of Crane C.D.J.
7	Q. Is that dealership in your charts, the charts that you	7	LLC?
8	produced earlier that I can't see right now?	8	A. Poor.
9	A. If that dealership is an active dealership, it's in the	9	Q. Why?
10	charts.	10	A. Fifty-three percent of the minimum sales responsibility,
11	Q. It's in the charts.	11	so forty-seven points below the average index and the
12	MR. SUNSHINE: Can I ask somebody to give the witness		requirement of the dealer agreement.
13	those charts so he can locate the line and items in regard	13 14	Q. Have you considered the relationship that this dealer, in
14 15	THE COURT: He has it in front of him.  MR. SUNSHINE: to my client?	15	fact it would also apply to others, has with customers, which is based upon long-term friendship and service and customer
16	THE WITNESS: If you can help me and tell me, in	16	relationships in regard to future sales by any dealership,
17	Little Rock, Arkansas? That's where they're located?	17	including this one?
18	Q. That's right. Crane C.D.J. LLC, Little Rock, Arkansas.	18	A. Well, our business center would consider all of those
19	A. Okay, I see them.	19	customer satisfaction issues in the marketplace.
20	Q. All right. Now, before you go into the lines, is Crane	20	Q. Have you considered another dealer in the area to take
21	C.D.J. LLC, the only Chrysler Jeep Dodge dealer in Little Rock?		over the business of Crane C.D.J. LLC?
22	A. No.	22	A. You know, again, I can't answer that. It says that we
23	Q. And who are the other dealers?	23	were going to replace with a third party. So no one individual
24	A. Frank Fletcher Dodge, Chrysler Jeep and Landers Chrysler,	24	is identified on these charts other than we think we stand a
25		25	
25	Dodge Jeep. And they're all in the Little Rock sales locality.		better chance with another dealer in that marketplace.

1	Q. I believe that you stated that it was important that the	1	million dollars.
2	dealership have all three brands, and yet you're remaining with	2	Q. Mr. Grady, are these the only factors you used in making
3	other dealers that we just read off your charts, who only carry	3	decisions regarding assumption and rejection?
4	one brand. Do you think that the fact that there's competitors	4	A. No.
5	in a specific brand near my client have affected his ability to	5	Q. There are other factors that go into the decisions that
6	sell vehicles?	6	aren't listed on this document. Is that true?
7	A. No, that's part of the minimum sales responsibility	7	A. That's right. As I stated earlier, we have maps that we
8	calculation, where there's a fair share of the responsibility	8	use to reference. But more than anything, we need to
9	throughout the entire metropolitan market.	9	understand how large the market opportunity really is for the
10	Q. Have the other dealers that you mentioned satisfied their	10	dealer network and size our network accordingly.
11	sales responsibility?	11	Q. In your opinion, is it necessary to rationalize the dealer
12	A. Yes. Landers is 317 percent and Frank Fletcher is 101	12	network so that the remaining dealer network can be viable and
13	percent.	13	go forward as a going concern?
14	Q. Are you aware of any conflicts or dispute between the	14	A. Yes
15	management of Crane C.D.J. LLC with you or any other Chrysle	r 15	MS. BROWN: Objection, leading.
16	employee?	16	THE COURT: It is
17	A. None that I'm aware of.	17	MR. ORR: I'll rephrase, Your Honor.
18	MR. SUNSHINE: Thank you, Your Honor.	18	THE COURT: Go ahead.
19	THE COURT: You're welcome. Any redirect.	19	MR. ORR: Your Honor, I'll close. Thank you, Mr.
20	MR. ORR: Yes, briefly, Your Honor.	20	Grady.
21	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	21	THE COURT: Any recross?
22	BY MR. ORR:	22	MR. BELLAVIA: Your Honor, if I may, I neglected to
23	Q. Mr. Grady, did you show the assumption list to Fiat?	23	move those three exhibits into evidence. I'd request that at
24	A. Yes.	24	this time.
25	Q. Did you discuss with them the methodology behind that	25	MR. ORR: Same objection, Your Honor. Same
	538		540
1	list?	1	objection, Your Honor. And we'd request the same requirement
1 2	list? A. Yes.	1 2	objection, Your Honor. And we'd request the same requirement that they be filed under seal. Except for the
2	A. Yes.	2	that they be filed under seal. Except for the
2	A. Yes. Q. Did they agree with it?	2	that they be filed under seal. Except for the THE COURT: Wait a minute. Which three exhibits?
2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Did they agree with it?</li><li>A. Yes, they did.</li></ul>	2 3 4	that they be filed under seal. Except for the THE COURT: Wait a minute. Which three exhibits? We're talking about the e-mails?
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Did they agree with it?</li><li>A. Yes, they did.</li><li>Q. Would they have done this deal without doing the</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	that they be filed under seal. Except for the THE COURT: Wait a minute. Which three exhibits? We're talking about the e-mails? MR. ORR: No.
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Did they agree with it?</li><li>A. Yes, they did.</li><li>Q. Would they have done this deal without doing the assumption and rejection?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	that they be filed under seal. Except for the THE COURT: Wait a minute. Which three exhibits? We're talking about the e-mails? MR. ORR: No. THE COURT: So
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Did they agree with it?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. Would they have done this deal without doing the assumption and rejection?</li> <li>A. My understanding is that they would not have done it.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that they be filed under seal. Except for the THE COURT: Wait a minute. Which three exhibits? We're talking about the e-mails? MR. ORR: No. THE COURT: So MR. BELLAVIA: It's the two e-mails and the J.D.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Did they agree with it?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. Would they have done this deal without doing the assumption and rejection?</li> <li>A. My understanding is that they would not have done it.</li> <li>Q. Much was made during the cross-examination of the J.D.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that they be filed under seal. Except for the THE COURT: Wait a minute. Which three exhibits? We're talking about the e-mails? MR. ORR: No. THE COURT: So MR. BELLAVIA: It's the two e-mails and the J.D. Power survey.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Did they agree with it?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. Would they have done this deal without doing the assumption and rejection?</li> <li>A. My understanding is that they would not have done it.</li> <li>Q. Much was made during the cross-examination of the J.D.</li> <li>Power study. Can you explain the difference between the SSI</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that they be filed under seal. Except for the THE COURT: Wait a minute. Which three exhibits? We're talking about the e-mails? MR. ORR: No. THE COURT: So MR. BELLAVIA: It's the two e-mails and the J.D. Power survey. MR. ORR: Actually, Your Honor, we are talking the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Did they agree with it?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. Would they have done this deal without doing the assumption and rejection?</li> <li>A. My understanding is that they would not have done it.</li> <li>Q. Much was made during the cross-examination of the J.D. Power study. Can you explain the difference between the SSI and the CSI, that is the sales satisfaction index and the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that they be filed under seal. Except for the THE COURT: Wait a minute. Which three exhibits? We're talking about the e-mails? MR. ORR: No. THE COURT: So MR. BELLAVIA: It's the two e-mails and the J.D. Power survey. MR. ORR: Actually, Your Honor, we are talking the e-mails, not the J.D. Power survey.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Did they agree with it?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. Would they have done this deal without doing the assumption and rejection?</li> <li>A. My understanding is that they would not have done it.</li> <li>Q. Much was made during the cross-examination of the J.D.</li> <li>Power study. Can you explain the difference between the SSI and the CSI, that is the sales satisfaction index and the consumer satisfaction index?</li> <li>A. Yeah. The sales satisfaction index is J.D. Power does a survey of recent purchasers during a specified period of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE COURT: Wait a minute. Which three exhibits?  We're talking about the e-mails?  MR. ORR: No.  THE COURT: So  MR. BELLAVIA: It's the two e-mails and the J.D.  Power survey.  MR. ORR: Actually, Your Honor, we are talking the e-mails, not the J.D. Power survey.  THE COURT: I'm losing track of what you're saying.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Did they agree with it?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. Would they have done this deal without doing the assumption and rejection?</li> <li>A. My understanding is that they would not have done it.</li> <li>Q. Much was made during the cross-examination of the J.D. Power study. Can you explain the difference between the SSI and the CSI, that is the sales satisfaction index and the consumer satisfaction index?</li> <li>A. Yeah. The sales satisfaction index is J.D. Power does a survey of recent purchasers during a specified period of time. Customer satisfaction, or CSI, is based on the service</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that they be filed under seal. Except for the THE COURT: Wait a minute. Which three exhibits? We're talking about the e-mails? MR. ORR: No. THE COURT: So MR. BELLAVIA: It's the two e-mails and the J.D. Power survey. MR. ORR: Actually, Your Honor, we are talking the e-mails, not the J.D. Power survey. THE COURT: I'm losing track of what you're saying. You're talking about you want the e-mails under seal?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Did they agree with it?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. Would they have done this deal without doing the assumption and rejection?</li> <li>A. My understanding is that they would not have done it.</li> <li>Q. Much was made during the cross-examination of the J.D.</li> <li>Power study. Can you explain the difference between the SSI and the CSI, that is the sales satisfaction index and the consumer satisfaction index?</li> <li>A. Yeah. The sales satisfaction index is J.D. Power does a survey of recent purchasers during a specified period of time. Customer satisfaction, or CSI, is based on the service experience. And they do that over an extended period of time</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that they be filed under seal. Except for the THE COURT: Wait a minute. Which three exhibits?  We're talking about the e-mails? MR. ORR: No. THE COURT: So MR. BELLAVIA: It's the two e-mails and the J.D.  Power survey. MR. ORR: Actually, Your Honor, we are talking the e-mails, not the J.D. Power survey. THE COURT: I'm losing track of what you're saying.  You're talking about you want the e-mails under seal? MR. ORR: Yes, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Did they agree with it?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. Would they have done this deal without doing the assumption and rejection?</li> <li>A. My understanding is that they would not have done it.</li> <li>Q. Much was made during the cross-examination of the J.D. Power study. Can you explain the difference between the SSI and the CSI, that is the sales satisfaction index and the consumer satisfaction index?</li> <li>A. Yeah. The sales satisfaction index is J.D. Power does a survey of recent purchasers during a specified period of time. Customer satisfaction, or CSI, is based on the service</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that they be filed under seal. Except for the THE COURT: Wait a minute. Which three exhibits?  We're talking about the e-mails? MR. ORR: No. THE COURT: So MR. BELLAVIA: It's the two e-mails and the J.D.  Power survey. MR. ORR: Actually, Your Honor, we are talking the e-mails, not the J.D. Power survey. THE COURT: I'm losing track of what you're saying.  You're talking about you want the e-mails under seal? MR. ORR: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: But they've been publicly MR. ORR: They were produced pursuant to an agreement for professionals' eyes only. To the extent they contain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Did they agree with it?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. Would they have done this deal without doing the assumption and rejection?</li> <li>A. My understanding is that they would not have done it.</li> <li>Q. Much was made during the cross-examination of the J.D. Power study. Can you explain the difference between the SSI and the CSI, that is the sales satisfaction index and the consumer satisfaction index?</li> <li>A. Yeah. The sales satisfaction index is J.D. Power does a survey of recent purchasers during a specified period of time. Customer satisfaction, or CSI, is based on the service experience. And they do that over an extended period of time within so many months of and years of ownership.</li> <li>Q. How much would it have cost to fully implement Project</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that they be filed under seal. Except for the THE COURT: Wait a minute. Which three exhibits?  We're talking about the e-mails? MR. ORR: No. THE COURT: So MR. BELLAVIA: It's the two e-mails and the J.D.  Power survey. MR. ORR: Actually, Your Honor, we are talking the e-mails, not the J.D. Power survey. THE COURT: I'm losing track of what you're saying.  You're talking about you want the e-mails under seal? MR. ORR: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: But they've been publicly MR. ORR: They were produced pursuant to an agreement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. Did they agree with it? A. Yes, they did. Q. Would they have done this deal without doing the assumption and rejection? A. My understanding is that they would not have done it. Q. Much was made during the cross-examination of the J.D. Power study. Can you explain the difference between the SSI and the CSI, that is the sales satisfaction index and the consumer satisfaction index? A. Yeah. The sales satisfaction index is J.D. Power does a survey of recent purchasers during a specified period of time. Customer satisfaction, or CSI, is based on the service experience. And they do that over an extended period of time within so many months of and years of ownership. Q. How much would it have cost to fully implement Project Genesis?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that they be filed under seal. Except for the THE COURT: Wait a minute. Which three exhibits?  We're talking about the e-mails? MR. ORR: No. THE COURT: So MR. BELLAVIA: It's the two e-mails and the J.D.  Power survey. MR. ORR: Actually, Your Honor, we are talking the e-mails, not the J.D. Power survey. THE COURT: I'm losing track of what you're saying.  You're talking about you want the e-mails under seal? MR. ORR: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: But they've been publicly MR. ORR: They were produced pursuant to an agreement for professionals' eyes only. To the extent they contain information regarding dealers, Your Honor, that's all we're trying to protect.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. Did they agree with it? A. Yes, they did. Q. Would they have done this deal without doing the assumption and rejection? A. My understanding is that they would not have done it. Q. Much was made during the cross-examination of the J.D. Power study. Can you explain the difference between the SSI and the CSI, that is the sales satisfaction index and the consumer satisfaction index? A. Yeah. The sales satisfaction index is J.D. Power does a survey of recent purchasers during a specified period of time. Customer satisfaction, or CSI, is based on the service experience. And they do that over an extended period of time within so many months of and years of ownership. Q. How much would it have cost to fully implement Project Genesis? A. We're anticipating that it would probably cost in excess	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that they be filed under seal. Except for the THE COURT: Wait a minute. Which three exhibits?  We're talking about the e-mails? MR. ORR: No. THE COURT: So MR. BELLAVIA: It's the two e-mails and the J.D.  Power survey. MR. ORR: Actually, Your Honor, we are talking the e-mails, not the J.D. Power survey. THE COURT: I'm losing track of what you're saying.  You're talking about you want the e-mails under seal? MR. ORR: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: But they've been publicly MR. ORR: They were produced pursuant to an agreemen for professionals' eyes only. To the extent they contain information regarding dealers, Your Honor, that's all we're trying to protect. THE COURT: All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. Q. Did they agree with it? A. Yes, they did. Q. Would they have done this deal without doing the assumption and rejection? A. My understanding is that they would not have done it. Q. Much was made during the cross-examination of the J.D. Power study. Can you explain the difference between the SSI and the CSI, that is the sales satisfaction index and the consumer satisfaction index? A. Yeah. The sales satisfaction index is J.D. Power does a survey of recent purchasers during a specified period of time. Customer satisfaction, or CSI, is based on the service experience. And they do that over an extended period of time within so many months of and years of ownership. Q. How much would it have cost to fully implement Project Genesis? A. We're anticipating that it would probably cost in excess of 400 million dollars to do it from this day forward.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that they be filed under seal. Except for the THE COURT: Wait a minute. Which three exhibits?  We're talking about the e-mails? MR. ORR: No. THE COURT: So MR. BELLAVIA: It's the two e-mails and the J.D.  Power survey. MR. ORR: Actually, Your Honor, we are talking the e-mails, not the J.D. Power survey. THE COURT: I'm losing track of what you're saying.  You're talking about you want the e-mails under seal? MR. ORR: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: But they've been publicly MR. ORR: They were produced pursuant to an agreement for professionals' eyes only. To the extent they contain information regarding dealers, Your Honor, that's all we're trying to protect. THE COURT: All right. MR. ORR: The J.D. Power document is public.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q. Did they agree with it? A. Yes, they did. Q. Would they have done this deal without doing the assumption and rejection? A. My understanding is that they would not have done it. Q. Much was made during the cross-examination of the J.D. Power study. Can you explain the difference between the SSI and the CSI, that is the sales satisfaction index and the consumer satisfaction index? A. Yeah. The sales satisfaction index is J.D. Power does a survey of recent purchasers during a specified period of time. Customer satisfaction, or CSI, is based on the service experience. And they do that over an extended period of time within so many months of and years of ownership. Q. How much would it have cost to fully implement Project Genesis? A. We're anticipating that it would probably cost in excess of 400 million dollars to do it from this day forward. Q. That is outside of bankruptcy?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that they be filed under seal. Except for the THE COURT: Wait a minute. Which three exhibits?  We're talking about the e-mails? MR. ORR: No. THE COURT: So MR. BELLAVIA: It's the two e-mails and the J.D.  Power survey. MR. ORR: Actually, Your Honor, we are talking the e-mails, not the J.D. Power survey. THE COURT: I'm losing track of what you're saying.  You're talking about you want the e-mails under seal? MR. ORR: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: But they've been publicly MR. ORR: They were produced pursuant to an agreement for professionals' eyes only. To the extent they contain information regarding dealers, Your Honor, that's all we're trying to protect. THE COURT: All right. MR. ORR: The J.D. Power document is public. MR. BELLAVIA: That's fine with me, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. Did they agree with it? A. Yes, they did. Q. Would they have done this deal without doing the assumption and rejection? A. My understanding is that they would not have done it. Q. Much was made during the cross-examination of the J.D. Power study. Can you explain the difference between the SSI and the CSI, that is the sales satisfaction index and the consumer satisfaction index? A. Yeah. The sales satisfaction index is J.D. Power does a survey of recent purchasers during a specified period of time. Customer satisfaction, or CSI, is based on the service experience. And they do that over an extended period of time within so many months of and years of ownership. Q. How much would it have cost to fully implement Project Genesis? A. We're anticipating that it would probably cost in excess of 400 million dollars to do it from this day forward. Q. That is outside of bankruptcy? A. That's outside of bankruptcy.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that they be filed under seal. Except for the THE COURT: Wait a minute. Which three exhibits?  We're talking about the e-mails? MR. ORR: No. THE COURT: So MR. BELLAVIA: It's the two e-mails and the J.D.  Power survey. MR. ORR: Actually, Your Honor, we are talking the e-mails, not the J.D. Power survey. THE COURT: I'm losing track of what you're saying.  You're talking about you want the e-mails under seal? MR. ORR: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: But they've been publicly MR. ORR: They were produced pursuant to an agreement for professionals' eyes only. To the extent they contain information regarding dealers, Your Honor, that's all we're trying to protect. THE COURT: All right. MR. ORR: The J.D. Power document is public. MR. BELLAVIA: That's fine with me, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lerner?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Did they agree with it?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. Would they have done this deal without doing the assumption and rejection?</li> <li>A. My understanding is that they would not have done it.</li> <li>Q. Much was made during the cross-examination of the J.D.</li> <li>Power study. Can you explain the difference between the SSI and the CSI, that is the sales satisfaction index and the consumer satisfaction index?</li> <li>A. Yeah. The sales satisfaction index is J.D. Power does a survey of recent purchasers during a specified period of time. Customer satisfaction, or CSI, is based on the service experience. And they do that over an extended period of time within so many months of and years of ownership.</li> <li>Q. How much would it have cost to fully implement Project Genesis?</li> <li>A. We're anticipating that it would probably cost in excess of 400 million dollars to do it from this day forward.</li> <li>Q. That is outside of bankruptcy?</li> <li>A. That's outside of bankruptcy.</li> <li>Q. And that is 400 million dollars you would not have to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that they be filed under seal. Except for the THE COURT: Wait a minute. Which three exhibits?  We're talking about the e-mails? MR. ORR: No. THE COURT: So MR. BELLAVIA: It's the two e-mails and the J.D.  Power survey. MR. ORR: Actually, Your Honor, we are talking the e-mails, not the J.D. Power survey. THE COURT: I'm losing track of what you're saying.  You're talking about you want the e-mails under seal? MR. ORR: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: But they've been publicly MR. ORR: They were produced pursuant to an agreemen for professionals' eyes only. To the extent they contain information regarding dealers, Your Honor, that's all we're trying to protect. THE COURT: All right. MR. ORR: The J.D. Power document is public. MR. BELLAVIA: That's fine with me, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lerner?  (Affected Dealers' Committee's exhibit, two e-mails, were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Did they agree with it?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. Would they have done this deal without doing the assumption and rejection?</li> <li>A. My understanding is that they would not have done it.</li> <li>Q. Much was made during the cross-examination of the J.D.</li> <li>Power study. Can you explain the difference between the SSI and the CSI, that is the sales satisfaction index and the consumer satisfaction index?</li> <li>A. Yeah. The sales satisfaction index is J.D. Power does a survey of recent purchasers during a specified period of time. Customer satisfaction, or CSI, is based on the service experience. And they do that over an extended period of time within so many months of and years of ownership.</li> <li>Q. How much would it have cost to fully implement Project Genesis?</li> <li>A. We're anticipating that it would probably cost in excess of 400 million dollars to do it from this day forward.</li> <li>Q. That is outside of bankruptcy?</li> <li>A. That's outside of bankruptcy.</li> <li>Q. And that is 400 million dollars you would not have to incur inside of bankruptcy?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that they be filed under seal. Except for the THE COURT: Wait a minute. Which three exhibits?  We're talking about the e-mails? MR. ORR: No. THE COURT: So MR. BELLAVIA: It's the two e-mails and the J.D.  Power survey. MR. ORR: Actually, Your Honor, we are talking the e-mails, not the J.D. Power survey. THE COURT: I'm losing track of what you're saying.  You're talking about you want the e-mails under seal? MR. ORR: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: But they've been publicly MR. ORR: They were produced pursuant to an agreemen for professionals' eyes only. To the extent they contain information regarding dealers, Your Honor, that's all we're trying to protect. THE COURT: All right. MR. ORR: The J.D. Power document is public. MR. BELLAVIA: That's fine with me, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lerner?  (Affected Dealers' Committee's exhibit, two e-mails, were hereby received into evidence under seal as of this date.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Did they agree with it?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. Would they have done this deal without doing the assumption and rejection?</li> <li>A. My understanding is that they would not have done it.</li> <li>Q. Much was made during the cross-examination of the J.D.</li> <li>Power study. Can you explain the difference between the SSI and the CSI, that is the sales satisfaction index and the consumer satisfaction index?</li> <li>A. Yeah. The sales satisfaction index is J.D. Power does a survey of recent purchasers during a specified period of time. Customer satisfaction, or CSI, is based on the service experience. And they do that over an extended period of time within so many months of and years of ownership.</li> <li>Q. How much would it have cost to fully implement Project Genesis?</li> <li>A. We're anticipating that it would probably cost in excess of 400 million dollars to do it from this day forward.</li> <li>Q. That is outside of bankruptcy?</li> <li>A. That's outside of bankruptcy.</li> <li>Q. And that is 400 million dollars you would not have to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that they be filed under seal. Except for the THE COURT: Wait a minute. Which three exhibits?  We're talking about the e-mails? MR. ORR: No. THE COURT: So MR. BELLAVIA: It's the two e-mails and the J.D.  Power survey. MR. ORR: Actually, Your Honor, we are talking the e-mails, not the J.D. Power survey. THE COURT: I'm losing track of what you're saying.  You're talking about you want the e-mails under seal? MR. ORR: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: But they've been publicly MR. ORR: They were produced pursuant to an agreemen for professionals' eyes only. To the extent they contain information regarding dealers, Your Honor, that's all we're trying to protect. THE COURT: All right. MR. ORR: The J.D. Power document is public. MR. BELLAVIA: That's fine with me, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lerner?  (Affected Dealers' Committee's exhibit, two e-mails, were

1	hereby received into evidence as of this date.)	1				
2	MR. LERNER: No further cross.	2	IN	DEX		
3	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, you may step down.	3				
4	We'll begin again at 9:00 tomorrow. And I assume we'll be	4	TES	TIMONY		
5	dealing with Mr. Lerner I think we'll begin with probably	5	WITNESS	EXAM BY	PAG	E LINE
6	straighten out the deposition and then turn to your witnesses?	6	James Chapman	Mr. Stewart	28	15
7	MR. LERNER: Well, we're happy to	7	James Chapman	Mr. Kurtz	59	21
8	THE COURT: Either that way or Mr. Lauria was going	8	James Chapman	Mr. Mayer	90	2
9	to go forward with	9	James Chapman	Mr. Barkasy	92	10
10	MR. LERNER: We'll talk to Mr. Lauria. We had	10	James Chapman	Mr. Seabolt	93	3
11	actually asked him, for the convenience of the dealer witnesses	11	James Chapman	Mr. Stewart	93	22
12	who have been here for many days, if we could actually go out	12	James Chapman	Mr. Kurtz	108	4
13	of order with our dealer witnesses. I don't know if the debtor	13	David Curson	Mr. Bromley	119	14
14	has any issue with that. But I also heard Mr. Lauria say that	14	David Curson	Mr. Zakia	144	12
15	his cross was only about forty-five minutes. If we can get the	15	David Curson	Mr. Lerner	162	13
16	dealer witnesses on in the morning, as early as possible	16	David Curson	Ms. Ball	169 2	3
17	THE COURT: Oh, when I began, I was just talking	17	David Curson	Mr. Bromley	172	16
18	about the procedures of what they were going to do with the	18	Robert Nardelli	Mr. Armstrong	174	14
19	deposition, then expecting to turn to you, and then Mr. Lauria	19	Robert Nardelli	Mr. Lauria		20
20	last with Mr. Kolka.	20	Robert Nardelli	Ms. Brown	358	12
21	MR. LERNER: Okay.	21	Robert Nardelli	Mr. McRory	388	7
22	THE COURT: But you can make whatever arrangements	l .	Robert Nardelli	Mr. Snyder		14
23	MR. LERNER: We'll work out the order, Your Honor.	23	Robert Nardelli	Mr. Bressler		23
24	THE COURT: you want with them.	24	Robert Nardelli	Mr. Esserman	407	6
25	MR. LERNER: Thank you.	25	Robert Nardelli	Mr. Neely		9
	542			,		544
_						
1	MR. CULLEN: That was that's what I thought was	1				
2	going to happen. And I'm just trying to arrange Mr. Kolka's	2	IND	E X, cont'd		
3	morning schedule. I told him he was going to come here after	3	<b></b>			
4	those three witnesses, if that's all right. He'll probably be	4		TIMONY	D 4 G	
5	here at 10:00.	5	WITNESS	EXAM BY		E LINE
6	THE COURT: He needs to be at least by 10:00.	6	Robert Nardelli	Mr. Bienenstock		7
7	MR. CULLEN: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.	7	Peter Grady	Mr. Orr	442 12	
8	(Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 11:42 p.m.	l	Peter Grady	Ms. Brown		24
9		9	Peter Grady	Mr. Bellavia		.3
10		10	Peter Grady	Mr. Sunshine	535	/
11		11	Peter Grady	Mr. Orr	539 1	
12		12	T 37	HIDITC		
13		13		HIBITS	77	D EMP
14		14		CRIPTION		D. EVID
15		15 16		, C, D and E within		28 46
16		l .		relating to PBGC set		20
17		17		n of Mr. Chapman		39 54
18		18		on made by Greenhi		54
19 20		19 20		managers of Chrysle		57
21			•	pinion of Greenhill n of Mr. Nardelli		57 5
22		21 22			21.	
23		23		f board meeting date	ou .	216
24			April 30, 20		442	
		24	Declaration	of Mr. Grady	443	
25		1 25				
25	543	25				545

VERITEXT REPORTING COMPANY 212-267-6868 516-608-2400

1		1
	INDEV	
2	I N D E X, cont'd	2 CERTIFICATION
3		3
4	EXHIBITS	4 I, Lisa Bar-Leib, certify that the foregoing transcript is a
5	PENSIONERS' DESCRIPTION ID. EVID.	5 true and accurate record of the proceedings.
6	Document prepared by UAW for 152 154	6
7	distribution to its membership relating	7
8	to CBA entered into with Chrysler dated	8 LISA BAR-LEIB
9	April 2009	9 AAERT Certified Transcriber (CET**D-486)
10		10
11	AFFECTED DESCRIPTION ID. EVID.	11 Veritext LLC
12	DEALERS'	12 200 Old Country Road
13	*2 Spreadsheet on dealership performance 479	13 Suite 580
14	*3 Dealer network review 479	14 Mineola, NY 11501
15	E-mail from Mr. Press to Messrs. 485	15
16	Nardelli and LaSorda	16 Date: June 1, 2009
17	* Two e-mails 542	17
18	J.D. Power survey 542	18
19	•	19
20		20
21		21
22		22
23		23
24		24
25		25
	546	548
1		
2	INDEX, cont'd	
3		
4	RULINGS	
5	DESCRIPTION PAGE LINE	
6	Debtors' motion seeking entry of final order 26 20	
7	re utility companies granted as modified	
8	to damy companies granted as modified	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	547	

VERITEXT REPORTING COMPANY 212-267-6868 516-608-2400

A
AAERT 548:9
abandon 531:20
abandoned 531:21
abandoning 531:22
532:1 533:14,15
<b>abbreviation</b> 40:19
ABELSON 9:18
abide 50:12
abide 30.12 abided 50:7
<b>abiding</b> 307:24
ability 41:10 59:4 61:7
129:8,18 138:25
179:11,21 185:1
188:17 191:8 195:25
208:8,17,17 209:7
296:24 304:22 315:17
329:6 343:5 391:20
423:22 431:23 479:19
522:11 534:4 538:5
able 63:21 113:3 185:21
188:3,12 196:7 200:10
200:11 209:7 211:25
213:7 233:9 273:11,16
273:18 274:8 276:15
280:5,15 290:21
295:13 296:18 301:24
311:14 337:14 344:23
347:12,15 348:9 367:6
373:25 388:22 389:8
399:10 405:21 419:10
444:17 445:16 469:19
475:12 480:22 489:5
489:20 490:24 492:6
494:6,13 500:5 512:20
534:12
abnormal 207:14
<b>absence</b> 49:23 165:16
436:5 521:14
absent 129:18 146:23
165:19,20 167:23
180:17
absolutely 31:19 58:11
65:22 95:5 105:13,21
106:19 145:10 159:14
172:4 205:16 321:14

400:17 415:17 445:9

440.12
449:13 <b>absorb</b> 494:7,8
absorbed 494:9
abuse 115:12
accelerate 368:17 390:5
390:21 398:5,19,22
477:10 496:25 517:7
520:13,14
accelerating 392:5
acceleration 390:23
517:2
accept 82:23 101:21
133:12 150:21 204:19
376:22 513:8
acceptable 129:13 135:4
148:3 222:5 338:1,20 353:9 443:16
accepted 102:21 157:17
312:7 335:14 348:11
415:5,10
accepting 107:13 223:18
341:18,18
access 33:12 39:15
185:23 228:15 358:19
accident 405:8,21,22
406:4
accommodate 491:10
493:8,17
accommodating 177:20
<b>accomplish</b> 63:21 123:15
132:1 142:5,6 144:19
287:7 486:6 495:17
501:12 515:5
accomplished 352:15
519:12
accomplishing 263:2 519:10
account 2:6 352:15
accounts 83:10 104:13
529:12
accuracy 109:23 110:14
110:15
accurate 85:13 152:24
153:9 155:1 156:7
214:13 254:6,12 303:8
427:4 443:2 466:13
548:5

accurately 153:6

```
achieve 62:4 200:11
 364:8.22 365:22 423:5
 500:18 503:16 520:10
achieved 233:19,21
 457:10 476:9 520:8
achievement 457:14
achieving 316:8 367:10
acknowledge 516:4
acknowledged 299:2
 310:14 331:12,13
acquired 41:7
acquiring 67:15
acquisition 29:15 41:14
 179:6 250:3,4 262:5
 329:15,16
acquisitions 175:22
act 280:19 281:1 299:6
 437:8.25
action 202:3 347:17
 415:24 442:24 521:17
 526:8
actions 38:6,7 44:5,5
 187:15 193:25 437:17
 444:13 447:6 456:10
 499:3
active 121:12,21 122:9
 131:4 303:15 535:9
actively 123:14 227:12
actives 121:14 122:16
 137:16,18,25
activity 444:25 526:10
actual 90:25 143:23
 152:19 180:25 266:1
 457:16,17
actuals 325:5
ad 12:3 92:8 401:18
ADAM 5:9
add 223:25 331:4 346:23
 458:9,10 476:8 492:8
 526:5
added 343:25 490:23
 491:1
addendum 155:7 168:22
adding 465:16
addition 31:20 34:22
 47:5 68:19 110:22
 255:23 282:9 302:25
 303:3 323:15 343:7
```

363:11,14 524:13
<b>additional</b> 2:9 26:12
107:3 188:10 190:5
206:13 223:16,24
224:14 301:17 305:15
321:12 322:9 375:5,14
375:23 376:13 435:21
440:24 460:5 469:2,7
469:13,23 472:19
475:18 476:7 494:4
505:24 506:6
address 28:20 135:5
180:22 186:19 289:21
357:2 391:13 428:18
438:21 440:6 483:18
499:2 523:23
<b>addressed</b> 25:18 331:7
addresses 481:9
adds 263:4
adequacy 107:1
adequacy 107.1
adequate 25:25 26:5,12
26:14 60:7 61:11,14
63:14 66:19,21 99:24
104:23 377:13 432:11
Adequately 2:7
adhering 183:14
adjacent 362:3,13
adjusted 181:24 457:21
<b>adjustment</b> 98:16 187:21
administration 122:14
125:1 189:23 190:7
192:18
administrative 441:10
473:24 474:4
administratively 164:4
admissibility 50:4
<b>admissible</b> 49:23 50:10
51:9 74:18,19
<b>admission</b> 52:11 214:17
478:8
<b>admit</b> 76:1 507:25
<b>admitted</b> 39:1 46:7
50:15,16 51:20 52:3,5
52:6,9 54:23 57:3
117:17 154:8 160:9
443:7,10 478:17
ŕ
484:24,25
admitting 478:20

**adopt** 126:12,23,23 138:8 147:12 **advance** 41:4 198:16 272:5 337:24 349:25 advanced 262:10,11 366:19 advancing 126:14 **advantage** 33:13 274:7 advantaged 124:1 **advertising** 325:7 363:2 364:24 508:3.4.5 advice 247:17 297:16 300:3.6 303:18.20.21 303:22 advise 35:1 118:6 230:22 231:7 536:19 advised 84:7 204:3 497:1 536:13 **advising** 288:19 advisor 61:20 62:4,18 88:18 230:20 238:5 288:17.18 296:2 415:4 415:9 **advisors** 33:4 77:23 78:7 213:18 289:3 294:7 477:21 478:11.23 advisory 244:11 advocate 404:11 Advocates 416:1 advocating 406:10 aesthetics 425:14 **affair** 244:5 **affairs** 101:13 524:23 **affect** 42:13 400:16 425:4 **affidavit** 85:19 302:11 439:23 **affiliate** 90:16.22 affiliates 90:16 **affirm** 436:16 443:1 **afford** 158:21 287:12 499:19 afield 331:3 432:24 504:5 **afternoon** 119:5 144:14 162:9.14.15 169:24.25 173:4 174:5,6,15,16 216:21,22 417:5

**Agency** 437:1 agent 12:19 101:6 102:16,19 202:11,21 203:15 204:9,10 211:1 218:15 286:11 aggressive 182:7,25 183:22 184:1 187:15 194:16,16 201:1 341:9 404:1 aggressively 195:23 **ago** 40:15 43:11 48:25 73:24 76:4 83:14 98:5 192:12 216:25 238:3 248:20 261:23 278:8 342:9 398:24 405:19 406:3 480:18 499:8 501:10 **agree** 43:14 46:22 59:7 65:13 83:14,20 88:8 89:5,14 110:21,25 111:1 161:15 170:19 189:9 195:11 207:4 218:6 290:23 292:2,10 296:5,14 297:3,4 301:16 319:3 359:12 361:18 382:16,19 393:17 394:11 416:18 419:13 437:16 444:17 466:11 475:18 488:19 488:20 506:23 516:18 523:1 527:3 532:5 534:18 539:3 **agreeable** 389:5 483:13 **agreed** 25:24 26:8 27:16 43:2 60:23 92:1 110:25 129:23 132:15 142:16 166:10 188:21 205:19 221:24 250:5 299:22 301:18 308:11 327:9 327:11.25 349:17 354:3 383:7 393:17 436:20 437:22 441:21 466:14 470:1,3 472:24 497:2 **agreeing** 129:25 197:23 212:4.5 251:5 378:25 **agreement** 43:18 44:12

44:19 45:5,18 46:1

64:3 122:11,12 125:12 125:22 126:16.18.19.21 127:1,1,6,6,11,15,18,21 127:23 128:6,17 130:18,18 131:9,11,15 131:19,19,20 132:8,10 132:13,14,17,23,25 133:3,3,5,7,12,14 134:24 135:4 137:8,11 137:16,24 138:4,8,12 139:16,25 140:3,3,16 140:19,19,22,23 141:10 141:19.22 142:9.16 143:6,20,24 146:24 147:8,12,16,21,22 148:3,6,15 150:20,21 150:25 151:1,6,15,19 153:20 155:8,12 156:3 156:9 158:3,4,11 161:9 161:21.24 166:8.21 167:8,11,24 168:10,11 168:23 169:2.12 170:18,21,24 172:18 198:22 209:16 211:25 212:2 239:23 240:1 245:20 247:5,7,9,13,21 259:21,23 307:16,24 331:7 340:1,4 366:9 368:24 378:10 384:17 384:18 388:22 389:5 390:15 391:12 394:11 395:21 406:5 410:12 411:22 437:23,23,25 452:17,22 457:12 475:11 477:20 478:12 478:13,23 479:2 480:21 481:6,9,11,12 481:16,19,19,24 483:2 483:4.15 510:24 515:15 537:12 541:15 **agreements** 26:5 103:1 105:5 124:2 125:7,11 125:20 126:1 130:12 130:17,19,23 131:3,13 132:4 135:17 137:20 140:5 141:11.17 144:1 144:21 145:20.24 245:21 249:25 250:2

309:2 349:11 359:6,13 360:5.25 361:20 363:23 364:3.17 365:23 366:16 367:4 367:25 368:8.19 372:20 381:23 384:21 384:22 387:9 389:4 429:3 430:2,3 449:24 450:4 452:1 454:14 459:14 464:19 468:6,8 470:8.11.14.17 473:16 473:21 474:7.18 476:19 477:3 485:1 **agrees** 382:11 agricultural 136:3 **ahead** 29:12 37:4 41:4 49:17 53:3 77:17 92:24 104:5 119:3 138:16 144:9 150:4 151:25 160:17 161:13 164:19 172:14 197:12 214:24 216:8 242:22 243:16 261:16 266:15 283:12 290:2 298:2 342:13 352:11 358:1 362:12 377:21 379:3 410:8 428:10 452:5 453:18 470:22 477:12 483:20 498:7 501:22 504:9.18 512:8,23 523:5,18 524:1 540:18 aim 358:25 359:5 510:14 air 389:6 **airplane** 506:19 **AK** 19:11 **al** 1:8 11:4,19 14:4 18:3 134:6 135:24 **Alan** 119:17 **ALBERT** 4:15 Alfredo 192:5 alive 351:12 **ALLARD** 6:11 allegation 414:18 **allegations** 50:12 522:15 **alleged** 363:13 **alliance** 61:16,20 62:5,20 62:23 63:2,5 190:20 191:14,17 194:14

195:21 196:18 213:5 267:2.3.5 269:19 272:9 295:5,10,25 296:11,12 297:1,2 389:10,12,21 389:23 alliances 193:18 allocated 515:21 **allocation** 334:19,23 376:4.21.24 380:1 381:13 **allocations** 376:22 381:8 **allow** 63:11 106:17 129:25 205:20 211:2 241:6 242:17 273:11 356:9 391:20 453:10 454:8 465:12 allowable 332:15 **allowed** 68:9 106:21 266:10 368:17 454:14 477:19.21 **allows** 337:21 all-in 124:4 **aloud** 248:9 **Alpha** 450:9 **Alpine** 28:21 Altavilla's 496:9 Altering 2:4 alternate 383:3 **alternative** 99:16 107:13 150:22 207:9 271:22 271:24 276:9 282:10 295:7 296:1 316:7,10 337:8 387:11 **alternatives** 58:4 65:19 65:21 237:5 271:21 273:4 296:8,20 305:9 316:11,16 317:6 337:8 344:19 altogether 30:1 **Altos** 346:25 ambitious 490:1 **Amen** 253:3 **amend** 164:9 **amended** 143:19 163:14 163:17 530:12 **amendment** 290:18.19 290:21.23 437:22 American 390:9 401:25

Americans 121:17 **Americas** 5:4 9:12.13 11:20 13:4 16:6 22:13 amount 84:17 92:15 101:1 102:11,22 159:2 159:2,4 171:24 175:19 177:14 178:16 181:5 199:25 206:4 213:17 256:7 259:1 267:25 302:1,3,23 306:2,6 308:2.3 309:10 323:6 365:17 373:16 384:4 396:19 438:25 444:23 444:24 462:4 468:15 468:16 473:17 500:6 521:5 amounts 456:11 471:13 471:19 amplified 331:24 **Amy** 13:16 358:2,6 428:2 449:18 analogize 506:18 **analogy** 506:21 analyses 78:20 79:19 82:10,12,13 analysis 50:20 51:5,7,8 52:7,14,16,23 56:3 75:17 77:1 79:17 81:5 81:9.12.15 82:2.7.15.17 82:19,21 83:3 84:5 92:15,17 95:20 98:3,17 109:22 110:3,7 119:22 189:10 191:9 198:17 199:4,21,25 200:11,22 201:2,4,9 202:7 203:5 203:6,13,13,23 204:5 204:12,17,19 207:25 208:16,24 274:20 341:9 391:25 393:4 399:5.9 401:3 431:14 453:1 454:2,17,23 455:2,11 458:25 470:20 473:15,20,25 474:6.17 475:14 482:4 490:21 492:14 514:20 analysts 231:16 **analytics** 59:9 105:17

**analyze** 58:9 82:7,12,15

analyzed 82:17 and/or 33:4 272:9 316:14 **Angeles** 14:23 17:8 **Anna** 135:22 **announce** 238:4 446:3 announced 135:18 194:12 233:5 238:3 271:7 300:18 383:1 415:7 506:13 announcement 272:3.5.7 announcements 25:3 **annual** 30:11 104:12 176:15 419:23 422:22 425:13 444:23,24 486:24 499:15 **annualized** 457:22,22,23 **answer** 51:12 62:10 65:22 68:10 75:12 76:15 80:16 98:22.22 112:8 120:9 141:13 150:16 172:10 222:6 225:24 226:12,15,16 234:7 242:1 243:8 249:4,21 250:12,13 252:11 254:6 265:13 271:23 273:9 276:21 280:21,22 281:10 292:17 295:14,15 306:2,15,17 310:23 315:13 320:24 333:25 334:1,13,21,22 336:12 336:14 338:25 351:23 357:23 359:9,10 368:4 371:18 375:1 377:19 385:24 396:1,16 398:11 403:6,12 405:10 406:6 411:16 419:20 423:9.11.12 450:20 472:16 474:22 475:7 477:11 509:19 537:22 answered 203:12 243:11 282:16 320:21 342:24 352:10 360:2 422:15 424:13 answering 150:13 189:13

**answers** 249:14 357:7 **Answer's** 75:7 **anticipate** 173:22 459:5 459:7.7 anticipates 451:5,17 anticipating 279:8 539:19 anticipation 489:4 anti-trust 236:5 anti-union 141:8 Antoine 18:5 **anxious** 227:10 anxiously 332:16 **anybody** 50:1 117:3 145:23 146:7 200:21 272:18,19 273:5 299:7 311:17 411:5 **anymore** 331:4 501:8 532:18 anvone's 496:16 anyway 87:2 95:9 112:21 any/all/some 429:22 **apologize** 44:6 385:25 394:1 422:5 423:10 435:5 489:15 496:17 **apparent** 254:10 apparently 199:24 255:12 349:13 441:6 481:6 **appeal** 123:2 appear 255:2 291:1 502:7 **appearance** 25:24 94:19 appearances 134:8 **appeared** 280:7 510:18 **appears** 43:12 45:5 63:10 65:9 73:12 381:10 apple 428:18 apples 332:4,4 apples-to-apples 321:7 **applicable** 436:18,21 **application** 236:7,8,10 applications 123:2 **applied** 189:6 464:5 472:1 **apply** 189:5 208:19 239:25 240:10 493:23

537:14 **appoint** 458:16 **appointed** 124:13,21 appointing 459:19 **appreciate** 69:10 75:14 146:10 147:24 158:8 159:1 212:12 440:4 512:21 apprehension 494:19 **apprentice** 124:13,14 apprenticeship 124:9 apprised 36:7 **approach** 37:1 46:19 49:16 57:17 59:17 96:9 107:2 120:1 151:24 188:22 197:11 209:25 214:3 295:10 296:14 296:15,16 298:1 327:5 327:7 372:19 377:20 442:18 452:4 453:17 475:20 484:21 498:5 523:3 approached 188:20 349:1 446:10 531:12 approaching 533:3 **appropriate** 56:11 82:8 102:7 118:4 139:4,5 310:20 367:7 511:1,20 **approval** 47:1 126:19 138:4 143:19 184:11 233:25 234:2,3,10,12 234:14 235:20,24,25 236:3.5 251:2 290:19 293:1 473:6 **approvals** 233:18 234:11 236:3,12 **approve** 47:17 166:12 184:6.7.11 253:18 261:18 291:7 319:20 319:22 413:22 437:12 **approved** 26:3,18 84:13 84:20 96:20 97:7 180:14 281:25 282:11 306:6 315:22 317:14 335:24 384:21 386:22 411:1 412:20.21 413:5 413:18 429:14,21,21 430:3,16 431:1,16

480:17 **approving** 2:15,17 329:21 412:19 approximately 101:3 121:17,21,23 122:4 232:15 263:8,9 373:17 389:11,16,17 396:20 407:20 434:23 445:1 447:11,13,22 485:20 521:1 532:3 **April** 37:19 38:8 40:15 42:23 47:20 49:10 53:13 54:10 55:7 59:1 103:19 108:8 140:2 152:7 153:21,22 154:12 166:7 194:24 195:1,1,4 196:6,6,7 197:15,20 198:20 199:16 202:14,14 203:19 210:18 216:4 222:22 225:14 226:19 233:5 236:16.21.22.24 237:10 242:19 253:4 287:18 296:3 337:2.2 395:20 397:24 442:13 545:23 546:9 **aptly** 69:12 **AR** 15:10 arbitrary 522:16 **area** 46:24 57:7 288:24 315:18 368:7.11 380:23 412:25 424:21 455:24 524:14 526:24 530:6,8 537:20 areas 131:9 482:14 534:8 **ARENT** 6:19 7:2 argue 331:15 385:7 429:9 469:3 513:19 **argued** 413:13 **arguing** 67:1 472:10 **argument** 101:18 114:7 114:8,9 224:17 310:20 331:16,19 332:7 431:8 439:17 511:15.19.24 argumentative 58:20 381:25 **arguments** 50:11 332:10

332:15,16 431:5 432:3

**Arkansas** 479:15 510:19 512:18.20 535:1.17.18 536:7 **Armstrong** 3:10 7:10 174:5,7,14 197:11 201:14,21 202:5 214:3 214:6,16 215:2,19 216:6,17 221:2,4 235:3 235:10 242:10 249:5,7 264:3,5 265:11 266:8 283:9 289:8,10,16,24 298:1 304:6,8 320:4,6 320:12 334:20 341:23 341:25 342:10 354:22 363:8 377:24 378:8,16 378:21,25 379:4 381:24 384:7,13,16,20 385:6,25 405:24 409:4 412:7 413:8,11,14 418:10 419:18 421:22 421:25 422:5 423:8 424:16 425:21 441:18 484:3 544:18 **ARNALL** 17:13 **ARNOLD** 7:18 **Aronson** 114:20 **arrange** 280:5 543:2 **arranged** 124:9 383:24 arrangement 42:5 286:25 383:25 415:16 arrangements 239:1 449:6 510:9 542:22 arrive 166:21 **arrived** 385:12 art 472:3.14 **ARTHUR** 1:21 **article** 263:11,13 **articles** 419:15 articulated 385:11 506:12 asbestos 414:11,12,16,19 asbestos-related 407:8 **ascribed** 82:8 83:7 110:21 aside 65:21 **asked** 32:24 44:14.15 47:16 64:2 66:24 83:4 90:7 94:24 95:17 97:18

98:13 99:4 100:19 101:11 102:2.4.11 103:6 106:16 107:9 108:6.15 109:21.25 110:2,5 111:4 113:10 113:18 114:13 115:22 117:7 124:20,23 130:4 141:6 147:13 158:21 166:12 195:13.14.15 201:4 203:5 207:23 211:1.9 212:11 217:10 218:7 220:19.19 231:14,17 232:6,9,13 235:14,14 241:21 250:5 251:8 254:3,5 277:16 278:15,15,19 282:14 296:19,19 297:13 306:13 339:16 342:24 344:17 352:10 352:10 360:1 362:7.10 362:13 376:9,14,22 377:5 382:17 389:25 391:11 398:15 413:6 413:22 414:4 431:2 513:2 516:24 542:11 **asking** 66:13,14,14 74:3 74:8,11,13,22 87:12,17 87:20 111:9 150:2 158:18 159:6 164:21 202:6 211:10 218:9 221:17 225:21 226:1 228:19 229:7,8,10,12 229:15,22 230:11 240:15 242:15 243:3,3 243:6,8,10 245:9 247:14 248:24 265:13 270:11 276:8 278:16 279:13 286:4.8.8 289:13 298:18 303:22 320:17.18 322:20 327:23 333:7,15,16 338:15 340:19,21 341:4 342:24 356:2 366:1 382:10 387:23 396:8 406:8 409:17,19 409:20 410:4.6 419:2 429:17 471:25 474:3 498:11 502:3 524:8

asks 240:14 aspects 153:20 163:1 244:18 245:7,13,15 **Aspen** 187:3 346:20,23 aspirational 136:24 **aspire** 498:2 500:18 503:16 aspiring 515:5 **assemble** 211:18 assembled 59:16 assembling 190:23 assert 91:20 414:15 **asserted** 138:19 asserting 533:15 assertion 506:1 509:7 assess 181:12 347:3 **assessed** 179:24 assessment 178:4 179:1 331:1 assessments 53:21 asset 82:23 345:9 476:21 assets 2:16.19 47:2 61:22 62:5,19 63:22,24,24,25 64:1,2,3,4,7,11 65:15 66:3 67:15 82:9 83:15 83:17.21 85:14.16 86:16 99:15 200:6,7,8 205:22,23,23 259:24 261:19,23,25 262:3 265:23 266:1 267:4,16 270:3,14 271:17 273:7 274:2,5 275:15 295:4 295:24 312:21.23 323:1,4,9,10,12,17 337:21,22 343:11,12,13 343:13 351:20 391:6 403:14 421:19 432:15 444:18 477:1 485:18 496:2 assign 465:13 **assigned** 122:25 125:2 136:12 429:25 464:8 466:9 assigning 449:3 **assignment** 2:21 288:21 462:12 assimilation 178:15 **assist** 122:25 123:6

297:13 448:16,18 449:6 465:15 assistance 75:14 188:20 371:14 395:1 assistant 120:24 124:24 136:13 **associated** 274:11 299:4 363:25 364:2,24 369:11 370:12 385:4 438:2 444:14 467:17 467:21 471:3 472:25 473:8 Associates 8:2 485:7 498:12 499:5 **association** 155:8 168:22 416:1 **assume** 61:8 96:4 101:19 103:14,21 111:1 149:21 187:22 263:15 303:14 319:5 331:8 348:7 353:7 354:20 364:10 365:8 369:13 396:8 412:11,21 427:23 447:7 452:17 452:22 459:23 462:8 462:15 463:1,20 472:6 472:18,21,23 473:16,22 474:7,19 481:6 496:2 510:3 516:9 523:5 528:6 532:11,13 539:25 542:4 **assumed** 26:2.2 307:20 346:5 422:12 429:24 430:15 434:9 447:15 447:17 449:3 452:14 458:16 463:7 491:8 492:4,11 529:19 530:23 531:1 533:4 assumes 224:11 333:20 352:6 412:7 **assuming** 26:3 61:11 76:16 85:18 113:20 225:1 349:12 359:24 360:1 365:9,19 396:6 460:3 472:14,25 520:22 **assumption** 2:21 229:10 348:5,16 426:25 429:3

430:18,20 431:11 432:8 462:12 471:14 471:20 523:20 538:23 539:6 540:3 assumptions 110:16 198:19 225:5 321:3,12 321:19 322:8 421:12 522:10 assurance 2:9 25:25 26:5 26:12.14 **assure** 50:21 323:12 377:2 437:5,10 533:23 533:25 **Assured** 2:7 **Atlanta** 4:6 17:17 Atlantic 20:14 attached 215:7,8 381:5 attacking 141:9 **attain** 347:15 457:13 515:14 **attained** 515:13 **attempt** 173:11 287:10 294:2 404:10 467:2 attempted 404:4 attempting 486:5,8 531:21 attended 31:24 380:3 517:14 **attending** 69:23 198:3 **attention** 44:25 54:4 87:1 99:8 154:14 156:16 209:20 236:15 524:7 530:21 attest 367:8 425:6 attested 214:12 443:2 attorney 23:11,13 436:5 436:14,17,24 481:15 attorneys 3:4,13 4:3 5:3 6:12,20 7:3,11,19 8:3 8:10,18 9:3,12 10:3,13 11:3,19 12:3,12,19 13:3,10 14:3,12,21 15:3,10,19 16:3,13 17:3,14 18:3,12 19:3 19:11 20:2,3,13 21:3 21:12 22:3 23:3.12 24:3.11 517:11 526:13 526:18

Attorney's 5:12 **attract** 57:25 attractive 422:18 **attributed** 176:3 324:23 **attributes** 416:19 417:1 417:3 424:11 **audit** 30:6,7,7,9 31:14,16 36:17 104:16 114:11 **auditing** 115:3,5,8 509:2 **auditors** 30:10 104:8,11 104:20 114:15.15.17 509:2 **August** 174:24 175:14 177:17 178:1 179:18 179:22,22 191:18 212:11 230:14 231:22 231:23 232:25 374:9 **AUSA** 5:17,18 23:17 **AUST** 6:8 authentic 478:2 authenticated 73:22 authorities 435:8 authority 182:17 253:18 441:19 authorization 147:13,17 **authorized** 91:22 260:24 261:17 436:16 authorizing 2:18,21 28:11 **auto** 8:10,18 10:3,4,13 94:16 119:6,7,19,20 121:10 124:6 134:14 177:16 178:13 181:14 181:25 182:12 184:13 192:18,19 196:2 204:4 212:14 219:8,9 232:25 258:22 270:7,20,23 272:6,25 273:5 274:7 275:2,9 280:8,13,18,19 281:1,2 282:2 283:15 284:3 288:24 289:1 337:4 370:21 371:1,8,9 371:13 372:19 375:3 415:24,25 421:10 517:17 528:25 automakers 125:17 automatic 235:15 **automobile** 417:10 495:3 **automotive** 18:12 20:3,3 85:7 487:2 490:13 availability 402:16 **available** 25:4.4 173:19 273:4 281:8 338:18 363:24 376:16 377:14 433:22,24,25 434:5 453:5,6 471:2 Avenger 72:4 avenue 3:14 5:4 7:4 9:6 9:13 10:6 11:20 12:13 12:20 13:4 16:6 19:4 20:14 21:5 22:13 208:20 344:20 average 360:20,23 364:25 418:3 445:12 457:12 461:2 490:6 501:2 502:10,11,14,17 503:1,13 504:21,22 513:23 515:12 537:11 avoid 207:9 213:13 227:7 353:3 361:7 388:22 424:8 avoiding 350:1 await 332:16 award 465:12 awarded 189:23 278:23 **awards** 71:10 aware 51:9,10 61:16,19 61:23 62:18 64:3,5 70:18 74:12,16 77:22 78:1 81:4,8,23 82:1,3,5 84:11,14,18 87:19 88:12,16,19 102:5,7,16 110:13 111:5,9 115:4,4 116:8,13,16,22,25 149:11,22 159:11 170:12,15,18,25 171:2 197:9 217:7,11 218:18 218:20 220:11 221:12 221:24 224:4 227:16 227:17 236:8 239:16 239:22,23,23 240:6 241:2,8 245:23 246:17 262:21 279:16 280:7 280:10.17.23.25 281:4 305:18 324:22 325:14 333:6,14 335:8 339:20

359:16 364:4,10,15 367:15 381:17 383:25 387:1 394:2 396:4 408:17 409:13 410:7 410:11,12,17 411:11,13 411:19 414:11,14,17,18 430:6 467:15,20,23 469:6,12,16 486:21 496:24 516:7 536:8,16 538:14,17 awareness 216:25 236:9 awful 302:6 axle 141:1 axles 209:14 a.m 119:2,2 222:17

В **B** 1:20 2:6,16,20 4:8 11:23 24:16 43:4,7,9 45:20 46:8,11 545:13 545:15 546:4 **BABETTE** 8:22 back 44:12 45:6 51:7,13 59:6 81:13,19 85:19 88:25 96:16 99:1 113:19 115:14,16,19 121:6 122:20 126:21 147:18 168:15 172:5 174:1 175:14 181:20 184:11,16,23 185:15 186:21 187:19 188:6,8 192:15,17,22,23 194:15 194:20 195:10,13,17 200:4,25 212:1 213:6 217:14 227:23 230:13 237:9 241:17 249:25 251:5.7 252:18 255:14 275:21 277:24 283:3.8 284:15 290:20 293:10 294:12 301:14,15 305:16 306:5,8 308:21 312:21 315:9 328:2 330:16 340:21 344:18 344:18 345:19,21 346:24 356:24 363:18 374:22 381:22 382:9 382:22 387:22 388:8 406:21 409:4 411:6

417:6 419:14 424:9,10 428:19 431:19.19 456:3 495:6,8 506:12 512:12,15 517:20 **backdrop** 164:24 background 200:23 295:13 407:12 **backstop** 384:1 385:19 467:16,21,24 **backup** 56:13 **backward** 326:16 back-and-forth 310:7 **bad** 487:17 507:16 509:15,15,17 **balance** 35:21 116:9,23 128:3 182:24 191:10 213:12 317:22,23 335:1,4 353:11,12 369:9,12 375:6 376:16 balances 39:17 balance-sheet 298:14 **Ball** 3:8 25:12 169:21.23 170:1 244:14,15,23 435:5.15 544:16 **Ball's** 436:5 **Baltimore** 9:7 **band** 135:8 bank 12:19 18:3 101:6 112:2 203:15 211:1,17 218:15 285:23,24 286:8 290:9,19 315:4 532:22 **banking** 33:4 48:24 170:6 208:23 bankrupt 418:8 **bankruptcy** 1:2,13,22 2:3,13,14 30:25 57:18 57:20 63:7,8,20 64:13 64:23 66:3,16,19 67:6 108:9 128:24 160:13 164:24 190:22 195:1 197:1 203:10 204:15 204:25 205:3 206:12 211:14 213:21 257:4 262:15 271:20 273:14 274:1 275:19 279:1.3.9 281:21 284:12 300:11

302:2 304:2 332:14

337:19,21 360:18 366:15,17 367:3 368:9 368:13,15,16,16,24 375:9 388:9,20,22,24 389:9,10 390:1 393:13 393:20,23 394:6,13 395:10,11,15 397:20 398:20,21,22,23 405:20 406:4 418:18 431:21 444:9,11 470:16,19,23 472:3.15 473:5 474:9 474:15 487:21 488:15 496:25 516:15 517:6 521:17,19 539:21,22,24 banks 40:8 88:8 202:6,11 202:21 204:9,10 211:1 211:9 286:22 287:14 290:6,20,22 316:14 337:12 339:3 341:18 348:23 370:10 bank's 88:16 89:1,14 bar 501:2 bargain 123:8 **bargaining** 122:11,12 123:6,7,20 124:17 125:7,10,17,22,24 126:3 127:4,11,18,21 127:23 128:6 129:17 130:11,16,23 131:9 132:4,11,17,23,25 133:3 135:4,12,16 137:3,8,20,23 138:4 139:16,20 140:5 141:17,19,22 142:8,16 143:6,20 144:1,16,24 148:5,15 151:14 157:17 165:14 167:7 167:24 168:10 169:12 172:17 303:16,16 Barkasy 12:9 92:7,7,11 92:22 544:9 **barred** 520:11 Barry 12:8 401:17 **BARTLETT** 12:18 **Bar-Leib** 2:25 548:4,8 **base** 70:17 73:3.7 164:4 351:16 417:11 **based** 55:19 59:9 98:20

182:14 212:21 229:15 231:20 288:24 301:15 311:3 328:10 335:13 347:19 348:5,16 349:11,11 368:2 404:23 464:13 479:18 480:18 515:22 527:10 537:15 539:14 bases 150:8 213:16 **basic** 304:23 423:25 **basically** 83:10 135:7 176:18 179:11 180:9 186:16 189:15 200:10 239:20 262:4 284:6 286:16 310:2 311:13 315:16 334:24 339:23 343:11 391:19 423:25 **basis** 51:22 52:25 63:13 80:11,22 85:13 96:16 97:8 101:25 103:17 138:20 176:14 190:19 209:17 321:7.15.17.18 322:18 335:4 368:20 373:20 398:24 444:23 444:24 454:7 460:24 494:15 523:11 **BASSEL** 21:11,17 **Bates** 155:5 **Battery** 10:16 **BCG** 288:13,15 bear 274:3,4,8 **beating** 184:3 **Beaver** 18:13 21:13 **becoming** 254:10 374:2 **bed** 116:25 300:2 **began** 42:21 542:17 **beginning** 175:23,24 231:23 337:2 409:8 445:2 456:13 515:24 **begun** 449:2 467:13 **behalf** 38:21 59:24 92:25 119:6 162:10 165:13 209:22 358:7 415:23 436:10,24 446:9 449:1 449:18 474:25 479:18 485:7 509:4 **belief** 52:20 520:25 **believe** 26:10 37:10 39:7

45:2 46:9 49:23 53:5 70:21.24 90:4 94:1.5 95:2,18,19 97:14,17 98:13 99:7 102:4.11.16 104:2 132:12 136:4 137:16 138:10 145:15 160:19 161:17 162:25 163:14 165:15 189:11 193:12 208:1 216:23 236:17 241:12,13,14,18 246:21 248:6 268:2 272:4 285:20 290:17 290:22 293:9 295:3.5 295:23,24 296:11 307:5,13 309:11 310:8 314:21 317:18,20 322:20 329:4 341:20 342:18,20 355:2,2,12 367:18 370:4,21,25 385:20 386:12.22 388:19 392:18 394:21 395:22 396:10.12.13 397:6 402:7 406:16,21 412:10 413:4.6 414:6 420:20 421:21 425:22 429:10 430:1 431:1,5 431:10 432:6 439:10 442:13 453:8 460:15 471:16 473:5 483:21 489:7 494:22 495:9 497:20.22 498:1 499:19 507:22 510:18 512:10,12,14,25 513:3 517:14 518:14,21 529:5,11,14 530:12 538:1 **believed** 118:14 132:12 134:24 139:24 143:12 193:15 320:1 322:13 387:11 396:2 **believes** 160:11 235:20 364:8 482:8 believing 496:6 **BELLAVI** 496:17 **Bellavia** 8:2,7 485:6,6,6 485:10 491:18 496:14 498:5 504:8.10 509:23

509:25 510:5 512:24

516:3 519:24 523:3,7 523:14 524:2.3 530:6.9 534:20 540:22 541:7 541:21 545:9 Bellembert 20:13 **belligerent** 526:23 527:7 527:15 **Belvedere** 208:4 346:4 **bench** 230:21 510:17 benchmarks 263:3 **bend** 29:10 229:21 beneficiary 168:22 **benefit** 11:12 28:12 40:19 42:12 45:11 99:14 107:20 140:1 155:7 171:6 186:4 213:10 239:18 258:25 359:1,5,13 448:4 476:17,20 490:11 benefited 519:13 benefiting 358:23 **benefits** 122:18.19 127:24 128:16 129:12 130:5 137:12,19 138:5 138:13 140:4 141:20 143:8 151:1 157:16 158:15,25 159:3,12 167:23 168:11 192:9 239:17 303:12.15 392:25 393:23 437:7 496:1 497:13.15 **Benz** 186:6 **Bernstein** 7:23 10:12 415:23 **best** 46:18 61:21 62:4,19 70:3,5 82:10 204:21 207:9 213:1,1,12,22 214:14 233:7 262:23 274:5,24 295:3,6,23 296:1 304:22 334:4.14 387:11 421:3 447:18 449:11 461:2 463:25 485:18 495:22,25 504:13,14 522:2 531:10,11,11 **better** 29:9 98:7 123:25 177:18 186:21 211:3 296:12 297:1 312:7

348:3,10 354:10 357:2 357:5 396:1 499:10 500:11 503:20 505:11 510:25 513:13 515:4 516:21 537:25 **beyond** 69:11 114:24 115:17 213:6 330:18 471:1 **Bidder** 2:15 **Bidding** 2:15 **Bienen** 352:5 **Bienenstock** 9:16 329:12 329:14,23 330:6,7,9,11 330:13,14,15 333:19 351:22,24 352:1,6 354:17 355:1,7,8,17,25 356:6,12,23,25 425:22 426:1,2,4,5 427:7 435:19,20,23 436:3 545:6 Bienenstock's 427:10 **big** 18:13 21:13 94:16 123:7,9,17 124:3 125:7 125:8,17,21 126:3 141:16,21 142:16 146:17 162:18 165:1 169:15 170:10 171:20 280:10,12 513:16 **bigger** 182:2 351:6 **biggest** 388:25,25 393:16 **billion** 41:11 42:11 43:16 43:24 44:2,7 47:7 61:5 63:16 65:16 70:17,18 73:8,8,13,17,19,20 75:19,21,22 76:1,2 77:24 78:3,9 79:13,16 80:7,19,24,24,25 81:2 84:2,8 95:20 96:2,12 96:25 97:6,23,24 101:3 108:11.12 116:9 118:8 118:15 154:18,20 156:18,21,22 161:25 162:3,5 168:4 171:23 172:6 181:10 184:15 184:16 185:16 186:22 186:25 188:3,7,13 189:6,14,24 190:3 199:14,15,23 210:9

211:17 212:2 217:13 218:3 221:7 222:10 223:5,8,18,20,23,25 224:1,14 229:12 256:10,12,23,25 257:5 257:5,6 259:4,10 262:9 262:11,13 276:24 277:8,12 278:16 281:25 282:2,10,11 292:20 302:4,21 303:11 305:14.14.19 306:14 308:5,12,19,22 309:11 310:17 312:6 313:14,17,18,22 314:1 314:5,8,13,16,22 315:5 315:12,23 316:8,22,24 317:19 318:2,25 319:4 320:2,3 321:12 322:9 322:14,21 323:4 325:17,21,25 326:6 327:4,19 332:9,9 335:12.14.19 336:5 339:4,6,13,24,25 340:7 340:11,16,20 341:9,19 342:22 343:3,24 345:10.10 348:10 350:21 353:8 393:18 403:13 487:5 **billions** 111:14 112:17 229:18 349:8 billion-eight 325:6 billion-five 325:5 **binder** 69:1 114:18 **binding** 43:10 436:19 437:25 Biscayne 16:18 **bit** 29:10 34:9 39:19 93:21 121:7 123:21 130:9 178:7 191:1 192:22 193:2 292:5 347:1 409:9 423:16 465:6 501:17 518:15 531:4 **bites** 428:18 **black** 420:2 **blank** 215:15.25 297:22 297:23 **blazing** 213:9

bleeding 149:9 **blend** 131:5 **blessed** 181:14 **block** 316:22 **blogging** 419:15 **Bloom** 87:2,4,6 88:7,14 88:24 192:21 202:10 202:18 219:15 222:16 226:18 248:6 250:5.23 252:1 253:25 254:15 268:9 269:11 284:22 286:23 294:14 322:6 339:17.22 **Blue** 231:13 blue-collar 401:25 **Blvd** 14:6 **BMW** 186:6 **board** 28:23 29:1,1,14 30:1,3,17 31:5,6,9,24 31:24 32:6,7,11,11,14 32:16,24,24 33:5,6 34:3,16,17,23 35:18 36:10,11,19,22 37:11 37:14,18 38:7 39:21,24 40:2,14 43:3 44:18,21 47:12,16 48:10,15,21 49:7,11 50:7,17,17 51:8,21,24,25 52:5,13 53:6,16 54:9,25 55:3,7 55:8,21 57:2,8,13 58:4 58:9,16,23,25 59:6,9 60:1,5,18,20 62:2,2,17 64:5 67:19 68:2,7,13 70:14 74:16,20 76:17 76:23 78:13.15.18 79:2 79:16,19 81:5,9 82:4 84:4,7,24 85:21 89:11 91:2 92:18 93:10,14 98:21 99:9 101:24 102:6 104:19 105:18 105:18 106:17,25 117:11 118:6 138:8,11 139:22 147:11 169:7 169:11,13,15,17 175:8 177:3,4,5,12,14,19 184:5.6.11 192:7.20 197:2,8,15,19 198:16 199:1 201:15 204:2,24

205:19 212:24 213:19 215:3 216:4 229:19 236:16,20,24 237:10 245:1,3 311:20,20 317:8,13,14 319:12,14 361:14 407:14 408:3 408:15,16 411:2 414:6 497:17 508:22 526:21 545:19.22 **boards** 30:19 48:3 169:14 407:12,15,18,22 408:1 **board's** 50:11,12 58:18 60:5 88:23 100:11,12 100:15 244:24,25 **Bob** 48:24 227:5 255:13 255:14 287:22 537:4 **BODMAN** 18:2 **body** 371:1,7 374:7,10 391:18 459:15,17 472:11 488:23 bond 441:24 **bonus** 408:18 **bonuses** 408:16 **book** 103:7 208:25 218:21 260:20 322:19 503:3 books 128:4,24 129:5,7 129:10,18,21 405:3 **borders** 69:16 **borrower** 113:22 borrower's 114:3 **Bosch** 24:11 **Boston** 20:15 288:16 296:19 **bottom** 69:4 70:2,2 73:5 184:21 198:6 219:13 219:14 246:5.6 255:1 266:16 278:18 302:20 315:1 346:11 502:20 503:4,6 **bought** 83:18 324:19 405:19 406:3 417:5 **Boulevard** 16:18 boutique 48:24 **Bowling** 1:14 **box** 166:15 346:9 **boy** 298:20 509:25

**Bozzella** 524:23 **Bradley** 49:13 53:5 55:23 **brakes** 414:16 **Brampton** 208:6 **branch** 350:10 **brand** 70:21 128:12 180:19 207:6 208:12 367:9 391:23 425:4 444:7 459:10 486:2 533:24 538:4.5 **brands** 178:13 182:22 208:1.8 444:3.7 450:10 482:18 489:5 500:13 503:5,21,23 519:9 534:13 538:2 **breach** 462:5 **break** 34:9 119:1 147:24 173:2 182:1 283:3 296:24 354:24 356:11 357:11 433:11 435:14 484:2 510:9 **breaking** 296:9 347:3 **breakup** 70:10 73:20 75:18 79:4 344:21 345:2 **Bressler** 12:8 401:17,18 401:21 406:1,9,17,20 407:1 414:4 544:23 **Brian** 6:9 114:20 **bridge** 130:18,24 210:21 225:3 **brief** 25:15 34:17 **briefly** 122:21 160:16 510:12 538:20 **bright** 407:7 **bring** 51:6,16 73:16 115:16,18 126:21 140:14 150:17 267:16 296:22 433:5 509:6 512:11 **bringing** 51:8 130:9 289:2 353:16 389:11 389:21 **broad** 15:4 190:17 207:7 **broaden** 193:23 **broader** 349:25

**broadest** 212:25 288:23

305:12 371:16 **Broadway** 6:22 11:6 15:20 **brochures** 363:3 508:12 508:13,14,16,17 **Brog** 11:18 388:2 **broke** 144:20 **broken** 191:3 343:11 **BROMBERG** 14:11 **Bromley** 8:14 119:4,5,6 119:13.15 120:1.12 136:24 139:3 144:5 147:1 149:25 150:2 160:4,6 172:4,13,16,21 310:6 356:13,23 425:23 544:13,17 brothers 124:8 **brought** 32:16 43:3 131:13 136:17 137:21 146:9 175:18 201:1 202:2 208:23 236:14 288:23 387:25 402:22 482:7 497:16 517:13 **Brown** 13:16 19:10 354:14,16 358:2,2,6,6 358:10,17 362:5,9 363:12,20 370:13 374:12 377:20 378:3 378:14,19 379:5 382:3 382:12,14 384:18 385:2,7,14 387:16 427:25 428:2,2 443:9 446:7 448:11 449:18 449:18,21 452:4 453:13,17 455:1,9,18 466:2 471:25 472:7,9 472:17 475:22 477:11 477:13 478:14 481:7 483:18,21,25 484:8,12 484:14.16 485:4 540:15 544:20 545:8 **Bryan** 14:14 **Bs** 180:6 229:21 **budget** 300:25 301:1,5 305:13,17 306:7 386:17 **BUELL** 8:15 **Buhl** 6:13

**Buick** 504:21,22 **build** 185:4 301:25 400:21 409:9 491:9 515:21 **building** 6:13 9:5 21:4 202:10,18 301:8 491:24 536:12 **built** 516:23 **bullet** 302:20 303:10 514:16 bullshit 290:9 **burden** 375:11 393:5 **burdens** 392:25 **burn** 185:15 186:17.19 188:7 277:5 278:14 **burned** 185:16 **burning** 276:23 277:7 **BUSH** 18:11 **business** 32:7 33:11,20 61:2 78:25 123:4 132:6 141:7 154:3 178:24 179:2 181:13 185:17 185:18,18 186:12 191:3,4 193:22 201:16 205:5 206:14,21 207:13 212:7,10,13,20 213:1,1 231:18 232:8 253:17 276:4 277:22 278:4 322:23 323:4.12 323:18 324:3,7 341:21 342:1,4,18 343:6,15,18 345:21 346:17,19 371:17,19 379:12,18,21 379:22 380:16,19,22,22 387:12 397:10 399:19 416:8 417:10 426:17 428:13 429:1,4 432:4 432:14,16,18 433:6 445:6 448:24,25 454:22 456:9,17,23 461:12,20 464:6,9,13 464:14 468:22 469:6 469:21 472:1,10 473:15,21 474:6,18 475:12 476:2,3 482:5,8 491:25 492:1 494:9.21 494:23 497:6.8 508:25 517:5,19 524:25

525:12,13 531:2,3 532:4.8.12 536:18.19 536:20,24 537:1,18,21 businesses 178:24 516:11 businessman 323:22 businessman's 265:13 **buy** 100:2 185:23 209:10 209:16,18 324:13 328:3,10,14,24 417:11 417:20 419:16 420:15 422:13,13 494:17 **buver** 186:4 209:7 273:13 517:1 534:19 buyers 209:1,2 **buying** 297:2 421:16 **buys** 324:10 419:3 477:4 **buy/sell** 444:18 **buy/sells** 444:13  $\mathbf{C}$ 

C 2:8,23 3:2 5:9 25:1

45:14,17,20 46:8,11

545:15 548:2,2 **CA** 10:18 14:23 17:8 **Cabraser** 10:12 415:23 **Cadillac** 504:19 cafe 192:10 calculate 159:6 calculated 365:18 **calculation** 264:9 538:8 calculations 154:24 calendar 445:13 **Caliber** 345:23 346:2,12 346:24 **Calibers** 376:19 call 69:13 76:25 90:17 91:10 99:18 115:10 119:7 126:4 147:14 177:22 178:15 180:6 206:18 211:2 217:11 218:11,14 248:14,17 265:16,18,19 272:15,18 272:21 290:7 309:3 344:21 353:24 375:23 376:6 423:21 433:13 433:15,17,18,19 434:17 444:2 468:23 469:1,4

492:17 524:7 530:21 called 45:3.14 132:13 135:7 140:17 190:11 190:14 202:9 231:15 259:24 260:2,4,12,14 262:2 288:12 298:25 328:16,19 357:10 366:19 396:6 450:8 **calling** 46:18 150:25 433:22 434:15 calls 103:9 210:25 265:11 405:24 419:18 468:25 471:22 517:16 **Canada** 15:19 262:25 263:9,21 264:16 Canadian 258:22 390:11 **cancel** 248:17 **candidate** 458:23,24 459:9.19 **candidates** 459:15 460:9 cannibalize 531:21 capabilities 460:23 **capacity** 95:12 186:25 230:24 239:11 244:11 246:16 397:10 500:3 capital 39:16 54:9 58:1 66:12 176:17 184:7 325:4 460:25 461:8,25 462:4,5 463:16,21 492:7 507:4 capitalization 461:1 capitalized 459:11 **Capstone** 84:11 199:20 201:1 204:12 219:11 297:13,15,16 298:11 299:16 **Capstone's** 198:17 199:3 204:17 car 71:20 94:24 108:18 108:20 109:12.16 110:22 111:2 178:8,9 185:23 186:3 188:19 309:9 346:3 347:10,11 416:20 417:11 419:4 420:13 421:19 422:14 422:20 425:11 437:6 437:13,22,23,24 500:5 532:12 534:4

Caravan/Town 72:8 card 483:8 525:16 care 25:22 126:10 128:1 128:10,15,23 130:1,5,6 137:15,15,17 138:1,5 146:24 147:9,22 148:4 149:8 150:20,24 151:7 157:23,24 158:1,2,10 168:6 248:18 303:12 303:15 315:17,17 357:17 440:23 511:7 career 48:7,8 125:6 178:6 326:13 497:14 careful 52:15 317:11 319:14 **carefully** 80:3 163:16 **CAROLE** 13:7 **CAROLINE** 7:8 **carried** 307:13 517:18 carry 26:8 128:15 189:15 369:14 389:14 538:3 **carrying** 128:23 129:10 cars 67:22,24 68:1,2,3,5 68:19,24 260:12,17 301:8 416:9,11,15,19 416:25 417:2,5,7 419:16,17 420:14 421:2,9,13 422:13 423:1,2 424:6,7,14 450:16 467:1.2.3.5.8 484:15 494:17 498:14 506:17 532:10,11,13,15 case 1:4 11:4 14:4 16:2 16:12 17:2 30:10 34:15 34:22 38:21 43:12 48:14 59:23 63:10 65:9 70:17 73:3,7 74:17 91:19 92:4 95:8 97:18 114:9 120:16 135:20 136:1,1,3 144:8 153:15 164:23 173:4 255:2 283:19 284:9 298:24 300:11,17 302:2 333:16 434:4,5,8 438:19 442:13 446:9 489:4 499:3 526:7,8,12 526:17,19 527:3

cases 366:4,4,8,8 465:8 465:10 492:17 516:6 524:13 531:24 533:9 533:18 **CASEY** 13:18 case-in 115:10 case-in-chief 434:3 **cash** 36:6,7,10,12,20 39:12,16,22,24 40:3,6 47:7 65:1,18,24 66:9 85:22 86:12.16 88:14 89:17 97:4,4 99:9,24 100:7.12.16 107:1.12 112:23 128:25 184:15 185:11,16,19 186:15,17 186:19 187:12 200:5 200:11 205:20,25 206:1,7,15,18,23 211:17,22 217:13 267:16 268:20 269:7,8 269:11,13 276:15,17,19 276:20,23 277:5,7,14 278:14,19 305:23 308:12 312:6,21 317:1 318:13 321:13,16,18 322:5,5,9,18 323:2,14 335:19,20 337:22 339:7,8,9,25 340:11 341:19 343:23 345:13 348:10 353:8 375:19 393:18 488:17 **cashed** 168:15 cast 127:8 **cataclysmic** 205:8 351:8 **categories** 274:21 316:20 461:23 categorized 451:9 461:20 463:1.14 CATHELL 9:9 cause 78:4 81:17 138:22 421:8 caused 58:23 161:10 181:7 388:23 405:8 513:20 causes 126:14 351:6 **causing** 416:19

**CAW** 195:14 258:22

**CBA** 152:6 154:11

162:19 163:1 165:18 165:21 546:8 **CBAs** 125:10 cc 220:6 525:1 cc'd 523:15 **CDJ** 15:10 **cease** 220:9 CECCOTTI 8:22 cent 507:14 center 10:5,13,15 16:16 19:12 24:12 208:10 379:12,19,21 380:19 415:24 456:18.24 469:6 501:1 524:25 525:12,13 536:18,19,24 537:1,18 centered 144:23 centers 379:22 380:16,22 448:24,25 456:9 508:25 central 131:11 504:10 cents 332:13 **Century** 14:22 **CEO** 69:15 174:22 175:7 175:17 177:11 198:24 220:23 232:4 299:1 408:9 416:14 **CEOs** 94:15 **Cerberus** 29:15.21.22 41:7 42:5,25 43:23 44:3,5,8,10,11,13,14,18 70:11 90:8,11,21,22 91:10,19 93:7,12 179:7 188:2,14 195:24 230:18,19 231:1,5,8,10 231:15,21,22 232:1,11 232:18 238:5,6,11,14 239:2,3,8,10,13,14,16 240:7,25 241:8,15,17 241:22 245:5.7 246:1 246:14,19 247:10,12,18 247:22,25 248:13 249:1 250:3 259:3 313:18 409:25 410:13 411:21 412:11 415:1,3 415:9 **certain** 2:21,23 8:3 12:12 38:6 45:10 47:2 63:24

64:1 90:7,10 95:9 102:5 111:3 135:17 137:17 138:12 139:19 145:3 153:20 162:25 198:19 205:25 207:10 207:11 245:7,13 259:12,14 269:4 274:8 331:24 357:22 378:10 385:4 414:5 423:22 433:15 447:7 455:8 459:23 460:1 468:15 482:14 490:22 495:16 495:18.21 524:12 **certainly** 50:10 51:9,13 71:14 74:17 79:5 86:8 87:4 96:6 97:23 99:22 108:22 111:13 137:5 139:9,12 177:7,17,20 179:21 180:5 186:4 193:12 194:1.6.10 196:9 204:18 234:12 265:20 279:6 291:21 304:2 317:24 338:2 362:13 363:10 368:16 371:16,19 372:3 388:21 393:22,22 397:13,15 405:4 415:6 419:23 423:4 429:20 439:8 488:19,21 493:3 495:11 certainty 335:20 365:11 531:4 Certified 548:9 certify 548:4 **CET** 548:9 cetera 25:8 192:21 193:21 208:15 209:9 211:5 299:6 371:5 **CFO** 36:9 302:14 **chain** 225:19 253:11 255:1 351:5 **chair** 175:8 **chairman** 36:17 104:16 174:22 175:7,10,12,13 176:11,12 408:9 chairperson 30:6 **challenge** 50:6 51:18 52:2 128:5

212-267-6868 516-608-2400

**challenged** 201:16 212:7 **Challenger** 71:21 72:6 208:7 challenges 178:23 challenging 432:16 Chambers 5:14 **chance** 289:11 510:25 537:25 **change** 41:22 42:6,7 127:23,25 260:9 290:21 296:25 321:5 337:5,5 389:25 510:23 **changed** 118:11,12,17 127:7 321:3 393:9,11 522:9 **changes** 38:11 127:6 155:11,15,19 161:8 **changing** 272:25 **Chapman** 27:9,10,12,16 28:17,21 33:7 34:15,22 37:3,6,8 38:11,15 39:2 39:4 40:13 46:13.24 57:7 59:23 77:22 90:4 92:12 93:5 94:2 108:6 114:11 115:10 116:22 544:6,7,8,9,10,11,12 545:17 **Chapter** 63:11 172:19 205:18,19 216:24 217:2 227:8 236:17,25 275:3,10 283:19 284:9 300:17 337:9,9 518:1 characterization 118:1 382:10,11 **characterize** 42:1 157:12 257:15 528:23,24 characterized 401:24 474:8 characterizing 81:14 117:20 237:10 242:10 **charge** 117:8 344:6,7 507:13.23 **Charger** 71:23 208:7 **charges** 507:17,21 charging 507:20 **chart** 30:12 463:14 464:2 502:12 503:2 536:9 **charter** 184:7 193:16

**charts** 535:7,7,10,11,13 537:24 538:3 **Chase** 12:19 217:16 218:16 370:9 **cheaper** 422:19 **check** 103:4 Check-Upshaw 436:23 436:24 438:6 **Cherokee** 70:25 71:3 **Cherry** 191:20 193:19 cherry-pick 296:13 Chevrolet 505:2 Chicago 23:6 **chief** 115:11 176:9 244:4 **China** 191:21 **choice** 58:18 142:2,2,3 242:18 338:6 **choices** 237:3,11 459:1 **choose** 395:8 428:15,17 **choosing** 230:9 276:9 458:12 459:2 **chose** 107:23 163:16 237:15,17,18 520:4 **chosen** 465:13 CHRISTINE 20:17 **Chrysler** 1:8 7:19 9:12 11:4 12:3 13:10 14:4 28:24 30:22 31:5 33:8 35:24 36:5 39:8.22 40:6,8 41:7,9,14 42:16 46:25 47:3,6,6,10 48:16 50:18 54:25 57:8 57:13,19 60:15,25 61:6 61:10,21 62:7,19,22 63:2,5,7,11,23 64:9,16 65:14,15 66:5,16,18,22 66:25 67:6,8,10,10,12 67:14,15,16,21,22 68:1 68:17,19,20,21 70:11 70:17,19 73:4 75:18,22 78:7,23 79:4 85:10,13 88:18 90:6,7,10,23 91:5,7,20,22,25 92:9,16 95:2,3,8 98:8 100:8 102:25 104:11 108:15 108:18.20 112:23 113:5,24 114:4,16 115:8 116:25 118:14

121:19,22,24 123:18 125:19 126:6 127:11 129:15,16,20 130:12,16 130:25 131:17,23 132:9,14 133:3,25 134:1,3,4,16 135:3,25 135:25 136:12 140:4 140:18 141:23 142:23 144:2 145:13.15.23 146:2,5 147:22 148:20 149:1,9,12,16,17,18 151:9 152:7 154:12,21 154:22 157:4 158:11 158:13,18,19,21 159:2 159:12,21 162:11,17 166:2,2,7,9,20 168:3,4 169:17 170:1,12,15,19 170:21,23,25 171:6,12 171:21 172:19 174:20 174:23,25 175:6,17,19 177:6 178:1,8 185:11 189:2,5 202:24 207:1 208:7 209:16,18 212:23 213:14 230:14 232:2,3,5,6,10,16 239:7 240:7 242:9,11,14,25 246:20,21,23,25 248:13 248:23,25 249:25 253:23 257:7 259:23 259:25 260:2,4,6,10,11 260:13,14 262:2 265:2 265:9,22 266:6,20,23 267:14,16 269:2,20 280:5 284:1 286:22 288:2 296:9.13.18.22 296:23 297:5 313:3 325:2,10 339:3 340:8 342:1,2,6 343:2,10 344:22 346:17,19 348:3 349:1 350:11 351:12,15 356:7,10 358:8,23 359:6,14,20 359:22 361:11 362:20 363:21 364:1,7,21 365:14,21 366:15 367:16,21 368:23 370:5,9,11,14 371:1 372:9 373:14 374:4

375:4,4,13 376:10,14 377:9.10.15 379:22 380:2,8,21 381:9,14,18 381:20.21 382:4.9.16 383:6,25 384:23 385:3 385:18,21 386:4,9,14 386:23 387:2,10 388:20,23 389:9,11,17 389:21,22 390:2,4,17 390:23,25 391:14,18 392:4 393:1.5 394:20 394:24 395:8,9 397:7,8 398:12 399:1,20 400:9 400:14,16 401:9,19 402:3,8,8,11,18,20,21 403:19 404:15,20,22,24 405:3,6,8,19 406:23,23 407:13 409:14 411:2 414:7,11,15,16,19 415:2 416:8,11,15 417:4,5,7,14,18,19,20 418:6,7,18,23 419:1,3,5 419:6,11,13 420:13,14 421:2,4,7 422:9 423:3 423:21 424:4,15,23 425:11 426:6,9 427:3 435:10 437:3,6,18,21 443:20 447:19 449:19 449:24,25 450:4,10,13 450:17,22 451:1,5,9,17 451:25 452:16 458:12 458:17 459:8,14,21,23 462:7 463:10,13,20 464:17,22 465:12 466:8,11 467:15,20 468:20 469:8,18,22 470:1,17 471:1 473:10 473:14 475:4,12 476:18 480:19 482:16 482:20 485:18 486:5 487:22,25 488:4 490:2 490:20 491:2,4 492:18 493:21 494:6 495:4 497:8,12,17 498:2 499:4 500:10 501:12 502:23.24 503:8.15 504:3 505:8,8,20,23 506:11 509:6 515:2,3,3

515:4 516:2,6,14,20,20 claiming 522:22 **clients** 217:20 141:17,19,22 142:8,16 516:20,21,25 517:1,23 **claims** 2:20 91:7,9,11,17 **climate** 490:2 495:12 143:6.20 144:1.16.23 518:14,25 519:12,14 91:17,18,19,20,23 92:2 **close** 73:19 75:18.22 76:1 148:5,15 151:14 92:16 93:6,11,15 520:1,4,19,25 522:8,15 76:7,12 171:23 181:11 163:21 167:7,24 258:12,13 305:20,23 168:10 169:12 172:17 525:23 527:12 530:22 187:1,2,5 196:14 531:7,17,25 532:19 306:12,21 394:15,20,21 233:16,24,25 234:9,11 **collectively** 145:2 189:3 533:21,24 534:9 394:23 404:15,21,24 234:17 322:19 341:17 **college** 33:20 350:12 360:14 372:1 **colored** 501:2 535:21,24,24 538:15 405:2 414:5,8,12 545:19 546:8 418:18 473:3,18,22,23 388:15 406:25 518:24 Columbus 24:5 Chryslers 260:12 473:24 474:8,9,9,12,14 523:6 533:1,17 540:19 **column** 313:16 456:23 Chrysler's 29:14 39:11 clarification 52:4 92:5 **closed** 26:3 84:21 238:18 457:15,24,25 458:1,4 39:14 61:19 62:1,3,5 138:15 147:25 249:3 273:19 341:10.12 461:6,14,15 62:18 64:7 73:20 85:14 357:4 409:6.15 closely 39:24 47:12,15 columns 457:1,6 460:17 **combative** 526:23 527:7 clarified 251:7 361:22 383:9 85:16 89:16 118:5 121:19 125:14 128:3 **clarify** 50:14 144:14 **closer** 29:6,7 174:8 233:8 527:15 133:14 134:15 154:17 145:17 154:20 158:8 270:5 539:25 **combination** 295:5,25 closes 238:19 260:3 155:24 166:22 169:7 216:7,13,14 217:14 315:7 386:4,7 204:24 260:25 261:18 249:2 320:12 510:22 408:15 429:13,14 **combine** 509:9 266:18 271:17 273:7 class 11:3 14:3 333:3,4,5 combined 180:9 **closest** 128:18 **closing** 97:2 233:19 303:10 341:20 342:1.4 333:10.12.12 **combining** 296:23 342:18 359:17 360:24 classes 35:22 235:16 331:21 349:12 come 26:23 32:19,24 clause 142:17 424:18 34:17 35:24 36:12 361:3 369:9.12.25 436:20.22 471:11 371:14 374:7,13,19 clean 25:6 506:24 533:6 37:10 41:17 42:17 43:1 376:8 381:21 382:6,21 cleanup 274:9 **closure** 398:19 47:16 48:15 53:9 55:2 382:22 399:23 450:5 **clear** 2:20 46:17 81:22 **clouds** 181:17 183:19 87:24 102:25 104:7,10 452:15 455:24 466:3 121:14 138:22 146:11 Coax 231:15 121:6 124:20 129:6 476:17 489:8 500:22 148:23 151:5 156:7 **COBB** 24:7 140:2 156:12 170:2 503:3,19 518:8 159:7,11 161:16 190:6 cocktail 207:20 174:1 176:19 179:25 **Chrysler-Daimler** 190:7 193:7 196:19 code 2:3.13 54:9 368:17 194:14 195:24 196:19 248:19 250:16 253:19 224:21 227:6 321:14 coerced 207:1 207:8 211:20 222:5,14 254:4 329:3.20 331:10 356:3 **coercion** 207:12 273:11 283:3 325:10 Cincinnati 13:13 378:12 393:12 394:15 **coffee** 513:17 330:16 332:5 336:19 **Circuit** 506:25 412:10.23 413:17 **COHEN** 8:17 21:2 386:10 427:13 435:3 circumstance 327:15 418:7 419:2,12 421:20 Coke 408:2,10 455:3 459:10 479:11 490:13 427:2 441:22.25 442:3 **collateral** 64:15.24 66:4 495:6.8 534:23 543:3 446:13 66:17,22,24 67:7 Comerica 18:3 circumstances 33:3 cleared 254:2 comes 76:6 122:24 186:1 35:20,23 48:13 61:4,9 **colleagues** 69:15 378:9 61:25 69:11 492:23 **clearer** 420:24 423:6 **collect** 405:21 406:5 332:14 537:1 Citi 202:20 290:8,10 459:12 collected 507:15 **comfort** 240:21,22 **clearly** 136:19 139:23 collectibility 83:12 comfortability 150:15 **Citizen** 416:1 City 506:25 150:24 153:6 167:16 **collective** 122:11,12 **comfortable** 79:7 80:23 **claim** 171:13,20,22 310:1 201:23 255:21 470:20 123:6,7 125:7,10,17,21 105:16 143:11 150:13 310:3 336:2 378:13 **Cleary** 8:9 119:6 125:24 127:10,18,20,23 209:15 243:18 250:14 418:5 **clerk** 37:1 119:9,11 128:5 130:11,16,23 347:13 **client** 164:4 479:18,22 131:9 132:4,17,23,25 **Comfort's** 143:12 **claimants** 92:13,19 402:18 404:7,8,12 480:20 482:17.17.23 133:3 135:3.16 137:3.7 coming 159:12,13 182:4 416:2 510:18 511:18 535:15 192:19 262:14 337:20 137:20,23 138:4 **claimed** 206:25 536:13 538:5 139:16,20 140:5 426:14 428:19 431:18

431:19 478:25 Commander 70:25 commenced 300:17 411:24 commencement 38:25 **commend** 245:3 commendable 69:13 commensurate 317:25 **comment** 26:20 74:9 87:12 116:20 204:12 254:4 289:23 458:11 commented 204:16 comments 289:19 308:21 356:6,15 458:4,8 460:14 461:17 **Commerce** 188:24 279:19 281:23 **commit** 437:3 commitment 122:7 166:16 170:9 179:20 196:11 212:12 281:18 510:1 536:16 **commitments** 179:12,21 206:18 467:10 **committed** 380:1,2 381:8 381:12,12,14 437:20 473:3 491:22 536:13 **committee** 5:3 12:3 13:10 14:12 25:22 26:7 30:6,7,8 31:14,16 36:17 45:24 49:4 90:1 104:16 114:11 124:17 124:19 126:20 127:4 162:10,16 163:18,18,19 163:20,23 164:1,1 170:3,6 357:19 358:7 368:21 378:4 379:6 382:15 401:18 407:6 449:19 452:8 454:21 477:14 483:22,25 485:2 **committees** 30:3,5 31:24 190:12 **committee's** 30:9 164:16 378:5 479:4,7 541:23 541:25 **common** 209:13 316:25 505:22

commonality 209:13 commonly 506:2 communicate 220:25 221:15 380:24 communicated 156:15 161:22 220:4 398:4 494:22 communicating 206:22 communication 221:12 221:16,18,24 communications 17:14 235:7 304:10 381:1 communities 212:14 213:16 360:12 474:20 475:3 533:20 **community** 212:15 360:8 Comp 435:7 **compact** 180:6 191:23 208:5 **companies** 2:9 30:24 94:16 107:16 123:10 123:15 126:8.14 129:23 130:7,19 132:1 132:2,5 169:14 188:20 230:25 231:1,3,4,7,13 239:6 247:24 280:8,13 280:19 281:2 370:3 383:24 386:5,6 408:1 408:12 476:22 547:7 **company** 6:20 9:3 19:3 22:3 32:20 35:15 36:14 36:20 39:15,25 40:11 43:15,17 47:3 48:22,23 49:6 56:10 57:17,19,25 58:5.7 61:1 63:13 66:19 67:15 70:7 83:16 83:17,21 85:3,21 86:2 89:4 93:1 99:9 101:15 104:23 105:16 106:25 107:3 117:9 118:7 124:12,18 126:15,16 128:1,21 129:9 143:13 148:21 160:12 161:11 165:7 169:12 175:9,15 178:2,8,9 188:15 198:10.11.21.23 199:19 200:18 204:6 205:4,6 205:12 206:24 207:23

219:21,25 220:23 227:7 233:3.6.12 238:2 247:6 249:20 250:18 257:8 259:24 260:2 262:1 266:19,20,24 267:11 269:21 270:3 270:14,25 273:7,8 274:8,23 276:16 281:16 283:18 286:24 292:7 300:12 301:8 308:20 309:13.21.25 311:24 312:2,3 317:19 317:20.24 320:1 322:10,13,20,23,24 324:10,13 325:22 326:9,15,17,20 327:16 328:3,4,9 329:6 330:25 331:11 334:16,22 336:5 337:14 338:19 342:15 347:2 375:20 377:10 380:10 400:22 406:22 407:18.22 420:10 444:5,9 447:20 448:4,9,16 449:4 458:16 465:14,24 472:21 475:1 476:21 476:22,22,25 485:23 496:6 503:25 506:24 515:3 516:10.23 521:23,24 company's 32:12 33:11 36:6,7 40:3 58:10,17 59:1 85:2 95:12 100:15 104:8 106:17 108:8 204:3.11 292:2 295:9 313:13 428:12 448:7 comparability 224:12 **comparable** 58:14 97:6 322:18 comparative 321:15 458:24 **compare** 99:20 320:17 **compared** 321:7 351:8 476:18 comparison 374:23 455:23 504:1 509:5 **Compass** 70:24 71:5 109:1,5,7 208:6 346:4

compensate 316:24 compensated 362:1 compensation 238:13 415:16 436:18 437:1,4 437:8.19 **competent** 113:16 114:1 139:9 competing 123:23 321:10,23 competition 531:20 532:24 533:8 competitive 123:24 130:19 131:3,14 133:13 135:6,11 170:22,24 422:21 528:25 532:7 competitors 486:14 538:4 **complete** 51:2 63:12 131:18 233:13 238:7 266:2 345:21 363:18 423:12 449:5 452:23 514:1 517:4 completed 126:24 131:16 152:18 233:4 412:4 415:2 **completely** 89:3,6,10 329:18 completeness 90:13 completes 238:10 **completion** 238:4 408:22 **complex** 141:2 185:18 212:20 **complied** 131:14,19 332:11 **comply** 135:13 368:25 436:18 438:1 **component** 143:13 257:9 257:16,21,24 258:9 494:16 components 18:12 132:24 137:10 258:20 comport 204:6,13 **compound** 419:19 comprehensive 52:23 **concede** 495:2 **concept** 90:22 91:12

189:1 275:15 340:22

**concern** 78:22,24 89:1 104:11.14.22.24 143:5 143:8 280:10,12,14 281:7,13 298:24 312:20 321:6 336:22 341:21 342:19,21 343:2,9,22,23 344:1 442:1 531:10 540:13 **concerned** 88:21 104:23 137:8 304:8,19 321:21 375:19 478:24 concerning 146:23 151:18 concerns 112:18 331:8 481:5 concession 130:1,3 142:19 155:15,18,20 158:22,24 161:4 315:19 392:5 concessionary 195:18 **concessions** 131:10,13 132:3,5,16,19,22 157:8 157:15,15,19,20,22 158:1,2,23 161:5,7 194:1,3 195:11 196:4 196:20 201:1 207:11 213:6,9 257:23 258:7 258:19,24,25,25,25 301:17 338:21 **conclude** 322:3 340:6,15 340:19 510:22 511:5 **concluded** 60:1 81:4,8 82:1 198:18 408:19 530:5 543:8 **conclusion** 35:12 56:6 73:2 109:12 116:8 194:8,10 265:12 325:16 405:25 406:7 413:5 471:23 474:4 conclusions 77:23 179:25 418:15 concurrently 211:11 **condition** 43:19,20,21,22 44:7 67:9 113:17 114:3 393:20,25 394:2 407:8 **conditions** 107:8.11.14 107:17 301:16,17 **conduct** 52:3 284:7

444:9 **conducted** 78:2 165:18 297:10 355:15 **conference** 69:14 217:11 239:4 248:14 375:23 517:16 confidante 139:23 **confidence** 177:13,14 181:21 confident 489:20 confidential 378:15.17 453:9 454:8 455:6 478:11.12 confidentiality 378:9,13 454:14 455:4 477:20 480:21 481:5,6,16,19 481:24 483:1,4,15 485:1 510:24 confidentially 468:8 configuration 83:19 confined 429:11 **confirm** 78:11 80:6 114:19 160:7 268:2 307:14,22 413:7 440:24 442:23 445:21 446:20 453:8 **conflicts** 4:11 538:14 **conform** 493:14 **confused** 261:9.14 301:12 495:3 Congress 94:12,21 277:15 280:8 congressional 190:10 194:4 **conjunction** 30:9 37:20 431:12 connect 474:5 509:1 **Connecticut** 7:4 23:11 23:12 28:22 **connection** 2:22 42:18 66:3 119:23 122:16 132:16 133:23 135:18 137:7 140:12 142:8,22 143:5 151:17 152:12 155:19 156:16 159:1,8 159:11 167:5 190:3 241:9 247:12 297:13 310:14 330:22 368:15

387:1 409:1,13 410:4 411:1 412:24 413:22 413:23 414:19 471:14 471:20 473:15.20 474:5,17 487:21 488:14 517:13 531:9 **Connor** 208:20 conquest 534:12 conscience 254:9 consensus 211:19 **consent** 26:6 169:8 217:23 218:1.10 consented 88:10 consents 111:4 112:5,6 consequence 63:15 239:17 241:3 438:14 consequences 149:12,23 151:10 431:18 conservative 81:6,24 182:8.9 184:1 321:8.25 322:11 **consider** 58:4 108:20 164:5 191:25 205:12 251:1 332:2 402:11 411:23 412:19 473:17 474:19,22 481:9,11 537:18 considerable 282:5 consideration 47:24 48:12,14 56:8 65:18 108:21 123:13 157:8 187:22 198:20 213:13 322:2 332:12 334:17 350:4 351:1 387:10 390:18 411:22 413:2 489:21 490:21 516:17 considered 51:11,14,25 52:5 101:23 109:3 147:8 150:10,25 317:8 452:16 456:6 457:12 474:23,25 475:4 476:6 482:10 494:19 536:10 537:13,20 **considering** 58:6 181:2 282:17 317:12 366:15 437:11 **consistent** 51:19 56:13 56:15 123:9 192:13

193:16 391:22 500:9 consistently 58:5 **consolidate** 444:3 465:8 475:10.11 489:5 consolidated 233:11 248:12 447:19 465:10 500:13 519:12 consolidating 450:9 464:25 533:16 consolidation 390:4 391:23 444:6.12 459:21 465:3 519:9 531:24 consolidations 520:17 constant 322:8 constantly 145:4 355:11 constituencies 34:12 58:10 **constituents** 34:7 59:11 101:16 194:20 213:23 358:23 361:14 constituent's 258:7 constitute 473:23 constituted 105:4 constitution 126:21 constitutional 123:1.2 constitutionally 152:20 **constraints** 282:7 329:17 437:11 **Construction** 16:5,15 17:5 consultant 136:18 **consultants** 33:4 129:18 289:2 **consultation** 26:6 517:11 consulting 239:11 288:16 296:19 **consumer** 92:9 180:18 181:21 185:20 401:19 416:1,24 420:2 421:16 422:24 424:10 499:20 499:23 539:11 consumers 185:22 402:7 415:24,25 416:16 422:17 490:20 494:20 495:6 498:17 consuming 329:18 consummate 63:9

347:12 consumption 206:23 **contact** 33:7 104:20 contacted 211:11 505:17 **contain** 541:16 **contained** 52:7 214:13 456:18 460:13 contains 453:9 contemplate 475:8 contemplated 41:21,23 53:21 268:23 269:19 368:2 394:5 396:14.20 474:13 521:17 contemplates 64:6 contemporaneous 97:2 **contend** 200:18 **content** 51:17 52:6,6 90:15 contents 73:24 **contest** 522:25 contested 160:9 **context** 165:22 167:12 167:14 224:23 243:14 265:16 275:16 284:9 284:12 286:7,12 290:2 293:3,15 294:10 295:16 296:10 300:4 301:4 340:1 431:20 488:15 contexts 324:3 contingency 281:18 **contingent** 258:1,3,8,11 258:19 387:13 400:11 414:8 continuance 431:3 **continue** 67:21 68:4,24 75:15 77:15 104:24 129:10 158:1 193:21 209:18 229:6,9 233:3 242:21 277:1,2,3,4 295:3,5,23,24 402:16 426:16,20 519:23 521:25 522:1,11 531:2 532:8.11.13 **continued** 61:1 79:20 82:14 95:10 116:11 188:7 200:7 276:25 278:14,14 301:2

389:13 continues 433:18 **continuing** 187:2 204:9 253:19 301:20 426:13 520:1 continuity 175:19 continuous 281:22 continuously 195:19 197:3 contract 26:1,2 122:14 123:9 126:7 257:18 458:13 459:18 463:20 contracted 347:8 contracting 163:6 contractor 230:20 contracts 2:22 124:4,17 125:13,15 126:4 430:14 458:3 459:8,24 460:1,3,4 462:15 463:1 464:7 465:7.11 472:6 472:19.23.25 476:20 500:22 517:7 contractual 308:23 contractually 152:21 309:15 **contrary** 374:15 **contribute** 222:8 336:9 444:21 507:9,10 **contributed** 163:20,22 contribution 45:18 268:21 269:12.14 309:24 310:3 324:23 325:11,17 332:1 335:7 335:9 contributions 343:8 control 41:22 42:6,7 290:10 cont'd 545:2 546:2 547:2 convene 197:1 **convenience** 43:7 500:4 534:1,3,3 542:11 conveniences 534:6 convention 125:24 conversation 87:18 217:19,22,25 218:9 225:11 227:5 228:4 294:2.8 327:24 348:12 528:24

conversations 247:17 286:14 395:13.14 397:22 398:1 conversion 168:2 **convert** 196:11 309:18 converted 309:1 convey 153:10 159:24 326:9 329:4 339:22 452:25 457:25 conveyed 298:25 299:1 453:4 454:5 convince 279:5 cooks 255:21 cooperation 442:4 cooperative 454:20 coordinator 124:14 **copied** 222:16 248:6 250:21 **copies** 442:23 483:13 **copy** 28:4,7 43:10 45:18 46:1 55:10 120:3 377:23 512:11 524:22 524:23.25 core 83:15,16,21 416:25 417:3,6 425:12 428:13 429:2 447:11 **Corker** 196:13 **corner** 166:15 **Corp** 124:10 **corporate** 30:19 44:15 90:9 91:4 **corporation** 11:12 28:12 34:7,10,12 35:11,19 40:20 44:17 50:22 93:6 175:18 414:15 485:24 519:13 corporations 30:17 **correct** 29:2.23 33:23 34:11,19,25 35:2 40:21 41:16,25 42:8,9 47:4,8 47:11 48:8 53:7 54:12 56:2,4 57:21,23 60:3 62:23 63:6 64:12 65:3 65:6 66:1 67:17 73:11 73:17 74:24 77:13 78:23 79:1 86:4.7.10 86:14,18,21 90:8,10,11

95:1,7,15,22 96:1,3 97:10,25 98:1,2,4 100:4,6 102:17,24 104:9,18,21,25 106:8 107:24 109:19 110:9 110:18 111:23 113:2,7 114:17 116:7 121:16 123:19 128:4 130:8 133:22 145:5,8,10,16 145:20,21 146:14,21 148:7,13,22 151:15,16 152:13,16,21 153:1,4 153:16,23 155:13,22 156:10 158:17 160:11 162:2,20 163:4,8,10,17 166:12 167:18 171:19 173:17 190:1,5 191:25 195:2 196:4,5 197:5 199:7,17 202:7 204:25 206:10 208:21 217:3 217:17,18 219:16 221:12 222:11 227:8 227:11 228:1 230:14 232:2,17,20 236:25 237:1,4,14,15,20 240:15,20 241:14,23 242:2,4,7,9 244:1 255:15,18,24 259:21 262:19 263:5,6,7,22 265:6 266:7,21,24 268:24 269:2,3,5,6,7,8 269:9,21,22,25 271:25 276:11 277:14,15,22 279:11 283:20 284:3 284:10,24 285:5 287:19 288:7,13 292:8 292:11,12,20 293:2,6 293:22,24 294:5,6 295:10 297:11,14 302:14 303:19 304:17 304:21 305:11,20 308:13,18 309:14,22 313:19,24 314:3,6,8,16 314:19 316:16,21 317:1,2 319:10,12,15 319:20.24.25 320:3 322:14,25 323:19,22 324:4,11,17,20 325:18

91:13 93:8,9 94:23

212-267-6868 516-608-2400

327:4 328:22 334:18 335:11 336:6.7 342:22 343:3,6,9,16,17,21 345:2,14 347:3 348:18 348:23 349:4,6,14 350:14,24 351:14 354:4 359:3,15,18 360:2,5,15,18,21 361:3 361:17 365:19 366:22 367:1,23 368:9 369:4,6 370:22 371:2,15 372:22 374:8,20 376:10,14,23 377:4,16 379:7,10,12,19,22 380:16 381:3 383:7,16 383:17,19 385:5,16,19 386:24,25 388:16 389:11,18,19,23,24 392:16,20,23 393:2,9 393:14,21 394:24 395:2,18,23 397:1,4,5 399:2 402:1 403:18 405:5,17,18 406:24 407:16 409:11 410:5 411:18 414:9 415:13 416:8,12,13 417:7 423:4 427:1,24 432:6 442:15,23 443:21 447:23,24 448:1,2,7,10 450:6,7,11,12,17,18 451:3,7,12,15,23 452:2 452:18,22 454:4 455:21,22,25 456:19,20 456:24,25 457:20 458:6 459:16,24,25 460:1,2 461:4 462:8,16 462:20,23 463:2,4,8,9 464:20,21 465:5,9 466:5,6,9,10,18 467:7 468:24 469:24,25 470:2,3,11,12,14,15 471:8,9,12,15 472:7 473:1,10 476:15,16 478:2,3,6 485:21,22 486:12 487:20,24 488:2.13 490:18 493:6 493:12.15 494:1 496:17 499:22 500:16

500:17,23 502:19 505:15 506:3.4 508:7 513:7 514:23 516:21 518:20 520:3.6 521:21 524:11,17,18 526:3,11 529:14 530:25 531:8 531:18 corrections 38:12 **correctly** 48:6 58:16 221:7 274:6 361:15 499:17 507:20 514:14 correlation 181:23 correspond 483:8 correspondingly 529:18 **Corrine** 3:8 170:1 **Corrupt** 299:6 **corruption** 298:23,25 299:5 **CORSE** 16:23 cost 123:25 124:2.4 126:11 131:10 183:3 187:10,14 195:9 273:24 274:3,4 277:9 301:2 325:1,8 337:15 363:22,25 364:2,5,8,16 364:21 365:15.22 368:12,12 370:12,15 391:17 419:24 420:9 422:23 450:3 464:22 464:25 465:2,4,4,22 471:1,5 472:6,12,25 473:8,9 505:23 506:8 506:11,16,19 507:24 508:16 539:17,19 **costing** 146:6 373:14 **COSTON** 13:18 costs 123:23 135:11 186:21 274:11,12,16,17 277:12 301:3 315:17 373:8 385:8 391:14 402:15 420:22 444:14 444:16,21 472:11,12,14 506:12,12 507:18 508:23 509:14 cost-cutting 183:21 **cost-sharing** 383:11,21 **Council** 7:19 140:18

counsel 4:11 25:22 32:13

33:3 34:17 37:20 45:24 49:21 51:2 54:16 74:7 74:10 75:9 79:23,25 83:13 87:5,16,23 89:25 92:5 102:13 108:15 120:25 126:5,6,12 136:17 152:1 165:25 201:13 213:19 216:13 219:9 234:24,25 235:1 235:2,8,21 236:20 244:4,21 246:14,18,19 246:23,25 247:2,9,16 247:18,19,20 248:1 252:13 279:7 280:22 281:21 289:11,20 304:2,4,11 320:15 331:16 333:21 342:8 378:11 379:3 387:19 387:25 413:6 414:23 422:1 434:19 436:12 436:13 441:6,18,20 446:5 480:10 481:5 482:7 counseled 187:24 **counsels** 126:5,21 counsel's 117:15 266:8 385:23 count 435:21 459:20 460:11 501:21 518:6 519:8 counterparts 398:16 **counting** 252:19,20 253:1 530:4 countless 199:11 **countries** 298:23 497:5 **country** 8:4 72:8 121:9 186:9 192:13 211:4 212:14 213:16 368:22 448:25 486:22 491:13 491:21 548:12 County 7:11 441:19 **couple** 25:3 81:13 93:5 98:5 100:18 104:7 144:15 187:7,8 192:5 192:12 193:6 210:9 215:5 217:5.15 283:1 289:17 398:24 423:2 456:22 483:18 494:21

510:9 course 28:14 53:1 109:23 110:10,15 123:12 125:6 154:3 177:11,17 185:3 188:12 190:9 305:10 339:18 347:17 418:22 419:1 425:10 446:14 489:1 517:5 court 1:2,13 25:2,13,20 26:16,19,23 27:1,3,5,12 27:19,24 28:8,14 29:5 29:10,12 37:4 38:16,19 38:23 41:1,24 45:22 46:5,7,10 47:1 49:17 49:20,24 50:14,23 51:7 51:10,18 52:8,20,23 53:2 54:19,22 56:20,22 57:1 58:21 59:14,17,18 59:20 62:13,15 67:3 68:10 74:6,9,19,22,25 75:3,9,16 76:14,18,25 77:3,7,11,13,17,20 80:12,14,16 81:13,17 81:20 83:14 87:15 88:5 89:22 92:6,24 93:19 98:15,19 101:11,18 102:1,9 103:8,13,18,21 103:24 104:2,5 108:2 111:25 112:3,8 113:20 114:2,7 115:1,7,12,21 115:24 116:3,14,18,20 117:20,24 118:1,24 119:3,12,16 120:2,15 120:19 136:23 138:15 138:16 139:2,7 144:6,9 144:11 147:3,5 150:1,4 150:15 151:25 152:3 154:8 160:5,17,22 161:1,13 163:22 164:9 164:11,19 166:11 169:20 172:1,5,8,12,14 172:22,24 173:2,8,15 173:19,22 174:1,4,10 197:1,12 201:11,13,20 201:22 202:2 214:4,19 214:23 215:10.18 216:2,8,10,13,16,18 221:3,11 224:10,18,20

225:1,7,17,23 226:15 235:11.18 236:1 237:22 242:17 243:10 243:16 249:6,9,14,17 261:3,6,8,10 264:4,8,12 264:14,17,23 265:15 266:12,15 276:21 280:22 281:13 282:16 282:19,23 283:2,7,10 283:12 289:9,21,22,25 298:2 310:11,22 320:5 320:11,16,20 329:13,16 329:17 330:1,4,6,8,10 330:12,14,16 331:3,6 331:17,20,22 332:18,20 332:23 333:25 334:21 336:13,16 338:6,10 341:24 342:3,5,7,11 344:7,12 351:25 352:4 352:9,18,21,25 353:2 354:8,12,15,19,23 355:6,15,18,22 356:4,9 356:15,18,20,22 357:1 357:15,18,24 358:3,5 358:15,18 362:7,12 363:10,16 377:21 378:1,24 379:2 382:1,8 382:13 384:11,20,24 385:9,24 386:3 387:18 387:21 388:11,13 392:9 401:16 406:2,7 406:14,18 407:2 408:22 409:6,15,18,20 409:23 410:8,15 411:23 412:9,17 413:1 413:12,15,20,24 414:2 415:20 416:3 418:12 418:15 419:20 423:12 423:14 424:18 425:19 425:22 426:1 427:9.12 427:14,17,19,23 428:1 428:3,7,10,24 429:12 429:19,20 430:5,8,10 430:13,20,22 431:7,12 431:13 432:1,13,23,24 433:8,11,19,22,25 434:6,14,21,24 435:7 435:13,16,19,22,23

436:2,12,15,17 437:12 438:5.7.10 439:4.7.13 439:16,20,24 440:2,5 440:10,12,15,17,20 441:2,5,13,16 442:6,19 442:21 443:6,10,16,17 445:24 446:2,16,18,22 446:24 448:12,14 449:16 452:5 453:16 453:18 454:10 455:3 455:12.17 468:3.9 471:24 472:4,8,13 474:3,11,15 475:7 477:12,17,23 478:1,4,7 478:15 479:13,21,25 480:2,9,13,24 481:1,3,8 481:14,18,25 482:24,25 483:5,11,17,20,24 484:6,10,13,15,19,22 484:24 485:5 491:16 495:18 496:13,15 498:7,9 504:7,9,17,18 509:22,24,25 510:2,8 510:13,16,22 511:1,2,4 511:9,11,15,17,19,22 512:2,5,8,13,23 523:5,8 523:10,13,18,22 530:5 530:8,10 534:22 535:14 538:19 540:16 540:18,21 541:3,6,11 541:14,19,22 542:3,8 542:17,22,24 543:6 **courtesy** 480:3 511:22 512:21 534:19 courtroom 436:6 courts 261:18 471:4 Court's 429:23 435:9 473:6 484:2 Cousins 7:16 441:11,15 441:17,18 442:7 cover 46:25 116:2 266:17 356:4,6 456:15 467:1,2,5,8 **covered** 44:6 179:17 420:16,17,19,20,22 421:18 422:3.11 424:6 covering 237:21

Cox 436:25

**co-counsel** 512:9 534:25 co-pays 130:4 Crane 479:17 535:1,18 535:20 536:7 537:6,21 538:15 crash 419:24 424:21 create 126:5 128:20 290:11 **created** 84:5 128:21 385:15,17 494:20 credibility 51:23 **credit** 103:1 187:16 370:10 532:21 **creditor** 14:13 35:22 91:11,17,17,23 92:2 314:11 315:3 334:8 **creditors** 5:3 15:11 25:21 26:7 34:8,13 45:24 86:6 90:1,6 91:5 91:6,6,8,9,18,20 102:12 333:3,10,11 334:6,9 358:24 361:12 403:5.7 403:8,9 407:6 credits 509:7 criteria 252:25 308:24 349:7 368:3 376:17 416:21 419:23 420:3 421:15 425:12,13 527:6 528:20 criterias 422:17 **critical** 180:4 301:22 critically 192:10 **criticism** 209:19 482:4 **criticized** 81:19,23 207:16 **Cromwell** 14:20 15:2 436:10 **cross** 59:16 93:24 95:19 99:4 102:2,5 115:22 173:10,16 355:13 358:4 427:24 428:23 433:4,4,7 434:10 510:3 534:22 542:2,15 **crossed** 439:21 cross-examination 59:14 59:21 75:15 90:2 92:10 93:3 100:19 105:8 144:6,12 162:12

169:22 216:19 283:13 355:3 358:5,9 388:4 392:11 401:20 407:3 416:6 426:7,23 428:5 432:23 435:1 439:10 443:7 449:20 485:9 535:3 539:8 cross-examine 89:23 **Cruiser** 346:24 crush 424:21 **Cs** 180:6 **CSI** 539:10,14 **CT** 23:15 **CULLEN** 3:17 27:8 173:5,9,25 216:7,9,12 216:14 427:18,21 433:14,21,24 434:1 438:16 439:1,6 440:3,8 440:11,14,16 484:2,17 484:21,23 543:1,7 **cure** 440:25,25 441:1,2,3 471:13,19 472:2,14,14 492:6,6,7 **Curious** 253:14,16 **current** 127:17 188:9 199:13,15 317:24 331:10 342:4,15 346:19 367:3 391:14 417:4.9.20 420:13 449:3 460:25 489:25 currently 234:14 315:11 372:10,12 400:7 407:12 445:11 494:6 **Curson** 8:10 27:17 119:7 119:17,18,23 120:3,13 120:18,20 124:6 130:10 137:3 139:14 142:22 144:14 151:13 152:9 154:14 162:6,14 164:21 169:24 170:2 171:5,25 172:17 544:13,14,15,16,17 **curt** 252:2 **customary** 105:11 117:8 117:8 380:20 **customer** 180:20 186:6 193:24 370:2 417:10 417:15 420:4 425:14

497:18 498:2,14 499:25 500:5.20 501:15,19 509:15,16,18 513:5.25 514:6.8.10.12 514:15,19 537:15,19 539:14 **customers** 180:17 186:1 186:2,2,8 377:14 401:24 417:20 418:24 420:4 421:4 422:8,9 424:5 488:12.19 490:17,20 491:1 494:16,17,23 500:11 514:1 533:7,20,23,25 534:9,13 537:14 **cut** 315:15 359:19 480:16 487:18 526:25 **cutting** 187:11 396:2 480:19 Czar 192:18 **C.D.J** 479:17 535:2,18 535:21 536:7 537:6,21 538:15 D

**D** 2:9 3:18 13:15 20:17 22:9 25:1 45:3,4,20 46:8,11 544:2 545:2,15 546:2 547:2 daily 36:10,11,18 40:1 107:1 206:18 **Daimler** 29:21,22 41:7 41:11,14 42:11,25 43:14,16 44:2,5,6,7,13 44:19 45:10,12 90:8,11 90:14,15,15,17,20 91:10,19 93:8,15 129:15,17 175:18 178:11 179:7 188:14 240:7 245:17,18,25 246:22 248:12,14,15,23 248:25 249:25 250:4 253:24 292:25 313:18 409:25 410:13 411:21 412:11 **DaimlerChrysler** 169:13 **Dakota** 71:12,14,15

**Dallas** 14:16 damage 414:15.18 473:18,22,23 474:9 damaged 351:5 **damages** 480:16 **Dan** 120:25 136:17 dangerously 462:5 **darker** 501:1 **DARREN** 19:7 **DARRYL** 17:19 data 453:12 454:14,16 454:18,24 455:8,15 490:11 date 26:9 28:2 32:2 37:7 39:3 46:12 55:1 57:6 85:8 132:11 152:8 154:13 174:25 177:18 202:16 215:1 216:5 252:21 254:23 255:10 268:10.11 269:23 270:5,21 271:4,11 280:1 302:2 366:23 371:6 378:7 379:14 397:21 436:21 443:12 479:6,9 485:3 527:24 541:24 542:1 548:16 **dated** 54:10 55:7 70:15 94:2 152:7 153:21 154:12 216:4 287:18 545:22 546:8 dates 103:10 278:13 **Dave** 119:7 **David** 8:10 12:23 114:20 119:17 120:13 544:13 544:14.15.16.17 **Davidson** 534:25 **DAVIS** 6:8 19:2 day 3:3,12 4:2 36:19 60:20 98:11 108:8 114:9 118:17 137:18 180:15 272:8 279:7 302:12 305:6 413:10 413:11,12 431:4 485:13 489:7 521:8 529:23 531:14 539:20 days 26:11 41:24 83:14 117:10 123:14 144:3

192:6 194:13,15,21,23

195:19 205:10,10 252:19.20.21 253:1 272:10 300:19 301:1 376:16 388:8 389:16 406:4 436:7 489:12 492:21 495:7 542:12 day-to-day 179:9 **DC** 3:15 7:5,21 11:14 192:12 248:18 **deadline** 252:24 300:15 412:13 **deal** 25:7 52:11 63:9,12 101:17 110:11 129:16 131:18 134:7 163:2 207:2 211:3 217:2,23 218:1,10 238:17,19 246:15 256:4,5 257:24 259:1 262:18 263:23 265:7 268:22,23 273:1 291:15 293:1,17 312:9 330:22 337:25 340:4 347:12 348:7 350:12 353:10 388:18 389:5 389:20 390:18 392:19 393:13,20 394:5,12,14 396:14 414:7 433:16 440:6 482:19 484:4 539:5 **dealer** 7:19 195:10 212:15 275:4,11 306:20 360:8 363:1 364:20,22,24 366:18,21 368:21,25 369:8,11,21 369:23 371:1,7,24 373:2,9,11 374:2,7,10 378:3 379:6 382:15 383:3 387:24 390:4,7,9 390:11,13,22 391:14,18 395:15,23 396:3,5,11 398:3 399:6,7 400:3 428:13,19 429:16 443:20,22,23,25 444:8 444:9,11,11,18 445:3,8 445:12,14,15,16,22 447:3,11,14,16,22 448:3.8.9 449:12 452:8

453:22 454:21 457:5

457:10,13 458:9,10,15

458:17,21 459:5,9,15 459:17.20 461:21 462:2 463:7 464:4 465:13,15 472:11 473:16,21 474:6,18 475:1,4 476:11,19,23 477:5,13 479:7,11,15 479:17 480:15 486:6 486:17,18 488:23 491:4,5,12,20 492:18 494:15,25 496:5,22 497:1,9 500:14 505:23 508:24 509:1.10 515:14,15 516:22,22 518:6,6,7 519:8 520:19 521:4 525:19 526:21 526:23 527:4,7,8,11,12 527:15 528:13,18 529:1,12 533:21 534:1 534:12 535:21 536:11 537:12,13,20,25 540:10 540:11,12 542:11,13,16 546:14 162:11,17 163:19 176:6 186:13 191:7 193:23 196:9 205:6 213:14,15 257:24 258:19 275:1 358:8,24

**DALE** 9:9

108.17 100.3 10 18	500:10,11,23 503:16 505:11,16 506:5,6,7,13 506:18 507:4,4,16,21 507:25 508:2,17,20,22 509:3,6 513:2,5 515:2 515:22 516:5,15 517:10,24 518:15,15
	505:11,16 506:5,6,7,13 506:18 507:4,4,16,21 507:25 508:2,17,20,22 509:3,6 513:2,5 515:2 515:22 516:5,15

```
531:15,17 532:3,17
 533:4 535:23 536:3
 538:3,10 541:17,23,25
 546:12
dealership 359:6,13
 360:5,25 361:20
 362:24 363:23 364:17
 365:23 366:16 367:4,7
 367:24 368:8,18,24
 369:3 372:20 374:4,6,6
 376:20 381:22 399:23
 434:25 449:24 450:4
 452:1.17.22 456:24
 459:13,22 464:19
 470:7,11,14,17 479:5
 497:11 499:10,16,20
 507:7 510:19 514:17
 525:23,23 527:19
 529:2 532:2 533:17
 534:10 535:1.1.5.7.9.9
 537:16 538:2 546:13
dealerships 360:14
 362:15 364:3 366:10
 367:9 376:17 379:25
 399:8 428:4 429:15,23
 429:24 430:2,15,17
 432:17,18 450:14
 451:14 455:20,24
 475:17,21 482:10
 485:20,21 499:15
 504:2,3 509:16 525:14
 525:25 533:1,6
dealer's 460:22
dealing 149:6 258:1,8,19
 275:1 542:5
dealings 94:21
deals 142:9 230:23
  326:13
dealt 53:6 177:12 249:22
 254:13 357:5 414:5
 431:11
death 185:8
debate 128:16 534:14,16
debated 506:2
debits 509:7
DEBORAH 6:17 8:15
debt 101:1 102:22 181:9
  191:8,9 250:17 259:4,7
```

```
286:25 287:4,9,11,12
 313:14 314:10.11.15
 315:12 316:12.15.19
 317:1,19 318:25 320:2
 322:14,21 323:5
 332:10 337:15 338:20
 338:23 339:8 343:24
 353:11
debtor 235:14 300:25
 430:14 431:17 432:2
 433:12 434:7 435:6.24
 436:13 439:16 441:20
 442:4 445:3 447:6.21
 454:17,22 455:2,10
 456:6 474:7 477:15
 482:2 542:13
debtors 1:10 2:2,2,6,12
 2:12,16,19 3:4,13 4:3
 27:13 28:1,10 37:2,9
 37:22 38:15 39:2 43:5
 45:1,20 49:15 54:4,7
 55:17 56:1.18 83:13
 214:7,25 216:4 316:23
 358:13 391:2 413:6
 428:25 429:15 431:23
 432:9,14,15,16 434:19
 443:11 449:12 471:10
 471:14,21 472:10,18,23
 473:10,15,17,20,24
 474:5,17,19 482:13
 545:14.15 547:6
Debtors-in-Possession
 3:4.13 4:3
debtor's 37:6 46:11
 54:24 57:5 173:4 432:4
debtor-in-possession
  196:22
debts 35:15 507:16
December 34:15 36:3
  144:4 170:4 179:18,22
 182:24 278:15,21
 280:2 281:17 517:20
decide 58:23 421:17
decided 62:22,24 63:1
 189:3 204:24,24
 260:10 368:13 464:7
 526:9
deciding 473:16,21
```

```
474:6,18
decision 60:5 147:10
  196:25 197:8.23 205:2
 211:18,23 212:22
 213:1,2,12,22 236:17
 236:25 289:3 290:10
 327:18 333:14 340:12
 360:25 361:7 373:9
 422:24 424:10 425:1
 432:8 447:21 456:6
 464:13 470:7 500:21
 505:20 526:25 527:3
  527:10 532:21 536:20
decisions 150:11 176:19
  183:8,9 186:23 372:2
 373:2,3,6,12 425:2
 428:13 433:6 540:3,5
decision-making 514:21
deck 317:13
declaration 37:3.6.17
  38:4,10,15 39:2 79:21
  79:22.23.25 80:17
 82:16 95:16 97:21 99:5
  119:24 120:4,10,13
  133:19,20 138:23
 214:11,17,25 215:6,8
 215:11 260:19,24
 261:6,17 275:21
 277:25 297:10 347:21
 358:14 359:3 434:10
 442:12 443:11.14
 444:25 464:25 518:13
 529:11 530:11,12,17,21
 531:10 532:23 545:17
 545:21.24
declarations 38:25
 214:21 442:16,24
 443:6
declare 44:23
declined 450:14,17
declining 374:7,10
decommissioned 205:23
decrease 374:21
decreased 374:19
deemed 41:22 164:5
  194:11.12 200:24
default 103:1,9,14 105:5
defaults 184:25
```

**defect** 402:22 405:8 **defend** 522:15 defended 453:8 **Defense** 28:9 deficient 492:4 **defined** 171:5 definitely 147:11 487:15 521:3 **definitive** 108:19 109:6 **defray** 444:21 **degree** 33:7,20 254:18,20 259:12,14 374:16 423:22 486:16 **delay** 36:25 248:10 492:15 **delays** 492:10 delegate 126:2 deliberated 462:11 deliberations 48:10 452:13 **deliver** 48:16 49:6,11 55:3 150:19 158:7 179:11,21 391:8 **delivered** 323:2 488:10 **delivering** 53:17 330:24 496:5 **delivery** 157:22 158:24 514:18 Delmarva 22:3 **Delmarva/Pepco** 25:21 demand 187:5 493:8 496:18 **demanded** 130:18 496:22 demands 111:22 350:18 350:19 demographics 185:25 demonstrate 482:2 demonstrating 62:6 **Dempsey** 13:9 162:10 **Dena** 524:22 525:10 **denied** 164:3 429:16 431:17 **deny** 160:8 **DEO** 281:25 **depart** 480:14 **department** 5:11 6:2 65:2,5,14 66:16 67:5

69:20,24 87:3,6 88:22 111:22 112:13 124:23 136:12 153:19 159:18 229:16 281:24 282:11 404:23 461:12,20 500:4 517:15 **depend** 61:7 334:2,2,13 **depended** 380:10 **depending** 35:20 61:4 113:11 327:15 437:12 444:24 457:3 492:19 **depends** 67:19 108:10 109:23 110:15 259:15 307:3,3 328:5,10 329:5 329:5 350:16 380:5 489:3 depos 512:10 **deposition** 120:6,10 161:19 173:10,13 432:7 433:15 434:18 438:17 439:17.25 453:8 485:15 499:12 528:10 542:6,19 **depositions** 440:8 454:12 deposits 26:6 **Depot** 230:16 232:19,21 408:2.6 **deprived** 479:19 482:23 derivative 91:12.19 derivatively 91:14 **derive** 324:11 derived 325:15 **describe** 41:5 69:12 122:21 123:21 130:14 130:22 134:22 166:11

177:12 242:20 244:17 245:5,9 265:9 484:6 **described** 76:6 83:8 168:18 208:19 296:16 describes 70:16 **describing** 37:17 54:12 description 457:2,3 458:20 545:14 546:5 546:11 547:5 descriptor 457:4

466:22

249:13

**desig** 383:2

designate 169:7,11 452:8 **designated** 26:2 173:13 designates 169:15 designation 383:3 designations 173:10 433:15 434:18 438:18 438:25 440:11 designed 495:24 desire 534:1 desires 131:22 **desist** 220:9 desperate 112:23 desperately 210:20,22 211:21 detail 52:18 118:6 311:8 529:21,23 **detailed** 52:15,21 54:12 190:8 details 410:23 468:7 527:2 **deter** 343:4 deteriorated 374:16 deterioration 374:25 424:19 determination 36:5 44:16,21 57:15 59:3 101:24 102:19 108:19 109:6,15,20 118:12 197:4 202:25 335:6 353:7 432:19 452:16 482:3 **determine** 58:12 68:3 161:4,5,7 367:6 453:3 463:24 **determined** 39:8 44:18 58:17 60:14 129:22 179:11 311:3 343:4 373:1 374:5 **determines** 67:19 463:7 **determining** 2:6,8 85:14 101:23 325:5 452:21 **Detroit** 6:15 10:5,8 18:6 21:6 141:1 170:10 182:13 189:2 193:5

**develop** 123:11 124:2 126:7.9.11 178:6 181:15 190:20 193:18 325:2.8 **developed** 100:10 131:8 179:6 developing 104:12 122:25 247:20 development 15:19 146:6 176:17 497:1 509:14 521:6 developments 47:13 deviation 184:10 **Dewey** 9:11 426:6 **de-merger** 178:21 180:8 180:10 **dialogue** 69:16 192:20 192:22 221:20 227:14 227:16 245:2 dialogues 195:22 **Diamond** 112:14 dictate 113:3 **dictated** 65:2,10 66:2 113:6 207:2 **died** 407:7 difference 224:5 230:3,8 230:9 242:5 278:13 539:9 **different** 35:22 91:16 105:12 109:1 121:9 124:19 141:12 144:18 144:20 145:5 149:24 157:12.12 161:11 177:23 181:15 185:25 190:14.14 191:2.2 210:10 231:16 243:13 307:6,6 324:3 335:22 343:24 344:19 371:25 393:1 426:16 456:12 462:10 463:24 465:8 465:10 500:2 501:17 502:1 506:12 507:2,3 513:3 514:3,4,5 519:6 536:16 differently 340:15 difficult 111:21 112:11 128:7 132:11 483:9 **difficulties** 85:3 185:11

288:25

405:7

devaluing 466:8 devastating 360:10

516-608-2400 212-267-6868

difficulty 209:15 **diligence** 53:19 181:5 297:11.14.17 298:8 311:9,15 396:5 398:2 **diligently** 66:11 78:5 106:25 **diluted** 241:18 diminution 488:23 **dip** 181:21 196:22 256:25 259:12,19 300:25 301:5 305:13 305:17 306:7 335:13 335:16 336:1,9 349:12 349:16 386:11,13,15,17 403:15 dire 62:1 114:4 129:22 206:22 direct 28:15 44:25 54:4 66:16 67:5 74:9 87:1 91:17.23 92:1 119:14 121:1 144:15 145:13 148:2.14 150:6 154:14 155:14 156:16 158:23 162:18 174:13 242:13 289:23 355:20 362:21 362:23 398:12 414:6 427:19 428:17 433:5 434:23,25 438:13 442:8 462:14 464:18 464:22,24 466:22 504:6,11 directed 67:3 99:8 145:4 287:13 **direction** 88:21 91:2 175:8,9 176:13 196:1 504:16 directions 176:18 directly 120:21,22 137:19 173:6 199:2 239:14 282:9 336:14 371:12 432:8 483:8 487:25 488:7 **director** 36:17 49:13 91:13 119:21 122:20 125:2 386:23 408:11 443:20,22 **directors** 29:2 31:21 34:23 35:4 37:12 48:11

50:17 78:13,16,18 117:11 169:7.11 177:3 177:4,5 213:19 387:10 406:15 411:3 479:24 disadvantaged 321:18 disadvantaging 322:1 **disagree** 307:18 431:13 disappointing 194:10 **discharge** 70:4,5 86:11 disciplines 191:2,12 disclose 433:6 disclosed 408:22 **disclosure** 116:23 299:25 **discontinue** 95:9 108:16 108:18 221:15,17 238:1 292:25 discontinued 109:13,17 **Discontinuing** 2:5 discounted 96:16 discounting 507:23 discovered 305:16 discovery 454:12 **Discriminating** 2:5 discuss 173:12 251:21 290:7 468:5 485:17 538:25 **discussed** 38:18 54:17 59:1 106:25 108:23 111:2.3 120:17 139:22 146:13 284:9 353:14 370:25 371:7 378:11 392:4 408:15 411:19 415:16 456:5 479:19 **discussing** 220:15 251:19 296:7 **discussion** 54:9 55:23 73:24 192:17 199:6,10 203:21,22 206:2 209:23 211:12 238:17 238:20 248:21 249:11 251:6 267:8,20,25 274:10,16 275:2,9,18 282:5 286:16 287:14 293:4,20 295:6,16,25 298:8 300:23 371:9,11 395:3 409:24 410:6.15 456:11 536:17.21.22

discussions 42:21,24

92:18 109:4,10 130:6 145:12.17 170:25 189:10 193:3 199:11 200:20,21,24 201:3 207:7,20 210:4,13 239:9 245:16,18 267:18,21,22 269:15 271:9 272:25 273:3 281:22,23 282:1,4 286:10,17,20 288:12 291:23 292:25 300:12 300:14.16 301:5 311:16 315:8 335:1 336:23 337:13 339:19 339:23 347:5,9 368:10 371:3 391:19 393:10 395:6 397:18 398:15 403:21,24 404:1,7,10 404:11,16 409:23 425:24 452:13 456:18 464:6 536:11 dislocation 42:16 disorderly 99:18 displeasure 505:19 **dispute** 161:16 538:14 dissatisfaction 513:20 dissolve 241:7 426:24 distance 533:21 **distinction** 91:12 501:24 504:14 523:22 **Distinguishing** 91:21 distraction 181:7 **distress** 107:17 445:12 **distressed** 451:10,22 462:1.2 463:2 476:7 **distributed** 151:18 153:2 153:14 198:16 distribution 152:6.11 154:11 220:5 265:19 299:17 343:24 546:7 **district** 1:3 5:13 83:14 disturbing 479:17 **Division** 11:5 14:5 **divulge** 235:7 304:10 **DLA** 9:2 **document** 37:21.25 43:5 50:10 69:4 73:23 74:2 74:5 78:14 90:25 99:7

120:3 152:5,10,15,24 153:2.5.6.13.14.17.21 153:24 154:10,15 155:4,10 156:7,14 157:7 159:25 160:7,15 161:4,20,23 162:1 166:1,14 189:11 197:14,16 203:17 208:24 214:8 215:3,14 215:16,20,23,24 221:4 221:5 225:13 236:19 240:9 297:18 298:20 344:3.14 366:2 378:3 378:14,20 379:14 410:22 411:7 434:19 438:18 452:7,9,11,12 453:19,21 454:6,8 481:13,21,23 483:11 484:18 498:19,20,25 500:25 501:11 502:2.7 510:24 540:6 541:20 546:6 documentation 142:24 143:1 **documents** 28:13 46:4 49:14 131:24 160:14 204:10 378:22 379:1 385:10 392:2 434:20 478:2 479:2,19 480:22 481:3 482:1,9,12,20 483:1,2,13 512:10,12 512:15 523:13 **Dodge** 11:19 71:8,12,20 71:23,25 72:2,4 207:18 208:5 260:16 374:4 380:2 381:14 388:3 447:19 450:10 458:15 458:17 459:9,21 465:13 475:11,11 491:2,4 492:18 494:6 503:4,13 519:12 527:12 529:1 531:25 535:21,24,25 **DOE** 314:5 315:20,21,24 **doing** 44:8 64:13 190:23 195:5 254:1 276:2 277:21 278:2 279:4 281:19 282:14,15

212-267-6868 516-608-2400

284:9 296:10 297:1 305:12 328:16.19 345:5 428:19 465:11 470:19 472:11 503:20 505:11 515:4 518:8 520:11,22 531:2 532:11,13 534:19 539:5 **dollar** 41:11 42:11 44:2 84:12 154:20 156:18 156:21 161:25 168:4 172:6 188:3 212:2 218:3 223:9,19,20 229:12 259:10 286:4 308:12,19,22 314:1,5 328:8,8,9 332:9,13 335:8 336:4 339:25 348:10 393:18 dollars 43:16,24 44:8 47:7 63:16 65:17 77:24 78:3,7,9 79:13,16 80:7 80:19 84:19 95:20 96:25 97:6,23,24 108:12 110:11 111:14 112:17 118:5,8,15 154:18 162:3,5 181:10 184:15,16 185:17 186:22 187:14 188:13 189:6,14,24 199:14,15 206:5 209:4,8,10,25 210:9 211:19 217:13 219:22 220:22 221:9 222:2,7,7,11 223:6,13 223:21 224:14 229:18 256:10 257:5.5 259:4 262:9,12,13 276:24 277:8,12 281:25 290:21 292:20 305:19 309:12 310:18 312:6 313:14 315:6,12,21 317:19 318:3 319:1,4 320:2,3 321:13 322:9 322:14,21 323:5 324:14,15,20 325:18,21 325:25 326:6 327:4,19 328:3 332:9 335:13.14 335:19 336:6 339:5.6 339:13,24 340:7,11,16

340:20 341:19 342:22 343:3.24 350:21 353:8 376:4,4 384:5,5 403:13 445:1,13 468:19 487:5 520:16 528:15 536:14 539:20,23 540:1 domain 454:19 domestic 486:4 domestics 505:10 domino 351:16 **Donald** 15:16 479:15 510:20 534:24 door 51:8.13 **doors** 188:17 dots 420:1,2 **dotted** 168:10 doubt 77:18 149:14 **DOWD** 7:7 downsize 373:22 downsizing 519:18 downward 184:12,13,24 277:1 **DOYLE** 14:2 dozen 125:12 162:24 416:21 **do-ability** 207:25 **draft** 72:21,23,25 74:18 75:21 76:19,21,22,25 77:12 79:3,22,25 105:8 142:24 143:1 drafting 79:23 **drafts** 105:11 410:19 dramatically 476:3 draw 188:3,7,12 501:24 504:13 **drawing** 77:3 192:7

523:5,23

425:13

534:3,10

**dual** 528:25

**dualed** 532:7

drivability 419:25

driving 500:21,24

**drop** 117:9 346:5

**dropped** 117:13,20

due 35:16 181:5 268:21

297:10 298:8 311:9,15

drive 22:5 23:4 533:20

315:25 316:2 396:5 398:2 468:7 472:5 482:23 487:12 511:13 511:14 duly 27:20 119:10 174:12 **duplicate** 506:17,17 **durability** 180:16 425:14 **durable** 83:15,16,19,20 **Durango** 187:4 346:20 346:23 **Durango/Aspen** 71:17 duress 451:21 **Dusett** 528:1.23 duties 34:18 35:1,18 50:7 50:13 51:19,21 86:6 88:23 89:4 121:6 122:21,23 303:18,23 304:15,20 310:15,21 330:22 332:7 333:1,2 333:10,17 340:22,25 **duty** 33:16 34:2,5 86:9 86:12 304:23 305:1 341:6 duty's 33:23 **DX** 215:11 **dynamics** 430:22 **D-segment** 506:17 **D-486** 548:9 **D.C** 134:23 **d/b/a** 9:4  $\mathbf{E}$ 

e 1:20,20 3:2,2 11:16 12:8 16:21 21:8 25:1,1 45:7,7,8,20 46:8,11 69:4 88:19 251:22 544:2,4 545:2,4,13,15 546:2,4 547:2 548:2 Eagle 527:21,22 528:25 earlier 25:20,24 26:14 39:4 48:8 54:17 120:18 132:12 140:14 181:3 222:9 237:2 249:1 251:5 276:8,25 283:15 283:22 286:15 294:21 308:21 339:2 360:7 369:16 370:21,25

375:18 388:9,14 389:13 409:23 418:4 420:6 462:14 469:23 493:20 510:18 512:15 520:14 534:8 535:8 540:7 early 27:6 39:9 124:11 162:19 182:19 189:12 200:23 439:5 450:11 468:20 522:11 542:16 earn 326:16 **earned** 326:18 earnings 460:23,24 462:3 463:16 easier 273:12 358:18 easily 219:22 221:9 294:15 East 3:5 13:11 14:22 24:4 Eberspaecher 21:12 **EBITDA** 318:8,18 326:14 economic 116:24 119:21 211:4 300:1 450:19 490:1 495:12 economically 353:8 520:20 522:8 economics 268:22 **economy** 149:22 184:13 184:24 205:9 212:13 350:1 351:4 450:18 487:14,15 489:22 491:9,20 519:7,17 534:17 **EDC** 15:19 **edited** 80:2 educate 33:10 **EDWARD** 11:10 **effect** 42:13 113:19 296:25 309:24 441:25 447:17 459:20 505:22 **effecting** 275:10 283:16 effective 175:15 Effectively 431:9 **effects** 161:8 360:11 **efficiencies** 509:10.11 **efficient** 205:21 374:5

**effort** 50:1 141:10

164:16 191:2 218:18
229:4,5,9 280:17,25
286:19 363:21 364:1
364:21 365:15,22
370:11,14 383:3,6
439:16 448:19 536:14
<b>efforts</b> 69:10 108:7 145:5
195:5 209:21 217:1
361:2,5 364:16 469:6
eight 154:18,20 315:22
325:17,21,25 326:2,3
326:24 327:20,20
343:24 379:21 380:16
448:24,25 456:9
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
508:25 519:5
<b>eighteen</b> 374:23 399:21
400:2 401:3 423:18,19
ŕ
424:1 492:19
<b>eighty</b> 143:18 179:7
232:3 246:1 263:25
264:1 370:7 532:10
<b>eighty-five</b> 263:4 264:2,7
264:20
eighty-something 503:19
either 29:6 93:7 103:20
126:25 127:4,8 129:9
133:16 159:10 169:14
184:22 205:3 213:5
237:3 240:16 265:5
316:25 321:18 350:24
357:16 363:18 370:7
373:11 395:19 437:2
446:4 474:23 482:10
516:19 523:15 526:4
542:8
elbow 494:5
<b>elected</b> 66:19 69:15,19
94:9,10,12,14,18
122:13 124:16,16
140:15 238:3 336:9
376:25 377:4,7
,
<b>Electric</b> 9:3 232:24
<b>element</b> 256:5 259:5
490:22 505:13 514:9
521:3
<b>elements</b> 161:6,12 251:8
256:3 341:16 372:25
451:16 462:2,13
102.2,10

```
464:11,16 503:18
eleventh 217:8 218:4
 293:19.20
elicit 355:4 429:4 431:24
eliminate 128:22 129:2
  344:9 488:19,21
 490:16 506:15 509:12
 521:6
eliminated 182:19,22,23
elimination 109:3
  366:24 368:12
eliminations 346:13.14
Ellis 524:22
ELLMAN 4:8
Elm 23:14
Embarcadero 10:15
emerge 338:14 375:8
emergence 262:14
emerging 260:1
empathetic 69:14
employ 205:7 360:20
  362:4.14
employed 122:2
employee 155:7 168:22
  373:12 386:23 538:16
employees 34:8 122:2,4
  186:16,24 205:6
 213:14,14 299:3
 358:24 360:12.21.24
 361:1,5,8,10,11,16,19
 362:9,18 400:8,13,14
 436:22 474:20 475:2
 475:13,19 476:4,8
 508:10,25 516:16
employer 437:21 438:1
employers 122:19
  123:23 437:4,7
employment 238:2
 239:11 415:1,3,10
 475:9
encompass 162:22
encompassed 166:24
 371:22
encountered 305:14
encourage 469:7 477:4
 484:15
encouraged 444:11,12
encouragement 444:20
```

```
encouraging 469:11
Encumbrances 2:20
ended 186:25 187:4
endorse 137:16
endorsed 320:19
endorsing 320:19 321:1
 321:2
ends 406:18 433:9
end-of-month 300:15
energetically 445:18
Energies 9:4
energy 26:13 213:17
 282:12 392:19
engage 123:14 126:13
  131:25 142:7 279:6
 331:16 347:2
engaged 39:23 131:12
 134:9 135:12,14
 177:23 317:11
engagement 192:2
 311:14
engine 209:14 269:17
 325:9
engineering 208:10
 244:4 325:3
engineers 208:11,13
engines 209:18
ENGLISH 7:8 11:2
enhance 337:11 499:20
 499:23
enhanced 514:17
enjov 171:5 497:18
 498:2 500:11
enjoyed 372:3 520:7
enjoys 372:2
ensued 335:1
ensure 82:8 85:22 86:15
 99:10 129:7
enter 47:1 67:6 91:22
 483:1
entered 35:25 36:5 39:8
 41:11 63:5 145:14
 152:6 154:11 192:20
 341:16 347:7 437:25
 454:12 480:6 546:8
entering 58:3 207:1
 402:10
enterprise 73:5,6,7
```

311:17 318:2 319:4 323:13 372:25 **enters** 35:19 **entire** 77:1 173:13 178:23 189:12 196:14 209:8,11 289:12,14 325:2 389:7 403:4 442:5 445:13 456:2 476:11 479:21 494:13 494:15 497:14 518:6 538:9 **entirely** 259:19 289:20 464:21 466:13 506:9 **entirety** 334:15 337:20 507:21 entities 25:21 90:9,16,22 91:7 93:7,8,16 **entitled** 54:8 76:12 155:7 156:2 166:2,15 197:15 406:4 429:3 437:7 457:7 481:16 entitlement 239:20 **entity** 35:15 40:10 59:5 60:16 67:12 93:2 165:14 entrance 183:3 entrusted 312:23 entry 25:17 28:11 547:6 environment 239:5.6 317:24 327:16 334:3 450:19 500:1 environmental 258:16 274:9.13.15 envisioned 269:24 270:12 envisioning 316:19 **equate** 400:5 equipment 441:22 **equitable** 200:13 250:6 **equity** 165:6,14 166:9 196:11 209:25 210:10 239:19 245:21,24 249:19,23 250:8,17 251:6 265:19 267:5,7 267:11,13,17,19,23,25 268:1.21 269:20 308:19 309:1,18,21,25 310:3,5,18 311:1,5,6,18

317:22,23 320:3 324:15 325:22.23 327:3 328:4 330:25 335:20 411:22 425:4 **equivalent** 29:1 325:11 **erect** 536:7 Eric 12:16 392:13 **ERIN** 15:24 **erode** 79:20 82:14 ER&D 325:3 **especially** 329:17 534:8 534:9 **espoused** 497:11 **ESQ** 3:8,9,10,17,18 4:8 4:15,16,17 5:7,8,9 6:9 6:17,25 7:7,8,16,23 8:7 8:14,15,22 9:9,16,17,18 10:10,20 11:10,16,23 11:24 12:8,9,16,23,24 13:7,15,16,17,18,19 14:9,18,25 15:7,16,24 16:9.10.21.22.23 17:10 17:19 18:8,17 19:7,17 20:9,17 21:8,17 22:9 22:16 23:8 24:7 **essence** 463:23 essentially 403:17 405:16 **Esserman** 14:11.18 407:4,5 409:8,17,19,22 410:3,9,10 412:15,23 413:17,21 414:1,3,25 415:19 544:24 **establish** 114:2 139:18 288:9 429:1 495:21 **established** 2:10 117:13 131:1,3 **establishing** 2:8 101:12 establishment 201:25 **estate** 64:18 65:24 66:9 66:23 100:1 106:22 107:12.21 391:2 418:8 418:18 476:18 485:19 495:22.25 496:2 **estates** 449:12 **estate's** 89:15 estimate 191:11 263:24 282:20,23

et 1:8 11:4,19 14:4 18:3 25:8 192:21 193:20 208:15 209:9 211:4 299:6 371:5 etcetera 177:17 194:4 251:7 267:12 273:17 273:20 277:5,11 528:14 **Europe** 497:4 **euros** 116:9 **evaluate** 81:12 322:18 **evaluated** 321:15.17 evaluating 450:25 **evaluation** 51:1 300:5 334:22 340:18 evaporated 187:9 **eve** 217:2 evening 223:11 228:18 272:6 340:9 358:6,11 358:12 388:6.7 389:7 392:13,15 401:17,22,23 416:2.3 426:5 436:23 438:13 449:22,23 485:11.12 event 26:1 44:24 84:12 87:21 105:4 405:7 514:20 532:25 **events** 216:23 everybody 152:18 189:12 244:6 353:17 486:24 505:12 Everyone's 486:25 **EVID** 545:14 546:5.11 evidence 38:10,14 39:3 45:19 46:2.12.15 50:2 51:15 52:12 54:15 55:1 56:18,19 57:6 73:22 87:10,19 113:20 115:14 120:13 154:7 154:13 160:9 195:12 195:25 214:17 215:1,4 215:21 216:3,5 224:12 333:21 352:7 412:8 433:4 438:24 443:5.7 443:12 477:15,19 479:5.8 483:23 485:3 540:23 541:24 542:1 **evident** 161:14

evidentiary 216:9 **evolved** 270:22 exact 90:14 194:18 210:25 236:11 270:21 280:1 285:22 302:3 306:15 366:23 372:6 373:16 376:3,15 377:5 384:4 423:2 468:17 exactly 52:22 68:6 149:8 167:14 198:1 202:16 228:13 242:2 291:8 307:23 346:6 386:13 387:8 395:19 423:4.20 429:6 454:5 481:22 484:16,16 527:14 **EXAM** 544:5 545:5 **examination** 27:23 28:15 46:19 52:18 59:17 93:22,25 119:14 144:15 145:13 155:14 158:23 162:18 172:15 174:13 355:11.14 356:5 426:3 438:13 442:8 538:21 examine 173:16 434:10 455:7 510:3 **examined** 74:1 427:24 **examining** 87:22 511:7 **example** 167:18 182:23 185:20 195:8,9 208:4 233:23 234:4 244:19 273:17 307:8 324:13 325:2,5,8 347:10 350:19,20 361:23 454:2 458:11 491:4 492:18 500:2 509:20 513:9 **exceed** 154:18 489:6 exceeded 181:8 **exceeds** 342:22 490:9 Excellent 49:5 exception 50:25 excess 343:3 458:18,19 458:21 505:11 507:18 539:19 **exchange** 161:7 166:8 189:11 245:21 267:11 267:17 293:16 310:2

312:21 335:7 408:4 excitement 178:10 exciting 178:7 **exclamation** 251:22,25 252:3 253:3 exclusive 529:2 exclusively 144:3 excuse 25:2 49:20 74:7 160:5 163:12 185:5 289:8 363:10 384:7,15 396:8 480:2 511:17.19 **excused** 438:15 execute 329:6 **executed** 394:3 410:4 **execution** 43:10 45:18 300:16 **executive** 120:24 124:24 136:13 138:7 350:9 executives 230:21 Executory 2:21 **exercise** 264:6 276:4 277:22 278:3 325:16 344:17 347:2 516:2 **exercised** 432:2 482:5 exercising 341:7 exhausted 213:17 **exhibit** 27:21 28:1,4,9,10 28:14 37:2,2,6,9,9,22 38:6,15 39:2 43:4,5,7,9 45:1,3,4,7,7,8,14,17,20 46:8,11,15,16 54:4,7,17 54:24 55:17 56:1,18 57:5 69:3 70:10 78:12 86:25 87:9 152:2,5,10 154:7,10 164:7 165:25 166:1 197:16 214:7,25 215:12,14 216:4 358:13,15,17,19 378:4 378:5,12 379:6 381:20 382:15.20 443:11 452:8 453:9 463:6 464:9,11,14 477:14 479:4,7 483:22,25 485:2 492:3 501:4 514:16 524:19 541:23 541:25 545:16 **exhibits** 45:20 46:11 49:15 59:16 438:25

541:10,12,23 546:17

501:7 540:23 541:3 545:15 exist 401:6.7 410:21 **existed** 401:10 **existence** 127:12 386:1 **existing** 41:8 447:13 459:1 516:20 exit 183:2 196:23 257:7 262:13 **exiting** 257:4 532:20 **expand** 374:3 459:15 460:5,7 475:18,24 476:3.15 482:18 491:5 491:20 **expanding** 459:5,17 491:22,24 expansion 475:12 expansive 420:3 **expect** 68:6,8,15,16,25 98:24 233:12.14.16 234:16 349:14 465:2 471:10 489:9 490:2 495:6 497:14 515:24 519:18 520:15 527:13 527:16 expectation 68:13 234:18 408:18 476:2 489:8,8 493:20 **expected** 154:18 349:16 349:17 492:5 **expecting** 475:23 476:15 515:21 542:19 **expects** 493:22 515:19 expedience 525:7 expedited 437:11 **expeditious** 115:19,21 **expended** 259:15 expenditure 184:8 expenditures 123:5 176:17 206:19 325:4 **expense** 363:5 369:15 425:13 444:20,23 473:24 505:24,25 506:6 508:20,21 509:1 509:3 **expenses** 362:21,23 363:3.13.14 464:18.22 487:23 488:18 508:21

509:5 **experience** 55:19 97:16 105:10 107:16 118:3 141:16 142:15 164:25 175:19 176:7 177:16 203:7 231:20 240:16 240:18 265:16 347:19 397:6 421:9 499:20,24 500:12,15 501:20 505:15 513:6 514:7,8 539:15 experienced 323:22 **experiences** 34:3 325:10 experiencing 211:6,6 **expert** 33:3 51:13,14,15 51:16,16 76:11 81:11 369:1 **expertise** 50:21 165:8 **experts** 50:19 51:6,6 52:14 59:10 129:6,9 152:19 311:4 325:12 325:14 **expire** 126:4 **expires** 143:24 **explain** 127:5 252:10 275:6,7 376:2 448:22 460:19 467:25 497:10 511:11 539:9 **explained** 140:18,22 250:19 276:8 293:15 461:7 explaining 138:20 167:14 explanation 193:6 252:13 explanatory 127:2 **explore** 161:11 267:10 432:11 explored 330:17 exploring 344:20 **Export** 15:19 **exposure** 414:16,19 **express** 313:13 **expressed** 170:9 203:12

209:1 505:19 506:8

expressing 311:22

expressly 390:15

**extend** 388:11 **extended** 217:12 511:22 539:15 **extensive** 34:3 58:5 178:16 189:10 208:25 259:1 366:9 399:24 extensively 529:23 extent 56:17,22 57:1 65:15 84:19 138:18,20 139:7 357:6 386:1 428:16 430:13 472:13 473:8 486:4 487:11.23 508:15 519:3 541:16 **external** 30:10 114:17 129:5 extra 28:7 390:18 506:6 extraordinary 142:19 155:15.18 **Extreme** 47:15 **extremely** 37:16 39:23 177:19 321:8 419:19 eve 461:23 509:3 eves 479:3 541:16 eves-only 477:21 478:11 478:23 **e-mail** 36:18,20 55:12 87:24 88:1,3,5,7 93:24 94:1 106:10 114:19,22 116:5,15,20 211:9 219:4,12 220:23 222:15 224:8.24 225:14,19 226:11,18 228:7 230:12 246:5 248:4,5,5,9 249:12 253:7,10 255:1,10,12 255:14,17 284:17 285:5 286:3 287:18,22 288:6,10 289:5,12,15 290:17 291:12 293:10 293:11,15 294:14,25,25 298:3 299:15 378:5 379:6,9 484:1,8 485:2 524:21 525:17 527:13 527:20,24 528:1,7,8 529:6,10 546:15 **e-mailed** 512:9.15 **e-mails** 228:15.17 291:6 484:10 523:14 541:4,7

**E.D** 11:5 14:5 F **F** 1:20 3:17 548:2 face 112:12 113:23 134:4 489:8 491:19 faced 113:24 facilitate 275:11 **facilities** 64:4 208:9 212:17 273:19 274:21 368:6 444:22 445:17 459:10 475:24 491:23 493:5,7,8 500:14 514:17 528:16 531:11 531:17 532:25 533:7 facility 43:25 63:23 64:25 66:5 187:4,5 208:6,20,22 209:11 482:18 491:5,20 492:7 492:20,25 493:24 532:2 536:7,12,15 facing 37:14 38:7 458:9 458:10 465:13,15 527:12 529:1 **fact** 48:3 49:6 55:3 61:25 64:6 65:10 67:25 76:6 88:24 97:19.24 100:20 113:20 114:5 139:11 146:16 159:1 167:2 192:11 193:2 197:25 200:4 205:17,25 207:25 212:21,22 220:17 222:10 224:11 229:11 271:20 274:23 277:13 279:16 280:7 280:17 293:5 325:12 328:11 335:13 336:4 345:15 350:5,9 351:2 352:23 365:7 367:15 375:22 376:18 383:11 406:25 417:9,14,23 424:20 446:21 456:14 468:23 469:12 472:9 473:23 479:20 485:19 487:5 488:3,22 489:7 489:17 496:18 502:20

503:14 527:10 533:3

534:11 537:14 538:4 **factor** 350:3 450:18 463:6 464:3 473:24 **factored** 490:19 492:13 **factories** 186:14,15,16 187:2 277:2,10 301:24 323:11 371:4 372:1 375:17,17 **factors** 452:16,19 456:12 462:10 463:24 464:11 482:9.11.21 497:3 500:24 540:2,5 **factory** 209:8 facts 33:10 35:20,23 48:13 150:12 261:20 297:8 352:6 412:7 468:5 factual 116:16 331:15 **fails** 142:3 **failure** 50:12 443:14 fair 42:1,3 50:22 56:8,9 84:17 85:6,9,11,12 95:3 96:4 97:3 101:20 102:3,11 105:20 109:11 125:16 129:25 132:2 133:4 164:14 165:4,16 177:24,25 183:17 198:22 200:13 258:11 265:9 282:25 285:10 291:22 292:1 294:9 317:8,18 324:6 334:16 337:10 345:9 349:24 364:7 371:13 390:17 487:3,4,12 488:16 500:9 503:24 503:24 506:18 513:22 538:8 **fairly** 184:14 350:10 403:18 fairness 47:21,23,24 48:1 48:7,10,16 49:7,22 51:2,4,5 52:1,7 53:7 55:3,10,19,25 56:5,7,24 57:5,9 72:22 74:17,19 76:11 78:12 79:3 81:11 100:19 101:12.14.24 102:20 105:8.11 117:7 117:10,17 198:11

311:15,21 312:21 545:20 **faith** 50:8 52:24.25 527:1 **fall** 29:16 31:6 99:18,21 99:23 109:22 280:8 295:6 296:1 421:9 **falling** 187:18 **falloff** 277:9 **familiar** 40:16 47:21 48:1,2 91:12 92:3 125:16 127:20 180:7 189:25 197:16 250:2 259:20 266:4 307:15 308:6,7 407:9 409:10 495:19 535:5 **families** 186:24 family 425:14 516:6 **far** 37:15 61:25 95:12 260:7 301:18 304:1 313:16 331:3 334:3 349:8 408:21 413:1 456:23 490:9 504:5 532:10 **fared** 348:3 **fashion** 35:16 fast 160:13 184:21 209:4 209:5 477:24 fate 285:17 286:1 **favor** 143:17 **feasible** 208:2.18 **features** 164:22 424:11 **February** 57:16 98:3,4,8 99:2 103:19,22 130:21 131:2 132:7,11 133:2 133:23 134:25 170:16 170:20 190:1,8 194:2 266:4 267:24 269:18 270:12 276:17,20,24 292:7 320:8,19 321:2 322:13,15 375:13,22 380:1,5,10 381:8,12,13 382:5 392:23,24 468:24 469:1,8,9 517:20 521:10 **federal** 133:15 433:3 **fee** 84:12.15 286:4.6.8.8 feedback 176:25 feel 213:16 250:14

252:12 364:12 433:5 458:20 489:19 521:13 **feelings** 523:23 fees 290:21 408:21 471:2 526:13.18 FEINSTEIN 14:2 **Feldman** 87:2,5,6 88:7 88:14,25 219:4,7,8,15 225:12 226:4,19 227:5 254:19,21 255:2,13,23 255:25 284:23 285:5 291:5 294:14 **Feldstein** 14:25 436:4.10 436:16 **fell** 110:2 184:21,22 400:21 401:1 482:10 felt 181:25 182:16 189:22 193:25 205:19 207:7 253:22 273:9 278:17 312:5 335:6 347:12 374:1 489:17 521:12 530:22 **Fenton** 441:23 **fewer** 397:13,14 514:13 **Fiat** 14:21 15:3 42:1,4,7 42:14,18 47:9,10,13 48:17 57:12,12 58:3,4 58:14,17,24 59:4,7 62:23 63:2,5,9,12 68:7 68:13 96:20 97:7 115:5 116:8 117:5 135:2,3,18 135:18,21,24 136:6 146:4 154:22 165:16 165:19,23 166:8,9,11 166:16,20 167:5,8 171:3,9 191:15,16,25 192:2 193:19 194:14 195:23 196:17,20 198:22 202:21,24 210:24 213:5 233:8 241:5 247:11 260:11 261:19,25 262:3,4,6,8 262:10,16,18 263:1,7 263:20 264:14 265:5 265:20,21 267:2,4,6,8 267:13 268:18.20.20.24 269:11,15,19,20,24 270:3,14 271:17 272:9

273:7 283:18,25 286:22 297:11 298:9 298:13.18.22 299:1.7 300:5 311:9 312:22 323:15,17 324:23 325:17 326:20 327:21 329:9 335:1 339:3 343:8 389:5,10,20,22 390:13 391:12 393:10 393:13,20,20 394:4,19 395:1,7,23 396:2,10 398:3,6,16 404:2,17 409:11 411:2 423:24 436:10 470:8,10,13 477:2,4 496:18 497:1 538:23 Fiat's 154:16 325:11 329:14 441:6 FICA 185:21 186:1 fiduciaries 89:16 **fiduciary** 33:15,23 34:2 34:5.18 35:1.18 50:7 51:19 53:1 70:4,5 86:5 86:9,12,15 88:23 89:4 177:5 303:18,20,23 304:15,20,23 310:15,21 330:22 332:7,12 333:1 333:2,10,17 334:3,10 340:22,24 341:6,7 353:17 **field** 18:4 375:9 376:21 449:1 508:24 **fields** 121:9 **fifteen** 30:2 32:9,10 283:2 389:16 510:1.6 fifteen-minute 435:14 **fifth** 17:6 24:12 289:4 290:6 **fifties** 417:23 **fifty** 32:3 146:15 178:9 196:10 209:1 231:12 263:24 281:25 282:2,8 282:11 308:25 315:21 327:3,19 360:20,22 462:22 491:9 518:18 519:17 524:10 529:12 529:15.18 **fifty-five** 32:3 157:3

159:20 168:3,7 262:24 263:4,6,12,22 264:1,2,6 264:17,20 265:3 **fifty-one** 290:8,22 Fifty-three 537:10 **fifty-two** 381:17 382:20 **figure** 82:22 100:10 285:8 418:2 420:14 **file** 28:14 63:7,8,20 66:16,19 172:19 197:1 197:4 204:24 205:3 206:6 210:23 236:17 236:25 279:3 355:6,22 355:23,23 360:17 388:20,23 437:1 **filed** 45:24 57:16 163:14 164:7 222:12 223:2 279:1 300:12 355:8,25 368:14 387:2 389:9,17 412:10 414:12,14 430:21 453:14 477:16 485:8 541:2 **filing** 63:11 108:9 164:24 195:1 206:12 212:8 216:24 217:2 227:7 242:21,21 271:20 368:9,15 388:9 390:1 393:21 440:1 470:16 470:19 516:15 521:22 **final** 2:4,17 25:17 26:17 46:1 82:15 90:24,25 117:10 123:14 150:25 152:17,22,23 153:12 156:14 158:7 339:25 340:10 389:3,5 395:20 395:21 457:25 525:3 547:6 finalization 234:4 **finalized** 124:5 412:22 **finally** 45:13 85:20 99:8 129:20 212:6 389:8 437:24 **finance** 59:3 78:25 89:10 170:3 177:16 350:23 370:3 386:4,6 **financed** 61:8 67:12 **financial** 9:12 16:16 19:12 30:11 49:4 50:22

61:19 62:3,18 77:23 78:7 85:12 88:18 104:12 113:16,17 114:3 129:19 163:20 163:22 176:10 178:3 178:20 179:15 184:25 195:16 198:22 206:14 286:5 292:19 349:1 356:7,10 370:5,9 374:3 376:1 377:1 384:23 426:6.10 449:6.9 451:21 460:22 461:8 461:13,14 462:18 463:22 522:10 532:19 **financially** 211:3 451:10 451:22 463:2,11 465:15 476:7 492:3 financials 105:1 **financing** 43:17 60:4,7,9 60:11,13,15,23 61:7,11 61:14,15 62:7 63:14 64:18,21 65:1,4,7 66:20,21 67:9 85:18 113:10,12 118:12 188:1 241:6 256:5,7,14 256:20,25 257:3,7,12 257:14 258:18 259:12 259:19 262:13 280:19 281:7.18 348:22 349:12,17,20,22 386:13 426:20 467:17.22.24 468:10 **FinCo** 240:25 241:2,4,5 241:7 369:23 386:7 426:7,13,15,19,24 **find** 74:16 75:1 135:23 150:8,10 202:17 218:19 219:22 221:9 221:20,21 224:8 230:9 294:8,15 337:20 353:9 363:19 365:25 422:9 446:2 479:17 484:4 499:5 516:24 findings 118:7 **fine** 27:24 159:10 216:6 243:19 355:17 363:15 411:14 433:25 440:2

443:17 448:15 455:12

483:14 525:15 541:21 **finish** 46:24 74:7 80:14 87:14 249:7 342:23 362:11,12 394:1 422:4 423:9,11 435:2 510:13 510:14 **finished** 199:3 354:8,11 **firm** 35:3,5 86:5,8 92:7 106:7 208:23 246:11 498:13 534:25 **first** 21:4 25:9 26:13 28:19 34:6,9 36:22 38:20 40:15 50:1,3,24 53:14,15 58:18 60:13 61:12 63:22 64:14,20 64:24 65:16,19,19,22 66:4,10,17 67:7 69:4,9 71:2 80:14,18 93:24 96:22 97:6,11 99:13 100:20 101:2,6 102:17 103:1 104:2 105:5 111:5,6 114:9 124:6,14 129:6 130:3,21 131:12 131:21,23 140:16 142:2 144:14 147:11 154:15 155:17 156:16 159:17 160:3 166:6,7 166:18 170:12 174:11 178:8 179:5 180:12 181:9,10 183:25 184:3 184:12,16 185:13,14 188:9,19 189:7,14,15 190:18 191:4 192:2 194:21 196:15 198:6 199:7,16 200:21 201:7 204:16 207:22 208:8 210:12 211:11 212:17 213:7,20 217:15 218:1 221:23 225:12 235:4 242:17 249:24 251:6 251:19 254:21 256:9 256:10,23 259:10,11 260:22 261:1,1,21 262:12 268:15 270:17 270:19 271:13,22,24 276:22.24 277:4 283:15,24 284:17,21 285:4 286:25 287:4,9

287:10 293:25 297:21 302:11 312:6 313:17 315:4,19 316:14 318:17 335:10,14,17 336:1,6,9,20,23 337:4 338:19,23 339:3,4,14 339:21 340:2,6,11,20 341:6,18 345:13,16,16 348:1,15 349:10,15 350:20 351:19 352:7 352:17,18,22,23 354:7 361:12 367:20 368:8 372:16 373:22 388:15 388:18 393:16 397:18 397:22 403:7,13 404:4 404:14 407:11 411:22 420:6 434:12 452:25 454:2 460:19 472:4 481:3,20 482:1 509:10 514:16 524:19 525:17 **first-hand** 141:11 **first-lien** 199:12.13 201:3,5 204:3,11 206:2 206:9,13,22 209:22,24 210:1,3,15 217:10,12 217:16,23 218:6,10,12 218:19 221:6 223:18 250:8 257:6 **FISH** 6:11.17 **fit** 425:14 520:5 FITZSIMMONS 23:17 **five** 41:11 122:4 125:13 177:20 263:3,25 314:13 315:5 316:8,22 316:24 319:5,6 326:2,3 332:20 354:17,20 355:12 357:14 424:16 512:1,2 530:1 five-star 419:24 **fixed** 183:3 186:21 187:14 **FL** 16:19 **flat** 186:20 **flavor** 175:5 **fleets** 68:14 **Fletcher** 535:24 538:12 flew 192:1,3 flexibility 245:3

flexible 239:4 126:6 128:21 129:7,14 **fortunately** 188:2 212:23 450:5 451:18 488:23 flip 253:7 254:24 255:4 130:16 131:12.13.16 **forty** 139:4 326:5.6 493:21 494:14 268:4 287:16 299:20 144:2 149:6 189:2 327:19 354:19 374:16 fourth 13:11 86:24 351:5,17 374:17 374:17.25 427:22 319:17 154:16 176:8 **flipped** 184:22 417:23 505:4 **FOX** 6:19 7:2 forty-eight 401:1 **flipping** 316:5 Ford's 125:14 **forty-five** 439:15 542:15 fractional 297:1 **floor** 15:21 18:4 20:6 forecast 191:5 533:11 forty-seven 537:11 fractionalizing 296:12 369:17,18 449:9 **frame** 49:10 59:1 130:22 forecasting 183:16 **Forty-six** 101:5 532:15,18 **forego** 349:18 forw 465:4 271:18 356:10 floor-planned 369:21 foregoing 548:4 **forward** 41:13 44:23 framework 517:6 **floor-planning** 370:8,15 **foreign** 131:4.6 135:7 83:20 109:9 179:23 frameworks 482:22 299:5 375:12 180:21 184:21 189:25 **franchise** 70:8 369:1 flow 185:11 195:7 **foremost** 34:6.10 202:25 209:4,5,17 380:5 465:12.16 470:22,25 476:8 524:9 **forge** 194:13 253:4 268:4 272:10 318:13 321:13,16,18 322:5,5 **forging** 395:20 287:16 292:24 307:14 franchises 492:11,16,24 **fly** 506:20 **forgive** 43:18 259:3 326:14 335:21 342:17 526:24 focus 66:13 67:23 180:19 472:21 Francisco 10:18 343:5,6 364:4 378:20 215:11 455:4 forgiven 259:11,13,16,18 391:6,7 393:1 396:13 Frank 4:16 25:15 535:24 **focused** 41:9 145:11 **forgiveness** 44:7 316:19 420:22 445:6 454:17 538:12 179:19 **forgiving** 44:2 315:11 462:12 463:25 464:7 Frankel 5:2 89:25 **focusing** 130:21 487:22 **forgot** 435:22 445:20 465:5 473:12 494:25 frankly 385:10 432:7 **form** 2:17 26:17 62:23 **folded** 133:14 520:20 521:2 526:10 482:21 **FOLEY** 10:2 63:2 65:10 90:24 98:16 534:23 539:20 540:13 **FRED** 11:23 **folks** 207:23 159:19 160:1,2 212:25 542:9 free 2:19 99:18,21,23 **follow** 28:8 39:24 47:12 213:5 222:3 267:2 forwarded 131:24 318:13,17 356:3 149:12 227:19 486:13 271:10 272:9 305:8 255:17 394:14,19 418:6 419:2 506:21 520:4 333:20 370:8 382:9 forwarding 379:9 419:12 421:20 520:5 **followed** 27:17 151:10 forward-going 369:14 411:20.25 freedom 33:7 **found** 43:6 88:7 97:22 419:21 **forma** 179:6,8,10,14,15 frequency 177:21 **following** 80:17 175:20 232:7 322:7 99:6 107:16 188:16 **frequent** 37:11 40:9 **formal** 36:9 176:16 472:22 489:14 504:15 482:17 502:5 515:2 245:2 **follow-up** 339:16 222:3 **foundation** 50:4 53:2 Friday 238:19 formality 239:3 **Fong** 379:9,12,18,24 76:8,8 87:9,22 88:2,4 **friendly** 482:20 **force** 128:24 134:14 formalized 176:20 98:12,15 101:22 112:1 **friends** 419:14 192:19 196:2 204:4 formally 211:10 201:25 225:20 320:6 friendship 537:15 **formed** 48:24 202:3 219:8,9 270:7,20,24 381:25 382:1,3 421:25 front 28:4 94:3 120:3 **former** 169:17 272:7,25 273:5 274:7 **four** 100:25 120:24 411:10 491:23 530:12 275:2,4,9 283:15 284:3 **forming** 270:25 122:1,1 125:13,20,24 535:14 forms 426:17 289:1 337:4 370:22 143:25 162:23 175:16 FROST 19:10 371:1,8,10,14 372:19 formulate 179:1 183:11 189:14.24 **FT** 20:3.3 375:3 430:6,10 449:1 **forth** 58:14 131:15 190:3 195:13 202:11 **fulfill** 51:21 508:24 517:17,23 134:25 175:22 177:9 202:21 256:10.12.23 **full** 28:19,21 33:9,12 **forced** 148:20 149:1 187:6 192:17,22 257:6 259:10 265:10 52:23 61:5 116:23 277:1 233:23 249:25 250:8 276:24 286:22 292:23 119:16 122:15 174:7 **forces** 500:21 261:20 305:7 309:4 316:7 335:12 339:2 174:17 299:25 305:23 316:7 348:9 392:25 349:15 363:15 457:1 **forcing** 149:1 306:3.14 345:13 Ford 18:4 19:3 69:15 402:16 412:12.21 516:7 352:19.22 353:1.4.6 **fully** 50:18 52:13 349:16 123:17 124:8,12,18,23 413:3 517:20 fourteen 396:22 397:3,7

500.15
539:17
<b>fulsome</b> 53:19 454:24
<b>function</b> 176:11 177:4,18
<b>functional</b> 177:1 183:12
functions 115:3
<b>fund</b> 16:3,5,13,15 17:3,5
42:12 44:24 86:12
88:15,24 89:17 157:24
158:12,13 196:17
197:23 219:23 262:14
282:12 294:16 309:13
309:15 337:20 340:13
385:15,17 386:9,14,19
fundamentally 50:5
424:3
<b>funded</b> 168:6 273:13
385:18
<b>funders</b> 107:5
funding 107:6 113:1
156:3 158:6,12 159:2,4
159:5 169:3 189:1
196:22,23 197:23
258:6 281:2 353:25
384:1 403:15 473:6
522:12
<b>funds</b> 42:16 45:11 63:4
101:16 111:7,12,14
112:12,18 188:10
189:1 262:4,6,8 278:15
280:5 316:1,3 321:24
· ·
337:24 338:18 344:22
344:23 385:20 471:2
<b>furlough</b> 186:16,23
277:2,10
furloughed 186:24
furnished 28:3
<b>further</b> 26:20 45:6 77:15
89:21 91:11 92:22
93:18 118:23,24 162:7
169:19 193:6 216:17
229:22 273:16,17
316:15 387:16 392:8
407:1 412:25 425:16
427:7 449:14 497:10
509:24 519:18 525:3
534:20,22 542:2
furtherance 520:22
<b>futile</b> 229:4,5,9,23 230:1

230:3,6,8,9,11 **future** 2:7 41:10 156:3 169:3 180:1 181:17 240:17 328:6 329:6 380:2 381:14 418:24 419:2 537:16 **futures** 137:19

G **G** 25:1 547:4 **GA** 17:17 gain 290:11 509:10,10,11 **gallon** 422:23 423:5 gap 210:21 223:12 225:3 gaps 182:15 191:22 GARRISON 22:12 **Gary** 120:24 136:12 Gas 9:4 26:8 **gears** 130:9 **geez** 277:7 general 23:13 32:13 40:16 43:1,13 44:20 61:25 120:25 125:14 126:5 128:22 129:7,15 130:16,25 131:17,23 136:11,16 149:4 167:9 175:3 203:21 232:24 296:10.11.23 297:5 322:7 351:5 414:18,23 436:5.17.25 458:8 495:4 504:3 generally 32:20 33:3 41:5 90:6,17 235:23 409:10 444:16,17 455:14,19 468:5,18 524:7 GENERAL'S 23:11 **generate** 186:15 200:5 321:12 322:8 344:22 generated 398:10 generates 185:19 generation 195:13 200:11 375:18 generations 516:6,7 Genesis 366:20.21.25 367:5,11 374:2 391:22 396:6 398:4.17.22

399:24 444:2 445:2

450:8 465:1 477:10 489:4 493:3.13 496:25 499:9 500:24 506:14 506:14 509:8.9 517:3.7 519:10,13 520:1,16,23 522:2,12 539:18 **Genovese** 11:18 388:2 **Gentile** 8:2 485:7 **gentleman** 176:1 483:8 510:18 gentleman's 512:9 genuinely 351:14 **Geoffrey** 3:9 27:9 geographic 380:23 geographical 482:14 Georgia 4:6 German 93:2 **germane** 479:20 Germany 234:4 **Getrag** 10:3 93:1,2 Gettelfinger 120:21,23 121:2 125:1 136:16 139:23 170:2,5,9 Gettelfinger's 136:13 **getting** 33:25 60:4,7 65:1 67:9 91:14 115:17 185:23 196:3 201:8 239:22 240:20 241:8 247:17 253:4 255:21 257:23 259:9 279:22 282:10 283:9 289:10 293:17 297:16 306:12 306:16 310:16 330:25 332:9,13 333:3,5,6,11 333:12,21,21 334:8,9 338:10 374:11 393:16 454:24 504:5 528:7 give 28:6,19 44:17,18 49:14 53:24 65:7 90:8 90:10 105:19 113:19 127:8 147:16 156:6 173:3 175:5 178:2 188:9 195:4 202:8 213:24 254:6,6 279:5 282:23 297:8 306:2,17 320:25 323:25 357:18 387:21 395:25 397:21 427:12 483:3,7 491:4

509:25 535:12 given 32:11 42:15.15 55:10 57:10 91:2 132:16,19 154:21 194:2 229:17 327:9 329:17 349:7 376:22 408:25 409:14 410:7 411:2,13,25 412:24 421:9 442:24 464:3 485:19 495:17 517:5 **gives** 147:17 397:13 444:7 **giving** 143:9 250:14 294:17 335:4 389:22 494:4 **Glenn** 16:9 38:21 59:23 **global** 240:6 251:5,10 **Gluck** 11:18 388:2 **GM** 61:17,20 62:5,7,20 69:15 123:17 144:2 189:2 295:6.10.25 351:16 374:17 **GMAC** 241:5,6,11,13,16 241:22 383:9,10 384:1 384:10,22,23 385:16 386:8 426:14 **GMAC's** 467:17,21 **GMC** 505:6 **go** 29:12 37:4 41:4,13 42:6,10 45:6 49:17 51:22 52:18 53:2 57:20 59:6 73:13 74:15 77:17 81:13,19 83:19 91:3 92:24 95:16 97:17 103:6 104:5 105:11 106:18 112:20.21 119:3 122:20 125:22 126:15,19 129:6 137:6 138:16 140:24 141:6 144:9 147:14.18 150:4 151:25 152:20 160:17 161:13 164:19 172:14 178:16 183:6,12,13 184:10 186:10 188:15 189:3 194:20 195:9,13 197:12 200:25 205:18 206:1 208:13.17 209:2 211:5,14 213:6,21

214.24 215.17 216.9
214:24 215:17 216:8
222:18 223:20,21
225:11 230:13 239:1
242:22 243:16 244:6
248:4 250:20 256:3
261:16 262:12 264:9
266:14,15 275:21
277:24 282:21 283:12
284:15 285:16 290:2
293:10 294:12,23
297:19,21,21 298:2
301:1 303:9 305:5
306:5,8 311:14 317:16
318:14 328:2,11,14,22
328:25 329:7 342:13
347:21 352:11 354:3
358:1 361:9 362:12
363:4,18 374:22
377:21 379:3 385:10
394:13 403:14 406:21
410:8 411:6 418:7,7
419:5,6,10,12 428:10
432:23 441:13 451:18
452:5 453:18 455:13
457:24 458:15 459:15
460:4 463:25 470:22
476:7 477:12 483:10
483:20 491:7,8 494:20
498:7 501:22 504:9,18
512:8,23 519:1 523:5
523:18 524:1 526:9
527:14 528:13 532:4
533:25 535:20 540:5
540:13,18 542:9,12
*
<b>goal</b> 493:17 494:16
515:25 519:4
goals 123:16 518:10
0
<b>goes</b> 32:6 40:16 50:7
101:12 166:10 257:5
424:9,10 434:11
486:25 517:22
<b>going</b> 25:14 37:10 38:10
40:13 67:23 68:1,3,24
74:15 75:1 76:7,17
78:22,24 86:25 89:7
90:14,20,21 91:10,11
91:16,17 104:11,22,24
109:9 110:10 112:1

```
117:14 118:25 135:5
156:13.13 158:10.19
160:14 171:8 172:5,19
173:5.9.11.11.22
174:10 178:2,14,23
179:23 181:6 182:1,6
183:7,16 185:2,10
188:22 189:25 194:18
194:20 196:21,21,23,23
199:9 200:25 201:8
202:24 209:2.17
210:10 211:7 214:20
217:8 225:8 229:20
233:3 234:9,9,10,20
235:14 237:9 238:1,6
238:16,19,20 239:16
242:17 243:7,8 244:7,9
252:7 262:16,17,22
263:16,20,21,22 269:1
269:18 271:10,21
272:10 273:10 274:17
278:18 280:11 282:4
282:13,21 283:1,2
286:11,12 287:7 289:5
290:6 291:3 293:13
295:1 304:7 305:2,9,23
307:6 308:9,13 312:18
315:9 323:4,14 328:11
328:14 331:3 332:6
334:17 335:21 336:23
337:19 340:10,14,21
341:21 342:16,19,21
343:2,5,6,9,15,18,21,23
346:5 356:2,4,6 360:14
364:4 385:13 390:20
391:7 393:1 395:8
397:19 398:13 400:6
409:14,20 411:25
416:11 417:6 418:19
419:16 420:22 421:8
422:12 423:1,2,20
424:1 425:4,10,11,12
427:3,21,23 428:11
433:16,19 434:2,4,7,10
435:2 438:13,19 439:5
439:22 440:8 445:6
446:3 451:17 452:7
453:11 454:17 455:5,6
```

```
462:12 465:4,4,5
 470:21 472:16 473:4.9
 473:11 475:14,18,24,25
 476:2.15 478:17.25
 480:22,23 482:16
 483:3,9,10 486:25
 489:20 490:2,22
 492:24 493:7,16,21
 494:19 495:3,6,7 499:2
 506:14 510:5,8 512:20
 519:21 520:20 521:1.2
 521:18 523:11 525:6,7
 525:14 527:2 530:20
 531:15 532:4 533:11
 533:23 534:8 537:23
 540:13 542:8,18 543:2
 543:3
going-concern 312:9,10
 312:17
GOLDEN 17:13
Goldman 202:20 311:13
GONZALEZ 1:21
good 25:14 27:11,12
 28:17,18 50:8 52:24,25
 59:23,25 90:4,5 119:5
 143:12 144:14 162:9
 162:14,15 169:24,25
 174:5,6,15,16 177:7
 208:24,25 213:22
 216:21,22 254:2,9
 255:22 304:4 337:21
 358:6,11,12 388:6,7
 392:13 397:6 401:17
 401:22,23 416:2,3
 426:1,5 434:21 436:23
 447:20 449:22,23
 458:19 464:17 485:11
 485:12 486:9 489:1
 497:18 520:13 525:16
 527:1 529:2
Gordon 20:2 35:5
GORSICH 17:10
gotten 98:7,7,9 177:18
 256:22 293:1 312:16
 312:19 491:15,19
Gottlieb 8:9 119:6
GOULSTON 20:12
government 40:23 57:15
```

```
58:1 59:3 60:8,10,12
 60:14,17,23 61:8,13
 62:22,24 63:1,4,7
 65:23,25 66:2,10 67:13
  107:7,9,22 113:12
  130:17 131:2,22 132:8
  133:12,15,17,18 134:11
  135:1 136:8 145:23
  146:16 171:17 188:20
  188:22 189:9,19 190:4
  191:24 192:24 196:17
 207:1 225:18 241:12
 241:13 242:1.3 244:5
 256:4,7 257:4,12,14
 258:18 262:25 266:22
 273:13 274:15 308:25
 313:21 314:24 315:4
 316:14 340:13 349:1
 349:25 350:14 351:11
  386:10,14,19 390:9,11
 392:22 403:15 423:5
 488:7 521:9
government's 118:11
  133:20 192:24 194:8
  197:23 225:10
graduated 124:13
Grady 27:18 173:7
 427:18 428:6,11 429:6
 434:2 438:14 440:19
 442:11,12,22 443:11,19
 447:3 448:16 449:22
 452:7 453:19 455:19
 474:5 477:15 485:11
 491:3 495:2 496:9,18
 497:7 498:11 502:23
 505:17 506:18 508:19
 509:5 512:25 514:20
 516:4 519:14 520:18
 521:12 522:14 524:4
 525:2 527:3 532:3.23
 534:14 538:23 540:2
 540:20 545:7,8,9,10,11
 545:24
grand 24:14 70:25 71:3
 314:8
grant 26:20
granted 236:10 466:16
 477:12 547:7
```

**granting** 2:23 267:10 **grass** 186:3 grassroots 401:25 **Grav** 24:4 great 25:7 128:16 255:7 351:4 392:18 **greater** 59:11 95:12 499:15 green 1:14 35:6,7 460:17 461:2,9,10 463:14,14 463:22 **Greene** 11:18 388:2 **Greenhill** 48:22.23.24.25 49:3,6,11,22,24 50:21 51:1 52:18 53:6,16,24 54:18,24 55:3,4 56:13 57:5,9 73:25 75:17,21 76:19 77:22 78:1,22 79:3 81:4,8,17,18,23 82:1 105:10.18 106:4.6 118:14 198:7,9,10,12 198:14,17 311:11,12,14 311:17,19,22 545:18,20 **Greenhill's** 54:12 55:17 73:2 118:11 Greenwich 28:22 **GREGORY** 17:13 **grew** 305:14 grievance 122:14 Griswold 6:14 **Gross** 73:5 **ground** 329:20 418:11 grounds 38:17,18 180:15 215:6 310:10 478:9,10 **group** 102:17 123:25 125:19 135:12 136:7 136:10 164:12,12 257:7 259:25 260:4,10 260:11 261:19 262:2,3 288:16 289:2 290:9.11 333:10 345:5 417:6 451:8 490:10 519:21 526:15 **groups** 144:20 149:24 223:17 260:13 314:11 315:3.6 404:11 **grow** 24:16 263:2 265:8 373:25,25 487:20

growing 321:9,11 461:24 463:11 grown 212:11 268:1.2 growth 187:23 328:7 461:25 489:6 **guarantee** 383:18,20 470:4 534:7 guaranteeing 45:12 **guaranty** 11:12 28:12 40:20 41:11 42:6,11 45:8,9,10 guess 30:2 46:2 160:6 202:8 251:21 252:9 253:11 286:5 291:8 293:13 307:22 312:18 318:9 319:8 341:10,16 350:22 361:18 373:19 426:25 433:8 439:4 481:23 482:13 guidance 110:5 **guide** 462:5 guidelines 194:6 **guise** 129:17 gut 183:8 186:23 211:7 **gut-wrenching** 372:2 373:6 **guy** 182:5 284:22,23 285:4

# Н

guys 181:1 195:5 197:4

197:22

**G.Z** 9:17

H 545:13 546:4
half 32:3 43:24 44:2 84:8
108:11 121:12 149:7
159:19 180:22 183:25
184:3,12 185:13,14,15
186:17,21 188:6
224:14 277:13 283:4
309:18,19,20,25 310:2
315:16 331:10 336:23
423:7 427:21 439:15
532:7 534:10
HAMILTON 8:9
hand 100:8 119:9 211:22
handed 152:9 197:14
377:23 452:7 498:20

501:10 handle 251:17 291:19 536:4 **handling** 122:14 216:13 291:18 443:23 536:3 hands 256:2 **happen** 32:23 60:25 139:5 171:8,12 185:7 244:3 262:19 302:1 429:13,24 449:9 454:18 475:15 494:17 543:2 **happened** 53:14 55:8 67:9 98:20 110:11 138:24 141:23 167:15 168:5 211:24 252:23 274:10 278:24 460:23 511:3 518:4 519:7 **happening** 66:15 143:20 184:18 241:2 387:14 516:1.14 533:18 **happens** 35:17 509:2 **happy** 107:11 164:5 252:9 255:23 271:12 297:18 298:21 299:12 438:22 446:11 503:8 503:12 542:7 hard 186:3 212:12 312:11 375:6 383:10 417:17 427:14 512:11 **HARDIN** 23:2 hardships 143:9 **harm** 350:1 351:4 474:19 475:2 Harman 6:21 **Harrison** 12:2 92:8 401:18 Hartford 23:15 hat 325:3 hav 266:9 head 118:22 150:10 244:5 **heading** 169:2 199:3 456:21.22 457:7 headquarters 181:5 192:5

128:15,23 129:25 130:5.6 137:15.17 146:24 147:8.22 148:4 149:7 150:20.24 151:6 157:22,24 158:1,2,10 168:6 178:3 303:12,15 315:17 461:13.17 healthy 461:18,19,21,23 463:11 **hear** 62:8 87:16 116:1 192:14 198:25 202:24 228:3 270:19 298:13 332:15 338:17 339:18 437:13 510:4,4 512:13 523:18 **heard** 25:24 26:19,20 33:15 35:9 49:1 74:22 75:2 115:23 131:21 134:14 149:11 154:8 171:3 192:23 210:11 227:23 230:22 264:11 271:13 283:24 291:9 326:22 331:20 337:4 342:12 360:22 396:22 410:2 413:9,12 420:13 423:1 428:1 431:2,3 438:7 439:25 479:10 504:8 522:18,20,22,23 522:24,25 523:1 542:14 hearing 2:2,12,17 25:18 26:9 28:11 38:25 298:18,22 299:7 385:12 411:24 413:23 428:12,16 429:8,14,19 429:22 431:6,15,15,16 431:17 433:7 434:11 438:24 440:25 441:3 506:1 523:25 531:15 **hearings** 190:10 194:5 hearsay 38:17 50:2,9,25 87:20 201:12 heartland 186:8 **heat** 291:7,10,13,14,20 **Heimann** 10:12 415:23 **held** 124:22 165:7 171:17 178:9 202:17 321:11 375:22

heads 183:12

health 126:10 128:1,10

**help** 30:13 191:12 219:22 230:22 231:19 252:13 262:14 264:13 271:6 275:3 281:21 294:16 311:15 320:12 325:12 338:5 362:2,7,9 362:16 372:19 376:1 383:5 444:21 514:18 516:19 535:16 **helped** 381:20 382:16 **helpful** 329:25 384:11 440:3 **helping** 251:16 267:12 325:14 helps 516:18,21 He'll 543:4 **hide** 164:14 **high** 70:17 73:13,17 75:21 77:24 79:12,15 80:7 84:1 96:2.11 97:11 98:10 106:24 118:7 298:13.19 302:6 345:10 351:11,12 352:15 416:20 451:21 452:1 471:5 527:11 **higher** 76:23 97:14 98:20 99:22 135:9 200:1,19 321:21,22 374:2 391:21 399:8 401:9.13 422:23 451:7 503:12 513:20 516:22 519:5 519:21 highest 96:4 highlighted 282:7 **highly** 176:6 498:15 **high-risk** 298:23 hire 311:4 325:12 362:17 **hired** 34:23 35:3 50:19 124:12 129:6.18 176:1 176:1 311:12 **hiring** 311:11 historic 325:4 **historical** 325:15 490:11 **history** 192:1 420:9 485:24 503:20 **hit** 200:10 **hoc** 12:3 92:8 401:18 **hold** 167:18 182:14

230:19 235:3 332:20 357:5 365:24 **holders** 181:9 **holding** 26:11 **Holdings** 18:12 22:4 **holdout** 223:16 holdouts 223:19,22,24 holes 180:3,4 **holidays** 189:13 Holiefield 136:11 Holland 135:20 136:1,2 136:3 **Home** 230:16 232:19.21 408:2.6 homes 402:5 **HON** 1:21 **Honda** 131:6 486:11 497:21 500:16,19 501:13 502:7,13 503:2 503:7.17 504:1 513:23 honest 228:7 271:4 honestly 181:8 182:18 184:12 HONIGMAN 21:2 **Honor** 25:11,14,17 26:4 27:4,8,11,15,21 28:3,9 29:13 38:14,17 40:24 45:19,23 46:9,14 49:16 49:19 50:3.17.24 52:4 52:24 54:16 56:18,25 58:19 59:13.15.15 62:11 67:1 73:21 74:3 74:14 75:14 76:3,10 77:1,8,18 87:8,10,13,23 87:25 88:3 89:21,24 92:4,23,25 93:18,21 101:14 112:4 113:14 113:15,25 114:5,24 115:6,9,13 116:11 117:12,17 118:9 119:5 119:13 120:1,12,14 136:25 138:14 139:3 144:5,7,7,10 147:1 149:25 150:3,3,5,14 152:1 154:6 160:4,18 160:24 161:15 162:7.9 163:15,16,25 164:17 169:19,21 172:21,23

173:5,25 197:11 201:10.14.23 214:16.20 215:5,19 216:7 221:2 224:9,16,19 225:4,16 225:21 226:13 235:4 235:13,22 242:10,12 243:7 249:5,21 250:8 254:11 257:5 261:9,14 264:3 265:11 266:8 267:10 269:16 281:10 281:24 282:13.22.25 286:16 289:8,13,19 296:13.25 298:1 304:6 309:4 310:6,6,9,13 320:4,9,13,15 329:12 329:22,25 330:20 331:9 332:17,22 333:19,23 334:20 336:12 338:3 339:1 341:23 344:10 352:3 352:23 354:10,14,17,18 355:1,8,19 356:12,13 356:14,17,25 357:10 358:6 359:11 361:24 362:5,11 363:8,17 377:20,24 378:8,14,22 381:24 382:12 384:7 384:13,15,19 385:7,25 388:1 401:17 405:24 406:1,9 409:4 410:5 411:11 412:2,7,15 413:8 414:25 415:22 416:2 418:10 419:18 419:23 422:4 423:8 424:10.16 425:21.24 426:2 427:7,11,18,25 428:2,5,8,25 429:18 430:25 431:22 432:20 432:25 433:3 434:9,13 434:17,22 435:5,15,17 435:20,21 436:4,14,16 436:23 437:1 438:3,6,9 438:11,16,20 439:1,22 440:3,9,18,18,22 441:4 441:6,9,17,19 442:18 443:4.9.13 445:19.23 445:25 446:7.13.19.19 448:15 449:14,18

452:4 453:7,15,17 454:7.9.11 455:9.13 468:2,5 471:22 472:3 474:1,10 475:6 477:13 477:18,22 478:10,14,21 479:10,14 480:18,25 481:2,7,23 482:15 483:7,9,14,16,18 484:17,21,23 485:4,6 491:18 498:5,8 504:5,8 504:10 510:7.12.20 511:21 512:1,6,22 523:4.7.9.14.17.19 524:2 534:21 538:18 538:20 540:17,19,22,25 541:1,9,13,17,21 542:23 543:7 **honored** 306:25 **hope** 6:8 100:12 150:17 294:17 323:20 428:16 520:10 534:11 **hopeful** 211:21 **hopefully** 234:19 440:12 **hoping** 388:21 **horizon** 178:4 181:18 183:19 hose 193:20 **host** 244:3 329:7 363:3 363:13.14 **hour** 217:8 218:4 228:22 283:4 293:19,20 309:3 427:21 439:15 480:18 534:10 hourly 122:4 196:12 307:12.13 373:3 hours 144:3 183:11 191:11 211:18 283:1 392:18 428:6,7,23 432:19 530:1.1 hour's 420:23 house 170:5 190:12 280:18,25 281:5 282:1 282:4 housekeeping 440:22 445:20 483:19 **housing** 181:20 184:24 **hovering** 374:17 Howard 20:5

how'd 181:1 How's 29:11 huge 128:15.23 129:1 130:3 146:3 258:24 Hughes 20:5 **human** 176:17 **hundred** 149:5 242:6 263:16,17 324:14,15 325:23 332:13 333:4,5 333:6,11 451:2 457:11 457:11 462:20 490:2 493:23 506:20 519:19 533:11 534:7 **hundreds** 190:25 191:12 **hurdle** 388:25 **hurdles** 389:2 393:16 **hurt** 516:19 **hurts** 516:18 **husband** 407:7 hv 333:25 **Hydee** 14:25 436:9 **Hyland** 357:11 hypothetical 333:23 334:1.12 396:18 hypothetically 114:2 334:2

### I

**Iacocca** 187:24 307:9 IAN 16:10 **Icabelli** 134:6 135:24 145:14 iconic 178:13 207:5 **ID** 545:14 546:5.11 idea 78:14 89:9 128:20 171:20 173:3 175:5 178:2 195:5 280:6 306:4.16 368:8.9 403:3 404:18,24 405:2 499:17 517:10 520:13 ideas 219:23 222:4 294:16 identification 28:2,9 37:7,8 152:2,8,10 378:7 identified 157:6 164:6.15 368:6 386:12 460:9 466:8 477:14,19

537:24 **identifies** 157:2 164:8 452:15 456:23 **identify** 38:20 109:2 249:14,17 459:15 476:17 498:11,19 identifying 470:10 **identity** 178:12 idle 186:15 200:6 273:18 274:20 277:1,9 301:10 **idled** 187:1 **idling** 187:4 **ignoring** 534:11 **II** 2:18 20:3 187:19 IL 23:6 **illusion** 290:11 **image** 180:16,16 493:14 **imagine** 182:5 205:5 432:19 455:8 **imaging** 491:23 immaterial 329:19 immediate 96:18 488:25 522:4 immediately 182:18 185:22 216:24 238:8 299:3 493:22 518:1 521:15 **impact** 137:19 205:8 211:4 276:9 351:16 361:13 422:16 429:10 450:25 475:8 489:22 490:19 513:5 515:8 516:10 521:5 533:10 **impacted** 186:10 360:24 497:8 515:1.7 **impacting** 205:6 213:14 **imparted** 75:12 76:14 **impartial** 29:20 47:25 48:12 57:10 105:23 106:1,3 impartiality 106:5 **implement** 179:2,11,24 182:25 539:17 implementation 184:2 implemented 187:10 390:20 520:5 implementing 183:20

implements 136:4

**implied** 73:6 324:11 **import** 531:14 533:1.3 **importance** 48:9 212:13 258:6 330:21 **important** 37:14,16 44:22 61:24 76:17 136:21 137:13,25 140:20 150:22 152:23 168:7,8 192:10 227:7 256:5 257:9,13,16,18 257:21,24 258:2,5,9 259:3,5,7 291:17 350:14,15 397:15,16 402:4,8 411:23 414:7 417:10 418:2 424:11 444:5,6 447:15 538:1 **imports** 486:6,10,10,15 503:20 **imposed** 107:9 **impression** 46:3 173:15 229:8 286:9 438:23 **improper** 242:13 431:23 **improve** 417:17 420:7 491:6 **improved** 420:7 514:18 improvements 424:20 inaccurate 155:2 **inactive** 303:15 inadmissible 50:2 inappropriate 289:20 **inasmuch** 486:17 **incentive** 363:2 365:14 365:15,17 369:13 375:25 376:1 377:1 incentives 473:3 **Incidentally** 70:21 **include** 83:21 165:12 441:21 485:20 included 250:17 381:18 382:21 442:2 456:6 522:11 **includes** 90:15 258:12 299:15 430:16 477:5 including 49:22 65:19,22 66:10 110:8 123:2 181:9 196:20 315:3 354:24 358:24 420:14 436:19 476:3 479:23

486:15 512:10 537:17 **income** 191:10 income-generating 343:18 incomplete 480:4 **inconsistent** 89:3,6,11 **incorrect** 367:7 460:7 increase 193:23 211:22 417:19 476:2 increased 222:10 305:17 509:11 increasing 374:13 incremental 224:14 491:25 492:1 513:18 513:20 incur 364:5 473:4 539:24 **incurred** 465:1,22 473:18 incurs 508:22 indebtedness 43:23 indemnification 240:17 independence 105:25 178:10,11 193:17 **independent** 29:17,19,22 29:24,25 31:21 34:23 35:4 47:25 51:25 62:2 62:12,17 64:5 82:4,13 84:24 178:8 201:9 213:18 230:20 independently 82:11 index 457:11 499:1,6 502:8 514:10 537:11 539:10.11.12 **Indiana** 16:3,4,4,13,14 16:14 17:3.4.4 38:22 59:24 120:17 121:25 141:3 144:8 200:16 206:25 209:20 215:19 **indicate** 85:17 251:25 **indicated** 204:9.10 219:21 227:6 261:19 277:8 349:6 390:15 530:22 **indicates** 229:22 458:1 **indication** 234:3,20,23 457:25 **indicators** 181:16.23 **indices** 181:16

**individual** 126:25 127:2 422:24 424:10 448:8 507:1 537:23 **individuals** 177:1,15 186:9 192:19 **induce** 316:14 inducement 211:23 indulgence 435:9 **industries** 6:20 24:3 181:15 498:14 **industry** 85:7 124:7 177:16,16 178:13 181:14 184:14 185:1 187:17,18,24 191:5,5 204:4 205:8 212:14 232:25 276:25 278:18 282:2 288:25 322:1 327:16 390:5 417:15 421:10 489:25 490:13 495:3 498:15 501:2 502:10,11,14,17 503:1 503:13 **inference** 77:3 295:17,19 influence 423:23 influences 141:8,8 **inform** 155:11 **information** 50:8 74:17 102:8 150:9 151:18 153:9 177:24 189:13 201:17 206:13,15,15,16 235:19 378:10 384:22 384:24 385:13 419:13 421:8 453:4,5,9,10 454:5 456:1,4,5 460:13 477:21 478:13 480:5 536:6,8,17 541:17 **informed** 50:18 52:13,13 85:21 99:9 204:2 235:21 272:6,12 286:19 informing 32:15 **inherent** 492:10 initial 166:9 209:23 262:21 263:1 286:15 292:19 465:24 initialed 132:14 **initially** 140:14 191:25 263:4,20

**initiated** 129:14 170:25 **initiative** 368:11 495:24 499:9 504:12 515:1 520:2 initiatives 366:17 **injured** 418:5 419:9,10 420:16 421:6,17 424:5 **injuries** 402:21 405:7,7 418:23 419:3 injury 414:14 419:4 422:11 **input** 105:23 248:13 456:1 514:25 **inquire** 76:24 454:15,22 inquired 66:11 inquirer 477:22 **inquiry** 296:8 453:10 454:9,16 455:14 525:19 inquisitor 454:21 inside 45:20 539:24 insist 517:18 **insisted** 517:19 **insistence** 470:8 477:6.7 **insolvency** 35:9,11,14,19 35:25 36:5 39:5 86:3 304:16 **instance** 68:5 419:3 426:10 511:12 528:25 institutions 113:17 207:15 instruct 518:2 instructed 253:22 254:1 309:16 517:23 instrument 383:23 385:18 instrumentality 40:22 insufficient 459:2 **insurance** 436:19 intact 171:10 integral 242:8 integrity 70:8 **intellect** 213:18 **intellectual** 209:9 343:25 intelligent 536:20 **intend** 50:4 52:17 108:18 210:12 433:12.14

434:17,17 455:9

**intended** 242:20 267:5 **intending** 116:1 432:9 **intends** 437:14 intense 135:12 166:19 intensely 167:5 **intent** 141:12 153:5 191.19 **intention** 108:15 128:3 458:15 intentions 210:2 interconnectedness 208:19 interdependencies 212:20 **interest** 64:15 103:10 118:13 149:24 168:9,9 172:8 209:2,21 241:16 241:22 270:4,14 277:3 331:11 332:1,2,3 351:11 356:8 391:11 394:15 404:11 449:11 495:22 **interested** 29:21 39:21 232:9 347:11 351:15 interestingly 183:25 **interests** 2:20 163:21 352:15 394:20 402:11 485:19 495:25 504:13 531:6 interface 145:6 interfaced 145:3.6 interim 2:3 25:18 26:13 interior 420:8 **internal** 73:20 79:4 123:2.3 129:3 460:21 **internally** 131:8 145:7 461:12 international 6:12 11:3 14:3 124:18,20 126:9 127:5 138:7,10 153:19 233:23 347:10 internet 141:8 interpose 163:12 interposed 526:4 **interpret** 229:7,24 230:12 interpretation 227:1,2,4

interrupt 27:23 interruption 435:6 interviewed 94:16 intimacy 233:8 **intimately** 242:24 243:4 243:6,13,13 **introduce** 50:1 191:24 **introduced** 398:7 424:18 481:4 482:1 introducing 189:1 introduction 399:18 438:25 inures 105:25 **invade** 304:7 **inventory** 361:23 369:17 375:5,6,7,9,12,14,24 376:9,12,14,16,19 377:12,13,13,15 380:9 380:14 382:5 383:7,11 383:13,16,18 385:4 386:15,20 448:20,23 449:4 466:4,8,9,11,14 466:17,23 467:11 468:21,24 469:2,8,13 469:17,23 470:1,4 **invest** 207:5 271:17 445:16,17,17 459:10 **invested** 528:15 investigation 93:11,15 **investing** 273:8 283:25 494:25 531:2 **investment** 33:4 48:24 154:17 166:16 208:23 246:20 267:13 269:19 269:21 283:18 326:20 327:21 328:8 350:10 445:15 500:14 investments 116:23 299:25 **invited** 33:4 53:16 **invoice** 507:12,13 **Invoices** 2:6 **invoke** 524:16 invoked 445:21 446:8,14 **invoking** 446:10 involve 165:6 383:21 involved 31:2 41:8 124:6 125:6,11 127:10,14,17

348:19

128:19 130:9,10 132:6 134:20 136:15,19 140:10 141:17 144:1 152:19 155:24 165:5 167:21 191:12 227:12 227:14 242:14,24 243:1,2,4,5,6,12,13,17 243:19,21,22,24 244:12 244:12,17,18,19,21,25 245:1,6,7,8,10,13,16 246:21 247:10 250:7 254:21 286:14 297:15 312:19 367:21 370:4 371:11,12 386:17 387:9 432:8 444:19 482:21 486:17 500:5 508:23
involvement 124:15 130:14 134:22,24
144:23 145:1 165:9
244:19
involvements 130:22
involves 47:9
in-possession 301:1
irrelevant 329:19
irrespective 88:9
irritating 112:18
Island 525:15,22 526:2
526:24 527:11,23
529:1
issuance 105:3 issue 41:18,20 51:24
83:12 104:10 128:11
146:13 158:6 188:15
235:17 253:18 296:24
310:8 330:17 331:15
351:8 353:3 356:14
357:7 390:2 416:22
428:9 432:14,22
433:16 455:4,4 478:19
480:14 481:10 482:8
528:14 529:7 542:14
issued 105:1,2 369:3
376:24
issues 104:22 123:11
134:8 135:5 139:19,22 139:24 145:3 163:2
176:18 177:8 178:23
170.10 177.0 170.23

180:22 248:12,16,22 249:23 250:7 253:23 259:1 274:22 292:3 305:7 331:24 356:1 357:2,22 428:19 431:2 431:6,11,20 432:10,12 433:5 434:19 482:6 523:24 537:19 issuing 52:1 **Italy** 192:2,2 item 25:22 26:7 390:15 394:12 items 83:22 127:6 180:14 369:21 420:7 535:13 536:9 it'd 370:17 539:25 it'll 260:4 357:18 465:6 465:11 **IV** 20:4

## J

**i.e** 399:6

**J** 1:21 7:7 9:16 11:10 12:16 16:10 **James** 6:25 8:14 28:21 119:5 468:23 544:6,7,8 544:9,10,11,12 **Jamie** 112:14 **January** 103:19,22 124:22 130:12.21 133:23 170:13 189:12 256:13 276:25 278:23 375:13 469:8 506:13 **Jason** 16:22 23:8 120:16 144:7 **JD** 180:18 416:18 420:2 **Jeannette** 5:17 225:18 jeep 68:5,15 70:21 108:21,24 109:2 207:17 260:16 296:21 374:4 380:2 381:15 447:19 450:10 458:12 458:17 459:8.21 465:12 475:12 491:2,4 492:18 494:6 503:4.13 519:12 526:24 527:11 531:25 535:21,24,25 536:3

jeeps 83:17,21 208:5 **JEFFREY** 4:8 **Jessica** 524:5.21 **Jim** 27:9 176:2,2,5 195:9 364:11 365:24 371:9 379:7 380:4 398:15 485:23 497:7 **Jimmy** 285:16,19,20,21 **JJF** 7:3 **job** 69:13 124:21 186:22 231:13 jobs 149:19 154:17 348:8 350:1 351:3 400:6,7,8 400:14,15,20 **John** 15:7 524:23 525:10 join 29:14 174:23 **joined** 36:10,11,19 191:18 **joining** 526:15 joint 265:10,17 **Jones** 3:3,12 4:2 279:7 journey 59:2 72:17 **JPM** 111:11 113:8 290:8 290:8.9 **JPMorgan** 12:19 101:8 107:4 111:13,18 112:15 202:19 203:15 217:16 218:16 285:20 285:21 286:10.16 **JR** 3:17 **JUDD** 24:10 judge 1:22 46:6 51:13 54:21 56:21 77:14 98:14 103:4 108:3 114:10 208:10 213:8 213:20 214:3 344:5 385:6 430:1 530:6 judgment 35:24 39:10 66:6,8,14 139:8 201:16 205:5 212:7 213:2 276:4 277:22 278:4 387:12 432:5,15,16,18 433:6 445:6 454:22 464:6,9,13,14 472:1,10 482:5,8 **JUDY** 9:17

jump 183:7 jumps 346:6 **June** 26:9 185:9 192:4 367:12 368:19 406:16 406:18,19 410:2 428:15,19 429:22 470:18 548:16 junior 335:10,13,15 336:1,3,4,5 jurisdictionally 108:11 iurisdictions 436:21 **JUSTICE** 5:11 6:2 JV 299:25 JVs 116:23 **J.D** 498:12 499:5 539:8 539:12 541:7,10,20,25 546:18

# K

**K** 9:9 11:13 17:10 18:17 **keep** 36:7 115:17 123:4 123:10 132:1 188:17 243:8 252:7 276:16 286:19 301:23 304:9 338:18 375:16,20,20 388:8 400:14,15 416:5 460:16 506:1 508:22 509:3 **keeping** 287:8 371:16,19 461:22

keeps 422:1 kept 106:23 145:2 245:1 322:8 400:20 455:15 Kevyn 3:18 37:1 key 146:4,4,5 254:13 256:3 294:7

**KG** 93:2

**KGF** 23:3

**KIMBERLY** 11:16 **kind** 100:12 125:22 182:14 196:9 207:19 209:25 213:8 239:11 265:10 323:13 327:7 355:3 403:1,4 409:16 419:11 445:3 491:15 496:20 531:5

kinds 113:23

**July** 185:9 231:22

**KL** 35:5 106:4 **KLEIN** 11:2 19:7 **knew** 84:14 141:12 149:4 149:6 153:25 180:2,18 180:19 182:15 183:18 287:11 373:22 481:12 **know** 25:19 36:4 41:2 47:9 49:3 54:11 61:24 62:3,24,24 67:23 68:2 68:6,18 70:1 71:14 72:6 76:18 77:7,9,10 77:11,12 78:6 89:8 91:13.24.25 102:19 103:11,19,20 105:3 111:11,17,18,19 115:12 118:16,18 121:24 122:3 129:5,19 139:21 157:11,13,14 159:9 160:20 165:8,19,24 167:19,22,22 168:5,7 168:14,16 169:10 170:8 171:22.24.24 172:8,10,10,11,19 177:1,18 178:5,17,17 179:20 180:8,14 186:3 186:5,8,20 187:24,25 188:4 191:3 193:5,18 193:24 195:12,15 196:9 200:4.12.20 204:15 205:5 206:17 207:19,20,22 208:16 209:1,17,23 210:9 211:22 212:12 213:8 215:22,23,24 219:5,18 226:9,23 227:23 228:19,20,21,25 229:10 230:5 236:7,11,15,19 239:3,4,25 240:5,10,12 241:15,17 245:19,20,22 245:25 246:9.17 247:4 248:2 250:11 253:24 254:5 255:25 260:7 262:1,1 263:11 264:5 271:4,9 272:19 280:1 281:16 285:12,19,21,22 285:25 288:21 289:14 289:16,25 291:8 295:2 295:22 298:4,11

299:11 300:18 302:8 302:12 304:2,4,8 305:1 305:4,5 306:10,13,15 306:18 308:2 309:5,10 311:2,9,9 312:18,19 316:17 320:10 321:1 326:14,15,21 327:17 330:20 338:22,24 339:14,22,24 340:17 341:14 343:10 350:25 351:2.15.17 355:20 359:10 364:13 365:7 365:21 366:1,8,14,14 367:6 368:1,4,5 369:19 370:19 371:5,20 372:6 372:9,14,15 373:1,14 373:16,17 374:9,16 376:15,15 377:5,7 380:17,18,19 383:4 384:11 385:8 386:16 386:16 387:8,22 390:14 394:12 396:16 396:16 397:18 398:8 398:10 401:7,10 403:6 404:19 405:4,10 406:6 407:8 408:21 410:21 410:22 411:6,8 412:6 413:1 414:22,23 416:4 417:24,25 418:3,5 419:1,11 421:14 422:17 426:12 427:14 428:22 432:21 433:17 434:3,15 446:1 460:12 461:11 462:24 468:16 468:18 469:15.19 470:20 472:15 473:2 475:23 481:8,16,18,22 482:16,19 484:10 487:7,8 492:23 493:18 497:3 506:21 511:4 513:23 518:4 521:20 526:14 528:20,23 530:23 532:17 537:22 542:13 **knowing** 390:1 429:5 **knowledge** 40:7 52:8

78:17 84:22 93:10

100:24 101:9 103:16

109:6,14 165:9 175:19 214:14 220:3 224:4 231:20 259:17 262:23 263:12 272:23 275:20 284:5 336:22 387:15 392:7 393:6,8 396:2 404:22 408:14,24 413:24 426:9,15,19,22 427:1 480:6 481:19 482:23 knowledgeable 127:3 416:15 **known** 28:12 29:17 47:3 399:19 **knows** 386:1 **Kokomo** 26:8 141:1,3,8 141:15 **Kolka** 106:10,12,13 173:13,16 176:9 195:15 243:20 302:11 302:12 306:9 405:4 414:22 433:18 434:10 439:10 542:20 Kolka's 543:2 **KPMG** 30:10 104:8,22 114:13,15 115:2,25 116:1,20,22 **KPMG's** 105:3 115:4,7 116:8 Kramer 5:2 89:25 **Kurtz** 16:9 28:3 35:12 38:17,21,21 40:24 46:5 46:6,14,21 49:19,21 50:23,24 51:12 52:4 54:20 56:21 58:19 59:15,19,22,23 68:12 74:3,7,11,15,21,24 75:1 75:4,5,14 76:10,16,22 77:1,5,8,12,14 80:15 81:13,16,18,21 87:10 87:13,16,23 88:3,6 89:21 98:12,16 101:10 101:14,22 102:4,14 108:3,5 112:4,10 113:21 114:5,10 115:2 115:9.13.23.25 116:4 116:17,19,21 117:4,17

434:16 438:14,20 544:7.12 **KY** 19:15 **KYLA** 18:17 L **L** 6:17 7:23 8:14 13:16 14:18 15:7 174:18 547:4 **LA** 5:18 **labor** 121:8 123:23,24,25 124:2,4 125:25 130:19 131:3,18 133:12 134:15 146:6 170:19 170:21,24 191:8 257:18 lack 112:1 482:22 **Laddin** 17:19 25:24 ladies 135:20 **lag** 183:7 laid 88:2 373:4

**Landers** 535:24 538:12 **Landry** 380:4 398:12 language 430:11 441:21 441:25 442:2 524:8 **LARDNER** 10:2 **large** 30:22 169:2 171:22 171:24 202:11,21 212:16 213:15 288:25 290:11 330:23 350:10 380:23 486:4 487:12 487:22 488:9 540:9 largely 322:24 488:5 larger 140:20 largest 265:2 330:24 **Las** 20:7 **LaSorda** 134:8 175:16 195:12 243:23.24 248:18 252:8,17 293:11 347:9,9 378:6 379:7 546:16 **LaSorda's** 249:12 **Lastly** 106:9 late 47:20 49:10 184:5 192:3 278:9 416:4 420:23 lately 506:2 **latitude** 477:22

117:23,25 118:23

launch 72:7 325:6 **Lauria** 16:21 173:12.16 173:18,21 201:10,12,23 214:18,19,20 215:5,13 215:22 216:15,18,20 221:8,11 224:16,24 225:4,8,9,21 229:21 235:11,13,22 236:2 242:12,23 243:7 249:9 249:16 261:3,5,7,9,14 264:8.10.13.15.18.25 265:1,13 266:13,14 281:12 282:13,18,20,21 282:25 283:5,12,14 289:13,19 290:4,5 304:7,14 310:11,13,25 320:9,14,18,23 329:22 329:24 330:1,3,5,17,20 331:5,9,18,21 332:17 332:19,22,24 333:23 335:3 336:11,18 338:5 338:8 342:4.6.7.14 344:9 351:23 352:2,20 352:23 353:1,5 354:10 354:25 355:1,19 357:19 427:11,13 433:17 434:4,6,9 438:8 438:9 439:8,12,14,19 439:21 440:1 542:8.10 542:14,19 544:19 **Lauria's** 329:23 355:13 357:23 434:5 **law** 20:2 21:11 35:3.5 92:7 106:6 246:11 281:4 430:6 480:20 481:15 534:25 laws 368:25 369:2 436:18 470:22,25 524:10 **lawsuits** 414:14 lawyer 33:18 34:24 35:3 143:3 156:11 lawyers 136:18 200:16 **lay** 50:4 53:2 277:10 361:1 372:1 **lavoffs** 149:15 **Lazard** 136:18 347:8 lead 61:19 62:3,18

126:15 195:16 203:15 248:21 **leaders** 380:22 **leadership** 69:10 140:7 140:15,15,17 141:18 150:7 166:19,25 **leading** 40:24 58:19,21 187:16 448:11,12 540:15 leaner 273:11,15 **leap** 285:12 learn 33:10 34:1 **learned** 74:13 380:4 **learning** 300:12 476:1 leased 441:23 Leases 2:22 **leave** 25:6 87:7 88:13,14 88:25 89:16 92:4 193:2 233:12 273:18,21 274:15,23 439:9,9 441:1 446:4,11 **leaves** 431:9 **leaving** 50:8 52:14 57:7 89:9 238:4 274:2 404:14,21 415:8 **LeBoeuf** 9:11 426:6 **led** 134:6 347:9 **ledge** 218:21 **ledger** 482:11 **Lee** 3:10 187:24 285:20 307:8 Lee's 285:21 **left** 25:7,8 65:16 73:5 88:24 102:16 142:5 217:5 274:17 342:9 353:11 395:17 403:10 403:17 405:16 432:11 446:21 456:23 480:10 510:17 512:25 **left-hand** 318:5.15 **legal** 33:23 35:12 219:9 235:1 247:17 265:12 331:16,19 405:25 406:7 418:15 431:20 432:3 471:2,22 472:2 474:4 524:9 **legally** 405:11

legitimate 290:25

**LEINWALD** 11:18 **Leinwand** 388:2 lend 189:9 213:3 lender 112:19 196:16,17 337:20 354:1,4 532:22 **lenders** 63:16 64:14 65:16,19 66:11,18 80:19 86:9 88:10 96:22 97:7 100:20,21,23 101:2,7 102:17,20 103:2 105:5 111:5.6 184:17 188:9 196:15 199:7,12,14,16 200:21 201:3,5 204:3,11,16 206:2,9,13,22 207:4 209:22,24 210:1,15 211:12 217:10,12,16,23 218:1,6,10,12,19 221:6 223:18 257:6 262:12 277:4 286:11 293:18 293:23,25 308:9,11 312:6 313:17 315:4.8 315:19 335:14,17 336:2,6,9,20 337:25 339:4,14,21 340:2,7,11 340:15,20 341:6,18 345:13,16 350:20 351:19 352:8,17,19,22 352:24 353:23 358:25 388:16,19 393:17 404:5 532:18,21 **lending** 207:15 385:15 386:11 length 95:2 325:3 **lengthy** 163:6 281:23 lent 229:17 336:5 **Leonard** 8:7 485:6 **Lerner** 13:15 162:9,10 162:13 163:13,16,25 164:10,14,20 169:19 428:5,24,25 430:25 431:9,13,22 432:6,20 433:1 434:22 435:17 445:23,25 541:22 542:2,5,7,10,21,23,25 544:15 lesser 254:18 **letter** 191:19 268:8,8,10

269:23 270:13 309:17 319:19 320:22 321:4 321:19 322:19 369:3 379:18 380:18 381:5 389:15 **letters** 368:1 380:15 **letting** 291:19 378:22 511:4 **let's** 27:5 34:9 41:3 53:14 61:8 79:21 95:16,18 103:6 130:21 190:24 202:13 209:4 215:11 215:14 216:10 217:14 225:11 230:13 250:20 252:7 253:7 256:9 263:15 268:4 277:24 291:2 292:5 293:8,10 293:17 294:12,23 295:12,19,20 297:19,21 297:21 299:13 300:9 307:5 308:15 317:16 318:4 322:19 326:24 328:2 330:16 332:18 335:24 344:24 356:20 408:25 433:19 455:3,4 480:14 500:25 502:5 503:25 509:20 524:19 527:19 level 85:22 99:10,24 100:16 104:19 129:12 137:17 138:12 139:25 140:4 143:8 150:15 157:16 158:14 167:23 168:11 177:1,13 181:15,24 182:4 195:17,18 205:20,25 206:1 211:6 239:3 287:12,12 321:19 322:10,11 377:3 451:21 490:6 500:4 levels 158:9,12,14 159:7 184:8 187:19 375:7 452:1 462:6 **leverage** 142:4 147:17 151:2,2,4,5,8 208:11 290:12 431:19 **Levin** 5:2 89:25 Lewis 12:2 92:8

**Lexington** 12:20 19:4,12 **light** 22:3 139:4 276:3 19:15 278:2 **Lexus** 486:2 **lightly** 516:12 **liabilities** 47:2,6 240:17 likelihood 141:24 258:2,3,9,11,16,20 **likewise** 463:19 273:17 274:9 298:14 limit 245:22 283:3 298:19 301:19 309:9 356:15 429:5,7 431:23 345:15 369:11 395:4,8 433:4 395:12,16 403:16 limitations 198:19 414:8 **limited** 10:13 54:16 **liability** 41:8 129:10 113:5 415:23 428:17 273:24 274:21 308:1 428:21.22 432:22 309:10.20 316:8 369:8 **limits** 433:7 369:10,10,14,15 402:24 **Linda** 135:22 404:15,21,24 405:2 **line** 68:16,17 87:1 102:9 480:6 102:10,13 160:23 **liberty** 8:11 70:24 71:5 161:1 168:9,10 178:22 109:1,5,7 385:22 179:25 180:1,3,14 **Lieff** 10:12 415:23 191:9,9,22 201:25 **lien** 43:16 61:12 63:23 208:8,9 220:6 235:6 403:14 64:14,24 65:16 66:5,10 345:16 346:3,5 347:7 66:18 67:7 80:18 96:22 394:12 420:7 458:9.10 97:6 100:20 101:2,6 492:8 493:4,9 525:18 102:17 103:1,25 105:5 535:13 536:3,4 544:5 111:5,6 181:9 184:16 545:5 547:5 188:9,12,13 196:15 **lines** 67:18,20 83:8 94:25 199:7,16 200:21 95:3,9 108:16,18,20 204:16 210:13 211:12 109:12,16 110:22 375:19 218:1 239:20 245:22 111:2 207:17 296:22 Lisa 2:25 548:4,8 245:24 249:24 251:6 345:21 346:17,19 259:4,6 262:12 277:4 347:6,11 490:23 491:1 286:25 287:4,9 293:25 491:10 494:4,24 509:9 312:6 313:17,21 315:4 513:13 532:1,7 533:16 315:8,19 316:14 535:20 335:10,14,17 336:2,6,9 lineup 180:3 192:6 336:20 338:19,23 **linger** 183:7 **linked** 44:4 406:15 339:4,14,21 340:2,6,11 **listed** 164:7 301:22 340:20 341:6,18 **Links** 231:13 345:13,16 350:20 **liquidat** 343:11 540:6 352:17,19,22,24 353:23 **liquidate** 205:4,12 237:3 **listen** 436:13 354:1,3,4 361:12,12 237:12,17,18 274:5,24 listening 69:16 388:15,18 393:16 278:25 350:23 **listing** 355:9 403:7,13 404:4 411:22 **liquidated** 348:3 403:11 litigate 471:6 **lienholders** 60:13 61:12 **liquidating** 58:7 205:5 litigates 526:23 64:20 65:20,22 104:2 213:13 **liens** 2:20 394:15 **liquidation** 58:12,13 **litigious** 528:13,19 life 122:7 322:6 59:8 78:19,20 79:1,12 lifeline 188:4 79:15,18 80:6 81:5,9

81:12,14,24 82:2,7,12 82:15 83:25 84:1.4.7 85:22 86:13,17 88:15 88:24 89:10,17,19 95:20,23 96:5,15 98:11 98:17 99:10,13,14,17 99:19,21,23,25 100:9 106:22 107:15 109:24 109:25 110:3,14 148:20 149:1,12,20,24 151:9 161:10 171:12 171:21 190:22 198:17 199:3.22 200:15.18.22 201:2,4,9 202:7 203:2 203:5,6,8,9,22 204:5,12 204:17,19 205:21 206:1 207:9 211:3 242:19 276:9 312:7 341:9,11 348:8 361:8 **liquidity** 36:6,8,10 39:16 40:11 58:1 59:2 70:7 78:25 89:10 104:24 106:17,23 107:3,22 108:6,7,8 109:22,23,24 129:8 185:1,23 188:16 188:17 301:24 374:3 **list** 124:12 178:23 196:14 208:25 244:6 248:12 248:15 345:21 363:5 363:11,18 381:5,8,17 398:13 430:17 434:15 452:14 482:4 504:19 528:18 538:23 539:1 363:15 379:25 382:15 **litigation** 44:12 84:5 **little** 15:10 29:8 34:9 39:19 40:15 88:14

93:21 121:7 123:21 130:9 161:13 175:5.5 178:7 191:1 250:25.25 252:1 253:25 292:5 301:12 310:7 323:23 347:1 354:22 409:9 420:23 423:16 501:17 518:15 534:25 535:17 535:18,21,25 536:7 **LIU** 9:17 live 28:21 455:15 479:3 livelihood 350:16 lives 137:19 402:4 **living** 126:11 **LLC** 1:8 6:12 9:4,12 11:4 14:2,4 15:10 19:10 20:3,4,13 24:11 28:24 47:6 174:20 177:6 253:23 257:7 260:4.10 262:2 267:16 269:2 325:2 388:3 404:22 479:17 535:2 535:18,21 537:7,21 538:15 548:11 **LLP** 4:10 5:2 6:19 7:10 7:18 8:2,9,17 9:2,11 10:2,12 12:2,11,18 13:2 14:20 15:2 16:2 16:12 17:2.13 18:2 21:2 22:12 23:2 24:2 24:10 loan 43:18 44:2,3 63:4 130:18,18 131:15,19,20 132:13 170:12,15,18 171:1 185:20 186:11 197:24,25 239:20 277:16 279:11,13 280:15 314:5 315:20 315:21,24 349:13 386:15 loans 43:14 60:25 61:9 61:10 66:18 67:7 128:25 130:25 132:1 159:18 250:8 251:6 335:10 349:14,25 350:6 384:1 385:19 426:10 **LOBELLO** 11:10

**local** 124:16 126:4,12,12 126:22 127:3 140:15 363:1 370:10 **locality** 457:2,3 535:25 **locals** 127:8 140:20,21 140:24 **locate** 446:6 535:13 **located** 136:4 455:20 456:24 513:15.18 514:14 535:17 **location** 135:9 141:1 457:5 458:20 462:17 **locations** 127:5 135:10 141:2 455:19 460:5 493:4 531:11 logical 195:7 logistically 46:14 **logos** 178:13 **LOI** 191:19 **long** 40:11 54:11 97:1 132:11 137:14 142:11 148:18 160:19 187:25 195:12 231:21 232:21 282:21 303:9 344:5,5 356:9 358:23 399:14 399:16 412:23 427:19 432:21 435:12 439:10 455:14 471:6 485:24 492:19 514:13 516:10 516:11 518:19 527:23 529:1,2 533:21 longer 35:15 157:23,24 158:3 173:23 180:10 185:20 427:2 437:21 458:21 510:1 530:14 Longwinded 70:3 **long-term** 166:22 266:18 313:3 537:15 **look** 45:13 50:19 79:22 82:24 83:2,5 95:16 135:23 154:15 156:2 159:15,16 181:12,22 183:13 184:23 187:13 190:19,20,22 191:4 197:21 199:6 203:21 207:24 210:11 212:10 212:25 222:15 231:3 231:14,17 232:6,6,7

252:21 253:10 258:6 268:1.14 271:12 275:24 284:17 287:4 289:4.18 291:2 295:19 295:20 296:19,20 297:18 298:3,21 299:12,13,23 300:9 302:9,16 307:22 313:1 314:25 315:1 318:5 322:19 324:7 326:9 338:13 344:4.18.24 345:23 346:3,7 347:24 364:4 370:17 394:10 395:25 398:16 419:25 453:2 457:1,6 463:24 474:8,12 484:3,20 486:18 498:20 500:25 502:3 503:2 504:21 524:4,19 527:19 530:11 **looked** 55:16 56:3 76:19 82:21 83:3.15.16 179:9 179:9 180:2,5,11,11,17 191:7,7,7,8 195:20 201:2 207:21,22,23 228:9 231:11 263:11 274:21,22 287:2 311:8 322:4 325:1,8 347:14 347:18 368:11 371:4 372:24 390:1 399:5 410:23 462:10 474:11

529:23 **looking** 79:17 97:5 98:11 132:3,5 181:23 182:17 185:3 199:9 212:19 222:4,18 228:17 249:4 253:4 254:8 285:13 296:8,21 313:16 315:5 315:7 316:22,24 318:13 319:23 322:5,6 337:7 338:22 344:19 357:4 358:13,21 425:12 448:7,8 456:21 460:16 463:6 464:2.14 496:21 500:13 502:4 529:21 531:24

474:14 476:8,11,11

477:8 502:2 514:24

looks 106:10,12,12 222:17 227:23 287:22 345:24 346:2 368:21 379:15 380:17 Los 14:23 17:8 **lose** 303:11 losing 487:9 493:21 533:7 541:11 loss 348:8 383:12 450:25 losses 385:4 451:14 467:17.21 **lost** 149:19 307:9,10,11 351:3 400:6 445:13 450:22 451:2,5 458:13 487:5 489:9,11,18 490:3,22 494:3,9,13,14 **lot** 84:16 141:7 149:11 176:14 178:10 181:6,6 181:7 182:6,12,12 189:11 192:17 193:15 194:4 209:13 237:21 243:1 251:12 256:2 300:14 303:17 325:15 326:13 328:16,19 332:15 361:14 367:8,9 392:15 393:11 419:4 470:19 486:4 487:9 **loud** 160:7,14 260:23 285:15 289:6 299:24 **louder** 330:1 **Louis** 7:11.14 187:5 273:19 441:19 Louisiana 3:14 **low** 73:16,19 75:8,17,18 96:2.11 97:12 345:10 504:2 lower 78:21 79:2,5,8,9 79:10.11 80:22 84:1 95:24 97:23 98:20,25 99:1 200:1 422:22 472:12 lowest 420:9,10 loval 175:20 533:24 534:9

**L.L.P** 13:9

 $\mathbf{M}$ **M** 5:7 6:25 8:15 15:16 16:9.23 20:9 23:8 479:15 525:1 534:24 544:4 545:4 **MA** 20:15 **MACK** 12:23 **MAFA** 384:10.23 magnitude 84:14 mail 69:5 88:20 251:23 **Main** 19:13 mainstream 486:10 maintain 70:8 107:1 108:7.7 131:11 188:17 391:14,18 506:5 maintained 100:13 maintaining 193:17 major 16:4,14 17:4 128:10,10 143:5,8 181:22 213:6,10 339:3 **majority** 111:5 145:4 147:15 218:6 223:18 241:18 242:3.5 290:23 323:11 377:7 401:24 **making** 157:9,19 213:1 225:4 272:7 281:20 282:9 289:3 294:10 295:19 301:7 312:20 351:12 383:3,6 426:10 426:25 455:7 479:1 518:12 540:2 Mall 528:25 **Man** 196:8 manage 229:18 **management** 7:3 86:19 88:17 100:10 201:18 205:11 212:24 229:19 258:25 303:16 312:19 319:14 461:12,20 526:25 538:15 manager 29:17,19,24 178:15 379:12 524:25 525:12 537:1

**loyalty** 180:20 420:4 managers 28:23 29:1,14 **lunch** 173:3.6 **LYNCH** 20:17 **Lyon** 24:13

29:25 47:12 48:15 50:17 54:25 57:8,13

	58:16 197:2,15 201:15
	204:24 456:18 469:6
	545:19
	managing 49:13
	mandate 30:9 118:11,17
	196:10
	mandates 194:4
	Mango 110:6
	manner 2:18 176:20
	manufactured 208:20
	manufacturer 191:20
	213:10 321:10,25
	362:25 426:21 486:23
	487:18 490:16 504:14
	505:23 506:25 508:16
	508:22 515:18 531:13
	manufacturers 182:13
	189:2 190:11 193:20
	309:9 347:10 351:17
	374:24 420:11 486:5
	486:19 487:11 498:3
	498:17 504:2 505:12
	531:15
	manufacturing 93:1
	121:10 212:17 507:7
	Manzo 61:20 78:19,20
	81:16 82:25 84:18
	106:11,12,13 110:7,16
	110:21 199:18,19,21
	204:2 219:5,10,11,14
	219:21 220:1,14,20,24
	221:8,15 222:1,16
	223:13 224:7 225:2
	226:18 227:5,19 228:4
	244:11,12 253:10
	255:2,13 284:19
	287:18,22 288:3,7,10
	290:24 294:7,22,25
	296:2,11
	Manzo's 81:23 82:2
	225:11 285:4 289:5
1	294:14
	map 454:1 455:19
	maps 453:5 455:23 456:4
1	540:7
	Marbury 9:5
1	March 42:23 48:20
	60:19 103:19,22
1	

134:19 192:23 195:4
202:14 255:11 268:11
269:23 270:6,9,13,15
271:3 272:4 273:2
275:13 319:19 320:1
320:18 321:1 322:16
322:17,20 370:22
371:2 375:3,13 380:5
380:10 382:5 393:9,11
393:12 395:18,20
397:24 457:23 469:9
<b>Marchionne</b> 299:1
<b>Marine</b> 124:10
mark 13:19 349:21
378:3
marked 27:22 28:2,4,9
37:1,2,7,8,9 49:15 69:3
70:9 86:25 152:2,7,9
197:16 214:7 378:6,15
market 12:4 42:15 79:20
82:14 95:13 200:8
208:18 278:14 347:19
349:19,21 373:25
374:13,15,18,19 391:21
400:21 422:21 424:7
424:13 445:17 450:22
453:2,3 454:1,17
456:10,14,14,15 457:4
457:5 458:14 459:4,7
459:11 460:5 465:1
489:9 490:12 494:7
495:1 515:12,13,15,22
516:1 524:14 526:10
528:25 531:25 532:1
532:20 533:12,17
538:9 540:9
marketing 176:6 325:7
364:11 365:5 368:7
369:16 371:5 399:10
399:12
marketplace 458:17,22
458:25 519:7 537:19
537:25
markets 363:1 476:12
487:3 508:5 531:19,21
531:22,23 533:14,15
536:23

**marking** 378:16

```
marries 260:11
Martin 9:16 426:5
MARY 7:7
Mason 120:25 136:12
mass 213:15 366:15
Massachusetts 525:15
 526:2
massive 212:16
master 198:21 247:5.7
 247:12,20 259:20
 307:15,24 389:4
 391:12 394:11,11
 437:22
MASUMOTO 6:9
match 375:15
matches 183:2
material 115:20 116:2
  198:15 258:20
materials 32:17,19,21
 49:22 54:8.10 55:16.20
 59:10 151:22 319:15
 331:13
math 162:4 212:19
 264:21 326:12 327:5
 327:10,11,25 329:1,23
 529:22
Matt 219:4 226:23,24
 227:5 255:13,14
 285:16 291:6 294:18
 294:22
matter 1:6 40:16 43:13
  192:11 216:9 219:25
 424:19 434:12 438:4.8
 440:23 441:10 445:20
 446:14 485:23 490:17
 505:24 514:14
matters 32:16 37:14 41:2
  101:7 115:14 138:19
  170:1 177:6 202:4
 253:17 443:1 446:14
 483:19
Matthew 22:16 23:17
 226:4 254:19
maximize 58:9 61:21
 62:19 66:8 89:18 99:15
 233:9 295:4.23 304:24
 305:10
maximizing 295:10
```

340:23 **maximum** 62:4 **Mayco** 6:12 Mayer 5:7 45:23,23 89:23,24,25 90:3 92:4 357:9,10,16,21 425:22 425:24 544:8 **May/June** 267:20 **ma'am** 359:2,4 364:14 MCCARTHY 22:2 MCDOWELL 18:8 McLean 22:7 **McRory** 11:24 388:1,1,5 388:12 392:8 544:21 **MD** 9:7 mean 34:5 82:11 89:9 90:14 91:6 104:19 112:21 115:15 116:14 138:6 149:10 157:18 158:9 160:11 164:6 167:25 168:1 171:18 179:14 182:21 185:8 185:17 186:2 187:8,16 187:18 191:11,18 192:17 205:7,13,16 207:13 210:22 222:6 226:2,9,14,17,18 228:19 229:25 239:11 244:3.5 246:15 251:3 260:7 263:12 267:3.7 273:15 276:7 284:11 286:13 291:11,17 304:1 308:2 315:5.15 326:11 327:17 328:10 329:7 331:4.6 334:21 337:17 363:3 369:18 372:1 378:23 382:9 385:12 393:22 399:4 401:5 406:7 412:14 415:6 419:23.25 421:12,14 424:5 435:3 448:22 455:3 458:9,18 461:5,18 462:1 472:21 484:2 486:24 488:6 494:9 497:13 499:23 502:3 506:11 507:14 508:21 509:17 514:4 514:16 515:9 529:10

529:21,21 531:12 375:18 382:25 383:21 311:20 517:13,14 532:19.21 389:13 435:22 538:10 518:4 meaning 34:1 35:14 meets 457:12 495:21 Mercedes 186:5 57:19 95:14 165:20 meltdown 184:25 merely 532:1 180:4 182:8 422:19 member 14:12 28:23 mergers 175:21 Meridian 10:4 425:7 32:14 51:8 60:18 62:2 meaningful 505:24 62:2,17 64:5 82:4 merits 527:4.6 508:20,21 84:25 98:22 138:10 mesothelioma 407:8,9 **meanings** 141:12 148:24 169:7,11 message 166:2 252:2 means 63:8 65:10 186:24 178:16 219:8,11 253:11 290:12 285:24 407:6 411:2 messenger 441:9 227:1 251:4 276:15 291:8 315:16 349:22 414:6 **Messrs** 378:6 546:15 451:13 458:10.11.19 members 30:1 32:7.11 met 53:9 90:4 132:12.15 461:10,19,24 462:2 32:24 34:17 35:18 134:25 158:19 181:8 meant 153:2 225:3 51:21 74:20 121:11 192:4,5 194:1 196:9 226:25 259:15 427:5 122:5,6,6,8,8,9 126:1 197:5 236:16 317:8 measure 501:17,18,20 126:25 141:14 147:9 348:15 370:21 437:10 measured 420:4 503:5 147:14 148:5 151:18 485:13 495:17 method 326:7 330:18,18 measurement 460:22 152:11 153:3 155:11 mechanic 407:7 156:6.15 157:23 330:19 mechanics 356:2 158:25 159:3,25 **methodology** 327:12,13 mechanism 384:2 386:2 161:22 162:1 163:14 538:25 mechanisms 183:21 163:15,17,19 164:6 metrics 183:14 184:4 187:11 167:4.14 171:5 176:15 **Metro** 454:1 medical 127:24 137:12 176:20 194:11 224:13 metropolitan 7:12 538:9 138:5 141:20 224:15 408:16 **MEYER** 11:2 meet 35:15 52:16 131:1 membership 122:15 **Mfg** 10:3 126:25 128:13 133:1,8 **MI** 6:15 10:8 18:6,15 159:20 183:11 192:10 133:19 137:21 138:9 196:12 245:3 252:25 21:6.15 24:14 437:23 469:8,20 496:3 138:11 139:8,19 140:7 **Miami** 16:19 meeting 34:16 36:22 mic 174:9 140:22 141:7.18 55:7,8,21 60:20 62:6 147:12,15 148:10 Mich 11:5 14:5 107:1 133:13 140:17 151:15 152:6 154:11 **MICHAEL** 7:23 172:18 315:17 331:13 **Michigan** 248:18 436:6 146:2,3,7,8,17,18 183:13 184:3 197:9.15 546:7 436:25 437:1,5,5,8,9,14 197:19 198:4,5,16,25 memorandum 191:19 437:19,21,24 438:1 202:9 204:18 211:10 309:17 **Michigan's** 437:6,16,16 216:4 236:20.24 memory 204:7,13 487:3 438:4 237:11 248:17 286:21 mention 191:15 522:3 **microphone** 29:6 342:8 309:2 339:2 370:24 mentioned 31:14 43:11 388:11 441:13 478:1 380:4 517:16 518:10 103:8 122:5 123:17,20 479:12 545:22 135:17 136:1,14 138:3 mid 47:20 484:11 518:13 meetings 31:10,12,16,18 143:15 146:3,6 160:2 **middle** 96:7 202:13 31:20,23,25 32:3,4,10 175:11 191:16,16 209:5 277:7 32:11,25 37:11 40:2,14 206:14 236:16 257:23 **mid-Atlantic** 379:18,21 92:18 127:2 145:11 268:20 269:11 278:16 484:13 146:1.12.15 177:21 281:20 297:10 301:7 mid-November 280:8 244:8,10,13,15 291:22 347:20 369:16 374:24 **mid-term** 143:21,23 541:3

Mike 436:25 miles 422:23 423:5 467:5 mill 368:1 **MILLER** 21:2 **million** 78:7 80:19 84:12 84:19 96:11 100:11 101:5 110:11 118:5 121:13,17 149:7 150:23 162:4 182:5,7 185:2 206:5 209:4,8,10 211:19 219:22 220:21 221:9 222:2,7,7 223:13 223:14.16.21 224:6.8 225:2 226:17 259:12 286:4 290:21 294:15 315:21 341:11 397:10 400:21 420:14 445:1 468:19 486:25,25 509:21 513:10 520:15 539:20.23 540:1 millions 405:5 421:1 422:8 528:15 mind 100:7 131:14 240:24 304:9 440:23 446:20 454:15 475:3 506:7 minds 112:2 **Mineola** 8:5 548:14 Mine's 297:22 **minimum** 457:9,10 462:19 490:5,8 515:10 515:11,12,25 537:10 538:7 minivan 72:8 296:21 minivans 83:18.22 187:6 467:3 506:17 **mini-type** 180:6 minor 103:9 minus 345:15 minute 31:6 62:13,15 74:6 76:4 87:15 119:1 121:6 201:20 216:10 219:12 220:18 249:6 280:22 283:3 330:4,8 331:17,17 352:4 446:20 477:23 478:15 479:25 480:2 510:9

minutes 27:6 73:24 197:9.19.21 204:23 215:3,7 216:4,24 236:20 245:1 248:20 332:21 354:15 355:12 357:14 398:24 427:22 433:12 434:23 436:1 439:15 510:1,6 512:1,2 530:7,9 542:15 545:22 mischaracterizes 147:2 221:4 475:5 misconceptions 193:8 **mislead** 146:7 misrepresent 271:11 missed 32:4 82:24 83:1,2 83:5 355:4 missing 345:24,25 346:1 346:2 452:24 509:8 **Missouri** 441:23 **misspoke** 384:19 misstatement 422:1 misunderstanding 193:8 misunderstood 231:12 mitigated 465:6 Mitsubishi 193:20 502:21 **mix** 347:1 376:20 mixed 208:4 **MO** 7:14 **model** 68:14 212:19 486:6,8,9 497:12 504:15 515:3,4 models 506:15 509:13 521:7 modest 212:4 374:21 **modification** 143:22,23 163:10 modifications 165:17,21 167:3,16 170:19 423:23 **modified** 26:21 316:10 317:1 547:7 **modify** 163:1 **modules** 191:4 moment 37:10 43:11 217:14 261:23 278:8 298:3 308:16 377:24 436:9 442:22 487:15

495:14 499:8 **moments** 501:10 **Monday** 120:6 183:11 238:10.20 248:16.17 406:21 528:10 monetary 240:23 money 40:8 64:22 84:16 84:17 88:24,25 97:1 111:19 113:18 114:6 118:5 157:25 159:12 196:21.22 209:22 210:3,13 211:22 213:3 262:10.16.17.18 279:5 281:17,25 282:5,6,8 315:9 322:3 335:12,13 335:17,18 336:10 353:10,16,22 386:9,10 403:10 404:20 418:8 418:19 419:6 445:3 465:17 467:16.20 468:15,16 487:9 488:16 506:16 521:5 **monitor** 39:14 **monitoring** 39:11 85:21 99:9 508:23 **month** 40:15 170:7 231:24 232:15 238:3 380:13 457:23 monthly 103:12,13 460:24 months 37:15,18 38:7 39:14,21 40:5,10 47:13 98:5 143:25 166:21 167:6 177:21 187:7,8 399:22 400:2 401:3 419:5 423:18,19 424:1 451:3,19 487:6 489:10 489:14 490:3 492:19 493:23 533:12 539:16 **Montoya** 524:21 Montoya's 524:5 **moot** 431:4,7 480:18 **Mopar** 72:19,19 **morally** 211:3 **Mores** 89:24 **Morgan** 111:11 113:8 202:19

morning 25:10,14 26:24

27:11,12 28:17,18 59:23.25 90:4.5 263:14 440:6 441:8 483:11 484:4 512:19 513:11 542:16 543:3 **MORTE** 5:18 **mortgage** 184:25 mortgages 508:6 **motion** 2:2,12 25:9,16 26:18 28:11 66:17 84:13.19 237:22 387:2 387:5,6 389:17 406:14 410:2 412:10.12 413:3 413:13,19,20 430:13 431:1,12 480:5,11 504:12 547:6 motions 413:9 431:3 479:22.23 Motor 19:3 124:12,18 128:21 485:24 **Motors** 61:25 125:14 126:5 128:22 129:7.15 130:16,25 131:17,23 149:4 167:9 296:10,11 296:23 297:6 322:7 351:5 495:4 504:3 move 29:6,6 35:21 38:14 44:23 45:2,19 52:11 54:15 56:18 66:5.21.22 66:24 67:7 75:13 76:9 120:12 160:13 173:9 173:11 214:16 215:3 225:8 270:5 282:13 283:4 292:24 332:18 362:5 377:2 406:9 438:17,24 439:17 440:8 443:4 448:15 455:3 464:7 477:11,13 483:23 494:25 513:3 540:23 moved 46:2 52:12 56:17 180:21 195:23 215:21 299:3 430:14 459:23 477:19 483:22 Moves 16:5,15 17:5 moving 186:13 305:5 309:8 372:17 439:23 **MSA** 367:10

MSR 457:7,9 515:9 Mt 14:6 MTA 384:23 405:5 multi 524:4 multifactor 456:12 463:23 multiple 108:25 208:14 208:14 318:20 319:5 multiply 324:7 multitude 125:15 M&A 426:16

**N** 3:2 7:16 16:22 21:11 21:17 25:1 544:2,4 545:2,4 546:2 547:2,4 548:2 **Naftalis** 5:2 89:25 name 28:19.21 40:16 91:18 119:16 136:1 167:9 174:7,17 176:2 199:20 260:1,8,9 298:12 357:13 392:13 407:5 426:5 436:24 441:16.18 442:10 479:14,16 498:11 524:5 535:1 named 136:18 163:23 **nameplates** 182:19,22 187:3 names 109:1 135:22,23 Nar 393:24 **Nardelli** 27:17 60:20 69:7 85:21 99:8 173:6 174:5,6,18 197:14 201:15 202:6 203:19 209:19 212:6 214:25 216:21 217:20 235:7 249:7 299:23 304:9 358:11 359:12 360:7 361:2,15 363:12,21 377:23 378:6 379:6 382:3 385:3,15 388:6 391:13 392:13 393:12 396:8 397:15 400:1 401:2,22 407:5 414:4 426:5.23 483:23

544:18,19,20,21,22,23

544:24,25 545:6,21 546:16 **Nardelli's** 362:6 496:12 narrow 356:10 472:11 **NATH** 13:2 **national** 7:19 21:4 124:17 125:12,20 126:5,12 127:4 140:18 415:25 natural 533:5 naturally 469:11 **nature** 41:5 105:15 189:20 195:6 206:15 207:2 444:22 500:8 521:7 Nealey 10:20 415:22,22 416:4,7 418:14,16 422:3,6,7 423:10,15,17 425:16,18 near 488:25 503:6 538:5 necessarily 150:11 431:7 459:17 507:11.14 509:17 519:9 528:20 533:5 necessary 85:23 99:11 132:1 143:13 235:19 357:22 385:1 388:19 433:6 445:7 491:23,24 522:7 540:11 **need** 27:21 42:17 51:6 62:22 74:5 86:12.15 87:21 88:13 99:24 187:11 190:7,8 195:9 206:1 233:8 234:17 249:3 264:9 274:23 279:8 285:7 290:7,22 295:13 322:3 331:23 351:12 354:24 357:22 381:21 409:6,15 417:1 418:17 425:25 435:13 446:4 456:14 458:14 458:21 492:25 493:24 494:17 495:20 501:8,9 510:9 512:1 521:14 522:4 532:15 536:8 540:8 **needed** 58:1 113:18 114:6 137:6 142:5

205:20 269:13 290:19 338:18 373:22 382:4 437:1 469:17 needs 224:22 289:14 310:15 331:24 352:16 433:22 446:2 543:6 Neely 544:25 **negative** 149:11,23 317:22,23 361:13 421:15 462:3 533:10 negatively 253:25 513:5 neglected 540:22 negotiate 122:17,19 129:20,23 147:19 167:20 217:16 229:17 250:6 251:8 353:2 **negotiated** 89:8 90:24 131:17 137:1 141:13 146:8 157:24 165:21 165:22 167:6 213:11 240:8 262:23 308:23 308:23 309:8 361:25 410:13,17 412:5,16,18 496:8 negotiates 124:17 **negotiating** 60:10,12 125:7,9,21 126:20 133:24 135:24 144:20 147:18 149:4 150:19 151:2 165:13 210:2 229:6,9 247:6,11 355:11 441:20 **negotiation** 61:2,4 63:17 63:19 91:1 113:24 123:10,15 124:20 126:19 127:10 137:7 162:19 163:1 164:22 164:24 165:5.6 167:21 229:22 241:4 290:11 309:11 431:20 **negotiations** 123:12,14 126:7,13,15 129:24 130:11,15,23 131:12,25 132:3 133:24 134:6,9 134:12,16,20 137:9 142:6 144:17.24

145:14,18,23 155:20

162:21 164:23 165:9

165:11 166:19 207:7 217:7 242:9.24 243:21 244:20,22 245:6,14 254:15 287:8 291:18 291:19 293:18 334:24 336:20 337:11 339:19 351:1 403:19 neighboring 494:9 **neither** 456:17 net 80:11,22 97:22 459:20 460:24 461:25 **network** 195:10,15 275:11 366:19.21 369:8,12 371:24 373:2 373:9 391:15 395:15 395:23 396:3,11 399:6 399:23 400:3 443:23 443:25 444:1,8,10,13 444:25 445:4,8,11,12 445:14 447:3.14.16.19 447:22 448:3,9 449:12 453:22,22 455:24 459:6 464:1 473:11 475:1,4 476:12,24 477:5 479:7 482:12 486:6,8 494:13,15 496:5,7 497:9 505:23 508:24 516:22,22 517:12 518:7 520:20 521:4 527:8 529:12 534:12 540:10.10.12.12 546:14 **NEUREITER** 11:16 never 74:1,2,25 76:5,5 76:23 78:14 79:5 88:19 105:1,2 108:19 109:11 109:15 116:5 136:9 141:21 142:18 203:7 203:10 209:6 217:19 220:4 263:11 264:11 274:10,16 275:18 300:6 347:12,17 348:12 371:13 408:5 410:24 446:10 474:12 474:14 476:8 490:13 496:20.22 nevertheless 137:25

**new** 1:3,15,15 3:6 4:13 5:5.13.15 6:6.23 8:12 8:20 9:14 11:8,21 12:14,21 13:5 15:5,14 15:22 16:7 19:5 22:14 47:3,6 61:6,10 63:23 64:9,15 65:15 66:5,18 66:22,25 67:8,10,12,12 67:21,25 68:13,20 72:7 84:4 125:1 127:6,8 128:12 129:6 135:20 136:1,2,3 142:8,23 148:15 154:17 156:17 156:19 157:11,23 168:4 172:6 178:15 181:13 182:5 190:6 192:18 224:18 231:13 232:8 233:7 257:18 259:25 260:1 265:2,9 270:3 273:7 274:23 281:18 290:18 301:16 301:16 305:5 308:19 309:21,25 311:24 323:12 325:2,3,6,8,9 326:20 337:14 342:1 343:12 364:5 386:23 391:17 395:8 397:8 408:3 416:11 417:7,11 417:19,20 418:7 419:4 419:5,11 421:4 422:14 423:5,18 424:18,21,21 425:11 426:10 435:10 437:6,13,21,23,24 447:20 449:4 458:16 459:10,15 460:5 465:14,16,24 472:21 473:10,11,14 475:1,4 476:18,21,22,22,25 482:18 485:18 491:9 491:10 496:6 512:18 514:18 516:20,21 520:1,19,25 522:8 525:13 526:24 532:10 534:12 536:7.15 Newark 187:4 273:20 NewCarCo 259:24 261:24 262:10.14.22 265:23 322:24 323:5

**NEVILLE** 13:7

NewCo 48:14 257:7 260:7 262:1 270:25 271:17 274:4 275:16 283:17.25.25 306:24 307:21 311:3,6 312:13 312:17,18 324:23 325:11 343:5,6,16,19 391:1,4,11 394:22 395:4 404:21 406:5 422:14 423:1,20 424:5 424:8,15 430:9,15,16 436:17.21 news 468:12 531:15 **nice** 118:16,18 **night** 222:12,25 223:1 228:9 248:17 534:15 **nine** 116:9 162:3,4,5 184:15 185:4 321:12 322:8 400:22 **ninety** 495:7 529:12,16 **Ninth** 20:6 **Nissan** 131:5 167:9 193:19 267:9,21 309:4 486:11 497:25 500:16 500:19 501:13 502:16 503:3,6,17 504:2 513:22 Nitro 346:12,24 **NOALL** 20:9 **nods** 118:22 **non** 293:17,20 303:15 noncash 269:21 nonconsenting 218:18 nondebtor 356:1 nonearning 200:6 **nonprofit** 407:14 nonrecoverable 307:11 non-binding 195:24 non-damage 474:9 **non-stop** 189:13 **Nope** 114:23 117:2 NORCROSS 24:10 normal 178:24,24 380:21 517:5 normalized 507:24 **normally** 123:13 126:15 134:7 **Norris** 28:21

northeast 524:25 525:12 **northern** 135:8.8 **note** 120:18 156:18,21,22 160:2 161:25 168:4,18 172:6,9 253:24 270:1 308:19 309:20 310:18 315:1 332:1,2 381:10 **noted** 198:16 305:21 492:3 notes 30:16 39:19 103:4 239:20 245:22.24 249:19 **notice** 2:18 32:11.12.18 83:7,10 245:4 272:5 299:22 420:3 430:19 430:20 471:7 479:16 479:22,23 511:13 notices 499:4 505:17 516:5 **notification** 273:3 337:1 **notion** 34:1 398:7 notwithstanding 40:9 88:22 **November** 36:3 37:18 38:8 59:1 94:2 182:14 182:24 278:15.21 280:2 373:6 no-strike 142:11,17 number 58:6 70:10 78:8 78:12 82:13 83:24 86:25 94:5,5 95:19,23 95:24 96:5,5 97:3,12 97:12,15,15,19,22 99:1 100:7,20 109:4 124:11 124:16 149:11 152:19 154:21,21,23,24 155:1 155:5 177:15,21 181:25 185:22 191:11 203:8 212:3.4 215:6 233:22 292:2 298:23 302:4,5,6,9 303:6,7 305:5 308:6,7 313:1 324:8 325:20 331:14 347:24 348:20 363:24 364:25 370:18 371:20 372:6.17 374:21 376:15 377:6 380:23 387:23 398:7 417:24

421:12 435:8 437:18 444:3 447:7 450:13.16 460:12 462:2,9 463:24 468:17 469:15.16 470:10 487:7,13 493:2 493:19 496:20,21 499:9 500:1,10 504:2 506:11 513:1,5 514:13 515:2,18,20 517:24 518:17 519:4,11 **numbered** 43:6 45:2.13 numbers 74:12.12.23 75:2.7.11 76:5 78:21 78:21 82:23 96:10 98:10 156:12 181:22 224:5 327:9 345:12 365:13 382:5 469:9 numerous 124:22 191:16 291:22 **NV** 20:7 **NW** 7:4,20 11:13 17:15 24:13 **NY** 3:6 4:13 5:5,15 6:6 6:23 8:5,12,20 9:14 11:8,21 12:14,21 13:5 15:5,14,22 16:7 19:5 22:14 548:14 **N.E** 4:4 **N.W** 3:14

### O

O 1:20 25:1 544:4 545:4 548:2 oath 38:4 440:19 **Obama** 192:12 194:12 **object** 38:17 88:1 101:22 138:21,25 201:10 202:1 215:5 443:14 455:1 477:18 480:11 480:23 523:11 524:13 **objected** 54:17 350:22 **objecting** 15:10 100:23 385:23 441:1 478:8.10 **objection** 25:23 35:12 38:20 40:25 46:6 49:25 50:9 56:21 58:19 62:11 62:15 73:21 76:3 87:8 98:12 101:10 111:24

111:25 113:14,25 116:12 117:12 118:9 120:17 138:17 147:1 149:25 150:1 154:8 160:4,5,6 163:13 201:11 214:21,23 221:2,3 224:9,10 225:16,17 226:13,15 235:4,5 237:25 242:10 249:5,6 264:5 265:11 266:8 289:20,23 310:6 310:10 320:4,5,10,14 329:12,13,14,20,24,25 333:19,20 334:20 341:23,24 348:16 351:22 352:1,2,5 353:3 353:4 355:6,22,23 363:8 378:11 381:24 384:8 385:6,11 405:24 409:4 412:7,13,22 413:16 418:10 419:18 421:22.25 424:16 432:3 437:2 441:12 442:3 443:13 448:11 453:7,13 454:7,10,11 468:2,3,4 471:22 474:1 474:1,10 475:5 478:16 479:20 480:17 484:5 484:17,17,23 523:25 526:4 540:15,25 541:1 **objections** 25:18 26:4 38:16 41:3 45:22 54:19 54:20 56:20 77:16 115:17 242:12 342:11 348:5,6 355:10,25 430:21 435:8 436:8 437:16,17 438:4 440:25 441:2 443:6,15 479:1 485:8 511:1 **objective** 248:16 289:16 **objectives** 179:16 194:2 488:15,17 **objector** 355:2 357:6 **objectors** 10:14 50:11 206:25 348:2,12,13,18 357:3 415:24 434:7 **objector's** 50:6 101:4 **obligated** 304:4 309:13

309:15 362:17 466:23 **obligation** 34:6 35:21 41:10 61:6 89:16 127:25 128:23 129:1 157:21 158:19 301:14 303:13 308:22,23 314:1 331:10 391:2 **obligations** 30:12 70:4,6 116:9 128:2 129:4 130:1,7,7 142:23 155:25 156:4 157:10 158:1 168:2 169:3 301:20 304:3 305:15 306:20,21,23 307:2,7 307:20,20 308:15 332:12 437:4,10,19,20 437:24 438:2 471:11 471:18 472:19,24 473:1 obligatory 301:3 **observations** 115:5 **observed** 426:24 obsessing 221:9 **obtain** 92:1,1 107:2 139:24 **obtained** 112:7 170:12 248:13 **obtaining** 171:1 190:3 **obvious** 332:8 **obviously** 46:25 57:11 176:14 184:5 188:14 189:25 220:12 234:1 271:19 280:10 287:1 315:18 375:17 420:1 504:13,15 occasioned 492:15 occasions 190:11 279:24 occur 134:18 148:25 233:19 235:17 occurred 40:14 279:25 389:10 **October** 70:15 344:18 345:22 odd 516:24 **OEM** 531:12 **OEMs** 531:12 offer 38:10 102:21 151:1 154:6 199:13,15 210:7

210:8 211:15,16,22,22 212:2 217:12 218:3 220:2,18 223:5,12,19 224:2 227:25 228:1,2 228:21 229:11,12 339:4 340:10 379:24 415:5 432:9 **offered** 40:8 46:4 51:3 56:8 138:18 154:22 160:24 201:14 214:22 390:17 415:3 **offering** 40:6 138:22 139:6 209:10 220:21 offers 147:21 209:6 217:7 341:17 **office** 5:12 6:3 21:11 23:11,13 125:3 164:2 175:9,11,13 176:11,12 217:1 238:24 272:19 512:12,15,17,18 524:22 524:23.24 officer 176:10 386:23 406:15 officers 387:10 479:24 **offices** 124:16 official 5:3 90:1 164:1 officially 415:12 officials 69:15,19 94:9,9 94:14.18 106:16 offset 403:15 offshoot 533:5 off-balance-sheet 298:19 **Off-sheet** 299:25 oftentimes 524:16 **Oh** 13:13 24:5 26:25 100:18 103:6 105:13 111:9 132:24 161:3 220:8 222:20 239:12 241:21 253:18 261:10 261:15 303:24 304:12 306:13 318:14 330:13 338:6 346:7,12 370:1 388:12 420:19,21 422:5 428:3 435:22 439:24 457:3 463:5 484:10 507:16 509:25 542:17

**okay** 26:25 28:23 29:1,4

29:17,22 30:13,15 32:1 32:14,19,21,23 33:6,15 33:25 34:4,9,15 35:9 36:11,23 37:21 39:18 41:15,17 42:4,7,13,21 42:24 43:1,4,23 44:1,6 44:14,16,20,25 45:6,9 46:2,2,21 47:5,9,12 48:3 49:1,6,14 51:12 53:23 54:11 55:13,22 55:25 56:16 57:4.11 60:14 63:1 64:5,11,22 65:23 66:2 68:12.19 69:24 71:5,15,17,20 75:4 78:6,15 79:7,12 79:21,24 80:3 82:22 83:5 87:5 90:10,20 91:2,21 94:6,12,24 95:11,14 96:7,10,17 97:5 99:7,20 100:7,12 100:18 101:1,9 102:14 104:14,16,25 105:3,7 105:10,22 106:6,9 107:2,5,20,23 109:11 109:21 112:16 115:9 116:17,19,21 118:13,18 118:22 143:5 145:22 146:10,15 147:4,24 148:8,14,19,23 149:15 149:19,22 151:13,24 152:14 153:17,21 154:3,20 155:6,10,14 155:19,23 156:2,11,15 156:24 157:2,6 158:5 158:16,22 159:6,15,24 162:21,25 163:5,9 164:10 165:4,11,16 166:5,14,18,24 167:2 167:12,16,20 168:16,21 168:24 169:2,4,5,10,16 169:18 172:5,12 174:15 175:11 176:22 178:1 179:17 181:11 182:11 185:13.15 190:3 196:25 197:9,14 198:3.6.24 199:2.6.18 199:24 200:3 202:6,15 203:12,16,18,19,20,24

204:2,9,15,23 208:13 213:24 214:6 216:12 216:17 219:1,3,20 224:5 226:20,24 228:24 235:9 240:4 242:5 246:4,8 248:18 250:22 252:14,15 253:8 254:25 256:4,18 258:23 259:16 260:2 260:15,21 261:17 263:16.18 264:23 265:20,22 266:6,19 268:5,13,19 269:18 270:10 273:2 275:8,21 275:23 278:2 280:24 282:25 283:8 284:13 285:3,9 287:17 288:5 289:7 290:17 291:4 292:5,6 293:8,20 294:13,24 295:21,22 297:20 298:5,7,8 299:14,14,15,19,21 300:10 302:10 304:12 308:17 309:19 313:2,7 313:12 314:15,25 315:14 316:5 317:17 318:17 319:3 322:16 324:13,22 326:25 327:1,2 332:23 333:8 334:8 335:23 338:7,15 338:25 340:14 341:7 341:14 342:21 343:1,2 344:11,13 345:19 346:12,12 347:23,23,25 348:1 354:4.6 358:20 379:4 391:17 392:3 393:19 394:8,23 395:13 396:13,17 397:12,15,25 398:6,9 398:12 399:12,21 400:5,24 401:9,12 407:11,15,22 408:1,3,6 408:10,12 410:9,19 413:17 414:1,11,24 415:10,12,15,18 416:14 416:18.23 417:4.9.14 417:18,23,25 418:4,14 418:14,22 419:1,9

420:5,19 421:17
422:25 423:15,25
424:4,23 425:7,10,15
430:8,24 433:10 439:1
The state of the s
439:6 441:5 443:1
448:13 450:21 453:24
455:12 463:5 478:22
486:10 498:15,22
501:6,16,23 502:5,6
503:8 505:10 508:3,15
511:4 512:22,22,25
514:6 515:9 520:11
521:24,25 523:2,8
524:7 525:8,9,13,22
526:20,22 527:24
528:9,11 529:3 530:10
530:17 533:10 535:19
542:21 543:7
<b>old</b> 8:4 67:10,14,15
68:21 158:12 168:3
312:2,3 342:1,6 343:11
343:13 394:20,24
395:9 397:7 404:15
418:18 419:6,12 424:6
476:17,22 516:23
520:4 548:12
OldCo 48:14 89:18
257:6 273:18 274:4,24
274:25 275:15 312:17
337:22 402:25 403:5,9
403:10,12 405:14,16
423:21 430:2,4
· ·
omnibus 26:9
once 39:18 97:14 126:18
254:1 300:17 380:2
415:1 419:13 421:7
449:2 459:12 489:5
ones 111:3 128:18
233:23 346:1 389:2
395:9 449:3 514:1
one-half 159:25 160:2
one-on-one 272:21
one-to-one 494:15
ongoing 195:22 239:8
303:14 312:22 323:13
368:20 369:15 424:20
448:5 468:22 506:15
open 33:9,12 65:18
open 55.7,12 05.10

```
188:18 218:21 221:20
 245:2 253:23 305:6
 375:17.17 410:5 533:8
opened 129:21 228:7
opens 532:20
open-ended 423:9
operate 67:16 437:13
operated 405:20 526:25
operates 437:21
operating 131:6 176:15
  184:6.6 189:22 190:8
  195:17 231:18 232:7
 249:23 253:23 274:22
 343:13,15 369:15
 419:23 422:22 425:13
 438:1 457:5 479:1
 515:14
operation 371:4
operational 175:8,21
  176:13 178:22 231:15
 248:22 274:22
operations 129:1 131:5,6
  154:17 179:9 195:13
 233:9 244:5 443:20.22
 486:18
opinion 47:21,23,24
 48:10,16 49:7,12,22,23
 51:2,14,15,15 53:7,17
 55:4,11,25 56:5,24
 57:5,9 61:20 71:4,16
 71:19 72:22 74:18,19
 78:12 79:3 105:8,19
 112:11 117:8,10,18
 138:9,19 176:23,24,24
 198:7,11,14,18 199:25
 202:3 311:15,21
 312:21 421:9 433:5
 449:11 480:16 520:18
 540:11 545:20
opinions 48:1,7 55:20
 76:11 81:11 105:11
 312:16
opportunities 398:17,18
 482:13
opportunity 173:16
 211:21 263:2 267:10
```

355:4 357:3 368:12

383:13 390:5,21 396:7

```
398:4 413:15 415:6
 427:12 428:14 432:11
 444:8 447:18 454:21
 456:16 477:8,10
 481:20 495:14 496:24
 511:25 517:6,12
 522:23 532:20 540:9
opposed 52:16 260:10
 282:6 290:23 337:19
 374:6 398:23 485:20
 501:19
opposite 99:16 378:17
Opt 2:10
optics 268:22
optimal 100:2
opting 59:7
option 58:9 59:5 338:13
 376:24 429:11
options 57:14 58:6,7,17
 66:14 181:4 237:10
order 2:14.18 25:17
 26:13,17,22,23 28:11
 63:20 64:22 66:17,21
 67:6 86:11 92:13,20
 100:8 128:12 131:1,25
 144:19 147:14 186:13
 186:14 235:15 305:19
 377:10 417:1,18,19
 429:23 437:13 441:22
 445:18 452:13 456:15
 469:20 471:2 480:6
 491:25 495:17 500:14
 512:11 513:17 542:13
 542:23 547:6
orderly 85:22 86:13
 99:10,13,17,20,22,25
 100:8 106:21 205:21
orders 2:4 432:12
organization 121:8
 123:5 175:20 176:8
 207:24 213:3 364:11
 365:5 469:10
organized 46:20 195:7
original 157:13 193:16
 212:1 215:16 267:8
 269:15
originally 115:24 189:6
 241:17 349:16 389:20
```

517.00
517:20
Orr 3:18 164:17 427:21
428:8,11 429:18 430:1
430:6,9,12,18,21,24
432:25 433:3,10
440:17,18,22 441:4,6
442:9,18 443:4 445:19
446:13,17,19,23 447:1
447:2 448:13,15
449:14 453:7,14 454:7
454:11 455:13 468:2,4
471:22 472:2 474:1,10
475:5 477:18,25 478:3
478:6,10,20 483:7,9,14
498:8,10 504:5 510:12
512:6,9,14,22 523:9,11
523:17,19 538:20,22
540:17,19,25 541:5,9
541:13,15,20 545:7,11
Orr's 455:1
Oswald 4:16 25:11,14,15
26:22,25 27:2,4
ought 116:1 215:17
331:18
outcome 165:6,12
421:12 516:19
outgrowth 367:5 outlets 491:2 507:1
514:13
outlining 284:6
outright 316:19
outside 34:17 50:19
104:8 136:18 141:7
213:18 244:21 279:7
280:9,13 281:21
368:24 389:10 398:21
398:23 521:19 539:21
539:22
outstanding 61:10 101:1
301:20 302:1 303:13
305:20
outweighed 475:3
overall 125:25 133:21
177:5 184:7 187:14
194:5 211:4 212:13
246:15 300:4 347:14
368:10 448:4,9 460:19
460:25 461:1 5 8

463:14,21 514:18 page 37:24 69:4 70:16 295:2.4 347:24 348:20 355:20 376:25,25 515:1 72:25 73:1 99:6 154:15 358:14.21 530:17 377:1.4.7.9 454:13 overcome 389:1 393:16 155:4.10 159:16 166:7 531:9 469:4 470:10.13 494:13.14 166:14 168:21 172:5 paragraphs 95:17 participated 125:14 overcoming 187:11 **parcel** 493:13 128:8 145:19 146:12 198:6 203:16,17 pardon 73:1 79:14 overflow 446:1,4,4,11 215:15,15,25 216:3 146:20 151:21 162:18 overlapping 468:7 231:13 246:6,7 250:21 328:18 352:20 165:12 244:12,15 506:15 509:13 521:7 parent 93:1 506:25 286:22 376:3 255:4,5 260:19 261:4 overlay 433:8 266:17 268:12 275:25 Park 14:22 participating 136:8 overpaying 329:9 284:17 287:24 294:25 Parkway 20:5 380:3 381:11 overreaction 256:1 parochial 126:8 299:20 302:16.19.20 participation 165:19 overrule 214:23 523:25 parse 230:3 267:6.7 305:21 313:6,8,13 overruled 38:19 54:22 particular 35:5 45:25 315:1 316:5,7 317:16 part 32:17 43:17 44:19 46:16 51:2 52:2 53:21 62:16 120:19 226:16 318:1,9,10,15 344:24 80:9,18 99:5 101:16 oversee 123:3,8 345:19 347:24 358:21 64:3 88:3 130:1 139:10 107:4 123:11 131:9 overseeing 152:15 379:16 453:23,25 132:14 134:1,3 140:24 142:20 155:15,21,24 oversight 176:13 454:1 498:20 501:1 164:12,12 165:4,5,8 163:1 164:22 166:11 oversized 400:22 503:3 514:16 524:5 170:19 175:2,17 179:6 167:3 206:20 216:25 overstated 347:18 530:11,16 544:5 545:5 180:7,9 186:22 189:12 246:15 290:1 370:23 overwhelming 102:22 547:5 194:5.19 198:25 443:14 461:21 464:3.5 over-dealered 390:2 pages 54:11,13 166:6 203:16 209:12 231:14 482:10 486:5 528:24 399:1.20 400:23.24 203:17 319:23 233:10.11 240:6 **particularly** 42:15 58:25 owe 304:15 369:13 paid 78:7 168:14 184:16 249:22 250:16,19 123:7 140:12 273:10 owed 302:1 310:17 305:23 306:3,12,14,16 251:9 256:2,9,14,20 488:14 495:4 506:2 313:17,18 331:10 307:21 315:9 334:8,9 257:19 262:13 273:9 **parties** 25:5 29:21 33:12 333:4,12 334:8,10 335:19 353:4,6 408:16 275:19 287:6 303:14 38:24 42:24 90:18 335:17 336:6 471:11 408:21 442:1 471:13 305:4.4 306:6 309:16 93:12 107:4 145:12 146:13 254:13 265:10 471:19 473:1 471:19 312:18 315:19 330:23 owing 472:5 **PAIGE** 18:11 332:9 333:14 335:19 295:7 296:1 347:5 owned 135:21 136:5 **painful** 213:19 337:11 350:3 367:11 387:23 412:12 441:1 242:1 402:21 421:3 pale 509:5 368:10 371:24 384:18 454:15 480:22 496:8 owner 188:2 232:1,1 **Pantaleo** 12:24 113:14 386:11,15 390:18,19 510:2 406:3 113:15,25 356:16,17,19 391:7 398:2 399:18 **parties-in** 391:10 owners 179:8 195:24 **PANTALOS** 224:9,11 **partner** 27:9 35:6 116:25 406:10 410:12 411:21 239:9 402:11.18 405:6 224:19 412:2 413:18 416:14 117:5 135:2.5 194:14 405:19 417:4,9 421:13 **paper** 208:16 263:14 420:12 423:4 425:2 195:21 299:8,9,10 494:23 347:14 300:2 312:22 453:8 429:3 434:1 438:19 ownership 241:15,18,22 **papered** 26:7,10 439:20 447:15 448:3 **partners** 191:17 241:25 242:3 262:21 papers 28:1,10 50:6 452:23 453:1 454:2.11 partnership 166:8,21 265:19 539:16 413:25 429:9 456:8 467:24 476:21 parts 44:25 48:8 195:16 owns 241:11,13 420:13 **paragraph** 69:9 70:2 476:23,24 477:7 291:18 302:24,25 80:5 82:16 85:20 95:18 485:17 487:12 489:24 303:4.6 429:2 507:1 97:17 99:4,6 154:16 493:3,13 496:7 497:16 party 39:15 47:25 52:1 P 156:17,24 159:16,24 506:15.16 515:15 57:10 60:9 62:6 66:10 **P** 3:2.2 10:20 11:24 160:3 166:5 169:5,6 518:5 536:17,21 538:7 87:18 91:8 101:20 13:18 25:1 124:24 260:23 261:1.2.8 **partial** 301:18 105:23 164:25 339:21 **PA** 12:6 14:7 268:14.15.19 275:24 **participate** 92:18 126:1 347:7 454:13 458:23

277:24 289:5,14 290:6

145:22 170:23 231:14

458:24 459:3,9 468:7

Pacifica 182:22

527.02
537:23
Pasquale 14:13 407:7
<b>pass</b> 144:5 280:18 281:1 445:7 449:14
passed 128:17 281:5
412:13 480:12
passengers 506:19,20
passion 212:12
pat 88:8
path 212:7
Patricia 14:12 407:6
Patriot 70:25 71:5 208:6
346:4
pattern 123:8,8,20
126:10,16 129:17
131:16,17,18 178:7
patterned 123:11
PAUL 22:12
<b>Paulson</b> 279:17,20
<b>pause</b> 36:24 114:21
162:8 197:13 213:25
214:2,5 218:23 219:2
219:17,19 225:25
229:2 246:3 253:9
255:3,6 282:3 283:11
285:2 290:16 298:6
313:11 336:17 344:2
354:9 378:2 442:20
446:25 449:17 452:6
pay 87:7 88:15 130:4
135:9 209:7,20 257:6
277:3,4 301:20 325:21
326:9,17 345:13
350:20 352:18,21
366:3,4,7 369:20,20
383:14 403:13 405:17
437:20 471:10 472:20
472:24 475:25 507:6
507:10,25 508:2,3,5,6
507.10,23 508.2,3,3,0
, ,
payables 302:24
paying 301:12 369:23
375:11 437:19 471:10
471:18 473:3 476:25
496:1
payment 2:7 47:7 96:21
103:10 128:13 129:11
155:25 157:9,13,16

```
301:18 308:12 353:1
payments 103:10.13.14
  103:18 104:1,3 277:3
 301:2,4,7 304:1,3
 305:15 363:2 365:14
 365:16,17 369:13
PAYNE 14:2,9
pays 404:24
PBGC 13:3 28:1,12
 40:17,19 41:2,6,8,12
 42:5.25 44:22.23 45:5
 45:11.12 171:18
 409:25 545:16
peace 240:23
Peachtree 4:4
PEASE 24:2
penalties 299:4
pending 92:15
Penn 4:12
penny 315:14,15
pension 11:12 16:4,14
  17:4 28:12 40:19 41:8
 42:12,16 44:24 171:6
 171:16,17 307:2,4,7,9
 307:10,12,13,19,20
 308:1
Pensioner 69:3 86:25
Pensioners 38:22 59:24
 70:10 120:17 144:8
  152:2,5,10 154:7,10
  166:1 200:16 206:25
 209:20 215:20 546:5
pentastar 178:12
people 30:16 32:23 33:7
 40:5 69:24 83:18 90:17
 91:14 120:22 128:11
  134:7,7 150:23 153:17
 153:24 166:24 181:6
 187:23,25 190:25
  191:2.12 201:24
 207:20 209:9 219:5
 243:1,3 244:3,7,9
 247:16 277:10,10
 295:1 328:10.16.19
 350:15 353:22 354:24
 371:25 373:4.5 400:13
 400:20 403:2 416:19
 417:5,6,11 418:17,22
```

```
419:9,9,16 420:15
 421:3.17 422:13 424:5
 425:4 431:19 445:17
 480:5 497:1 513:10,12
 513:16,16,19 516:11
 523:24
people's 402:4
Pepco 22:3
percent 143:18 146:15
 157:3 159:21 166:9
 168:3.7 179:8 196:10
 232:3 241:19,21 242:6
 246:1.1 262:24 263:1.3
 263:8,10,17 264:14,16
 267:22 268:3,21,23
 269:1,16 290:8,22
 308:19,21,25 324:13,14
 324:19,19 325:22,23,25
 328:3,9 329:10 333:4,5
 333:6,11 359:17 370:7
 374:16,17,18,23,25
 376:8.11.13 377:4
 396:21,22,24 397:1,1,2
 397:3,3,7,9 401:1
 417:15 447:13,22
 450:5 451:2,10,13,18
 451:22 457:9,9,12
 462:20,22,25 469:12
 476:6 488:22.23
 489:17 490:3,6,8,16
 493:21,23 494:14
 500:22 518:18,22,23
 519:19 522:5 529:12
 529:13,15,16,18,19
 532:10 533:11 534:7
 537:10 538:12,13
percentage 128:18
 263:24 264:6.9 324:10
 326:10.14 366:13
 417:20 447:11 457:7
 488:6
percentages 143:16
 264:10,18
perception 420:8
perfected 61:12
perfectly 118:4
perform 82:18,20 117:9
 203:13 305:1 340:24
```

```
343:5 490:5,10
performance 11:19 85:2
 85:12.17 159:4 180:25
 233:11 310:14.21
 311:3 331:1 333:1,2,9
 333:17 341:6 367:10
 368:1,3,22 369:3 388:2
 389:15 419:25 459:2
 462:17 479:5 489:25
 490:9 528:14 529:7
 537:6 546:13
performed 51:6 70:11
 78:8.19 110:16 203:6
performers 368:6 462:9
performing 98:16 118:5
  184:14 304:19 330:22
 451:8,20 452:1 490:7
 516:22 519:21 525:15
 527:12 529:2
period 32:18 58:5 137:4
  163:6 179:17,18,18
  185:5.10 194:23
 206:17 236:10 279:8
 280:1 336:24,25 337:3
 337:7 366:23 367:1
 369:4 390:20 426:14
 450:16 469:20 518:19
 539:13,15
periodic 103:10
permanently 187:1
permission 147:16
 438:15 449:2
permit 41:12 65:14
 281:1
permitted 169:11 436:8
 504:17
Permitting 2:9
person 134:3 152:23
  153:12 164:15 176:8
  178:24 240:15.19
 272:14 306:18 353:9
 392:10
personal 138:9 144:23
  145:1 146:24 147:5
 203:7 379:24 414:14
 427:1
personally 110:11
  145:12,18,22 146:12,19
```

151:21 153:12 165:12 193:10 211:5 279:23 348:2 personalty 441:22 **perspective** 56:7 64:17 260:5 334:10 **pertain** 527:20 **Pete** 528:4,11 **Peter** 12:24 113:15 379:9 379:12 427:18 442:11 525:1 545:7,8,9,10,11 petition 63:20 **ph** 35:5 134:6 176:2 188:25 285:20 357:11 436:23 524:22 528:2 **phase** 427:3 **phases** 395:20 **Phil** 9:18 524:24 525:10 525:11 528:2 Philadelphia 12:6 philosophy 123:22 **Phoenix** 325:9 **phone** 272:15,18 **phrase** 352:21 physically 436:6 **pick** 141:5 212:21 241:6 305:10 359:25 383:10 395:7 458:13 **picked** 494:3 **picking** 347:11 494:4 **piece** 142:4 219:23 294:16 505:14 **pieces** 152:19 297:5 **PIK** 316:17 Pinnacle 22:5 **PIPER** 9:2 **Pittsburgh** 14:7 454:1 455:20,21,24 **place** 50:24 57:8 61:3,5 66:17 127:11 147:20 175:14 179:8 181:9 192:18 196:13 197:19 199:11 206:2 210:4,5 210:14,14 235:20 250:3 270:15 281:24 286:18 287:14 293:16 295:17 299:5 357:7 361:25 366:18.25

369:5 384:2 404:16 412:3 449:6 453:11 468:6 482:1 516:1 **placed** 37:9 214:6 326:20 467:16,20 placement 486:17 **places** 513:15 **plan** 27:15 57:16 58:2 80:9,18 95:10 131:2 133:14,21 134:15 170:16,20 171:6,8,11 171:17 176:15,16 182:14 183:15 184:1.2 184:4,6,7,14 189:20,21 189:22 190:1,5,8,13,18 190:21,24 191:3 192:14,16,25 193:6,8,9 193:13 194:9,12,16 195:20 200:24 202:24 203:1 205:4.15 206:14 213:4 233:17 237:3,6 237:12.15.19.23.25 238:12 252:24 266:18 266:19,23 270:8,12 272:8,10 281:21 292:7 292:13 308:25 313:3 314:23 320:19 321:2,8 321:15 322:9 323:20 367:5.15.24 368:17.18 390:6,19 391:8 392:16 392:19,22,25 398:3,22 432:4 444:2 448:4,5 449:9 466:22 469:20 469:21 470:16,21,24 506:16 517:21 518:5 520:10 521:9,12,14,23 522:2,4,11 532:15,18 **planning** 95:8 279:8 281:18 369:17,18 452:12 515:16.18.20 **plans** 179:2 182:25 231:18 232:7 329:6 366:19 367:3 434:4 **plant** 124:14 208:5 209:11 441:23 plants 121:24 122:3 274:12 301:10 507:7 **platform** 193:24 208:11

325:2 511:23 **platforms** 108:25 109:5 166:10 180:4,5 208:14 267:15 269:5,16 423:25 **played** 130:15 242:8 **player** 167:8 **players** 146:4,5,5 **Plaza** 4:12 8:11 **pleading** 45:25 164:7 **pleadings** 302:12 355:8 437:2 please 25:2.6 59:18 62:10,15 87:14 119:16 120:2 123:20 134:22 155:4 168:21 174:4 211:13 213:24 217:24 218:22 222:19,21 234:23 235:3,7 246:2 250:24 251:15 253:7 253:13 254:24 261:11 266:22 280:24 283:7.8 287:3,16 290:15 291:2 310:24 322:18 336:14 344:4 347:21 352:2 356:22 377:25 378:4 379:16 388:11 394:1 423:8,12 435:19 441:14 442:10.22 448:22 453:23.25 466:21 498:6.25 510:16 530:17 534:23 pleasing 500:1 **PLIFKA** 14:11 **PLLC** 7:2 18:11 22:2 plus 168:4 184:15 223:25 516:16 **point** 34:23 50:22 52:10 52:19 66:8 104:20 138:14 139:17 147:16 151:2 160:19,22 161:1 161:17 173:12 185:12 189:8 198:23 200:1 201:5 203:10 212:21 218:2,5 228:6,6 229:13 245:12 251:22.25 252:3 253:3 266:6 268:1 270:1,2,11,11

278:12 279:4 281:19 299:23 302:20 310:19 316:18 321:7 336:19 338:8 350:22 373:19 385:12 392:3 399:14 409:16 413:16 421:7 426:24 429:7 434:16 458:18,19 465:17 481:4 483:1 491:4 504:17 506:7 509:8 514:17 517:22 524:14 526:5 **pointed** 95:19 518:13 **points** 100:19 128:18 144:15 192:21 217:9 299:22 357:4 428:14 460:9 482:7 503:1 524:9 537:11 **Police** 16:4,14 17:4 **policy** 299:5 **political** 116:24 300:1 350:10 522:20 **POLK** 19:2 **pond** 351:6 **Pontiac** 504:25 poor 368:6 537:8 popularity 522:25 **population** 187:21,22 **PORTER** 7:18 **portfolio** 231:11 239:5 296:13 **portion** 45:10 145:19,24 168:2,19 257:11 259:10 306:6 307:11 344:22 432:17 525:7 portions 140:18 144:21 296:23 343:7 **poses** 472:5 **position** 36:20 39:12,14 39:24 40:3 64:20 98:21 111:21 113:9,12 119:20 122:20 125:2,8 139:7 148:24 154:25 188:9 219:24 220:2.21 229:20 230:19 260:8 290:24 301:23 329:6 337:15 348:14 351:19 352:8,17 373:24

406:23 408:6 431:24 461:1.8 486:13 492:7 522:10 **positioned** 70:4 328:24 positioning 328:21 **positions** 124:22 292:2 Possession 2:2.12 **possibility** 85:22 99:10 142:1 283:16 284:7 334:7 476:9 521:16 **possible** 27:25 57:22 86:13 88:13 91:5,9 173:24 188:20 199:22 344:20 400:13 476:12 542:16 **possibly** 254:6 282:10 462:24 532:16 536:11 **postage** 508:21 **pot** 403:4 potential 44:5,12 61:16 93:11 107:5 110:14 191:17 193:20 194:14 195:22 209:1 273:12 312:22 337:5 351:16 421:3,12 453:3 517:1 potentially 296:9 422:16 431:10 513:13 531:19 533:6 **power** 9:3 22:3 100:15 498:12 499:5 539:9,12 541:8.10.20.25 546:18 **Powers** 180:18 416:18 420:2 **powertrain** 192:9 209:12 209:14 269:17 powertrains 208:14 **PR** 153:19 practical 488:11 **practice** 31:9 32:15 288:25 299:6 380:21 **praises** 505:12 **pre** 471:10 **precarious** 276:3,10,13 276:15 277:17,20 278:2,6,9 precedent 394:2 precipitates 115:18 precipitously 531:6

**precise** 384:21 **precisely** 51:4 418:23 430:25 492:15 523:19 predecessor 450:9 predicated 515:25 predicted 451:1 preference 425:14 **preferred** 57:13 60:6 193:10 316:10.25 prejudice 443:15 **preliminary** 41:1 485:23 **premature** 87:23 88:1 preparation 151:21 152:15 **prepare** 123:6 153:5 398:13 452:14 **prepared** 37:17 40:11 55:23 90:10 106:17 112:16 148:25 151:8 152:5.11.14 153:17.22 153:24 154:10 204:5 271:20 279:3,4 437:15 491:8 546:6 **preparing** 126:3 152:19 392:19 **Prepetition** 2:6 **presence** 298:23 517:24 518:3 present 53:16 78:1 80:11 80:22 96:16 97:3,8,22 123:12 126:22 131:1 133:1 140:16 141:11 147:12 148:9 177:3 184:5 190:12,21 198:24 211:2 332:3 398:17,18 450:13 480:15 496:9,12,13,15 521:1 **presentation** 27:14 53:25 54:3,8,18,24 55:16 139:10 198:15,18,25 200:14 311:20 312:5 545:18 presentations 193:7 **presented** 48:13 59:9 70:14 79:2.15.19 81:5 83:3 84:4 102:6 126:23

138:8 139:8 148:11

184:4 194:15 200:13 201:4 203:4 209:24 317:13 345:11 353:20 390:5.21 495:14 **presenting** 27:10 173:4 177:1 present-day 320:7 **preserve** 25:25 63:14 64:18 66:23 100:1 106:22 preserving 350:1 **president** 120:21,22 121:1 122:23 123:4.13 124:23 125:1,4 136:11 136:13,16,16 139:22 170:2,5,8 175:17 192:12 194:11 229:17 270:7 271:7 272:3.7 485:23 Presidential 204:4 presidents 120:24 press 176:2 195:9 243:25 294:8 364:11 366:19 371:9 375:22 376:6 378:5 379:7 380:4 398:15 419:15 468:23 468:25 469:1 484:9 485:2,24 497:7,16 546:15 **pressure** 184:12,13,24 469:7 pressures 113:23 pressuring 375:4 presumably 416:11 476:24 **presume** 357:20 494:8 525:21 **Presutti** 246:9 248:5 **pretty** 115:13 126:8 134:9 161:14 175:3 182:25 185:18 189:22 205:19 226:8 239:4 288:25 323:22 351:11 351:12 366:9 399:19 445:11 451:20 458:14 458:19 486:9.9 490:25 529:23 **prevail** 150:23

**prevent** 421:16 **previous** 158:4 176:2 249:15 284:5 410:6 474:2 492:3 501:7 **previously** 26:17 49:14 86:25 102:2 110:25 207:23 215:2 249:12 249:16 410:15 442:12 442:24 443:19 pre-announced 415:7 pre-assumes 78:24 pre-closing 471:18 472:19,24 **pre-debt** 73:9 pre-existing 157:9 **price** 15:18 324:7,11 328:22 422:18,21 476:24 496:1 **pricing** 182:16 191:6 321:19 322:12 **primarily** 44:4 121:9 122:23 124:22 175:16 179:19 428:3 **primary** 57:16 246:18 247:9 258:6 448:19 principal 104:20 principally 243:17 principles 131:11 **print** 508:16 **prior** 38:24 107:16 127:11 130:6 132:3.10 165:4 175:17 189:23 203:10 204:15 205:10 205:10 206:12 230:16 230:18 242:1 366:15 366:17 367:3.12 368:19 389:20 390:3 411:24 414:4 444:9.11 470:16,19 prioritization 334:5 **priority** 40:4 335:10 **private** 339:16 407:22 507:4 privately 178:9 **privilege** 115:12 304:7 **pro** 179:5,8,10,13,15 221:7 223:20,23 232:7 322:7

nuocotivo 192,20
proactive 183:20
<b>probably</b> 26:10 71:2,16
71:19 72:5,20 178:6
186:9 192:3 202:13
233:24 245:22 256:1,4
279:2 312:19 324:2
342:11 345:7 358:18
380:15 386:12 399:18
399:18 416:21 435:25
439:14 459:8 461:22
469:3 487:2 523:23
539:19,25 542:5 543:4
<b>problem</b> 51:5 88:16 89:1
89:14,15 209:12
221:20,22 227:11
*
330:2 337:23 378:16
420:15 421:18 422:10
439:22 478:22 482:15
521:2
<b>problems</b> 355:9 487:11
<b>procedure</b> 123:3 482:22
procedures 2:8,10,15,23
482:2 542:18
<b>proceed</b> 49:19,21 59:19
60:2,15 89:7 115:20
119:1,12 144:8 378:19
433:1 520:18
proceeded 216:24
<b>proceeding</b> 45:25 119:23
173:3 393:14 395:15
397:20 511:13 518:1
proceedings 30:25
437:14 446:15 479:21
521:17 543:8 548:5
proceeds 520:19
<b>process</b> 31:3 41:4 53:16
105:15 106:1,5 122:12
123:15 125:17,22
126:17,24 127:2
128:17 129:14 138:7
140:13,21 148:18
152:12 178:15,17
182:20 197:3,22
198:12 201:8 204:6
205:17 233:6 303:18
303:25 304:5,20
317:11 319:15 361:9
361:13 390:3 428:12

440.5 444.1 14 440.17
442:5 444:1,14 448:17 449:7,8 450:9 454:12
456:2,8 476:1 482:23
487:21 492:10 497:2,2
511:14 514:12,18,21
516:12 517:18 522:16
523:16,21 531:20
processes 514:3
<b>produce</b> 67:22 68:24
208:5,6,7 483:13
529:16,19
<b>produced</b> 72:14 76:19
78:2,8 95:14 346:3
483:11 535:8 541:15
<b>product</b> 67:18,20 72:7
108:24 109:2 166:10
166:16 176:17 178:22
179:25 180:1,3,4,13,20
182:15 191:22 192:6
193:18,22 207:17
267:15 296:21 343:25
402:24 404:15,21,24
405:2 423:19 424:20 466:19 476:5 487:13
487:15 506:15 509:13
521:6
production 95:12 208:4
380:10 469:9,19
productions 380:6
products 108:21 178:3
191:6 233:10 267:11
445:18 487:25 488:7
professionals 541:16
profitability 183:11
400:16 461:24
<b>profitable</b> 373:24 391:22
396:3 397:14 399:6
444:8 445:16 447:25
499:18
profits 399:23 400:3
<b>program</b> 124:13 366:25
367:11 375:25,25
376:3 391:22 392:6 396:5 398:17,23,25
390:5 398:17,23,25 399:25 467:1,2 493:13
519:3 520:4
programs 122:25
progress 137:5 375:11
P-05-000 107.0 070.11

```
486:14 518:12
progresses 123:10
progressing 336:21
Prohibiting 2:4
project 54:8 366:21
 403:1,4 444:2 445:2
 450:8,9 465:1 489:4
 493:3,13 496:25 499:9
 500:24 509:8,8 516:19
 516:25 517:3,4 519:10
 519:13 520:1.16.23
 522:2,12 539:17
projected 80:9,18
projection 489:23,24
projections 179:14,23
 181:1 182:9
projects 119:21 122:21
 125:2
prominent 125:8 130:15
promise 530:9
promised 415:1
promote 437:9 445:18
proper 32:12 457:13
 496:7 513:15
properly 229:19 355:3
 431:11 459:10 511:24
 534:4
property 209:9 343:25
 507:7
proportion 376:20
proposal 65:4 126:10,11
  126:11 203:8 210:12
 220:11,14,20,24 221:5
 221:10 222:4,10
 224:13 267:1.2 271:8
 290:18 317:9,12
 319:20,22
proposals 123:9 126:7,9
  176:20 177:9 266:25
propose 139:13
proposed 92:13,19
  165:17,20,20,23 171:8
  194:1 196:16 198:21
 266:19.23 283:16
 284:8 353:13 359:5
 405:5 418:6 437:12
 441:21 491:12 495:21
```

```
proposing 269:4 317:22
 322:17 447:6 458:2.12
 465:3 531:19
proposition 52:13 513:9
proprietary 454:16
prospect 374:2
prospective 44:11
protect 205:22 361:3,5
  361:16,19 402:17,23
 454:9,24 524:10
 541:18
protected 229:19 482:24
protection 63:8,8 227:8
Protections 2:15
protective 512:10
protest 471:7 526:4,9
protests 526:24
protocol 480:4
prove 117:15 245:1
provide 40:11 60:9,15,23
  61:13,15 63:15 64:21
  66:11.21 85:13 113:10
  118:12 129:12 131:10
  137:17 138:12 139:25
  141:19 158:14 170:21
  170:23 175:7.8 176:25
  196:22,23 198:10
 250:1 252:13 257:4
 267:15 288:24 311:4
 315:9 332:6 349:6,10
  349:20 363:1,19
  364:19 366:5,5,9 387:2
 399:10,12 447:18,20
 456:15 500:15 534:1,5
provided 32:12.17 42:11
 47:24 48:13,14 53:19
 59:11 66:9 81:9 82:10
 82:13 107:22 110:7
  113:4 117:11 143:8
  157:25 158:3,3,10
  159:3 167:23 188:4
  199:21 201:17,17
 257:1 262:4,6 280:19
 349:8,12 376:1 455:5
 456:9 467:24 468:6,15
provider 105:16
provides 48:12 150:20
  168:11 176:12 452:19
```

513:1 521:18

477:21 **providing** 128:15 150:23 189:13 219:9 262:18 281:1 334:14 426:20 **proving** 180:15 **provision** 142:9,10,11 provisions 478:21 **Provo** 526:24 **PT** 346:24 **public** 350:5 404:11 407:18 416:1 419:14 454:19 468:12 488:1 495:2 541:20 publicized 94:19 **publicly** 188:14 398:4 541:14 **public's** 260:5 **pull** 174:8 181:20 188:3 311:13 **pulled** 188:6 229:11 **purchase** 44:12 246:21 262:5,6,8 402:3,4 416:19 425:5,10 444:18 466:11,14,23 467:11 469:11 484:15 purchased 402:7 **purchaser** 14:21 15:3 436:11 437:3 495:25 496:1.6 purchasers 539:13 purchases 499:25 **purchasing** 250:1 394:19 **purely** 167:17 **purport** 91:22 purported 455:11 **purpose** 50:16 52:3 64:13,17,22 155:10 156:6 159:24 191:21 201:16 202:23 280:9 280:14 311:11 383:22 428:17,21,22 429:1,4 467:16,21 473:16,21 474:6,18 **purposes** 26:11 52:9 54:16,16,23 144:20 198:18 202:3 327:24 349:25 434:11 453:12 474:8 488:11 501:11

pursuant 2:3,13 198:21 305:23 307:19 384:9 384:17 541:15 **pursue** 58:24 102:13 117:14 237:3.11 347:20 418:17 471:3 **pursued** 102:10 347:17 **pursuing** 212:7 278:11 292:13.14 **push** 115:14 pushback 182:6 **pushing** 377:13 **put** 27:15.16.17 28:4 43:6 49:21 58:14 65:21 96:7 103:7 111:21 127:11 128:1 152:17 168:10 175:13 176:14 176:15 177:9 181:4,9 182:14,24 190:4 191:13 194:21 195:19 196:21 208:24.24 209:3 210:25 211:15 211:16 213:7 214:11 216:3 223:5,8 229:20 250:3 252:21 269:4 272:10 289:11 297:5 300:4,25 303:7 312:11 319:6 323:2 335:9,12 337:14.21 353:9 357:13 372:25 383:25 395:14 398:25 406:23 412:20 418:13 428:11 434:2,4,17 453:11 493:3 517:20 536:9 **puts** 434:7 **putting** 173:6 192:18 208:11 259:2 269:8 301:4 319:15 323:3.9 323:10.11.15.15.17 353:8 387:11 428:16 428:21,22 491:23 532:1 **P.C** 6:11 11:2,18 14:11 15:9,18 20:12 **p.m** 174:3,3 283:6,6 356:21.21 435:18.18

510:15,15 543:8

0 Quackenbush 114:20 298:11 qualification 105:4 qualified 476:4 qualify 185:21 186:11 qualitative 179:16 quality 180:12,13,16,20 420:8 quantifiable 391:24 **quantified** 364:8 464:23 quantify 363:21 364:1 364:16,21 365:15,22 370:11,15 373:8 464:18 quantitative 179:16 **quarter** 189:15 201:7 297:5 367:20 372:16 quarterly 30:11 question 45:23 46:4 50:10 51:1 62:8,9,10 62:16 66:13 67:4,4 68:11 74:4,11 75:8,10 76:6,8 77:5,8 80:1,14 80:23 98:18,19,22,23 101:12 103:8,9 110:24 115:6 116:3 117:21 133:6 135:6 136:23 137:10 138:2 145:11 148:1 150:14,16 161:18 172:2,3,11 189:17 203:12 217:6 218:7,8 221:11 224:11 224:22,22 225:24 226:16 231:12 237:6 242:17 247:19 249:10 250:13 252:11,12 258:8 271:23 274:14 275:5,8 276:22 280:21 280:24 282:3 285:3 290:1,3 292:15 295:14 300:20,21 310:23,24 320:20 333:7,15,20 334:12,22 336:14 338:15 340:14 341:4 342:16,24,25 344:8

351:23,24 352:6,9,12

357:23 364:1 367:21 368:14 375:1 382:2.8 382:12 385:24 396:10 398:11 400:1,5 402:19 402:20 403:6,12 410:5 418:12 419:21 422:4 423:6,9 424:12 429:16 429:18 459:12 464:12 464:12 466:21 467:15 472:4,22 474:23 477:9 480:15 499:2 509:19 511:23 513:2.3 517:22 522:3 525:8 **questioned** 76:4 78:4 questioner 414:4 **questioning** 102:10,10 102:13 160:23 161:2 202:1 235:6 242:22 429:5 questionnaires 514:2 **questions** 26:16 32:6 40:13 75:13 81:14.21 83:4 87:24 89:21 92:22 93:5,18 98:13 107:8 108:6,16 109:21,25 111:4 114:13 115:2,7 115:25 117:7 118:23 120:9 140:19,22 141:13 156:13 162:7 172:13 177:7 181:6,16 189:13 216:17 225:5 235:5,23 243:8 344:9 356:13 357:7,12,21,25 387:16,19,24 392:8 407:1.11 412:25 425:16 427:7 449:14 456:22 491:17 512:4 514:4,5 534:20 **queue** 357:13 quick 45:1 154:15 484:3 492:20 quickly 160:13 180:12 180:15 187:7 205:19 342:12 395:22 458:14 489:3 490:25 quite 32:22 48:2 51:10 136:19 181:8 182:17 184:12 192:22 193:2

208:25 242:14 244:9 357:12 385:9 420:3 429:12 441:25 454:23 465:6 478:16,18 531:4 **quiz** 90:14 **quote** 99:7 171:22 **R R** 1:20 3:2 14:25 22:16

**R** 1:20 3:2 14:25 22:16 25:1 547:4 548:2 railroaded 511:13 railroads 213:15 rainbow 147:20 raise 49:25 119:9 413:16 432:4 511:1 514:18 raised 49:24 52:2 54:17 54:21 76:4 298:24 353:3 368:9,15 523:24 raises 482:8 **raising** 39:21 **RALPH** 18:8 **Ram** 71:8 ramifications 466:7 ran 149:1 151:9 range 84:8 95:25 96:8,11 96:17 97:6,9,11 109:22 110:2 199:22 207:7 327:20 360:22 ranges 96:14 97:5 **rapidly** 490:23 **Rapids** 24:14 rare 115:13 rata 221:8 223:20,23 rate 181:25 183:2,3 185:4,8 196:12 283:1 369:23 370:2 383:15 400:22 486:24 537:6 rates 135:9.11 188:8 369:21 417:15 **ratification** 122:12 127:9 127:14,17 128:17,18 133:8,16,16 138:7,11 139:16,21,25 140:8,13 140:17,20 143:14,16,19 151:14.17 152:12 167:4 172:18 ratified 128:6 141:23.24 148:12 151:15

ratify 127:1,1 137:16 140:23 148:5 rating 419:24 514:11 ratings 419:24,25 rationale 211:2 rationalization 366:18 366:24 390:22,22,24 392:5 396:5 398:3,25 399:22 400:3 443:25 444:1,10 445:4 447:4 448:3 477:5 rationalize 444:2 449:12 540:11 rationalized 366:22 395:24 445:7 447:16 rationalizing 395:14 **RATNER** 4:17 **Rattner** 192:21 202:10 202:18 204:3 254:17 268:9 269:11 272:13 272:22 284:22 286:23 291:6 322:6 339:17.22 **reach** 36:4 126:18 132:8 217:2,10 220:17 293:1 362:3,13 389:8 394:8 404:4 **reached** 26:5 77:23 108:19 109:6,12,20 132:10 133:2 140:3 151:6 166:8 176:5 205:25 206:20 309:2 339:20 349:11 **reaches** 126:20 **reaching** 60:13 193:17 456:6 531:16 react 139:10.11.19 reacted 138:24 253:25 reacting 251:10 reaction 192:24 207:3,18 225:10.12 226:8 271:13,15 read 56:1 80:3 83:13 88:5 159:17 160:10 161:20 198:13 214:11 219:12 220:13 223:17 223:17.19 225:22.23 226:5 228:7.20.25.25

251:15 253:13 260:22 261:11 263:13 268:15 284:25 285:14 286:3 288:8 289:5,12,14 290:6,15 291:3,11,11 293:13 295:1 299:24 315:2 321:6 348:1 410:24 435:9,10 436:8 441:7 498:25 521:8,12 525:7 526:22 528:12 538:3 readily 453:5 **reading** 87:24 88:1,3 160:7,14 261:12 290:12 316:9 352:13 ready 203:7 491:7 532:25 real 181:17 186:17 205:13,13 521:2 **realistic** 326:7 347:16 realistically 235:16 reality 150:18 151:4.5 167:10 168:5 205:16 347:15.19 **realize** 86:16 150:19 239:17 345:2.3.4 391:20 420:21 483:21 **realized** 326:18 390:25 510:17 realizing 85:4 really 41:4 116:18 139:12 150:16 176:3 176:25 177:15,18 178:7,11,23 180:18 182:6 183:6 185:7.7 188:13,16 209:6,20 212:11 224:21,25 233:8 242:15 253:16 258:6 265:10 270:18 291:7 296:25 304:4 311:8 320:14 321:17 329:5 331:15,18 372:4 390:25 431:18 434:12 435:1 474:3 490:4 493:23 495:12,24 503:2 505:13 514:14 519:3 540:9 reappointing 459:18

**reason** 50:5 68:23 88:7 97:14 158:9 280:4 307:18 340:21 349:19 349:21 352:14 497:16 506:14 519:14,20 520:7 531:1 **reasonable** 277:22,23 314:18 319:8 reasons 141:14 193:15 429:9,9 475:10 499:8 503:15 529:3.4 **rebut** 50:11 recall 60:24 70:13,15,15 70:20 72:24 84:3,6,10 103:25 105:6 108:14 108:16 109:10 135:22 140:25 143:16 192:1,4 194:18 202:19 208:25 209:3 228:20,20 254:22 270:5 271:4.9 271:13,18,22,24 272:24 278:16 280:20 284:11 286:9 290:12,14 295:7 295:17 296:17 297:16 298:18,22,24 299:7 300:3,7,11,23 302:1 311:8,10,11,22 312:1 346:6 370:6 371:12 374:21 384:3 386:13 390:14 394:10 395:19 398:11 399:14 400:9 442:16 468:17 530:21 recalls 420:10 **recant** 256:19 **recapture** 490:12 493:22 519:15,18 533:11 receivable 83:10 **receive** 46:1 47:7 84:11 84:18,20 110:11 157:3 159:20.25 197:25 269:20 292:22 316:1 392:4 479:23 **received** 39:3 46:12 54:25 57:6 72:7 104:3 111:11,19 112:12 113:17 131:24 154:12 159:19 160:1 161:6.23 189:14 192:24 197:22

516-608-2400

230:11 248:9 250:23

198:20 215:1,9 216:5 256:10.12.13.25 276:24 291:12 300:6 303:17 303:22 316:3 437:7 443:12 479:5,8,16 485:3 499:4 516:5 523:15 524:20 541:24 542:1 **receives** 112:17 receiving 102:12 156:7 157:8 165:14 300:3 308:18 310:18 408:18 492:24 recess 119:2 174:3 283:6 356:21 435:18,20 510:15 **recipients** 101:16 111:6 113:9 114:6 **recogni** 325:19 recognition 379:25 **recognize** 214:8 268:5 298:12 313:17 325:19 344:3,14 420:23 452:8 453:19 491:25 524:9 recognized 330:23 recollection 42:23 204:22 217:9 227:21 248:21 253:21 263:23 270:16 281:6 286:3 298:20 302:23 311:19 312:5 526:16 recommend 139:15 151:8 462:12 recommendation 138:21 139:17 146:25 147:6 148:4 456:8,9 466:3,16 536:18,22,25 recommendations 150:6 150:8 456:17 recommended 138:3,11 147:9,11,23 148:9 149:9 209:24 292:8 317:5 489:1 recommending 143:6 recompense 421:7 reconstruction 493:1 reconvene 174:2 **record** 37:5 41:3 46:8,15

46:23 81:22 101:13 116:18 120:18 163:15 164:17 174:8.17 198:13 211:9 224:21 224:24 250:15 310:20 327:8 331:14,23,24 412:10 422:1 434:11 435:11 436:9 441:7,16 442:10 453:12 455:10 455:15 482:6 512:7 526:22 548:5 records 135:23 177:8 331:23 record's 412:23 **recoup** 490:2 recoupments 356:1 recourse 405:6,11,14 418:23 recover 305:9 451:2,18 489:3,16,17,20 490:24 recovered 451:6 recovery 58:13 59:11 80:9,18 99:15 199:23 200:14 305:11 340:23 403:1.4 recross 108:2 118:24 172:22 357:6,16 427:9 540:21 **RECROSS-EXAMIN...** 108:4 recruited 176:1 red 420:1 460:16 461:2,4 461:9.10 **redirect** 93:20,22 114:25 115:1 172:2.15 354:21 355:16,21 357:2,6,15 357:16,18 425:19,21,25 426:3 427:10 510:11 538:19.21 redistribute 383:13 448:20,22 467:3 470:3 redistributed 384:6 470:5 redistributing 362:2 466:19

redistribution 325:7

448:24 466:21

redrafted 80:2

**reduce** 95:14 131:10 275:3 287:11 316:15 397:7 444:2 472:11 488:17 499:9 500:10 515:2 517:24 518:2,5 519:4 **reduced** 84:5 391:24 396:11,20 420:9 518:23 reducing 275:11 371:1,7 375:11 398:7 401:4 487:23 reduction 390:7,9,11,13 400:19 459:20 488:22 513:1,1,4 518:18 519:8 **reductions** 183:6 316:8 **redundant** 295:2,22 368:6 424:9 **Reed** 525:19 reestablish 180:16.19.20 reestablishing 178:12 **refer** 69:19 90:20.21 91:16,17 158:2 165:25 253:19 254:3 299:7 reference 106:15 172:6 252:20 283:21 285:10 291:9 302:21 303:12 521:14 525:22,24 540:8 referenced 131:21 321:16 339:2 371:6 436:19 **references** 303:10 455:7 **referencing** 240:1,2,3 303:6 370:23 **referred** 158:24 242:18 248:25 249:11 426:7 **referring** 28:13 43:5 94:14,15 158:22 215:10 225:13.14 226:9,11 269:10 276:14 284:23 285:20 285:25 286:6 290:14 341:15 402:24 409:25 410:1,3 **refers** 285:5 reflect 153:6 167:2 197:21 313:21 314:1,5

437:2,23 527:14 **reflected** 75:17 78:22 79:3 319:3.23 330:23 464:9 **reflecting** 167:10 437:25 501:2 **reflection** 481:23 514:6,8 reflects 346:15 502:8 508:20 refrain 505:22 **refresh** 302:23 313:9 526:16 refurbish 491:5 **refused** 61:13 129:17,20 383:5 Refusing 2:4 **regain** 178:11 489:11 regaining 489:9 **regard** 47:13 69:13 138:19 145:11 150:6 161:2 247:3 431:14 453:12 478:12 480:7 481:17 535:13 537:16 regarded 176:6 **regarding** 242:25 247:1 249:19 267:18 287:8 291:10,23 298:9 300:13 303:23 311:17 311:23 312:16 331:24 372:19 375:23 393:10 399:22 441:1 454:14 454:17 468:24 469:2 540:3 541:17 regardless 151:9 268:16 465:5 regards 247:1 270:25 **region** 484:13 **regrettably** 285:17 286:2 **regular** 31:10,16 222:23 381:1 **regularly** 143:21 380:25 506:2 531:12 regulation 423:5 **regulatory** 233:18,25 234:2,10,11,12,14 235:20,24,25 236:3,5 236:12 **reimburse** 366:11,13

reintroduced 192:11
reiterate 54:20 392:3
<b>reject</b> 59:4 140:23
162:17 359:7,14 360:4
360:25 361:6,21 367:4
367:17,22 369:25
372:5,21 373:9,20
381:18,22 382:6,21
389:17 429:15 430:14
447:22 449:25 450:5
451:25 452:17,22
458:12 459:8,14 460:1
463:10,13 464:20
465:11,19,23 466:12,15
466:18 469:22 470:14
471:15,21 473:17,22
474:7,19 475:11
500:22 513:9 517:6,10
527:3,10
rejected 134:14 314:17
314:23 317:4 322:10
353:13,22 360:11
362:2,18 386:20
396:15,23 397:20
398:13 400:15 429:23
448:17,19,20 449:1,4
463:7 466:4,24 467:12
475:9 482:4 490:23
492:11,25 496:19,23
529:16 530:24 531:16
531:16 533:4 536:1
rejecting 359:19 360:10
362:20 363:22 364:2,9
*
364:17,22 365:16,23
368:8 392:6 459:13,18
460:4 464:19 465:6
473:9 476:18,20 490:5
503:15 519:25 536:10
<b>rejection</b> 127:9 133:17
428:15 429:8,10,11,14
429:22 430:3,17 431:2
431:4,5,11,15,17 432:9
432:10,12 457:25
458:2 470:7,11 473:17
473:22,23 477:2
479:23 480:17 499:4
501:11 505:16 514:21
516:5 517:10 523:16

523:20 528:18 531:20
539:6 540:3
relate 177:6
related 2:23,23 41:8 83:4
143:6 145:19 251:10
274:13 298:25 334:25
363:22 370:15 408:12
429:4,9
relates 41:21 53:20
68:14 109:4 137:12
248:1 378:10,22
403:25 495:4
<b>relating</b> 28:1,10 130:23
135:16 142:24 143:1
152:6 154:11 235:5,8
523:16 545:16 546:7
relationship 29:20 44:1
176:5 239:8 537:13
relationships 537:16
relative 44:22 45:25
61:11 178:21,22 180:3
185:1 194:1 209:25
212:20 282:3 296:6
300:15 373:3 522:9
relatively 181:13 245:4
release 43:22 44:14,15
44:16,17,18 90:24 91:3
91:21,23 92:1 93:6
240:14,16,19,20 387:5
406:13,15 411:4
413:22
released 90:17
releases 90:7,11 91:4
206:19 239:22,24,24,25
240:4,8,10 241:8 387:3
387:8,13 406:11
408:25,25 409:7,13,16
409:16,23,24 410:1,1,3
410:6,7,11,14,16,19,24
411:1,13,19,25 412:1
412:12,16,24 413:2,17
releasing 93:6
<b>relevance</b> 76:20,22
101:10 235:6,12
310:10,11 432:13
472:8 523:12,13
relevancy 523:25
1 20 0 0 0 0 0 0

relevant 82:9 84:23

```
101:11,20 115:1
 139:12,12 150:16
 164:5 227:3,4 235:17
 244:16 310:9 332:25
 333:2,9,16,17 412:19
 412:21 431:6 432:12
 432:14 504:16 523:21
reliability 180:16 415:25
reliance 57:8,10
relied 50:20 51:3 57:2,2
 74:20 76:20 86:22
 112:5
relief 2:23 26:20 235:14
 240:15 314:11 315:6
 356:2 431:10
relieve 479:24 480:5
relinguished 239:19
relocation 524:13 526:5
relocations 492:15
rely 52:25
remain 57:17,19 122:8
 171:10 377:10 424:2
 430:4 479:2
remained 61:9 175:3
remaining 337:22
 359:24 371:20 373:23
 374:1 388:18 391:20
 494:5 538:2 540:12
remains 403:16
remedy 299:4 369:4
remember 39:7 107:9
  108:22 198:3 203:19
 206:4 228:6,13 254:23
 270:17,21 286:7,7
 293:14,18 294:20,21
 302:3 304:9 325:19
 366:23 374:22 384:4
 397:22 484:18 489:13
 501:13 513:6 517:2
 518:16 528:7
remind 435:23
Remington 231:13
remove 77:18
removed 77:2.4 78:12
 127:25 161:9
render 176:23.24.24
renegotiate 135:14
renegotiated 158:11
```

renegotiating 144:1
renegotiation 143:21
renovate 475:24
renovating 465:16
renovation 492:20
493:17
renovations 444:22
476:8 492:21 493:19
493:24
rent 508:8
reopen 134:15
reorganization 60:2
65:14 67:10,14 265:22
266:7 343:22 488:14
reorganize 160:12
266:11 286:24
reorganized 266:6,9
331:11
reorganizing 350:11
repaid 181:9 349:14,16
repeat 275:8 371:18
420:4 467:19 471:16
534:24
repeatedly 207:1 329:21
repetitive 387:25
rephrase 35:13 58:22
62:9 113:21 382:12
393:19 394:17 406:1
540:17
<b>replace</b> 537:23
replaced 167:9
replenished 282:8
reply 525:3
report 36:10,20 51:22,22
51:23,23,25 52:1 76:5
79:5 120:20,21,22
123:4 180:18 266:4,12
266:14 267:24 299:15
391:24 393:4 420:2
reported 60:18
reporter 37:8
reports 36:9,12 107:1
121:1 404:23 416:22
468:12
repositioning 492:10,17
represent 28:8 87:5 90:6
91:8 121:10 126:22
136.5 150.23 162.16

164:11 273:24 369:8 383:4 392:14 407:5 426:6 447:12 450:5 457:8 479:11,15 488:3 511:16 representation 122:16 264:23 458:14 476:13 representative 53:6 229:16 435:10 459:3 459:11 representatives 11:3 14:3 87:3 122:13 134:11 166:20 280:18 281:1 404:8 **represented** 34:7 121:20 121:22 122:2 135:23 135:25 146:16 247:6 247:12,24 359:17 representing 92:8 102:17 135:18 163:21 170:1 200:21 **represents** 57:1 96:17 101:6 102:22 121:8,17 163:13 215:6 436:25 488:23 **reps** 153:19 **repurchase** 383:7 386:14 386:19 466:4 470:1 **repurchasing** 377:15,17 383:8 466:7,17 **reputation** 49:3 497:24 **request** 26:1,12,14 27:16 100:11 164:2 189:7 196:1,13 204:11 211:8 260:25 261:18 280:11 282:9 286:6 292:19 345:5 431:17 469:18 491:20 517:8,9 526:13 526:17 536:6 540:23 541:1 **requested** 34:16 36:16 36:21 190:4 206:16 215:20 239:24 410:14 435:6 469:13 requesting 290:19 requests 2:8 40:9 135:13 207:10.14 315:22 429:15

**require** 194:19 477:2 496:19 required 52:25 96:15 107:17 132:24 133:4 170:15,18,21 194:3 222:6 236:12 244:18 304:1 314:10 334:14 396:10,12 445:15 492:22 500:6 **requirement** 159:18,20 170:22.23 395:23 476:23 537:12 541:1 requirements 130:24 135:3,17 183:2 436:19 **requires** 122:24 304:24 445:15 resale 416:20,25 425:11 research 134:7 498:13 researching 122:25 reserved 440:25 441:3 reserves 405:3 residual 89:18 **resist** 113:5 resisting 111:22 resize 185:17 resizing 187:17 **resolution** 220:17 227:11 250:7,17 338:19,22 339:20 389:8 435:7,9 435:10 521:19 resolutions 177:9 resolve 221:22 248:16,22 253:22 305:6 435:24 436:7 resolved 25:19 26:14 42:18 211:13 259:9 resolving 42:22 259:6 resort 348:25 resource 176:18 respect 25:23 26:4 27:7 38:24 43:14 48:17 58:12 93:5 120:9 122:17 125:19,21 127:24 130:11 132:4,7 132:19,22 133:5,20 137:9 140:4.5 141:16 142:1,23 145:6,24 146:24 147:8 148:4,23

149:10 151:6,7,13 157:9,20 159:15 161:3 180:1 182:9 194:8 196:25 235:15 246:19 297:11,17 310:7 331:2 350:6 351:19 352:7,16 357:21 364:9,22 365:15 370:16 371:14 372:20 382:19 383:16 385:15 400:2,8,24 412:11 413:1.3 431:24 432:1,10,22 486:19 492:2 497:8.18 514:14 523:24 **respected** 176:6 498:15 **respond** 116:15 160:17 160:18 202:8 210:12 226:2 290:1,1 320:10 357:3 478:14 responded 196:9 204:11 228:21 339:15 responding 288:6 **response** 62:14 100:10 195:12 210:11 212:8 225:22,24 226:4,21 227:20,24 228:3,5,24 250:20,23 251:12,15,18 251:20 252:1,7,17 253:1 255:20 294:14 294:19 296:7 491:15 491:19 526:9 responses 385:1 responsibilities 139:15 139:18 144:18,19 175:2.21 334:4 380:24 responsibility 152:17 177:6 205:22 212:24 341:7 391:8 416:14 457:10,11 462:20 479:24 490:5,8 515:10 515:11,12,25 537:10 538:7.8.11 responsible 123:1 152:15 160:10 205:24 443:23 **responsive** 67:3 195:25 225:14 300:21 304:13 rest 282:14 284:25

477:11 528:12 restate 62:16 67:4 221:11 418:12 520:21 **rested** 439:2 **restructure** 158:18,21 242:14 266:20,24 271:10 517:12,19 518:6 restructured 157:4 159:21 521:4 Restructures 156:3 169:3 restructuring 155:24 182:19 205:4 233:4 237:3,12,15,19,23,25 242:9,11,19,20,25 243:2,5 244:22 254:14 266:10,18,19,23 270:12 271:7 273:4,10,12,17 277:12 287:6,7 291:24 292:7,8,13 305:2 313:3 337:16 340:8 351:18 375:4 477:4 497:4 resubmitted 252:25 **result** 58:13 63:17,19 96:5 124:3 149:24 158:7 167:7 171:21 178:10,21 179:1 180:8 180:9 187:2 196:16 277:9 320:22 348:8,25 374:13 376:8 383:9 399:24 405:8 414:15 414:19 429:23 471:13 471:18 476:18 496:25 resulted 143:9 167:17 resulting 157:14 results 355:13 500:18 501:13 **RESUME** 283:13 **retail** 186:13 241:6 369:22 370:8 376:1 377:3,14 397:14 507:1 retailing 369:21 **retail/one** 375:16 retain 48:21 201:9 205:20 337:14 **retained** 48:16 52:13 105:18 198:10 199:19

336:11,13 432:4

219:11 281:20 288:20 362:17 372:8 384:1 385:16 451:6,9,13 466:22 467:11,13,17,22 473:11 490:9,24 **retaining** 106:4 490:7 519:22 retaliate 522:23 retaliatory 522:16 retention 417:15 retired 121:13.15 122:5 122:6,8 138:13 150:24 157:23 168:11 retiree 122:17,19 127:24 127:25 128:2,10,22 137:12,17,18 138:5 140:4 141:20 146:24 147:8 148:4 151:6 retirees 121:22 122:17 128:16 129:13 130:4 137:15,22,23 138:1 143:9 147:23 149:3.5.7 150:20 165:13 303:11 **retirement** 16:3,13 17:3 124:25 **return** 31:5 58:10 183:10 238:5 390:23 415:3,9 445:16 510:14 **returned** 124:11 revenue 195:8 359:19,22 359:25 360:2 375:17 396:24 449:25 450:1 450:25 487:20 488:4 488:21,24 489:18 490:3 509:6,12 revenues 487:19,23 493:22 **review** 30:10 47:24 48:12 53:19 55:13,20 59:10 81:12 129:18,21 176:17,20 177:6 178:20,25 189:23 206:18 368:21 453:22 479:8,19 482:12 546:14 reviewed 25:21 32:17 74:20 82:17,19,21 180:13 198:15 294:21

298:4 410:19 429:20 514:20 **reviewing** 55:15 58:17 reviews 231:19 232:8 revisiting 295:5,25 **Rhode** 525:15,22 526:2 526:24 527:11 **Richard** 12:9 92:7 246:9 rid 520:25 521:15 522:4 **RIFKIND** 22:12 **right** 25:2 26:20,23 27:3 27:5,12,19 29:9,12,13 35:7 37:4 38:19.23 46:7,10 47:3,7 50:14 50:23 54:22 56:22 57:1 59:14 60:5,6,8,16,18,19 61:6,10,13,14 63:2,5,9 63:16,19,23,25 64:11 64:16,25 65:5,8,11,23 65:25 66:5,22 67:11,14 67:16,18 69:17,20 70:9 72:21 73:8,10,14,20 75:1,19,22 78:16,19 79:13,16 80:17,19 81:20 85:4,17,25 86:3 86:6,9,13,17,20,22 87:3 87:15 88:5 89:3,4,7,11 89:14,14,17,19,22,23 91:5 92:6,24 93:19 94:17,20,24 95:16,21 96:18 98:3,17 102:23 103:18 104:5 105:7 106:9,15 107:12 108:13 109:15,17,24,25 110:3,6,8,12,17 111:2 111:14,15,19 112:3,23 113:1,3,6 114:13,18 116:3,6 117:23,25 118:10,18,24,25 119:3 119:9 120:19 127:12 129:11 130:7 133:21 139:2 144:6,17,21 145:9,25 146:16,19 148:6,17,21 149:2,8,10 149:13,17,24 150:16 151:11.19.22 152:3.12 152:25 153:3,6,15,22 154:1,21 155:25

157:10,21 158:12,13,20 159:3 160:3.16.22 161:13,18 162:1,19 163:3,6 164:19 168:4 169:6,20 172:1,24 173:8,19,21,22 174:1,4 174:10 175:23 182:3 183:5,16 184:9 186:7 187:20 192:14,15 193:4 194:24 197:7 200:9 201:13.22 202:2 203:3 209:19 214:19 214:23 215:18 216:3 218:21 220:9 221:13 221:23 222:1 224:7 225:7,23 230:13,17 232:4,19,23 234:15 235:11,18 236:1,18,22 237:13 238:8,13 241:11,15,20 242:6,8 243:16 245:5 246:2,19 246:23 248:4.7.9.19 249:9 250:20 251:3,20 252:7,17 253:10 254:3 254:13 255:1,10,20 256:3,24 257:15,18,23 258:1,8 259:20,24 260:5,19,22 261:4,10 262:21 263:7 264:14 264:15,25 265:5,9 267:4,18 268:4,12,23 270:2 272:24 273:5 274:17 275:1 277:6,20 278:8,9,22 279:10,13 279:14,16,22,25 280:4 280:12,17 281:4,7 282:18,20 283:5,7,10 283:12 285:7,14,25 288:6,12,21 289:4,25 290:4 291:2 292:5,19 292:24 294:3 295:9,12 297:7,13,25 298:13 299:13 300:16 301:8 302:4,5,7,12,14,16 303:17 305:18 306:20 307:2.10.15.16.25 308:8,15,22 309:13,24 310:4,22 311:4,16,22

312:2,16,24 313:6,16 313:16 314:10.18.21 316:18 317:3,16 318:19.21 319:6.17.19 320:11,16,20 322:13,23 323:3,7,16,21 324:6,10 325:16,21 326:24 327:19 328:24 329:2 330:16 332:17,18 334:16 335:8 336:1,8 336:13 337:3 338:10 338:22 339:10,12,18 340:25 341:12 342:3.5 343:18,21 344:14,24 345:6,9,12 346:1,14 347:2,21 348:20,25 349:9,13,24 350:9 351:7,13 352:9 353:12 353:21,23 354:19,23 356:9,22 357:1,24 358:1,15 359:7,14,20 360:8.12 363:16 364:19 372:12 377:10 378:1,24 379:2 380:11 380:21 382:1,13 384:3 384:24,25 385:24 386:3 387:18,21 392:9 401:14 406:2,8,9 410:8 412:9 413:14.15 414:2 416:16 417:12,16,21,23 418:9,12,19,24 419:7 421:2 422:8,20 423:3 423:21 424:15 425:12 426:15 427:23 430:22 433:23 434:14,24 435:13,16 436:2,12,15 438:5,8 439:1,4,24 440:5,10,14,15 441:2,8 442:6 443:10 446:24 447:1 448:14 449:16 450:14 451:6,11,19 452:23 453:16 455:17 460:16 463:11,15 468:9 471:11 472:4 474:3 477:17 478:7 480:4.24 481:3.8.22.25 482:25 483:3,24 484:6 484:19,24 485:5 486:7

212-267-6868 516-608-2400

486:11,23 487:6,12,19 487:21,25 488:3,6,8 489:13 490:17 491:7 492:9,13 493:3,4,4,5.5 493:7 495:2,20 496:14 499:21 500:7,25 501:8 501:13,21,25 502:18,25 503:9,17,20,22 506:8 506:22 507:1,5,8,10,12 508:9,11 510:8 511:2,9 511:24 512:5.23 514:11,22 518:19 519:3,19,20 520:2,5,9 520:24 521:19 522:18 523:19 524:10 525:4,4 525:16,20,25 526:1 527:2 528:2,3,5,11 529:4,6,9,11,15,16,20 530:15,24 531:3,10,14 531:21,23 532:4,8,19 533:8,18,21,22 534:10 534:15,17,23 535:8,18 535:20 540:7 541:19 541:22 542:3 543:4 **rights** 25:25 122:9,15 378:13 402:17,23 471:7 480:19 482:24 524:12,16,17 right-hand 35:21 166:15 318:14 right-sized 187:17 **rigor** 183:10 **RINGEL** 11:23 ripples 351:6 **risk** 116:24,24 149:1 151:9 300:1,1 335:20 350:23 385:4 404:23 422:18,20 466:8 495:10,11,13 534:14,15 534:16 **risks** 534:17 **risk-free** 350:24 **RLM** 288:2 **RLN43** 248:6 RLN43@chrysler.com 220:7 **road** 8:4 18:13 21:13 28:22 65:1 239:5

548:12 roads 420:14 421:2 **Robbins** 198:15 **Robert** 5:8 13:17 19:17 21:11,17 24:11 174:18 219:5,14 544:18,19,20 544:21,22,23,24,25 545:6 **Robins** 49:13 53:5,9,23 55:2,24 **Robinson** 11:18 388:2 robust 190:7 475:1,4 476:23 **Rock** 15:10 534:25 535:17,18,21,25 536:7 ROGOFF 5:9 role 30:7 36:17 122:22 124:25 130:15 136:20 139:11 151:7 175:7 242:8 244:24.25 486:13 **Rolls** 186:5 Ron 120:21 125:1 176:8 192:21 195:15 202:10 202:18 248:6,10,14 250:5,23 251:4,16 254:15 268:9 306:5,8,8 306:9,18 **Ronald** 17:10 302:11 roof 184:21 374:5 424:21 444:4.7 450:10 489:6 493:11 494:24 509:9 **room** 69:14 183:12 202:19,20,20,20 203:2 239:4 272:20 339:3 445:22 446:1,4,11,21

494:5

roots 186:3

rosa 432:3

rosy 183:17

247:6.15

rough 263:24

32:9 54:13

**round** 213:7

rooms 25:3 446:4

**ROSENTHAL** 13:2

**Roth** 246:12,14,25 247:2

roughly 30:2,21 31:25

rounds 229:17 **Routan** 72:13 row 419:14 **Royal** 14:6 **Royce** 186:5 **RSA** 384:23 **RTP** 183:10 rubbish 25:8 **RUEHLMANN** 13:19 rule 50:25 201:21 445:21 446:8.10 484:2 **ruled** 310:9 rules 2:14 216:11 433:3 495:18 **ruling** 38:23 run 67:19 128:25 175:15 186:14,14 193:21 253:17 277:14 350:22 363:3 running 232:9 252:9 276:15,17,19,20,23 281:17 293:5.14 338:19 465:25 rural 533:17,20 534:8 Russell 11:24 388:1 **Russia** 234:5 Rutchkind 524:22 **RVG** 460:20 461:5 **RYG** 461:10

## S

s 3:2,9 17:19 19:7 24:7 25:1 437:23 544:4 545:4,13 546:4 547:4 **SABLE** 21:8 **Sachs** 202:20 311:13 sacrifice 194:19 **safe** 416:20 424:14,19,23 safeguards 453:11 **safety** 10:13 415:24,25 416:25 419:24 424:21 424:22 425:11,13 sake 90:13 525:6 **salaried** 373:5 400:19 salary 303:16 373:4 **sale** 2:16,17,19 26:3 31:3 64:6 66:16 67:6 84:13 88:9 92:13,20 100:24

164:25 165:17,20 167:12 212:8 235:15 242:11 261:18 265:20 265:21,23 266:1 270:3 270:13 271:16 275:3 275:11,16 277:21 278:11 283:17,25 312:25 335:24 340:3 355:9 356:3 358:22 359:5,12 386:22 387:1 387:5.6.13 402:10 403:20 406:10,16 408:19,23 409:1,11,13 410:4 411:1 412:3,3,25 413:2,5,5,13,22 415:2,2 418:6 419:2,11 425:2 428:12 429:2,10,19 430:11,13,16 431:1,6 431:12,15,16,25 432:3 432:12,14 437:12 441:24 442:3 476:21 476:24 480:17 485:18 495:18 523:20 sales 84:19 176:7 284:5 323:1,13 359:17 364:11 365:5 371:5 399:10,12 416:8 450:5 451:2,5,18 453:3 456:15 457:2,3,9,10,13 457:15,16,17,21,22,22 458:13 462:19 469:10 482:13 489:9,11 490:5 490:8,22,24 494:3,14 494:14 498:13 499:1,5 499:15 500:11 501:12 501:18,18,18 502:8,24 505:14 513:24 514:8 514:10,12 515:10,11,12 515:25,25 518:25 519:5,15,18 529:13,16 529:19 535:25 537:10 537:16 538:7,11 539:10,12 **salesmen** 362:15 **salvage** 206:24 **San** 10:18 **SANDER** 14:18 **Sanders** 13:9 162:10

250.7
358:7
<b>Sandy</b> 407:5
<b>SAR</b> 181:24 182:4,9
321:9,11,11,19,21
322:7,10,11
<b>SARTIN</b> 19:17
sat 146:1,2 169:13
384:11
<b>SATER</b> 24:2
satisfaction 497:19
498:3,14 499:1,6
500:11,20 501:12,15,18
501:19 502:8,24
505:14 513:24,25
514:10,11,12,15,19
537:19 539:10,11,12,14
<b>satisfactory</b> 41:12 133:5
satisfied 309:20,21
331:11 538:10
satisfy 55:17
<b>Saturday</b> 435:3,12
Saturn 504:23
save 296:18,22 331:18
362:20 370:15 371:17
372:4 423:15 488:16
488:17 506:16
saved 362:23 363:6
364:16 371:20,23
404:21 464:18 473:10
saving 207:5 351:15
savings 219:22 221:5,10
226:17 294:15 363:22
364:2,8,21 365:15,22
473:8,9,11
saw 26:23 76:5 83:25
116:5 181:17 183:18
184:13,13,23,23,24,25
184:25 185:1 200:8
203:7 263:11 277:13
291:6 393:22 396:6
398:3
<b>saying</b> 42:4 51:14 77:15
79:7 80:23 88:25
105:19 167:13 205:24
223:5,15 225:2 261:24
269:10 294:15 295:15
295:16 296:11 307:23
328:2 337:23 362:10
320.2 337.23 302.10

381:11 420:19 428:1
472:9 512:13 528:4
532:23 541:11
says 73:9 80:8,9 85:20
88:7,13 150:2 152:18
156:14,17,24 157:2
166:18 198:7 199:3
204:2 221:6,9 223:15
223:23 224:25,25
229:24 248:10 250:25
252:18 276:2 284:21
285:7 288:2 290:9,18 295:2 315:3 333:21
342:1 358:22 457:1,24
461:21 514:17 521:3
524:5 525:10 527:17
529:6,6,10 537:22
scenario 110:6,8 191:14
193:11 296:18 451:1
scenarios 105:12 190:15
190:23 210:11 393:1
470:20
SCHECK 22:16
schedule 27:13 128:13
129:11 157:16 413:6
543:3
<b>scheduled</b> 143:21 367:12 410:2
Scheduling 2:17
SCHIFF 23:2
SCHMIDT 5:8
Schnader 12:2 92:8
401:18
<b>school</b> 33:21
<b>Schulte</b> 246:12,14,25
247:2,6,15
SCHWARTZ 21:2
scope 114:25 116:12
138:21 139:14 288:23
433:4,7 492:20
score 462:20 502:9 525:16
525:16 scores 186:1 498:3
513:23,24 514:19
\$15.25,24 \$14.19 Scott 4:17 10:10 20

**Scott** 4:17 10:10,20

**Scroggin** 524:24 525:10

92:25 415:22

525:11 528:2

```
Seabolt 10:10 92:25,25
 93:4.18 544:10
seal 384:9.16.22.24
 385:10 453:14 477:16
 478:7,17,20 479:2,6,8
 480:23 481:4 484:25
 541:2.12.24
sealed 285:17 286:1
seamless 437:9
search 188:1 459:3
seasonally 181:24
seated 25:2 119:3 174:4
 283:7 356:22 435:19
 510:16
seats 169:14
Sebring 72:2 108:22,24
Sebring/Avenger 347:11
second 29:5 43:16 68:10
 80:12 96:10,17 97:9,11
  103:25 116:14 156:2
  156:24 159:16 169:2
 179:13 180:22 185:24
 186:17 188:12,13
 190:19 208:9 212:18
 213:24 215:10 226:15
 229:17 233:24 235:3
 239:20 245:21,24
 253:11,13 259:4 261:3
 264:4 267:1.2 268:4
 275:22 276:2.21
 277:13 294:25 303:9
 320:16 329:20 330:1
 342:7 345:19 351:25
 354:3 358:3 361:12
 377:25 379:16 402:3
 406:14 421:13 457:24
 502:20 530:17
secondary 233:22 234:2
secondly 235:6
Secretary 188:23,24,25
 279:18,19,20 280:13
 281:22.23
section 2:3 31:2 117:10
 156:2,6,17 157:2 221:8
 231:15 283:19 303:10
 307:19 402:10
sections 2:13 154:1,15
sector 329:5
```

```
secure 166:21 191:21
 311:14
secured 60:25 61:6.9.10
  63:16,22 64:24 66:4,17
  67:7 86:9 88:10 102:12
 205:23 210:3,13 234:3
 279:7 286:11 334:4
 337:25 340:15 358:25
 388:18 473:6
security 63:22 64:14
see 43:7 45:14 69:4 73:2
 77:15 82:24 83:1.6
  85:23 96:12 99:11
  103:5 147:25 148:25
  154:18 156:4,18,23
  157:1,4 159:21,23
  166:16,22 168:13,25
  169:8 181:20,21,21
  186:16 198:3,6 199:2
  200:1 202:13 203:22
 207:11 209:4 210:21
 214:18 220:8.12
 221:14,25 222:20
 231:19 255:9 256:9
 271:18 277:17 279:11
 283:1 291:5 298:15
  302:20 318:4,4,5,8,17
  318:18 321:6 330:19
  340:6 345:23 346:21
 358:22 359:1 365:25
  380:6 381:5 400:11
 413:24,25 425:3
 432:13 433:9 445:23
 454:18,23 460:16
 461:15 464:14 475:14
 476:12 478:17 480:22
 481:20 482:21,25
 483:2 486:18 498:23
  501:1 502:5 503:25
 504:19 516:2 520:5
 528:10 535:8,19
seeing 399:14 494:20
 497:15
seek 402:17 431:23
 459:9 470:7,11 471:15
 471:21 473:16.21
 474:7.18
seeking 47:1 50:15
```

162:17 167:4 212:8	525:20	service 41:10 191:9
359:6,14 360:4 361:6	send 127:3 380:20 424:7	209:16 249:25 273:25
361:21 367:17,22	424:13	287:11,12 303:1
369:25 371:14 372:5	sending 379:18	314:11 316:8 317:19
372:21 373:20 381:18	senior 60:25 61:6,9,10	320:2 322:14,21 323:4
381:22 382:6,21	63:15 86:9,19 88:10,17	362:25 364:15,16
451:25 459:14 462:8	102:12 148:24 285:23	366:9,11 402:16
462:15 463:1,10,13,20	285:24 308:9,11 333:5	408:12 476:5 491:24
464:19 465:8 466:12	333:12 335:16 336:1	500:4 501:17,20
466:15,18 469:22	337:25 351:19 352:17	513:13 534:4 537:15
532:24 547:6	sense 305:12 356:3	539:14
seeks 387:2 449:24 450:5	371:16	serviceable 313:14
seen 48:3 70:12 72:23	sent 211:9 226:18 268:9	serviced 41:13 500:5
73:23 74:1,1,2,22,25	290:18 294:22 368:2	534:4,5
75:7,11 78:14 79:6	379:15 380:15,18	services 2:5 7:3 9:12
83:25 87:11 88:19	524:20	302:24 303:4
92:15,17 114:22	sentence 85:20 87:1 99:6	serving 26:13 124:19
215:22,24 313:8 348:6	99:7 154:16 166:18	session 53:14,15 125:9
348:13 365:13 366:2	249:8 253:13 260:22	126:3 136:10 202:17
391:13,17 392:2 400:4	261:2,11,21 268:15	339:16
401:5 468:14 481:13	276:2 277:24 284:21	sessions 53:12 124:20
514:24 525:4	285:4,7,14 348:1	125:12 136:7 189:11
<b>Segal</b> 4:10,10 12:2 25:16	525:17 526:22 530:20	set 79:17 127:2 131:15
25:16 92:8	sentences 159:17 169:6	131:16 134:25 178:14
segment 328:6	291:2 295:20 358:22	182:18 192:2 261:20
segments 274:22 296:9	separate 25:19 71:13	316:7 349:7 392:25
297:2	122:1 126:6 144:17	412:12 413:3 449:8
seize 532:24	247:25 406:17 413:9	setoffs 356:1
<b>selected</b> 63:24 458:16	413:19,20 517:4,4	sets 125:25 215:7 515:11
selection 470:13	separating 208:1	setting 184:1
<b>self</b> 436:18	<b>separation</b> 178:11 179:6	settle 126:16 251:19,21
self-insurance 437:6	September 143:24	settled 134:25
self-insured 438:1	sequence 216:23 225:11	<b>settlement</b> 28:1,13 40:17
self-serving 187:16	sequestration 445:21	41:6 43:2,13,17 44:1
323:23	446:8,10	45:5 46:1 218:19
sell 68:1,2,3,5,8,15,16	<b>Sergio</b> 192:4 298:25	239:23 240:1,6,7
100:2 200:7 208:8,18	299:1	245:20 248:19,24
267:3 344:22 416:9,11	series 210:8 454:13,13	249:11,12,15,19,22
416:15 417:2,7 432:15	478:25	250:6,16 251:5,10
487:25 488:7 508:16	<b>serious</b> 135:2 461:22	253:20 254:4 257:15
515:19 538:6	<b>seriously</b> 129:19 516:10	257:19,21 258:18
<b>selling</b> 68:19 200:6	seriousness 439:9	292:25 294:8 308:24
259:23 261:24 262:3	<b>serve</b> 30:5,6 407:12,14	387:9 410:12,22
296:9 326:15 347:6	495:24	411:21 413:16 545:16
432:17,17 486:24	served 30:16,20 31:6	settlements 413:4
Senate 170:3 190:12	48:4 124:24 176:9	settling 251:1
194:7	182:18 184:2 213:23	seven 121:1 144:3
<b>Senator</b> 196:13 525:19	407:15 474:20	163:15,17 185:16
	l	I

189:6 277:11 278:16 292:20 530:2.3 seventeen 84:12 182:4 185:2 286:4 290:20 400:21 seventy 264:17 376:8,11 376:13 377:4 469:12 seventy-five 370:7 447:13 506:20 **severance** 87:7 88:15 **severely** 69:14 186:10 **SEYFERTH** 18:11 **SEYMOUR** 24:2 **shady** 116:25 117:5 299:8,9,10 300:2 **shape** 254:2 **share** 95:13 166:10 182:15 191:6 203:13 206:12,13,14 263:9 374:1,13,15,18,19,25 386:1 391:21 435:6 450:22 490:12 515:13 515:13,15 533:12 538:8 **shared** 76:23 199:24 204:5 206:9 221:7 223:16,23,24 224:13,15 466:3 478:4 shareholder 265:2 shareholders 34:8,13 35:22 **shares** 188:15 263:16,20 324:8 **sharing** 385:20 **sheet** 35:22 43:3,10 116:9,23 128:3 191:10 195:24,25 196:20 210:24 233:11 317:22 317:23 353:11,12 369:9.12 394:3 437:25 sheets 436:20 **Sherrick** 120:25 136:17 **shifting** 130:9 **shop** 491:2 494:24 **short** 245:4 388:8 416:5 423:23 482:22 **shorten** 235:15 **shorter** 251:12 513:14

**shortly** 29:15 36:21 171:1 300:11 **short-sellers** 328:17.20 **shot** 294:17 **shoulder** 207:6,6 **show** 51:3,5 52:5 53:24 177:9 201:17 300:8 369:10 399:5,9 446:5 482:9 538:23 **showed** 40:5 56:14 166:1 215:2 320:7 **showing** 50:16 **shown** 93:24 105:8 106:9 161:4 442:5 477:14 **showrooms** 491:10 493:14 **shows** 455:19 **shut** 294:9 **shutdown** 274:12 sic 111:11 113:8 156:18 416:18 420:2 441:23 460:20 461:5 **side** 35:21 50:9 52:14 71:20 73:10 87:16 101:21 144:24,24 182:8,9 193:22 195:8,9 318:5,14,15 352:16 482:11 **sides** 195:8 sign 119:24 152:21 168:9 223:22 251:9 481:24 483:12 **signal** 424:7,13 **signature** 38:2 215:15,23 215:25 216:2 signatures 216:1 **signed** 153:13 191:20 214:12 215:16 481:6 481:18,20 497:2 510:23 significant 156:25 159:17 212:3 236:15 292:2 298:22 323:6 341:21 342:19 343:7 445:12 451:14 456:11 462:3 469:16 521:5 significantly 95:24 194:19 343:23 399:7

signing 481:9,11,12,16 sign-off 152:17.22.23 153:13 156:14 Siller 12:11 392:14 **SILVER** 20:2 SILVERBRAND 16:10 **similar** 90:21 113:23 123:22 124:3,4 129:21 267:9,21 361:1 380:15 380:18,20 490:13 497:3 506:24 515:16 520:15 **SIMON** 8:17 **simple** 147:10 185:18 212:19 338:15,16 421:1 474:22 492:21 509:7 simplicity 186:12 **simply** 58:7 74:3,8,11 128:14 138:20 278:11 426:19,25 429:11 528:13 **Simpson** 12:18 113:15 simultaneously 137:2 **sincerely** 69:9 70:3 single 127:3 160:8 164:15 212:21 217:19 217:22,25 218:9 367:9 459:9 491:12 496:22 single-brand 374:6 single-dimension 327:17 sir 30:23 62:8 66:13 68:13 75:6 80:23 93:10 93:14 146:10 147:23 153:11 154:2,5,19 155:9 156:1,11 158:8 161:19 172:7 203:11 204:14 210:17 214:9 214:15 220:19 221:14 227:5.9.15 229:3 230:12 238:9 240:13 248:24 250:12 253:6 254:16,23 261:1 268:6 270:9 276:5 279:21 285:24 289:24 297:24 298:16 301:9 302:3.13 302:15 309:23 315:24

319:7 320:24 321:23

323:6 326:23 333:15 336:15 341:13 344:15 350:13 353:18,22 416:12.16 418:17 419:1 420:12 422:8,20 422:25 426:8,9 427:6 441:10 442:10 445:6 449:11 479:25 480:2 481:14 501:1 502:7 504:19 505:10 511:15 sit 77:20 114:11 154:25 231:18 232:8 426:11 438:22 **sitting** 69:14 160:13 364:13 365:11 372:9 438:22 480:10 **situation** 42:18 69:12 98:7 150:18 169:10 188:16 220:4 276:3,10 276:13 277:17 278:3.6 278:9 281:16 328:7 334:3.13 369:4 399:15 399:17 situations 453:2 six 108:12 142:13,14,16 177:20 183:11 185:16 232:22 257:5 262:9,12 277:8,11 314:5 321:13 405:19 406:3 419:4 530:1 sixteen 182:4 sixty 205:10 231:12 300:19 301:1 **sixty-eight** 263:8 264:19 264:21 sixty-six 265:3 **six-speed** 325:9 **size** 515:22 540:10 **skill** 362:14 **skills** 231:17 **slightly** 340:14 **slippery** 112:20 **slope** 112:20 **SLOTT** 15:9 **slow** 30:14 39:18 80:12 491:16.16.17 **small** 135:12,16 136:7,10 191:23 208:5 212:4

241:24,25 488:6 **smaller** 180:5.6 389:2 391:18 486:7 **Smith** 9:6 **snapshot** 452:19 **Snyder** 12:16 392:12,13 394:18 400:12 401:15 544:22 social 349:25 **sold** 207:17 261:24 275:15 380:6 383:2.18 421:19 424:24,25 450:16 **sole** 63:13 191:21 358:25 **solicit** 449:2 469:19 solicitation 331:12 soliciting 467:13 solicitous 177:23 **solid** 461:24 **solution** 60:6 221:20 224:8 353:9 **solutions** 221:21 222:5 **solve** 330:2 **solvent** 276:16 301:23 315:16 375:21 **somebody** 84:24 112:12 112:17 127:3 184:22 240:14 324:10 326:9 419:3 482:19 497:14 535:12 someplace 419:4 **SONNENSCHEIN** 13:2 **soon** 124:10 134:19 437:13 449:5 503:25 532:25 **sorry** 36:25 63:22 64:2 69:22 73:3 81:18 83:16 103:6 109:7,24 116:5 134:2 150:3 156:20 157:19 166:7 189:18 202:16 204:1 219:14 220:8,12 226:2 235:10 236:24 248:10,24 254:12 261:1,2,15,16 261:22 264:2,2 270:9 280:24 283:21 293:11 297:8.21 303:5 304:12 330:15 338:3,9,16

341:15 357:10 362:11 **specialty** 362:24 363:22 **Sprinter** 346:25 **standup** 183:13 371:18 377:24 378:21 364:2.9 366:3.5 Square 7:12 **Stanley** 202:19 **Starbucks** 506:25 509:20 384:7.13.17.19 393:7 **specific** 61:23 64:4 126:7 squarely 291:5 144:21 263:3 371:6.6 **Squire** 13:9 162:10 420:25 421:23,24 513:10.15 424:9 427:2,8 436:9 start 75:16 95:18 181:12 385:1 394:12 397:21 358:7 446:13 467:25 477:25 409:7 414:17 455:7 **SRZ** 246:11,12 185:11 219:13 238:6 491:18 498:20 501:5 464:3 499:3 517:22 **SSI** 539:9 238:19,22 263:15 524:9 538:5 **St** 7:11,14 187:5 273:19 510:16 516:13 530:11 277:5,6 279:8 287:24 sort 91:8 126:14 178:2.4 **specifically** 36:16 108:14 441:19 313:6 316:2 356:20 179:2 189:20 316:25 165:22 167:4 183:21 sta 296:4 409:8 433:9 435:1 189:5 202:9 203:4 378:9.17 **stable** 461:18.19.21 439:5 440:13 480:3 274:14 306:14 358:14 sorts 149:23 **staff** 124:21 134:6 483:10,12 489:9 **sought** 52:8 368:23 372:14.19 414:13 176:15.21 178:16 498:11 526:14 373:8 464:17 180:12 194:11 478:18 started 27:6 36:15 85:3 sound 221:19 224:7 **specifics** 33:25 60:24 stage 150:17 192:3 181:20,21,21 182:25 229:4 255:22 302:4 84:3 111:18 411:20 412:18 183:10 185:7,15 308:6 429:1 specified 539:13 stake 165:6 166:9 268:21 186:16 188:25 198:11 soundness 51:23 specify 342:3 268:24 269:1 308:19 201:23 206:18 210:1 sounds 308:22 518:24 **specter** 516:14 230:13 232:15 267:20 stakeholder 332:8 **source** 107:6 112:25 **speculate** 112:14 291:9 stakeholders 151:11 269:15 277:7 278:18 188:1 353:24 359:19 331:6 348:2 304:16.24 305:2.9.15 279:6 301:24 305:13 359:22 360:2 450:1.3 speculating 348:17 310:15 330:24.25 311:12 361:23 397:23 454:9 456:1 488:3 **speculation** 112:2 150:2 332:8,13 334:18 415:12 438:12 440:5 sources 348:23 449:10 419:19 340:24 445:20 449:25 **speed** 283:4 291:6 **stand** 27:13 57:15,17,19 **starting** 181:20 185:9 south 16:18 18:5 23:4 speeding 398:25 58:2 59:4 60:2,6,15,22 187:5 **spelled** 190:14 61:1,2 98:17 119:8 **southern** 1:3 5:13 11:5 **spend** 118:4 178:15 164:11 178:21,22 222:2 520:15 14:5 135:10 283:8 356:18.19 424:4 **spending** 509:4 521:6 southwest 536:18,19,24 424:15,24 438:22 **so-called** 230:21 **spent** 192:5 259:19 277:8 446:17 478:24 493:7 **SPA** 262:3 344:12 445:1 497:14 502:12,16 510:25 **speak** 30:13 118:20 520:16 537:24 **standalone** 190:19 193:9 143:22 219:24 279:23 **spiffs** 363:2 428:8 480:3 512:6 spiral 185:8 277:1 193:13.25 194:12 521:13 523:20 527:9 **spite** 184:12 213:4 237:6 266:7.20 **speaker** 49:13 194:6 **split** 262:25 266:24 270:8 271:7 354:18 358:4 384:9.15 **spoke** 39:4,11 140:14,16 292:8,13 521:23,24 387:20 413:10 190:4 191:14 268:17 **standard** 126:17 134:9 **speaking** 219:21 242:12 268:19 269:10 279:18 179:15 192:13 352:2 444:16 455:14 279:18,22 510:3 standards 52:17 125:25 speaks 160:15 525:10 132:13 192:10 495:16 **special** 31:12,18,20 **spots** 513:19 **standing** 30:3 214:18 119:21 122:21.24 **spouses** 303:11 422:20 125:2 280:18 383:22 spreadsheet 452:15,24 **standpoint** 107:20 173:4 200:12 211:4 332:14 467:16.20 452:25 456:3.7.21 specialization 288:24 463:19 464:11 479:4 387:24 specialized 153:20 546:13 stands 41:2 421:19

282:7 294:10 296:2,5,6 331:25 348:6.13.18 **statements** 30:11 87:19 106:15 286:5 350:6 351:2 states 1:2,13 5:12 6:3 28:22 40:22 41:9 65:2 65:5,13 66:15 67:5 87:3 88:22 107:7 130:20 131:7 135:21 136:8 146:16 164:3 176:4 196:2 199:12 210:5,15 211:11,16 217:1,12 220:16 222:14 224:3 236:6,13 240:8 241:5 245:17,19 254:14 257:2 263:9,21 264:15 270:7 286:10 286:18 287:13,14 291:23 296:17 300:13 300:23 305:17 321:24 334:24 335:2.5.9 336:20 340:2 349:1 379:24 395:4 404:17 421:2 436:6 524:10 525:20 stating 198:19 348:14 status 298:8 438:2 460:25 461:5.15 **statutes** 524:12 **statutory** 236:9 437:4,24 438:2 stay 233:6 235:15 337:22 395:4 402:25 527:7,14 533:24 534:9 **staved** 61:3,5 staying 394:24 395:9 512:19 stays 351:12 356:10 **steadily** 174:25 steady 489:24 **Steele** 19:11 **STEEN** 8:9 steering 256:2 **STEMBER** 14:2 **step** 87:15 118:25 148:16 172:25 200:4 217:14 427:14 532:21 542:3

**Stephen** 13:15 16:23 24:16 124:24 162:9 **STEPP** 18:17 **stepped** 381:20 382:16 **steps** 51:19 147:19 448:16,18 **Steve** 35:6 192:21 202:10 202:18 254:17 268:9 **Steven** 7:16 380:4 441:18 **Stewart** 3:9 27:9,11,15 27:21,25 28:6,16 29:13 36:25 37:5 38:14 45:19 46:9,17,22 49:16 50:3 50:16 52:10,22,24 53:4 54:15 56:17,24 57:4 58:22 59:12 62:11,14 67:1 68:9 73:21 74:5 74:14 75:10 76:3 77:18 77:21 87:8.11.14.17.25 93:21,23 98:13,18 102:15 103:4 104:6 107:25 114:24 115:6 116:11 117:12.19 118:9 537:3,4 544:6,11 stick 115:10 216:10 510:6 stip 438:24 stipulating 438:21 **stipulation** 25:19 439:20 440:6 stock 156:25 157:3 159:17,19,21 160:1 167:18 168:3,18,19 188:15 316:10,25,25 324:7 328:10,24 334:17 408:3 stone 213:17 stood 84:11 530:23 531:6 **stop** 41:23 149:9 179:13 185:24 243:10 331:22 433:20 480:3 **stopping** 348:7 520:1 stores 506:24 513:11,11 513:12,15,18,21 **stories** 519:11 storm 181:17 183:19 **STORRS** 20:12

straighten 510:17 542:6 straightforward 46:23 straights 206:23 strait 114:4 straits 129:22 **strategic** 58:6 175:8 176:13,16,18 448:4 strategically 179:10 **strategies** 123:12 131:8 **strategy** 123:6 126:13 182:15 230:23 489:2 streamline 509:13 **streams** 195:8 **Street** 3:5 4:4 5:14 6:4 7:20 8:19 10:16 11:13 12:4 13:11 14:14 15:4 15:12 17:6,15 18:5 19:13 23:14 24:4,13 strengthen 358:22 strictly 455:6 **strike** 117:4 122:10,10 142:1.4.7.10 146:23.25 147:9,13,14,15,17,23 148:16,20,25 149:9,20 150:17,21,25 161:10 163:6 282:13 336:11 336:13 362:5 370:13 374:12 394:18 400:12 466:2 475:22 477:11 519:24 **strikes** 142:9 stripping 149:7 **strokes** 190:17 strong 226:8 299:5 **stronger** 534:12 strongest 447:18 462:8,9 462:16,17,17,18 strongly 181:25 193:12 430:1 **struck** 141:25 structurally 357:1 **structure** 157:13 163:25 175:13 273:1 274:7 275:19 285:8 316:12 337:5,10 357:24 structured 239:6 273:6 521:18 structures 285:13

structuring 271:16 283:24 403:20 struggle 70:3 struggling 70:5 stub 179:17 **studied** 420:1 studies 73:25 391:13,17 399:24 400:1 404:20 **study** 82:18,20 97:18,21 345:5,11 347:14,14 399:14,22 425:3,6,7 502:8 539:9 **stuff** 323:16 STUTZMAN 14:11 **sub** 432:3 **subject** 35:23 40:2 50:7 53:7 60:4,7 61:2 198:19 212:9 288:12 288:14 296:20 410:1 441:23 443:7 477:20 484:25 525:17,18 **subjects** 237:21 **submission** 132:7 194:3 194:21 308:25 309:16 **submit** 26:22 170:15 192:14 195:11 260:24 261:17 434:19 **submitted** 25:20 26:17 36:9 120:4 133:15 189:21,21 190:13 192:16 237:5 266:3 392:22 521:9 submitting 189:20 subordinate 354:7 **SUBs** 356:1 subsequent 124:15 225:19 456:10 490:25 subsequently 232:9 349:17 393:17 453:15 **subsidiaries** 90:23 91:6 **subsidiary** 135:21 136:5 **subsidize** 369:22 subsidized 370:2 subsidizing 369:24 **substance** 52:15 397:25 **substantial** 72:11 111:6 111:19 130:4 493:16 493:18

substantially 2:16,19 63:25 64:2.7.11 79:2.7 79:10 80:24 259:23 271:16 305:22 323:3 342:21 343:3 352:24 432:15 **subvented** 369:20,23 370:2 subvention 370:17 success 84:12,15 176:4 195:23 200:7 350:14 350:15 486:14 497:15 497:18 503:17 519:11 520:8 521:2 successful 95:4,6 128:12 205:18 408:22 459:13 460:3 480:19 486:9,21 501:12 518:8 successfully 350:11 361:25 417:1 495:17 suddenly 522:7 sued 91:14 **suffer** 351:6 405:7 487:1 **suffered** 402:21 **suffering** 486:22 503:25 **sufficient** 86:12.16 89:17 158:12 345:13 433:7 **suggest** 117:3 191:24 274:15 318:1 321:22 324:14 325:22 328:4 411:7 504:16 508:15 suggested 129:11 512:1 **suggesting** 220:20 274:7 284:4 295:18 321:23 432:20.21 suggestion 129:2 suggestions 145:3 **suggests** 73:15 223:13 **suit** 91:13 402:22 suitable 493:8 **Suite** 4:5 6:5 7:13 10:7 10:17 11:7 12:5 13:12 14:15 15:13 16:17 17:7 17:16 18:14 19:14 21:14 22:6 23:5 548:13 **suitor** 135:2 167:10 **Sullivan** 6:25 14:20 15:2 436:10

sum 397:25 summarize 256:9 summarized 194:5 summarizing 37:17 **summary** 38:6 152:22 345:1 **summoned** 134:23 **Sunday** 248:14 **Sunshine** 15:9,9,16 479:10,14,15 480:1,8 480:10,14,25 481:2,11 481:15,22 482:15 483:3.6.16 510:20.21 510:25 511:3,7,10,12 511:16,18,21,25 512:3 512:17 534:24,24 535:4,12,15 538:18 545:10 **SUOZZI** 11:2 Superior 6:20 supplement 193:22 **supplied** 78:13,18 **supplier** 195:15 212:16 258:24 351:16 373:12 **suppliers** 149:22 191:7 205:7 213:15 277:3 301:3,7,13,15,21,22 302:2,6 303:1,1,7 358:25 361:11 **supply** 351:4 362:25 **support** 52:12 58:1 163:20,23 164:13 188:4 189:4 220:14,20 220:21,24,25 260:24 261:18 282:2 290:9 302:11 349:2 355:5 357:19 363:1 364:20 364:23.25 369:16.21 370:8 374:3 377:1 426:13 428:12 **supported** 162:16 163:13 163:18 220:16 supporting 164:16 193:12 363:4 427:3 supportive 177:20

220:17 504:12

**supports** 431:24

**suppose** 335:24

**supposed** 163:23 215:25 496:15 sure 50:18 81:21 105:19 106:2,5,23 110:24 115:3 117:12 123:8 133:11 136:19,21 152:20 153:8,15 157:18 161:20 172:4 177:7 183:1.14 202:16 210:24 211:8 212:25 217:6 221:17 226:1.9 233:9 234:6 237:13.21 238:23 244:7 246:15 247:14,23 252:12,16 254:25 258:5,17,22 268:8 270:20,23 274:6 274:19,20 281:20 284:25 285:1.16 289:1 290:13 294:10,21 303:7.8.17 305:13 307:23 312:20 313:9 313:10 317:11 321:14 321:25 322:4 327:5 332:1,15 334:4,12,14 337:17 339:24 341:3 344:20 350:3,25 351:12,14 352:12 357:11 363:17,24 364:24 365:2.17.21 369:5,10 370:1 376:11 377:12 378:17.19 381:2,4 383:12,23 384:3,3,6 385:22 387:8 389:3,4 391:5,12 398:10 402:15,19 403:12,25 405:11,13,13 411:20 412:13 420:1 439:2.25 454:10 471:16 472:13 478:16 478:18 481:25 497:11 503:14 520:22 521:20 **surface** 316:11 surmount 50:9 **surplus** 506:7 **surprise** 487:8,10 505:10 **surprised** 250:25 251:1 252:1 253:25 surreal 69:16

surrendered 239:19 survey 539:13 541:8.10 541:25 546:18 **survive** 129:9.12 143:13 417:19 **survived** 417:18 **surviving** 303:11 Susan 436:24 sustainability 134:15 swear 174:11 443:1 **swipe** 208:12 switch 184:23 sworn 27:20 38:4 80:6 119:10 174:12 440:20 **syndicate** 224:13,15 **synergies** 73:10 233:10 system 126:2 140:16 369:20 405:13 509:1 systems 424:1 509:1

 $\mathbf{T}$ **T** 5:8 7:8 10:10 14:9 544:4,4 545:4,4,13 546:4 548:2,2 **tab** 43:6 45:2,2,7,13 69:1 70:9 72:21 79:21 86:24 93:25.25 94:4.5 103:6 105:7 106:9 114:19 218:22,24,25 246:2 253:7 254:24 260:20 266:16 268:4,5 275:24 284:15 287:16,20,20 293:10,11 294:12,23 297:19,21 299:13 300:9 302:9,17 313:1 319:17 344:3 347:22 table 126:19 135:24 181:4 199:13 211:15 211:16.20 212:1 218:3 223:6,9,12 224:2 225:2 227:25 228:22 229:11 341:17 342:9 351:10 352:16 353:16 tabs 287:8 take 29:5 30:16 63:21,22

tactic 337:11 66:4 67:7 101:16 107:17,22 113:13

118:25 124:2 126:24		
128:4 133:16 135:11		
137:15 139:15 140:7		
147:15 173:2 183:3,23		
187:3,11 195:15		
207:24 210:4,5,14,14		
217:14 219:12 231:14		
232:6 235:20 248:18		
253:4 264:23 271:11		
283:2,8 286:17 287:14		
289:4,17 296:14,19		
298:3 300:9 313:1		
314:25 315:1,17 338:6		
344:4,5 352:24 354:23		
356:11 374:4 375:5,14		
376:10,14,18 377:12		
380:9,14 381:8,9 382:4		
387:22 388:8 397:9		
398:16 412:3 422:17		
422:19 425:3 427:20		
428:6,23 430:9 432:19		
*		
432:21 433:11 435:12		
435:13,25 440:18,23		
442:22 446:20 449:4 468:20 469:7,13		
489:21 491:25 492:19		
498:19 500:25 502:2		
503:3 511:25 524:4,19		
525:15 527:19 531:17		
532:22 534:17 537:20		
taken 33:13 38:7 133:7		
133:11 138:1,5 141:18		
160:19 172:18 197:8		
227:25 437:18 448:16		
448:18 516:12,16		
takes 25:22 27:13 187:22		
344:6 357:7 416:15		
471:6		
talented 289:2		
talk 53:14 85:2,3 146:22		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
192:8 195:10 207:21		
210:16 215:14 218:11		
293:17 307:5 308:15		
329:22 332:4 383:6		
403:7 408:25 476:14		
509:20 530:20 542:10		
talked 32:10 57:11 73:25		
<b>'</b>		

```
86:2 137:5 149:16
 188:8 191:22 192:12
 193:18.19.19.19 203:2
 279:17 301:15 305:18
 434:6 497:13 505:8,9
 528:4,11
talking 41:24 95:25
 137:4 149:7 153:25
 161:8.22 187:19 195:6
 215:11,13 226:3,6,21
 228:1 235:23.25 236:4
 237:19 248:20 249:1
 249:16 293:18 294:19
 315:11 320:14 329:25
 330:1 331:22 342:15
 375:3 396:24 403:7,9
 409:7 447:3 477:24
 478:1 480:4 512:25
 541:4,9,12 542:17
talks 221:5.5
tantamount 59:2 355:10
TARA 5:18
Tarbox 525:24,25 526:4
 527:11
target 124:1 134:25
 209:2 314:15 320:9
targeted 135:7
targeting 206:5
targets 130:25 131:1,15
 131:20 181:8 518:10
TARP 101:16 111:6.12
 111:14,18,19 112:12,18
 113:8,17 114:5 188:25
 189:1 279:13 280:5,9
 280:14 282:6.7.9
 293:18.21
task 128:15 134:14
 192:19 196:2 204:4
 219:8.9 270:7.20.23
 272:6.25 273:5 274:7
 275:2,9 283:15 284:3
 289:1 337:4 370:22
 371:1,8,10,13 372:19
 375:3 517:17.23
tax 213:16 301:3 305:15
taxes 277:4 442:1
taxing 441:19
taxpayer 315:9
```

<b>taxpayers</b> 358:24 401:25
<b>Teachers</b> 16:3,13 17:3
team 69:10 110:20
135:14,16 136:14,15,17
137:1,3,4 144:16,16,18
157:17 181:2,11
187:16 196:3 205:11
210:22 211:6 212:6,24
229:18,18 231:16
233:7 255:24 299:22
344:17 365:25 399:10
399:12 452:21 509:2
teams 144:17
<b>Teasdale</b> 7:10 441:18
tech 208:10
technicians 362:14 476:4
500:3
technologies 233:10
technology 192:8,9
209:16 250:1 267:12
267:15 269:4,17 328:6
343:25 423:24
<b>teeing</b> 533:7
telephonic 198:5
TELEPHONICALLY
13:18,19 16:10,23
17:11,20 18:9,18 19:8
19:18 20:10,18 21:9,18
22:10,17 23:9,18 24:8
24:17
tell 31:23 33:2 34:4
42:21 44:20 45:3,7,17
47:23 48:9 53:18 54:7
84:24 97:21 104:22
112:4,5 121:7 155:1
188:19 190:17 191:1
199:9 203:4 205:2
209:21 210:18 213:8
213:20 220:9 222:1
227:22 230:8 231:10
234:23 240:4 243:10
253:16,20,21 264:8
266:16 273:5 284:22
324:25 344:16 346:1
350:17 392:2 421:6
433:1 453:25 464:2
468:13 502:23 513:8
513:22 524:19 527:9

535:16 telling 129:7 200:3 229:20 240:3 353:2 420:12 521:24 tells 457:4 ten 30:19 84:18 110:11 116:9 171:23 185:4 209:8,10 241:19,21 262:25 263:5,6,13,21 264:16,20 309:11 310:17 324:13.14 325:17 326:24 327:3 327:20.20 343:24 354:18 420:14 529:19 tend 181:15 243:13 tends 35:21 tens 111:14 153:3 360:23 400:5,7,8 tentative 126:18,20 132:8.10 133:2.7.14 140:3,23 156:3 169:2 ten-what 483:10 term 33:15.23 34:1.4 35:9,14 40:11 43:3,10 47:21 65:12 90:15 130:24 137:14 183:18 195:24,25 196:20 210:24 230:22 233:11 242:20.21 266:9 285:4 358:23 394:3,11 399:1 423:23 436:20 437:25 465:24 472:2,2,2,14 488:25 499:11,11,19 515:9 terminal 318:2.6.7.25 319:3 320:3 321:17 **terminate** 360:4 367:16 367:24 368:18.24 373:11 470:17.22.24 terminated 171:12 367:12,19 372:16 396:15 397:19 398:14 480:16 terminating 108:20 363:6 termination 44:24 366:16 479:16 terminology 157:14

241.25
341:25
terms 26:5 27:13 32:15
33:6 36:16 43:1 44:20
55:15 91:2 101:13
107:8,11,13,17 111:22
113:3,4,6,13,13,24
127:20 130:17 131:15
131:19 132:13,15
133:13 146:8 151:18
155:11 156:9 157:11
161:24 178:3 207:2
210:2 301:16,16
335:10 389:6 431:14
458:8 459:23 468:5
472:25 502:1 521:2
Terrible 351:7
terrorist-like 229:21
test 105:12 124:9,10
292:5 419:24 456:12
463:23 495:21 496:3
tested 208:23
<b>testified</b> 37:11 39:7 53:5
60:1 72:22 76:10 82:16
83:24 90:7 112:22,25
144:15 145:13,18
146:23 148:2,14
151:14 155:14 159:13
161:5 162:17,25 170:3
216:23,25 222:9 237:2
237:11 242:13 261:23
278:8 280:8 283:15,22
*
310:17 323:21 330:21
360:7 361:2 363:12
365:2 370:21,25 382:4
386:22 388:9,14
391:10 392:18 393:15
395:22 398:24 401:2
414:6 443:19 454:3
462:7,14,25 464:24
489:7 493:20 499:8
501:10 503:14 517:14
520:14 521:8 531:14
testify 51:7,16 113:16
114:1 120:6 138:25
139:9 150:5 160:25
170:5 201:24 365:11
429:6 491:3 521:13
testifying 428:3 455:1
<b>csmying</b> 420.5 455.1

475:17
testimony 49:24 69:21
69:23 76:20 80:6 94:15
138:17,22,23 146:11,23
147:2,3 161:12 170:8
237:9 294:22 331:9
335:16 355:4 361:15
362:6 372:18 375:18
384:16 385:3 392:15
398:6 399:21 400:9
412:15 415:15 418:4
420:7 422:25 429:4
431:24 432:1 434:25
446:6 462:14 466:22
475:6 492:9 496:10,12
496:16 499:17 504:11
504:13 508:15 519:19
522:7 523:24
testing 333:24
tests 424:21
Thacher 12:18 113:15
thank 27:4,5 29:13 46:7
49:18 54:22 59:12,18
77:20 89:22,24 92:6
93:19 98:24 107:25
108:1 118:25 119:11
119:13 120:2,19
144:10 146:10,22
· ·
152:1,4 154:9 162:6
169:20 171:25 172:12
172:21,24,25 173:1
174:1 235:3 242:23
288:3 297:9 330:16
332:17,19,22 336:16
343:21 354:12 356:12
377:22 387:17,18
388:13 401:15,16
406:2 407:2 415:19,20
416:4 422:6 423:14
425:17,18,19 426:2
427:9,15,16 435:15,16
435:17 436:3 438:5,6,9
440:15,16,18 441:4,15
441:17 442:4,4,6,7,21
443:18 446:19 479:14
480:25 483:16 484:23
485:4,5 498:7,10
511:21 523:8 524:2

534:22 538:18 540:19 542:3.25 543:7 thankfully 350:8 thanks 252:9 293:13 379:25 425:24 Thanksgiving 373:5 **that'd** 405:20 **theory** 391:24 **thereof** 2:18 200:19 Therewith 2:22 thev'd 65:7 thin 81:9 82:2 thing 59:6 76:4 107:12 107:21 122:24 187:25 210:22 211:13 214:1 240:22 245:20 338:24 339:20 351:7 367:18 430:10 438:12,16 510:17 things 46:3 83:19 87:20 100:2,5,18 104:7 105:7 123:5 128:13 139:19 141:9,13 157:7 163:5,9 180:12 181:7 183:1 195:6 200:5 201:24 206:15,19,23 207:2,11 207:20 213:13 217:5 217:15 235:13 251:19 267:19 271:19 287:1 329:7,19 350:1 355:13 393:9.11 417:1 420:6 424:6 429:1 431:3 433:16 444:22 461:11 487:17,22 500:2,8 510:10 519:6 521:7 522:9 531:6 think 25:9,20,23 27:6 36:14 39:20 41:2 43:4 43:6 45:13,20 50:9 51:24 76:3.12 77:14 81:17 84:23 87:21,25 93:25,25 95:17 98:10 98:18,21 99:7 102:1 104:17 108:12.25 110:23 112:8,17,22 114:19.24 116:5 117:14,15 118:4,10,11 137:21 139:3,12

143:15 148:8,14 149:15 155:14.17 156:8 160:15 161:14 162:18 171:23 173:9 173:11,13 176:3 177:8 177:19,25 178:5,7,9 180:13 181:2 182:6,17 183:18,25 184:23 185:16,19 186:9 187:13,15 193:15 194:11 202:16 204:21 205:8.24 206:16.19 207:22 209:6 212:23 213:12.22 215:7 216:14 220:5 221:21 221:24 222:3,4 223:15 223:15 224:16,21 226:11 227:2 228:11 229:8 232:22 233:5 234:15 235:17.18.19 236:14 240:23 242:13 242:18 244:25 245:22 247:23,25,25 252:2 254:2 255:21 257:13 258:5 260:9,10,11,12 262:11 264:18,19 269:13 271:3,6,18 277:11 282:16,19 288:23 289:1,19,22 290:20,24 291:14 294:17 295:19 300:21 301:17 305:4 306:19 310:13.15 323:21 325:14 326:8 327:7,11 328:5,11,14 329:9,25 331:2,3,14,18 332:11 332:25 333:1,9 334:25 337:7 340:22 342:23 349:19,21 350:25 351:14 354:20 355:3 357:1,4,22 358:18 363:8 365:24 367:18 369:19 370:6,6 371:3 372:6,6,15 374:24 375:10,18 385:12,23 386:7 387:15 390:19 391:7 392:1 393:15.22 394:7 395:3 396:4

398:2,15 399:5 403:10 403:18 404:1.16 405:4 406:7,11,14 409:6 411:23 412:2,2 414:10 420:18 422:15 424:12 425:19 426:7 427:19 434:16,20 435:12 436:4,7 439:14,21,22 447:1 455:15 460:19 462:25 463:4,25 476:20 478:22 481:15 482:21 483:2 487:4 490:1 497:16 504:10 504:16 508:19 510:10 511:25 513:2 520:7 523:21,23 526:19 528:18 537:24 538:4 542:5 **thinking** 279:6 303:6 316:13 495:8 thinks 76:12 139:9 240:19 432:23 **third** 12:13 24:12 39:15 47:25 57:10 71:2 91:8 105:23 145:12 146:12 166:5 169:5 190:21 195:13 212:18 255:4,5 259:6 268:19 295:2 313:21 315:8,18 347:5 347:7 353:23 354:1,4 421:13 458:23.24 459:3,9 468:7 537:23 **third-party** 459:19 460:9 **thirteen** 178:6 185:3 **thirty** 176:3 194:13,15 194:21,23 195:19 205:10 268:3 272:10 300:19 301:1 354:14 354:15 374:25 thirty-day 336:23,25 thirty-eight 207:13 213:20 324:1,2 397:9 **thirty-five** 263:2,13 265:5,8 268:3,21,23 269:1 323:25 **thirty-one** 392:14 485:7 **Thomas** 3:17 5:7 16:21 89:24

**thorough** 55:18 189:23 319:15 **thought** 27:22 46:18 56:11 62:4,18 76:7 81:18 83:18 102:3,7 116:1 131:18 142:6 175:14 193:21 200:10 200:13 203:9 233:7 237:25 251:4,16 254:1 261:12 269:9 273:6 274:11 278:18 280:4 314:18 319:8 324:2 341:4 345:1.3.4.7 348:9 353:15,19 404:14 414:7 422:5 434:2 438:20 446:22 467:23 468:1 520:13 543:1 thoughtful 52:15 thousand 122:4 149:5 thousands 153:3 348:8 351:3 360:24 400:6.7.8 threat 205:14 three 78:7 94:15,16 100:25 118:5 123:7,9 123:10,17 124:3 125:7 125:8,17,21 126:3,13 141:16,21 142:16 162:19,23 165:1 169:15 170:10 176:16 181:10 184:16 186:21 189:2 190:10,14,14 217:19 237:5 263:2 271:21 315:6 384:21 384:22 422:15 434:22 437:17 457:6 482:18 487:6 489:10 490:17 493:9 498:3 503:20,23 515:4 516:6 538:2 540:23 541:3 543:4 **throughput** 499:11,11 499:14,15 509:11 throw 289:17 tied 132:23 290:17 tier 212:17,18,18 ties 290:20 TIFFANY 24:7

tight 29:8

**till** 115:23 234:10 336:5 483:10 512:19 time 31:9 32:23,23 35:24 39:12 41:3,11,17 42:17 43:1 47:16 48:15 49:10 50:1 53:9,23,23 55:2 55:10 58:6,25,25 66:8 85:19 86:2 96:15 99:14 100:2.3 102:25 104:7 104:10 107:2 115:16 129:3.15 130:3.22 131:21,23 134:23 135:13 140:2 143:25 145:4 146:9 147:13 148:21 160:18 163:7 170:2 172:17 173:12 174:2 176:9 177:11 178:3,8,16 179:17 185:6,10 188:23,24 189:8 192:18 193:11 193:17 194:23 195:20 195:22 196:17 200:1 200:22 204:15 206:16 206:20 207:22 210:7,8 210:19,25,25 211:13 212:21 213:21 214:18 218:2 222:21,23,23 223:4,7,8,10 225:6 236:11 237:2 238:3 239:13,14 242:15 245:12 254:21 264:6 267:22,24 270:21 271:3 272:24 273:2 275:13 277:18,20 278:12 279:7,20 281:24 282:1,21 283:24 285:18 286:2,9 310:20 315:13 316:18 325:3 326:14 329:17 336:19 337:3 341:10 344:6,7,12 348:15 354:2 357:18 366:24 367:1 373:11 380:12 385:11,11 387:22 389:1 390:3,21 392:19 393:5 397:7.18 404:14 412:20,20 413:9 415:8 423:16 431:16 437:11

437:11,20 440:21 450:16,22 462:4 469:20 480:11,15 482:22 487:16.18 492:8 495:15 500:6 510:5 511:20,24 518:19 521:16,19 529:2 534:3,5 536:22 539:14,15 540:24 timeframe 185:9 192:4 202:14 267:21 278:21 397:24 timely 35:16 103:17 times 48:7 134:10 161:24 177:23 178:6 187:23 254:5 326:2,3 422:15 424:17 444:19 489:1 timing 222:15 300:13,14 301:6 490:25 **Timken** 6:20 tired 338:11 **Titan** 71:12.12.14 title 174:21 285:21,22 498:25 titles 456:21,23 today 25:5,15,15,20 27:14 46:25 49:25 154:25 160:14 168:16 237:20,22 290:14 296:7 328:16,19 335:16 336:5 343:10 364:13 365:11 372:9 378:15 394:5,14 396:14 409:11,24 413:23 419:4 420:15 424:24 426:11,12 428:14,19 467:10 477:22 485:17 512:16 522:7 **Todd** 19:10 21:8 537:3,5 537:6 **Togut** 4:10,15 25:12,13 25:16 **told** 60:17,20 62:25 63:1 63:4,7 89:11 104:14,17 117:5 129:1.9 131:24 135:1,10 143:10 196:10 201:24 203:6

210:1 211:8,17 215:19
217:15,17 264:10
285:16 286:17 292:25
307:18 308:5 342:8
405:14 427:22 480:8
480:21 482:17 543:3
Tom 45:23 134:8 175:16
175:17,18 191:17,20
192:1 195:12 248:18
248:21 250:2,5 252:9
293:13
tomorrow 233:15,16,16
234:19,21 238:10
248:15 290:7 291:7
435:2,11 439:3,5,11
440:6 441:7 483:12
511:6,20 542:4
tonight 173:20 434:25
510:23 511:5
tooling 209:9 325:4
tools 362:24 363:22
364:2,5,9 366:3,4,5
top 225:13 228:24
230:13 287:24,25
· ·
325:3,6 346:25 416:19
493:2 504:19 524:5
topic 177:2 235:23
268:17,20 270:17
332:18
<b>TORF</b> 23:8
tort 14:13 92:12,15,19
258:12,13 402:18
1
404:7,8,12 405:13
414:5,8 416:2 418:5
total 101:1 112:2 121:1
127:7 161:23 180:3
264:20 296:10,12
297:1 313:14 314:8
360:13 366:12 391:16
505:15 516:15 517:20
518:17,18
totality 356:3
<b>Totally</b> 301:11
toto 307:5
touched 212:15,16 360:8
tough 183:9 188:16
373:2,3,9,12 525:14
Tower 10:3

1
town 213:10
<b>Toyota</b> 131:5 176:3,4
309:4 399:7 485:24
486:2,11,21 487:5
497:7,11,15,15,18
500:16,19 501:13
502:12 503:2,7,17
504:1 513:22
track 123:4,10 145:2
461:13 541:11
tracking 195:18 460:21
tractors 136:3
TRACY 6:8
<b>trade</b> 305:20 306:12
421:14 422:22
traded 188:15
trades 362:14
Trading 23:3
tradition 137:14 150:22
traditional 182:1 186:3
348:23 349:22 401:25
traffic 193:24
<b>trail</b> 213:9 246:5
<b>trained</b> 500:3 513:16,19
training 362:25 364:15
364:17 366:7,9,11
369:16
tranche 189:14 256:10
259:11 349:10
trans 412:3
<b>transaction</b> 41:13,13,21
41:23 42:2,4,7,14,19
44:23 47:5,9,14,17,25
48:17 50:19,21 51:4
53:20 56:7 57:12,12,12
58:3,4,14,15,18,24,24
59:4,7 63:21 65:11
84:20 88:11 96:20 97:7
101:21 165:17,23
166:11 167:3,5,17
168:2,17,17,20 171:9
198:22 233:16 235:8
238:7,10,12 239:17
241:3,9 247:5,7,13,21
256:8,15,17,21 257:10
257:16 258:9,21
259:21 260:3 269:19
269:24 270:2,12
,

```
271:16 273:6 275:10
 275:19 277:21 278:11
 283:16,19,25 284:5,6,7
 286:6 296:7 300:13.17
 305:24 307:15,24
 308:10 310:16 312:11
 312:23,25 315:10
 323:1,1,13 329:15
 335:18 341:10,12,15,16
 351:3 352:7,14 355:5,9
 357:20 369:22 383:10
 389:4 391:12 394:11
 402:10 408:15 409:1.2
 409:10 413:18 415:8
 420:12 425:2 429:2,13
 429:13 437:22 444:21
 445:7 449:5 495:21
 496:23 501:19 516:23
transactions 47:1 198:21
 329:8 426:16 441:24
 449:9
transaction's 233:13
 266:2
Transcribed 2:25
Transcriber 548:9
transcript 329:18 548:4
transfer 47:2 63:23 64:6
 64:24 129:3,4 273:7
 323:8 384:5 395:5
 475:1 476:23 485:19
transferred 64:4.15
 65:15 66:3 130:1
 171:16 306:24 322:24
 395:12
transferring 47:6 391:6
 394:21 475:3
transition 233:7 386:7
 437:10
transitional 426:13
transmission 10:3 93:1
 325:9.9
transmissions 208:14
 209:14
transparency 127:7
  141:10 177:8
transparent 116:10
  156:8 245:2 254:12
transplant 135:9,10
```

196:12 309:3 transplants 130:19 131:4 131:14 135:7,8 170:24 transportation 213:15 507:6,13,17,18,21 508:1,2 transporters 212:18 **trauma** 181:3 travel 228:15 **Traxis** 231:13 Treasurer 279:18 treasury 47:10 64:23 65:2.5.13 66:15 67:5 69:19,24 87:3,6 88:22 94:9,22 106:16 111:22 112:12,25 113:3,6,23 116:24 146:4 159:18 170:13,16 188:23,25 196:2 199:12 202:10 202:17 210:5.15 211:11,16 217:1,12 219:4 220:16 222:10 222:14 223:5,8 224:3 226:5 227:24 228:21 229:16 240:8 241:5 244:4 245:17.19 252:25 254:14 257:2 262:24 263:9,21 264:16 277:16 279:5 279:10,20 280:13 281:22 286:10.18 287:15 288:20,22 291:10,16,23 292:1,10 292:20 295:1 296:17 300:13.24 305:17 319:19,20 321:24 334:25 335:2,5,9 336:4 336:21 337:23 338:17 340:2 350:6,9 395:4,7 397:19 403:20 404:17 466:4 467:23 468:11 517:15.17 Treasury's 259:6 Treasury/Chrysler 300:2 **treated** 92:13.19 306:22 474:14 treatment 286:25

212-267-6868 516-608-2400

treatments 307:6
tremendous 57:10
127:25 147:17 175:18
175:20 176:5 177:14
177:15 181:4 184:24
342:16 375:10
tremendously 142:7
trend 460:20 461:22
462:3 463:22
trending 463:14,21
trepidation 211:7
tried 137:15 164:14
287:10 294:7 376:19
427:14
tries 509:3
trouble 129:19 185:23
472:22
troubling 89:2,12
<b>Troy</b> 18:15 21:15
<b>truck</b> 68:16,17 71:8,10
296:21 346:21 505:6
<b>trucks</b> 83:17,21 467:3
true 74:21 100:21 114:10
121:18 137:22 142:10
144:22,25 149:3
152:24 153:7 160:11
165:24 214:13 243:20
286:24 341:20 342:18
349:15 393:19 402:6
403:19 442:23 443:2
492:2 506:9 513:4
533:9 540:6 548:5
trust 16:4,14 17:4 128:14
331:25
<b>Trustee</b> 6:3 164:3
truth 52:6,6 129:8
138:18 150:11 153:6
201:15 202:4
truthful 38:6 85:25
<b>try</b> 25:6 62:10 66:13
82:22 107:2 124:2
127:7 139:18 147:18
149:9 175:15 180:22
186:18,18 200:7
208:10,18 210:18
211:2,13 222:5 223:22
225:7 227:7 229:20
250:5 253:22 273:25

274:24 291:14 294:2
297:4 310:18 316:11
335:22 361:2,7 362:3
362:14 363:18 369:4
375:6 377:12 387:23
387:24 397:14 416:5
420:23 421:1 428:18
453:2 460:22 463:24
464:7 531:17
trying 28:6,7 30:16
69:11 77:5,8 108:22
115:15,16 135:13,14
150:7,10 153:19 160:11
161:3,5,11 185:17
186:10 187:17 191:21
193:7 195:19 200:5
206:24 207:8 210:23
217:10 218:8,11
221:19,21,23 224:8,19
225:5 227:12 235:16
242:14 247:15 248:22
249:2 254:5,11 255:25
256:19 260:7 264:13
270:1 290:10 292:24
296:13 297:8 298:15
304:12 305:6 316:13
329:3 330:25 334:4,7
337:19 338:13 344:9
357:11 362:16 369:22
372:25 375:15,15,20,20
376:16 390:4 391:5
423:15 427:13 428:18
430:11 434:18 435:24
439:12 454:20 458:13
460:8 471:3 474:25
501:24 541:18 543:2
<b>Turin</b> 192:4
turn 37:24 43:4 69:1
70:9,16 72:21,25 79:21
80:5 85:19 86:24
114:18 155:4 166:14
168:21 203:16,17
218:22 246:2,5 260:19
268:12 271:22 291:7
291:13 344:3 345:19
379:16 453:23 456:3
511:23 542:6,19
turned 57:22 213:17
turneu 57.44 215.17

```
271:24 291:14,19
 315:22 321:16 341:8
turning 184:20 291:10
 381:21 382:9,22
turnkey 532:24
Twelfth 7:20
twelve 185:3 263:10
 264:19,21 451:3,19
 489:14 490:3 492:19
 493:23 533:12
twenty 30:21.22.24
 31:25 73:24 166:9
 179:7 263:1,5,6,7,20
 264:14,19,20,22 265:5
 265:7 267:22 269:16
 325:21,25 329:9
 434:23 436:1 451:10
 451:13,22 462:25
 476:6
twenty-eight 318:2,25
 319:4
twenty-five 282:1,8,10
 315:21 360:22,23
 396:20 397:1,2 447:22
 488:22 490:16 500:22
 518:22,22 522:4
twenty-nine 54:13,14
twenty-seven 32:2
twenty-six 252:19,20,21
 253:1
twice 117:14
TWL7@chrysler.com
 248:6
two 29:25 41:24 47:7
 53:12 55:20 63:16
 65:16 71:2,13 83:14
 91:16,21 96:14,25 97:6
 97:23 105:7 124:8.19
 126:17 128:18 135:20
 141:5,6 144:17 145:4
 146:1,9,12,19 154:14
 157:6,7 159:17 161:6
 161:24 169:5 172:13
 176:25 188:2,7,13
 190:9,11 193:16
  199:14.15 208:5
 211:17,18 212:1 215:7
```

```
223:18,20,23,25 224:5
 228:22 237:2.11 257:5
 259:3 262:11 270:8
 278:13 279:24 291:2
 295:20 308:12 312:6
 335:14,19 339:25
 340:7,10,16,20 341:18
  342:22 343:3 348:10
 350:20 355:8 358:21
  393:18 403:13 406:4
 407:21 408:1 416:18
 416:21 424:25 425:12
 428:18 436:7 442:13
 444:17 446:20 449:9
 459:18 490:17 503:5
 519:6 525:25 530:6,9
 541:7,23 546:17
two-fold 202:23
TX 14:16
type 186:6 327:16 457:4
 491:19 495:13 516:25
types 91:16 123:5 141:9
  231:17 329:7 426:16
typical 186:1
typically 528:16
```

# U

U 547:4 **UAW** 11:4 14:4 68:21,23 119:22 120:12.20 121:7,7,8,11,17,20,22 122:2,5,6,8,15,17 123:1 123:3,22 124:15 125:4 125:22 126:1 131:21 132:8 133:24 134:15 136:10.11 137:13 139:4 140:3,7 142:15 142:15,20 143:10 144:17 145:7 146:2,5 148:24 149:17 151:3.8 151:17 152:5,11 153:2 153:8,18,24 154:4,10 155:16,21 156:6 158:18 160:25 161:6 162:1 164:23 165:7.12 165:13 166:2,2,18,25 169:7,10 170:9,19,22 171:1,5 190:11 194:3

217:9 218:3 221:7

195:14 196:11,12 244:20 257:15 258:18 262:24 263:8.22 265:4 307:19 309:2 331:7,12 334:25 356:16 390:7 403:24 546:6 **UAW's** 27:16 122:16 154:23 **UAW-represented** 149:18 **UAW-50** 155:5 **UAW/VEBA** 315:4 **Uh** 394:16 **Uh-huh** 178:19 194:17 229:14 263:19 **ultimate** 63:17,19 194:8 197:4 **ultimately** 26:2 59:2 62:6 78:13 105:16,25 128:24 143:10.15 189:8 190:13 204:23 297:4 **Um** 504:7 **Um-hum** 502:22 unable 294:5 348:22 429:6 435:11 521:6 unacceptable 271:8 445:14 461:3 unaffiliated 91:6.8 unauthorized 220:1 **unaware** 110:10 425:7 531:5 unbelievable 187:23 uncertain 210:2 **unclear** 70:7 71:7 72:12 72:16,18 uncustomary 380:12 underfunded 42:16 307:20 308:1 underlined 318:6 underlying 161:21 underneath 199:24 **understand** 26:9 34:4 48:6 58:16 63:20 75:11 77:14 87:2 88:9 91:4 93:6 110:24 128:14 146:11 156:11.12 157:18 159:14 161:21

168:1,17,19 208:16 211:10 218:8 221:1.7 224:20,21,22 225:6 230:5.6 234:6.8 235:16 240:4 241:24 247:15 247:19 249:17 274:6 308:11 310:19 329:1 331:1 333:18 334:5,10 335:18 337:17 360:14 360:17,20 361:15 363:13 369:1.2 374:1 378:8,19 382:8 384:13 384:20 385:3 394:14 394:17,19,23 395:1 396:19 401:2 403:14 412:17 413:8 415:15 418:17 422:25 429:7 429:16,18 430:10,11,22 431:22 432:24 433:21 439:24 440:2 456:13 460:22 477:15 478:16 485:17 488:6 492:9 495:16,20 507:20 511:3 523:22 540:9 understanding 33:24 35:13.17 38:24 44:4.15 63:12,18 67:21,25 68:4 68:20 86:5,8,19 89:7 91:1 92:12 93:14 96:21 104:4,25 112:5,6 113:22 118:13 148:19 153:9 156:8 157:19 158:9 171:11.15 191:19 211:15 218:5 223:10 239:18 241:4 259:11 265:7,15 284:11 304:15,23 305:22 306:21,23 307:3,8,25 308:9,14 309:17,19 326:19 337:13 339:9 340:3,9 340:22 341:5 351:18 366:5 368:23 380:8 381:7 385:17 386:2 394:4,9 395:6,11 418:20 419:8 426:12 438:12 446:8 468:10 468:13,14 471:25

472:18 473:5 539:7 **understood** 86:11 222:9 225:6 228:22 251:9 336:19 372:18 418:4 431:15 499:17 **undertake** 68:14 492:25 493:24 499:4 516:25 **undertaken** 55:19 93:10 93:14 514:22 undertaking 53:22 495:12 516:2 **undertook** 53:17.20 **underway** 450:11 unduly 160:19 unemployment 181:22 Unexpired 2:22 unfair 522:16 unfettered 282:24 **unfolded** 303:19,25 unfortunately 57:25 107:19 186:22 190:21 205:1.13 211:25 212:4 212:23 251:4 277:10 359:8 361:9,13 371:25 373:1 UNIDENTIFIED 354:18 358:4 384:9,15 387:20 413:10 436:14 **union** 11:4 14:4 124:16 124:18,21 126:4,9 127:3,5 128:10 130:2 138:10 141:18 146:25 150:6 151:15 155:11 159:25 309:9,17 unions 126:12.22 370:10 union's 128:20 142:2 **unique** 69:11 163:2,5,9 164:21 167:17 185:25 **unit** 187:14 303:16,16 **United** 1:2,13 5:12 6:3 8:10,18 28:22 40:22 65:2,5,13 66:15 67:5 87:3 88:22 107:7 119:6 119:7,19,20 130:20 131:6 135:21 136:8 146:15 164:2 176:4 196:2 199:12 210:5.14

220:16 222:14 224:3 236:6.13 240:8 241:5 245:17,19 254:14 257:2 263:9,21 264:15 270:7 286:10,18 287:13,14 291:23 296:17 300:13,23 305:17 321:24 334:24 335:2,4,9 336:20 340:2 349:1 395:3 404:17 421:2 525:20 units 122:1 182:5.7 185:2 186:25 361:24 362:1 375:8.10 376:2 376:18 377:2 383:1 384:6 400:21 515:18 515:20 universe 107:5 unknowledgeable 128:11 unprecedented 185:8 187:15 188:8 unprecedently 187:18 unprepared 76:1 unquestionably 132:24 unreasonable 276:4 278:3 unresolved 25:17 unruly 290:11 **unsecured** 5:3 14:12 90:1 307:11 407:6 unsigned 215:14 unusual 117:24 118:2 unwilling 64:21 unworkable 164:4 **updated** 69:13 79:19 upgrades 492:25 **upper** 166:15 **upset** 479:20 **urged** 468:20 **urgent** 530:22 **urging** 319:20 375:13 376:8 377:9 380:8 381:9 use 126:17 141:8 151:8 157:14 181:16.25 242:21 243:12.13 264:20 265:3 266:9,10

211:11,16 217:1,11

209:3 320:6,7,8 334:17 266:12 321:21 326:24 varied 444:24 402:8,12,18,20,21 327:5.23 337:5 342:8 344:21 variety 181:14 210:10 405:6.19 417:4 421:13 455:5 460:7 461:11 **valuations** 70:19 76:23 244:12 344:19 420:1 422:8,10 423:19,21,23 499:19 513:9 524:16 78:22 79:3 83:25 102:6 various 48:7 53:21 79:18 424:14,23 425:5 469:11 507:6 536:4 540:8 **value** 60:22 61:21 62:4 90:15 94:24 144:21 **useful** 264:6 62:19 63:14 66:8,23 145:24 150:8 192:20 538:6 **UST** 252:9 288:12 291:7 70:17,22 71:1,2,6,8,15 230:23 258:7 285:13 venture 265:10,17 293:2,14 296:2,14 71:18,21,23,25 72:2,4,6 295:1 296:20,21 305:9 373:18 340:10 350:24 403:21 72:9,11,13,15,17,19 305:15 324:3 337:8 Veritext 548:11 410:13 73:3,6,6,7,14,20 75:8 368:22 372:25 426:17 **Verizon** 17:14 25:23,25 UST's 350:18 76:14 77:24 78:19,24 455:20 468:6 475:9.10 26:1 479:21 version 27:1 usual 290:10 531:2 80:7,11,22 82:8,24 versus 109:1,5,7 208:11 usually 240:14 326:15 83:1,2,5,7,8,22 84:1,5,7 vast 377:7 328:14 85:14 86:16 89:18 **VEBA** 128:1,11,12,20 276:9 296:10 335:20 utilities 2:4,7 25:9,16 96:16,18 97:3,8,22 129:3,3,5,5,11,23 130:6 337:21 513:11 utility 2:9 547:7 99:15 100:1 101:15 132:19,22 133:5 viability 131:2 133:14,21 **utilized** 198:17 106:22 109:16,23 135:14,15 136:15 166:22 190:1,13,24 **U-54** 318:11,12 110:14,22 111:1 118:7 137:1,4,9,12 142:22,23 193:9,13,23 194:12 **U.K** 234:4 157:7 159:19 160:1 143:7 144:16,24 200:24 203:1 266:3,18 **U.S** 1:22 5:11 6:2 47:10 161:23,25 200:14,19,22 145:19,24 146:1,3,6,8 270:8 313:4 322:2 67:12 94:21 131:5 240:12,15,16,20,22 146:13 155:12,17,20,25 337:14,15,17 380:5,9 134:11 145:23 154:17 295:4.9.24 296:22 156:3,24 157:3,7,9,11 391:9 392:16 517:21 170:13,16 181:25 304:24 310:4,8,12,19 157:13,19,20,23,25 518:5 521:9,14,23 182:5 205:25 219:4 311:1,3,5,6,17,23 312:7 158:14 159:16,19,20 522:4.11 223:5,8 226:5 234:13 312:17 317:24 318:2,6 **viable** 57:16 58:2 59:5 160:1 161:6,24 163:2 234:14 259:6 262:25 318:25 319:4 320:3 163:10,11 165:18,22 60:2 62:7 132:1 194:13 288:20,22 291:10,16 321:17,22 324:3,6,11 167:16,18,21 168:1,3 195:21 200:25 213:4 292:1,10,20 315:3 325:11 326:16,20 169:3,6 196:10 257:21 270:8 272:8 312:22 336:4 338:17 349:24 327:3 328:6 329:16 303:13,14 308:15,18 349:23 353:15.19.24 351:11 358:24 403:19 330:19,24 331:7,25 309:5,25 310:8,17 371:17,19 372:25 420:11 453:22 466:3 332:4 334:5,15 340:7 314:1 315:15 316:15 373:24 377:10 391:6 467:23 468:11 517:17 340:16,21,23 341:11,21 331:25 334:25 403:25 415:6 520:20 522:8 521:9 342:16,19,21 343:2 **VEBAs** 128:21 540:12 345:10 347:13 348:10 **VEDDER** 15:18 vice 120:24 136:11  $\mathbf{V}$ 390:25 392:5 403:17 **Vegas** 20:7 vice-chairman 175:16 v 11:4 12:24 14:4 19:17 416:20 421:8 425:11 vehicle 70:24,25,25 victims 12:3 92:9 401:19 **VA** 22:7 428:13 447:20 448:9 71:17 168:6 325:6 **Vietnam** 124:11 **Vaguely** 91:15 476:25 496:7 516:23 329:15,16 376:5 view 50:22 88:23 98:21 **val** 318:7 531:4 383:22 386:9 402:22 101:13,19 112:8 **Valeo** 21:3 values 71:3 73:16 79:1 405:9 406:3 414:20 116:22 139:5 151:7 **valid** 529:3 99:20 199:22 305:7 417:9 418:6 421:16 155:19 198:23 201:5 validate 209:7 324:22,25 345:1 422:11 424:21,24,25 247:15,18 256:4,20 **valuable** 83:19 323:9,10 347:15,18 416:25 467:16,21 499:25 295:9 305:8 310:4,12 323:12,18 vanishing 200:8 514:18 311:1,5 313:13 322:1 **valuation** 70:11 73:19 Vargas 5:17 111:24 **vehicles** 68:15 71:13 348:4 402:3 482:13 75:18 78:2,8,11 79:4 112:1 225:16,18,18 168:14 180:7.8 182:23 503:14 514:13 521:13

191:23 301:25 346:13

397:13,14 399:7,8

79:12.15 81:24 83:24

109:24,25 117:9 118:6

226:13

variables 489:21

viewed 98:10 256:14

views 311:23

vigilant 205:24 Viper 208:20 209:13 347:8 virtue 494:20 **virtues** 497:11 **vision** 266:6 visit 140:24 320:22 visited 193:5 visiting 239:5 **volatile** 141:7 489:22 Volkswagen 72:14 **volume** 187:8,19 277:9 361:23 373:25 391:21 397:3,7,9 399:7,8 400:22,23 401:1 515:16,18,20 **voluminous** 32:21,22 **voluntary** 155:7 168:22 **VORYS** 24:2 vote 47:16 50:20 122:9 122:10,10,13 126:25 137:23 138:12 140:23 141:18 147:15 177:10 290:7.14 **voted** 65:24 137:22 **votes** 127:8 **voting** 143:16

# $\mathbf{W}$

Wachovia 16:16 Wacker 23:4 wage 126:10 258:25 wages 309:3 wait 68:10 97:1 117:19 117:19 192:14,16 216:10 234:10 330:10 330:12 331:17,17 352:25.25 477:23 478:15 541:3 **waited** 133:18 waiting 133:13 236:9 434:1 waive 44:11 waived 103:25 478:13 waiver 286:4 378:21.22 378:25 waiving 378:12,18 wall 183:13

wandered 432:24 want 46:17,22 51:5,15 76:24 77:10 105:22,24 113:19 126:10 144:14 145:17 146:7 148:23 154:20 156:16 157:18 158:8 159:7,10 161:16 161:20 172:2 193:1,2 200:19 207:20 213:22 219:12 221:6,7 223:23 224:21 226:1 237:12 243:12 256:3 271:11 273:21 275:21.24 288:9 306:17 307:14 310:18 320:14 321:18 322:9 326:15,17 329:3 331:23 332:7 352:12 355:11 357:13 363:11 363:13 373:18,18 378:12,17 382:10 387:22 388:8 392:3 418:24 419:16 422:13 423:6,11 428:15 433:17,18 438:24 441:11 453:7,11 454:9 454:18,24 455:10 456:22 460:4 462:11 478:4,7,24 482:25 484:19 487:20 494:23 494:25 510:22 531:1 541:12 542:24 wanted 62:3,17 64:23 78:6 82:5 84:24 105:23 128:22 129:4,16 180:15 183:6 196:13 202:24 207:10 210:22 211:5,8 235:24 267:9 267:13 289:1 290:20 291:21 296:14 300:18 316:11 319:22 321:13 321:14,25 322:4 337:24 355:4 356:18 356:19 402:15 427:12 435:23 437:5,15 439:2 445:21 454:13 510:16 513:10.17 wants 153:8 173:12.16

240:19 326:15 437:9

441:6 460:3 487:18 496:6 500:1,1,10 503:16 **War** 187:19 **WARDEN** 15:7 WARDWELL 19:2 **WARNER** 24:10 warranty 306:20,23 402:15 420:9,16,17,18 420:19,20,22 421:18 422:2.3.12 424:6 473:3 509:3 **washed** 83:11 **Washington** 3:15 7:5,21 11:14 134:23 135:1 190:10 202:9 210:23 228:13 524:21,23,24 wasn't 78:15 94:21 115:23 116:1 128:14 133:11 138:4.22 147:3 147:5 157:15,25 167:8 183:16 187:11 194:16 203:6 207:21 225:19 251:12 257:14 322:1 337:23 353:16 364:10 375:5,14 376:7 439:25 446:13 496:13,15 522:6 526:12 **waste** 41:3 way 46:18,19 55:15 60:2 61:21 62:4,19 65:7 68:23 75:12 84:11 88:9 89:12 91:3 102:25 105:19 115:19 139:11 148:2 155:1 158:18 161:20 164:6 168:18 175:3,15 179:14 184:22 185:2 189:19 190:24 208:1 212:3 225:10 234:15 249:21 263:12 284:7 291:1 295:3,23 315:8,20 316:11 324:6,12 326:8 335:22 337:13 340:3,5 347:18 357:5 374:22 395:7 400:20 402:14 408:13 417:6 419:11 454:18 458:20 479:22

486:11 491:6 497:8 502:18 504:16 512:18 518:25 542:8 ways 176:25 187:13 316:7,13 324:3 431:25 wearing 39:20 WeBridge 21:3 websites 141:9 **Wednesday** 32:2 222:22 226:19 373:5 week 26:15 120:7 144:3 238:21 285:17 286:1 355:9 weekend 238:16 248:15 weeks 192:12 405:19 406:3 424:25 437:18 490:17 494:21 522:14 weight 464:3,5 **WEISS** 8:17 22:12 **welcome** 144:11 173:2 332:20 438:10 439:8 483:17 538:19 welcomed 532:18 went 65:23 84:1 102:25 124:10 140:21 141:10 141:14 147:25 161:19 162:23 178:20 181:5 182:24 185:22 186:20 186:20 187:6 188:8 191:10 192:6,6 195:17 196:14 198:12 205:16 206:17 208:22 212:1 226:6.21 232:18 251:7 274:20 277:15 279:10 294:19 301:14 305:16 319:14 339:19,23 371:13 383:8 392:19 446:22 454:23 482:2 518:14 519:2 522:12 526:19 weren't 68:24 102:6 135:4 148:11 167:21 196:21,23,23 244:7 255:23 287:8 316:2 508:12 519:25 520:11 West 8:19 10:15 15:12 17:6 18:13 19:13 21:13 we'll 26:10,22 28:13 45:1

115:9,9,10 174:2 216:2
233:23 234:12,19
283:3,4 288:9 354:20
433:1,9 439:4,7 440:5
440:12 446:18 465:10
483:14 489:6 510:8,14
542:4,4,5,10,23
we're 28:6,7 46:25 95:25
102:9,10 115:15,16
118:25 126:3 139:6
147:18 149:6 160:11
160:13 164:1 173:3,5,9
173:10,11 185:3 186:8
186:12 187:19 200:10
210:12,13 221:1 228:1
228:3 237:19,21
255:21 263:15 270:9
283:1,2,9 296:7 301:9
303:9 307:24 315:8,11
323:10,10 329:17
338:10 354:19 357:12
358:5 361:22 362:15
377:17 378:12,18
406:16 409:4,10
412:18 417:17 418:3
425:20 428:16,21,22
429:3,6 430:6 432:11
433:16 434:1,10,18
435:2,24 439:5,22
446:11 449:3 452:7
453:10 454:20 458:12
459:2 460:8 465:3,11
466:19,19 483:10
486:8 489:5,20 490:4,7
496:5 500:13 504:5
515:20 519:10,20,21
521:5 531:22,24,25
532:1 533:14,15,15
539:19 541:4,17 542:7
we've 25:24 27:16 32:10
41:24 45:24 57:11
134:9 149:10 164:6,15
177:21 190:9 214:6,7
214:21 215:7,22,24
229:17 235:4,23
258:18 263:20,21,22
301:18 304:10 327:7
355:10 361:1 362:3,10

```
362:13 374:17 375:10
 377:11 409:23 412:15
 441:20 448:18.18
 462:10 464:24 465:1
 465:13 467:13 470:3
 473:2,5 478:21 494:22
 517:5 519:10 523:25
 536:11
WHARTON 22:12
What'd 179:4
wheel 256:2
whichever 79:17
White 16:2.12 17:2 22:2
 22:9 38:21 59:23
 120:16 144:8 446:9
Whitehall 6:4
whoa 117:19
wholesale 186:13.14
 241:7 375:16 376:2,18
 380:5.10 382:5 383:14
 383:14
wholly 135:21 136:5
who've 417:5
Wilk 12:11 392:14
William 14:9 20:9 22:9
 528:1
willing 101:21 196:17
 204:18 207:5 213:3
 309:18 310:2 340:13
 352:24 422:17,19,22
 424:4 453:10 507:25
willingness 101:15 245:3
 425:4
win 526:8
winding 331:16
window 228:22
wings 149:3
wins 142:4
winter 469:17
wiped 313:18
Wisconsin 9:3,4 136:4
wisest 107:21
wish 26:19 38:12 89:22
 387:19 438:7 439:9
 510:2 514:24
wishful 495:8
withdraw 46:4 438:3
```

441:11 442:3

```
withdrawn 436:8 437:17
 516:3 526:17
withdrew 198:12 526:8
 526:12
witness 27:7,8,13,20
 28:5 29:8,11 49:16,18
 49:22 51:14,19,21
 52:20 57:2 59:17 67:2
 67:3 73:22 74:12 76:10
 77:5,9 87:11,17,18,19
 87:20.21 89:23 98:24
 101:19 102:2,4 103:12
 103:16.20.23.25 104:4
 112:4 113:15,16,25
 114:8 115:4,15,19
 116:3,14 119:1,10
 144:5 150:5,13 160:7
 160:20,20,24 161:5,8
 172:7,10,24 173:1
 174:12 201:19.23
 214:7 215:2 216:13
 224:22 225:6.6.19.21
 225:23 226:16 235:9
 235:20,24 242:13,18
 243:7 249:14,18
 266:11 281:10,15
 283:8,8 289:11,25
 290:1 304:12 310:13
 320:7.17 329:21
 330:17,21 332:5,11
 333:25 334:23 336:15
 338:3,7,9,12,25 355:14
 356:7 359:10 362:11
 363:17 377:20 382:10
 384:19.25 387:17
 406:8 410:7 411:11
 419:20,22 421:24
 423:8,13 425:17 427:8
 427:16,17 428:13
 432:7,7 434:17,25
 435:25 438:22 443:8
 443:14 449:15 452:4
 453:17 455:7 475:5
 482:7 498:6 510:3
 511:5,10 512:3 523:3
 523:15 535:12.16
 544:5 545:5
witnesses 27:14 115:16
```

```
331:14 410:6 433:12
 433:14.17 434:3.14.22
 435:2,21 440:7,13
 443:16 445:22,24
 446:3,9,11 542:6,11,13
 542:16 543:4
witness's 310:12
woefully 180:17
WOLF 13:17
women 362:15
won 71:10 526:7.12.17
Woodward 10:6 21:5
word 143:12 156:8 230:6
 243:12.18 266:10
 267:3 340:10 422:1
 424:22 460:7
words 69:11 79:24 80:1
 80:2 85:16 109:9
 159:15 163:16 193:23
 194:18 245:25 289:17
 296:22 344:21 462:10
work 30:9 33:6 37:18
 53:17 54:12 55:15,17
 82:22 110:16 115:8
 117:9 119:18,19
 153:17 170:9 174:19
 190:23,24 195:7,7,10
 195:14,14 212:13
 231:1,4 232:18 238:20
 238:22 239:2 246:17
 248:14 256:1 284:6.22
 285:13 294:18 380:13
 380:13 406:21 434:18
 438:18,23 439:12
 444:12 503:8 519:4,5,6
 542:23
worked 79:23,25 104:12
 124:8 135:20 174:25
 188:22,23 189:12
 199:19 230:18,24
 232:19,25 238:13
 239:13,14 246:11
 327:7 362:3 406:22
 486:2
workers 8:10,18 119:7,7
 119:19.20 121:8.10.10
 121:12,13,19,21 122:7
 138:13 149:15,16,17,18
```

150:24 153:8 166:3 168:12 171:13 258:22 303:10 307:12.13 361:3 436:18,25 437:4 437:5,8,19 workforce 68:21,24 131:4 136:5 373:4,4 working 125:3 136:14 175:9 176:14 186:3 191:17 196:3 205:17 207:6 208:13 238:11 239:7 247:4,4 304:5 312:11 361:22 375:6 377:11 383:9,10 389:7 417:17 426:10,15 460:25 461:7,25 462:4 462:5 463:16,21 492:7 workings 123:3 Workmen's 435:7 works 533:12 worksheet 452:12 world 187:19 282:15 497:5 worried 391:4 worse 98:7,9 187:21 278:14 worst 85:6,9 186:22 417:14 487:2 491:9 503:19 519:17 worst-case 451:1 worth 85:16 118:14 280:6 294:17 317:20 324:15 325:17.23 328:4,5 422:10 461:25 Worthington 24:3 **wouldn't** 72:7 96:6 110:21 112:11,14 113:8,11 129:2 138:3 148:11 159:9 167:9 182:16 193:1.1 209:17 210:16 217:16 256:16 256:22 257:13 274:2 280:14 286:12 292:4 306:17 308:7 325:24 329:3 349:19 350:23 351:13 357:12 373:18 373:18 400:16 431:7 459:17 465:19,22

487:8,10 506:18 513:4 513:6.12.12 515:6.7 516:18 520:7 527:13 533:10 wound 184:18 Wrangler 110:23 wrap 57:11 210:24 510:10 wrenching 183:8 186:23 211:7 write 79:24 161:21 writing 54:2 456:19 written 48:7 159:15 wrong 118:10 147:25 306:17 318:9 339:11 504:16 513:19 wrongfully 480:16 wrote 69:17 80:1 153:25 154:1 533:1.3 **w]e** 294:15

# $\mathbf{X}$

**x** 1:5,11 380:23 544:2 545:2,13 546:2,4 547:2

#### $\mathbf{Y}$

Y 544:4 545:4 yeah 29:8 32:9 43:3 48:8 52:10 62:10 65:12 73:7 73:10 75:25 82:15 112:10 113:11 116:17 136:22 154:23 166:4 167:22 169:1 177:25 178:5 179:15,19 182:12 183:18 186:2 188:2,21 190:6 197:6 202:8 203:24,25 205:13 209:12 220:8 221:21 225:1 227:12 230:7 231:11 243:5 251:16,24 253:12 256:22 261:12 263:23 272:23 274:14 287:1 288:3,3 291:17 295:16 297:21 298:17 300:22 301:5,22 303:5 304:22 307:11 309:15 310:2

310:11 314:4 316:9,17 318:11.22.24 319:11.13 323:23 326:1,7,11,13 327:5,22 328:13 338:12,16,25 346:10,12 346:18 348:19 350:8 354:16 355:25 371:19 371:24 375:15,25 379:17 380:22 384:2 385:20 394:7 395:11 395:16 396:9 397:17 410:12 411:9,15,17 414:10 415:3 416:21 419:22 421:21 422:6 448:10 450:18 455:22 460:21 461:4,4,10 462:17 469:10 473:11 473:14 476:11 486:24 502:3 506:1 514:5,12 514:16 518:24 521:3 527:5 529:8.25 531:4 532:16 536:21 539:12 year 31:23 32:2,3 85:6,8 85:9 98:8 142:17 176:16 181:3,10 182:1 182:2 183:2,17 184:5 185:2 209:5 346:24 359:17 367:20 375:22 404:25 420:8 423:7 442:1 445:13 450:6 469:23 470:18 487:18 489:18,19 495:8 499:6 503:11,19,19 504:1 515:24 536:14 **years** 30:19 41:12 48:25 125:13,25 139:4 142:13,14 162:23,24 176:3 178:9 207:14 213:20 232:22 321:13 323:25 324:2 423:2 448:6 491:9 519:5,17 539:16 year-over-year 460:24 **vellow** 457:24 460:17 461:2,9,10

38:23 49:25 70:10 310:7,8 433:20 496:9 yes-or-no 320:24 359:9 yet-to-be-named 437:3 yield 510:5 Yokich 124:24 York 1:3,15,15 3:6 4:13 5:5,13,15 6:6,23 8:12 8:20 9:14 11:8,21 12:14,21 13:5 15:5,14 15:22 16:7 19:5 22:14 129:6 232:8 408:3 419:4 512:18 525:13

## $\mathbf{Z}$

Zabel 246:12,14 247:16 Zakia 16:22 120:14,16 120:16 138:14,17 144:7,7,10,13 147:4 150:3,5 151:24 152:1,4 154:6,9 160:16,18,24 161:3,15 162:7 163:12 172:23 438:11 443:13 443:18 544:14

# ZAVALKOFF-BABEJ 15:24

**zero** 106:18 241:20 **zone** 35:9,11,14,19,25 36:5 39:4,8 86:3 304:16

### 0

**01** 519:14 **02110** 20:15 **06106** 23:15 **07** 41:15 175:14 177:17 179:22 183:6 191:18 200:6 241:17 374:9,10 399:18 **07-cv-14310** 11:4 14:4 **08** 183:7,8 209:5 267:21 277:8,9,13 278:21 499:6 **08s** 383:12 384:3 **09** 41:18 189:16 201:7 256:13 519:14

**09s** 383:12 384:4

**09-50002** 1:4

**Yep** 35:8 94:6 522:21

vesterday 25:4 38:18,19

532:14

1	<b>101</b> 538:12	<b>144</b> 544:14	<b>1982</b> 125:9
179:21 299:23 302:9,17	<b>10105</b> 11:21	<b>15</b> 49:15 344:3 358:14,21	<b>1984</b> 124:19
378:4,5 379:6 381:20	<b>10119</b> 4:13	544:6	<b>1985</b> 124:22
382:15,20 483:22,25	<b>105</b> 2:13	<b>15,000</b> 361:25 383:2	<b>1999</b> 124:23 125:3
484:24 485:2 496:21	<b>1050</b> 7:4	<b>15.5</b> 182:7,14	
514:16 545:11 546:15	<b>108</b> 544:12	<b>150</b> 15:12 209:4 231:16	2
548:16	<b>11</b> 63:11 152:2,5,10	367:19 372:16	<b>2</b> 43:6 70:9 103:6 260:19
<b>1,000</b> 376:4 496:21	154:7,10 166:1 172:19	<b>152</b> 546:6	260:23 261:2,4,8
513:11,12	205:18 216:24 217:2	<b>15223</b> 14:7	266:16 275:25 299:20
<b>1.2</b> 186:25 318:13	227:8 236:17,25 275:3	<b>154</b> 546:6	299:23 313:1,18 315:1
<b>1.3</b> 186:25 397:10	275:11 283:19 284:9	<b>16</b> 182:13 294:23 313:6	332:9 345:19 452:8
<b>1.5</b> 43:16 44:7	287:16,20 300:17	544:17	463:6 464:9,11,14
<b>1.7</b> 199:23 341:9	337:9 518:1 546:6	<b>16.5</b> 182:13	477:14 479:4 484:25
<b>1.72</b> 97:24 99:1	<b>11:00</b> 222:24 228:10,11	<b>16.7</b> 182:13	544:8 546:13
<b>1/31</b> 379:15	510:14,15	<b>16.8</b> 321:11	<b>2,000</b> 384:5
<b>1:15</b> 174:3	<b>11:30</b> 510:13,14 512:19	<b>162</b> 544:15	<b>2,392</b> 447:10 473:4
<b>10</b> 86:25 99:6 253:7	<b>11:42</b> 119:2 543:8	<b>1633</b> 15:20	<b>2,400</b> 389:22 485:20
268:11 293:10 308:22	<b>11:59</b> 119:2	<b>1675</b> 6:22	<b>2.06(r)</b> 307:19
319:19 324:19 325:24	<b>111</b> 24:13	<b>169</b> 544:16	<b>2.25</b> 222:10 223:5,8
328:3,8,8 374:18	<b>1115</b> 22:6	<b>17</b> 98:8 170:16 190:1	224:12 225:1,3 228:1
486:25 544:9	<b>11501</b> 8:5 548:14	316:5 486:25	229:12
<b>10th</b> 269:23 270:9,13	<b>1155</b> 16:6	<b>17th</b> 17:15 131:2 132:7	<b>2.250</b> 211:17 217:13
273:2 320:1,18 321:1	<b>1177</b> 5:4	132:11 170:20 190:8	224:4 228:2
322:16,17,20	<b>119</b> 544:13	194:2 255:11 266:4	<b>2.5</b> 224:1 225:3
<b>10.1</b> 321:9	<b>12</b> 530:11,16 544:14,20	267:24 269:18 270:12	<b>2.6</b> 80:8,11,24 84:2 96:12
<b>10.5</b> 321:10	545:7	276:18,20,24 292:7	97:12
<b>10.6</b> 374:18	<b>12.6</b> 73:3 321:9	320:8,19 321:2 322:13	<b>2:15</b> 174:2
<b>10.8</b> 374:18	<b>12/2008</b> 457:7,15	322:15 392:24	<b>2:18</b> 174:3
<b>10:00</b> 1:18 173:23 543:5	<b>120</b> 383:5 489:12 492:21	<b>17.2</b> 70:17 73:20 75:19	<b>20</b> 324:19 328:3,8 544:19 547:6
543:6	<b>1200</b> 11:13	<b>171</b> 17:15	<b>200</b> 8:4 16:18 324:20
<b>10:44</b> 222:24 227:17	<b>122</b> 490:7	<b>172</b> 544:17	
<b>10:55</b> 510:15	<b>1221</b> 13:4	<b>174</b> 544:18	328:4,9 445:1 520:15 539:25 548:12
<b>100</b> 209:3 324:19 468:19	<b>125</b> 15:4 362:1 467:5	<b>1751</b> 22:5	2000s 450:11
489:17 513:11	<b>1285</b> 22:13	<b>18th</b> 26:9	<b>20005</b> 450.11 <b>20001</b> 3:15
<b>100,000</b> 536:14	<b>13</b> 56:1,18,23 57:5 69:3	<b>18.8</b> 73:16,19 75:18	<b>20001</b> 3.13 <b>20004</b> 7:21
<b>1000</b> 12:4	218:22,24,25 294:12	<b>18:00</b> 223:11	<b>20004</b> 7.21 <b>20005</b> 11:14
<b>10001</b> 15:14	544:15 545:9,20	<b>1888</b> 14:22	<b>2001</b> 374:7,19 450:13
<b>10004</b> 6:6 15:5	13th 442:14	<b>19</b> 299:13	518:21,23,25 520:8
10006 8:12	<b>1301</b> 9:13 <b>1345</b> 11:20	<b>19.6</b> 73:3,8 <b>19.9</b> 245:25	<b>2002</b> 2:14 124:25,25
10007 5:15	<b>1350</b> 11:6	<b>19.9</b> 243.23 <b>1900</b> 17:7	200367:5
<b>10017</b> 3:6 12:14,21 19:5	<b>14</b> 28:21 49:15 54:4,7,17	1900 17:7 1901 18:5	<b>2004</b> 128:21
10018 11:8	54:24 55:17 359:17	<b>19103</b> 12:6	<b>2004/2005</b> 441:24
<b>10019</b> 6:23 9:14 15:22	544:13,18,22 545:18	1960s 518:14	<b>2005</b> 129:14
22:14	14th 296:3 389:16	<b>1968</b> 124:9	<b>2007</b> 29:16 31:7 41:7
10020 13:5	<b>14.6</b> 73:3	<b>1970</b> 124:12	42:5 44:12 127:13,20
<b>10036</b> 5:5 8:20 16:7	<b>140,000</b> 205:7 361:8	<b>1973</b> 124:15	128:5 129:20,23
<b>1007</b> 14:6	<b>1420</b> 4:4	1980s 162:19	143:23 155:17 157:25

163:1 174:24 178:1	322:21 323:4	268:12,14 275:24,24	<b>35B</b> 46:18
179:5,18,18 180:23	<b>22:00</b> 223:12	277:24 299:23,23	<b>35D</b> 46:18
181:11,12 184:5	<b>22:44</b> 222:22 226:19	302:16,19,20 305:21	<b>35,000</b> 361:1 371:25
230:14 233:1 308:24	<b>22:46</b> 225:15 294:22	344:24 347:22,24	<b>35.5</b> 423:5
309:8	<b>2200</b> 14:15	358:15,17,19 477:14	<b>350,000</b> 149:3
<b>2008</b> 31:23,25,25 34:16	<b>221</b> 13:11	479:7 484:25 498:20	<b>358</b> 544:20
36:3 37:12,15,18 38:8	<b>22102</b> 22:7	544:10 546:14	<b>36</b> 37:2,6,10,22 38:6,15
39:9 85:4,5,6,9,13,17	<b>222</b> 3:5	<b>3rd</b> 428:15,19 429:22	39:2 361:24 545:17
94:2 104:25 170:4	<b>2290</b> 21:4	<b>3,000</b> 205:6 371:17,20,22	<b>3600</b> 12:5
182:17 183:3,17,23,25	<b>23</b> 544:16,23	372:4,7,11,12 445:13	<b>363</b> 2:13 31:2 64:6 66:16
184:18 185:9,14,15	<b>2323</b> 14:14	<b>3,100</b> 518:15	67:6 84:13,19 88:8,9
186:17,20 188:6,21	<b>233</b> 23:4	<b>3,181</b> 516:15	242:11 273:10 275:3
278:9 295:6 296:1	<b>24</b> 85:20 95:18 99:4	<b>3,200</b> 389:12,21 485:20	275:11,16 283:17,19
345:22 445:12 457:19	300:9 545:8	<b>3,600</b> 372:7	284:12 285:11,12
499:1,5 502:8 506:13	<b>25</b> 76:7	<b>3.16</b> 307:19	332:15 337:6,8,10,21
<b>2009</b> 1:17 32:1 37:12,15	<b>25th</b> 15:12	<b>3.2</b> 79:12,16 80:7,19,24	338:13 340:3 368:16
37:19 38:8 39:9 40:15	<b>25.4</b> 73:17 75:21 76:2,13	95:20 96:2	402:10 403:20 406:5
60:19 130:10,12 133:2	77:24 78:2,8 81:2	<b>3.5</b> 308:5	406:10,15 408:15
140:2 152:7 153:21,22	118:7,15	<b>3:54</b> 222:17	412:24 413:18 415:2
154:12 166:7 170:13	<b>25.7</b> 70:18 75:22 76:1,12	<b>30</b> 197:15,20 198:20	418:7 419:12 421:20
170:16 190:1 197:16	345:10	216:4 236:21 530:18	429:2 445:7 495:18
197:20 198:20 216:5	<b>250</b> 19:13 211:19 212:1	531:9 545:23	<b>365</b> 2:13
267:24 268:11 370:22	219:22 221:9 222:7	<b>30th</b> 54:10 55:7 108:8	<b>366</b> 2:3
371:2 392:23 393:9	223:13 224:6,8 226:14	134:19 195:1,4,4 196:7	<b>37</b> 545:17
395:18 436:20 442:2	226:17 294:15	199:16 203:19 210:18	<b>38</b> 197:16 215:12,14
457:21 468:20,24	<b>26</b> 76:7 547:6	236:22,24 237:10	216:4 545:22
469:1,17 518:21,23,25	<b>26,000</b> 121:21 137:25	242:19 252:22,23,24	<b>388</b> 544:21
520:8 545:23 546:9	149:15	253:4 389:8 442:13	<b>39</b> 545:17
548:16	<b>2600</b> 6:13 7:13	<b>30,000</b> 186:24,24	<b>392</b> 544:22
<b>201</b> 21:13	<b>27</b> 436:20	<b>300</b> 71:25 163:14 208:7	<b>3960</b> 20:5
<b>2010</b> 489:19	<b>27.4</b> 80:25	<b>300's</b> 376:19	
<b>2011</b> 143:24 316:2	<b>27.8</b> 314:8,22	<b>3000</b> 10:17	4
366:22	<b>270,000</b> 375:10	<b>3001</b> 18:13	<b>4</b> 45:2 78:12 106:9
<b>2015</b> 142:12	<b>2700</b> 10:7	<b>30309</b> 4:6	121:12,14 166:14
<b>2016</b> 318:8,13,18 320:7	<b>275</b> 10:16	<b>30363</b> 17:17	180:14 210:25 299:23
322:7	<b>28</b> 1:17 320:3 544:6	<b>31</b> 396:23	544:12
<b>2019</b> 163:14,17,24 164:9	545:15	<b>31st</b> 275:13	<b>4,000</b> 383:1 384:5
164:18	<b>2800</b> 19:14	<b>317</b> 538:12	<b>4-0</b> 73:2
<b>21</b> 297:19,21 544:7	<b>29</b> 225:14	<b>32.8</b> 417:15 418:2	<b>4.1</b> 305:13
<b>21.5</b> 73:3,8	<b>29th</b> 60:19 196:6 222:20	<b>33</b> 6:4 214:7,25 358:13	<b>4.587</b> 156:19,20 161:25
<b>2100</b> 6:5 17:16	222:22 226:19 227:17	545:21	172:6
<b>21209</b> 9:7	228:9,12,18 236:16,23	<b>330</b> 8:19	<b>4.6</b> 168:4 308:18,21
<b>215</b> 545:21	340:9 389:7	<b>33131</b> 16:19	<b>4.9</b> 256:25 259:12
<b>216</b> 544:19 545:22	<b>2900</b> 13:12	<b>340</b> 162:16 163:19 164:4	<b>4.960</b> 305:14
<b>22</b> 80:5 82:16 95:17,18		164:8	<b>4/30</b> 222:17
544:11	3	<b>35</b> 28:1,10 43:6 45:1,20	<b>4/4</b> 252:21
<b>22.8</b> 313:14 314:15,23	<b>3</b> 45:14 70:16 72:21	46:8,11,15,16 545:15	<b>4:54</b> 283:6
317:19 320:2 322:14	103:6 105:7 260:20	545:16	40 72:25 73:2
	l	l	

**51** 3:14 **7** 205:19 254:24 284:15 **9.6** 313:21 **40.000** 361:24 383:1 **52** 24:4 337:9 372:1 544:21 **9.8** 303:11 **400** 20:14 375:8 420:7 539:20.23 **535** 6:14 545:10 545:6.10 **9:00** 435:18 439:5 440:5 **7.60** 185:22 **401** 544:23 **539** 545:11 483:10.12 542:4 **40507** 19:15 **54** 317:16 318:1,10 **7.80** 185:22 **9:14** 435:18 **407** 544:24 **7:15** 356:20,21 **9:30** 440:13 545:18 41st 3:5 **700** 341:11 **90** 489:11 492:21 544:8 **542** 546:17.18 **416** 544:25 **55** 23:14 308:19,21 **73** 490:6 900 24:12 513:18 **42nd** 8:19 **555** 7:20 **750** 100:11 206:5 376:4 **90067** 14:23 **425** 12:20 **57** 545:20 **75201** 14:16 **90071** 17:8 **580** 548:13 **426** 545:6 **780** 471:14 9019413:4 **789** 359:6.13.16 360:25 **43** 288:2 **59** 544:7 **92** 544:9 **43216** 24:5 361:6.16.20.22 362:16 **929** 80:19 95:24 96:2 6 **442** 545:7 362:20 363:6,23 364:3 **93** 544:10.11 **6** 114:19 268:4,5 319:17 **443** 545:24 364:6,9,17,22 365:1,16 **94111** 10:18 348:20 358:21 372:1 **449** 545:8 365:23 367:4,5,16,21 453:23.25 454:1 **450** 19:4 368:5,19 369:6,24 544:24 **45202** 13:13 370:16 371:22 372:5 6th 18:4 527:25 **46** 545:15 372:20 373:10 377:16 **6,500** 518:15 47th 15:21 381:18 382:21 383:1 **6.2** 73:13 **479** 546:13.14 396:23 449:24 450:4 **6.20** 185:21 **48084** 18:15 21:15 451:1 459:13 464:19 **6.30** 185:21 **48226** 6:15 10:8 18:6 465:6 470:7,17 471:20 **6.54** 97:12 473:1,4,9 476:19 477:2 21:6 **6.8** 73:13 **485** 545:9 546:15 494:20 496:19 506:6 **6.9** 61:5 101:3 313:17 **4900** 16:17 516:4 520:19 521:15 332:9 336:5 353:8 **49503** 24:14 521:25 **6:00** 223:10 5 8 **6:57** 356:21 **5** 69:1 70:10 93:25 94:5 60 21:14 **8** 94:2 155:4 168:21 203:17 314:22 347:24 **60,000** 205:6 213:14 172:5 325:24 372:1,1 469:1 487:5 **600** 18:14 **5th** 287:18 **600,000** 375:7 **8.5** 315:11 **5,000** 373:4,5 400:19 **6004** 2:14 235:14 **80.000** 121:23 **5.0** 318:23 6006 2:14 **800** 4:5 325:24 389:17 **5.3** 302:4.21 305:19 606 25:4 392:6 503:15 521:1 532:3,3,17 306:14 314:1 **60606** 23:6 **852** 502:9 **5.6** 318:8.20 **607** 25:4 **5.87** 156:18 **622** 25:4 **86** 5:14 **5:00** 210:25 **6225** 9:6 **866** 503:1 **5:15** 283:6 **63102** 7:14 **89169** 20:7 **50** 383:4 **633** 17:6 9 **500** 10:6 180:14 220:21 **654** 96:11 **9** 246:2 293:11 503:1 222:2,7 223:14,16,21 **660** 21:5 512:19 544:25 223:24.25 376:4 **6600** 23:5 **9th** 367:13 368:19 **500,000** 121:12,14,15 **675** 12:13 370:22 **501** 11:7 7 **9.1** 345:10 **503** 15:13